

This is a pre print version of the following article:



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Diagnostic performance of (18)F-dihydroxyphenylalanine positron emission tomography in patients with paraganglioma: a meta-analysis

Original Citation:	
Availability:	
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/129989	since
Published version:	
DOI:10.1007/s00259-012-2087-y	
Terms of use:	
Open Access	
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use	
of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright	
protection by the applicable law.	

(Article begins on next page)



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This is an author version of the contribution published on:

Questa è la versione dell'autore dell'opera: [European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging , vol. 39,issue 7, 2012, DOI: 10.1007/s00259-012-2087-y]

The definitive version is available at:

La versione definitiva è disponibile alla URL:

[http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs00259-012-2087-y]

Title:

Diagnostic performance of Fluorine-18-dihydroxyphenylalanine positron emission tomography in patients with paraganglioma: a meta-analysis.

Abstract:

Objective: to systematically review and meta-analyze published data about the diagnostic performance of Fluorine-18 dihydroxyphenylalanine (¹⁸F-DOPA) positron emission tomography (PET) in patients with paraganglioma (PG).

Methods: A comprehensive computer literature search of studies published through June 30th, 2011 regarding ¹⁸F-DOPA PET or PET/computed tomography (PET/CT) in patients with PG was performed in PubMed/MEDLINE, Embase and Scopus databases. Pooled sensitivity and specificity of ¹⁸F-DOPA PET or PET/CT in patients with PG on a per patient- and on a per lesion-based analysis were calculated. The area under the ROC curve was calculated to measure the accuracy of ¹⁸F-DOPA PET or PET/CT in patients with PG.

Results: Eleven studies comprising 275 patients with suspected PG were included in this meta-analysis. The pooled sensitivity of ¹⁸F-DOPA PET and PET/CT in detecting PG was 91% (95% confidence interval [CI], 87-94%) on a per patient-based analysis and 79% (95% CI, 76-81%) on a per lesion-based analysis. The pooled specificity of ¹⁸F-DOPA PET and PET/CT in detecting PG was 95% (95% CI, 86-99%) on a per-patient based analysis and 95% (95% CI, 84-99%) on a per-lesion based analysis. The area under the ROC curve was 0.95 on a per patient- and 0.94 on a per lesion-based analysis. Heterogeneity between the studies was found.

Conclusions: In patients with suspected PG ¹⁸F-DOPA PET or PET/CT demonstrated high sensitivity and specificity. ¹⁸F-DOPA PET or PET/CT are accurate methods in this setting. Nevertheless, possible sources of false negative results should be kept in mind.

Keywords: positron emission tomography, PET/CT, Fluorine-18 dihydroxyphenylalanine, paraganglioma, pheochromocytoma.

Introduction

Paragangliomas (PG) are rare neuroendocrine tumours arising from chromaffin cells of the sympathetic and parasympathetic paraganglia located from the base of the skull to the urinary bladder. Catecholamine-secreting PG arising from the chromaffin cells of the adrenal medulla are referred to as pheochromocytomas, whereas sympathetic PG arising outside the adrenals are referred to as extra-adrenal PG [1]. A large tumour size (>5 cm) or capsular invasion may indicate malignancy; however, the only reliable evidence of malignancy is the presence of local tumour invasion and/or metastatic spread to distal sites, generally bone, liver, and lung or clearly identifiable lymph nodes [2,3].

Functional imaging methods are useful to provide accurate staging and extent of the disease in patients with PG. The information obtained by the combination of conventional and functional imaging methods may influence the management of these patients, especially in malignant and multifocal forms [4,5].

Recently, the use of positron emission tomography (PET) imaging in these tumours is growing rapidly and different positron emitters radiopharmaceuticals (with different uptake mechanisms) have been developed [4,5]. In particular, Fluorine-18 dihydroxyphenylalanine (¹⁸F-DOPA) has been proposed as a useful radiopharmaceutical for the imaging of catecholamine-secreting tumours [6]. DOPA enters the cells through the large amino acid transporter 2; then it is converted by aromatic amino acid decarboxylase to dopamine and transported into storage granules by vesicular monoamine transporter [7-9].

