Does depression matter in neuropsychological performances in anorexia nervosa? A descriptive review

Does depression matter in neuropsychological performances in anorexia nervosa? A descriptive review

International Journal of Eating Disorders 48 (6) 2015 : 736–745

DOI: 10.1002/eat.22399

Does depression matter in neuropsychological performances in anorexia nervosa? A descriptive review

Abbate-Daga G[1*], Buzzichelli S[1], Marzola E[1], Aloi M[1,2], Amianto F[1], Fassino S[1]

[1] Eating Disorders Center for Treatment and Research, Department of Neuroscience, University of Turin, Turin, Italy

[2] Ambulatory for Clinical Research and Treatment of Eating Disorders, Department of Health Sciences, University "Magna Graecia", Catanzaro, Italy

*Correspondence to: Prof Giovanni Abbate-Daga, Department of Neuroscience, University of Turin, Via Cherasco 15-10126, Turin, Italy. E-mail: giovanni.abbatedaga@unito.it

ABSTRACT

Objective. This review aims to examine the impact of depressive symptoms on the assessment of cognitive flexibility, central coherence, and decision-making in individuals with anorexia nervosa (AN).

Method. An online search was carried out using PubMed and PsycInfo. Articles were selected for review if they were published in English between 1990 and 2014 and used the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test, the Trail Making Task parts A and B, the Brixton Test, the Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test, and/or the Iowa Gambling Task.

Results. Sixty-two studies were included. Thirty (48%) of the studies statistically assessed the association between depression and neurocognition in AN versus healthy controls. Where significant correlations were found, it became clear that the more serious the depression, the greater the neuropsychological impairment. Only six (10%) studies examined whether increased depressive symptoms were able to eliminate the differences between individuals with AN and healthy controls, and one study found that depressive symptoms did eliminate group differences in cognitive flexibility and decision-making.

Discussion. Only a subgroup of articles on neuropsychology in AN adjusted for depression. However, given the role of depression that some articles suggest, future studies should pay closer attention to the evaluation of this potential confounder.

Keywords: anorexia nervosa; neuropsychology; depression; cognitive flexibility; central coherence; decision making.

EMPIRICAL ARTICLE

Introduction

Major depressive disorder is a frequent comorbid condition of anorexia nervosa (AN),[1, 2] and the impact of depressive symptoms on neurocognition has been acknowledged.[3] In fact, literature has reported depressed patients to have altered set-shifting[4-6] and decision-making[7] abilities. Several mechanisms may underlie such alterations, including attention, memory, emotional information, motivation, rumination, and response to failure[8].

However, the available body of evidence on the potential role of depression on neuropsychological performances of patients with eating disorders is still debated.[9, 10] Regarding AN, results are even more mixed, with studies proposing a depression-related impairment in cognitive flexibility, mainly with respect to attention[11] and serotonin dysregulation.[12] A few reviews on neuropsychological domains in AN exist,[13-18] but none of them specifically address depression-related aspects.

The main aim of this work is twofold: (1) to examine whether depressive symptoms were assessed in patients with AN in studies evaluating cognitive flexibility, central coherence, and decision-making. The latter domains were chosen given their well-established alterations[17] in AN; (2) to outline the body of evidence currently available on the effects of depression on neuropsychology in AN. In fact, where depression is present, the different performances on neuropsychology found between healthy controls (HC) and those with AN might be due to depression rather than the AN pathology.

Methods

Two independent researchers (S.B. and M.A.) carried out an online search on PubMed and PsycInfo databases. A hand search of the reference lists of all articles meeting the inclusion criteria was also performed.

The following inclusion criteria were adopted: (1) articles published between 1990 and 2014; (2) studies focusing on currently ill adults with AN; (3) works on cognitive flexibility, decision-making, and central coherence; (4) original research articles; (5) English language; (6) HC as a comparison group; (7) use of the following tests: Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST), Trail Making Task parts A and B (TMT-A/-B), Brixton Test, Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test (ROFT), and Iowa Gambling Task (IGT). Reviews and case reports were excluded.

To ascertain the second aim of this review, we focused on two main statistical methods: (1) correlational analyses (Pearson's or Spearman's linear correlations), and (2) statistical adjustments for depression (e.g., univariate general linear model [UGLM], multivariate analysis of variance [MANOVA], multivariate analysis of covariance [MANCOVA]). The former analyses identify a bidirectional evaluation between depression and neuropsychology. The latter instead aim to verify whether depression can explain the difference on neuropsychological performance between AN and HC.

The search keywords included the following: “eating disorders” OR “anorexia nervosa”, AND “neuropsychology”, OR “cognitive flexibility”, OR “decision-making”, OR “rigidity”, OR “set-shifting”, OR “central coherence”, OR “Wisconsin Card Sorting Test”, OR “Iowa Gambling Task”, OR “Trail Making Task”, OR “Brixton Test”, OR “Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test”.

Results

In total, the initial search yielded 77 studies (72 using the online search and five with the hand search); however, 15 articles were excluded because six were reviews,[13-18] two were case reports,[19, 20] four had no HC group,[21-24] and three showed recovered individuals[25-27]. Thus, 62 studies were finally included.

Of all studies considered, 30 (48%)[1, 9, 28-55] statistically addressed the influence of depression on cognitive flexibility, central coherence, and decision-making. However, only six studies[1, 30, 36, 37, 41, 51] statistically controlled for depression, and one article[1] concluded that depression was able to erase the difference between AN and HC, concerning both cognitive flexibility and decision-making. Of 16 studies[9, 31, 32, 35, 38, 41-46, 48, 49, 53-55] on cognitive flexibility performing correlations, 14 studies[31, 32, 35, 38, 41, 42, 44-46, 48, 49, 53-55] found nonsignificant findings and two studies[9, 43] reported significant positive correlations between depression and suboptimal neurocognition. Moreover, of those papers that did not take depression statistically into account, 24 articles[56-79] did not acknowledge the lack of investigation of depression as a limitation. In addition, 29 studies[9, 29, 31, 39, 43, 46-50, 52, 55-57, 62, 63, 66-73, 79-83] were conducted on a sample of less than 30 affected participants.

Assessment *of Neuropsychological Domains*

Cognitive Flexibility

Both not computerized and adapted computerized versions of WCST[84], TMT-A/-B[85], and Brixton Test[86] have been included.

