



Allelopathic effects of Ambrosia artemisiifolia L. in the invasive process

This is the author's manuscript
Original Citation:
Availability:
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/141808 since 2016-07-05T17:44:59Z
Published version:
DOI:10.1016/j.cropro.2013.08.009
Terms of use:
Open Access
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

(Article begins on next page)



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This Accepted Author Manuscript (AAM) is copyrighted and published by Elsevier. It is posted here by agreement between Elsevier and the University of Turin. Changes resulting from the publishing process - such as editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms - may not be reflected in this version of the text. The definitive version of the text was subsequently published in CROP PROTECTION, 54, 2013, 10.1016/j.cropro.2013.08.009.

You may download, copy and otherwise use the AAM for non-commercial purposes provided that your license is limited by the following restrictions:

(1) You may use this AAM for non-commercial purposes only under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND license.

(2) The integrity of the work and identification of the author, copyright owner, and publisher must be preserved in any copy.

(3) You must attribute this AAM in the following format: Creative Commons BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/deed.en), 10.1016/j.cropro.2013.08.009

The definitive version is available at: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0261219413002081 1 Short title: Allelopathy of *Ambrosia artemisiifolia*

2	Potential allelopathic effects of Common ragweed (Ambrosia
3	artemisiifolia L.)
4	Francesco Vidotto, Franco Tesio and Aldo Ferrero
5	

6 Abstract

7 Ambrosia artemisiifolia (common ragweed), an annual native to North America, is now 8 present in many European countries where it causes summer hayfever and disturbs 9 several important crops. We investigated if common ragweed invasiveness could be 10 explained by its leaf tissue and root exudate alleopathic potential on indicator crops 11 (alfalfa, barley, corn, lettuce, tomato, and wheat), weeds (barnyardgrass, black nightshade, common purslane, large crabgrass), and common ragweed itself. Different 12 13 residue substrates were prepared for soil incorpration and trials were conducted under 14 both laboratory (1, 2, and 3 g residues /Parker dish) and greenhouse conditions (1.28 g 15 residues / pot). The effect of the preparations on the germination and growth of the 16 indicator crops and weeds were evaluated relative to soil previously used to cultivate 17 common raqweed.

Results showed tomato was the most sensitive indicator crop species as growth was reduced by more than 50% in both laboratory and greenhouse experiments. Lettuce crop root and shoot growth were also inhibited, but only when common ragweed residues, and not root exudates, were added to the substrate. Among the weeds, *E. crus-galli* was not affected by common ragweed while *D. sanguinalis* suffered a large germination reduction (90%) after incorporation of 3 g of residues.

Nomenclature: alfalfa, *Medicago sativa* L.; barley, *Hordeum vulgare* L.; barnyardgrass, *Echinochloa crus-galli* (L.) Beauv. ECHCG; black nightshade, *Solanum nigrum* L. SOLNI;
common purslane, *Portulaca oleracea* POROL; corn, *Zea mays* L.; Common ragweed, *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L.; large crabgrass, *Digitaria sanguinalis* (L.) Scop. DIGSA; lettuce, *Lactuca sativa* L.; pea, *Pisum sativum* L.; redroot pigweed,; tomato, *Lycopersicon*esculentum Mill.; wheat, *Triticum aestivum* L.;

31

Key words: Phitotoxicity; residue degradation; crop rotation, plant invasion, root exudates.
 33

34 Introduction

35 Common ragweed (Ambrosia artemisiifolia L.) is a herbaceous, annual plant that is native to North America and a pioneer dominant in abandoned croplands in several areas of the 36 37 United States. This species is often present as a weed in field crops such as maize, 38 soybean, and wheat (Bassett and Crompton 1979; Fumanal et al. 2008) and may grow exceptionally dense in fields plowed during the spring and subsequently abandoned 39 40 (Raynal and Bazzaz 1975). It is also a common weed found along roadsides, in urban 41 empty lots, and in other disturbed habitats (Lavoie et al. 2007). First reported in botantical 42 gardens in Europe during the latter half of the 1800s, common ragweed spead to several 43 European countries by the beginning of the 1900s (Chauvel et al. 2006; Vogl et al. 2008).