Several single-center studies have evaluated ¹⁸F-DOPA PET or PET/computed tomography (PET/CT) in patients with suspected PG, reporting different values of sensitivity and specificity; the purpose of our study is to systematically review and meta-analyze published data on the diagnostic performance of ¹⁸F-DOPA PET or PET/CT in patients with PG.

Methods

Search strategy

A comprehensive computer literature search of the PubMed/MEDLINE, Embase and Scopus databases was conducted to find relevant published articles on the diagnostic performance of ¹⁸F-DOPA PET and PET/CT in patients with PG. We used a search algorithm that was based on a combination of the terms: a) "DOPA" OR "dihydroxyphenylalanine" AND b) "PET" OR "positron emission tomography" c) "paraganglioma" OR "pheochromocytoma" OR "adrenal". No beginning date limit was used; the search was updated until June 30th, 2011. No language restriction was used. To expand our search, references of the retrieved articles were also screened for additional studies. *Study selection*

Studies or subsets in studies investigating the diagnostic performance of ¹⁸F-DOPA PET or PET/CT in patients with PG were eligible for inclusion. The exclusion criteria were: a) articles not within the field of interest of this review; b) review articles, editorials or letters, comments, conference proceedings; c) case reports or small case series; f) overlap in patient data (duplicate publication; in such cases the most complete article was included); g) insufficient data to reassess sensitivity or specificity from individual studies.

Three researchers (GT, FC and PC) independently reviewed the titles and abstracts of the retrieved articles, applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria mentioned above. Articles were rejected if they were clearly ineligible. The same three researchers then independently reviewed the full-text version of the remaining articles to determine their eligibility for inclusion. Disagreements were resolved in a consensus meeting.

Data extraction

For each included study, information was collected concerning basic study (authors, journal, year of publication, country of origin), patient characteristics (mean age, sex, number of patients with PG, number of patients with genetic mutations), technical aspects (device used, radiopharmaceutical injected dose, time between ¹⁸F-DOPA injection and image acquisition, carbidopa pretreatment, image analysis, applied reference standard). For each study the number of true positive, false

positive, true negative and false negative findings for ¹⁸F-DOPA PET or PET/CT in diagnosis of PG was recorded on a per patient- and on a per lesion-based analysis.

Quality assessment

Three independent reviewers (CdW, MRG and FDN) evaluated the methodology of the selected studies using the Quality Assessment Tool for Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (QUADAS) [10]. This 14-items tool is composed by five items related to verification bias (items 3, 4, 5, 6, 7) three items related to review bias (items 10, 11, 12), two items relating to generalizability and context and spectrum bias (items 1 and 2) and four to reporting (items 8, 9, 13, 14). Reviewers, who were blinded to the purposes of the meta-analysis, recorded a score of "1" for "yes" and "0" for "no" and "unclear" for each of the 14 items; all the disagreements were resolved by a consensus. Furthermore, studies were given an A, B, C, or D rating according to the quality assessment by Van den Bruel et al. [Van den Bruel A, Thompson MJ, Haj-Hassan T, Stevens R, Moll H, Lakhanpaul M, Mant D. Diagnostic value of laboratory tests in identifying serious infections in febrile children: systematic review. BMJ 2011;342:d3082]. Studies fulfilling all QUADAS items were rated as A. Studies with no or unclear total verification with the reference standard or with interpretation of the index test unblinded to the results of the reference standard were rated as D, while studies without an independent reference standard, with interpretation of the reference standard unblinded to the results of the index test or with an unduly long period between index and reference tests as C. All other studies were rated B.

Statistical analysis

Sensitivity and specificity of ¹⁸F-DOPA PET or PET/CT in patients with PG was obtained from individual studies on a per patient- and on a per lesion-based analysis. The reference standard was considered a combination of histologic examinations and clinical/imaging follow-up data.

