



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

# Sex differences in first-admission psychiatric inpatients with and without a comorbid substance use disorder.

This is a pre print version of the following article:
Original Citation:
Availability:
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/151565 since
Published version:
DOI:10.1097/ADM.000000000000062
Terms of use:
Open Access
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)



# UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This is an author version of the contribution published on:

[Journal of addiction medicine, vol. 8, issue 5, 2014,

DOI: 10.1097/ADM.000000000000062 ]

The definitive version is available at:

[http://ovidsp.tx.ovid.com/sp-

3.17.0a/ovidweb.cqi?QS2=434f4e1a73d37e8c106b2a94fb1982bb411fc04fb071353c ddb4ad59db091ed4fdef786c95dce346b5c3de4bc105acd818e1e81f4b96a474fba9b2 87dcd3785b89dc26f5179a831c36a8f6e7f225c402cc0424bfb8a3b5fdc8f6eb57f3727 05ec4fc532929b183bfbea6ac085c253404384cd4fe71bcca415f1e8e74afd37f9acf6d9 2da6b97e3a8a686860e1f31920deabc04538beb249b5b55ca49c45c9c4041860a7fe1 3ec72c6c4ed921454af87b785b5a43942a28ce422d9e16e15d6c7c2e5effd8499ea2cd 105324e022be70ac462963fb94cbd6a09f68ef24636d081143a0e621298ec01442de5f 9a1801aef36cb7593bf20469b6ea8cc218e05341b0208eb569247daaf7e0af36b05e63 c4d9c012a8a8023297024b5bd7a668ced13dc1276734f134c4c8f7887902a4e21bb37 4bda393cca6e9d5e015c45c98f1c3721f5fd319a2e7e5b38f2f68841351e451]

#### Sex differences in first admission psychiatric inpatients with and without a comorbid

substance use disorder

Gramaglia C\*, Bert F°, Lombardi A\*, Feggi A\*, Porro M\*, Siliquini R°, Gualano MR°, Torre, E\*,

Zeppegno P\*

\*Department of Translational Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, Università del Piemonte Orientale

"Amedeo Avogadro", Via Mazzini n°18, 28100 Novara

° Department of Public Health, University of Turin, Via Santena n°5 bis 10126 Turin

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Prof. Patrizia Zeppegno, Department

of Translational Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, Università del Piemonte Orientale "Amedeo

Avogadro," Via Mazzini n°18, 28100 Novara – Italy.

Tel. 0321 3733268; Fax 0321 3733121; email: patrizia.zeppegno@med.unipmn.it

Running Title: Substance Use Disorder in Psychiatric Inpatients - a Gender-Tailored Approach

Source of Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, either from

commercial or not-for-profit sectors

Conflict of Interests: None declared

Keywords: Substance Use Disorder, comorbidity, epidemiology, psychiatric disorders, gender

Formattato: Italiano (Italia)

**Commento [HQ1]:** The publisher asks for "(b) authors' full names, highest academic degrees, and affiliations"

Formattato: Italiano (Italia)

#### Abstract

**Objectives:** We assessed gender differences in a sample of first-admission psychiatric inpatients with and without comorbid Substance Use Disorder (SUD) to identify possible risk factors and targets for gender-tailored treatment interventions.

**Methods:** A retrospective study of first admissions to the University Psychiatry Ward, "Maggiore della Carità" Hospital, Novara, Italy, between 2003 and 2012. The clinical charts of patients with (N=362) and without comorbid SUD (N=1111) were reviewed.

**Results:** Differences in employment, educational, and marital statuses were found between male and female psychiatric patients with and without comorbid SUD. Having a degree was a protective factor for males, while it was a risk factor for females. Being divorced and having family problems were both risk factors for comorbidity in females. Regarding the diagnosis, results overlapped in males and females, and both affective and other disorders were risk factors for a comorbid SUD.

**Conclusions:** A significant difference between male and female psychiatric patients with a comorbid SUD was the males' overall poorer psychosocial functioning. Marital status and family problems were risk factors for comorbid SUD in females. Both males and females showed various pathways of access to and choices of substances and, eventually, experienced different impacts on their lives. Hospitalization might help to set up a targeted intervention for patients with comorbidity, while accounting for gender differences. With respect to males, a treatment approach focused on the substance alone might help improve their functioning; females might have a greater benefit from a treatment approach focused on distress, family problems, and relational issues.

The co-occurrence of severe mental health conditions with a drug abuse or dependence disorder (substance use disorder, SUD) is referred to as Dual Diagnosis (DD). Psychiatric patients with a comorbid SUD represent up to half of the patients in most mental health treatment systems, and comorbidity is associated with several significant implications with respect to symptoms, course, morbidity, treatment effectiveness and adherence, social issues (e.g. legal problems), use of psychiatric and emergency room services, and regrettably, with common negative outcomes (Owen et al., 1996; Kovasznay et al., 1997; Margolese et al., 2004; Ziedonis, 2004; Tosato et al., 2013).

