AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Gait characterization using dynamic skeleton acquisition

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/140701> since 2015-12-11T15:06:49Z

Publisher:

IEEE

Published version:

DOI:10.1109/MMSP.2013.6659329

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This is an author version of the contribution published on:

Elena. Gianaria and Nello Balossino and Marco Grangetto and
Maurizio Lucenteforte. Gait characterization using dynamic skeleton
acquisition. *IEEE 15th International Workshop on Multimedia Signal
Processing*, 2013, 10.1109/MMSP.2013.6659329.

The definitive version is available at:

<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/login.jsp?tp=&arnumber=6659329>

Gait Characterization Using Dynamic Skeleton Acquisition

Elena Gianaria, Nello Balossino, Marco Grangetto, Maurizio Lucenteforte

Dipartimento di Informatica, Università degli Studi di Torino
Corso Svizzera 185, 10149 Torino, ITALY
first.lastname@di.unito.it

Abstract—Human gait is an important biometric feature for automatic people recognition. Biometric methodologies are generally intrusive and require the collaboration of the subject in order to perform accurate data acquisition. Gait, instead, can be captured at a distance and without collaboration. This makes it an unobtrusive method for recognizing people in video surveillance systems. In this paper we propose a method to characterize walking gait using three-dimensional skeleton information acquired by the Microsoft Kinect sensor. A set of static and dynamic features correlated to human gait are extracted by the estimated skeleton joint positions. Moreover, we proposed to describe joints positions in a coordinate reference system oriented according to the walking direction to better represents the movement of human body. Using unsupervised clustering over a set of 20 subjects we analyze the effectiveness of the selected features in discriminating people gaits. It turns out that a few dynamic parameters involving the movement of knees, elbows and head are good candidates for robust gait characterization.

I. INTRODUCTION

Systems for automatic identification of persons have important applications in many fields, such as access control, surveillance and forensic evaluations. Human recognition can be performed using biometric techniques based on physiological characteristics like iris, hand, face, fingerprint and on typical behaviors like handwriting or gait. Most of biometric techniques require expensive technologies, besides the cooperation of the subject. On the other hand, in surveillance application or forensic analysis, collaboration from the subject may not be possible or expected; in the forensic case his/her awareness is not even desired to avoid biasing the acquisition. Therefore, the design of gait recognition methods using non intrusive data acquisition, e.g. not requiring the person to wear markers or follow particular examination procedures, are of paramount interest. Moreover, especially in video surveillance application, it is very important to perform data acquisition remotely using cheap sensors.

As a consequence, in this study we selected the Microsoft Kinect sensor as a widespread and cheap technology able to monitor body motion and gestures and satisfying the re-

quirements discussed above. The Microsoft Kinect, originally conceived in 2010 as a peripheral for the Xbox 360 gaming console, has recently attracted a lot of interest from the scientific community. Given its potential, in 2012 Microsoft has produced a new sensor expressively devoted to scientific research.

Kinect is a low-cost, non-intrusive system provided with an RGB camera, an infrared (IR) emitter and an IR depth sensor allowing to capture depth image that measures the distance between an object and the sensor. It also includes a multi-array microphone to record audio as well as to find the location of the sound source and the direction of the audio wave. Moreover, it comprises a tilt motor that changes of $\pm 27^\circ$ the vertical range of the field of view (with a viewing angle of 43° vertical by 57° horizontal). Exploiting depth information one is able to extract in real time the skeleton data [1] in a map of 20 body joints as shown in Fig. 1.

The major contributions of our work are the definition and analysis of a set of features act to describing human gait. Such features can be extracted using the position of the above mentioned skeleton joints. Moreover, a proper transformation of the sensor reference system is proposed to get more immediate representation and characterization of joints trajectories. Our experimental analysis on a set of 20 subjects show that a few important gait characteristics are very effective in discriminating among different walking behaviors. Indeed, using a simple unsupervised clustering algorithm we show that is possible to characterize people with reasonable accuracy. In particular, we found out that some gait parameters related to the dynamic behavior of the knees, elbows and head play a key role for gait characterization.

