

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Parametries and hypoellipticity for pseudodifferential operators on spaces of tempered ultradistributions

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/151569> since 2017-05-19T14:12:53Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1007/s11868-014-0095-3

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Parametrices and hypoellipticity for pseudodifferential operators on spaces of tempered ultradistributions

Marco Cappiello ^a, Stevan Pilipović ^b and Bojan Prangoski ^c

Abstract

We construct parametrices for a class of pseudodifferential operators of infinite order acting on spaces of tempered ultradistributions of Beurling and Roumieu type. As a consequence we obtain a result of hypoellipticity in these spaces.

0 Introduction

The main concern in this paper is the study of hypoellipticity for pseudodifferential operators in the setting of tempered ultradistributions of Beurling and Roumieu type on \mathbb{R}^d . These distributions represent the global counterpart of the ultradistributions studied by Komatsu, see [12, 13, 16]. We recall that the space of test functions for the ultradistributions of [12, 13, 16] is a natural generalisation of the Gevrey classes. In the same way tempered ultradistributions act on a space which generalises the spaces of type \mathcal{S} introduced by Gelfand and Shilov in [9].

Before presenting our results let us recall some previous results on hypoellipticity in the spaces mentioned above. Hypoellipticity in Gevrey classes has been studied by several authors, see [11, 17, 22, 25] and the references therein. Indeed the functional setting allows to consider very general symbols $a(x, \xi)$ admitting exponential growth at infinity with respect to the covariable ξ . This was first noticed in [25] and generalised in [6, 7] with applications to hyperbolic equations in Gevrey classes. In [25] the hypoellipticity has been obtained by means of the construction of a parametrix. More recently, the results of [25] have been extended by Fernández et al. [8] to the space of ultradistributions of Beurling type and by the first author to the global frame of the Gelfand-Shilov spaces of type \mathcal{S} , see [2, 3, 4], allowing exponential growth for the symbols also with respect to the variable x .

It is then natural to study the same problem for pseudodifferential operators acting on tempered ultradistributions. In a recent paper [21], the third author constructed a global calculus for pseudodifferential operators of infinite order of Shubin type in this setting. Here we want to apply this tool to construct parametrices for the class of [21] and to prove a hypoellipticity result.

2010 *Mathematical Subject Classification*: 47G30, 46F05, 35A17

keywords: Tempered ultradistributions, pseudodifferential operators, parametrices, hypoellipticity

Let us first fix some notation and introduce the functional setting where our results are obtained. In the sequel, the sets of integer, non-negative integer, positive integer, real and complex numbers are denoted by \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z}_+ , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} . We denote $\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $D^\alpha = D_1^{\alpha_1} \dots D_d^{\alpha_d}$, $D_j^{\alpha_j} = i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Finally, fixed $B > 0$ we shall denote by Q_B^c the set of all $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ for which we have $\langle x \rangle \geq B$ or $\langle \xi \rangle \geq B$.

Following [12], in the sequel we shall consider sequences M_p of positive numbers such that $M_0 = M_1 = 1$ and satisfying all or some of the following conditions:

$$(M.1) \quad M_p^2 \leq M_{p-1} M_{p+1}, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}_+;$$

$$(M.2) \quad M_p \leq c_0 H^p \min_{0 \leq q \leq p} \{M_{p-q} M_q\}, \quad p, q \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ for some } c_0, H \geq 1;$$

$$(M.3) \quad \sum_{p=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{M_{p-1}}{M_p} \leq c_0 q \frac{M_q}{M_{q+1}}, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

$$(M.4) \quad \left(\frac{M_p}{p!} \right)^2 \leq \frac{M_{p-1}}{(p-1)!} \cdot \frac{M_{p+1}}{(p+1)!}, \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

In some assertions in the sequel we could replace (M.3) by the weaker assumption

$$(M.3)' \quad \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{M_{p-1}}{M_p} < \infty,$$

cf. [12]. It is important to note that (M.4) implies (M.1).

Note that the Gevrey sequence $M_p = p!^s$, $s > 1$, satisfies all of these conditions.

For a multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, M_α will mean $M_{|\alpha|}$, $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_d$. Recall that the associated function for the sequence M_p is defined by

$$M(\rho) = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \log_+ \frac{\rho^p}{M_p}, \quad \rho > 0.$$

The function $M(\rho)$ is non-negative, continuous, monotonically increasing, it vanishes for sufficiently small $\rho > 0$ and increases more rapidly than $\ln \rho^p$ when ρ tends to infinity, for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [12]).

For $m > 0$ and a sequence M_p satisfying the conditions (M.1) – (M.3), we shall denote by $\mathcal{S}_\infty^{M_p, m}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Banach space of all functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\|\varphi\|_m := \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{m^{|\alpha|} |D^\alpha \varphi(x)| e^{M(m|x|)}}{M_\alpha} < \infty, \quad (0.1)$$

endowed with the norm in (0.1) and we denote $\mathcal{S}^{(M_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \varinjlim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_\infty^{M_p, m}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$\mathcal{S}^{\{M_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \varinjlim_{m \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{S}_\infty^{M_p, m}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In the sequel we shall consider simultaneously the

two latter spaces by using the common notation $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For each space we will consider a suitable symbol class. Definitions and statements will be formulated first for the (M_p) case and then for the $\{M_p\}$ case, using the notation $*$. We shall denote by $\mathcal{S}^{*'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the strong dual space of $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We refer to [5, 18, 19] for the properties of $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{S}^{*'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Here we just recall that the Fourier transformation is an automorphism on $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and on $\mathcal{S}^{*'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and that for $M_p = p!^s$, $s > 1$, we have $M(\rho) \sim \rho^{1/s}$. In this case $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ coincides respectively with the Gelfand-Shilov

spaces $\Sigma_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$) of all functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\sup_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d} h^{-|\alpha| - |\beta|} (\alpha! \beta!)^{-s} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |x^\beta \partial^\alpha \varphi(x)| < \infty$$

for every $h > 0$ (resp. for some $h > 0$), cf. [9, 18].