Many current objections to *A. artemisiifolia* center on its powerful provocation of pollen allergies (Wayne et al. 2002). The plant flowers in the northern hemisphere from mid-August until cooler weather arrives during with each plant can produce a great number of pollen grains (Rogers et al. 2006). The high allergenic potential coupled with its broad spread has caused health organizations to name common ragweed one of the most problematic invasive plants (Wayne et al. 2002).

50 Even though Ambrosia artemisiifolia is non-indigenous to several European plant 51 communities, little is known about the factors that characterize its invasive processes: 52 (Rabitsch and Essl 2006) its abiotic-limiting factors, the potential activity of its competitors, and its natural enemies. One such theory, the "enemy release hypothesis" (Keane and 53 54 Crawley 2002), postulates that invasiveness can result from a loss of natural enemies 55 during the invasion process while another, known as the "novel weapon allelopathy" theory 56 (Callaway and Ashehoug 2000), suggests that plant community evolution is speeded when subjected to allelo-chemicals produced by species that have not co-evolved (Warwick 57 58 1991). Fortunately, the allelopathic potential, defined as the suppressive activity of one 59 plant species on its neighbor plant(s) by toxic compound release, of the Asteraceae family 60 has been widely studied. In particular, the sensitivity of cereals seeded after crop species 61 belonging to this family (common sunflower) has largely been documented (Leather 1983). 62 Agricultural management programs that incorporate allelopathic plant residues through 63 rotational or cover crops is reported to have a positive environmental effect and is often 64 practiced by producers interested in reducing chemical usage (Tesio and Ferrero 2010).

A potential negative consequence of allelopathy is the production of toxic compounds by 65 66 non-native invasive species that unfavorably affect native communities (Vivanco et al. 67 2004). Noxious weeds may have an effect on other species through competition or the release of growth inhibitors. In this situation, native plants are unable to tolerate 68 69 compounds released by a non-native plant that has not co-evolved in the same 70 environment (Hua et al. 2005). This process of environmental modification causes 71 continual change to the relationship and composition among the species in an area until a 72 new equilibrium and a stable community is established.

Common ragweed is present throughout the northern part of Italy, where it is considered
 an annual summer crop weed after existing for decades in urban or disturbed areas and at
 field edges (Patracchini et al. 2011). Recently, this species has been reported to occur

after winter cereal field harvest, even if common ragweed seedlings have already emerged
into the cereal crop. After crop harvest, when light conditions and resource availability are
favorable for growth, plants are able to effect large amount of canopy, flower, and produce
seeds (Patracchini et al. 2011).

80 This study was designed to evaluate the potential phytotoxic effects of common ragweed 81 upon succeeding crops which are common in Italian field rotations. Experiments were 82 performed in both laboratory and greenhouse studies conducted in Italy. Using controlled 83 laboratory and greenhouse conditions, we assessed the impact of A. artemisiifolia upon 84 the germination and growth behavior of several crop and weed species after incorporating 85 common ragweed dried residues into the soil. We also attempted to simulate common field 86 conditions by performing greenhouse studies in a controlled environment to elucidate the 87 effects of root exudates upon plant growth.

88

89 Materials and Methods

90 Plant material

91 The study was conducted during 2007 – 2008 in the laboratory and greenhouse of the Dipartimento di Agronomia Selvicoltura e Gestione del Territorio at Grugliasco (Turin, Italy 92 93 - 45°03'53"N 7°35'38"E). Ambrosia artemisiifolia shoots and seeds were harvested during 94 2007 from a field heavily infested by the weed within the experimental site. Aboveground 95 tissues were collected in June 2007 from healthy individuals by cutting plants 10 cm above 96 the soil surface. The leaves were immediately separated from the stalks and dried in open 97 trays in the laboratory oven at 60°C. The resulting dried material was stored in tightly 98 closed plastic bags until needed in the experiment. Seeds were harvested by hand the 99 during the subsequent September and dried in open trays in the laboratory at room 100 temperature (22-25°C). Dried seeds were stored until needed in the refrigerator at +4°C. 4

101 The indicator crops included in the experiment were alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.), barley 102 (Ordeum vulgare L.), lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.), maize (Zea mays L.), tomato 103 (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.), and winter wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). The weeds 104 considered as indicator species in this study were common raqweed (A. artemisiifolia L.), large crabgrass (Digitaria sanguinalis (L.) Scop.), barnyardgrass (Echinochloa crus-galli 105 106 (L.) Beauv.), common purslane (Portulaca oleracea L.) and black nightshade (Solanum 107 nigrum L.). With the exception of A. artemisiifolia, all weed seeds were purchased from 108 Herbiseed¹.