Forty-three studies[1, 9, 31, 32, 35-38, 41-46, 48, 49, 51, 53-56, 58, 60-62, 64, 67-73, 75-77, 79, 81-83, 87-89] investigated cognitive flexibility and 29 articles[1, 31, 32, 35-38, 41, 43, 44, 46, 48, 49, 51, 53, 61, 62, 67, 68, 71, 72, 75-77, 81-83, 88, 89] found significant differences between patients with AN and HC on this neuropsychological domain (Table 1).

Thirty-five studies[1, 9, 31, 32, 35-38, 41-46, 48, 49, 51, 53-55, 60,61, 67-73, 75, 79, 82, 83, 88, 89] investigated depression and 20 articles[1, 9, 31, 32, 35-38, 41-46, 48, 49, 51, 53-55] included this assessment in subsequent statistical analyses yielding significant findings in three cases[1, 9, 43] (Fig. 1a). With more detail, of 16 studies[9, 31, 32, 35, 38, 41-46, 48, 49, 53-55] performing correlations, 14 studies[31, 32, 35, 38, 41, 42, 44-46, 48, 49, 53-55] found nonsignificant findings, whereas two studies[9, 43] reported instead significant positive correlations demonstrating that the greater the depression score, the more impaired the neuropsychological performance. Five studies[1, 36, 37, 41, 51] controlled the difference between AN and HC for depression, and in one case[1], such a difference did not hold significant after statistical control.

TABLE 1. Studies on cognitive flexibility, decision-making, central coherence, and their evaluation of depression (N = 62)

Article	Sample	Mean Age (years)	Gender	Neuropsychological Domains Investigated and Main Results Comparing AN versus HC	Instrument Used to Assess Depression	Correlations Between Neuropsychological Performance and Depression in AN	Control for Depression
Abbate-Daga et al., 2011[1]	30 AN; 30 HC	24.1 AN; 24.7 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠ Decision making: ≠	BDI	—	UGLM Depression Sig. (F and p values not available)
Adoue et al., 2014[28]	63 AN; 49 HC	24.8 AN; 30.3 HC	All females	Decision making: ≠	BDI	NS (rho and *p* values not available)	—
Arbel et al., 2013[56]	15 AN; 10 EDNOS-AN; 25 HC	23.8 AN; 23.1 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: =	—	—	—
Bodell et al., 2014[29]	15 AN-R; 7 AN-BP; 20 HC	25.6 AN	All females	Decision making: ≠	HDRS	NS (*r* and *p* values not available)	—
Brogan et al., 2010[80]	22 AN; 17 BN; 18 OB; 20 HC	29.1 AN; 29.9 BN; 52.1 OB; 27.7 HC	All females	Decision making: ≠	—	—	—
Castro-Fornieles et al., 2009[57]	12 AN; 9 HC	14.5 AN; 14.6 HC	AN: 91.67% females HC: 88.89% females	Central coherence: =	CDI	—	—
Cavedini et al., 2004[58]	26 AN-R; 33 AN-BP; 82 HC	21.7 AN-R; 23.4 AN-BP; 30.9 HC	AN-R: 96.1% females AN-BP: 96.6% females HC: 52.4% females	Cognitive flexibility: = Decision making: ≠	—	—	—
Cavedini et al., 2006[59]	18 AN-R; 20 AN-BP; 30 HC	23.8 AN-R; 21.5 AN-BP; 22.6 HC	All females	Decision making: ≠	—	—	—
Chan et al., 2014[30]	94 AN; 63 BN; 67 HC	25.6 AN; 26.9 BN; 25.5 HC	97% females	Decision making: =	BDI	NS (*r* and *p* values not available)	Test statistics not available Depression NS (*F* and *p* values not available)
Danner et al., 2012[31]	16 AN; 15 AN-Rec; 15 HC	25.6 AN; 24.3 AN-rec; HC 25.8	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠ Decision making: = Central coherence: =	BDI-II	Cognitive flexibility: WCST perseveration $r = 0.13$, $p =$ NS Decision making: IGT $r = 0.24$, $p =$ NS Central coherence: ROFT copy $r = -0.12$, $p =$ NS ROFT recall $r = 0.10$, $p =$ NS	—
Dmitrzak-Weglarz et al., 2013[60]	46 AN-R; 14 AN-BP; 45 HC	15.7 AN-R; 16.5 AN-BP; 37.7 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: =	BDI	—	—
Fagundo et al., 2012[61]	35 AN; 52 OB; 137 HC	28.1 AN; 40.5 OB; 24.8 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠ Decision making: ≠	SCL-90-R	—	—
Fassino et al., 2002[62]	20 AN-R; 20 HC	23.8 AN; 23.1 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠	—	—	—
Favaro et al., 2012[63]	29 AN; 16 AN-Rec; 26 HC	25.8 AN; 23.8 AN-Rec; 26.7 HC	All females	Central coherence: ≠	HSCL	—	—
Favaro et al., 2013[32]	73 AN-R; 93 AN-Rec; 140 HC	25.0 AN; 27.2 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠	HSCL	Cognitive Flexibility: WCST global score $r = -0.03$, $p =$ NS	-
Fitzpatrick et al., 2012[87]	32 AN; 22 HC	14.9 AN; 15.4 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: =	—	—	—
Galimberti et al., 2013[81]	29 AN; 29 UR-AN; 29 HC; 29 UR-HC	24.1 AN; 43.8 UR-AN; 28.6 HC; 43.3 UR-HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠ Decision making: ≠	—	—	—
Garrido et al., 2013[33]	27 AN-R; 24 AN-BP; 20 BN; 38 HC	25.9 AN-R; 28.2 AN-BP+ BN; 23.3 HC	All females	Decision making: ≠	BDI	NS (r and p-values not available)	—

