

This is the author's manuscript



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Descriptive sensory analysis of Aceto Balsamico Tradizionale di Modena DOP and Aceto Balsamico Tradizionale di Reggio Emilia DOP

Original Citation:	
Availability: This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/144366	since 2016-07-04T16:48:25Z
Terms of use:	
Open Access Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as 'under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the to of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or puprotection by the applicable law.	erms and conditions of said license. Use

(Article begins on next page)



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This is the accepted version of the following article: [Zeppa G., Zoccoli M.G., Nasi E., Masini G., Meglioli G. - Descriptive sensory analysis of Aceto Balsamico Tradizionale di Modena DOP and Aceto Balsamico Tradizionale di Reggio Emilia DOP – Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 93, 15, 3737-3742, 2013],

which has been published in final form at [http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/jsfa.6219/abstract]

Descriptive sensory analysis of Aceto Balsamico Tradizionale di Modena DOP and Aceto

Balsamico Tradizionale di Reggio Emilia DOP

Running title: Traditional Balsamic Vinegar of Modena and Reggio Emilia

Giuseppe ZEPPA¹, Mario Gambigliani ZOCCOLI², Enrico NASI², Giovanni MASINI³, Giuseppe

MEGLIOLI³, Matteo ZAPPINO¹

¹Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Forestali ed Alimentari, Università degli Studi di Torino, Via L. da

Vinci 44, 10095, Grugliasco, Torino, Italy

² Associazione Esperti Degustatori Aceto Balsamico Tradizionale di Modena DOP, Viale Verdi 59,

41121, Modena, Italy - Consorzio Produttori Antiche Acetaie - Aceto Balsamico Tradizionale di

Modena DOP, Strada Vaciglio Sud 1085, 41126, Modena

³ Consorzio Tutela Aceto Balsamico Tradizionale di Reggio Emilia, via Crispi 3, 42100, Reggio Emilia,

Italy

Corresponding author: giuseppe.zeppa@unito.it

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Aceto Balsamico Tradizionale (ABT) is a typical Italian vinegar available in two

different forms: "Aceto Balsamico Tradizionale di Modena DOP" (ABTM) and "Aceto Balsamico

Tradizionale di Reggio Emilia DOP" (ABTRE). ABT is obtained by alcoholic fermentation and acetic

bio-oxidation of cooked grape must and aged for at least 12 years in wooden casks and is known

and sold around the world. Despite this widespread recognition, data on sensory characteristics of

these products are very scarce. Therefore, a descriptive analysis was conducted to define a lexicon

for the ABT sensory profile and to create a simple, stable and reproducible synthetic ABT for

training panellists.

RESULTS: A lexicon of twenty sensory parameters was defined and validated and a synthetic ABT

was prepared as standard reference. Simple standards for panellists training were also defined

and the sensory profiles of ABTM and ABTRE were obtained.

CONCLUSION: The obtained results confirm that descriptive analysis can be used for the sensory

characterisation of ABT and sensory profiles of ABTM and ABTRE are very different. Furthermore,

the results demonstrate that a lexicon and proper standard references are essential to describing

the sensory qualities of ABT both for technical purpose and to protect the product from

commercial fraud.

Keywords: Aceto Balsamico Tradizionale; sensory analysis; descriptive analysis; vinegar; cooked

must

INTRODUCTION

Aceto Balsamico Tradizionale (ABT) is a typical vinegar of the Emilia Romagna region of northern Italy. ABT is highly representative of artisanal Italian gastronomy and, despite its limited production, is recognised and commercially distributed around the world. There are two types of ABT. The first is produced in the province of Modena and is known as "Aceto Balsamico Tradizionale di Modena DOP" (ABTM), while the second is produced in the province of Reggio Emilia and is known as "Aceto Balsamico Tradizionale di Reggio Emilia DOP" (ABTRE). Both of these products received Protected Denomination of Origin (DOP) certification from the European Commission in 2000 because their unique production technologies are typical of a well-defined geographical area of production. In ABT production, the must of local grapes is first cooked in an uncovered pan over an open fire. Errore. L'origine riferimento non è stata trovata. After a partial alcoholic fermentation, the must-wine is transferred into a set of barrels ("batteria") composed of 5 to 10 wooden casks decreasing in size and fashioned from different woods. The product stays in the barrel for a minimum of 12 years, a time during which the ethanol is bio-oxidised and fresh cooked must is added according to the "Solera" method. ABT produced in the province of Modena is labelled "ABTM" if aged a minimum of 12 years and "Extra Aged" if aged a minimum of 25 years while the ABT produced in the province of Reggio Emilia is labelled "ABTRE Red Label" or "ABTRE Silver Label" if aged a minimum of 12 years and "ABTRE Gold Label" if aged a minimum of 25 years. Before marketing, ABTs of each type are examined by an official group of five expert tasters, nominated by the two Control Committees of ABT, and rated according to a hedonic evaluation card. The product may be bottled and sold only if the mean score measured during these evaluations is higher than of a standard.