109

110 Laboratory Experiment

111 Experiment 1

The potential to inhibit potential of dried common ragweed leaf tissue was studied by 112 assessing its impact on indicator species seed germination and radical and hypocotyl 113 114 elongation. The experiment was conducted using square plastic "Petri" dishes that 115 contained soil and plant residue mixtures as well as indicator seedlings in a modified 116 Parker bioassay (Weston 2005). Preparation of the experimental sbustrate began with 117 collection of sandy-loam, textured alluvium soil (Typic Udifluvents) from the Tetto Frati research station located in Carmagnola, Italy. Next, the soil was air dried, sifted, and 118 combined with fine silica sand (1:1 v/v) to allow increased water permeability during 119 120 bioassay preparation. Initially, 100 g of the soil mixture was placed in 100 x 100 x 15 mm 121 Parker dishes. The soil was then topped with varying amounts of chopped common 122 ragweed plant residues (1, 2, or 3 g), after which an additional 50 g of the soil mixture was 123 layered over the residues. Dishes were moistened with 35 ml of deionized water and a square piece of filter paper³ was placed on the soil surface of each dish. The control 124

treatment consisted of an inert, prewashed paper towel (1.0 g) cut into about 1.5 mm²
 pieces combined to the soil mixture.

127 Common ragweed, large crabgrass, barnyardgrass, common purslane, and black nightshade were all used as weed indicator species while alfalfa (cv. Prosementi), lettuce 128 129 (cv. Meraviglia d'inverno), tomato (cv. San marzano) and winter wheat (cv. Isengrain) were 130 used as crop indicator species. All weed and crop species were exposed to all treatments. 131 Ten seeds of each indicator species were placed uniformly in two separate but parallel 132 rows on the filter paper surface. The dishes were taped shut to maintain moisture and 133 encourage seed residue contact and were stacked and stored at an ambient temperature 134 of 26°C for 6 days in a germination box to promote downward root growth.

Total germination was assessed in two ways: a daily count of germinated seeds throughout the experiment and hypocotyl and radical length meaurement after six days. The experiment was arranged as a completely randomized design with four replicates, and the study was replicated twice. While experimental results were analyzed separately for each species, results were combined over runs.

140

141 Greenhouse Experiment

142 Experiment 2

Greenhouse experiments were conducted from June through September 2008 in the department experimental greenhouse at temperatures varying between 15 and 25°C. Pots (8 x 8 cm, 8 cm height) were filled with the same soil used for Experiment 1 that was collected at experimental research station Tetto Frati located at Carmagnola, Italy. To each pot was added 1.28 g (equivalent to 2 t /ha) of powdered common ragweed dried tissue residue and then it was thoroughly mixed. The amount used is similar to that used in other allelopathic speicies experiments reported in the literature for Asteraceae (DongZhi

et al. 2004a; DongZhi et al. 2004b; Hong et al. 2004; Khanh et al. 2005; Tesio et al. 2010;
Vidotto et al. 2008). The controls were pots filled with soil only.

152 The impact of common ragweed residues was evaluated based on the germination and growth of several crops: alfalfa, lettuce, tomato, and winter wheat. The seeds were planted 153 in pots devoted to a single indicator species at a rate of 9 seeds for alfalfa and winter 154 155 wheat and 12 seeds for lettuce and tomato. Immediately after seeding, the pots were 156 watered with a soluble fertilizer (NPK 21-10-20) solution. There after, the pots were 157 watered daily with deionized water to maintain field capacity. The pots were supported by 158 individual flower pot saucers beneath them to prevent contamination from the leaching of 159 other treatments, and arranged on greenhouse benches in a completely randomized 160 design, with four replicates. They were rotated weekly to minimize spatial variation. The 161 experimental unit was the pot, and the experiment was repeated twice. Metal halide lamps 162 supplemented natural light to produce a 14 h day length, which delivered about 55 µmol/s m². Germination percentage, seedling height, and shoot dry weight were determined 20 163 164 days after seeding.