TABLE 1. *Continued*

Article	Sample	Mean Age (years)	Gender	Neuropsychological Domains Investigated and Main Results Comparing AN versus HC	Instrument Used to Assess Depression	Correlations Between Neuropsychological Performance and Depression in AN	Control for Depression
Giel et al., 2013[9]	15 AN; 20 UD; 35 HC	23.9 AN; 36.3 UD; 30.2 HC	AN: 100% females UD: 60% females HC: 77%females	Cognitive flexibility: =	QIDS-SR	Cognitive Flexibility: WCST: rho = 0.33, $p = 0.006$ TMT: rho = 0.31, $p = 0.041$	—
Gillberg et al., 2007[64]	51 AN; 51 HC	24.5 AN; 24.2 HC	Not available	Cognitive flexibility: =	—	—	—
Goddard et al.,2014[82]	29 AN; 42 HC	26.2 AN; 26.4 HC	All males	Cognitive flexibility: ≠ Central coherence: ≠	DASS-21	—	—
Guillaume et al., 2010[89]	49 AN; 38 BN; 83 HC	23.3 AN; 23 BN; 28 HC	All females	Decision making: =	HDRS	—	—
Harrison et al., 2011[34]	35 AN-R; 15 AN-BP; 48 BN; 35 AN-Rec; 89 HC	27.1 ED group; 29 AN-Rec, 28.5 HC	All females	Central coherence: ≠	DASS-21	Overall ED group: NS (rho and p values not available)	—
Heled et al., 2014[65]	30 AN; 30 AN-WR; 44 HC	23.2 AN; 24.6 AN-WR; 24.5 HC	All females	Central coherence: ≠	—	—	—
Holliday et al., 2005[35]	47 AN; 47 US-AN; 47 HC	26.3 AN; 27.6 US-AN; 26.5 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠	HADS	Overall ED group: NS (r and p values not available)	—
Jones et al., 1991[36]	30 AN; 20 AN-WR; 38 BN; 39 HC	24.4 AN; 26 AN-WR; 24.1 BN; 24.9 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠ Central coherence: ≠	MMPI Scale 2	—	MANCOVA Depression NS $F(15, 326.16) = 1.48$ $p = 0.11$
Kanakam et al., 2013[88]	41 MZ-ED; 11 MZ-H; 12 DZ-ED; 8 DZ-H; 42 Control twins	31 MZ-ED; 54 MZ-H; 35 DZ-ED; 52 DZ-H; 45 Control twins	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠ Central coherence: =	DASS-21	—	—
Kim et al., 2010[37]	40 AN; 28 BN; 34 HC	22.8 AN; 23 BN; 22.6 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠	BDI	—	MANOVA Depression NS (F and p values not available)
Kim et al., 2011[66]	22 AN; 28 BN; 26 HC	22 AN; 23 BN; 23.5	All females	Central coherence: ≠	BDI	—	—
Kingston et al., 1996[38]	46 AN; 41 HC	22.1 AN; 22 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠ Central coherence: ≠	BDI	NS Central coherence: ROFT copy $r = -0.253$, $p =$ NS (r and p values not available)	—
Konstantakopoulos et al., 2011[67]	25 AN; 15 BN; 35 HC	28.6 AN; 27.2 BN; 24.9 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠	HADS	—	—
Liao et al., 2009[39]	29 AN; 26 BN; 51 HC	28.5 AN; 27.8 BN; 29.4 HC	All females	Decision making: ≠	BDI	NS (r and p values not available)	—
Lopez et al., 2008[40]	42 AN; 42 HC	28.4 AN; 26.3 HC	All females	Central coherence: ≠	HADS	NS (r and p values not available)	—
Lounes et al., 2011[41]	45 AN; 49 HC	27.6 AN; 24.1 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠	HADS	Cognitive flexibility: $r = 0.130$, $p =$ NS	MANCOVA Depression NS $F(1,80)=0.007$; $p>0.05$
Mathias and Kent, 1998[42]	34 AN; 31 HC	22 AN; 20.8 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: = Central coherence: ≠	BDI	Cognitive flexibility: TMT-A $r = 0.001$, $p =$ NS TMT-B $r = 0.157$, $p =$ NS Central coherence: ROFT recall $r = -0.166$, $p =$ NS ROFT copy $r = -0.354$, $p =$ NS	—

TABLE 1. *Continued*

Article	Sample	Mean Age (years)	Gender	Neuropsychological Domains Investigated and Main Results Comparing AN versus HC	Instrument Used to Assess Depression	Correlations Between Neuropsychological Performance and Depression in AN	Control for Depression
McAnarney et al., 2011[68]	24 AN; 37 HC	16.3 AN; 15.9 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠	BDI-II	—	—
Murphy et al., 2002[69]	16 AN; 16 BN; 16 HC	22.3 AN; 22 BN; 25.3 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: = Central coherence: =	BDI	—	—
Murphy et al., 2004[70]	16 AN; 16 BN; 16 OCD; 16 HC	22.3 AN; 22.0 BN; 25.1 OCD; 25.3 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: = Central coherence: =	BDI	—	—
Nakazato et al., 2009[71]	29 AN; 18 AN Rec; 28 HC	28.3 AN; 32.2 AN-Rec; 26.9 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠	HADS	—	—
Nakazato et al., 2010[72]	27 AN; 18 AN-Rec; 28 HC	27.7 AN; 32.2 AN-Rec; 26.9 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠	HADS	—	—
Ohrmann et al., 2004[43]	11 AN; 12 HC	22.7 AN; 27.5 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠	BDI; MADRS	Cognitive flexibility: WCST number of cards rho = 0.888, $p < 0.001$ WCST false category rho = 0.672, $p < 0.05$ WCST perseverations rho = 0.867, $p < 0.001$	—
Oltra-Cucarella et al., 2014[73]	12 AN; 12 AN-WR; 16 HC	21.7 AN; 22.2 AN-WR; 18.6 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: = Central coherence: ≠	BDI-II	—	—
Pignatti and Bernasconi, 2013[83]	23 AN; 17 BN; 20 HC	29.1 AN; 29.9 BN; 27.8 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠	SCL-90-R	—	—
Roberts et al., 2010[44]	35 AN-R; 33 AN-BP; 30 BN; 30 AN-Rec; 30 US-AN; 20 US-BN; 88 HC	23.7 AN-R; 25.6 AN-BP; 26.4 BN; 32.1 AN-Rec; 24.2 US-AN; 27.6 US-BN; 28.4 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠	HADS	NS (r and p values not available)	—
Roberts et al., 2013[74]	35 AN-R; 33 AN-BP; 30 BN; 30 AN-Rec; 30 US-AN; 20 US-BN; 88 HC	23.7 AN-R; 25.6 AN-BP; 26.4 BN; 32.1 AN-Rec; 24.2 US-AN; 27.6 US-BN; 28.4 HC	All females	Central coherence: ≠	HADS	—	—
Sarrar et al., 2011[45]	30 AN; 28 HC	16.2 AN; 16.7 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: =	DIKJ	NS (r and p values not available)	—
Sato et al., 2013[46]	15 AN; 15 HC	23 AN; 22 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠	MMPI Scale 2	NS (r and p values not available)	—
Sherman et al., 2006[47]	18 AN; 19 HC	25.6 AN; 25.7 HC	All females	Central coherence: ≠	BDI	NS (r and p values not available)	—
Stedal et al., 2012[89]	155 AN; 66 HC	17.1 AN; 15.4 HC	AN: 95.5% females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠ Central coherence: ≠	BDI	—	—
Steinglass et al., 2006[48]	15 AN; 11 HC	24 HC; 25.6 AN	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠	BDI	NS (r and p values not available)	—
Szmukler et al., 1992[49]	18 AN; 18 HC	Mean age not available	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠	BDI	NS (r and p values not available)	—
Tapajóz P de Sampaio et al., 2013[50]	8 AN-R; 1 AN-BP; 15 EDNOS-AN; 15 BN-P; 3 BN-NP; 6 EDNOS-BN; 24 HC	24.5 AN; 24.4 BN; 25.2 HC	All females	Central coherence: ≠	BDI	NS (rho and p values not available)	—

