



## AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

## Ergonomic analysis of the effects of a telehandler's active suspended cab on Whole Body Vibration level and operator comfort.

## This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1528203 since 2017-11-02T23:53:55Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.ergon.2015.10.009

Terms of use:

**Open Access** 

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)



# UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

*This is an author version of the contribution published on: Questa è la versione dell'autore dell'opera:* 

Ergonomic analysis of the effects of a telehandler's active suspended cab on Whole Body Vibration level and operator comfort

Caffaro F., Micheletti Cremasco M., Preti C., Cavallo E., 2015.

International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, vol. 53: 16-29

doi: 0.1016/j.ergon.2015.10.009

*The definitive version is available at: La versione definitiva è disponibile alla URL:* 

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0169814115300469

1	RUNNING HEAD: Active suspension system in telehandlers: an ergonomic analysis of WBV and
2	comfort
3	Ergonomic analysis of the effects of a telehandler's active suspended
4	cab on Whole Body Vibration level and operator comfort
5	
6	Federica Caffaro <sup>a</sup> , Margherita Micheletti Cremasco <sup>b</sup> , Christian Preti <sup>a</sup> , Eugenio Cavallo <sup>a</sup>
7 8 9	
10 11 12 13	<sup>a</sup> Institute for Agricultural and Earthmoving Machines (IMAMOTER), Italian National Research Council (CNR), Strada delle Cacce, 73 – 10135 Torino, Italy; f.caffaro@ima.to.cnr.it, c.preti@imamoter.cnr.it, e.cavallo@imamoter.cnr.it
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<sup>b</sup> Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology, University of Turin, via Accademia Albertina, 13 – 10123, Torino, Italy; margherita.micheletti@unito.it
22	
23	Please address correspondence to:
24	Eugenio Cavallo
25	IMAMOTER - CNR
26	Strada delle Cacce 73
27	10135 Torino
28	Italy
29	Phone: +39 011 3977724
30	Fax: +39 0113489218
31	E-mail: <u>e.cavallo@imamoter.cnr.it</u>

## 32 ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Exposure to whole body vibration (WBV) is one of the most important risks for 33 34 musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs). The objective of the study was to investigate whether an active 35 cab suspension system fitted on a telehandler was effective in reducing WBV and in improving 36 comfort. 37 METHOD: Sixteen male healthy professional operators drove a telehandler on a 100m ISO 5008 38 smooth track at two different speeds (5 and 12 kph) with activated and deactivated cab suspension 39 system. Adopting an ergonomic approach, different aspects of the human-machine interaction were 40 analyzed: 1) vibration transmissibility, 2) subjective ratings of general comfort and local body 41 discomfort, and 3) anthropometric characteristics of the users. 42 RESULTS: A series of ANCOVAs showed that the suspension system was effective in reducing 43 WBV at both speeds but did not affect the perception of comfort by the operators. Moreover, 44 individuals with higher Body Mass Index (BMI) experienced more comfort. Some neck/shoulder 45 and lumbar complaints and perceived hard jolts seemed to remain even when the system was 46 activated. No correlations were found between objective and subjective measures. 47 PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS: Results suggest that the operators, given their wide range of 48 physical variability, may need more adjustable or customizable WBV reduction systems. 49

50 Keywords: Active suspension; Anthropometric variability; Comfort; Telehandler; Whole-body
51 vibration transmissibility

52

## 53 1. INTRODUCTION

54 1.1 Background and motivation

Exposure to whole body vibration (WBV) has been identified as one of the most important risks for
musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) (Lyons, 2002; Osborne et al., 2012), having severe effects on

57 low-back pain, neck-shoulder disorders, early degeneration of the spine and herniated discs

(Bovenzi & Zadini, 1992; Griffin, 1990; Kittusamy & Buchholz, 2004). MSDs are a main issue of
concern in agricultural industry: in the United States, a 2008 report showed that about 20 percent of
farm workers suffer from musculoskeletal injuries (Kandel, 2008). In Europe, 2,070,000 out of over
40 millions occupational diseases among agricultural operators are MSDs (EU OSHA, 2010).
Agricultural and earth-moving machinery operators are particularly at risk because they are usually

63 exposed to vehicle vibrations for a long time (Mayton et al., 2008): indeed they typically spend

64 many hours on the machine (Lin, 2011) and they have to accomplish many operations on different

types of uneven terrain (Wikström, 1993), with the vehicle moving at various forward speeds (Lines
et al., 1995; Scarlett et al., 2007).

67 The awareness of the risks related to WBV exposure led to the development of standards and requirements to maintain healthy working conditions. The development of WBV standards started 68 69 in 1966 in Europe, resulting in the publication of ISO 2631 (Paschold & Sergeev, 2009). This 70 standard is included into the European Commission Directive 2002/44/EC as a framework to 71 measure, with the appropriate frequency weightings, the daily WBV exposure. The Directive 72 imposes, on the European Union countries, duties on employers to protect employees who may be 73 exposed to WBV vibration at work, and other persons who might be affected by the vibrations, 74 whether they are at work or not. A partially different situation exists in the United States, where the 75 WBV exposure limits are based upon the ISO 2631standard but are voluntary (Paschold & Sergeev, 76 2009).