165 Experiment 3

In early April 2009, pre-germinated seedlings of A. artemisiifolia were transplanted in 166 plastic pots (30 cm height and 27 cm diameter) with a total capacity of about 12 L. Before 167 168 transplanting, pots were filled with the same soil used in the previous greenhouse experiment. When a 3-leaf stge was reached, the common ragweed pots were thinned to 169 170 obtain three healthy and uniform plants per pot. Pots were left in an open field in the area 171 of the Dipartimento di Agronomia Selvicoltura e Gestione del Territorio – Grugliasco where 172 they were irrigated twice each week by adding water to the post saucer and weeded weekly by hand. After five months, the common ragweed plants had reached an average 173 fresh weight of 80 g plant⁻¹ (aboveground part) and were carefully removed from the pots 174 with close attention paid to maintain intact roots. Pot soil was then mixed and used as 175 7

substrate for a greenhouse assay, prepared as described below. This experiment was conducted during September 2009 in the experimental glasshouse, under temperatures that varied between 18 and 28°C. Containers (8 x 8 cm, 8 cm height) were filled with soil from Experiment 3 pots used to grow *A. artemisifolia* during the summer. Control pots were filled with soil maintained under identical conditions as those that contained common ragweed, but where no plants were grown.

182 The potential impact of common ragweed root tissue and exudate was investigated by evaluating the germination and growth of several indicator crop and weed species. The 183 184 indicator crop species were alfalfa, barley, corn, lettuce, tomato, and winter wheat while 185 the weeds included large crabgrass and barnyardgrass. The number of seeds per pot 186 varied between 4 (maize), 6 (alfalfa, barley, and winter wheat), 9 (barnyardgarss), and 12 187 (large crabgrass, lettuce, and tomato). Only a single indicator species was seeded per pot. 188 Seeded pots were maintained as indicated in Experiment 2. Pots were arranged on 189 greenhouse benches in a completely randomized design with seven replicates, and 190 rotated weekly to reduce spatial variation. The experimental unit was the pot and the 191 experiment was repeated twice. Germination percentage and shoot dry weight were 192 determined 30 days after seeding.

193 Statistical Analysis

Both laboratory and greenhouse experiments were analyzed. In the case of the laboratory experiement data, an analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed separately by species using statistical software SPSS (version 16). In the few cases lacking variance homogenity, a paired samples *t*-test was conducted to detect differences from the control treatment. After an ANOVA analysis, means were separated using the post-hoc Tukey – b test (p>= 0.05). In the greenhouse studies (Experiments 2 and 3), differences to the control were identified with the independent sample t-test (SPSS software, version 16).

201 Results

202 Laboratory Experiment.

203 Experiment 1

The effect of common ragweed residues on indicator species seed germination and first seedling growth varied according to indicator species. Results ranged from stimulation to inhibition, especially when the highest rates of dried residue were utilized.

207 Among the weed species, D. sanguinalis seed germination was reduced when common 208 ragweed residues were added at the highest rate (3 g/plate); a 90% reduction in total 209 germination (Table 1) resulted compared to the control. By contrast, the germination 210 percentage of S. nigrum was more than 3 times that of the control when high levels (3 211 g/plate) of residue was used. The root growth of S. nigrum germinated seedlings was 212 reduced by 75% with even the lower amount of residues. Residue addition had neither a 213 simulation nor inhibition effect on weed species shoot growth. The root growth of both A. 214 artemisiifolia and P. oleracea were inhibited about 50% at the highest residue guantities.

Among the crops, tomato behaved similar to *S. nigrum* and showed a germination percentage increase compared to the control (Table 2), even when both shoot and root elongations were depressed by more than 70%. Lettuce suffered growth depression of more than 50% when the highest residue quantities were added to the plate.

219

Table 1. Effect of different dried leaf tissue concentrations of *A. artemisiifolia* on total germination (GT), shoot and root length of the weeds: A. artemisiifolia (AMBAR), D. sanguinalis (DIGSA), E. crus-galli (ECHCG), P. oleracea (POROL) and S. nigrum (SOLNI). Mean ± standard error. Values sharing the same letter are not significantly different (Tukey - b test, P <= 0.05).

