

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

The extreme environment of a library: Xerophilic fungi inhabiting indoor niches

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/152112> since 2016-06-30T10:52:38Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.ibiod.2014.12.012

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

This Accepted Author Manuscript (AAM) is copyrighted and published by Elsevier. It is posted here by agreement between Elsevier and the University of Turin. Changes resulting from the publishing process - such as editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms - may not be reflected in this version of the text. The definitive version of the text was subsequently published in INTERNATIONAL BIODETERIORATION & BIODEGRADATION, 99, 2015, 10.1016/j.ibiod.2014.12.012.

You may download, copy and otherwise use the AAM for non-commercial purposes provided that your license is limited by the following restrictions:

- (1) You may use this AAM for non-commercial purposes only under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND license.
- (2) The integrity of the work and identification of the author, copyright owner, and publisher must be preserved in any copy.
- (3) You must attribute this AAM in the following format: Creative Commons BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/deed.en>), 10.1016/j.ibiod.2014.12.012

The publisher's version is available at:

<http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0964830514003813>

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2318/152112>

The extreme environment of a library: Xerophilic fungi inhabiting indoor niches

- [Anna Micheluz^{a, *}](#),
- [Sabrina Manente^{b, *}](#),
- [Valeria Tigini^{c, *}](#),
- [Valeria Prigione^{c, *}](#),
- [Flavia Pinzari^{d, *}](#),
- [Giampietro Ravagnan^{b, *}](#),
- [Giovanna Cristina Varese^{c, *}](#)

Show more

[doi:10.1016/j.ibiod.2014.12.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2014.12.012)

Highlights

- A widespread fungal infection was found in a Venetian Library with Compactus shelves.
 - Aerobiological in combination with book's covers samplings were performed.
 - *Eurotium halophilicum* was recognized as a principle cause of the infection.
 - Recent new species of section *Versicolores* were detected as airborne fungi inside library.
-

Abstract

The use of Compactus shelves by libraries and archives is a good solution to optimize the storage space and prevent dust deposits on books. However, they are probably the cause of severe cases of fungal colonization in historical library materials. A typical phenomenon occurs as a spread of white mycelial growth forming scattered spots, mainly on volumes with leather or fabric bindings. Recent studies have identified the xerophilic fungus *Eurotium halophilicum* (anamorph *Aspergillus halophilicus*) as responsible for this kind of contamination. A similar situation was found inside the Library of Humanities (BAUM), at Ca' Foscari University, Venice (Italy). Various sampling methods, including cotton swabs and adhesive tape, were adopted to isolate fungi from books and a set of aerobiological analyses was performed to characterize the environment of the repository. The presence of *E. halophilicum* on both books and in the indoor air was confirmed by direct observation of adhesive tape samples, microscopic observations and molecular methods. Moreover, *Aspergillus creber* and *Aspergillus protuberus* belonging to the revised group *Aspergillus* section *Versicolores*, were also isolated for the first time in Italian conservation environments.

Keywords

- *Eurotium halophilicum*;
- Book deterioration;
- Indoor environment;
- Airborne population;
- Compactus shelving

Introduction

The proper management of particular indoor environments, such as libraries or museums, is not always adequate to preserve their contents from various kinds of degradation. The best environmental conditions for preserving books and paper products, according to the Italian recommendations ([MIBAC, 2001](#)), are 19–24 °C air temperature and 50–60% relative humidity. However, these conditions turn out to be suitable for the development and growth of several microfungi and bacteria which need little free water and which can colonise materials with a very low water activity ([Hocking, 1993](#), [Slonczewski et al., 2010](#), [Borrego et al., 2012](#) and [Lavin et al., 2010](#)).

Over the last few years, some authors have described a peculiar fungal colonization associated with books in several Italian archives and libraries ([Pinzari and Montanari, 2011](#) and [Montanari et al., 2012](#)). In all the cases, the damaged books were stored inside movable shelves of the Compactus type, i.e. closed metal cabinets which, sliding on guide rails, can be compacted and therefore minimize the space required for book storage. These kinds of shelves are considered suitable for preserving books from light degradation and dust deposits. However, without an efficient climate control system, movable shelves can create a micro-environment which supports the growth of particular fungal species, involving the spread of infections which damage books as white spots of mycelium appear on them. The main agent responsible for this kind of fungal contamination has been identified as *Eurotium halophilicum* C.M. Chr., Papav. & C.R. Benj. (anamorph *Aspergillus halophilicus*), a xerophilic fungus with high tolerance to water stress. The minimum water activity observed for its germination and growth of this species is 0.675 ([Christensen et al. 1959](#)). Because of its particular requirements, this fungus has been isolated from dry food and indoor dust in association with *Aspergillus penicillioides* and dust mites ([Christensen et al., 1959](#), [Samson and Lustgraaf, 1978](#), [Hocking and Pitt, 1988](#), [Abel-Hafez et al., 1990](#) and [Aiqing et al., 2011](#)). It has recently been associated with books and paper biodeterioration, and in particular to the presence of very particular micro-niches inside museums, libraries or archives, capable of developing also when the overall environmental conditions seem perfect for the conservation of Cultural Heritage. These niches are characterised by scarce ventilation and the presence of a water vapour gradient that can lead to the condensation on some particular materials after sudden drop of temperature or night–day thermo hygrometric cycles. These peculiar, often very local, conditions together with soaking events in usually dry environments seemed to favour the development of osmophilic and xerophilic fungal species ([Michaelsen et al., 2010](#), [Sterflinger, 2010](#), [Pinzari and Montanari, 2011](#) and [Montanari et al., 2012](#)). Similar colonization patterns and microscopic features observed in several Italian case studies suggest that *E. halophilicum* might have a large distribution in repositories with Compactus shelves, but its detection may be largely underestimated. Inadequacy of sampling procedures and the very slow growth of the fungus on most media are probably the main reasons for previous underestimations.