Factors that contribute to the characteristic flavour, taste and physicochemical characteristics of ABT include the differences in grape varieties (typically Trebbiano, Lambrusco, Ancellotta and Sauvignon, plus additional cultivars authorised for each province), the technology of alcoholic fermentation and acetic bio-oxidation and, most importantly, the barrels utilised for aging.

A large number of papers have been published reporting studies intended to define the characteristics of ABT and to determine the relationships between these characteristics and ABT technology¹⁻⁵ for use in characterising ABT and protecting the product from commercial fraud.⁶⁻¹⁰ Sensory evaluation conducted by expert trained by the Control Committee of ABT, is the most important assessment of ABT because only those products scoring higher than a predefined standard may be sold; however, this evaluation has been subjected to minimal analysis. Evaluation scores provided by expert tasters have been used occasionally to classify ABT¹¹, but these are more frequently used to explain chemical data^{12,13} or to evaluate whether chemical analysis may replace sensory analysis by expert tasters.¹⁴

The work of Giudici *et al.*¹⁵ provides the only examples in which useful descriptors for ABT sensory analysis were reported and standards were produced from white and red wines, despite these standard being very different in nature from the test product.

Thus, the aim of this work was to conduct a sensory descriptive analysis (DA)¹⁸⁻¹⁹ to define a lexicon for characterising the sensory profile of ABT and to apply this lexicon in comparing samples of ABTM to samples of ABTRE. Sensory DA has been successfully used to obtain detailed descriptions of the aroma, flavour and oral texture of food and beverages²⁰⁻²⁸, and thus could be applied to describing ABT.

Given the importance of using trained panellists for the sensory DA, a new synthetic ABT was also created in this research for use as a standard reference for ABT sensory analysis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples

Samples of ABTM (19 products; 17 with at least 12 years of ageing and 2 with at least 25 years of ageing) and ABTRE (13 products; 11 with at least 12 years of ageing and 2 with at least 25 years of ageing) were furnished directly by the producers. Only those samples that had been evaluated as "suitable" (i.e., marketable) by the official group of expert tasters were used for this work. All products were stored in opaque, closed vessels at 20±2 °C and labelled with a 3-digit code for sensory analysis.

Synthetic ABT

According to ISO 11035²⁹ it is necessary to define a standard reference for each descriptor that must be simple, stable and reproducible over time. A pure chemical is not appropriate as a standard for the descriptor because the assessor must be able to recognise the descriptor among the complex sensations of the stimulus.

Giudici *et al.*¹⁵ used white or red wines as standard references, as these products are stable and commercially available. ABT is a brown vinegar characterised by a very sweet flavour, a high viscosity and a caramel/burnt sugar odour. However, it is not stable nor reproducible, so a new synthetic ABT was defined in this study to serve as a more authentic standard. According to previous scientific reports³⁰⁻³¹, the most important components of ABT are glucose and fructose which are present at similar concentrations (approximately 700-800 g L⁻¹), glycerol (approximately 18 g L⁻¹), acetic acid (approximately 20 g L⁻¹), gluconic acid (approximately 11 g L⁻¹), succinic acid (approximately 11 g L⁻¹), malic acid (approximately 7 g L⁻¹), tartaric acid (approximately 6 g L⁻¹),