Following [21] we now introduce the class of pseudodifferential operators to which our results apply. Let M_p, A_p be two sequences of positive numbers. We assume that M_p satisfies (M.1), (M.2) and (M.3) and that A_p satisfies $A_0 = A_1 = 1$, (M.1), (M.2), (M.3)' and (M.4). Moreover we suppose that $A_p \subset M_p$ i.e. there exist $c_0 > 0, L > 0$ such that $A_p \leq c_0 L^p M_p$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\rho_0 = \inf\{\rho \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mid A_p \subset M_p^\rho\}$. Obviously $0 < \rho_0 \leq 1$. Let $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_+$ be arbitrary but fixed such that $\rho_0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ if the infimum can be reached, or otherwise $\rho_0 < \rho \leq 1$. For any fixed $h > 0, m > 0$ we denote by $\Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{M_p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; h, m)$ the space of all functions $a(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that

$$\sup_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} \sup_{(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{|D_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta a(x, \xi)| \langle (x, \xi) \rangle^{\rho|\alpha + \beta|} e^{-(M(m|x|) + M(m|\xi|))}}{h^{|\alpha + \beta|} A_\alpha A_\beta} < \infty, \quad (0.2)$$

where $M(\cdot)$ is the associated function for the sequence M_p . Then we define

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{(M_p), \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{M_p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; h, m); \\ \Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{\{M_p\}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow 0} \Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{M_p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; h, m). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. We notice that in the case $M_p = p!^s, s > 1$, we can replace $M(m|x|) + M(m|\xi|)$ by $M(m|x||\xi|)$ in (0.2). In particular, in the case of non-quasi-analytic Gelfand-Shilov spaces, we can include symbols of the form $e^{\pm \langle (x, \xi) \rangle^{1/s}}$ in our class, cf. [20].

We associate to any symbol $a \in \Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ a pseudodifferential operator $a(x, D)$ defined, as it is usual, by

$$a(x, D)f(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} a(x, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (0.3)$$

where \hat{f} denotes the Fourier transform of f . In [21] it was proved that operators of the form (0.3) act continuously on $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and on $\mathcal{S}^{*'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, a symbolic calculus for $\Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ (denoted there by $\Gamma_{A_p, A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$) has been constructed. As a consequence it was proved that the class of pseudodifferential operators with symbols in $\Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is closed with respect to composition and adjoints. Here we introduce a notion of hypoellipticity for this class.

Definition 0.1. Let $a \in \Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. We say that a is $\Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}$ -hypoelliptic if

- i) there exists $B > 0$ such that there exist $c, m > 0$ (resp. for every $m > 0$ there exists $c > 0$) such that

$$|a(x, \xi)| \geq ce^{-M(m|x|) - M(m|\xi|)}, \quad (x, \xi) \in Q_B^c \quad (0.4)$$

ii) there exists $B > 0$ such that for every $h > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ (resp. there exist $h, C > 0$) such that

$$\left| D_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta a(x, \xi) \right| \leq C \frac{h^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} |a(x, \xi)| A_\alpha A_\beta}{\langle (x, \xi) \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d, (x, \xi) \in Q_B^c. \quad (0.5)$$

The main result of the paper is the following

Theorem 0.2. *Let $a \in \Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be $\Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}$ -hypoelliptic and let $v \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then every solution $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to the equation $a(x, D)u = v$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Remark 2. *In the case $M_p = p!^s, s > 1$, symbols of the form $e^{\langle (x, \xi) \rangle^{1/s}}$ satisfy the conditions (0.4), (0.5), cf. [20, Section 5] for details and other examples of hypoelliptic operators. Moreover, using the results obtained in [10] for Gelfand-Shilov spaces, it is easy to verify that the lower bound assumption (0.4) is sharp if we consider operators of the form $\exp(-P^{1/m_s})u := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_j^{1/m_s}} u_j \varphi_j$, where P is a positive globally elliptic Shubin differential operator of order m , cf. [24], λ_j are its eigenvalues, $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions of P and u_j are the Fourier coefficients of u .*

The proof of Theorem 0.2 is based on the construction of a parametrix for a $\Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}$ -hypoelliptic operator. To perform this step we use the global calculus developed in [21]. In Section 1 we recall some facts about this calculus. Section 2 is devoted to the construction of the parametrix and to the proof of Theorem 0.2.

1 Pseudodifferential operators on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$

In this section we recall some facts about the pseudodifferential calculus for operators with symbols in $\Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ which will be used in the proofs of the next section. Since the statements below are proved in [21] for slightly more general classes of symbols, we prefer to report here the same results as they should be read for the class $\Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ in order to make the paper self-contained. For proofs and further details we refer to [21]. First we recall the notion of asymptotic expansion, cf. [21, Definition 2].

Definition 1.1. *Let M_p and A_p be as in the definition of $\Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and let $m_0 = 0, m_p = M_p/M_{p-1}, p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We denote by $FS_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ the space of all formal sums $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a_j$ such that for some $B > 0$, $a_j \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\text{int} Q_{B m_j}^c)$ and satisfy the following condition: there exists $m > 0$ such that for every $h > 0$ (resp. there exists $h > 0$ such that for every $m > 0$) we have*

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d} \sup_{(x, \xi) \in Q_{B m_j}^c} \frac{|D_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta a_j(x, \xi)| \langle (x, \xi) \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+|\beta|+2j)} e^{-M(m|x|) - M(m|\xi|)}}{h^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+2j} A_\alpha A_\beta A_j^2} < \infty.$$

Notice that any symbol $a \in \Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ can be regarded as an element $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a_j$ of $FS_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ with $a_0 = a, a_j = 0$ for $j \geq 1$.