In order to comply with rules and standards and to promote operators' health, safety and comfort, many technological and design innovations have been introduced on vehicles by manufacturers during last decades (for a review, see Donati, 2002 and Tiemessen et al., 2007). Innovations range from suspended seats (Hostens et al., 2004) to correct ergonomic layout of vehicle interior (Pope et al., 1998) and to cab suspension systems (Velmurugan et al., 2012). Concerning cab suspension, different solutions have been developed, from passive systems to more recent semi-active and

83 active ones (Fischer & Isermann, 2004): active systems in particular represent an important 84 innovation, not only for WBV control but also for the improvement of ride quality, handling and 85 performance under different operating conditions (Ikenaga et al., 2000; Wong, 2001). 86 The effects of passive and semi-active cab suspension systems on WBV exposure have been 87 investigated on many vehicles: agricultural tractors (Scarlett et al., 2007), fork lift trucks (Lemerle 88 et al., 2002) and harvesters (Deprez et al., 2005). Less is known about active systems, and in 89 particular with regard to telescopic handlers (telehandlers). These vehicles are indeed little 90 investigated (Mansfield et al., 2009; Strambi et al., 2012) and not typically involved in user trials 91 assessing WBV exposure, despite the fact that the telehandler is a versatile and widespread vehicle 92 used on different off-road applications (construction, agriculture, mining, etc.) on uneven terrains 93 and for a large number of different operations (Bertani, 2014). 94 Studies evaluating the effectiveness of suspension systems typically adopt an objective/mechanical 95 approach, focusing in particular on acceleration and frequency analysis to determine workers' 96 exposure limit and action values stated by rules and standards (De Temmerman et al., 2005; 97 Hansson, 1995). Nonetheless, current sales trends show that the operator's comfort is becoming 98 more and more important in determining the market value of agricultural machines (Vink, 2005). 99 Previously, customers wished that their basic needs would be fulfilled at an affordable cost, while, 100 in recent years, customers' decision to purchase a machine has become increasingly influenced by 101 comfort (Cavallo et al., 2014a; Krause & Bronkhorst, 2003). Furthermore, comfort is one of the 102 technological trajectories adopted by off-road vehicle manufacturers to develop their products 103 (Cavallo et al., 2014b; Cavallo et al., 2015). 104 Many previous studies showed that is not always possible to predict comfort from objective 105 methods only (de Looze et al., 2003; Mehta & Tewari, 2000). Nonetheless, and even though 106 comfort is a subjective phenomenon (de Looze et al., 2003), users' perceptions are often left in the 107 background. Only recently, researchers have become more aware of the positive outcomes that 108 could be achieved by involving final users in the evaluation of comfort (Blüthner et al., 2008).

109 The role played by some anthropometric characteristics, such as stature, body mass and Body Mass 110 Index (BMI), of the users of different vehicles in affecting WBV exposure and MSDs development 111 has been investigated in previous studies but contrasting results are reported (Blood et al., 2010; 112 Costa & Azeres, 2009; Mani et al., 2011; Milosavljevic et al., 2011, 2012; Sadeghi et al., 2012). 113 Among these characteristics, the BMI is used by the World Health Organization to classify 114 underweight, overweight and obesity in adults (WHO, 2000). Thus, it is a relevant index to be 115 considered, because of the increasing rate of overweight and obesity conditions in the developed 116 countries (WHO, 2000, 2004). Moreover, as an index calculated as the body mass in kilograms divided by the square of the stature in meters  $(kg/m^2)$ , it is a combination of measurements. It is 117 118 therefore essential for the interpretation of measurements, since, as reported by WHO (1995), body 119 mass alone has no meaning unless it is related to an individual's stature. However, the relation 120 between BMI and exposure to vibration is controversial: some studies pointed out that MSDs 121 related to WBV exposure increase when the BMI raises (Bovenzi et al., 2006). On the opposite, 122 results from other studies showed that vibrational discomfort decreases (Leino et al., 2006) and 123 energy absorption increases (Wang et al., 2006) when the BMI raises. 124 Little is known, however, about the influence of this anthropometric characteristic on the perception 125 of comfort in field machinery operators, whose population is undergoing the same trend of

126 increasing overweight and obesity conditions as the general population (WHO, 2004).