TABLE 1. *Continued*

Article	Sample	Mean Age (years)	Gender	Neuropsychological Domains Investigated and Main Results Comparing AN versus HC	Instrument Used to Assess Depression	Correlations Between Neuropsychological Performance and Depression in AN	Control for Depression
Tchanturia et al., 2004[51]	34 AN; 19 BN; 35 HC	26.7 AN; 26.5 BN; 24.8 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠	HADS	—	MANCOVA Depression NS [$F(4,82) = 1.40$, $p = 0.24$]
Tchanturia et al., 2004[75]	20 AN-R; 14 AN-BP; 18 AN-R Rec; 36 HC	27.2 AN; 28.4 AN-Rec; 25.9 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠	HADS	—	—
Tchanturia et al., 2007[52]	29 AN; 14 AN-Rec; 29 HC	28.5 AN; 28.9 AN-Rec; 26.3 HC	All females	Decision making: ≠	BDI	Decision making: IGT performance $r = -0.283$, $p =$ NS	—
Tchanturia et al., 2011[76]	215 AN; 72 AN-Rec; 69 BN; 29 EDNOS; 216 HC	26.9 AN; 30.2 AN-Rec; 27.7 BN; 26.5 EDNOS; 27 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠	—	—	—
Tchanturia et al., 2012[77]	171 AN-R; 90 AN-Rec; 82 BN; 199 HC	25.4 AN-R; 30.7 AN-Rec; 27.3 BN; 27.7 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠	—	—	—
Tchanturia et al., 2012[78]	19 AN or sub-threshold AN male; 29 AN or sub-threshold AN female; 20 HC male; 41 HC female	27.2 AN male; 27.5 AN female; 25.4 HC male; 22.2 HC female	AN or sub-threshold AN: 60.4%	Decision making: ≠	—	—	—
Tenconi et al., 2010[53]	60 AN; 63 AN-WR; 29 AN-Rec; 28 US-AN; 120 HC	25.7 AN; 24.5 AN-WR; 30.8 AN-Rec; 27.5 US-AN; 27.4 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: ≠ Central coherence: ≠	HSCL	NS (r and p values not available)	—
Tokley and Kemps, 2007[79]	24 AN; 24 HC	21.8 AN; 22 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: =	DASS-21	—	—
Van Autreve et al., 2013[54]	31 AN-R; 20 AN-BP; 26 HC	26 AN-R; 20 AN-BP; 19 HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: =	BDI-II	NS (r, rho and p values not available)	—
Wilsdon and Wade, 2006[55]	22 AN-R; 21 LO-HC; 20 HO-HC	27.6 AN-R; 22.6 LO-HC; 21.1 HO-HC	All females	Cognitive flexibility: =	DASS-21	Cognitive flexibility: WCST perseverative errors: $r = 0.06$, $p =$ NS WCST total errors: $r = -0.03$, $p =$ NS WCST total trials; $r = -0.02$, $p =$ NS	—

Notes: AN: anorexia nervosa; AN-BP: anorexia nervosa–binge-purging subtype; AN-R: anorexia nervosa–restricting subtype; AN-Rec: anorexia nervosa–recovered; AN-WR: anorexia nervosa–weight restored; BN: bulimia nervosa; BN-NP: bulimia nervosa–nonpurging; BN-P: bulimia nervosa–purging; EDNOS: eating disorder not otherwise specified; EDNOS-AN: eating disorder not otherwise specified–anorexia nervosa type; EDNOS-BN: eating disorder not otherwise specified–bulimia nervosa type; OB: obesity; UD: unipolar depression; OCD: obsessive-compulsive disorder; UR-AN: unaffected relatives of AN; UR-HC: unaffected relatives of HC; US-AN: unaffected sisters of AN; US-BN: unaffected sisters of BN; MZ-ED, monozygotic eating disorder probands; MZ-H: monozygotic non-eating-disorder cotwin; DZ-ED: dizygotic eating disorder probands; DZ-H: dizygotic non-eating-disorder cotwin; LO-HC: health control with low obsessionality; HO-HC: health control with high obsessionality; HC: healthy controls.

Neuropsychological domain investigated and main results comparing AN versus HC; ≠: the performance of patients with anorexia nervosa were significantly worse than healthy controls; =: no significant differences were found between patients and controls.

Instrument used to assess depression: BDI: Beck Depression Inventory; CDI: Children"s Depression Inventory; BDI-II: Beck Depression Inventory-II; SCL-90-R: Symptom Check List–90-Revised; HSCL: Hopkins Symptoms Check List; QIDS-SR: Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology; DASS-21: Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scale-21; HDRS: Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; MMPI: Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory; HADS: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; MADRS: Montgomery Asberg Depression Rating Scale; DIKJ: Depressionsinventar für Kinder und Jugendliche; No: depression not evaluated.

Correlations between neuropsychological performance and depression in AN: —: no correlations were performed; NS (not significant): no significant correlations were found.

Control for depression: —: depression was not investigated as a confounding variable; UGLM: univariate general linear model; MANOVA: multivariate analysis of variance; MANCOVA: multivariate analysis of covariance; Depression NS: depression was found not to reach significance; Depression Sig: depression was found to reach significance.