Definition 1.2. A symbol $a \in \Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is equivalent to $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a_j \in FS_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ (we write $a \sim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a_j$ in this case) if there exist $m, B > 0$ such that for every $h > 0$ (resp. there exist $h, B > 0$ such that for every $m > 0$) the following condition holds:

$$\sup_{N \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sup_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d} \sup_{(x, \xi) \in Q_{Bm, N}^c} \frac{\left| D_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta (a(x, \xi) - \sum_{j < N} a_j(x, \xi)) \right| e^{-M(m|x|) - M(m|\xi|)}}{h^{|\alpha + \beta| + 2N} A_\alpha A_\beta A_N^2 \langle (x, \xi) \rangle^{-\rho(|\alpha + \beta| + 2N)}} < \infty.$$

In [21] it was proved that if $a \sim 0$, then the operator $a(x, D)$ is $*$ -regularizing, i.e. it extends to a continuous map from $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover we have the following result, cf. [21, Theorem 4].

Proposition 1.3. Let $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a_j \in FS_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Then there exists a symbol $a \in \Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that $a \sim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a_j$.

Finally we recall the following composition theorem, cf. [21, Corollary 1].

Theorem 1.4. Let $a, b \in \Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ with asymptotic expansions $a \sim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a_j$ and $b \sim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} b_j$. Then there exists $c \in \Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and a $*$ -regularizing operator T such that $a(x, D)b(x, D) = c(x, D) + T$. Moreover c has the following asymptotic expansion

$$c(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{s+k+l=j} \sum_{|\alpha|=l} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha a_s(x, \xi) D_x^\alpha b_k(x, \xi).$$

2 Hypoellipticity and parametrix

In this section we construct the symbol of a left (and right) parametrix for a $\Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}$ -hypoelliptic operator starting from the asymptotic expansion of the symbol and using the symbolic calculus developed in [21]. To do this we need some preliminary results.

Lemma 2.1. Let M_p be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying (M.4) and $M_0 = M_1 = 1$. Then for all $2 \leq q \leq p$, $\left(\frac{M_q}{q!}\right)^{1/(q-1)} \leq \left(\frac{M_p}{p!}\right)^{1/(p-1)}$.

Proof. For brevity in notation put $N_p = M_p/p!$. Then $N_0 = N_1 = 1$ and N_p satisfies (M.1). Moreover the sequence N_{p-1}/N_p is monotonically decreasing. It is enough to prove that $N_p^{1/(p-1)} \leq N_{p+1}^{1/p}$ for $p \geq 2$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$. The proof goes by induction. For $p = 2$ one easily verifies this. Assume that it holds for some $p \geq 2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{p+1}^{2p+2} &\leq N_p^{p+1} N_{p+2}^{p+1} \leq N_p N_{p+1}^{p-1} N_{p+2}^{p+1} = N_{p+2}^{2p} N_p \left(\frac{N_{p+1}}{N_{p+2}}\right)^{p-1} \\ &\leq N_{p+2}^{2p} N_p \frac{N_{p-1}}{N_p} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{N_1}{N_2} = N_{p+2}^{2p}, \end{aligned}$$

from which the desired inequality follows. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let M_p satisfy (M.4) and $M_0 = M_1 = 1$. Then for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$ such that $\beta \leq \alpha$ and $1 \leq |\beta| \leq |\alpha| - 1$ the inequality $\binom{\alpha}{\beta} M_{\alpha-\beta} M_\beta \leq |\alpha| M_{|\alpha|-1}$ holds.*

Proof. We will consider two cases.

Case 1. $2 \leq |\beta| \leq |\alpha| - 2$.

If we use Lemma 2.1 and the inequality $\binom{\kappa}{\nu} \leq \binom{|\kappa|}{|\nu|}$ for $\nu \leq \kappa$, $\kappa, \nu \in \mathbb{N}^d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} M_{\alpha-\beta} M_\beta &\leq |\alpha|! \cdot \frac{M_{\alpha-\beta}}{(|\alpha| - |\beta|)!} \cdot \frac{M_\beta}{|\beta|!} \\ &\leq |\alpha|! \cdot \left(\frac{M_{|\alpha|-1}}{(|\alpha| - 1)!} \right)^{\frac{|\alpha| - |\beta| - 1}{|\alpha| - 2}} \cdot \left(\frac{M_{|\alpha|-1}}{(|\alpha| - 1)!} \right)^{\frac{|\beta| - 1}{|\alpha| - 2}} = |\alpha| M_{|\alpha|-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $|\beta| = 1$ or $|\beta| = |\alpha| - 1$.

Then obviously $\binom{\alpha}{\beta} M_{\alpha-\beta} M_\beta \leq |\alpha| M_{|\alpha|-1}$. □

In the following we assume that A_p satisfies the conditions (M.1), (M.2), (M.3)' and (M.4). Furthermore we suppose that $A_0 = A_1 = 1$. Because of (M.3)', $A_p / (pA_{p-1}) \rightarrow \infty$, when $p \rightarrow \infty$, see [12]. Under these assumptions we can prove the following result.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $a \in \Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be $\Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}$ -hypoelliptic. Then, the function $p_0(x, \xi) = a(x, \xi)^{-1}$ satisfies the following condition: for every $h > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ (resp. there exist $h, C > 0$) such that*

$$\left| D_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta p_0(x, \xi) \right| \leq C \frac{h^{|\alpha| + |\beta|} |p_0(x, \xi)| A_{\alpha+\beta}}{\langle (x, \xi) \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha| + |\beta|)}}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d, (x, \xi) \in Q_B^c. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. We observe preliminary that (M.1) and (M.2) on A_p imply that (0.5) is equivalent to saying that there exists $B > 0$ such that for every $h > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ (resp. there exist $h, C > 0$) such that

$$\left| D_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta a(x, \xi) \right| \leq C \frac{h^{|\alpha| + |\beta|} |a(x, \xi)| A_{\alpha+\beta}}{\langle (x, \xi) \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha| + |\beta|)}}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d, (x, \xi) \in Q_B^c. \quad (2.2)$$