A random effect model is used for statistical pooling of the data in the case of heterogeneity between the studies (p<0.1); if there was no heterogeneity between the studies a fixed effect model for statistical pooling of the data is used. Pooled data are presented with 95% confidence intervals

(CI). A I-square statistic was also performed to test for heterogeneity between studies. The area under the ROC curve was calculated to measure the accuracy of ¹⁸F-DOPA PET or PET/CT in patients with PG. Statistical analyses were performed using Meta-DiSc statistical software version 1.4 (Unit of Clinical Biostatistics, Ramón y Cajal Hospital, Madrid, Spain) [11].

Results

Literature search

The comprehensive computer literature search from PubMed/MEDLINE, Embase and Scopus databases revealed 63 articles. Reviewing titles and abstracts, 42 articles were excluded: 25 because not in the field of interest of this review, 17 as reviews or editorials.

Twenty-one articles were selected and retrieved in full-text version; no additional study was found screening the references of these articles. From these 21 articles potentially eligible for inclusion, after reviewing the full-text article, six articles were excluded as case reports or small case series [12-17], one due to data overlap [18], and three due to insufficient data to calculate sensitivity or specificity of ¹⁸F-DOPA PET [19-21].

Finally, 11 studies, comprising a total sample size of 275 patients with suspected PG met all inclusion and exclusion criteria, and they were included in our meta-analysis [22-32] (Fig. 1). The characteristics of the included studies are presented in Tables 1-2.

Quality assessment

Table 3 shows the results of the quality assessment. Studies scored between 7 and 13 with a median score of 9 (interquartile range: 2). Most of the studies (6 studies: 54.5%), including those with larger study populations, scored between 8 and 9; 4 studies (36.4%) scored 10 or more whereas only 1 [31] achieved a score of 7. In this last study it was unclear if the index test and the reference standard were interpreted in blinded conditions (items 10 and 11) and if all uninterpretable/intermediate results were reported (item 13). Furthermore, patients did not receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result and reference standard was not

independent from the index test (items 6 and 7). Moreover, methodology of the reference standard was not likely to correctly classify the target condition (item 3) and was not described in sufficient detail (item 9). Anyway, also in the study by Hoegerle 2002 [22], which achieved the highest score, the reference standard was not likely to correctly classify PG (item 3).

As far as the items are concerned, a brief description of the most important weaknesses is described. Only 1 paper (9.1%) [23] scored "no" on item 1 because of the choice of the Authors to study patients with a proven mutation of the SDHD gene, thus not representative of the population who receives F-DOPA PET/CT in practice. All others study populations included patients with suspected or known PG. Anyway, selection criteria (item 2) were clearly described only in 6 studies (54.5%). Only 3 studies (27.3%) used hystologic verification in all patients as reference standard (item 3); among the remaining 8 studies, 6 used it only when feasible. In 3 cases (27.3%) it was not possible to be sure that patient did not develop new lesions during the time elapsed from index test to reference standard. In none of the items from 5 to 9 unclear results were observed, but the quality was particularly poor on items 6 and 9. Only in 5 studies (45.5%) patients received the same reference standard regardless of the index test result (item 6) and only in 3 studies (27.3%) the execution of the reference standard (which was composite in most cases) was described in sufficient detail (item 9). On items 10 and 11 (blindness of index test and reference test respectively) there was the higher uncertainty: for both items, in 4 studies (36.4%) it was unclear whether the tests were executed in blind conditions or not. As far as withdrawals are concerned, it should be noted that in most studies no withdrawals were observed (item 14).

Finally, none of the studies achieved an A rating while 2 (18.2%) received a B rating and 4 (36.4%) got a C; all the other studies received a D rating.