In the spring of 2013, a widespread fungal contamination was discovered inside one of the libraries of Ca' Foscari University, Venice (Italy). Stored on Compactus shelves, more than 27,000 old books were found to be spotted with a white growth similar to typical colonization by *E. halophilicum* and the aim of this study was to verify if it is indeed due to this fungus. Aerobiological analyses were carried out to characterize the repository environment in order to find a connection between airborne fungi and the observed phenomena of book deterioration.

Materials and methods

Sampling area

Sampling was performed in a repository of the Library of Humanities (*Biblioteca di Area Umanistica*, BAUM), at Ca' Foscari University, Palazzo Malcanton Marcorà, in Venice (Italy). The repository covers an area of about 150 m², is located in the second underground floor, and is furnished with 50 two-row Compactus shelves. After an initial inspection in early 2013, the origin of the fungal colonization was identified in a corner far from the entrance, marked Area 1 in [Fig. 1a](#). In this area, the manuscripts and oldest books of the University collection were stored inside blocks of shelves, which had been kept closed for several months, because of the damage to the sliding tracks (pers.comm. library director).




Fig. 1.

a: Map of the five sampling areas inside the repository of Library of Humanities (BAUM), Ca' Foscari University, Venice (Italy). **b:** white fungal growth on book covers.

[Figure options](#)

The fungal growth had spread to eight neighbouring Compactus shelves and was also found on some isolated volumes stored in five shelves of the second row. More than 27,000 *Cinquecentine*, manuscripts and books, especially volumes with leather or fabric bindings dating to the XVI-XIX centuries and belonging to the historical collection of Ca' Foscari University, had been colonized by a white spotted growth ([Fig. 1b](#)). The environmental conditions of the repository corresponded to the recommendations of the Italian Ministry for Cultural Heritage ($T = 23\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $\text{RH} = 56.3\%$) ([MIBAC, 2001](#)), but apparently, a lack of ventilation inside the Compactus shelves and condensation of water on the books during winter, due to lowered environmental temperature, had probably promoted the germination of fungal spores and allowed contamination to spread.

Book sampling

Five books were sampled with various methods to isolate the fungal colonies: (1) sterile cotton swabs (Cultiplast, LP Italiana Spa, Milan, Italy) were wiped over the spots which had developed on the spines of bindings and then inoculated in 9-cm Petri dishes; (2) sterile nitrocellulose membranes (Advantec MFS, Inc., Dublin, CA, USA; 0.45 μ m pore size, 47 mm diameter) were gently pressed on the spots visible to the naked eye on the covers of contaminated books for 10 s and then transferred to Petri dishes; (3) pieces (6 \times 2 cm) of Fungi-Tape™ (Scientific Device Laboratory, Des Plaines, IL, USA; 1 mm thick, no. 745) were pressed over the spots to collect fungal structures and then deposited on sterile glass slides for microscopic observation; (4) active sampling with Sampl'air Lite (Biomérieux, Florence, Italy) with an air sample volume of 100 L (flow rate 100 L/min) was performed directly from the book covers, fungal structures being collected directly into Petri dishes. As a result of a previous selection of low water activity media, Malt Extract Agar added with 15% NaCl (MEA 15%) ([Christensen et al. 1959](#)) and supplemented with 0.05 g/L chloramphenicol to limit bacterial development was used as the most appropriate selective culture medium. All the Petri dishes containing the inocula were then transferred to the laboratory and incubated at 25 °C for 7–14 days.