Then, to simplify the notation, we set $w = (x, \xi)$. First we will consider the (M_p) case. Let $h > 0$ be arbitrary but fixed and take $h_1 > 0$ such that $2^{4d+2} h_1 \leq h$. Then there exists $C_{h_1} \geq 1$ such that

$$|D_w^\alpha a(w)| \leq C_{h_1} \frac{h_1^{|\alpha|} |a(w)| A_\alpha}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}, w \in Q_B^c. \quad (2.3)$$

Now, there exists $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $C_{h_1} \leq 2^t$. Then, for $|\alpha| \geq t$,

$$|D_w^\alpha a(w)| \leq \frac{(2h_1)^{|\alpha|} |a(w)| A_\alpha}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}}, \quad w \in Q_B^c. \quad (2.4)$$

Choose $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $s > t + 1$, such that

$$C_{h_1} s' A_{s'-1} \leq A_{s'}, \text{ for all } s' \geq s. \quad (2.5)$$

We will prove that

$$|D_w^\alpha p_0(w)| \leq C_{h_1}^{\min\{s, |\alpha|\}} \frac{h^{|\alpha|} |p_0(w)| A_\alpha}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}, w \in Q_B^c, \quad (2.6)$$

which will complete the proof in the (M_p) case.

For $|\alpha| = 0$, (2.6) is obviously true. Suppose that it is true for $|\alpha| \leq k$, for some $0 \leq k \leq s - 1$. We will prove that it holds for $|\alpha| = k + 1$. If we differentiate the equality $a(w)p_0(w) = 1$ on Q_B^c , we have

$$|a(w)| |D_w^\alpha p_0(w)| \leq \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} |D_w^{\alpha-\beta} p_0(w)| \cdot |D_w^\beta a(w)|.$$

We can use the inductive hypothesis for the terms $|D_w^{\alpha-\beta} p_0(w)|$, Lemma 2.2 and the fact that $qA_{q-1} \leq A_q$, $\forall q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, (which follows from (M.4)) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |D_w^\alpha p_0(w)| &\leq \frac{C_{h_1}^{k+1} |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}} \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} h^{|\alpha| - |\beta|} h_1^{|\beta|} A_{\alpha-\beta} A_\beta \\ &\leq \frac{C_{h_1}^{k+1} |p_0(w)| h^{|\alpha|} A_\alpha}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}} \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \left(\frac{h_1}{h}\right)^{|\beta|} \\ &\leq \frac{C_{h_1}^{k+1} |p_0(w)| h^{|\alpha|} A_\alpha}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{h_1}{h}\right)^r \sum_{|\beta|=r} 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{h_1}{h}\right)^r \sum_{|\beta|=r} 1 \leq \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \binom{r+2d-1}{2d-1} \left(\frac{h_1}{h}\right)^r \leq \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2^{4d} h_1}{h}\right)^r \leq 1,$$

(2.6) is true for $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq s$. To continue the induction, assume that it is true for $|\alpha| \leq k$, with $k \geq s$. To prove it for $|\alpha| = k + 1$, differentiate the equality $a(w)p_0(w) = 1$ for $w \in Q_B^c$. We obtain

$$|a(w)| |D_w^\alpha p_0(w)| \leq \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \alpha \\ \beta \neq 0, \beta \neq \alpha}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} |D_w^{\alpha-\beta} p_0(w)| |D_w^\beta a(w)| + |p_0(w)| |D_w^\alpha a(w)|.$$

We can use the inductive hypothesis for the terms $|D_w^{\alpha-\beta} p_0(w)|$, Lemma 2.2 and (2.5) to obtain

$$|D_w^\alpha p_0(w)| \leq \frac{C_{h_1}^s |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}} \left((2h_1)^{|\alpha|} A_\alpha + \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \alpha \\ \beta \neq 0, \beta \neq \alpha}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} C_{h_1} h^{|\alpha| - |\beta|} h_1^{|\beta|} A_{\alpha-\beta} A_\beta \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{C_{h_1}^s |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}} \left((2h_1)^{|\alpha|} A_\alpha + \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \alpha \\ \beta \neq 0, \beta \neq \alpha}} h^{|\alpha| - |\beta|} h_1^{|\beta|} C_{h_1}^{|\alpha|} A_{|\alpha| - 1} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{C_{h_1}^s |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}} \left((2h_1)^{|\alpha|} A_\alpha + A_\alpha h^{|\alpha|} \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \alpha \\ \beta \neq 0, \beta \neq \alpha}} \left(\frac{h_1}{h} \right)^{|\beta|} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{C_{h_1}^s h^{|\alpha|} |p_0(w)| A_\alpha}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2h_1}{h} \right)^r \sum_{|\beta|=r} 1 \\
&= \frac{C_{h_1}^s h^{|\alpha|} |p_0(w)| A_\alpha}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \binom{r+2d-1}{2d-1} \left(\frac{2h_1}{h} \right)^r.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we observe that

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \binom{r+2d-1}{2d-1} \left(\frac{2h_1}{h} \right)^r \leq \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2^{4d+1} h_1}{h} \right)^r \leq 1.$$

This completes the induction.