citric acid (approximately 5 g L⁻¹) and lactic acid (approximately 2 g L⁻¹). Polyphenolic compounds such as tannins and anthocyanins (approximately 2-3 g L⁻¹) are also very important due to the taste and colour of balsamic vinegar. Working in collaboration with experts on ABTM and ABTRE, a synthetic ABT with composition and sensory characteristics similar to those of natural ABT was produced. The final composition of the synthetic product included lactic acid (48 g L⁻¹), acetic acid (15 g L⁻¹), glycerol (18 g L⁻¹), liquid caramel E150d (10 g L⁻¹), liquid caramel from sucrose E150a (80 g L⁻¹), Arabic gum E414 Oliver Gum 30* (100 g L⁻¹), fructose (400 g L⁻¹) and glucose (400 g L⁻¹). Glucose, fructose, lactic acid, acetic acid and glycerol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Milan, Italy). All chemicals were of high purity. Liquid caramel E150d and E150a were purchased from Sicna SpA (Cassina Nuova di Bollate, Milan, Italy) and Arabic gum E414 Oliver Gum 30* was purchased from Oliver Ogar Italia SpA (Montebello Vicentino, Vicenza, Italy). Ultra-pure water was produced with a Milli-Q System (Millipore, Milan, Italy).

A quantity of lactic acid was added to the synthetic ABT to achieve the same acidity present in natural ABT. Moreover, lactic acid was chosen because it is liquid, thereby simplifying preparation of the standard reference. The quantity of acetic acid added to the reference was lower than that reported for ABT to produce a lesser sensorial impact. Viscosity in the synthetic ABT was achieved using glycerol, whereas the typical brown colour and odour/aroma of caramel/burnt sugar, generated in the natural product during the concentration phase of must cooking, were obtained with the two liquid caramels. The sweetness and density of natural ABT were recreated in the synthetic ABT by the addition of glucose and fructose. A very important characteristic of ABT is its adhesivity, which result from the presence of significant polymeric compounds produced by acetic bacteria³² and polyphenolic compounds provided by grapes. To obtain the same adhesivity in the synthetic ABT, Arabic gum was used since polyphenolic compounds give a strong bitter taste.

Sensory analysis

Tasting panel. Three different tasting panels were use. The first, the University panel, was made up of eight tasters (2 males and 6 females between 25 and 42 years old) who were recruited according to ISO regulations³³⁻³⁶ and who had previous experience in sensory analysis. This panel was used for all phases of work. The second, the ABTM panel, consisted of 26 tasters (21 males and 5 females between 35 and 58 years old), all of whom were member of the official ABTM certification group. The third, the ABTRE panel, was made up of 25 tasters (24 males and 1 females between 32 and 60 years old), all of whom were members of the official ABTRE certification group. Due to their minimal prior experience in sensory analysis, members of these two panels were used only in generating and selecting descriptors.

Tasting procedure. The University panel analysis sessions were conducted in the morning (11:00-13:00) in white light. The sensory laboratory was designed according to ISO 8589 with separate booths. The room temperature was 22±1 °C. Mineral water (Sant'Anna, Fonti di Vinadio, Torino, Italy) was provided for palate cleansing during sessions. Analysis sessions with the ABTM and ABTRE groups were carried out in the evening (20:00-22:00) in white light in a room maintained at 20±1 °C. As described above, mineral water (Sant'Anna, Fonti di Vinadio, Torino, Italy) was provided for palate cleansing during sessions. Samples (50 mL) were furnished in an ISO wine tasting glass covered with a Petri dish. A plastic teaspoon was provided for taste analysis. For each sample, panellists were directed to first note the sample odour and then to sample a small quantity of product with the teaspoon for the taste and aroma analysis.

Statistical analysis

A two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was employed to study the ABT types, using judges and their interactions to highlight the differences among samples for each sensory parameter. A one-way ANOVA was used to highlight significant differences among ABT samples for each term of the sensory lexicon. A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) with Varimax rotation of factors was also performed to show the relationships among ABT samples and the variables investigated. All calculations were performed with the STATISTICA program for Windows (Release 7.0; StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Generating descriptors

First, the three tasting panels constructed a list of attributes for odour, aroma and taste. The University panel was subjected to three 45-minutes sessions. For each session, 4 samples of ABTM (3 aged a minimum of 12 years and 1 aged a minimum of 25 years) and 4 samples of ABTRE (3 aged a minimum of 12 years and 1 aged a minimum of 25 years) were used.

The same samples were also examined by ABTM and ABTRE panels in two 90-minutes sessions per group.

Assessors were asked to generate the maximum number of descriptors possible for these products excluding colour and structure descriptors, as these parameters could be evaluated with simple physical analysis.

A total of 173 descriptive terms were generated from these initial tasting sessions.