	Indicator species	Control	Dried leaf tissue amounts (g Parker dish ⁻¹)		
			1.0	2.0	3.0
GT	AMBAR	50.0± 7.07a	40.0± 6.41a	42.5± 6.29a	32.5± 2.50a
(%)	DIGSA	48.8± 0.00a	42.5± 0.15a	30.0± 0.37ab	5.0± 1.34b
	ECHCG	42.5± 0.00a	62.5± 0.13a	45.0± 0.30a	50.0± 0.42a
	POROL	55.0± 0.00a	60.0± 0.13a	77.5± 0.23a	60.0± 0.39a
	SOLNI	12.5± 0.00a	10.0± 0.32a	30.0± 0.37b	45.0± 0.45b
Shoot	AMBAR	17.7± 0.26a	16.9± 0.67a	17.9± 1.19a	12.5± 1.04a
(mm)	DIGSA	16.8± 0.42a	15.8± 0.75a	17.1± 0.35a	19.5± 0.02a
	ECHCG	26.5± 1.14a	16.7± 0.59a	27.2± 1.13a	19.9± 0.54a
	POROL	6.7± 0.47a	9.9± 0.25a	8.45± 0.39a	6.8± 0.26a
	SOLNI	10.0± 0.83a	6.5± 1.99a	5.9± 0.53a	10.8± 0.63a
Root	AMBAR	40.3± 1.10a	37.6± 2.77a	32.6± 5.02ab	21.9± 3.67b
(mm)	DIGSA	16.4± 1.73a	17.2± 2.97a	16.3± 1.44a	20.5± 0.02a
	ECHCG	21.3± 5.85a	13.7± 2.43a	21.1± 5.88a	13.8± 2.39a
	POROL	9.2± 1.23a	8.1± 0.78ab	7.3± 1.14ab	4.34± 0.68b
	SOLNI	35.4± 2.62a	8.2± 5.08b	5.5± 1.29b	13.0± 2.08b

229

Table 2. Effect of different dried leaf tissue concentrations of *A. artemisifolia* on total germination (GT), shoot and root length of the crops: *T. estivum* (WHEAT), *L. sativa*

232 (LETTUCE), *M. sativa* (ALFALFA), *L. esculentum* (TOMATO). Mean ± standard error.

233 Values sharing the same letter are not significantly different (Tukey – b test, P<= 0.05). Values

234 marked with * or **are statistically different from the control with p<=0.05 or 0.01.

	Indicator species	Control	Dried leaf tissues amount (g Parker dish ⁻¹)		
			1.0	2.0	3.0
GT	WHEAT	87.5± 0.00a	97.5± 0.10a	87.5± 0.21a	95.0± 0.00a
(%)	LETTUCE	97.5± 0.00a	93.7± 0.10a	90.0± 0.21a	85.0± 0.33a
	ALFALFA	84.4± 0.00a	92.5± 0.10a	92.5± 0.21a	87.5± 0.32a
	TOMATO	93.7± 0.00	97.5± 0.10	100.0± 0.20*	85.0± 0.33
Shoot	WHEAT	41.6± 0.33a	35.2± 0.55a	34.7± 0.23a	35.2± 0.55a
(mm)	LETTUCE	24.5± 1.12	10.0± 0.30**	12.0± 0.25*	10.2± 0.35**
	ALFALFA	20.2± 0.73a	20.4± 0.51a	17.5± 0.44a	20.2± 0.36a
	TOMATO	22.4± 1.90	15.9± 1.60	13.9± 1.59	6.2± 0.51*
Root	WHEAT	48.1± 2.12a	38.2± 3.28a	40.4± 1.37a	38.2± 3.27a
(mm)	LETTUCE	26.2± 5.55	13.5± 0.95	12.4± 0.87*	9.8± 1.12*
	ALFALFA	28.6± 3.29a	27.1± 2.31a	23.9± 1.82a	24.6± 1.61a
_	TOMATO	29.6± 9.00	26.4± 6.40	24.2± 5.92	8.06± 1.27*