Diagnostic performance

The diagnostic performance results of ¹⁸F-DOPA PET and PET/CT in the 11 included studies are presented in Tables 4-5. The sensitivity of ¹⁸F-DOPA PET and PET/CT calculated on a per patient-and per lesion-based analysis ranged from 77% to 100% and from 54% to 100%, with pooled

estimates of 91% (95% CI: 87-94%) and 79% (95% CI: 76-81%), respectively (Figs. 2-3). The included studies were statistically heterogeneous in their estimates of sensitivity on a per patient- (I-square: 57.3%) and per lesion-based analysis (I-square: 96.8%).

The specificity of ¹⁸F-DOPA PET and PET/CT calculated on a per patient- and per lesion-based analysis ranged from 75% to 100% and from 67% to 100%, with pooled estimates of 95% (95% CI: 86-99%) and 95% (95% CI: 84-99%), respectively (Figs. 4-5). The included studies were statistically homogeneous in their estimates of specificity on a per patient- (I-square: 0%) and per lesion-based analysis (I-square: 0%).

The area under the ROC curve was 0.95 on a per patient- and 0.94 on a per lesion-based analysis (Fig. 6)

Discussion

To our knowledge, this meta-analysis is the first to evaluate the diagnostic performance of ¹⁸F-DOPA PET and PET/CT in patients with PG. Several single-center studies have used ¹⁸F-DOPA PET or PET/CT in patients with suspected PG reporting different values of sensitivity and specificity (Tables 4-5). However, many of these studies have limited power, analyzing only relatively small numbers of patients. In order to derive more robust estimates of diagnostic performance of ¹⁸F-DOPA PET and PET/CT in patients with PG we pooled published studies. A systematic review process was adopted in ascertaining studies, thereby avoiding selection bias. All the studies included in the review were shown to be of moderate quality according to QUADAS. Anyway, this tool has some limits because it is not supposed to be meant as a scale. In fact, items do have different relevance in the assessment of the quality: a study achieving a very high score, being fulfilled almost all items, could still have a debatable quality if it does not meet one of the most important items, such as the use of the same reference standard in all the patients. This clearly arises from the rating of studies according to Van den Bruel [Van den Bruel A, Thompson MJ, Haj-Hassan T, Stevens R, Moll H, Lakhanpaul M, Mant D. Diagnostic value of

laboratory tests in identifying serious infections in febrile children: systematic review. BMJ 2011;342:d3082]. In fact, it is noteworthy to observe that none of the studies achieved an A rating being 9 (81.8%) the studies with the lowest rating C and D. Another drawback of the QUADAS is that it does not take into consideration the sample size which is responsible for the precision of the study and its validity too. On the other hand, it is important to remember that the low quality could be also due to the limitations in carrying out these kinds of studies in the real clinical setting, where it might be difficult to confirm the final diagnosis in all patients.Pooled results of our meta-analysis indicate that ¹⁸F-DOPA PET and PET/CT demonstrate high sensitivity (91%) and high specificity (95%) on a per patient-based analysis and good sensitivity (79%) and high specificity (95%) on a per lesion-based analysis. Furthermore, the area under the ROC curve (0.95 on a per patient- and 0.94 on a per lesion-based analysis) demonstrates that ¹⁸F-DOPA PET and PET/CT are accurate methods for diagnosis of PG.

Nevertheless, possible sources of false negative results of these functional imaging methods should be kept in mind; they could be related to several factors such as the small size of the lesion, location of the tumor near organs with high physiologic ¹⁸F-DOPA uptake (such as the pancreas, biliary and urinary systems) or loss of ¹⁸F-DOPA uptake due to a tumour dedifferentiation. Genetic factors may also affect the ¹⁸F-DOPA uptake in PG; succinate dehydrogenase B (SDHB) gene mutations may result in extra-adrenal PG which show a sensitivity of ¹⁸F-DOPA PET lower than non-SDHB-related lesions [28]. It is possible that the high pooled sensitivity of ¹⁸F-DOPA PET and PET/CT observed in our analysis is related to the small number of patients with SDHB gene mutations enrolled in most of the studies (Table 1), except that of Timmers et al. [28].

