AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Enzalutamide in prostate cancer after chemotherapy.

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/130027> since

Published version:

DOI:10.1056/NEJMc1212940

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

CORRESPONDENCE

Enzalutamide in Prostate Cancer after Chemotherapy

TO THE EDITOR: In the randomized trial reported by Scher et al. (Sept. 27 issue),¹ the administration of enzalutamide, an androgen-receptor–signaling inhibitor, was associated with an increased incidence of headache, as compared with placebo, in patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer. Androgens are implicated in the pathogenesis of migraine; thus, it is important to understand whether the headache associated with enzalutamide could be classified as migraine. Moreover, a deeper understanding of the pathophysiology of this side effect is clinically relevant, since a relationship between migraine and the presence of either hot flashes or seizures has been described previously.^{2,3} Migraine and epilepsy have pathophysiological characteristics in common,³ so patients who have migraine during enzalutamide therapy may be at increased risk for seizures. Notably, headache was not reported as a clinically relevant symptom in patients with cas-

tration-resistant prostate cancer who received abiraterone,⁴ a drug that deeply reduces circulating androgen levels. Abiraterone was administered in association with prednisone, and glucocorticoids are efficacious in preventing migraine.⁵ It would be interesting to know whether glucocorticoids were used in the management of enzalutamide-induced headache and whether they were effective.

Alfredo Berruti, M.D.

University of Brescia
Brescia, Italy
alfredo.berruti@gmail.com

Daniele Generali, M.D.

Istituti Ospitalieri
Cremona, Italy

Marco Tampellini, M.D.

University of Turin
Orbassano, Italy

Dr. Berruti reports being an advisory board member for, and receiving consulting fees from, Astellas Pharma and Janssen Pharmaceuticals. No other potential conflict of interest relevant to this letter was reported.

THIS WEEK'S LETTERS

- 2448 Enzalutamide in Prostate Cancer after Chemotherapy
- 2449 Understanding Low Sugar from NICE-SUGAR
- 2450 PTEN, Obesity, and Insulin Sensitivity
- 2451 Glucose in the ICU
- 2453 Care after Medicaid Expansions
- 2454 Bending the Health Care Cost Curve
- 2456 Dermal Swellings and Ocular Injury after Exposure to Reindeer

1. Scher HI, Fizazi K, Saad F, et al. Increased survival with enzalutamide in prostate cancer after chemotherapy. *N Engl J Med* 2012;367:1187-97.
2. MacGregor EA. Perimenopausal migraine in women with vasomotor symptoms. *Maturitas* 2012;71:79-82.
3. Rogawski MA. Common pathophysiologic mechanisms in migraine and epilepsy. *Arch Neurol* 2008;65:709-14.
4. de Bono JS, Logothetis CJ, Molina A, et al. Abiraterone and increased survival in metastatic prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2011;364:1995-2005.
5. Colman I, Friedman BW, Brown MD, et al. Parenteral dexamethasone for acute severe migraine headache: meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials for preventing recurrence. *BMJ* 2008;336:1359-61.

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc1212940

THE AUTHORS REPLY: In AFFIRM (A Study Evaluating the Efficacy and Safety of the Investigational Drug MDV3100), data on the adverse events of

migraine and headache were collected separately. Of the 800 patients treated with enzalutamide, 3 (0.4%) were reported to have had a migraine. Headaches were reported in 93 patients treated with enzalutamide (11.6%) and in 22 of the 399 patients treated with placebo (5.5%). In the enzalutamide group, the majority of reports were for grade 1 headache that did not require treatment. No patient was treated for headache with glucocorticoids. One patient reporting seizure in AFFIRM reported a headache 5 months before the report of seizure.

Berruti et al. state that headaches were not reported as a relevant symptom in patients receiving abiraterone acetate plus prednisone in a recent phase 3 study.¹ However, the clinical review of abiraterone acetate by the Food and Drug Administration (new drug application number, 202379) reported a similar frequency of headache in the two study groups (11.9% of patients in the abiraterone-plus-prednisone group and 10.7% of those in the placebo-plus-prednisone group).²

Overall, these data do not support the suggestion by Berruti et al. that the headaches observed in the enzalutamide study reflect migraines, nor that patients who had a headache during treatment with enzalutamide are at increased risk for seizure.

Howard I. Scher, M.D.

Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center
New York, NY
scherh@mskcc.org

Johann S. de Bono, M.B., Ch.B., Ph.D.

Royal Marsden Hospital
Sutton, United Kingdom

for the AFFIRM Investigators

Since publication of their article, the authors report no further potential conflict of interest.

1. de Bono JS, Logothetis CJ, Molina A, et al. Abiraterone and increased survival in metastatic prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2011;364:1995-2005.

2. Food and Drug Administration. Clinical review: abiraterone acetate (http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2011/202379Orig1s000MedR.pdf).

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc1212940

Understanding Low Sugar from NICE-SUGAR

TO THE EDITOR: In his editorial about the Normoglycemia in Intensive Care Evaluation–Survival Using Glucose Algorithm Regulation (NICE-SUGAR) study,¹ Hirsch (Sept. 20 issue)² declares, “For surgical patients, especially those who have undergone cardiac procedures, hospitals that can safely achieve lower targets should do so.” No justification for this statement is provided. Concerns exist regarding the generalizability of studies of glycemic control in other populations of patients treated in intensive care units (ICUs) that have shown either harm¹ or no benefit³ and regarding both the generalizability and applicability of studies that have shown benefit.⁴ Accordingly, the effect of maintaining the blood sugar levels of surgical patients admitted to the ICU below those of the control group in the NICE-SUGAR study¹ remains uncertain. We believe the targeting of blood glucose levels below that of the control group in this study should occur only in the context of well-designed clinical trials. To do otherwise exposes surgical patients admitted to the ICU to a therapy of uncertain benefit and that is associated with harm in other ICU patient populations.

It also promotes the inefficient use of valuable health care resources at a time when the *Journal* is fostering debate around this challenging issue.⁵

Craig French, M.B., B.S.

Forbes McGain, M.B., B.S.

Western Hospital
Footscray, VIC, Australia
craig.french@wh.org.au

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this letter was reported.

1. The NICE-SUGAR Study Investigators. Hypoglycemia and risk of death in critically ill patients. *N Engl J Med* 2012;367:1108-18.

2. Hirsch IB. Understanding low sugar from NICE-SUGAR. *N Engl J Med* 2012;367:1150-2.

3. Agus MSD, Steil GM, Wypij D, et al. Tight glycemic control versus standard care after pediatric cardiac surgery. *N Engl J Med* 2012;367:1208-19.

4. Van den Berghe G, Wouters P, Weekers F, et al. Intensive insulin therapy in critically ill patients. *N Engl J Med* 2001;345:1359-67.

5. Neumann PJ. What we talk about when we talk about health care costs. *N Engl J Med* 2012;366:585-6.

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc1212624

THE EDITORIALIST REPLIES: French and McGain bring up an important point. The most recent