The paper is organized as follows. Sect. II presents an overview of related work in gait recognition systems. In Sect. III the proposed approach is presented in details, while in Sect. IV our experiments and the achieved results are discussed. Our conclusion and future work are outlined in Sect. V.

II. RELATED WORK

Gait recognition is a relatively new biometric technique [2], [3] that gained in recent years more interest from Computer Vision community, due to its advantages compared to other

Fig. 1. Diagram of the set of joints in the skeleton data.

biometrics [4], [5]. There are two main approaches for recognizing gait: *model-based* and *model-free* [6]. Model-based approaches describe human body by using a stick figure and a set of joints. During walking it is possible to get some parameters like trajectories of joints and angles between them, length of limbs, and other data useful for classification. This is a robust approach but suffers from some drawbacks, due to the difficulty in defining the model and estimating parameters, which may require high computational efforts [4], [5].

The model-free approaches, instead, process the silhouette of the walking person in order to extract useful features for characterizing gait. Thanks to low computational costs and easy implementation, this is a less consuming technique, when compared to model-based approaches. On the other hand, this method gives good results only if the input data are noiseless.

In our work, we propose a model-based technique using data captured by the Microsoft Kinect sensor, that overcomes some drawbacks typical of these approaches. In fact, creates a body model represented by a skeleton made up of 20 joints, tracked it in real time. Thanks to these features, in recent years the use of Kinect for gait recognition purposes has gained considerable success, as shown in [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], to mention a few.

Gait analysis is of interest not only for biometric purposes; it could also be relevant in video surveillance systems [12], [13], [14], forensics [15], [16], [17] and health care applications. Other application fields that may have benefit from gait analysis are in-home rehabilitation therapy [18], monitoring of elderly population in order to assess the fall risk or medical frailty [19], [20], [21], and others.

III. PROPOSED METHOD

In this paper we propose a model-based approach to gait description based on the skeleton model provided by Kinect. As already mentioned, Kinect computes and tracks human skeleton in real-time. The sensor is able to manage two subjects in its field of view, but in our experiments we tracked

TABLE I
SKELETON JOINT NOTATION.

Joint Label	Joint Type	Joint Label	Joint Type
J_0	Hip_Center	J_{10}	Wrist_Right
J_1	Spine	J_{11}	Hand_Right
J_2	Shoulder_Center	J_{12}	Hip_Left
J_3	Head	J_{13}	Knee_Left
J_4	Shoulder_Left	J_{14}	Ankle_Left
J_5	Elbow_Left	J_{15}	Foot_Left
J_6	Wrist_Left	J_{16}	Hip_Right
J_7	Hand_Left	J_{17}	Knee_Right
J_8	Shoulder_Right	J_{18}	Ankle_Right
J_9	Elbow_Right	J_{19}	Foot_Right

a single subject for simplicity. Kinect records RGB and depth videos and extracts the skeleton map. In this work we exploit only this latter information consisting in:

- the positions of the 20 joints of the skeleton model shown in Fig 1; the joints coordinates are given in the 3-D coordinate system xyz centered on the sensor, with x, y and z representing the horizontal, vertical and depth direction as shown in Fig. 2;
- the floor-clipping-plane vector, which contains the coefficients of an estimated floor-plane equation in the coordinate system of the sensor. The plane is given in the canonical form $Ax + By + Cz + D = 0$, where (A, B, C) is the normal vector to the plane and D is the height of the camera center with respect to the floor. This plane is an estimate of the floor plane where the subject is walking, whose equation clearly depends on the sensor tilt;
- a time function, that represents the corresponding frame number, being the frame rate approximately 30 frames per second (fps).

In the following the joints will be referred to using the notation reported in Tab. I. A rich set of biometric measurements can be defined thanks to the knowledge of the positions of the 20 joints. Being the joint positions the output of complex computer vision system, the obtained estimates are potentially affected by measurement noise. To limit noise effects the time series corresponding to the positions of a given joint is processed by a median filter. The size of the median filter has been selected so as to smooth out anomalous points without impacting on the dynamic features of the time series.