Microbial air sampling

The air inside and outside the repository was analysed, to determine the level of fungal contamination. Five sampling areas were chosen according to a centrifugal scheme related to the primary colonization area and in respect to the single point of entrance/exit (i.e., of air exchange; [Fig. 1a](#)). Three replicas were made for each location. Both active and passive sampling was carried out to collect fungi directly from the air and the hourly viable particles settling on surfaces. To verify the presence of *E. halophilicum* and, in general, of xerophilic and halophylic fungi, MEA 15% supplemented with 0.05 g/L chloramphenicol was chosen to collect airborne fungi. Active sampling was performed with a Sampl'air Lite sampler (Biomérieux, Florence, Italy) with 9-cm Petri dishes, flow rate 100 L/min and sample volume of 100 L. The sampler was placed 1.5 m above the floor, to represent the breathing zone of a standing person. The total numbers of colony-forming units (CFU) in each sample were calculated according to the conversion table provided by the supplier; results are presented as CFU per cubic meter of air (CFU/m³) ([Peto and Powel, 1970](#)).

For passive sampling, 9-cm Petri dishes were exposed to air particles for 1 h, 1 m above the floor and at a distance of about 1 m to obstacles, to determine the Index of Microbial Air Contamination (IMA) expressed as CFU/dm²/h ([Pasquarella et al. 2000](#)).

After samplings, the dishes were closed, labelled, transferred to the laboratory and incubated at 25 °C for 7–14 days.

Optical and scanning electronic microscopic observation of sample tapes

The strips of adhesive tape (Fungi-Tape™) used for sampling the book bindings were observed under a optical microscope (Axio plan, Zeiss, Germany) at 200 \times and 400 \times magnification. Micrographs were acquired with a digital camera (AxioCam ERc5s, Zeiss) connected to a PC with ZEN 2011 software (Zeiss).

Fragments of tapes were observed under an EVO 50 (Zeiss), scanning electron microscope (SEM). The tapes were placed in phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), fixed in glutaraldehyde buffer for 2 h, rinsed in distilled water, post-fixed in 2% OsO₄ for 12 h at 5 °C, dehydrated in an ethanol series, taken to amyl acetate, and critical point-dried in a Polaron E-3000 dryer (Quorum Technologies, Ringmer, UK) with carbon dioxide. The samples were then coated with gold (Baltec Sputter Coater) for analysis in High Vacuum mode. Sputtering was performed under an Argon gas flow at a working

distance of 50 mm, 0.05 mbar of pressure and 40 mA current for 60 s, to obtain a gold film about 15 nm thick. Some samples were gold-coated and observed by SEM without previous fixation, to evaluate any formation of artefacts and the natural degree of dehydration.

Fungal identification

Fungi were identified with a polyphasic approach which couples morpho-physiological features with molecular studies. After determination of genera according to macroscopic and microscopic features ([Christensen et al., 1959](#), [Raper and Fennell, 1965](#), [Pitt, 1979](#), [Pitt and Hocking, 1997](#), [Klich, 2002](#) and [Samson and Frisvad, 2004](#)), the fungal strains were transferred to the media recommended by the authors of selected genus monographs for species identification. Molecular identification was performed by amplification and sequencing of internal transcribed spacers (ITS), β -tubulin and actin genes ([White et al., 1990](#), [Gardes and Bruns, 1993](#), [Carbone and Kohn, 1999](#) and [Bensch et al., 2012](#)). The resulting sequences were compared with reference sequences in online databases provided by the CBS-KNAW Fungal Biodiversity Centre (The Netherlands) and the NCBI National Center for Biotechnology Information (US). The fungal sequences and corresponding species identifications were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers [KM264279](#), [KM264280](#), [KM264281](#), [KM264282](#), [KM264283](#), [KM264284](#), [KM264285](#), [KM264286](#), [KM264287](#), [KM264288](#), [KM264289](#), [KM264290](#), [KM264291](#), [KM264292](#), [KM264293](#), [KM264294](#), [KM264295](#), [KM264296](#) and [KM264297](#) and [KM502176](#), [KM502177](#), [KM502178](#), [KM502179](#), [KM502180](#), [KM502181](#), [KM502182](#), [KM502183](#), [KM502184](#), [KM502185](#), [KM502186](#), [KM502187](#), [KM502188](#), [KM502189](#), [KM502190](#), [KM502191](#), [KM502192](#), [KM502193](#), [KM502194](#), [KM502195](#), [KM502196](#), [KM502197](#), [KM502198](#), [KM502199](#), [KM502200](#), [KM502201](#), [KM502202](#), [KM502203](#), [KM502204](#), [KM502205](#), [KM502206](#), [KM502207](#) and [KM502208](#). All fungi are preserved at the Mycotheca Universitatis Taurinensis (MUT) of the Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology, University of Turin (Italy).

Statistical analyses and diversity assessment

The Bray–Curtis Index was applied to the airborne microbial population in order to describe similarity among sampling areas, with XLSTAT 2014.4.01. A significant dissimilarity level of 0.95 was applied. The Simpson Diversity Index was also calculated to explain the level of species diversity inside the repository, according to the formula: $1 - D = 1 - \sum (n/N)^2$, where n is the number of colonies per morphological fungal species and N is the total frequency of the corresponding colony in the population ([Magurran, 1988](#) and [Nunes et al., 2013](#)).