The co-morbidity rate of SUD and major mental problems in Europe is generally not as high as in US according to various studies, and it ranges from 20% to 65% (Kessler et al., 1996; Teesson et al., 2000; Mueser et al., 2003). Additionally, comorbidity rates between psychiatric illness and SUD appear to be particularly high in inpatient, crisis team (38-50%), and forensic settings (Drake et al., 2004).

Comparisons between psychiatric patients with a comorbid SUD and those without show differences in socio-demographic, clinical, substance-related characteristics, and other background variables. For instance, Katz et al. (2008) found that comorbid patients were younger than non-abusers, and that they were more often males, unmarried, and of Western origin. In a multi-center European study about comorbidity between drugs and psychosis, researchers found that substance users were younger than non-users but that they did not significantly differ with respect to the other socio-demographic measures (Baldacchino et al., 2009). A comparison of patients with comorbid SUD, patients with SUD but no other mental disorder, and patients with mental disorders but no SUD showed several demographic and clinical differences and a higher risk for suicide in DD patients than in the other two groups (Szerman et al., 2012).

Moreover, gender differences have been described in psychiatric patients with comorbid SUD. First-episode psychotic female patients with a comorbid SUD seem to be particularly vulnerable, and, compared to men, they have shown a lower rate of SUD reduction at their one-year follow-up visits (Lange et al., 2014). Chronic alcoholic women tend to progress to treatment more quickly than men (Lewis and Nixon, 2013). Moreover, comorbidity has different patterns in men

and women with lifetime alcohol dependence: Antisocial personality disorder and other SUDs are more common in males, while mood and anxiety disorders are typical of females (Khan et al., 2013a). Similar gender differences were found in patients with a cannabis use disorder (Khan et al., 2013b) and with amphetamine-like stimulant abuse and ketamine abuse (Zhang et al., 2013). Alcoholic women, compared to alcoholic men, were more likely to report greater treatment barriers, including social stigmas (Khan et al., 2013a), while such differences were not found, for instance, in patients with cannabis use disorder (Khan et al., 2013b). Drapalski et al. (2011) suggested that by the time treatment is initiated, men and women with SUD tend to appear similar, but that prior to treatment-seeking, they were likely quite different. Differences with respect to the drug of choice and the pathway of abuse were reported (Gearon et al., 2003; Maremmani et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2011; Drapalski et al., 2011), and one fairly consistent gender difference has concerned the consequences of SUD, including physical health and legal problems (Brunette and Drake, 1997; Drapalski et al., 2011). However, current research has not yet fully addressed the issue of gender differences in psychiatric patients with comorbid SUD.

A complicating factor is that studies in this field tend to focus on different populations: the general public, the population of subjects referring to psychiatric services, and the population of people currently charged at addiction services (Rassol, 2002). This fact is a concrete reality in Italy. A parallel treatment for patients with comorbid psychiatric disorders and with SUD is a standard practice since mental health and addiction facilities have different institutional cultures, etiological concepts, administrative arrangements, and screening and treatment approaches (Carrà and Clerici, 2006). As a consequence, possible flaws in communication, collaboration and linkage might represent a significant barrier to comorbidity service delivery in Italy (Pozzi et al., 2008; Canaway and Merkes, 2010). Although it is clearly a growing problem with relevant clinical consequences and costs of care, the number of studies on DD prevalence in patients admitted to psychiatric wards in general hospitals in Italy is still scant. For instance, Picci et al. (2013) recently focused on differences in length of stay in first-hospitalization schizophrenic patients with and without comorbid SUD. Patients with comorbid SUD, they found, showed poorer symptom improvement

**Commento [HQ2]:** The expression "in charge of" means that they're the boss they manage the place. This is quite the opposite of being charged at, though this isn't really the most clear way to express it either. Some other expressions are include: being checked in to, or being in residence at and required longer stays. In another study, Preti et al. (2009) reported that only about 30% of SUD patients discharged from acute psychiatric inpatient facilities (both public and private) were referred to drug addiction services. Furthermore, Testa et al. (2013) assessed SUD in emergency settings, and Bizarri et al. (2009) and Maremmani et al. (2011) looked into whether the SUD tends to follow or to predate the psychiatric diagnosis. Nonetheless, no Italian study has yet specifically focused on gender differences of inpatients with and without a comorbid SUD.