FIGURE 1. Proportion of studies on neuropsychological impairments in anorexia nervosa that took depression statistically into account and their reported presence of significant versus nonsignificant associations. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]

Central Coherence

Studies assessing central coherence using the ROCF[90], a test used to assess visuospatial abilities, were included. A Central Coherence Index can be computed resulting from the order of construction and style indices. The drawing style can be assessed according to the scoring systems of Savage and colleagues[91] and of Booth[92]. Of 20 articles[1, 34, 36, 38, 40, 42, 47, 50, 53, 57, 63, 65, 66, 69, 70, 73, 74, 82, 88, 89] on central coherence, 15 studies[34, 36, 38, 40, 42, 47, 50, 53, 63, 65, 66, 73, 74, 82, 89] found significant differences in global score between AN and HC on this measure (Table 1).

The vast majority of studies investigated depression (19[31, 34, 36, 38, 40, 42, 47, 50, 53, 57, 63, 66, 69, 70, 73, 74, 82, 88, 89]) with studies[31, 34, 36, 38, 40, 42, 47, 50, 53] including such data in subsequent statistical analyses. No articles reported significant findings on the role of depression using either correlations[31, 34, 38, 40, 42, 47, 50, 53] or MANCOVA[36] (Fig. 1b).

Decision-making

Fifteen studies[1, 28-31, 33, 39, 52, 58, 59, 61, 78, 80, 81, 93] investigated decision-making using the IGT[94] and 12 studies[1, 28, 29, 39, 52, 58, 59, 61, 78, 80, 81, 93] reported differences between AN and HC (Table 1).

Ten studies[1, 28-31, 33, 39, 52, 61, 93] investigated depression and 8 studies[1, 28-31, 33, 39, 52] included this assessment in subsequent statistical analysis. In one case[1], the difference between AN and HC on neurocognition was no longer significant after adjusting for depression.

Discussion

Most studies on neuropsychology in AN performed an assessment of depression using either self-report or clinician-rated instruments. However, about half of the articles included in this review statistically addressed (e.g., correlations, UGLM, MANOVA, etc.) the role of depression, and as a result, the state-of-the-art on this topic is mixed. Significant positive correlations were reported by two studies[9, 43] demonstrating that the greater the depression score, the more impaired the neuropsychological performance. Regarding depression, five studies[30, 36, 37, 41, 51] of six[1, 30, 36, 37, 41, 51] did not find depression to explain the difference between AN and HC on neuropsychology.

From a statistical standpoint, correlations can effectively identify a bidirectional association between depression and neurocognition; however, only a statistical adjustment for depression could ascertain whether the difference in the performance between AN and HC goes away. Nevertheless, only a minority of studies (i.e., 10%) used such a statistical analysis.

The plethora of instruments that have been used to assess depression hampers the generalizability of the available findings. In addition, the small sample size considered in some studies makes the statistical power of the analysis questionable.

Such methodological flaws and the scarcity of studies on this topic represent a finding in itself of great interest, given the relevant influence of depression on neurocognition[3]. This is even more important due to the fact that depressive symptoms frequently plague individuals with AN[2]. Moreover, the rationale for controlling for depression in AN has also been recently acknowledged[95] by a study showing that the adjustment for depression evened out the difference between AN and HC regarding speed of information processing and verbal fluency and overall reduced the differences with respect to a variety of neuropsychological domains[95].

Bearing in mind that only preliminary data exist, studies on cognitive flexibility seem to support the possibility of a marginal effect of depressive symptoms on this neuropsychological domain. In contrast, central coherence was consistently found not to be influenced by depression. Although one study found depression to influence decision-making[1], only eight studies[1, 28-31, 33, 39, 52] are available on the latter domain, so conclusions cannot be drawn in this regard.

Speculating on the possible reasons for the association between depression and cognitive flexibility is beyond the scope of this review. However, these findings are in line with a recent meta-analysis on depression[3] and multiple mechanisms may be involved[8]. Instead, central coherence seemed to be unrelated to depression, although the ROFC could be influenced not only by depressive symptoms but also by obsessive traits[96]. Studies on major depressive disorder showed decision-making to be impaired to different degrees in affected individuals depending on cognitive flexibility[97]. Further research is needed on this topic in AN because no definitive statements can be made yet.

Some limitations should be acknowledged: studies on recovered individuals have been excluded and differences between AN subtypes have not been considered. Also, other neuropsychological domains have not been included, as well as starvation and other psychiatric comorbidities. Still, some clinical characteristics of the sample may vary (e.g., age) or could not be evaluated because that information was not available in all articles (e.g., duration of illness and medications).

In closing, the study of the relationship between depression and neurocognition in AN is only in its infancy. However, the data seem to suggest such an association, mostly in regard to cognitive flexibility. Therefore, future studies comparing individuals affected by AN with and without comorbid major depression versus HC may shed light on this matter. The influence of depression on neuropsychological impairments in AN may have research (i.e., debate on cognition as candidate endophenotype[98]) and clinical (e.g., Cognitive Remediation Therapy[99, 100]) implications. For example, Cognitive Remediation Therapy may be tailored according to patients' needs and depending on their depressive symptoms.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Dr. Thomas Fummo, who revised the English of this article.