Each group then participated in a single 1-h round-table discussion to establish the initial lexicon. In accordance with ISO 11035²⁹, the terms that were judged to be inappropriate descriptions of the sensory attributes of ABT were eliminated, including, for example, "excellent" and "good", or

hedonic or "typical" terms. The panellists grouped also any synonyms and eliminated duplications. A revised list of 86 descriptive terms was produced (Table 1) from the discussion. With the exception of "sour", "bitter" and "sweet", all the descriptors were characterised as both orthonasal and retronasal and were categorised as both odours and aroma.

Selection of descriptors

In the second phase of the work, the data set of 86 attributes of ABT was then refined. The procedure for selecting and identifying the descriptors was adapted from ISO 11035²⁹ and Meilgaard¹⁹ and their adjusted frequencies (AFs) were applied. Two 30-minute sessions were conducted for each of the three panels, and ten samples were examined (5 ABTM and 5 ABTRE samples, using 4 products aged a minimum of 12 years of ageing and 1 aged a minimum of 25 years per type). Each panellist was asked to judge the perceived intensity of each of the descriptors from the initial list. The perceived intensity was scored on a 5-point scale from 0 (none) to 5 (strong), in accordance with ISO 11035. ²⁹ For each descriptor, the **AF** was calculated as $\mathbf{AF} = (\mathbf{FxI})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in which \mathbf{F} is the number of times a descriptor was mentioned divided by the total number of times that descriptor could have been mentioned, expressed as a percentage. I is the sum of the intensities reported by all panellists for a given descriptor divided by the maximum possible intensity reported for that descriptor, expressed as a percentage. This calculation method also accounted for descriptors that were rarely mentioned but that were important in terms of perceived intensity, as well as descriptors with low perceived intensity that were mentioned frequently. Classifying descriptors according to the size of their means allowed the elimination of a number of descriptors with relatively low geometrical means.

Table 1 presents the mean values of the AFs calculated for all descriptors from responses across the three panels. Because there is no set value for defining a meaningful descriptor, the most

important descriptors were arbitrarily defined as those with AF > 18. ²⁸ This AF value was calculated by assuming that half of the panellists identified a descriptor for half the samples with an intensity value of half the maximum potential. Only twenty descriptors experimentally met this value. For ABT Giudici *et al*. ¹⁵ listed twenty-four descriptors, including one descriptor for appearance, fifteen for aroma, four for taste, one for texture and three for trigeminal sensations. Eight of the descriptors of aroma (caramel, cooked must, wood, dried plum, vinegar, honey, liquorice, vanilla) reported by Giudici *et al*. ¹⁷ were also used in this work. Furthermore, Giudici *et al*. ¹⁵ cited sour, sweet, bitter and salty as taste descriptors, while in this work salty was never reported by panellists.

Sample evaluation to verify the lexicon

The third phase of the study, directed to verify the lexicon, was performed exclusively with the University panel, as its members had previous experience in sensory analysis.

The synthetic ABT was used as a base to prepare the reference standards reported in Table 2. Simple, standard, commercially available products were used as additives. Over the course of ten 1-h round-table discussions, the standards were explained in detail to the panellists. The attributes of these standards corresponded to the highest possible intensity score on the sensory description rating scale.

These scores were discussed until a consensus was reached among all panellists.

After completing the training, the panellists were asked to describe during two sessions the sensory profile of ten ABTM and four ABTRE (two "Red Label" and two "Silver Label") samples, all aged at least 12 years. All samples were tested in duplicate. Each parameter was rated on a 10 cm unstructured straight line labelled "no sensation" and "extremely intense sensation" at the left and the right end points, respectively. 39

F-ratios of the two-way ANOVA (Table 3) allowed verification of whether assessors agreed or disagreed with respect to the three type of ABT (ABTM, ABTRE "Red Label" and ABTRE "Silver Label").

The results indicated that, in general, the intensities of the various descriptors were significantly different among ABT types (ABTM, ABTRE "Red Label" and ABTRE "Silver label"), implying that these descriptors are useful in differentiating products according to their origins. Only three descriptors - lemon, plum and cider – could not be used to differentiate the samples.

No significant assessors effect was noted, likely on account of the effective training sessions. An assessor effect was noted only for caramel and wood descriptors, indicating that a new training strategy may be necessary for these descriptors.

None of the judges \times product (J \times P) interactions showed significant interaction, and as a result, the disagreement among the judges in the evaluation of these descriptors may be considered negligible.

A one-way ANOVA was then performed using the three types of ABT as variables and the resulting mean intensity ratings of descriptive attributes are shown in Table 4.