In the $\{M_p\}$ case, there exist $h_1, C_{h_1} > 0$ such that (2.3) holds. Take h such that $2^{4d+2} h_1 \leq h$. Choose t and s as in (2.4) and (2.5). Then we can prove (2.6) in the same way as for the (M_p) case. \square

Remark 3. We observe that to prove Lemma 2.3 we can replace the assumption (M.4) on A_p by a weaker assumption. Namely we can assume that there exists $K > 0$ such that $\left(\frac{M_q}{q!} \right)^{1/q} \leq K \left(\frac{M_p}{p!} \right)^{1/p}$, for all $1 \leq q \leq p$. In fact, the latter condition is the same adopted to prove that $1/f \in \mathcal{E}^*(\mathbb{R})$ when $f \in \mathcal{E}^*(\mathbb{R})$ and $\inf |f(x)| \neq 0$ (cf. [1] for the Beurling case and [23] for the Roumieu case). The proof in [1], [23] relies on careful considerations of the coefficients in the Faà di Bruno formula applied to the composition of the mapping $t \mapsto 1/t$ with $a(x, \xi)$. On the contrary (M.4) is needed to prove the next Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.4. Let $a \in \Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be $\Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}$ -hypoelliptic. Define $p_0(x, \xi) = a(x, \xi)^{-1}$ and inductively

$$p_j(x, \xi) = -p_0(x, \xi) \sum_{0 < |\nu| \leq j} \frac{1}{\nu!} \partial_\xi^\nu p_{j-|\nu|}(x, \xi) D_x^\nu a(x, \xi), j \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Then, the functions p_j satisfy the following conditions:

there exist $B > 0$ such that for every $h > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ (resp. there exist $h, C > 0$) such that

$$\left| D_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta p_j(x, \xi) \right| \leq C \frac{h^{|\alpha| + |\beta| + 2j} A_{|\alpha| + |\beta| + 2j} |p_0(x, \xi)|}{\langle (x, \xi) \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha| + |\beta| + 2j)}}, \quad (2.7)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $(x, \xi) \in Q_B^c$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$;

there exist $m, B > 0$ such that for every $h > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ (resp. there exist $h, B > 0$ such that for every $m > 0$ there exists $C > 0$) such that

$$\left| D_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta p_j(x, \xi) \right| \leq C \frac{h^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+2j} A_{|\alpha|+|\beta|+2j} e^{M(m|x|)} e^{M(m|\xi|)}}{\langle (x, \xi) \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+|\beta|+2j)}}, \quad (2.8)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $(x, \xi) \in Q_B^c$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Proof. First, observe that it is enough to prove (2.7) since (2.8) follows from (2.7) by (0.4) (possibly with different constants). As before, we put $w = (x, \xi)$. We will consider first the (M_p) case. Let $h > 0$ be fixed. Choose $h_1 > 0$ so small such that $2^{9d+1}h_1 \leq h$ and $e^{4^d d h_1/h} - 1 \leq 1/2$. Then by assumption and Lemma 2.3, there exists $C_{h_1} \geq 1$ such that

$$|D_w^\alpha a(w)| \leq C_{h_1} \frac{h_1^{|\alpha|} |a(w)| A_\alpha}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}, w \in Q_B^c, \quad (2.9)$$

$$|D_w^\alpha p_0(w)| \leq C_{h_1} \frac{h_1^{|\alpha|} |p_0(w)| A_\alpha}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}, w \in Q_B^c, \quad (2.10)$$

Take $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, such that

$$C_{h_1}^2 s' A_{s'-1} \leq A_{s'}, \quad \text{for all } s' \geq s. \quad (2.11)$$

We will prove that, for $j \geq 1$,

$$|D_w^\alpha p_j(w)| \leq C_{h_1}^{2 \min\{s, j\} + 1} \frac{h^{|\alpha|+2j} A_{|\alpha|+2j} |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2j)}}, \quad (2.12)$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}$, $w \in Q_B^c$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, which will prove the lemma in the (M_p) case. We can argue by induction on j . For $j = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D_w^\alpha p_1(w)| &\leq \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \sum_{|\nu|=1} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma! \delta!} \left| D_w^\beta p_0(w) \right| \left| D_w^\gamma D_\xi^\nu p_0(w) \right| \left| D_w^\delta D_x^\nu a(w) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C_{h_1}^3 |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2)}} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \frac{d \cdot \alpha!}{\beta! \gamma! \delta!} h_1^{|\beta|} A_{|\beta|} h^{|\gamma|+1} A_{|\gamma|+1} h_1^{|\delta|+1} A_{|\delta|+1}. \end{aligned}$$

For $|\gamma| \geq 1$, by using Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$A_{|\gamma|+1} \leq (|\gamma| + 1)! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2}}{(|\alpha| + 2)!} \right)^{\frac{|\gamma|}{|\alpha|+1}}.$$

For $|\gamma| = 0$ this trivially holds. Also, if $|\beta| \geq 2$,

$$A_\beta \leq |\beta|! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2}}{(|\alpha| + 2)!} \right)^{\frac{|\beta|-1}{|\alpha|+1}} \leq |\beta|! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2}}{(|\alpha| + 2)!} \right)^{\frac{|\beta|}{|\alpha|+1}}$$

and this obviously holds if $|\beta| = 1$ or $|\beta| = 0$ (note that (M.4) implies that $A_p \geq p!$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$). Moreover for $|\delta| \geq 1$, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$A_{|\delta|+1} \leq (|\delta| + 1)! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2}}{(|\alpha| + 2)!} \right)^{\frac{|\delta|}{|\alpha|+1}}.$$