The objectives of this study were the following: 1) to assess the extent of drug abuse in a sample of patients at their first admission to a psychiatric inpatient ward in a general hospital in Italy; 2) to investigate gender-related differences in patients with and without a comorbid SUD, focusing on socio-demographic, clinical, and other background variables; 3) to investigate gender-differences with respect to risk factors for comorbid SUD in order to identify possible gender-specific targets for interventions.

#### Methods

An observational retrospective study was performed that involved reviewing clinical charts of patients first admitted to the Institute of Psychiatry, Inpatient Unit, "Maggiore della Carità" Hospital, Novara, Italy. The period of study was from 2003 to 2012. Patients with a discharge diagnosis of SUD but no comorbid psychiatric disorder were excluded, and the researchers focused on DD patients (PSY-SUD) and psychiatric patients without comorbid SUD (PSY).

The following information was retrieved from the clinical charts:

- 1. Socio-demographic data, including age, gender, education, occupational status, living accommodations, marital status, and legal problems;
- Family history, with a specific focus on stressful situations including parental loss or divorce, occupational and/or financial problems, psychiatric and/or SUDs, parents' legal problems;
- 3. Clinical and psychopathological history including information concerning drug use, when their first diagnoses were received (psychiatric, SUD, both), comorbid organic disorders, history of self-harm including suicidal and para-suicidal behaviors, history of aggressive behaviors and

acting out, and the number of inpatient admissions in the year following the first admission to the psychiatric ward.

Psychiatric diagnoses were made by experienced psychiatrists with the aid of the Structured Clinical Interviews I (First et al., 2000) and II (First et al., 2003), for Axis I and Axis II disorders, respectively. In accordance with the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9) (World Health Organization, 2002), diagnoses were the following: organic and substance-induced psychoses (ICD 290-294), other psychoses (ICD 295, 297, 298), affective disorders (ICD 296), neurotic disorders (ICD 300), and personality disorders (ICD 301). Disturbance of conduct, mental retardation, eating disorders, acute stress reaction, and adaptation reaction were grouped as "other diagnoses" (ICD 307-312, 317-319).

With respect to the use of psychotropic drugs, data about age at first use and type of substance used were also gathered from the clinical charts (data not shown).

The research project was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Università del Piemonte Orientale.

# Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were carried out using STATA 11 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, 2011). Initial descriptive statistics included the Chi-squared test to evaluate the differences in proportions between groups (PSY-SUD vs. PSY patients). Then a multivariate analysis was performed using a logistic regression to assess the potential predictors of SUD. The covariates included in the final model were selected using a stepwise forward selection process, with a univariate p-value <0.25 as the main criteria (Hosmer and Lemeshow, 1989). Separate models for the univariate and multivariate analyses were performed for males and for females. Results are expressed as Odds Ratio (OR) with 95% Confidence Intervals (CI). A two-tailed p-value <0.05 was considered significant for all analyses.

**Commento [HQ3]:** Organic-induced or just organic? (If the former, then write organic- and substance-induced psychoses. If the latter, leave as is now.)

## Results

We sourced data from 1,473 patients' first admission to the Psychiatry Ward that fulfilled the inclusion criteria described above. Males (N=654) comprised 44% of the sample, and females (N=819), 56%. The overall number of PSY-SUD subjects was 362 (24.6% of the total sample), of whom 242 were males (37% of the male sample; 67% of the DD group), and the remaining 120 were females (14.6% of the female sample). The mean age of the whole sample was 44 with a standard deviation (SD) of  $\pm$  16.4 years.

We grouped patients according to gender for the statistical analyses, and each group was further subdivided according to comorbid SUD (yes or no). PSY-SUD and PSY patients' sociodemographic and clinical variables were compared. Results are reported in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

Statistically significant differences were found between male and female patients with a PSY-SUD and PSY diagnosis regarding employment, education, and marital status. The accommodation statuses were different between PSY-SUD and PSY female patients. Family problems were more common in PSY-SUD patients; PSY-SUD males were less likely to have children and had a lower educational level than PSY males. However, the opposite result was found in the female sample. Although the rate of unemployment was higher in both male and female PSY-SUD patients, a difference was found between males and females regarding employment. PSY-SUD males were less likely to have a job than were PSY males; however, PSY-SUD females were more often employed than PSY females.

A significant difference was found in males regarding violence and legal problems; both conditions were more common in PSY-SUD than in PSY patients. In females, self-harm behaviors were significantly more common in PSY-SUD than in PSY patients.

Table 1 and Table 2

Results from the univariate and multivariate analyses for males and females are shown in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. In both genders, some risk and protective factors for comorbid SUD were identified among the assessed socio-demographic and clinical variables.