Blackcurrant, tamarind, raisin, caramel, honey, cooked must, cooked apple and wood descriptors were strongly associated with ABTM and could be combined with sweetness and bitterness to generate a sensory lexicon for this product.

The two ABTRE samples showed greater similarity. The "Red Label" (a lower quality of ABT from the ABTRE group) had high intensity ratings for the descriptors liquorice, cooked apple and, most prominently, vinegar and sourness. The "Silver Label" product was most strongly associated with the descriptors orange, dried plums, cherry jam and cooked plum, but had a low intensity rating for sourness.

The intensity values for each sensory attribute were submitted to PCA, from which two principal components were obtained. Figure 1 is a bi-plot demonstrating the variable distribution of sensory attributes on the plane defined by the two factors.

The plot reveals strong correlations among blackcurrant, plum, honey, raisin, cooked must, caramel and bitterness. The descriptors sweet and bitter are directly correlated, whereas sour correlates with cider and lemon. Vinegar and liquorice also demonstrate a different trend.

Figure 1 also shows the locations of the three types of ABTs on the factor plane. The first component (explained variance of approximately 54%) readily distinguishes the ABTM (characterised by strong intensities of plum, blackcurrant, raisin, caramel, honey, cooked must, sourness, sweetness and wood and low intensities of dried plum and vinegar) from the two ABTREs. The second component (explained variance of approximately 22%) serves to distinguish the two ABTREs. To illustrate, ABTRE "Red Label" (ABTRERED) is characterised by high intensity values for liquorice, sour, cooked apple and vinegar, whereas ABTRE "Silver Label" (ABTRESILV) demonstrated high correlation with the descriptors dried plum, cherry jam, orange and cooked plum and vinegar.

CONCLUSIONS

The sensory DA approach allowed the definition of the sensory attributes of different types of ABT for the first time. Twenty terms (seventeen for odour/aroma and three for taste) were selected and referenced during a sensory evaluation of ABTs ans subsequent discussion. A simple and reproducible synthetic ABT standard was produced for use as a standard reference in panellist training. The lexicon was validated by its use in defining the sensory profile of three types of ABT, one from Modena and two from Reggio Emilia. These results indicate that most of the selected terms are appropriate for differentiating sensory qualities among samples. Furthermore, they

demonstrate that the defined lexicon can be used to describe the sensory qualities of ABT during research studies, such as those on the effect of different woods or ageing technologies on ABT, and, most importantly, during product development to protect ABTs from commercial fraud.

REFERENCES

- 1 Council Regulation (EC) No 813/2000 of 17 April 2000, Official Journal L 100, 20/04/2000 pp. 0005 0006.
- 2 Lemmetti F and Giudici P, L'aceto balsamico tradizionale. Edagricole, Milano (2012).
- 1 Plessi M, Bertelli D and Miglietta F, Extraction and identification by GC-MS of phenolic acids in traditional balsamic vinegar from Modena. *J Food Comp Anal* **19**: 49-54 (2006).
- 2 Verzelloni E, Tagliazucchi D and Conte A, Relationship between the antioxidant properties and the phenolic and flavonoid content in traditional balsamic vinegar. *Food Chem* **105**: 564-571 (2007).
- 3 Callejòn RM, Torija MJ, Mas A, Morales ML and Troncoso AM, Changes of volatile compounds in wine vinegars during their elaboration in barrels made from different woods. *Food Chem* **120**:561-571 (2010).
- 4 Montevecchi G., Masino F., Chinnici F and Antonelli A, Occurrence and evolution of amino acids during grape must cooking. *Food Chem* **121**: 69-77 (2010).
- 5 Cirlini M, Caligiani A, Palla L and Palla G, HS-SPME/GC-MS and chemometrics for the classification of Balsamic Vinegars of Modena of different maturation and ageing. *Food Chem* **124**: 1678-1683 (2011).