If $|\delta| = 0$ this inequality obviously holds. Insert these inequalities in the estimate for $|D_w^\alpha p_1(w)|$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |D_w^\alpha p_1(w)| &\leq \frac{C_{h_1}^3 h^{|\alpha|+2} A_{|\alpha|+2} |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2)}} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \frac{d \cdot \alpha!}{\beta! \gamma! \delta!} \left(\frac{h_1}{h} \right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|+1} \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{(|\gamma| + 1)! |\beta|! (|\delta| + 1)!}{(|\alpha| + 2)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma! \delta!} &= \binom{\alpha}{\beta + \gamma} \binom{\beta + \gamma}{\beta} \leq \binom{|\alpha|}{|\beta + \gamma|} \binom{|\beta + \gamma|}{|\beta|} \\ &= \frac{|\alpha|!}{|\beta|! |\gamma|! |\delta|!} \leq \frac{(|\alpha| + 1)!}{|\beta|! (|\gamma| + 1)! |\delta|!} \leq \frac{(|\alpha| + 2)!}{|\beta|! (|\gamma| + 1)! (|\delta| + 1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$|D_w^\alpha p_1(w)| \leq \frac{C_{h_1}^3 h^{|\alpha|+2} A_{|\alpha|+2} |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2)}} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \left(\frac{2^d h_1}{h} \right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|+1}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \left(\frac{2^d h_1}{h} \right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|+1} &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\beta|+|\delta|=l} \left(\frac{2^d h_1}{h} \right)^{l+1} \\ &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{l + 4d - 1}{4d - 1} \left(\frac{2^d h_1}{h} \right)^{l+1} \\ &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2^{9d} h_1}{h} \right)^{l+1} \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof for $j = 1$. Suppose that it holds for all $j \leq k$, $k \leq s - 1$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We will prove it for $j = k + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} |D_w^\alpha p_j(w)| &\leq \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \sum_{0 < |\nu| \leq j} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma! \delta!} \cdot \frac{1}{\nu!} |D_w^\beta p_0(w)| \cdot |D_w^\gamma D_\xi^\nu p_{j-|\nu|}(w)| \cdot |D_w^\delta D_x^\nu a(w)| \\ &\leq \frac{C_{h_1}^{2j+1} |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2j)}} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \sum_{0 < |\nu| \leq j} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma! \delta! \nu!} \cdot h_1^{|\beta|} A_{|\beta|} h^{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|} A_{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|} h_1^{|\delta|+|\nu|} A_{|\delta|+|\nu|}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the inductive hypothesis for the derivatives of the terms $p_{j-|\nu|}(w)$. By using Lemma 2.1, we obtain (note that $2j - |\nu| \geq 2$)

$$\begin{aligned} A_{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|} &\leq (|\gamma| + 2j - |\nu|)! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{(|\alpha| + 2j)!} \right)^{\frac{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|-1}{|\alpha|+2j-1}} \\ &\leq (|\gamma| + 2j - |\nu|)! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{(|\alpha| + 2j)!} \right)^{\frac{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|}{|\alpha|+2j-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from $A_p \geq p!$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, which in turn follows from (M.4). Also, if $|\beta| \geq 2$,

$$A_\beta \leq |\beta|! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{(|\alpha| + 2j)!} \right)^{\frac{|\beta|-1}{|\alpha|+2j-1}} \leq |\beta|! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{(|\alpha| + 2j)!} \right)^{\frac{|\beta|}{|\alpha|+2j-1}}$$

and this obviously holds if $|\beta| = 1$ or $|\beta| = 0$. Moreover for $|\delta| \geq 1$, by Lemma 2.1 (because $|\nu| \geq 1$), we have

$$A_{|\delta|+|\nu|} \leq (|\delta| + |\nu|)! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{(|\alpha| + 2j)!} \right)^{\frac{|\delta|+|\nu|-1}{|\alpha|+2j-1}}.$$

If $|\delta| = 0$ and $|\nu| \geq 2$ Lemma 2.1 implies the same inequality and if $|\delta| = 0$ and $|\nu| = 1$ this inequality obviously holds. If we insert these inequalities in the estimate for $|D_w^\alpha p_j(w)|$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|D_w^\alpha p_j(w)| \\ &\leq \frac{C_{h_1}^{2j+1} |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2j)}} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \sum_{0 < |\nu| \leq j} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma! \delta! \nu!} h_1^{|\beta|} h^{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|} h_1^{|\delta|+|\nu|} \\ &\quad \cdot (|\gamma| + 2j - |\nu|)! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{(|\alpha| + 2j)!} \right)^{\frac{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|}{|\alpha|+2j-1}} |\beta|! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{(|\alpha| + 2j)!} \right)^{\frac{|\beta|}{|\alpha|+2j-1}} \\ &\quad \cdot (|\delta| + |\nu|)! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{(|\alpha| + 2j)!} \right)^{\frac{|\delta|+|\nu|-1}{|\alpha|+2j-1}} \\ &= \frac{C_{h_1}^{2j+1} h^{|\alpha|+2j} A_{|\alpha|+2j} |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2j)}} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \sum_{0 < |\nu| \leq j} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma! \delta! \nu!} \left(\frac{h_1}{h} \right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|+|\nu|} \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{(|\gamma| + 2j - |\nu|)! |\beta|! (|\delta| + |\nu|)!}{(|\alpha| + 2j)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma! \delta!} &\leq \frac{|\alpha!|}{|\beta|! |\gamma|! |\delta|!} \leq \frac{(|\alpha| + 2j - |\nu|)!}{|\beta|! (|\gamma| + 2j - |\nu|)! |\delta|!} \\ &\leq \frac{(|\alpha| + 2j)!}{|\beta|! (|\gamma| + 2j - |\nu|)! (|\delta| + |\nu|)!}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$|D_w^\alpha p_j(w)| \leq \frac{C_{h_1}^{2j+1} h^{|\alpha|+2j} A_{|\alpha|+2j} |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2j)}} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\nu|=r} \frac{1}{\nu!} \left(\frac{h_1}{h} \right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|+r}.$$