The high specificity of ¹⁸F-DOPA PET and PET/CT can be explained by the fact that only neuroendocrine cells are able to take up, decarboxylate, and store amino acids and their amines. Few false positive ¹⁸F-DOPA PET findings are reported in the literature in patients with suspected PG. Kauhanen et al. described one patient with suspected PG recurrence and increased ¹⁸F-DOPA uptake in the right adrenal; histological verification showed a normal adrenal gland [25]. Timmers

et al. reported one patient with a gastrointestinal stromal tumor which was visualized by ¹⁸F-DOPA PET [28]; finally, Luster et al. described an adrenal mass with a mildly intense ¹⁸F-DOPA uptake, but clinical follow-up revealed no evidence of pheochromocytoma [29].

The included studies were statistically heterogeneous in their estimates of sensitivity. This heterogeneity is likely to arise through diversity in methodological aspects between different studies (Table 2 and 3). For example, some Authors used carbidopa pretreatment before ¹⁸F-DOPA PET examination; this drug, decreasing decarboxylation and subsequent renal clearance of DOPA may be used to increase the tumor to background uptake ratio; nevertheless, carbidopa pretreatment should have a low influence on the number of PG lesions depicted by ¹⁸F-DOPA PET, because these tumours usually have high ¹⁸F-DOPA uptake [5,18].

The baseline differences among the patients in the included studies (Table 1) may have contributed to the observed heterogeneity of the results too. However, such variability was accounted for in a random effect model.

A limitation of our analysis is the lack of the calculation of pooled sensitivity and specificity of ¹⁸F-DOPA PET or PET/CT in different forms of PG, for example adrenal *vs* extra-adrenal, sympathetic *vs* parasympathetic, functioning *vs* non-functioning, inherited *vs* sporadic, metastatic *vs* non-metastatic tumours; in fact, the frequent mixing of these forms of PG in the patient population of the included studies hampered the data extraction and the separate calculation of diagnostic performance of ¹⁸F-DOPA PET in such groups.

Nevertheless, according to the literature, ¹⁸F-DOPA PET and PET/CT seem to be accurate methods in both adrenal [22, 25, 26, 29] and extra-adrenal [23, 24, 30, 31], sympathetic [22, 25-27] and parasympathetic [23, 24, 30, 31], functioning [27] and non-functioning [23, 26, 31], metastatic and non-metastatic tumors [24, 28, 32]. Furthermore, ¹⁸F-DOPA PET and PET/CT seem to be accurate methods in both sporadic and inherited PG [27, 30], except in SDHB-related PG [28].

Finally, based on its high sensitivity and specificity, ¹⁸F-DOPA PET may be considered the first-line tracer in the diagnostic work-up of PG. Currently, the literature focusing on the use of ¹⁸F-

DOPA PET and PET/CT in PG remains limited; thus, further large multicenter studies will be necessary to substantiate the diagnostic accuracy of ¹⁸F-DOPA PET and PET/CT in patients with PG.

Conclusions

In patients with suspected PG ¹⁸F-DOPA PET and PET/CT demonstrated high sensitivity and specificity. ¹⁸F-DOPA PET and PET/CT are accurate methods in this setting. Nevertheless, possible sources of false negative results should be kept in mind.

References

- 1) Solcia E, Kloppel G, Sobin L. Histological typing of the endocrine tumours. 2nd ed. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer; 2000.
- Goldstein RE, O'Neill JA Jr, Holcomb GW III, Morgan WM III, Neblett WW III, Oates JA, et al. Clinical experience over 48 years with pheochromocytoma. Ann Surg 1999; 229:755-764.
- 3) Havekes B, King K, Lai EW, Romijn JA, Corssmit EP, Pacak K. New imaging approaches to phaeochromocytomas and paragangliomas. Clin Endocrinol 2010; 72:137-145.
- 4) Rufini V, Calcagni ML, Baum RP. Imaging of neuroendocrine tumors. Semin Nucl Med 2006; 36:228-247.
- 5) Taïeb D, Rubello D, Al-Nahhas A, Calzada M, Marzola MC, Hindié E. Modern PET imaging for paragangliomas: Relation to genetic mutations. Eur J Surg Oncol 2011; 37:662-668.
- 6) Brink I, Hoegerle S, Klisch J, Bley TA. Imaging of pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma. Fam Cancer 2005; 4:61-68.