In the following we will distinguish between static biometric parameters, e.g., height, and dynamic gait parameters that depend on the dynamic and mutual positions of one or more joints.

A. Static parameters

Using skeleton data, we extract standard biometric measures. In particular, we compute the length of limbs (arms and legs) calculating the distance between the relevant joints. We estimate the length of the arm α , averaging all the left and right arm lengths for each subject acquisition. The same definition is used to associate a leg length β to each acquisition trial.

Fig. 2. The Kinect 3D coordinate system.

Furthermore, we estimate the average value of the subject height γ , evaluated as the summation of all the mutual distances between pairs of joints going from the head to the left (or right) foot.

B. Dynamic parameters

Since our objective is gait characterization we clearly need to extract a set of measures related to the dynamic behavior of the joints.

First of all, we extract standard gait features such as stride length δ and the walking speed ν . The stride length is defined as the distance between two stationary positions of the same foot while walking. To this end we have implemented a simple stride detection mechanism based on the detection of left (and right) foot movements. The foot is considered in movement if its position has changed (with a certain tolerance d_{tol}) with respect to its position in previous fn frames. The stride length is estimated as the distance between two stationary positions of a left (or right) foot. The details of the procedure followed to estimate the stride length are given in Algorithm 1. Based

Algorithm 1 Stride length estimation

```

if frameNumber is multiple of fn then
  if  $|previousFootPos - currentFootPos| > d_{tol}$  then
    if !footIsMoving then
      footIsMoving  $\leftarrow$  True;
      startFootPos  $\leftarrow$  previousFootPos;
    end if
  else
    if footIsMoving then
      footIsMoving  $\leftarrow$  False;
      strideLength  $\leftarrow$   $d(startFootPos, previousFootPos)$ 
    end if
  end if
  previousFootPos  $\leftarrow$  currentFootPos;
end if

```

on our experimental analysis we set $fn = 3$ and $d_{tol} = 5$ cm. The gait feature that in the following will be referred to as δ is computed as the average of all the available estimates on both right and left stride lengths. The walking speed ν is then obtained as the ratio between the distance covered by the

center of mass during a single stride and its duration. Also in this case we compute a unique gait feature averaging all the acquired speed estimates.

Beside standard stride length and speed characterization is quite intuitive that gait can be characterized by a number of different behaviors involving the movement of the whole body, e.g. how much one oscillates with the head or the shoulders, the distance between the legs, etc. To investigate the impact of such features we define a proper transformation of Kinect coordinates system so as to be able to project the joint movements onto 3 planes that are respectively parallel and normal with respect to the walking direction. Given the limited depth range allowed by the Kinect any subject can be tracked only for a limited amount of time (typically corresponding to three or four strides). It is quite reasonable to assume that, during such limited observation period, the subject walks straight. This allows us to define a unique walking direction per each acquisition.

As a consequence, a novel system of coordinates where the plane xz coincides with the floor-clipping-plane estimated by the Kinect, axis y is the normal vector to the floor and axis z points in the walking direction, is defined. The unit vector on axis y is therefore $n_y = (A, B, C)$. Then we define the walking direction as the line described by the movement of the center of mass. To define the unit vector n_z of axis z we project such direction on the floor plane. To make the estimation of the walking direction automatic and robust a few positions of the center of mass have been averaged at the beginning and end of the observed walk, respectively.

Finally, the missing orthogonal x axis is found defining the normal unit vector $n_x = n_y \times n_z$. Such new orthonormal triple defines the rotation matrix

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} n_x \\ n_y \\ n_z \end{bmatrix}$$

from the Kinect coordinates system to the gait coordinate system.