- 6 Cocchi M, Durante C, Foca G, Manzini D, Marchetti A and Ulrici A, Application of a wavelet-based algorithm on HS-SPME/GC signals for the classification of balsamic vinegars. *Chemometrics Intelligent Lab System* **71**:129-140 (2004).
- 7 Cocchi M, Durante C, Marchetti A, Armanino C and Casale M, Characterisation and discrimination of different aged 'Aceto Balsamico Tradizionale di Modena' products by head space mass spectrometry and chemometrics. *Anal Chim Acta* **589**: 96-104 (2007).
- 8 Giudici P and Rinaldi G, A theoretical model to predict the age of traditional balsamic vinegar. *J*Food Eng 82:121-127 (2007).
- 9 Consonni R, Cagliani LR, Benevelli F, Spraul M, Humpfer E and Stocchero M, NMR and chemometric methods: a powerful combination for characterization of Balsamic and Traditional Balsamic Vinegar of Modena. *Anal Chim Acta* **611**:31-40 (2008).
- 10 Consonni R, Cagliani LR, Rinaldini S and Incerti A, Analytical method for authentication of Traditional Balsamic Vinegar of Modena. *Talanta* **75**:765-769 (2008).
- 11 Cocchi M, Bro R, Durante C, Manzini D, Marchetti A, Saccani F, Sighinolfi S and Ulrici A, Analysis of sensory data of Aceto Balsamico Tradizionale di Modena (ABTM) of different ageing by application of PARAFAC models. *Food Quality Pref* 17: 419-428 (2006).
- 12 Durante C, Cocchi M, Grandi M, Marchetti A and Bro R, Application of N-PLS to gas chromatographic and sensory data of traditional balsamic vinegar of Modena.

 Chemometrics Intelligent Lab System 83: 54-65 (2006).
- 13 Masino F, Chinnici F, Bendini A, Montevecchi G and Antonelli A, A study on relationships among chemical, physical and qualitative assessment in Traditional Balsamic Vinegar. *Food Chem* **106**: 90-95 (2008).

- 14 Versari A, Parpinello GP, Chinnici F and Meglioli G, Prediction of sensory score of Italian traditional balsamic vinegars of Reggio-Emilia by mid-infrared spectroscopy. *Food Chem* **125:** 1345–1350 (2011).
- 15 Giudici P, Falcone PM, Scacco A and Maria Lanza C, Analisi sensoriale dell'Aceto Balsamico Tradizionale. *Industrie bevande* **38**: 27-41 (2009).
- 18 Pagliarini E, Metodi analitici: Profilo sensoriale. In Valutazioni sensoriali: aspetti teorici, pratici e metodologici. Hoepli, Milano (2002).
- 19 Meilgaard MC, Civille GV and Carr BT, Sensory evaluation techniques. Fourth edition. CRC Press, New York (2007).
- 20 Carpintero EGG, Palomo ES and Vinas MAG, Influence of co-winemaking technique in sensory characteristics of new Spanish red wines. *Food Qual Pref* **21**: 705-710 (2010).
- 21 Esti M, Gonzalez Airola RL, Moneta E, Paperaio M and Sinesio F, Qualitative data analysis for an exploratory sensory study of grechetto wine. *Anal Chim Acta* **660**: 63-67 (2010).
- 22 Hayakawa F, Ukai N, Nishida J, Kazami Y and Kohyama K, Lexicon for the sensory description of French bread in Japan. *J Sensory Studies* **25**:76-93 (2010).
- 23 Leighton CS, Schonfeldt HC and Kruger R, Quantitative descriptive sensory analysis of five different cultivars of sweet potato to determine sensory and textural profiles. *J Sensory Studies* **25**:2-18 (2010).
- 24 Tesfaye W, Morales ML, Callejon RM, Cerezo AB, Gonzalez AG, Garcia-Parrilla MC, and Troncoso AM, Descriptive sensory analysis of wine vinegar: tasting procedure and reliability of new attributes. *J Sensory Studies* **25**:216-230 (2010).
- 25 Keenan DF, Brunton NP, Mitchell M, Gormley R and Butler F, Flavour profiling of fresh and processed fruit smoothies by instrumental and sensory analysis. *Food Res Int* **45**: 17-25 (2012).