- 7) Jager PL, Chirakal R, Marriott CJ, Brouwers AH, Koopmans KP, Gulenchyn KY. 6-L-18F-fluorodihydroxyphenylalanine PET in neuroendocrine tumors: basic aspects and emerging clinical applications. J Nucl Med 2008; 49:573-586.
- 8) Eisenhofer G. The role of neuronal and extraneuronal plasma membrane transporters in the inactivation of peripheral catecholamines. Pharmacol Ther 2001; 91:35-62.
- 9) Bergström M, Eriksson B, Oberg K, Sundin A, Ahlström H, Lindner KJ, et al. In-vivo demonstration of enzyme activity in endocrine pancreatic tumors: decarboxylation of carbon-11-DOPA to carbon-11-dopamine. J Nucl Med 1996; 37:32-37.
- 10) Whiting PF, Weswood ME, Rutjes AW, Reitsma JB, Bossuyt PN, Kleijnen J. Evaluation of QUADAS, a tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. BMC Med Res Methodol 2006; 6:9.
- 11) Zamora J, Abraira V, Muriel A, Khan K, Coomarasamy A. Meta-DiSc: a software for metaanalysis of test accuracy data. BMC Med Res Methodol 2006; 6:31.
- 12) Levine DS, Metzger DL, Nadel HR, Oviedo A, Adam MJ, Skarsgard E. Novel use of F-DOPA PET/CT imaging in a child with paraganglioma/pheochromocytoma syndrome. Pediatr Radiol 2011 May 13. Doi:10.1007/s00247-011-2109-0.
- 13) Grassi I, Nanni C, Vicennati V, Castellucci P, Allegri V, Montini GC, et al. I-123 MIBG scintigraphy and 68Ga-DOTANOC PET/CT negative but F-18 DOPA PET/CT positive pheochromocytoma: a case report. Clin Nucl Med 2011;36:124-126.
- 14) King KS, Whatley MA, Alexopoulos DK, Reynolds JC, Chen CC, Mattox DE, et al. The use of functional imaging in a patient with head and neck paragangliomas. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2010;95:481-482.
- 15) Fiebrich HB, Brouwers AH, van Bergeijk L, van den Berg G. Image in endocrinology. Localization of an adrenocorticotropin-producing pheochromocytoma using 18F-dihydroxyphenylalanine positron emission tomography. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2009; 94:748-749.