127 1.2 Aims of the study

128 The objective of the present study was to investigate whether an active cab suspension system fitted

129 on a telehandler was effective in reducing WBV and in improving comfort for the operators. The

130 study adopted an ergonomic approach "concerned with the understanding of the interactions among

- 131 *humans and other elements of a system* [...]", which considers users' involvement essential "in
- 132 order to optimize human well-being and overall system performance" (International Ergonomics
- 133 Association, 2015; see also Karwowski, 2006). The importance of this approach is highlighted also

by the European Directive 42/2006 (European Commission, 2006), which states that "Under the 134 135 intended conditions of use, the discomfort, fatigue and physical and psychological stress faced by the operator must be reduced to the minimum possible, taking into account ergonomic principles 136 137 such as: allowing for the variability of the operator's physical dimensions, strength and stamina; 138 providing enough space for movements of the parts of the operator's body; avoiding a machine-139 determined work rate; avoiding monitoring that requires lengthy concentration; adapting the 140 man/machinery interface to the foreseeable characteristics of the operators." (Annex 1, p.21). 141 Thus, the study was addressed to assess not only the objective effects of the suspension system on 142 vibration transmissibility but also the benefits perceived by the users, considered in their 143 anthropometric variability. 144 To characterize the effects of the cab suspension system fitted on the telehandler the following aspects of the human-machine interaction were analyzed: 1) objective measures of vibration 145 146 transmissibility, 2) subjective ratings of general comfort and local body discomfort, and 3) 147 anthropometric characteristics of the users. 148 This study brings an additional contribution to the existing literature about WBV reduction and 149 comfort improvement. First of all, the study investigates WBV exposure and vibrational comfort on 150 an understudied type of field vehicle, the telescopic handler. Moreover, the vehicle was equipped 151 with an active hydro-pneumatic suspension system. Additionally, the present research includes a 152 subjective assessment of vibrational comfort and, finally, relations between objective measures, subjective evaluation and anthropometrics characteristics of the users are analyzed. 153

154 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS** 

## 155 2.1 Participants

Sixteen male healthy professional telehandler drivers took part in the study. Individuals with a
minimum of 5 years of driving experience on telehandlers (driving-experience cut-off as in Kumar
et al., 2001) were chosen to participate in the study. The mean age and experience operating

159 telehandlers were 39.4 years (SD=12.2; range 18-60) and 20.0 years (SD=14.49; range 10-50),

160 respectively. The participants completed a brief questionnaire about their work experience and

- musculoskeletal disorders history. All the participants did not report any musculoskeletal disorders
  and were suitable for the investigation trials. All the participants signed an informed consent to
- 163 participate in the study.
- 164 2.2 The telehandler

The telescopic handler is a field vehicle equipped with a longitudinal telescopic and elevating arm,
usually activated by hydraulic jacks, to orientate the load carrier (ISO 12934:2013; ISO 5053:1987).
An example of the vehicle is shown in Figure 1. Because of their versatility, telehandlers are widely
used in agriculture and construction sector (Bertani, 2014). They show high sales numbers
worldwide: the Association of Equipment Manufacturers (AEM) statistics estimates 30,000 units
sold in 2011(Cranes & Access, 2012).

The telehandler used in the study was a Merlo make, P55.9CS model. It is a 2 axles, 4 wheel drive 171 172 vehicle equipped with 103 kW Diesel engine and hydrostatic transmission. The maximum forward 173 speed is 40 kph (25 mph). The vehicle is representative of the typical telehandler architecture 174 adopted by most of the manufacturers: the cab, with the driving station, is on the left and the engine 175 on the right of the vehicle median plane. The telescopic boom, in 3 sections for a maximum length 176 of 9 m, is on the median plane of vehicle. The maximum loading mass of the telescopic boom is 177 5500 kg. The telehandler was equipped with hydro-pneumatic active cab suspension system 178 designed to reduce vibration magnitude along the vertical direction, from the buttock to the head (z-179 axis) of the driver. The cab is joined to the chassis of the vehicle by front and rear mechanical 180 articulated connections. They make possible the cab to displace 120 mm vertically under the force 181 of the active dumper, placed between the chassis and the floor of the cab. The system is covered by 182 Merlo patent.



- 184 Figure 1. Example of telehandler (from ISO 5053:1987).
- 185 2.3 Whole-Body Vibration

183

186 Vibration level was measured using three ICP accelerometers mounted respectively on the driver's 187 seat, on the floor of the cabin close to the base of the seat, and on the chassis of the telehandler. The 188 accelerometer on the seat was a set pad. The vibration levels were measured along the three 189 orthogonal directions (x, y and z) according to the coordinate system for a seated person (ISO 2631-190 1:1997). However, since the vertical vibrations are usually dominant in vehicles (Basri & Griffin, 191 2013), they significantly contribute to vibration magnitude exposure of the driver (Cann, Salmoni & 192 Eger, 2004), and the active suspended cab system has been designed to operate along this direction, 193 only the vertical direction (z axis) was considered for the purposes of the present paper. 194 The signal from the three accelerometers was stored on the laptop using a National Instruments data 195 acquisition card (NI9234). Later on the data were processed using a LabView software (National 196 Instruments, 2012).