235 236

237 Greenhouse Experiment.

238 Experiment 2

Across the seeded crops, total germination (GT) differences relative to the control were observed only for winter wheat (Figure 1), with a germination inhibition of about 30%. The presence of common ragweed residues did not, however, result in a winter wheat plant height reduction (Figure 2) as was true for alfalfa, tomato, and lettuce which showed height reductions of 43%, 41%, and 26%, respectively. All other species showed sensitivity as well. Among the three factors evaluated, total germination, plant height, and plant weight, plant weight displayed the least effect from incorporating *A. artemisiifolia* residues into the substrate (Figure 3). In fact, all crops showed plant weight values of roughly half compared
to the control treatment. As in Experiment 1, tomato was reduced the most (58%).

248







of 2 t/ha of common ragweed (AMBAR) dried residues. Bars indicate standard error.

252 * refers to significant differences from the control with p <= 0.05.



Figure 2. Greenhouse experiment: plant height response of crop species to the presence of 2 t/ha of common ragweed (AMBAR) dried residues. Bars indicate standard error. * refers to significant differences from the control with $p \le 0.05$ or ** with $p \le 0.01$.



258

259 Figure 3. Greenhouse experiment: plant weight response of crop species to the presence

of 2 t/ha of common ragweed (AMBAR) dried residues. Bars indicate standard error.

261 * refers to significant differences from the control with p <= 0.05 or ** with p <= 0.01.

262

263 Experiment 3

Germination was not significantly affected if seeds were placed in pots in which *A. artemisiifolia* was grown (Figure 4). No weed species plant weight was depressed compared to the control treatment, nor were crops lettuce and alfalfa (Figure 5). Conversely, the growth of winter wheat and barley was inhibited by about 60% and 45%, respectively. The high sensitivity of tomato to the allelopathic potential of common ragweed was also confirmed in this experiment; a growth reduction of about 50% was assessed on tomato seedling weight *versus* the control.





272

Figure 4. Greenhouse experiment: germination response to root exudates of commonragweed (AMBAR). Bars indicate standard error.



275

Figure 5. Greenhouse experiment: plant weight response to root exudates of common ragweed (AMBAR). Bars indicate standard error. * refers to significant differences from the control with $p \le 0.05$.

279 **Discussion and conclusion**

Our findings showed that varying the amount of *A. artemisiifolia* dried residue in soil affected the seed germination and seedling growth variation of differing indicator crop and weed species. Even though some stimulation effects were observed on germination, growth inhibition was observed more frequently, especially in root growth.

In allelopathic experiments, many factors play a role in the bioavailability of the toxic compounds in the rhizosphere, including organic matter adsorption, chemical inactivation and microbial degradation. The Parker dish bioassay used in the laboratory experiment was designed to simulate the allelochemical release into soil by tissue degradation over time after incorporation. This experiment allowed simulation of more realistic conditions than in the natural environment because toxic compound release occured gradually. Our experimental results can, therefore, be compared to those obtained in the greenhouse. 291 On average, among the crops, tomato was the most sensitive indicator species to common 292 ragweed allelopathic residues; both in the laboratory and greenhouse experiments, more 293 than 50% growth reduction occurred. The other crops tested showed a different sensitivity 294 to common raqweed. Root exudates showed an inhibitory effect on winter wheat growth 295 that was not observed in the residue degradation experiments. This species showed 296 sensitivity only in the greenhouse experiment. Lettuce dispayed root and shoot growth 297 inhibitory effects only if common ragweed residues were added to the substrate; there 298 were no depressive effects associated with root exudates.

299 Weed species E. crus-galli was never affected by common ragweed, neither in terms of 300 germination nor first seedling growth. Conversely, D. sanguinalis suffered an important 301 germination reduction. From an overall review of the weed species, P. oleracea and S. 302 nigrum showed themselves to be the most sensitive species, with more than a 50% root 303 growth reduction. One explanation of sensitivity to common ragweed of the tested weed species might be related to water imbibition. Indeed, several studies have pointed out that 304 305 the allelopathic activity of plant residue degradation upon a species that follows is strongly related to seed dimension of the crop (Tesio et al. 2011). The insensitivity observed by E. 306 307 crus-galli might be explained by its very hard seed coat and associated reduced 308 permeability (Tesio et al. 2008). Even in the case of crops, a similar seed dimension effect 309 could be ascribed as the inhibition effect roughly followed the same rank order as that of 310 seed dimension (tomato > lettuce > winter wheat).