From now on we consider all the joints positions expressed in the novel gait coordinates reference system. During experimentation we noted that different subjects exhibit different patterns of certain joint positions observed on the plane normal to the walking direction, i.e. xy plane. As an example, on the plane xy one can observe the lateral oscillation of the head or the movement performed with the hands. To characterize the dynamic behavior on plane xy we computes a set of features corresponding to average value and variance of the joint positions along x (and y) axis as follows:

$$\mu_{k,x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n J_{k,i}(x)$$

$$\mu_{k,y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n J_{k,i}(y)$$

$$\sigma_{k,x}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (J_{k,i}(x) - \mu_{k,x})^2$$

TABLE II
GAIT FEATURES NOTATION.

Label	Features
α	Length of the arms
β	Length of the legs
γ	Height of the person
δ	The strides length
ν	The walking speed
ϵ	Mean distance between the elbows
κ	Mean distance between the knees
η	Mean distance between the hands
λ	Mean distance between the ankles
$\sigma_{J_{3,x}}^2$	Variance of Head movement in x direction
$\sigma_{J_{3,y}}^2$	Variance of Head movement in y direction
$\sigma_{J_{4,x}}^2$	Variance of left Shoulder movement in x direction
$\sigma_{J_{8,x}}^2$	Variance of right Shoulder movement in x direction
$\sigma_{J_{6,y}}^2$	Variance of left Elbow movement in y direction
$\sigma_{J_{9,y}}^2$	Variance of right Elbow movement in y direction
$\sigma_{J_{13,y}}^2$	Variance of left Knee movement in y direction
$\sigma_{J_{17,y}}^2$	Variance of right Knee movement in y direction

$$\sigma_{k,y}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (J_{k,i}(y) - \mu_{k,y})^2$$

where $J_{k,i}$ is the position of the k -th joint at time i with $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Finally, we extract as other possible characteristics of human gait the mutual distance between corresponding left and right joints, such as elbows, hands, knees and ankles. We decided not to use feet positions because we noticed that these are very critical points to be tracked due to occlusion and noise. To get a single measurement per acquisition we compute global averages of such distances.

All the features analyzed and evaluated in the following experimental section have been collected in Tab. II to easy the task of the reader.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The goal of our experimental analysis is to find out which of the parameters defined in Sect. III are more appropriate for gait description and classification.

To this end, we acquired the skeleton model of 20 subjects using Kinect for Windows and SDK 1.6. In order to rank the effectiveness of the gait features for recognition application, we selected 5 pairs of people having compatible heights. The subjects comprises 12 males and 8 females. The Kinect sensor has been placed at about one meter from the ground and every subject has been asked to walk towards the camera in a natural manner. Every acquisition has been repeated 10 times, thus recording a total of 200 gait samples. Each sample has been analyzed according to method described in Sect. III. In particular joints positions have been filtered with median filter with size 7 and all the gait features shown in Tab. II have been calculated.

In Fig. 3 a sample acquisition is shown where the trajectories described by the skeleton joints during time are projected on the xy (front) and zy (sagittal) planes, respectively.

Clustering experiments have been worked out using the K -means unsupervised algorithm [22] with Euclidean distance. Given K desired clusters, K -means defines K centroids and refines them iteratively. At each iteration data samples are clustered according to their distance with respect to the centroid. In turn centroids are updated based on the resulting clusters.

First of all, we tested the ability to discriminate between two subjects in a pair using K -means with $K = 2$. To this end we have formed different sets of features starting with all static characteristics, e.g. height, arms and legs and then we have included more dynamic parameters. The goal is to isolate those features that play an important role in gait characterization. In Tab. III the results achieved using different combinations of features are shown. The tested sets of features have been selected by taking into account the human locomotion. Producer accuracy, i.e., the ratio between correctly classified samples over number of trials, has been employed as a metric of clustering performance. The third column of Tab. III show the average producer accuracy obtained on all the 5 pairs of subjects. It can be noted that feature sets 1 to 7, that use different combinations of static and dynamic characteristics, exhibit generally lower clustering accuracy than the other sets including only dynamic parameters. The set 8, using only ϵ and κ , i.e. the average distance between elbows and knees, achieves a much higher accuracy than previous combinations. Adding ankles and hands average distances (see sets 9, 10, 11) does not help because hands may be less important gait characteristics whereas Kinect ankles tracking is not precise. Finally, all other attempts aiming at improving pair clustering accuracy based on other dynamic features, e.g. average and variance of selected joints, exhibit minimal variations (visible only on fractional part) with respect to combination 8.