Results and discussions

Book sampling

The uniform spread of fungal colonization was visible to the naked eye on all sampled books, as white spots of varying sizes, ranging on average from 0.2 to 1 cm in diameter ([Fig. 1b](#)). Direct observation of Fungi-Tape™ samples by optical microscope showed various fungal structures, such as mycelium, conidiophores and conidia, mainly belonging to *Aspergillus* spp. Uniseriate radiate to columnar conidial heads and ellipsoidal conidia of quite variable size ($5-7.5 \times 5-9 \mu\text{m}$) were observed. The shape, ornamentation and dimensions of these conidia were consistent with those of the anamorphous state of *E. halophilicum*, namely *Aspergillus halophilicus* ([Fig. 2](#)) ([Samson and Lustgraaf, 1978](#)). Short bare “hairs” on the hyphae were visible even at 200× magnification, and

even clearer under SEM. These hairs were found in fixed, gold-coated and directly sputtered samples, thus confirming that they were not an artefact of preparation.




Fig. 2.

SEM and optical microscope images of fungal structures sampled from books with adhesive Fungi-Tape™. **a:** Optical bright field microscopy imaging of *E. halophilicum* hyphae, conidiophore, and conidia; **b:** HV SE SEM image of gold-sputtered samples of *E. halophilicum*: details of rough lenticular ascospores still assembled in an ascus (arrow)

and a typical haired conidiophore with vesicle and conidiogenous cells surrounded by many ornamented conidia. **c**: HV SE SEM image of gold-sputtered samples of *E. halophilicum*; details of rough lenticular ascospores, with shallow furrows bordered by low-ridged ascospores, assembled in an ascum (arrow). **d**: HV SE SEM image of gold-sputtered samples of a germinating conidium of *E. halophilicum*: details of a germinative tube (arrow). **e**: HV SE SEM image of gold-sputtered samples of *E. halophilicum* haired hyphae with trapped conidia belonging to two different species, characterized by different dimensions, shapes and ornamentations (simple arrow = *E. halophilicum*, arrow with asterisk = a different fungal species).

Fourteen species belonging to eight genera were identified ([Table 1](#)). Sterile swabbing, nitrocellulose membranes and active sampling favoured the isolation of five, nine and eight taxa, respectively. Although the nitrocellulose membranes isolated the highest number of species, they did not isolated *E. halophilicum*. Instead, active sampling isolated all the species isolated by sterile swabbing and also some others. Several authors have already demonstrated the effectiveness of nitrocellulose membranes for fungal isolation from varying types of substrates (e.g., [Florian, 2002](#) and [Manente et al., 2012](#)). The most frequent fungal species found in our case were *Aspergillus creber* and *Penicillium brevicompactum*. *A. creber*, belonging to the recent revisited section *Versicolores* ([Jurjevic et al. 2012](#)), was found on stored books for the first time; *P. brevicompactum* is well-known as one of the most xerophilic Penicillia ([Hocking and Pitt, 1988](#)). *E. halophilicum* was preferentially isolated by sterile swabbing and active sampling very close to the contamination on the books. Although its white growth was visible on all the book covers, its isolation was difficult and sporadic, and samples were obtained from only one volume. These results match literature reports of this species. After initial large-scale expansion, due to the sudden availability of water on the books, identifiable as mycelium sporification, *E. halophilicum* stops growing and its starving mycelium acts passively as a sort of trap for other airborne spores. A hypothesis still to be proven is that this starving mycelium provides the substrate for the growth or survival of spores and propagules of other fungi. This may explain why old contaminations in libraries are due to fungal species other than the *E. halophilicum*, although its presence on materials can very often be documented by molecular methods and SEM imaging.

Table 1.

Fungal diversity from various sampling procedures on books, with GenBank accession numbers.

Fungal species	Number of books	Methods of isolation	Accession number
<i>Aspergillus creber</i> Jurjevic, S.W. Peterson & B.W. Horn	4	S, A, M	(*)
<i>Penicillium brevicompactum</i> Dierckx	3	S, A, M	KM502183
<i>Aspergillus penicillioides</i> Speg.	3	S, A	KM502180
<i>Aspergillus protuberus</i> Munt.-Cvetk.	2	S, A	(*)
<i>Eurotium halophilicum</i> C.M. Chr. Papav. & C.R. Benj.	1	S, A	KM502179
<i>Aspergillus jensenii</i> Jurjevic, S.W. Peterson & B.W. Horn	1	A	KM502178
<i>Lecanicillium kalimantanense</i> Kurihara & Sukarno	1	A	KM264285

Fungal species	Number of books	Methods of isolation	Accession number
<i>Eurotium chevalieri</i> L. Mangin	1	M	(*)
<i>Aspergillus versicolor</i> (Vuill.) Tirab.	1	M	KM264292
<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i> Thom	1	M	(*)
<i>Cladosporium ramotenellum</i> K. Schub., Zalar, Crous & U. Braun	1	M	KM264294
<i>Epicoccum nigrum</i> Link	1	M	KM264283
<i>Phaeosphaeria typharum</i> (Desm.) L. Holm	1	M	KM264282
<i>Gloeophyllum abietinum</i> (Bull.) P. Karst.	1	M	KM264291

S = sterile swab; A = active sampling; M = nitrocellulose membrane.