In males, having a degree was more of a protective factor than just having attended primary school (OR= 0.30; 95%CI 0.10-0.92; p= 0.036). Regarding occupation, the reference category was "being unemployed," and being disabled was a protective factor (OR= 0.28; 95%CI 0.11-0.70; p= 0.007). No other category maintained statistical significance in the multivariate analysis. With respect to diagnosis, both affective disorders and "other" disorders were risk factors for a comorbid SUD, while having a personality disorder was not a risk factor (OR= 3.24; 95% CI 1.53-6.85; p= 0.002; OR= 2.64; 95%CI 1.26-5.52; p= 0.010).

Some other variables were found to be risk or protective factors in the univariate analysis, but were no longer significant after the multivariate analysis (including being single or divorced, having family problems, acting in harmful ways, and having legal problems as risk factors, and having children as a protective factor; see Table 3 for further details).

In females, having a degree was a risk factor for both PSY-SUD and PSY patients (OR= 7.52;95%CI 2.75-20.61; p< 0.001), and being divorced and having family problems were both risk factors for PSY-SUD patients (OR=3.69; 95%CI 1.83-7.42; p< 0.001; OR=2.75; 95%CI 1.69-4.48; p< 0.001). Regarding diagnoses, results were similar to those found among males, and both affective and "other" disorders were risk factors for PSY-SUD diagnoses (OR= 4.85; 95%CI 1.94-12.08; p= 0.001; OR= 3.01; 95%CI 1.16-7.82; p= 0.024). Age was a protective factor (OR= 0.97; 95%CI 0.94-0.99; p= 0.037).

Finally, both in females and in males, some variables were found to be risk or protective factors in the univariate analysis, but were no longer significant after the multivariate analysis (including being retired and being a housewife as protective factors and having divorced parents and performing acts of self-harm as risk factors; see Table 4 for further details).

Table 3 and Table 4

**Commento [HQ4]:** If this is not what you mean, indicate what the comparison was. Less of a risk factor?

#### Commento [HQ5]: I assume ?

### Discussion

PSY-SUD patients who were first admitted to the psychiatric ward represented 24.6% of the total sample. Results from similar studies display a wide variability, ranging from 24% to 51% (Weich and Pienaar, 2009; Katz et al., 2008). Our findings are consistent with previous reports from mental health and addiction services in Italy that have described a prevalence of psychiatric disorders with comorbid SUD, ranging from 4% (data from mental health departments) to 42% (data from addiction services) (Di Furia et al., 2005; Siliquini et al., 2005; Zeppegno et al., 2009; Relazione annuale al Parlamento sullo stato delle tossicodipendenze in Italia, 2012).

In our sample, 47.5% and 49.1%, respectively, of the PSY-SUD and PSY patients had received a psychiatric diagnosis prior to their inpatient admission. Therefore, more than half of the patients were admitted to the psychiatric ward without having previously been charged to any mental health service.

Consistent with previous reports about patients with comorbid psychiatric disorders and SUD (Di Furia et al., 2005; Katz et al., 2008; Rodríguez-Jiménez et al., 2008; Weich and Pienaar, 2009; Relazione annuale al Parlamento sullo stato delle tossicodipendenze in Italia, 2012), PSY-SUD patients in our sample were mainly males (242 versus 120 females), younger at admission, and more commonly divorced than PSY patients.

#### Gender differences between PSY-SUD and PSY patients

The chi-square comparison of the PSY-SUD and PSY patients highlighted statistically significant differences in education, occupation, and marital status, in both males and females, which facts support the hypothesis of possible differences in the social functioning and performance of both male and female PSY-SUD patients (Miquel et al., 2011), with the former performing worse. Their performance might also depend on the fact that some disorders, such as psychotic disorders, onset at different ages in males than in females. We should also point out that in our analyses, patients were not grouped according to their main substance or patterns of abuse, which

**Commento [HQ6]:** Name it instead of saying "our"

are likely to be different across genders (Maremmani et al., 2010; Brunette and Drake, 1997; Drapalski et al., 2011) and also likely to impact the patients' education and work attainment. Furthermore, female PSY-SUD patients were more likely than PSY patients to live alone or with their parents, whereas they were less likely to live with a family of their own. Male PSY-SUD patients were less likely than PSY patients to have children.

Based on the chi-squared analysis performed on our sample, we found that PSY-SUD male patients were significantly more likely than PSY male patients to have a history of aggressive behaviors and legal problems, and PSY-SUD female patients were more likely than PSY females to have a history of self-harm behaviors. In the univariate analysis, violent behaviors emerged as risk factors in males, and self-harm behaviors as risk factors for comorbid SUD in females. In the multivariate analysis, we did not find violent, self-harm behaviors, or legal problems as risk factors for a comorbid SUD, neither in males nor in females. Additionally, such behaviors might be a consequence rather than a possible risk factor for comorbidity.