Fig. 4 shows an example of the dispersion of 20 gait samples of one pair of subjects with respect to the corresponding centroids in the plane $\epsilon\kappa$, corresponding to the best clustering features. The top figure refers to a typical case, whereas bottom figure is the worst experimented situation. It can be noted that the two pairs can be correctly discriminated in such a plane.

In the second set of experiments we have followed the same clustering strategy on the whole dataset, comprising 200 samples of 20 different subjects. Executing K -means with $K = 20$, the clustering accuracy has been obtained for various features combinations as shown in Tab. III (fourth column). In this trickier clustering task the best producer accuracy turns out to be about 50%, that is significantly better than chance (5%). The behavior of the different combinations of gait features is quite similar to that observed for pair classification. In particular, it is worth pointing out that again the domain ϵ , κ yields a high clustering accuracy. Furthermore, also the vertical and side variance of the head (set 12) and vertical variance of left and right knees (set 16, 20) seem to improve the results.

Fig. 3. Joint trajectories along time projected on xy front (left) and zy sagittal (right) planes.

TABLE III
CLUSTERING RESULTS (PRODUCER ACCURACY) ON SIMILAR PAIRS AND ALL 20 SUBJECTS.

Set	Features	Success Rate Pairs	Success Rate All Subject
1	α, β, γ	66%	45%
2	$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \nu$	70%	44%
3	$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \epsilon, \kappa$	66%	43%
4	$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \epsilon, \kappa, \sigma_{J_{3,x}}^2, \sigma_{J_{3,y}}^2$	66%	45%
5	$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \epsilon, \kappa, \sigma_{J_{3,x}}^2, \sigma_{J_{3,y}}^2, \sigma_{J_{4,x}}^2, \sigma_{J_{8,x}}^2$	66%	44%
6	$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \epsilon, \kappa, \sigma_{J_{3,x}}^2, \sigma_{J_{3,y}}^2, \sigma_{J_{13,y}}^2, \sigma_{J_{17,y}}^2$	66%	44%
7	$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \epsilon, \kappa, \sigma_{J_{3,x}}^2, \sigma_{J_{3,y}}^2, \sigma_{J_{4,x}}^2, \sigma_{J_{8,x}}^2, \sigma_{J_{13,y}}^2, \sigma_{J_{17,y}}^2$	66%	44%
8	ϵ, κ	88%	51%
9	$\epsilon, \kappa, \lambda$	73%	39%
10	ϵ, κ, η	67%	30%
11	$\epsilon, \kappa, \lambda, \eta$	67%	28%
12	$\epsilon, \kappa, \sigma_{J_{3,x}}^2, \sigma_{J_{3,y}}^2$	88%	52%
13	$\epsilon, \kappa, \sigma_{J_{3,x}}^2, \sigma_{J_{3,y}}^2, \sigma_{J_{4,x}}^2, \sigma_{J_{8,x}}^2$	88%	50%
14	$\epsilon, \kappa, \sigma_{J_{3,x}}^2, \sigma_{J_{3,y}}^2, \sigma_{J_{13,y}}^2, \sigma_{J_{17,y}}^2$	88%	50%
15	$\epsilon, \kappa, \sigma_{J_{3,x}}^2, \sigma_{J_{3,y}}^2, \sigma_{J_{4,x}}^2, \sigma_{J_{8,x}}^2, \sigma_{J_{13,y}}^2, \sigma_{J_{17,y}}^2$	88%	50%
16	$\epsilon, \kappa, \sigma_{J_{13,y}}^2, \sigma_{J_{17,y}}^2$	88%	51%
17	$\epsilon, \kappa, \sigma_{J_{5,y}}^2, \sigma_{J_{9,y}}^2$	88%	50%
18	$\epsilon, \kappa, \sigma_{J_{13,y}}^2, \sigma_{J_{17,y}}^2, \sigma_{J_{5,y}}^2, \sigma_{J_{9,y}}^2$	88%	49%
19	$\epsilon, \kappa, \sigma_{J_{13,y}}^2, \sigma_{J_{17,y}}^2, \sigma_{J_{4,x}}^2$	88%	49%
20	$\epsilon, \kappa, \sigma_{J_{13,y}}^2, \sigma_{J_{17,y}}^2, \sigma_{J_{8,x}}^2$	88%	51%