197 2.4 Subjective ratings

198 Subjective measures were collected by means of a questionnaire, developed considering the

199 instrument by Bovenzi et al. (2006) and the scales typically used in the subjective measurements of

200 comfort (for a review, de Looze et al., 2003; Mehta & Tewari, 2000). The questionnaire submitted

201	to the participants was composed of 3 items, to assess their perception of comfort, the possible body
202	discomfort, and the jolts perceived while driving. First, the participants were asked to rate the
203	comfort perceived regarding vibrations during each trial on a 11-point rating scale, ranging from 0
204	(no comfort at all) to 10 (extreme comfort). Then, they were asked to identify body areas
205	experiencing little/moderate/hard/very hard discomfort during the trial on a body map (Corlett &
206	Bishop, 1976). Finally, the participants were asked to indicate how often (never, sometimes, often),
207	they perceived, while driving the telehandler, so hard jolts to lose contact with the seat.
208	2.5 Anthropometric parameters
209	Stature and body mass were measured for each of the participants in the study, in accordance with
210	ISO 7250-1 (2012) guidelines regarding variable descriptions, instruments and measurement
211	conditions. These parameters were then used to calculate each participant's BMI.
212	The anthropometric characteristics of the participants in the study are reported in Table 1. The
213	sample was a good representation of the anthropometric variability of the Italian population (ISO
214	7250-2, 2010; Masali, 2013), with participants from both the 5-10 <sup>th</sup> and the 90-95 <sup>th</sup> percentiles
215	(some participants were even above the 99 <sup>th</sup> percentile with regard to body mass).
216	Table 1. Anthropometric characteristics of the 16 participants.

	Mean	SD	Range
Body mass (kg)	88.6	18.5	64-129
Stature (mm)	1751	72	1600-1860
Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	28.9	5.8	22-42

217

# 218 2.6 Testing procedure

219 Objective measurements were carried out while the telehandler was driven over a 100 m ISO

smooth track (ISO 5008:2002). Previous studies confirmed that the use of ISO-5008 track provides

- a reasonable basis for comparison of the WBV to which the operator of a field wheeled-vehicle is
- exposed, due to the high repeatability of vibration data (Cavallo et al., 2005; Deboli et al., 2012;

223 Scarlett et al., 2005; Zehsaz et al., 2011).

Each of the participants drove the telehandler on the ISO-smooth track in 4 different conditions:

- 1. Trial 1 (Low, OFF): speed of 5 kph, deactivated suspension
- 226 2. Trial 2 (Low, ON): speed of 5 kph, activated suspension
- 3. Trial 3 (High, OFF): speed of 12 kph, deactivated suspension
- 4. Trial 4 (High, ON): speed of 12 kph, activated suspension

229 Participants were not informed that the telehandler cab was equipped with a suspension system to

avoid any influence on their subjective ratings. Before the trials, each participant performed a

training trial during which he had the possibility to adjust the seat, so, in any of the test conditions,

the seat suspension travel was set with vertical adjustments for custom comfort. The fore/aft

adjustment of the seat was set to fit the most comfort posture for each participant. After each trial a

research assistant administered the questionnaire.

#### 235 2.7 Data processing

Vibration data were processed to obtain root-mean-square (rms) accelerations in  $m/s^2$  and the frequency spectra in one-third octave band ranging from 0.5 to 80 Hz. This range is indeed interesting from a hygienist's point of view as reported in the ISO standard 2631-1 (1997). The signals were therefore weighted using the weighting curve  $W_k$  for the z axis as described in the same standard. These aspects are developed in detail in a dedicated paper while the present paper focuses on vibration transmissibility (ISO 10326-1:1992).

242 Vibration transmissibility was evaluated by computing the floor/chassis and the seat/chassis

243 indexes. The indexes were calculated following the method used for the SEAT (Seat Effective

- Amplitude Transmissibility) factor, as stated by the EN 13490 (2001) and ISO 7096 (2000)
- standards. The floor/chassis index accounted for the effects of the cab suspension system, whereas

the seat/chassis index accounted for the joint effects of seat and cab suspension systems. The
indexes were calculated by a 2 steps process. The first step was the calculation of the floor/chassis
and seat/chassis rms ratios for each participant, at each of the third octave frequency bands taken
into consideration, and in any of the 4 testing conditions. Then, in the second step, the ratios in the
frequency range 2-8 Hz were summed up for any of the participants in each of the testing
conditions. In the 2-8 Hz range the human vibration sensitivity is the highest (Griffin, 1990).
2.8 Statistical analyses

253 Descriptive statistics were computed for the vibration indexes, the comfort ratings, the body254 discomfort areas and perceived jolts.

Then, Pearson correlations were calculated, to investigate the associations between vibration
indexes and between vibration indexes and comfort ratings, within each trial and across the trials.
Finally, to test for differences in vibration indexes and comfort ratings with activated and
deactivated system at each forward speed, a series of repeated measures Analysis of Covariance
(ANCOVA) were carried out on each variable, at low and high forward speed, while controlling for
the BMI of the participants. Vibration indexes and the comfort ratings were within-subject factors
and the BMI was a covariate.