References

1. Abbate-Daga G, Buzzichelli S, Amianto F, Rocca G, Marzola E, McClintock SM, et al. Cognitive flexibility in verbal and nonverbal domains and decision making in anorexia nervosa patients: a pilot study. *BMC Psychiatry* 2011;11:162.
2. Godart NT, Perdereau F, Rein Z, Berthoz S, Wallier J, Jeammet P, et al. Comorbidity studies of eating disorders and mood disorders. Critical review of the literature. *J Affect Disord* 2007;97:37–49.
3. McDermott LM, Ebmeier KP. A meta-analysis of depression severity and cognitive function. *J Affect Disord* 2009;119:1–8.
4. Veiel HO. A preliminary profile of neuropsychological deficits associated with major depression. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* 1997;19:587–603.
5. Austin MP, Mitchell P, Goodwin GM. Cognitive deficits in depression: Possible implications for functional neuropathology. *Br J Psychiatry* 2001;178:200–206.
6. Godard J, Grondin S, Baruch P, Lafleur MF. Psychosocial and neurocognitive profiles in depressed patients with major depressive disorder and bipolar disorder. *Psychiatry Res* 2011; 190:244–252.
7. Howlett JR, Paulus MP. Decision-making dysfunctions of counterfactuals in depression: Who might I have been? *Front Psychiatry* 2013;4:143.
8. Beblo T, Sinnamon G, Baune BT. Specifying the neuropsychology of affective disorders: clinical, demographic and neurobiological factors. *Neuropsychol Rev* 2011;21:337–359.
9. Giel KE, Wittorf A, Wolkenstein L, Klingberg S, Drimmer E, Schönenberg M. Is impaired set-shifting a feature of "pure" anorexia nervosa? Investigating the role of depression in set-shifting ability in anorexia nervosa and unipolar depression. *Psychiatry Res* 2012;200:538–543.
10. McDowell BD, Moser DJ, Ferneyhough K, Bowers WA, Andersen AE, Paulsen JS. Cognitive impairment in anorexia nervosa is not due to depressed mood. *Int J Eat Disord* 2003;33:351–355.
11. Gotlib IH, Joormann J. Cognition and depression: current status and future directions. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol* 2010;6:285–312.
12. Schmitt JA, Wingen M, Ramaekers JG, Evers EA, Riedel WJ. Serotonin and human cognitive performance. *Curr Pharm Des* 2006;12:2473–2486.
13. Duchesne M, Mattos P, Fontenelle LF, Veiga H, Rizo L, Appolinario JC. Neuropsychology of eating disorders: a systematic review of the literature. *Rev Bras Psiquiatr* 2004;26:107–117.
14. Tchanturia K, Campbell IC, Morris R, Treasure J. Neuropsychological studies in anorexia nervosa. *Int J Eat Disord* 2005;37(Suppl):S72–S76; discussion S87–S89.
15. Roberts ME, Tchanturia K, Stahl D, Southgate L, Treasure J. A systematic review and meta-analysis of set-shifting ability in eating disorders. *Psychol Med* 2007;37:1075–1084.
16. Stedal K, Frampton I, Landrø NI, Lask B. An examination of the ravello profile–A neuropsychological test battery for anorexia nervosa. *Eur Eat Disord Rev* 2012;20:175–181.
17. J_auregui-Lobera I. Executive functions in anorexia nervosa. *Nutr Hosp* 2014;29:500–507.
18. Wu M, Brockmeyer T, Hartmann M, Skunde M, Herzog W, Friederich HC. Setshifting ability across the spectrum of eating disorders and in overweight and obesity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol Med* 2014;44:3365–3385.

19. Davies H, Tchanturia K. Cognitive remediation therapy as an intervention for acute anorexia nervosa: A case report. *Eur Eat Disorders Rev* 2005;13:311–316.
20. Tchanturia K, Whitney J, Treasure J. Can cognitive exercises help treat anorexia nervosa? *Eat Weight Disord* 2006;11:e112–e116.
21. Bayless JD, Kanz JE, Moser DJ, McDowell BD, Bowers WA, Andersen AE, et al. Neuropsychological characteristics of patients in a hospital-based eating disorder program. *Ann Clin Psychiatry* 2002;14:203–207.
22. Tchanturia K, Davies H, Campbell IC. Cognitive remediation therapy for patients with anorexia nervosa: Preliminary findings. *Ann Gen Psychiatry* 2007;6:14.
23. Lauer CJ, Gorzewski B, Gerlinghoff M, Backmund H, Zihl J. Neuropsychological assessments before and after treatment in patients with anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa. *J Psychiatr Res* 1999;33:129–138.
24. Zuchova S, Kubena AA, Erler T, Papezova H. Neuropsychological variables and clinical status in anorexia nervosa: Relationship between visuospatial memory and central coherence and eating disorder symptom severity. *Eat Weight Disord* 2013;18:421–428.
25. Lindner SE, Fichter MM, Quadflieg N. Decision-making and planning in full recovery of anorexia nervosa. *Int J Eat Disord* 2012;45:866–875.
26. Lindner SE, Fichter MM, Quadflieg N. Central coherence in full recovery of anorexia nervosa. *Eur Eat Disord Rev* 2013;21:115–120.
27. Lopez C, Tchanturia K, Stahl D, Treasure J. Weak central coherence in eating disorders: A step towards looking for an endophenotype of eating disorders. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* 2009;31: 117–125.
28. Adoue C, Jaussent I, Oli_e E, Beziat S, Van den Eynde F, Courtet P, et al. A further assessment of decision-making in anorexia nervosa. *Eur Psychiatry* 2015;30:121–127.
29. Bodell LP, Keel PK, Brumm MC, Akubuiro A, Caballero J, Tranel D, et al. Longitudinal examination of decision-making performance in anorexia nervosa: Before and after weight restoration. *J Psychiatr Res* 2014;56:150–157.
30. Chan TW, Ahn WY, Bates JE, Busemeyer JR, Guillaume S, Redgrave GW, et al. Differential impairments underlying decision making in anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa: A cognitive modeling analysis. *Int J Eat Disord* 2014;47:157–167.
31. Danner UN, Sanders N, Smeets PA, van Meer F, Adan RA, Hoek HW, et al. Neuropsychological weaknesses in anorexia nervosa: Set-shifting, central coherence, and decision making in currently ill and recovered women. *Int J Eat Disord* 2012;45:685–694.
32. Favaro A, Clementi M, Manara R, Bosello R, Forzan M, Bruson A, et al. Catechol-O-methyltransferase genotype modifies executive functioning and prefrontal functional connectivity in women with anorexia nervosa. *J Psychiatry Neurosci* 2013;38:241–248.
33. Garrido I, Subirá S. Decision-making and impulsivity in eating disorder patients. *Psychiatry Res* 2013;207:107–112.
34. Harrison A, Tchanturia K, Treasure J. Measuring state trait properties of detail processing and global integration ability in eating disorders. *World J Biol Psychiatry* 2011;12:462–472.
35. Holliday J, Tchanturia K, Landau S, Collier D, Treasure J. Is impaired setshifting an endophenotype of anorexia nervosa? *Am J Psychiatry* 2005;162:2269–2275.
36. Jones BP, Duncan CC, Brouwers P, Mirsky AF. Cognition in eating disorders. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* 1991;13:711–728.