- 16) Mackenzie IS, Gurnell M, Balan KK, Simpson H, Chatterjee K, Brown MJ. The use of 18-fluoro-dihydroxyphenylalanine and 18-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography scanning in the assessment of metaiodobenzylguanidine-negative phaeochromocytoma. Eur J Endocrinol 2007;157:533-537.
- 17) Brink I, Schaefer O, Walz M, Neumann HP. Fluorine-18 DOPA PET imaging of paraganglioma syndrome. Clin Nucl Med 2006; 31:39-41.
- 18) Timmers HJ, Hadi M, Carrasquillo JA, Chen CC, Martiniova L, Whatley M, et al. The effects of carbidopa on uptake of 6-18F-fluoro-L-DOPA in PET of pheochromocytoma and extraadrenal abdominal paraganglioma. J Nucl Med 2007;48:1599-1606.
- 19) Boedeker CC, Neumann HP, Maier W, Bausch B, Schipper J, Ridder GJ. Malignant head and neck paragangliomas in SDHB mutation carriers. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2007; 137:126-129.
- 20) Boedeker CC, Neumann HP, Ridder GJ, Maier W, Schipper J. Paragangliomas in patients with mutations of the SDHD gene. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2005; 132:467-470.
- 21) Bausch B, Boedeker CC, Berlis A, Brink I, Cybulla M, Walz MK, et al. Genetic and clinical investigation of pheochromocytoma: a 22-year experience, from Freiburg, Germany to international effort. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2006; 1073:122-137.
- 22) Hoegerle S, Nitzsche E, Altehoefer C, Ghanem N, Manz T, Brink I, et al. Pheochromocytomas: detection with 18F DOPA whole body PET--initial results. Radiology. 2002; 222:507-512.
- 23) Hoegerle S, Ghanem N, Altehoefer C, Schipper J, Brink I, Moser E, Neumann HP. 18F-DOPA positron emission tomography for the detection of glomus tumours. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2003; 30:689-694.
- 24) Taïeb D, Tessonnier L, Sebag F, Niccoli-Sire P, Morange I, Colavolpe C, et al. The role of 18F-FDOPA and 18F-FDG-PET in the management of malignant and multifocal phaeochromocytomas. Clin Endocrinol 2008; 69:580-586.

- 25) Kauhanen S, Seppänen M, Ovaska J, Minn H, Bergman J, Korsoff P, et al. The clinical value of [18F]fluoro-dihydroxyphenylalanine positron emission tomography in primary diagnosis, staging, and restaging of neuroendocrine tumors. Endocr Relat Cancer 2009; 16:255-265.
- 26) Imani F, Agopian VG, Auerbach MS, Walter MA, Imani F, Benz MR, et al. 18F-FDOPA PET and PET/CT accurately localize pheochromocytomas. J Nucl Med 2009;50:513-519.
- 27) Fiebrich HB, Brouwers AH, Kerstens MN, Pijl ME, Kema IP, de Jong JR, et al. 6-[F-18] Fluoro-L-dihydroxyphenylalanine positron emission tomography is superior to conventional imaging with (123) I-metaiodobenzylguanidine scintigraphy, computer tomography, and magnetic resonance imaging in localizing tumors causing catecholamine excess. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2009; 94:3922-3930.
- 28) Timmers HJ, Chen CC, Carrasquillo JA, Whatley M, Ling A, Havekes B, et al. Comparison of 18F-fluoro-L-DOPA, 18F-fluoro-deoxyglucose, and 18F-fluorodopamine PET and 123I-MIBG scintigraphy in the localization of pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2009;94:4757-4767.
- 29) Luster M, Karges W, Zeich K, Pauls S, Verburg FA, Dralle H, et al. Clinical value of 18F-fluorodihydroxyphenylalanine positron emission tomography/computed tomography (18F-DOPA PET/CT) for detecting pheochromocytoma. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2010;37:484-493.
- 30) Fottner C, Helisch A, Anlauf M, Rossmann H, Musholt TJ, Kreft A, et al. 6-18F-fluoro-L-dihydroxyphenylalanine positron emission tomography is superior to 123I-metaiodobenzyl-guanidine scintigraphy in the detection of extraadrenal and hereditary pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas: correlation with vesicular monoamine transporter expression. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2010; 95:2800-2810.
- 31) Charrier N, Deveze A, Fakhry N, Sebag F, Morange I, Gaborit B, et al. Comparison of [111In]pentetreotide-SPECT and [18F]FDOPA-PET in the localization of extra-adrenal

- paragangliomas: the case for a patient-tailored use of nuclear imaging modalities. Clin Endocrinol 2011;74:21-29.
- 32) Rufini V, Treglia G, Castaldi P, Perotti G, Calcagni ML, Corsello SM, et al. Comparison of 123I-MIBG SPECT-CT and 18F-DOPA PET-CT in the evaluation of patients with known or suspected recurrent paraganglioma. Nucl Med Commun 2011;32:575-582.