262 Prior to analysis, diagnostic and normality tests were conducted. Scatter plots and histograms were 263 generated and Shapiro-Wilk tests performed for the vibration indexes and the comfort ratings. 264 Floor/chassis indexes at 5 kph and 12 kph with deactivated system, and comfort ratings at 5 kph 265 with activated and deactivated system showed a negative skew. Transformations were unsuccessful 266 in achieving normality for floor/chassis indexes at 12 kph with deactivated system and comfort 267 ratings at 5kph with deactivated system. However, adopting the same approach as reported by 268 Govindu and Reeves (2014) and since the analyses used for the study are known to be robust with 269 regard to normality assumptions (Howell, 2010), the data were used in their raw format. 270 Statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package for Social Science 21 (SPSS 271 software).

#### **3. RESULTS**

Table 2 reports descriptive statistics of the seat/chassis and floor/chassis vibration indexes and
comfort ratings with activated and deactivated suspension system at the two speeds. As can be seen,
when the suspension system was activated, vibration transmissibility decreases, in particular when
considering the floor/chassis index at high speed. Higher ratings of comfort were reported with
activated system, in particular at high speed.

278

279 Table 2. Descriptive statistics of the seat/chassis and floor/chassis vibration indexes and comfort

Parameter	Cab	Ν	Speed						
	suspension								
	system								
			Ι	Low(5kp	h)	High(12kph)			
		-	Mean	SD	Range	Mean	SD	Range	
Seat/chassis	OFF	16	5.32	1.05	3.92-7.54	5.55	.75	4.26-6.95	
index (m/s <sup>2</sup> )	ON	16	4.57	.82	3.66-6.50	3.85	.81	2.76-5.72	
Ele en/abassia	OFF	16	6.81	.14	6.41-6.96	7.29	.30	6.60-7.55	
index (m/s <sup>2</sup> )	ON	16	5.47	.38	4.53-5.96	4.05	.14	3.83-4.28	
~	OFF	16	7.13	1.93	3-9	5.19	2.37	1-10	
Comfort rating	ON	16	7.81	2.04	3-10	7.44	1.99	4-10	

280 ratings in the four trials.

281

282 Considering then the data coming from the body map, 5 participants reported body discomfort after 283 the trials with deactivated system (Trials 1 and 3) and 4 after the trials with activated system (Trials 284 2 and 4). Discomfort was reported mainly arising along the lumbar and neck/shoulders regions (see 285 Figure 2) and it was particularly reported for Trial 3. This was the condition with high forward 286 speed and deactivated suspension. A qualitative analysis of Figure 2 shows that, at low speed, there 287 was a slight difference in reported discomfort with activated and deactivated system (Trials 1 and 288 2), whereas some more consistent differences can be observed at high speed (Trials 3 and 4). In
289 particular at high-speed with activated system (Trial 4) there was not any discomfort reported for
290 knees and ankles. Similarly, in the same trial, discomfort at neck/shoulders and lumbar area
291 decreases.

Overall, when the cab suspension system was activated, there was a slightly reduced number of participants complaining about body discomfort (from 5 to 4 participants) and a reduced intensity of reported discomfort (from moderate to little), with some exceptions in the lumbar area (two participants still reported little or moderate discomfort with activated system).

296



Trial 1Trial 2Trial 3Trial 4

Fig. 2. Body maps (Corlett & Bishop, 1976) with levels of discomfort reported by the participants
for different body parts during the four trials (star=little discomfort; small circle=moderate
discomfort).

300 Concerning hard jolts while driving, all the participants reported no jolting during low speed trials

301 (Trial 1 and 2). In Trial 3, 7 out of the 16 participants reported having experienced some hard jolts

302 while driving, whereas 9 participants reported no jolts. In Trial 4, 3 participants reported some jolts

303 while 13 reported no jolts.

304	Pearson's <i>r</i> correlation coefficients were calculated between WBV measurements, comfort ratings
305	and the BMI for each of the 4 trials. The analysis showed significant correlations between the BMI
306	and the comfort ratings in Trials 1, 2 and 4. Overall, objective indexes and comfort ratings
307	significantly correlated with themselves across the testing conditions. No significant correlations
308	were found either between vibration transmissibility indexes or between comfort ratings and
309	objective measures (see Table 3).

	BMI	Seat/chassis	Seat/chassis	Seat/chassis	Seat/chassis	Floor/chassis	Floor/chassis	Floor/chassis	Floor/chassis	Comfort,	Comfort	Comfort,	Comfort,
		Low,OFF	Low,ON	High,OFF	High,ON	Low,OFF	Low,ON	High,OFF	High,ON	Low,OFF	Low,ON	High,OFF	High,ON
BMI	-	-,309	,035	-,227	-,126	,097	-,303	,010	,296	,552*	,603*	,261	,720**
Seat/chassis Low,OFF		-	,708**	,748**	,538*	,258	,388	,111	-,399	,006	-,076	,084	,140
Seat/chassis Low,ON			-	,556*	,168	,271	,440	,263	-,223	,171	,183	-,002	,388
Seat/chassis High,OFF				-	,621*	,435	,459	,448	-,101	-,139	-,154	-,019	,151
Seat/chassis High,ON					-	,347	,215	,191	-,209	-,388	-,454	,064	-,035
Floor/chassis Low,OFF						-	,738**	,870**	,364	,156	,255	,065	,083
Floor/chassis Low,ON							-	,801**	,238	-,058	-,009	-,319	-,094
Floor/chassis High,OFF								-	,480	,144	,255	-,058	,117
Floor/chassis High,ON									-	,074	,291	-,175	,003
Comfort, Low,OFF										-	,922**	,490	,729**
Comfort Low,ON											-	,435	,724**
Comfort, High,OFF												-	,459
Comfort, High,ON													-

# 311 Table 3. Pearson's correlations between vibration indexes, comfort ratings, and BMI in the four trials.

*Note.* \* *p* < .05; \*\* *p* < .01.