37. Kim YR, Kim JE, Kim MH. Impaired set-shifting ability in patients with eating disorders, which is not moderated by their catechol-O-methyltransferase Val158Met genotype. *Psychiatry Investig* 2010;7:298–301.
38. Kingston K, Szmukler G, Andrewes D, Tress B, Desmond P. Neuropsychological and structural brain changes in anorexia nervosa before and after refeeding. *Psychol Med* 1996;26:15–28.
39. Liao PC, Uher R, Lawrence N, Treasure J, Schmidt U, Campbell IC, et al. An examination of decision making in bulimia nervosa. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* 2009;31:455–461.
40. Lopez C, Tchanturia K, Stahl D, Booth R, Holliday J, Treasure J. An examination of the concept of central coherence in women with anorexia nervosa. *Int J Eat Disord* 2008;41:143–152.
41. Lounes N, Khan G, Tchanturia K. Assessment of cognitive flexibility in anorexia nervosa–Self-report or experimental measure? A brief report. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2011;17:925–928.
42. Mathias JL, Kent PS. Neuropsychological consequences of extreme weight loss and dietary restriction in patients with anorexia nervosa. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* 1998;20:548–564.
43. Ohrmann P, Kersting A, Suslow T, Lalee-Mentzel J, Donges US, Fiebich M, et al. Proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy in anorexia nervosa: Correlations with cognition. *Neuroreport* 2004;15:549–553.
44. Roberts ME, Tchanturia K, Treasure JL. Exploring the neurocognitive signature of poor set-shifting in anorexia and bulimia nervosa. *J Psychiatr Res* 2010;44:964–970.
45. Sarrar L, Ehrlich S, Merle JV, Pfeiffer E, Lehmkuhl U, Schneider N. Cognitive flexibility and Agouti-related protein in adolescent patients with anorexia nervosa. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2011;36:1396–1406.
46. Sato Y, Saito N, Utsumi A, Aizawa E, Shoji T, Izumiyama M, et al. Neural basis of impaired cognitive flexibility in patients with anorexia nervosa. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e61108.
47. Sherman BJ, Savage CR, Eddy KT, Blais MA, Deckersbach T, Jackson SC, et al. Strategic memory in adults with anorexia nervosa: Are there similarities to obsessive compulsive spectrum disorders? *Int J Eat Disord* 2006;39:468–476.
48. Steinglass JE, Walsh BT, Stern Y. Set shifting deficit in anorexia nervosa. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2006;12:431–435.
49. Szmukler GI, Andrewes D, Kingston K, Chen L, Stargatt R, Stanley R. Neuropsychological impairment in anorexia nervosa: Before and after refeeding. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* 1992; 14:347–352.
50. Tapaj_oz P de Sampaio F, Soneira S, Aulicino A, Martese G, Iturry M, Allegri RF. Theory of mind and central coherence in eating disorders: Two sides of the same coin? *Psychiatry Res* 2013;210:1116–1122.
51. Tchanturia K, Anderluh MB, Morris RG, Rabe-Hesketh S, Collier DA, Sanchez P, et al. Cognitive flexibility in anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2004;10:513–520.
52. Tchanturia K, Liao PC, Uher R, Lawrence N, Treasure J, Campbell IC. An investigation of decision making in anorexia nervosa using the Iowa Gambling Task and skin conductance measurements. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2007;13:635–641.
53. Tenconi E, Santonastaso P, Degortes D, Bosello R, Titton F, Mapelli D, et al. Set-shifting abilities, central coherence, and handedness in anorexia nervosa patients, their unaffected siblings and healthy controls: Exploring putative endophenotypes. *World J Biol Psychiatry* 2010;11:813–823.
54. Van Autreve S, De Baene W, Baeken C, van Heeringen C, Vervaet M. Do restrictive and bingeing/purging subtypes of anorexia nervosa differ on central coherence and set shifting? *Eur Eat Disord Rev* 2013;21:308–314.

55. Wilsdon A, Wade TD. Executive functioning in anorexia nervosa: exploration of the role of obsessionality, depression and starvation. *J Psychiatr Res* 2006;40:746–754.
56. Arbel R, Koren D, Klein E, Latzer Y. The neurocognitive basis of insight into illness in anorexia nervosa: A pilot metacognitive study. *Psychiatry Res* 2013;209:604–610.
57. Castro-Fornieles J, Bargalló N, Lázaro L, Andrés S, Falcon C, Plana MT, et al. A cross-sectional and follow-up voxel-based morphometric MRI study in adolescent anorexia nervosa. *J Psychiatr Res* 2009;43:331–340.
58. Cavedini P, Bassi T, Ubbiali A, Casolari A, Giordani S, Zorzi C, et al. Neuropsychological investigation of decision-making in anorexia nervosa. *Psychiatry Res* 2004;127:259–266.
59. Cavedini P, Zorzi C, Bassi T, Gorini A, Baraldi C, Ubbiali A, et al. Decisionmaking functioning as a predictor of treatment outcome in anorexia nervosa. *Psychiatry Res* 2006;145:179–187.
60. Dmitrzak-Weglarz M, Skibinska M, Slopien A, Tyszkiewicz M, Pawlak J, Maciukiewicz M, et al. Serum neurotrophin concentrations in polish adolescent girls with anorexia nervosa. *Neuropsychobiology* 2013;67:25–32.
61. Fagundo AB, de la Torre R, Jiménez-Murcia S, Agüera Z, Granero R, Tárrega S, et al. Executive functions profile in extreme eating/weight conditions: From anorexia nervosa to obesity. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e43382.
62. Fassino S, Pieró A, Daga GA, Leombruni P, Mortara P, Rovera GG. Attentional biases and frontal functioning in anorexia nervosa. *Int J Eat Disord* 2002;31:274–283.
63. Favaro A, Santonastaso P, Manara R, Bosello R, Bommarito G, Tenconi E, et al. Disruption of visuospatial and somatosensory functional connectivity in anorexia nervosa. *Biol Psychiatry* 2012;72:864–870.
64. Gillberg IC, Råstam M, Wentz E, Gillberg C. Cognitive and executive functions in anorexia nervosa ten years after onset of eating disorder. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* 2007;29:170–178.
65. Heled E, Hoofien D, Bachar E, Cooper-Kazaz R, Gur E, Ebstein RP. Employing executive functions of perceptual and memory abilities in underweight and weight-restored anorexia nervosa patients. *Eat Weight Disord* 2014;19:479–487.
66. Kim YR, Lim SJ, Treasure J. Different patterns of emotional eating and visuospatial deficits whereas shared risk factors related with social support between anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa. *Psychiatry Investig* 2011;8:9–14.
67. Konstantakopoulos G, Tchanturia K, Surguladze SA, David AS. Insight in eating disorders: Clinical and cognitive correlates. *Psychol Med* 2011;41:1951–1961.
68. McAnarney ER, Zarcone J, Singh P, Michels J, Welsh S, Litteer T, et al. Restrictive anorexia nervosa and set-shifting in adolescents: A biobehavioral interface. *J Adolesc Health* 2011;49:99–101.
69. Murphy R, Nutzinger DO, Paul T, Leplow B. Dissociated conditionalassociative learning in anorexia nervosa. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* 2002;24:176–186.
70. Murphy R, Nutzinger DO, Paul T, Leplow B. Conditional-associative learning in eating disorders: A comparison with OCD. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* 2004;26:190–199.
71. Nakazato M, Tchanturia K, Schmidt U, Campbell IC, Treasure J, Collier DA, et al. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and set-shifting in currently ill and recovered anorexia nervosa (AN) patients. *Psychol Med* 2009;39:1029–1035.
72. Nakazato M, Hashimoto K, Schmidt U, Tchanturia K, Campbell IC, Collier DA, et al. Serum glutamine, set-shifting ability and anorexia nervosa. *Ann Gen Psychiatry* 2010;9:29.