(*) Fungal species that have only been identify using morphological approach.

Colonies of another xerophilic fungus, *A. penicillioides*, were also frequently isolated from books. Already in 1978, this fungus was associated with *E. halophilicum* by [Samson and Lustgraaf \(1978\)](#) as cohabiting in house dust, emphasizing the possibility what it was responsible for allergic reactions and lung disease. This species was also been isolated from dry food and indoor environments, such as museums, archives, shelving and carpeting ([Samson and Lustgraaf, 1978](#), [Pitt and Hocking, 1997](#) and [Samson et al., 2004](#)). In particular, *A. penicillioides* has often been associated with the mycoflora isolated from old contaminated books and manuscripts ([Pinzari and Montanari, 2008](#), [Michaelsen et al., 2010](#) and [Montanari et al., 2012](#)).

Microbial air sampling

The results of microbial air sampling demonstrated a gradually decreasing contamination gradient from area 1 toward the exit of the repository ([Table 2](#)). The source of colonization in area 1 was the most contaminated of all the sampled sites, followed by area 2 (2000 and 1620 CFU/m³, respectively) and they presented the highest fungal similarity ($d^{BCS} = 0.84$). These loads were ten times higher than in the other sampled areas, which ranged between 101 and 29 CFU/m³, with low similarity indices ($0.06 < d^{BCS} < 0.4$). The total fungal loads in areas 1 and 2 were higher than those reported in similar studies ([Karbowska-Berent et al., 2011](#), [Pasquarella et al., 2012](#) and [Nunes et al., 2013](#)). They were also higher than the 150 CFU/m³ value recommended for Italian libraries ([MIBAC, 2001](#)), and even higher than other international recommended limits, which indicated a maximal contamination value of 1000 CFU/m³ for archives ([Borrego et al., 2010](#) and [Nunes et al., 2013](#)). The data from passive sampling recorded a lower yield compared with active sampling, with 4–44 CFU/m²/h. The absence of CFU from the passive sampler in the area 5 may be explained by the lack of settling microbial particles able to grow on the selective media used, or by the different ventilation system outside the repository.

Table 2.

Fungal air contamination values in book repository, active sampling (AS, CFU/m³) and passive sampling (PS, CFU/dm²/h) with standard deviations (STD). Total number of fungal taxa and Simpson Diversity Index presented for each sampled area and for entire environment of repository.

Sampling areas inside the deposit	AS (CFU/m ³) mean ± st. dev.	PS (CFU/dm ² /h) mean ± st. dev.	Number of fungal taxa	Simpson's index of fungal population
1: Right corner far from the entrance, between shelves	2000 ± 610	44 ± 9	5	0.5
2: Corridor close to the area 1	1620 ± 80	35 ± 8	8	0.6
3: Left corner far from the entrance, between shelves	81 ± 1	11 ± 5	12	0.8
4: Entrance	101 ± 12	4 ± 2	22	0.9
5: Storage room adjacent to the deposit studied	29 ± 13	0	7	0.7
Total	3831	94	27	0.6

Several fungal patterns were found in the sampled areas, highlighting the variety of mycological species found in a quite small space (150 m²), and the Simpson Diversity Index ranged between 0.5 and 0.9 (Table 2). Area 4 had the highest fungal diversity, perhaps because of the continual passage of workers and students. Area 1 also had the lowest value of fungal variability, perhaps be due to the particular environmental conditions between the shelves, with very low air exchange rates.

Morphological and molecular analysis isolated 76 different fungal taxa, belonging to eleven fungal genera (Table 3). *Aspergillus* was the most frequent genus (82.4%), followed by *Penicillium* (14.7%) and *Cladosporium* (1.4%). The remaining isolates presented low frequency, between 0.8% and <0.1%. The most abundant species were *A. creber* (55.7%), *Aspergillus protuberus* (25.7%), *Penicillium chrysogenum* (11.5%) and *P. brevicompactum* (3.1%), characterizing in particular the colonization source in area 1 and the surrounding area 2. Found also on the sampled books, these species are common indoor fungi (Samson et al., 2004 and Jurjevic et al., 2012). *P. chrysogenum* and *P. brevicompactum*, in particular, have also been associated with the biodeterioration of Cultural Heritage materials (Zyska, 1997, Montemartini Corte et al., 2003 and Sterflinger, 2010). Conversely, the genera *Cladosporium* (1.4%) was peculiar to transition areas 4 and 5, together with other outdoor fungi such as *Pleosporales* sp., *Embellisia* sp., *Schizophyllum* sp. and *Botrytis* sp. (Pitt and Hocking, 1997 and Samson et al., 2004). Area 3 showed a mixture of fungi also found in the other areas, both indoor, such as *Aspergillus* spp. and *Penicillium* spp. (Gallo, 1993, Zyska, 1997 and Sterflinger, 2010) and outdoor, such as *Fusarium* sp. and *Alternaria* sp., were found (Pitt and Hocking, 1997 and Samson et al., 2004).