No important autotoxic effects were observed as only the root growth of *A. artemisiifolia*was depressed by residue degradation.

The costs to limit the rapid expansion of *A. artemisiifolia* to Europe's crops and urban landscape, combined with the economics of pollinosis relief, demand a management response. Presently, eradication is impractical as whether in natural or disturbed settings,

316 common ragweed has made clear that it is a widespread and noxious weed of several 317 crops. It has also made evident, in some cases, its ability to colonize the soil rapidly after 318 crop harvest (DiTommaso 2004) Several reports have related the consequence of its 319 presence in the summer after winter wheat or barley cultivations when biomass and root 320 exudates accumulate in the soil and potentially inhibit growth of the succeeding crop 321 (DiTommaso 2004). Awareness of the relationships between this species and other crops 322 and weeds, and knowing that rapid diffusion and expanded presence of the species across 323 southern Europe might be linked to its allelopathic potential, its rapid growth rate, and its 324 remarkable ability to recover from mulching interventions to produce, even after cutting, 325 large amounts of seeds (Patracchini et al. 2011) is useful knowledge for both farmers and 326 the scientific community.

327 Given the potential of common ragweed to reduce crop growth and yields, as well as its 328 costly impact on human health, made a strong case for investigating the role allelopathy 329 might play in the invasive process. In our studies, germination and initial growth of lettuce 330 and alfalfa were not affected, but winter wheat and tomato were quite sensitive to residue 331 presence. Furthermore, our studies highlighted the cricial concern associated with the 332 sensitivity of the succeeding crop, especially when establishment of a less competitive 333 crop such as tomato is involved. Given these considerations, this work suggests that the 334 management of common ragweed infestation, especially its impact on rotational crops, 335 requires particular attention. In the greenhouse and laboratory experiments we attempted 336 to recreate a possible field situation in which weed biomass is incorporated into the soil and a successive crop is planted. 337

Finally, it should be noted that the greenhouse and laboratory studies conducted here, the effects of residue incorporation and root exudates were evaluated separately. Further studies to consider the synergistic effects of residues and root exudates in greenhouse

341	and field settings are necessary to fully determine the impact of this invasive species upon
342	weed and crop establishment in successive cropping systems, as well as the invasion
343	process in natural plant communities.
344	
345	Source of material:
346	¹ Herbiseed, Twyford, UK.
347	³ Whatman No. 1, Wathman International Ltd., Maidstone, UK.
348	
349	Literature cited
250	
350	Bassett, I., Crompton, C. 1979. The biology of Canadian weeds: 11. <i>Ambrosia artemisiifolia</i> L. and
351	A. psilostachya DC. Canadian Journal of plant science 55: 463-476.
352	Callaway, R.M., Ashehoug, E.T. 2000. Invasive plants versus their new and old neighbors: a
353	mechanism for exotic invasion. Science 290: 521-523.
354	Chauvel, B., Dessaint, F., Cardinal-Legrand, C., Bretagnolle, F. 2006. The historical spread of
355	Ambrosia artemisiifolia L. in France from herbarium records. Journal of Biogeography 33:
356	665-673.
357	DiTommaso, A. 2004. Germination behavior of common ragweed (Ambrosia artemisiifolia)
358	populations across a range of salinities. Weed Science 52: 1002-1009.
359	DongZhi, L. et al. 2004a. Allelopathic effects of aqueous Aloe vera leaf extracts on selected crops.
360	Allelopathy J. 13: 67-74.
361	DongZhi, L., Tsuzuki, E., YanJun, D., Terao, H., Xuan, T.D. 2004b. Potential biological control of
362	weeds in rice fields by allelopathy of dwarf lilyturf plants. BioControl 49: 187-196.
363	Fumanal, B., Gaudot, I., Bretagnolle, F. 2008. Seed-bank dynamics in the invasive plant, Ambrosia
364	artemisiifolia L. Seed Science Research 18: 101-114.
	18