- 26 Kock IS, Muller M, Joubert E, van der Rijst M and Naes T, Sensory characterization of rooibos tea and the development of a rooibos sensory wheel and lexicon. *Food Res Int* **46**: 217-228 (2012).
- 27 Sipos L, Kovàcs Z, Sàgi-Kiss V, Csiki T, Kòkai Z, Fekete A and Héberger K, Discimination of mineral waters by electronic tongue, sensory evaluation and chemical analysis. *Food Chem* **135**: 2947-2953 (2012).
- 28 Zeppa G, Bertolino M and Rolle L, Quantitative descriptive analysis of Italian polenta produced with different corn cultivars. *J Sci Food Agric* **92**: 412-417 (2012).
- 29 International Organisation for Standardisation, Sensory analysis Identification and selection of descriptors for establishing a sensory profile by a multidimensional approach. ISO 11035:1994 (1994).
- 30 Plessi M, Bertelli D and Miglietta F, Valutazione dei costituenti principali di aceti balsamici tradizionali prodotti nella provincia di Modena. *Vignevini* **5:** 85-89 (2005).
- 31 Masino F, Montevecchi G and Antonelli A, Influenza della composizione sulla qualità dell'Aceto Balsamico Tradizionale. *Industrie bevande* **214**: 27-32 (2008).
- 32 Giudici P, Gullo M, Solieri L and Falcone PM, Technological and microbiological aspects of Traditional Balsamic Vinegar and their influence on quality and sensorial properties, in *Advances in Food and Nutrition Research*, ed. by Taylor S, Burlington academic Press, 58, pp. 137-182 (2009).
- 33 International Organisation for Standardisation, Sensory analysis Methodology Method of investigating sensitivity of taste. ISO 3972:1991 (1991).
- 34 International Organisation for Standardisation, Sensory analysis General guidance for the selection, training and monitoring of assessors Part 1: Selected assessors. ISO 8586/1:1993 (1993).

- 35 International Organisation for Standardisation, Sensory analysis Methodology Initiation and training of assessors in the detection and recognition of odours. ISO 5496:2006 (2006).
- 36 International Organisation for Standardisation, Sensory analysis General guidance for the selection, training and monitoring of assessors Part 2: Expert sensory assessors. ISO 8586/2:2008 (2008).
- 37 International Organisation for Standardisation, Sensory analysis General guidance for the design of test rooms. ISO 8589:2007 (2007).
- 38 International Organisation for Standardisation, Sensory analysis Apparatus Wine-tasting glass. ISO 3591:1979 (1979).
- 39 International Organisation for Standardisation, Sensory analysis Guidelines for the use of quantitative response scales. ISO 4121:2003 (2003).

Table 1. Descriptive terms selected by panellists after the round table discussion and the adjusted frequencies (AFs) for sensory descriptors determined during the selection phase.

AF value		AF value		AF value
	Geranium	0	Tar	1
0	Grapefruit	6	Thyme	2
0	Grass	8	Tobacco	5
3	Hay	4	Truffle	0
6	Honey	19	Vanilla	3
4	Juniper	10	Vinegar	77
0	Kerosene	9	Violet	4
9	Lactic acid	5	Walnut	4
7	Leather	8	Wet wool	2
20	Lemon	28	Wistaria	4
0	Liquorice	23	Wood	18
0	Methanol	8	Yeast	0
1	Molasses	11	Taste	
51	Mouldy	0	Sour	71
12	Mushroom	4	Sweet	60
	0 0 3 6 4 0 9 7 20 0 0	Geranium Geranium Grapefruit Grass Hay Honey Juniper Kerosene Lactic acid Leather Leather Lemon Methanol Molasses Mouldy	Geranium 0 0 Grapefruit 6 0 Grass 8 3 Hay 4 6 Honey 19 4 Juniper 10 0 Kerosene 9 9 Lactic acid 5 7 Leather 8 20 Lemon 28 0 Liquorice 23 0 Methanol 8 1 Molasses 11 51 Mouldy 0	Geranium 0 Tar Geranium 0 Tar Grapefruit 6 Thyme Grass 8 Tobacco Hay 4 Truffle Honey 19 Vanilla Juniper 10 Vinegar Kerosene 9 Violet Lactic acid 5 Walnut Leather 8 Wet wool Leather 28 Wistaria Liquorice 23 Wood Methanol 8 Yeast Molasses 11 Taste Mouldy 0 Sour

Cherry jam	21	Nutmeg	3	Bitter	20
Cherry stone	4	Oak wood	11		
Chocolate	6	Onion	4		
Cider	19	Orange	23		
Cinnamon	12	Orange blossoms	10		
Citron tree	0	Oregano	3		
Cloves	8	Peach	0		
Coffee	4	Peanut	0		
Cooked apple	23	Pear	2		
Cooked cream	7	Pepper	9		
Cooked must	36	Phenol	5		
Cooked plum	45	Pineapple	3		
Diacetyl	3	Plum	22		
Dried plum	19	Raisin	23		
Dried tomato	10	Raspberry	7		
Dust	5	Resin	5		
Elder flowers	6	Rose	7		
Ethanol	6	Smoked	7		
Ethyl acetate	6	Strawberry	5		
Fermented must	11	Sulphur dioxide	2		
Fig	8	Tamarind	20		