#### Risk and protective factor for SUD in male and female patients

In the univariate and multivariate analyses, we found partially different patterns of risk and protective factors for comorbid SUD in male than in female patients. Shared risk factors for comorbid SUD included diagnosis, which will be discussed later.

However, opposite results were found between males and females regarding their educational levels: While having a degree was a protective factor for males, it was a risk factor in females. As described in the previous section, this result supports a different pattern of social functioning and performance in male than in female PSY-SUD patients (Miquel et al., 2011). Males were likely to have worse social functioning, and an indicator of good achievement, such as having a degree, represented a protective factor for them. On the other hand, women were likely to perform well, yet this better performance could be intertwined with the risk of distress and of a consequent substance use. This result supports the suggestion that males and females accede to substances via

different pathways and choose different types of substances (Gearon et al., 2003; Maremmani et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2011; Drapalski et al., 2011), with variable impacts on their lives.

In our sample, marital status and family problems were relevant risk factors for comorbid SUD only in females: Being divorced and having family troubles exposed females to a higher risk of comorbidity. These patients seemed to experience relational problems in their families and to have difficulties either creating or maintaining lasting relationships. On the other hand, comorbidity of psychiatric disorders and SUD alone might have impacted their relationships. Interestingly, this seemed particularly important in females. Such issues have recently received more attention; for instance, the object of a study by Macy et al. (2013) suggested that partner violence and substance abuse in women were interconnected.

With respect to the psychiatric diagnosis in patients with and without comorbid SUD, results from the univariate and multivariate analyses suggested that in both genders, affective and "other" disorders were risk factors for comorbid SUD, compared to personality disorders, which were chosen as reference category because they were more likely than Axis I disorders to be associated with chronic SUD (Baigent, 2012).

Recently Arias et al. (2012) reported that comorbid SUD were equally common in schizophrenic psychoses and in personality disorders, but also that people with primary mood or anxiety disorders were at a high risk for comorbid SUD (Osuch et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2013; Torchalla et al., 2013). It should be remembered that SUD might increase the odds of subsequent mood disorders (Kenneson et al., 2013), and clinicians should control early on for SUD in patients with anxiety and mood disorders (Baigent, 2012) in order to avoid the revolving-door effect, which might depend on the substance used (for example, psychotic symptoms elicited by cannabis abuse in vulnerable subjects) (Arias et al., 2012; Lange et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2013).

#### Limitations

The retrospective design and data gathering through clinical records entail some limitations. Some information was unavailable, for example data about race and detailed descriptions of types **Commento [HQ7]:** What did the comparison reveal? More? Less? "In comparison" or "compared to" need to accompany a more or less, greater than or less than, etc. of self-harm or aggressive behavior. We reported no data about laboratory tests objectively detecting drugs. Additionally, Latt et al. (2011) suggested that a urine drug screening can only identify a small additional rate (5%) of substance users. Moreover, since our objective was to take a "snapshot" of comorbidity in the psychiatric ward, we neither discussed data about the type of substance used, nor did we gather information concerning "acute" reasons for inpatient admission or voluntary or compulsory admission, nor did we examine in detail service-use data and the time correlation between drug abuse and the occurrence of major mental problems (Maremmani et al., 2010). However, we gathered information about the patients' having previously received psychiatric diagnoses.

On the other hand, the large sample size is a strong point of this research, which adds to the paucity of studies concerning this issue in Italy.

#### Conclusions

Both psychiatric disorders and SUD are predictors of underachievement and failure in educational and occupational settings, difficulty facing family responsibilities, violent and abusing behaviors, poverty, legal problems, and scarce compliance to treatment (Kessler et al., 1996). Regarding gender differences, Najt et al.'s (2011) recent review of potential predictors of co-occurring mental disorders and SUD focused on the more common negative outcomes in male patients, associated with major depression and the number of psychiatric diagnoses.

A more in-depth analysis of gender differences in psychiatric patients with comorbid SUD might be helpful, if such a study also considered that the two genders respond differently to the treatment approaches addressed to such disorders (Baigent, 2012).

In our sample, we found differences between male and female PSY-SUD and PSY patients, including differences in socio-demographic and clinical variables. These results are not easily compared with those presented in existing literature as this issue has not yet fully been addressed due to inconsistencies of the studied samples. Our results, however, support the general statement about fairly consistent differences in the consequences and impact of substance use in males and in

females (Brunette and Drake, 1997; Drapalski et al., 2011), with the former showing poorer achievements in education and in occupation.

Regarding risk and protective factors, males and females showed different profiles, and, interestingly, a high educational achievement seemed to have a different impact on comorbid SUD according to gender. Family problems and being divorced were risk factors for comorbid SUD in females but not in males.