V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper we have introduced a rich set of features allowing one to characterize human gait by means of a tracked skeleton model. Our experiments, worked out with the Kinect sensor, show that a few features play a very important role in gait characterization. In particular, we have found out that some dynamic characteristics of gait related to the positions of the knees, elbows and head are very helpful in distinguishing different walking subjects. On going work is based on the application of mutual information [23] for the selection of most important gait characteristics. Future works are the design of a reliable gait recognition system for video-surveillance

and forensic applications. Moreover, for reproducibility of the experiments and comparison of research results we plan to build a public database of gait samples.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Shotton, T. Sharp, A. Kipman, A. Fitzgibbon, M. Finocchio, A. Blake, M. Cook, and R. Moore, "Real-time human pose recognition in parts from single depth images," *Commun. ACM*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 116–124, Jan. 2013. [Online]. Available: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2398356.2398381>
- [2] R. Bolle and S. Pankanti, *Biometrics, Personal Identification in Networked Society: Personal Identification in Networked Society*, A. K. Jain, Ed. Norwell, MA, USA: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1998.

Fig. 4. Sample dispersion in plane ϵ, κ and corresponding centroids of two pairs: typical (top) and worst (bottom) case.

- [3] L.-F. Liu, W. Jia, and Y.-H. Zhu, "Survey of gait recognition," in *Emerging Intelligent Computing Technology and Applications. With Aspects of Artificial Intelligence*, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, D.-S. Huang, K.-H. Jo, H.-H. Lee, H.-J. Kang, and V. Bevilacqua, Eds. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009, vol. 5755, pp. 652–659. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-04020-7_70
- [4] R. Urtasun and P. Fua, "3d tracking for gait characterization and recognition," in *In: Proc. IEEE Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition, Seoul, Korea, 2004*, pp. 17–22.
- [5] J. Wang, M. She, S. Nahavandi, and A. Kouzani, "A review of vision-based gait recognition methods for human identification," in *Proceedings of the 2010 International Conference on Digital Image Computing: Techniques and Applications*, ser. DICTA '10. Washington, DC, USA: IEEE Computer Society, 2010, pp. 320–327. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/DICTA.2010.62>
- [6] R. Zhang, C. Vogler, and D. Metaxas, "Human gait recognition," in *Proceedings of the 2004 Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshop (CVPRW'04) Volume 1 - Volume 01*, ser. CVPRW '04. Washington, DC, USA: IEEE Computer Society, 2004, pp. 18–. [Online]. Available: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1032632.1032909>
- [7] J. Preis, M. Kessel, M. Werner, and C. Linnhoff-Popien, "Gait recognition with kinect," in *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Kinect in Pervasive Computing*, 2012. [Online]. Available: http://noggnogg.com/pervasivekinect/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/Preis_GaitRecognition.pdf
- [8] A. Ball, D. Rye, F. Ramos, and M. Velonaki, "Unsupervised clustering of people from 'skeleton' data," in *Proceedings of the seventh annual ACM/IEEE international conference on Human-Robot Interaction*, ser. HRI '12. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2012, pp. 225–226. [Online]. Available: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2157689.2157767>
- [9] M. S. N. Kumar and R. V. Babu, "Human gait recognition using depth camera: a covariance based approach," in *Proceedings of the Eighth Indian Conference on Computer Vision, Graphics and Image Processing*, ser. ICVGIP '12. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2012, pp. 20:1–20:6. [Online]. Available: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2425333.2425353>