73. Oltra-Cucarella J, Espert R, Rojo L, Jacas C, Guill_en V, Moreno S. Neuropsychological impairments in anorexia nervosa: A Spanish sample pilot study. *Appl Neuropsychol Adult* 2014; 21:161–175.
74. Roberts ME, Tchanturia K, Treasure JL. Is attention to detail a similarly strong candidate endophenotype for anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa? *World J Biol Psychiatry* 2013;14:452–463.
75. Tchanturia K, Morris RG, Anderluh MB, Collier DA, Nikolaou V, Treasure J. Set shifting in anorexia nervosa: An examination before and after weight gain, in full recovery and relationship to childhood and adult OCPD traits. *J Psychiatr Res* 2004;38:545–552.
76. Tchanturia K, Harrison A, Davies H, Roberts M, Oldershaw A, Nakazato M, et al. Cognitive flexibility and clinical severity in eating disorders. *PLoS One* 2011;6:e20462.
77. Tchanturia K, Davies H, Roberts M, Harrison A, Nakazato M, Schmidt U, et al. Poor cognitive flexibility in eating disorders: examining the evidence using the Wisconsin Card Sorting Task. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e28331.
78. Tchanturia K, Liao PC, Forcano L, Fernández-Aranda F, Uher R, Treasure J, et al. Poor decision making in male patients with anorexia nervosa. *Eur Eat Disord Rev* 2012;20:169–173.
79. Tokley M, Kemps E. Preoccupation with detail contributes to poor abstraction in women with anorexia nervosa. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* 2007;29:734–741.
80. Brogan A, Hevey D, Pignatti R. Anorexia, bulimia, and obesity: Shared decision making deficits on the Iowa Gambling Task (IGT). *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2010;16:711–715.
81. Galimberti E, Fadda E, Cavallini MC, Martoni RM, Erzegovesi S, Bellodi L. Executive functioning in anorexia nervosa patients and their unaffected relatives. *Psychiatry Res* 2013; 208:238–244.
82. Goddard E, Carral-Fernández L, Denneny E, Campbell IC, Treasure J. Cognitive flexibility, central coherence and social emotional processing in males with an eating disorder. *World J Biol Psychiatry* 2014;15:317–326.
83. Pignatti R, Bernasconi V. Personality, clinical features, and test instructions can affect executive functions in eating disorders. *Eat Behav* 2013;14:233–236.
84. Berg EA. A simple objective technique for measuring flexibility in thinking. *J Gen Psychol* 1948; 39:15–22.
85. Reitan RM. Validity of the Trail Making test as an indicator of organic brain damage. *Percept Mot Skills* 1958;8:271–276.
86. Burgess, PW, Shallice T. The Hayling and Brixton tests. Bury St. Edmunds, UK: Thames Valley Test Company, 1997.
87. Fitzpatrick KK, Darcy A, Colborn D, Gudorf C, Lock J. Set-shifting among adolescents with anorexia nervosa. *Int J Eat Disord* 2012;45:909–912.
88. Kanakam N, Raoult C, Collier D, Treasure J. Set shifting and central coherence as neurocognitive endophenotypes in eating disorders: A preliminary investigation in twins. *World J Biol Psychiatry* 2013;14:464–475.
89. Stedal K, Rose M, Frampton I, Landrø NI, Lask B. The neuropsychological profile of children, adolescents, and young adults with anorexia nervosa. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol* 2012;27:329–337.
90. Rey A. L'examen psychologique dans les cas d'enc_ephalopathie traumatique. *Arch Psychol* 1941;28:215–285.
91. Savage CR, Baer L, Keuthen N, Brown HD, Rauch SL, Jenike MA. Organizational strategies mediate nonverbal memory impairment in obsessivecompulsive disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 1999; 45:905–916.

92. Booth R. Local-Global Processing and Cognitive Style in Autism Spectrum Disorders and Typical Development. London: King's College London, 2006.
93. Guillaume S, Sang CN, Jaussent I, Raingeard I, Bringer J, Jollant F, et al. Is decision making really impaired in eating disorders? *Neuropsychology* 2010;24:808–812.
94. Bechara A, Damasio AR, Damasio H, Anderson SW. Insensitivity to future consequences following damage to human prefrontal cortex. *Cognition* 1994;50:7–15.
95. Weider S, Indredavik MS, Lydersen S, Hestad K. Neuropsychological function in patients with anorexia nervosa or bulimia nervosa. *Int J Eat Disord* 2014;Apr 9. [Epub ahead of print]
96. Aycicegi-Dinn A, Dinn WM, Caldwell-Harris CL. Obsessive-compulsive personality traits: Compensatory response to executive function deficit? *Int J Neurosci* 2009;119:600–608.
97. Cella M, Dymond S, Cooper A. Impaired flexible decision-making in major depressive disorder. *J Affect Disord* 2010;124:207–210.
98. Talbot A, Hay P, Buckett G, Touyz S. Cognitive deficits as an endophenotype for anorexia nervosa: An accepted fact or a need for re-examination? *Int J Eat Disord* 2015;48:15–25.
99. Abbate-Daga G, Buzzichelli S, Marzola E, Amianto F, Fassino S. Effectiveness of cognitive remediation therapy (CRT) in anorexia nervosa: A case series. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* 2012;34: 1009–1015.
100. Tchanturia K, Lloyd S, Lang K. Cognitive remediation in eating disorders. *Int J Eat Disord* 2013;46:492–496.