Table 3.

Fungal diversity results from aerobiological analysis, expressed for sampled areas as relative frequency, together with GenBank accession numbers.

Fungal species	Relative frequency (%)						Accession no.
	Area 1	Area 2	Area 3	Area 4	Area 5	Total count	
<i>Aspergillus creber</i> Jurjevic, S.W. Peterson & B.W. Horn	64.3% (P)	50.3% (P)	27% (P)	14.9%	–	55.7%	KM502191
<i>Aspergillus protuberus</i> Munt.-Cvetk	23.3% (P)	31.5% (P)	7%	6.9%	–	25.7%	KM502192
<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i> Thom	9.5% (P)	13.6% (P)	20%	9.9% (P)	3.6%	11.5%	KM502200
<i>Penicillium brevicompactum</i> Dierckx	2.8%	3.4%	7%	1%	–	3.1%	(*)
<i>Aspergillus</i> spp.	–	(P)	10%	2%	10.7%	0.4%	(*)
<i>Cladosporium pseudocladosporioides</i> Bensch, Crous & U. Braun	(P)	(P)	(P)	5% (P)	–	0.1%	(*)
<i>Cladosporium cladosporioides</i> (Fresen.) G.A. de Vries	–	–	–	9.9%	46.4%	0.6%	KM264293
<i>Cladosporium ramotenellum</i> K. Schub., Zalar, Crous & U. Braun	–	0.3%	2%	5%	14.3%	0.4%	KM264295
<i>Aspergillus penicillioides</i> Speg.	–	(P)	–	–	–	–	(*)
<i>Phaeospheria herpotrichoides</i> (De Not.) L. Holm	–	–	–	2% (P)	7.1%	0.1%	KM264281
<i>Aspergillus niger</i> Tiegh.	–	0.3%	–	5%	–	0.3%	KM502177
<i>Penicillium sizovae</i> Baghd.	–	0.3%	–	5%	–	0.3%	KM502185
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i> Pers.	–	–	–	2% (P)	–	0.1%	KM264280
<i>Aspergillus sydowii</i> (Bainier & Sartory) Thom & Church	(P)	–	1%	–	–	<0.1%	KM502191
<i>Aspergillus proliferans</i> G. Sm.	–	(P)	–	–	–	–	KM502181
<i>Penicillium italicum</i> Wehmer	–	(P)	–	–	–	–	KM502188
<i>Penicillium corylophilum</i> Dierckx	–	–	(P)	–	–	–	KM502184
<i>Pleosporales</i> sp. Luttr. Ex M.E. Barr	–	–	1%	5%	–	0.2%	(*)
<i>Cladosporium sphaerospermum</i> Penz.	–	–	–	5.9%	–	0.2%	KM264296
<i>Aspergillus insuetus</i> (Bainier) Thom & Church	–	0.3%	–	–	–	0.1%	KM502182
<i>Cladosporium ossifragi</i> (Rostr.) U. Braun & K. Schub.	–	–	–	5%	–	0.1%	(*)

Fungal species	Relative frequency (%)						Accession no.
	Area 1	Area 2	Area 3	Area 4	Area 5	Total count	
<i>Phoma glomerata</i> (Corda) Wollenw. & Hochapfel	–	–	–	5%	–	0.1%	KM264279
<i>Penicillium glabrum</i> (Wehmer) Westling	–	–	–	4%	–	0.1%	KM502187
<i>Eurotium chevalieri</i> L. Mangin	–	–	–	2%	3.6%	0.1%	(*)
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> Schltld.	–	–	2%	–	–	0.1%	KM264286
<i>Aspergillus sclerotiorum</i> G.A. Huber	(P)	–	1%	–	–	0	KM502176
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i> Link	–	–	–	1%	–	<0.1%	(*)
<i>Embellisia abundans</i> E.G. Simmons	–	–	–	1%	–	<0.1%	KM264288
<i>Alternaria infectoria</i> E.G. Simmons	–	–	1%	–	–	<0.1%	KM264290
<i>Schizophyllum commune</i> Fr.	–	–	–	1%	–	<0.1%	KM264287
Sterile mycelium	0.3% (P)	(P)	21%	2%	14.3%	0.8%	(*)

(*) Fungal species that have only been identify using morphological approach.