- [10] M. Gabel, R. Gilad-Bachrach, E. Renshaw, and A. Schuster, "Full body gait analysis with kinect," *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc*, vol. 2012, pp. 1964–7, 2012. [Online]. Available: <http://www.biomedsearch.com/nih/Full-body-gait-analysis-with/23366301.html>
- [11] B. C. Munsell, A. Temlyakov, C. Qu, and S. Wang, "Person identification using full-body motion and anthropometric biometrics from kinect videos," in *Proceedings of the 12th international conference on Computer Vision - Volume Part III*, ser. ECCV'12. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 2012, pp. 91–100. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-33885-4_10
- [12] R. Cucchiara, C. Grana, A. Prati, and R. Vezzani, "Computer vision system for in-house video surveillance," in *IEE Proceedings of Vision, Image and Signal Processing*, 2005.
- [13] M. Goffredo, I. Bouchrika, J. N. Carter, and M. S. Nixon, "Performance analysis for automated gait extraction and recognition in multi-camera surveillance," *Multimedia Tools Appl.*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 75–94, Oct. 2010. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11042-009-0378-5>
- [14] M. Popa, A. Koc, L. Rothkrantz, C. Shan, and P. Wiggers, "Kinect sensing of shopping related actions," in *Constructing Ambient Intelligence: Aml 2011 Workshops*, undefined, K. Van Laerhoven, and J. Gelissen, Eds., Amsterdam, Netherlands, 11 2011.
- [15] P. Allard, *Three-dimensional analysis of human locomotion*, ser. International Society Biomechanics series. Wiley, 1997. [Online]. Available: <http://books.google.it/books?id=Fs9qAAAAAAAJ>
- [16] P. K. Larsen, E. B. Simonsen, and N. Lynnerup, "Gait analysis in forensic medicine," in *Proc. Videometrics IX. Edited by Beraldin, J.-Angelo; Remondino, Fabio; Shortis, Mark R.. Proceedings of the SPIE, Volume 6491, pp. 64910M (2007).*, ser. Presented at the Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference, vol. 6491, Jan. 2007.
- [17] I. Bouchrika, M. Goffredo, J. Carter, and M. Nixon, "On using gait in forensic biometrics," *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 882–889, July 2011. [Online]. Available: <http://eprints.soton.ac.uk/272273/>
- [18] M. Nergui, Y. Yoshida, and W. Yu, "Human gait behavior interpretation by a mobile home healthcare robot," *Journal of Mechanics in Medicine and Biology*, vol. 12, no. 04, p. 1240021, 2012. [Online]. Available: <http://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/S0219519412400210>
- [19] S. Obdrzalek, G. Kurillo, F. Ofii, R. Bajcsy, E. Seto, H. Jimison, and M. Pavel, "Accuracy and robustness of kinect pose estimation in the context of coaching of elderly population," in *Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 2012 Annual International Conference of the IEEE*, 2012, pp. 1188–1193.
- [20] E. Stone and M. Skubic, "Passive in-home measurement of stride-to-stride gait variability comparing vision and kinect sensing," *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc*, vol. 2011, 2011.
- [21] C. Rougier, E. Auvinet, J. Rousseau, M. Mignotte, and J. Meunier, "Fall detection from depth map video sequences," in *Proceedings of the 9th international conference on Toward useful services for elderly and people with disabilities: smart homes and health telematics*, ser. ICOST'11. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 2011, pp. 121–128. [Online]. Available: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2026187.2026206>
- [22] J. B. Macqueen, "Some methods of classification and analysis of multivariate observations," in *Proceedings of the Fifth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, 1967, pp. 281–297.
- [23] H. Peng, F. Long, and C. Ding, "Feature selection based on mutual information: criteria of max-dependency, max-relevance, and min-redundancy," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 27, pp. 1226–1238, 2005.