314 At low forward speed, the ANCOVA showed a significant main effect of the Trial on the

- seat/chassis index ( $F_{(1,14)}=7.95$ ; p=.014;  $\eta^2=.362$ ), with a lower transmissibility with activated
- 316 system (*EMM*=4.57, *ESD*=0.21), as compared to deactivated system (*EMM*=5.32, *ESD*=0.26). The
- BMI reported a main effect on the comfort rating ( $F_{(1,14)}$ =7.48; p=.016;  $\eta^2$ =.348), with an increased
- 318 perception of comfort at higher levels of BMI, with both deactivated and activated suspension
- 319 system ( $\beta$ =.185, t(14)=2.48, p=.027 and  $\beta$ =.214, t(14)=2.83, p=.013, respectively).
- 320 No significant interaction effects between Trial and the BMI on any of the objective indexes
- 321 (seat/chassis:  $F_{(1,14)}$ =4.05; p=.064; floor/chassis:  $F_{(1,14)}$ =3.28; p=.091) and comfort ratings
- 322  $(F_{(1,14)}=0.64; p=.436)$  were found.
- 323 At high forward speed, the ANCOVA showed a significant main effect of the Trial on the

324 seat/chassis index ( $F_{(1,14)}$ =4.85; p=.045;  $\eta^2$ =.257) and on the floor/chassis index ( $F_{(1,14)}$ =93.23;

325  $p=.000; \eta^2=.869$ ). The transmissibility for the seat/chassis index was lower with activated system

326 (*EMM*=3.85, *ESD*=0.21) than with deactivated system (*EMM*=5.56, *ESD*=0.19). Similarly, the

- 327 transmissibility for the floor/chassis index (EMM=4.05, ESD=0.03) was lower compared to
- 328 deactivated system (*EMM*=7.29, *ESD*=0.08). The BMI showed a main effect on the comfort rating

329  $(F_{(1,14)}=6.09; p=.027; \eta^2=.303)$ , with an increased perception of comfort at higher levels of the

- 330 covariate, when the suspension system was activated ( $\beta$ =.250, t(14)=3.88, p=.002).
- 331 No significant interaction effects between Trial and the BMI on any of the objective indexes
- 332 (seat/chassis:  $F_{(1,14)}=0.14$ ; p=.717; floor/chassis:  $F_{(1,14)}=0.29$ ; p=.600) and comfort ratings
- 333  $(F_{(1,14)}=2.04; p=.175)$  were found.

## **4. DISCUSSION**

335 The aim of the study was to investigate the effects of an active cab suspension system fitted on a

telehandler on WBV and operators' comfort, accounting for their anthropometric variability.

- 337 Adopting an ergonomic approach, both the vehicle and the operator were taken into account,
- 338 considering both objective and subjective parameters.

339 From a mechanical point of view, the activation of the cab suspension system proved to be effective 340 in reducing the vibration transmissibility to the driver. At both low (5 kph) and high (12 kph) 341 forward speed the activation of the system reduced the vibration transmissibility from the chassis to 342 the seat. Moreover, at high speed, it led to a significant reduction of vibration transmissibility also 343 at the floor/chassis level. Thus, the system was effective *per se*, independently from the effect of 344 the seat suspension. Considering urgent safety issues related to WBV in field machinery (Mayton et 345 al., 2008), this result stresses the importance of adopting such systems and encourages further 346 studies in the area.

347 As concerns the subjective assessment, results showed that the activation of the suspension system 348 did not affect the perception of comfort by the participants, whereas the Body Mass Index had a 349 significant effect on the increase of comfort ratings at both low and high speed. The positive effect 350 of BMI on comfort improvement is consistent with previous evidences reporting a decreased 351 vibrational exposure for people with higher BMI (Mani et al., 2011; Leino et al., 2006). This is an 352 important result if we consider that people with BMI values of overweight and over actually 353 represent the major part of agricultural and also earth-moving operators (WHO, 2000, 2004). 354 However, the analysis did not show any interaction effect between the trial and the BMI, suggesting 355 that the activation of the suspension system did not play any role in enhancing the effects of the 356 higher BMI in improving the comfort perceived by the operators. The ongoing changes in 357 agricultural population (more women, elderly and migrant workers) may ask for deeper 358 investigation of the relation between objective, subjective and anthropometric parameters, by 359 involving participants representing the lower ends of the BMI variability (underweight and normal 360 weight conditions). In this way, more data will be available to design suspension systems that can 361 be effective in reducing WBV and promoting comfort for these specific categories of users, in 362 accordance with the ergonomic perspective of the universal design (Kroemer, 2005). 363 Although there was a small number of observations about perceived jolts, the analysis of jolting 364 indicated that, at high speed, the activation of the suspension system did not wholly eliminate

perceived jolting. This issue should be further investigated in larger samples, since jarring and
jolting exposure is an important risk for musculoskeletal symptoms among farm workers (Mayton
et al., 2008).