(P) Fungal species isolated by passive sampling.

Fungi collected with passive sampling were limited to a few colonies of *A. penicillioides*, *Aspergillus proliferans*, *Penicillium corylophilum* and *Penicillium italicum*. Active sampling may have revealed their presence, but they could not be isolated due to the high number of CFU/m³.

The sampled air did not allow isolation of colonies of *E. halophilicum*. This result emphasizes how the presence of this fungus may easily be neglected or underestimated during air quality and conservation surveys in libraries and archives, as it is difficult to isolate from the air and grows slowly *in vitro*.

Conclusions

Fungal contamination caused by a proliferation of *E. halophilicum* was identify inside the Library of Humanities (BAUM) at Ca' Foscari University, Venice (Italy). Its presence was found on books and in the nearby surrounding indoor air, but could not be isolated by aerobiological analysis, probably because of its poor susceptibility to air dispersion. It appears that it may proliferate and spread only during its first period of growth, after which, it is difficult to isolate, even from contaminated surfaces with visible fungal growth. This is why its spread may also be attributed to other strategies, such as dust mites. *A. penicillioides* was also frequently isolated from books in association with *E. halophilicum*, probably because of the similar behaviour and low water requirements of both these species. Aerobiological analysis revealed high fungal concentrations, exceeding Italian recommended limits for libraries, inside the repository and in particular close to the source of colonization between shelves. *A. creber*, *A. protuberus*, *P. chrysogenum* and *P. brevicompactum* were the species most frequently isolated. Moreover, *A. creber* and *A. protuberus*

belonging to the *Aspergillus* section *Versicolores* group were also detected for the first time inside the Italian repository environment. The use of sampling media suitable for halophilic and xerophilic fungal species inside archives and libraries emphasizes a very peculiar ecological dynamic in these indoor environments, as unsuspected and little investigated niches are occupied.

Apart from the biodegradation of very old books, our results should be considered in relation to the effect which these poorly studied fungal species may have on human health. In theory, most of the identified species, such as *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* spp., and their high concentrations in closed environments, may cause health problems for both library workers and visitors. Rapid diagnoses, frequent dusting and effective ventilation should all be ensured, to prevent fungal contamination of materials.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Drs. Antonella Sattin, Alessandra Zorzi and Daniela Grandin, directors and responsible for the library system at Ca' Foscari University in Venice, for their support to this project.

References

- Keratinophilic fungi and other moulds associated with air- dust particles from Egypt Folia Microbiol., 35 (1990), pp. 311–325
- X. Aiqing, W. Yuanliang, W. Jieyu, I Pin, L. Ziyin, L. Longjjun Fungal community associated with fermentation and storage of Fuzhan brick-tea Int. J. Food Microbiol., 146 (2011), pp. 14–22
- K. Bensch, U. Braun, J.Z. Groenewald, P.W. Crous The genus *Cladosporium* Stud. Mycol., 72 (2012), pp. 1–401
- S. Borrego, P. Guiamet, S. Gómez de Saravia, P. Batistini, M. Garcia, P. Lavin, I. Perdomo The quality of air at archives and the biodeterioration of photographs Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad., 64 (2010), pp. 139–145
- S. Borrego, P. Lavin, I. Perdomo, S. Gómez de Saravia, P. Guiamet. Determination of indoor air quality in archives and biodeterioration of the documentary heritage ISRN Microbiol., 2012 (2012), p. 10
- I. Carbone, L.M. Kohn. A methods for designing primer sets for speciation studies in filamentous ascomycetes Mycologia, 91 (1999), pp. 553–556
- . C.M. Christensen, G.C. Papavizas, C.R. Benjamin A new halophilic species of *Eurotium* Mycologia, 51 (1959), pp. 636–640
- M.L.E. Florian Fungal Facts: Solving Problems in Heritage Collection Archetype Publications Ltd, London, UK (2002)
- F. Gallo Aerobiological research and problems in libraries Aerobiologia, 9 (1993), pp. 117–130
- M. Gardes, T.D. Bruns ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomycetes – application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts Mol. Ecol., 1 (1993), pp. 113–118
- A.D. Hocking, J.I. Pitt Two new species of xerophilic fungi and a further record of *Eurotium halophilicum* Mycologia, 80 (1988), pp. 82–88
- A.D. Hocking Responses in xerophilic fungi to changes in water activity D.H. Jennings (Ed.), Stress Tolerance of Fungi, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York (1993), pp. 233–243
- Z. Jurjevic, S.W. Peterson, B.W. Horn *Aspergillus* section *Versicolores*: nine new species and multilocus DNA sequence based phylogeny IMA Fungus, 3 (2012), pp. 59–79