- Hong, N.H. et al. 2004. Weed control of four higher plant species in paddy rice fields in Southeast
 Asia. J. Agron. Crop Sci. 190: 59-64.
- Hua, S., ShaoLin, P., XiaoYi, W., DeQing, Z., Chi, Z. 2005. Potential allelochemicals from an
 invasive weed *Mikania micrantha* H.B.K. Journal of Chemical Ecology 31: 1657-1668.
- Keane, R.M., Crawley, M.J. 2002. Exotic plant invasions and the enemy release hypothesis. Trends
 in Ecology & Evolution 17: 164-170.
- Khanh, T.D., Hong, N.H., Xuan, T.D., Chung, I.M. 2005. Paddy weed control by medicinal and
 leguminous plants from Southeast Asia. Crop Prot. 24: 421-431.
- 373 Lavoie, C., Jodoin, Y., De Merlis, A.G. 2007. How did common ragweed (Ambrosia artemisiifolia
- 374 L.) spread in Québec? A historical analysis using herbarium records. Journal of Biogeography
 375 34: 1751-1761.
- 376 Leather, G.R. 1983. Sunflowers (*Helianthus annuus*) are allelopathic to weeds. Weed Science 31:
 377 37-42.
- Patracchini, C., Vidotto, F., Ferrero, A. 2011. Common Ragweed (Ambrosia artemisiifolia) Growth
 as Affected by Plant Density and Clipping. Weed Technology 25: 268-276.
- Rabitsch, W., Essl, F. 2006. Biological Invasions in Austria: Patterns and Case Studies. Biological
 Invasions 8: 295-308.
- Raynal, D.J., Bazzaz, F.A. 1975. Interference of Winter Annuals with Ambrosia artemisiifolia in
 Early Successional Fields. Ecology 56: 35-49.
- 384 Rogers, C.A. et al. 2006. Interaction of the onset of spring and elevated atmospheric CO2 on
- ragweed (Ambrosia artemisiifolia L.) pollen production. Environmental Health Perspectives 114:
 865-869.
- Tesio, F., Ferrero, A. 2010. Allelopathy, a chance for sustainable weed management. International
 Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology 17: 377 389.

- Tesio, F., Vidotto, F., Weston, L.A., Ferrero, A. 2008. Allelopathic effects of aqueous leaf extracts
 of *Helianthus tuberosus* L. Allelopathy Journal 22: 47-58.
- Tesio, F., Weston, L.A., Ferrero, A. 2011. Allelochemicals identified from Jerusalem artichoke
 (*Helianthus tuberosus* L.) residues and their potential inhibitory activity in the field and
 laboratory. Scientia Horticulturae 129: 361-368.
- Tesio, F., Weston, L.A., Vidotto, F., Ferrero, A. 2010. Potential allopathic effects of Jerusalem
 artichoke (*Helianthus tuberosus*) leaf tissues. Weed Technology 24: 378-385.
- Vidotto, F., Tesio, F., Ferreo, A. 2008. Allelopathic effects of *Helianthus tuberosus* L. on
 germination and seedling growth of several crops and weeds. Biol. Agric. Hortic. 26: 55-68.
- Vivanco, J.M., Bais, H.P., Stermitz, F.R., Thelen, G.C., Callaway, R.M. 2004. Biogeographical
 variation in community response to root allelochemistry: novel weapons and exotic invasion.
 Ecology Letters 7: 285-292.
- 401 Vogl, G. et al. 2008. Modelling the spread of ragweed: Effects of habitat, climate change and
 402 diffusion. The European Physical Journal Special Topics 161: 167-173.
- 403 Warwick, S.I. 1991. Herbicide Resistance in Weedy Plants: Physiology and Population Biology.
 404 Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 22: 95-114.
- 405 Wayne, P., Foster, S., Connolly, J., Bazzaz, F., Epstein, P. 2002. Production of allergenic pollen by
- 406 ragweed (Ambrosia artemisiifolia L.) is increased in CO2-enriched atmospheres. Annals of
 407 Allergy, Asthma & Immunology 88: 279-282.
- Weston, L.A. 2005. History and current trends in the use of allelopathy for weed management.
 HortTechnology 15: 529-534.
- 410