We have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\nu|=r} \frac{1}{\nu!} \left(\frac{h_1}{h} \right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|+r} \\ & \leq \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \binom{r+d-1}{d-1} \frac{d^r}{r!} \left(\frac{h_1}{h} \right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|+r} \\ & \leq \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \left(\frac{h_1}{h} \right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!} \left(\frac{2^{2d} d h_1}{h} \right)^r \\ & = \left(e^{4^d d h_1/h} - 1 \right) \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \left(\frac{h_1}{h} \right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|} = \left(e^{4^d d h_1/h} - 1 \right) \sum_{\beta+\delta \leq \alpha} \left(\frac{h_1}{h} \right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|} \\ & \leq \left(e^{4^d d h_1/h} - 1 \right) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{h_1}{h} \right)^l \sum_{|\beta|+|\delta|=l} 1 \\ & = \left(e^{4^d d h_1/h} - 1 \right) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{h_1}{h} \right)^l \binom{l+4d-1}{4d-1} \\ & \leq \left(e^{4^d d h_1/h} - 1 \right) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2^{8d} h_1}{h} \right)^l \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we proved (2.12) for $1 \leq j \leq s$. Suppose that it holds for all $j \leq k$, $k \geq s$. For $j = k + 1$, similarly as above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |D_w^\alpha p_j(w)| & \leq \frac{C_{h_1}^{2s+1} |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2j)}} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \sum_{0 < |\nu| \leq j} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma! \delta! \nu!} \\ & \quad \cdot C_{h_1}^2 h_1^{|\beta|} A_{|\beta|} h^{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|} A_{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|} h_1^{|\delta|+|\nu|} A_{|\delta|+|\nu|}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $|\gamma| + 2j - |\nu| \geq s$, so, by (2.11), we have

$$C_{h_1}^2 A_{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|} \leq A_{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|+1} / (|\gamma| + 2j - |\nu| + 1).$$

Also $|\gamma| + 2j - |\nu| + 1 \leq |\alpha| + 2j$, hence Lemma 2.1 implies

$$C_{h_1}^2 A_{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|} \leq \frac{A_{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|+1}}{|\gamma| + 2j - |\nu| + 1} \leq (|\gamma| + 2j - |\nu|)! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{(|\alpha| + 2j)!} \right)^{\frac{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|}{|\alpha|+2j-1}}.$$

In the same manner as above we obtain

$$A_\beta \leq |\beta!| \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{(|\alpha| + 2j)!} \right)^{\frac{|\beta|}{|\alpha|+2j-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad A_{|\delta|+|\nu|} \leq (|\delta| + |\nu|)! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{(|\alpha| + 2j)!} \right)^{\frac{|\delta|+|\nu|-1}{|\alpha|+2j-1}}.$$

If we insert these inequalities in the estimate for $|D_w^\alpha p_j(w)|$ and use the above inequality for $\frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!\delta!}$ we obtain

$$|D_w^\alpha p_j(w)| \leq \frac{C_{h_1}^{2s+1} h^{|\alpha|+2j} A_{|\alpha|+2j} |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2j)}} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\nu|=r} \frac{1}{\nu!} \left(\frac{h_1}{h}\right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|+r}.$$

We already proved that $\sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\nu|=r} \frac{1}{\nu!} \left(\frac{h_1}{h}\right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|+r} \leq 1$, hence the proof for the (M_p) case is complete.

Next, we consider the $\{M_p\}$ case. By assumption and Lemma 2.3, there exist $h_1, C_{h_1} \geq 1$ such that (2.9) and (2.10) hold. Take h so large such that $2^{9d+1} h_1 \leq h$ and $e^{4^d d h_1/h} - 1 \leq 1/2$. There exists $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $C_{h_1}^2 s' A_{s'-1} \leq A_{s'}$, for all $s' \geq s$. One proves that

$$|D_w^\alpha p_j(w)| \leq C_{h_1}^{2 \min\{s,j\}+1} \frac{h^{|\alpha|+2j} A_{|\alpha|+2j} |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2j)}},$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}$, $w \in Q_B^c$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, by induction on j in the same manner as for (2.12) in the (M_p) case. This completes the proof in the $\{M_p\}$ case. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let $a \in \Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be $\Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}$ -hypoelliptic. Then there exist *-regularizing operators T and T' and $b, b' \in \Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that $b(x, D)a(x, D) = \text{Id} + T$ and $a(x, D)b'(x, D) = \text{Id} + T'$.*

Proof. Let p_j , $j \in \mathbb{N}$, be as in Lemma 2.4. Then the functions p_0 and p_j , $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, satisfy the estimates given in Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4. Since A_p satisfies (M.1) and (M.2), these estimates are equivalent to the following:

there exist $m, B > 0$ such that for every $h > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ (resp. there exist $h, B > 0$ such that for every $m > 0$ there exists $C > 0$) such that

$$\left| D_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta p_j(x, \xi) \right| \leq C \frac{h^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+2j} A_\alpha A_\beta A_j^2 e^{M(m|x|)} e^{M(m|\xi|)}}{\langle (x, \xi) \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+|\beta|+2j)}}, \quad (2.13)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $(x, \xi) \in Q_B^c$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. One can modify p_0 near the boundary of Q_B^c so that it can be extended to C^∞ function on \mathbb{R}^{2d} and satisfy (2.13) on the whole \mathbb{R}^{2d} . Hence, (2.13) remains true for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with larger B . We obtain $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j \in FS_{A_p, \rho}^{\infty, *}$ (\mathbb{R}^{2d}). Let $b \sim \sum_j p_j$, $b \in \Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. By Theorem 1.4 there exist $c \in \Gamma_{A_p, \rho}^{*, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and a *-regularizing operator \tilde{T}'_1 such that $b(x, D)a(x, D) = c(x, D) + \tilde{T}'_1$ and c has the asymptotic expansion $c \sim \sum_j c_j$, where

$$c_j(x, \xi) = \sum_{s+l=j} \sum_{|\nu|=l} \frac{1}{\nu!} \partial_\xi^\nu p_s(x, \xi) D_x^\nu a(x, \xi).$$