- J. Karbowska-Berent, R.L. Górny, A.B. Strzelczyk, A. Wlazło Airborne and dust borne microorganisms in selected polish libraries and archives *Build. Environ.*, 46 (2011), pp. 1872–1879
- M.A. Klich Identification of Common *Aspergillus* Species CBS, Utrecht, The Netherlands (2002)
- P. Lavin, S.G. Gómez de Saravia, P.S. Guimet An environmental assessment of biodeterioration in document repositories *Biofouling*, 30 (2010), pp. 561–569
- A.E. Magurran Ecological Diversity and its Measurements Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1988), pp. 39–40
- S. Manente, A. Micheluz, R. Ganzerla, G. Ravagnan, A. Gambaro. Chemical and biological characterization of paper: a case study using a proposed methodological approach *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.*, 74 (2012), pp. 99–108
- MIBAC Atto d'indirizzo sui criteri tecnico-scientifici e sugli standard di funzionamento e sviluppo dei musei, D.Lgs (2001) n.112/98 art.150 comma 6
- A. Michaelsen, G. Pinar, F. Pinzari Molecular and microscopical investigation of the microflora inhabiting a deteriorated Italian manuscript dated from the thirteen century *Microb. Ecol.*, 60 (2010), pp. 69–80
- M. Montanari, V. Melloni, F. Pinzari, G. Innocenti Fungal biodeterioration of historical library materials stored in compactus movable shelves *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.*, 75 (2012), pp. 83–88
- A. Montemartini Corte, A. Ferroni, V.S. Salvo Isolation of fungal species from test samples and maps damaged by foxing, and correlation between these species and the environment *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.*, 51 (2003), pp. 167–173
- I. Nunes, N. Mesquita, S. Cabo Verde, A.M. Leitão Bandeira, M.M. Carolino, A. Portugal, M.L. Botelho Characterization of an airborne microbial community: a case study in the archive of the University of Coimbra, Portugal *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.*, 79 (2013), pp. 36–41
- C. Pasquarella, O. Pitzurra, A. Savino The index of microbial air contamination *J. Hosp. Infect.*, 46 (2000), pp. 241–256
- C. Pasquarella, E. Saccani, G.E. Sansebastiano, M. Ugolotti, G. Pasquariello, R. Albertini Proposal for a biological environmental monitoring approach to be used in libraries and archives *Ann. Agric. Environ. Med.*, 19 (2012), pp. 209–212
- S. Peto, E.O. Powel The assessment of aerosol concentration by means of the Andersen sampler *J. Appl. Bacteriol.*, 33 (1970), pp. 582–598
- F. Pinzari, M. Montanari Il SEM-VP nella diagnostica di alterazioni fungine non più vitali o di difficile caratterizzazione F. Pinzari (Ed.), *Scienza e ricerca per i beni culturali. Microscopia elettronica a scansione e microanalisi*, Gangemi Editore, Roma (2008), pp. 79–86
- F. Pinzari, M. Montanari Mould growth on library materials stored in compactus-type shelving units S.A. Abdul-Wahab Al-Sulaiman (Ed.), *Sick Building Syndrome: Public Buildings and Workplaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg (2011), pp. 193–206
- J.I. Pitt The Genus *Penicillium* and its Teleomorphic States: *Eupenicillium* and *Talaromyces* Academic Press (1979)
- J.I. Pitt, A.D. Hocking Fungi and Food Spoilage Blackie Academic and Professional of Chapman & Hall, London, UK (1997)
- K.B. Raper, D.I. Fennell The Genus *Aspergillus* Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, USA (1965)
- R.A. Samson, B.V.D. Lustgraaf *Aspergillus penicilloides* and *Eurotium halophilicum* in association with house- dust mites *Mycopathologia*, 64 (1978), pp. 13–16
- R.A. Samson, E.S. Hoekstra, J.C. Frisvad Introduction to Food and Airborne Fungi ASM Press, US (2004)

- R.A. Samson, J.C. Frisvad *Penicillium* Subgenus *Penicillium*: New Taxonomic Schemes, *Mycotoxins and Other Extralites Studies in Mycology*, vol. 49, CBS, Utrecht, The Netherlands (2004)
- J.L. Slonczewski, A.J. Coker, S. DasSarma *Microbial growth under multiple stressors* *Microbe*, 5 (2010), pp. 110–116
- K. Sterflinger *Fungi: their role in deterioration of cultural heritage* *Fungal Biol. Rev.*, 24 (2010), pp. 47–55
- T.J. White, T. Bruns, S. Lee, J.W. Taylor *Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics* M.A. Innis, D.H. Gelfand, J.J. Sninsky, T.J. White (Eds.), *PCR Protocols: a Guide to Methods and Applications*, Academic Press, New York (1990), pp. 315–322
- B. Zyska *Fungi isolated from library materials: a review of the literature* *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.*, 40 (1997), pp. 43–51