Barriers to treatment seemed to be similar for both genders (Chen et al., 2013), but it is likely that different approaches are needed for male and female patients with comorbid psychiatric disorders and SUD. With respect to males, as we found no particular risk factor for comorbidity, it is likely that a treatment approach focused on the substance alone might help improve their functioning, as Baigent (2012) already suggested. On the other hand, females might have a greater benefit from a treatment approach focused on family problems and relational issues, and also on the distress derived from good educational and occupational achievements, which ideas are in accordance with Grella (2003)'s study describing a greater need for family and trauma-related services in females.

Acute settings might be particularly appropriate for the development of targeted interventions (Carrà and Johnson, 2009), and the treatment of patients with comorbid psychiatric disorders and SUD, also allowing for gender differences, should begin early during hospitalization (Bradizza and Stasiewicz, 1997).

#### **Ethical Standards**

All procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.

#### References

Arias F, Szerman N, Vega P, Mesias B, Basurte I, Morant, C, Ochoa E, et al. Cocaine abuse or dependency and other psychiatric disorders. Madrid study on dual pathology. *Revista de Psiquiatria y Salud Mental* 2012, 30 [epub ahead of print].

- Baigent M. Managing patients with dual diagnosis in psychiatric practice. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 2012;25:201-205.
- Baldacchino A, Blair H, Scherbaum N et al. Drugs and psychosis project: a multi-centre European study on comorbidity. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, 2009;28(4):379-89.
- Bizzarri JV, Rucci P, Sbrana A, et al. Substance use in severe mental illness: self medication and vulnerability factors. *Psychiatry Research*, 2009;165(1-2):88-95.
- Bradizza CM, Stasiewicz PR. Integrating substance abuse treatment for the seriously mentally ill into inpatient psychiatric treatment. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 1997;14(2):103-111.
- Brunette MF, Drake RE. Gender differences in patient with schizophrenia and substance abuse. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 1997;38:109–116.
- Canaway R, Merkes M. Barriers to comorbidity service delivery: the complexities of dual diagnosis and the need to agree on terminology and conceptual frameworks. *Australian Health Review*, 2010;34(3):262-268.
- Carrà G, Clerici M. Dual Diagnosis—policy and practice in Italy. *American Journal of Addiction*, 2006;15(2):125-130.
- Carrà G, Johnson S. Variations in rates of comorbid substance use in psychosis between mental health settings and geographical areas in the UK. A systematic review. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 2009;44(6):429-447.
- Chen KW, Banducci AN, Guller L, et al. An examination of psychiatric comorbidities as a function of gender type within an impatient substance use treatment program. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 2011;118(2-3):92-99.

**Commento [HQ8]:** The style guide provided by the publisher doesn't require the hanging indent, but the straight double spacing with no space between entries can be a little hard on the eyes. Feel free to undo it, but the style guide doesn't seem to forbid it either.

Commento [HO9]: The publisher asks that if it's in press, you write "in press." If it hasn't been accepted for publication, then it doesn't go on the List of References page. If this refers to something else, just pick the medium you used. It's not necessary to identify all of the media in which a publication is available. If you used the electronic version, follow this format: Online journals 5. Friedman SA. Preeclampsia: a

5. Freedman SA. Freedman Sa. a review of the role of prostaglandins. *Obstet Gynecol* [serial online]. January 1988;71:22–37. Available from: BRS Information Technologies, McLean, VA. Accessed December 15, 1990.

**Commento [HQ10]:** You'll have to find the abbreviations for the journal titles.

- Chen LY, Strain EC, Crum RM, Mojtabai R. Gender differences in substance abuse treatment and barriers to care among persons with substance use disorders with and without comorbid major depression. *Journal of Addiction Medicine*, 2013;7(5):325-34
- Di Furia L, Pizza M, Cavarzeran F, Rizzo M, Savani N, Scivittaro F. [Comorbidità psichiatrica nelle dipendenze. Studio su un campione di pazienti afferenti al Dipartimento per le Dipendenze]. Psychiatric co-morbidity in drug dependence. *Bollettino per le Farmacodipendenze e l'Alcolismo*, 2005, Anno XXVIII N.1-2.
- Drake RE, Mueser KT, Brunette MF, McHugo GJ. A review of treatments for people with severe mental illnesses and co-occurring substance use disorders. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*, 2004;27(4):360-374.
- Drapalski A, Bennet M, Bellack A. Gender differences in substance use, consequences, motivation to change, and treatment seeking in people with serious mental illness. *Substance Use and Misuse*, 2011;46:808-818.
- First MB, Gibbon M, Spitzer RL, Williams JBW, Benjamin LS. <u>SCID II Interviste Cliniche</u> Strutturate per il DSM-IV. L'assessment secondo I criteri del DSM-IV. Eds: Mazzi F, Morosini P, De Girolamo G, Guaraldi GP. *Giunti O.S. Organizzazioni Speciali*: Firenze, 2003.
- First MB, Spitzer RL, Gibbon M, Williams JBW. SCID I Interviste Cliniche Strutturate per il DSM-IV. L'assessment secondo I criteri del DSM-IV. In: Mazzi F, Morosini P, De Girolamo G, Lussetti M, Guaraldi GP, eds. Giunti O.S. Organizzazioni Speciali: Firenze, 2000.
- Gearon JS, Nidecker M, Bellack A, Bennett M. Gender difference in drug use behavior in people with serious mental illnesses. *The American Journal on Addictions*, 2003;12:229–241.
- Grella CE. Effects of gender and diagnosis on addiction history, treatment utilization, and psychosocial functioning among a dually-diagnosed sample in drug treatment. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*, 2003;35:Suppl
- Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S. Applied Logistic Regression. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1989