Data about body discomfort suggested that some complaints remained, even when the cab suspension system was activated, in the neck/shoulder area and lumbar area. This is an interesting result if we consider that avoiding operator discomfort is as important as improving the efficiency and the performances of the machinery (Cavallo et al. 2014a; Krause & Bronkhorst, 2003) and it should be examined more in depth by adopting the technique applied by Yoshimura et al. (2005) in their laboratory experiment about bio-dynamic responses to vertical vibration.

374 The study did not show any correlations between vibration indexes and subjective ratings of 375 comfort confirming the result from previous studies on vibrational comfort, which reported weak or 376 no relations between these two types of data (de Looze et al., 2003; Kujit-Evers et al., 2003). In a 377 future development of the research it will be useful to measure also the vibration transmissibility of 378 the human body from the seat surface to the spinal column and to the head, following the method 379 adopted by Yoshimura et al. (2005), to better comprehend and examine these issues. Moreover, 380 other factors are reported in the literature as having an influence on the perception of vibration and 381 comfort: for example, some behaviors and postures (Demić et al., 2002) can play an important role 382 in reducing vibration magnitude. Thus, this issue should be further investigated by increasing the 383 range of individual variables considered.

Beyond its strengths, some limitations of the present study should be taken into account. The participants in the study were limited to 16 individuals, due to practical difficulties in gathering people from field machinery population in an experimental setting. Indeed, they are spread across the country and have different paces of work. In future research it would be useful to increase the sample size to obtain more generalizable results. Given the results of this study, it would be useful also to stratify the sample for underweight, overweight and obesity conditions, to better explore the role played by human body in affecting technical measurements and subjective ratings. Finally, data 391 were collected on one telehandler only. When the investigation was carried out, to the knowledge of 392 the authors, Merlo was the only manufacturer having such active cab suspension system available 393 on its vehicles. Nevertheless, different models, with different characteristics, such as mass, mass 394 distribution, wheelbase, maximum dimension of the telescopic boom are available. Such different 395 vehicles may be considered in a future investigation.

## **5. CONCLUSION**

397 WBV exposure is a well-known risk for developing MSDs and it is an important source of 398 discomfort, which can affect performance and lead to injuries. For these reasons, WBV has to be 399 constantly taken into account and monitored, by means of different preventive measures and 400 solutions (Tiemessen et al., 2007). This is particularly true for field vehicles users, given the work 401 they had to perform and the time spent on the machine (Mayton et al., 2008). The present study 402 showed that an active cab suspension system mounted on a telehandler was effective in reducing 403 vibration transmissibility but it did not affect the perception of comfort in a group of professional 404 users.

An ergonomic approach was adopted in the study to highlight consistencies and discrepancies between different sources of data about WBV exposure and comfort, coming from both the vehicle and the users. Anthropometric characteristics of the users have been considered to investigate which range of physical variability was better protected by the suspension system. At both low and high speed, individuals with higher BMI reported higher comfort levels, but this was not affected by the activation of the cab suspension. In addition, the cab suspension system did not eliminate

411 discomfort: some neck/shoulder and lumbar complaints seems to remain.

412 The results of the study are not conclusive and further investigations are needed to improve

413 vibrational comfort in telehandler users. However, the present study suggests that the operators,

414 given their wide range of physical variability, may need more adjustable or customizable WBV

415 reduction systems: this may be particularly relevant for those users who have characteristics near to

416 the extreme end of the variability (e.g. aged people, women or migrant workers), whose presence is

- 417 increasing among the workforce population of the developed countries (de Haan & Rogaly, 2002;
  418 De Schutter, 2013; Ilmarinen, 2006).
- 419

## 420 **References**

- 421 Basri, B., Griffin, M.J., 2013. Predicting discomfort from whole-body vertical vibration when
- 422 sitting with an inclined backrest. Appl. Ergon. 44 (3), 423-434.
- 423 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2012.10.006
- 424 Bertani, M., 2014. Motion-Control-Lösungen für Telehandler. ATZoffhighway, 7 (1), 64-69.
- 425 Blood, R.P., Ploger, J.D., Johnson, P.W., 2010. Whole body vibration exposures in forklift
- 426 operators: comparison of a mechanical and air suspension seat. Ergonomics 53 (11), 1385-1394.
- 427 http://doi.org/10.1080/00140139.2010.519053
- 428 Blüthner, R., Seidel, H., Hinza, B., 2008. Laboratory study as basis of the development for a seat
- 429 testing procedure in horizontal directions. Int. J. Ind. Ergonom. 38 (5-6), 447-456.
- 430 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ergon.2007.08.008
- 431 Bovenzi, M., Zadini, A., 1992. Self-reported low back symptoms in urban bus drivers exposed to
- 432 whole-body vibration. Spine 17 (9), 1048-1059.
- 433 Bovenzi, M., Rui, F., Negro, C., D'Agostin, F., Angotzi, G., Bianchi, S., Stacchini, N., 2006. An
- 434 epidemiological study of low back pain in professional drivers. J. Sound Vib. 298 (3), 514-539.
- 435 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2006.06.001
- 436 Cann, A.P., Salmoni, A.W., Eger, T.R., 2004. Predictors of whole body vibration exposure
- 437 experienced by highway transport truck drivers. Ergonomics 47 (13), 1432–1453.
- 438 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00140130410001712618