One easily verifies that $c_0(x, \xi) = 1$ on Q_B^c . Also, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$c_j = p_j a + \sum_{l=1}^j \sum_{|\nu|=l} \frac{1}{\nu!} \partial_\xi^\nu p_{j-l} \cdot D_x^\nu a = p_j a + \sum_{0 < |\nu| \leq j} \frac{1}{\nu!} \partial_\xi^\nu p_{j-|\nu|} \cdot D_x^\nu a = 0,$$

on Q_B^c , by the definition of p_j . Hence, $b(x, D)a(x, D) = \text{Id} + T$ for some $*$ -regularizing operator T . With similar constructions one obtains b' such that $a(x, D)b'(x, D) = \text{Id} + T'$, where T' is a $*$ -regularizing operator. \square

Proof of Theorem 0.2. Let $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a solution of $a(x, D)u = v \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then, applying the left parametrix $b(x, D)$ of $a(x, D)$, we obtain $u = b(x, D)v - Tu$ for some $*$ -regularizing operator T . Hence $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The theorem is proved. \square

References

- [1] J. Bruna, *On inverse-closed algebras of infinitely differentiable functions*, *Studia Math.* **69** 1 (1981), 59-68
- [2] M. Cappiello, *Gelfand spaces and pseudodifferential operators of infinite order in \mathbb{R}^n* , *Ann. Univ Ferrara, Sez. VII, Sc. Mat.*, **48** (2002), 75-97
- [3] M. Cappiello, *Pseudodifferential parametrices of infinite order for SG-hyperbolic problems*, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino*, **61** 4 (2003), 411-441
- [4] M. Cappiello, *Fourier integral operators of infinite order and applications to SG-hyperbolic equations*, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **28** (2004), 311-361
- [5] R. Carmichael, A. Kamiński and S. Pilipović, *Boundary Values and Convolution in Ultradistribution Spaces*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2007
- [6] Cattabriga, L. and Mari, D., *Parametrix of infinite order on Gevrey spaces to the Cauchy problem for hyperbolic operators with one constant multiple characteristic*, *Ric. Mat.*, **36** Suppl. Vol. XXXVI (1987), 127-147.
- [7] Cattabriga, L. and Zanghirati, L., *Fourier integral operators of infinite order on Gevrey spaces. Application to the Cauchy problem for certain hyperbolic operators*, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **30** (1990), 142-192.
- [8] C. Fernández, A. Galbis, D. Jornet, *Pseudodifferential operators on non-quasianalytic classes of Beurling type*, *Studia Math.* **167** (2005), no. 2, 99-131.
- [9] I.M. Gelfand and G.E. Shilov, *Generalized functions II*, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [10] T. Gramchev, S. Pilipović and L. Rodino, *Eigenfunction expansions in \mathbb{R}^n* , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **139** (2011), no. 12, 4361-4368.
- [11] S. Hashimoto, T. Matsuzawa and Y. Morimoto, *Opérateurs pseudo-différentiels et classes de Gevrey*, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **8** (1983), 1277-1289.
- [12] H. Komatsu, *Ultradistributions, I: Structure theorems and a characterization*, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math.*, **20** 1 (1973), 25-105
- [13] H. Komatsu, *Ultradistributions, II: The kernel theorem and ultradistributions with support in submanifold*, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math.*, **24** 3 (1977), 607-628

- [14] H. Komatsu, *An analogue of the Cauchy-Kowalevsky theorem for ultradifferentiable functions and a division theorem of ultradistributions as its dual*, (1979)
- [15] H. Komatsu, *The implicit function theorem for ultradifferentiable mappings*, Proc. Japan Acad., Ser. A **55** 3 (1979), 69-72
- [16] H. Komatsu, *Ultradistributions, III: Vector valued ultradistributions and the theory of kernels*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math., **29** 3 (1982), 653-717
- [17] O. Liess and L. Rodino, *Inhomogeneous Gevrey classes and related pseudodifferential operators*. Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. C (6) 3 (1984), no. 1, 233-323.
- [18] S. Pilipović, *Tempered ultradistributions*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7) **2** (1988), no. 2, 235-251
- [19] S. Pilipović, *Characterizations of bounded sets in spaces of ultradistributions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **120** 4 (1994), 1191-1206
- [20] S. Pilipović and N. Teofanov, *Pseudo-differential operators on ultra-modulation spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **208** (2004), 194-228.
- [21] B. Prangoski, *Pseudodifferential operators of infinite order in spaces of tempered ultradistributions*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl. **4** (2013), 495-549.
- [22] L. Rodino, *Linear partial differential operators in Gevrey spaces*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 1993.
- [23] W. Rudin, *Division in algebras of infinitely differentiable functions*, No. MRC-TSR-273. WISCONSIN UNIV-MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER, 1961
- [24] M. Shubin, *Pseudodifferential operators and the spectral theory*, Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1987.
- [25] L. Zanghirati, *Pseudodifferential operators of infinite order and Gevrey classes*, Ann. Univ Ferrara, Sez. VII, Sc. Mat., **31** (1985), 197-219.

^a Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Torino, via Carlo Alberto 10, 10123 Torino, Italy

email: marco.cappiello@unito.it

^b Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad, Serbia

email: stevan.pilipovic@gmail.com

^c Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University Ss. Cyril and Methodius, Skopje, Macedonia

email: bprangoski@yahoo.com