Formattato: Italiano (Italia)

Formattato: Italiano (Italia)

- Katz G, Durst R, Shufman E, Bar-Hamburger R, Grunhaus L. Substance abuse in hospitalized psychiatric patients. *Israelian Medical Association Journal*, 2008;10(10):672-675.
- Kenneson A, Funderburk JS, Maisto SA. Substance use disorders increase the odds of subsequent mood disorders. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 2013;1;133(2):338-43.
- Kessler RC, Nelson CB, McGonagle KA, Edlund MJ, Frank RG, Leaf PJ. The epidemiology of cooccurring addictive and mental disorders: implication for prevention and service utilization. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 1996;66(1):17-31.
- Khan S, Okuda M, Hasin DS et al. Gender differences in lifetime alcohol dependence: results from the national epidemiologic survey on alcohol and related conditions. *Alcoholism: Clinical* and Experimental Research, 2013(a);37(10):1696-1705.
- Khan SS, Secades-Villa R, Okuda M, et al. Gender differences in cannabis use disorders: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey of alcohol and related conditions. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 2013(b);130(1-3):101-108.
- Kovasznay B, Fleisher J, Tanenberg-Karant M, Jandorf L, Miller AD, Bromet E. Substance use disorder and the early course of illness in schizophrenia and affective psychosis. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 1997;23(2):195-201.
- Lange EH, Nesvag R, Ringen PA, et al. One year follow-up of alcohol illicit substance use in firstepisode psychosis: Does gender matter? *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 2014;55(2):274-282.
- Latt N, Jurd S, Tennant C, et al. Alcohol and substance use by patients with psychosis presenting to an emergency department: changing patterns. *Australasian Psychiatry*, 2011;9(4):354-359.
- Lewis B, Nixon SJ. Characterizing gender differences in treatment seekers. *Alcoholism: Clinical* and Experimental Research, 2013 Aug 9. [Epub ahead of print]
- Macy RJ, Renz C, Pelino E. Partner violence and substance abuse are intertwined: women's perceptions of violence-substance connections. *Violence Against Women*, 2013;19(7):881-902

Formattato: Italiano (Italia)

Commento [HQ11]: See earlier comment

- Maremmani AG, Dell'Osso L, Pacini M, et al. Dual diagnosis and chronology of illness in treatment-seeking Italian patients dependent on heroin. *Journal of Addiction Disorders*, 2011;30(2):123-135
- Maremmani I, Stefania C, Pacini M, et al. Differential substance abuse patterns distribute according to gender in heroin addicts. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*, 2010;42(1):89-95.
- Margolese HC, Malchy L, Negrete JC, Tempier R, Gill K. Drug and alcohol use among patients with schizophrenia and related psychoses: levels and consequences. *Schizophrenia Research*, 2004;67(2-3):157-166.
- Miquel L, Roncero C, López-Ortiz C, Casas M. Epidemiological and diagnostic axis I gender differences in dual diagnosis patients. *Adicciones*, 2011;23(2):165-172.
- Mueser, KT, Noordsy DL, Drake RE, Fox L. Integrated treatment for dual disorders: a guide of effective practice. New York: Guilford, 2003
- Najt P, Fusar-Poli P, Brambilla P. Co-occurring mental and substance abuse disorders: A review on the potential predictors and clinical outcomes. *Psychiatry Research*, 2011;186:159-164
- Osuch E, Vinginilis E, Ross E, Forster C, Summerhurst C. Cannabis use, addiction risk and functional impairment in youth seeking treatment for primary mood or anxiety concerns. *International Journal of Adolescent Medicine and Health*, 2013;25(3):309-14.

Owen RR, Fisher EP, Booth MB, Cuffel BJ. Medication compliance and substance abuse among patients with schizophrenia. *Psychiatric Services*, 1996;47(8):853-858.