Table 2. Reference standards used for training of panellists on ABT descriptors

ABT

^a Amarelli, Rossano, CS, Italy

^b Pane Angeli, Desenzano sul Garda, BS, Italy

^c FlavourArt, Oleggio, NO, Italy

^d Cedral Tassoni S.p.a., Salò, BS, Italy

^e Sunsweet Growers Inc, Yuba City, California, USA

^f Abicci Frutta Secca S.r.I., Somma Vesuviana, NA, Italy)

^g II Frutto Permesso, Bibiana, TO, Italy

^hZuegg S.p.a., VR, Italy

Table 3. F-ratios and corresponding significance levels for the two-way ANOVA (judges, products) performed for each sensory descriptor

	Judges	Products	J×P
Liquorice	1.23 ns	63.87 ***	0.34 ns
Orange	0.68 ns	93.28 ***	0.99 ns
Lemon	0.45 ns	0.87 ns	1.28 ns
Blackcurrant	0.70 ns	9.24 **	0.65 ns
Plum	1.62 ns	1.03 ns	0.70 ns
Tamarind	2.36 ns	289.13 ***	0.30 ns
Dried plum	0.21 ns	185.85 ***	0.65 ns
Raisin	0.31 ns	14.34 **	0.73 ns
Cider	1.27 ns	0.62 ns	0.45 ns
Caramel	3.44 *	285.37 ***	1.72 ns
Cherry jam	0.92 ns	123.62 ***	0.21 ns
Honey	1.09 ns	361.89 ***	0.21 ns
Cooked must	0.81 ns	177.55 ***	0.46 ns
Cooked apple	1.58 ns	76.55 ***	0.47 ns
Cooked plum	0.76 ns	247.41 ***	0.16 ns
Wood	3.14 *	171.11 ***	0.80 ns
Vinegar	1.18 ns	65.59 ***	0.11 ns
Sour	0.71 ns	65.50 ***	0.49 ns
Sweet	0.82 ns	18.67 ***	0.69 ns
Bitter	1.13 ns	183.05 ***	0.40 ns

 $^{^{\}rm x}$ For all solution 10 g of synthetic ABT were used

(F-ratios marked with asterisk indicate significance at: * $P \le 0.05$; ** $P \le 0.01$; *** $P \le 0.001$; ns not significant difference; $J \times P = \text{judges} \times \text{products}$)

Table 4. Mean intensity rating of sensory descriptors of three type of ABT and results of one-way ANOVA with Duncan's test (ABTM: Aceto Balsamico Tradizionale di Modena; ABTRERED: Aceto Balsamico Tradizionale di Reggio Emilia "Red Label"; ABTRESILV: Aceto Balsamico Tradizionale di Reggio Emilia "Silver Label")

	ABTM	ABTRERED	ABTRESILV	Significance
Liquorice	3.4 a	5.2 b	3.1 a	***
Orange	2.9 b	1.2 a	4.2 c	**
Lemon	1.5	1.4	1.2	ns
Blackcurrant	2.1 b	1.4 a	1.4 a	***
Plum	0.8	0.5	0.5	ns
Tamarind	6.3 c	2.3 a	4.4 b	**
Dried plum	3.3 a	4.0 b	7.3 c	**
Raisin	3.2 b	2.1 a	2.3 a	***
Cider	0.4	0.4	0.3	ns
Caramel	4.6 c	1.3 a	2.3 b	**
Cherry jam	7.2 b	6.2 a	9.2 c	**
Honey	6.0 b	1.2 a	1.2 a	**
Cooked must	7.5 c	3.4 a	5.2 b	**
Cooked apple	3.2 c	2.2 b	1.2 a	***
Cooked plum	7.5 b	4.3 a	9.1 c	**
Wood	2.7 b	0.4 a	0.4 a	**
Vinegar	6.1 a	8.2 c	7.1 b	***
Sour	9.2 b	9.1 b	7.3 a	***
Sweet	2.2 b	1.2 a	1.5 a	***

Bitter	3.6 c	0.4 a	1.2 b	**

(Mean values within column with the same letter are not significantly different at $P \le 0.05$; * $P \le 0.05$; ** $P \le 0.01$; *** $P \le 0.001$; "ns" indicates not significant)