Picci RL, Versino E, Oliva F, et al. Does substance use disorder affect clinical expression in firsthospitalization patients with schizophrenia? Analysis of prospective cohort. *Psychiatry Research*, 2013(3):780-786.

Pozzi G, Frustaci A, Janiri L, Di Giannantonio M. The challenge of psychiatric comorbidity to the public services for drug dependence in Italy: A national survey. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence Journal*, 2008;82(3):224-230. **Commento [HQ12]:** If the title of the article is a translation, then you'll need to indicate this—usually by providing the article title in its original language first and then the translation into English in brackets. It just seems unusual to me to have the journal title in a foreign language and the article title in English. Should the journal title be Addictions?

Formattato: Italiano (Italia)

- Preti A, Rucci P, Gigantesco A, et al. Progress-Acute Group. Patterns of care in patients discharged from acute psychiatric inpatient facilities: a national survey in Italy. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 2009;44(9):767-776.
- Rassol GH. Dual Diagnosis: Substance misuse and psychiatric disorders. Oxford: Blackwell Formattato: Italiano (Italia) Science, 2002
- Relazione annuale al Parlamento sullo stato delle tossicodipendenze in Italia <u>URL:http://www.politicheantidroga.it/progetti-e-ricerca/relazioni-al-parlamento/relazione-</u> <u>annuale-2012/presentazione.aspx</u>, Accessed: 2013-06-05. (Archived by WebCite<sup>®</sup> at http://www.webcitation.org/6H931S92m)
- Rodríguez-Jiménez R, Aragüés M, Jiménez-Arriero MA, Ponce G, Muñoz A, Bagney A. Dual diagnosis in psychiatric inpatients: prevalence and general characteristics. *Investigacion Clinica*, 2008;49(2):195-205.
- Siliquini R, Piat SC, Zeppegno P, Ghigo S, Torre E. Psychoactive drug consumption and psychiatric disorders: a case control study. *The European Journal of Public Health*, 2005;15(1):149.
- Szerman N, Lopez-Castroman J, Arias F, et al. Dual diagnosis and suicide risk in a Spanish outpatient sample. *Substance Use and Misuse*, 2012;47(4):383-389.
- Teesson M, Hall W, Lynskey M, Degenhardt L. Alcohol- and drug-use disorders in Australia: implications of the National Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 2000;34(2):206-213.
- Testa A, Giannuzzi R, Sollazzo F, Petrongolo L, Bernardini L, Dain S. Psychiatric emergencies (part II): psychiatric disorders coexisting with organic diseases. *European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences*, 2013;17:Suppl 1,65-85.
- Torchalla I, Strehlau V, Li K, et al. Posttraumatic stress disorder and substance use disorder in comorbidity in homeless adults: prevalence, correlates, and sex differences. *Psychology of Addictive Behavior*, 2013 Aug 5 [Epub ahead of print]

Codice campo modificato Formattato: Italiano (Italia) Formattato: Italiano (Italia)

Commento [HQ13]: See earlier comment

- Tosato S, Lasalvia A, Bonetto C et al. Picos-Veneto Group. The impact of cannabis use on age of onset and clinical characteristics in first-episode psychotic patients. Data from the Psychosis Incident Cohort Outcome Study (PICOS). *Journal of Psychiatry Research*, 2013;47(4):438-444.
- Weich L, Pienaar W. Occurrence of comorbid substance use disorders among acute psychiatric inpatients at Stikland Hospital in the Western Cape, South Africa. African Journal of Psychiatry, (Johannesburg) 2009;12(3):213-217.
- World Health Organization. International Classification of Diseases. Rome: Istituto Poligrafico e Zecca dello Stato, 2002
- Wu LT, Blazer DG, Gersing KR, Burchett B, Swartz MS, Mannelli P, NIDA AAPI Workgroup. Comorbid substance use disorders with other Axis I and II mental disorders among treatment-seeking Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians/Pacific Islanders, and mixed-race people. *Psychiatry Research*, 2013;47(12):1940-1948.
- Zeppegno P, Airoldi P, Manzetti E, Panella M, Renna M, Torre E. Involuntary psychiatric admissions: a retrospective study of 460 cases. *The European Journal of Psychiatry*, 2005;19(3):133-143.
- Zeppegno P, Probo M, Ferrante D, et al. First admission for psychoses in Eastern Piedmont Italy. *The European Journal of Psychiatry*, 2009;23(3):153-165.
- Zhang Y, Lu C, Zhang J, et al. Gender differences in abusers of amphetamine-type stimulants and ketamine in southwestern China. *Addictive Behaviors*, 2013;38(1):1424-1430.
- Ziedonis DM. Integrated treatment of co-occurring mental illness and addiction: clinical intervention, program, and system perspectives. *CNS Spectrums*, 2004;9(12):892-904,925.

Formattato: Italiano (Italia)