- 439 Cavallo, E., Deboli, R., Paletto, G., Preti, C., 2005. Ripetibilità dei dati di vibrazioni sui sedili di
- 440 trattrici agricole tramite pista artificiale. In: Cesini, G., Iannotti, A., Mattei, E., Di Bella, A., Peretti,
- 441 A. (Eds.), Atti 32° Convegno Nazionale AIA Associazione Italiana di Acustica Ancona, 15-17
- 442 Giugno 2005, 303-306. ISBN 88-88942-09-2.
- 443 Cavallo, E., Ferrari, E., Bollani, L., Coccia, M., 2014a. Attitudes and behavior of adopters of
- 444 technological innovations in agricultural tractors: a case study in Italian agricultural system. Agr.
- 445 Syst. 130, 44-54. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2014.05.012
- 446 Cavallo, E., Ferrari, E., Bollani, L., Coccia, M., 2014b. Strategic management implications for the
- 447 adoption of technological innovations in agricultural tractor: the role of scale factors and
- 448 environmental attitude. Technol. Anal. Strateg. 26 (7), 765-779.
- 449 http://doi.org/10.1080/09537325.2014.890706
- 450 Cavallo, E., Ferrari, E., Coccia, M., 2015. Likely technological trajectories in agricultural tractors
- 451 by analysing innovative attitudes of farmers. Int. J. Technology, Policy and Management 15 (2),
- 452 158-177. http://doi.org/10.1504/IJTPM.2015.069203
- 453 Corlett, E.N., Bishop, R.P., 1976. A technique for assessing postural discomfort. Ergonomics, 19
- 454 (2), 175-182. http://doi.org/10.1080/00140137608931530
- 455 Costa, N., Arezes, P.M., 2009. The influence of operator driving characteristics in whole-body
  456 vibration exposure from electrical fork-lift trucks. Int. J. Ind. Ergonom. 39 (1), 34-38.
  457 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ergon.2008.06.004
- 458 Cranes & Access, 2012. The economic indicator. In: Crane & Access, The Vertikal Press Ltd,
- 459 Brackley 14 (5), 35-49. Available on-line at
- 460 http://www.vertikal.net/fileadmin/journals/ca/2012/ca\_2012\_5\_p34-49.pdf (Accessed 04 February
- 461 2015)

- 462 Deboli, R., Calvo, A., Preti, C., 2012. Comparison between ISO 5008 and field whole body
- 463 vibration. J. Agr. Eng. 43 (2), 49-54. http://doi.org/10.4081/jae.2012.e8
- 464 De Haan, A., Rogaly, B., 2002. Introduction: Migrant workers and their role in rural change. J. Dev.
- 465 Stud. 38 (5), 1-14. http://doi.org/10.1080/00220380412331322481
- 466 De Looze, M.P., Kuijt-Evers, L.F., Van Dieën, J.A.A.P., 2003. Sitting comfort and discomfort and
- 467 the relationships with objective measures. Ergonomics 46 (10), 985-997.
- 468 http://doi.org/10.1080/0014013031000121977
- 469 De Schutter, O., 2013. The agrarian transition and the 'feminization' of agriculture. Food
- 470 Sovereignty: A critical dialogue Conference Paper #37. Yale University, New Haven.
- 471 De Temmerman, J., Deprez, K., Hostens, I., Anthonis, J., Ramon, H., 2005. Conceptual Cab
- 472 Suspension System for a Self-propelled Agricultural Machine Part 2: Operator Comfort
- 473 Optimisation. Biosyst. Eng. 90 (3), 271-278. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2004.08.007
- 474 Demić, M., Lukić, J., Milić, Ž., 2002. Some aspects of the investigation of random vibration
- 475 influence on ride comfort. J. Sound. Vib. 253 (1), 109-128.
- 476 Deprez, K., Moshou, D., Anthonis, J., De Baerdemaeker, J., Ramon H., 2005. Improvement of
- 477 vibrational comfort on agricultural vehicles by passive and semi-active cabin suspensions.
- 478 Computers and Electronics in Agriculture 49 (3), 431-440.
- 479 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2005.08.009
- 480 Donati, P., 2002. Survey of technical preventative measures to reduce whole-body vibration effects
- 481 when designing mobile machinery. J. Sound. Vib. 253 (1), 169-183.
- 482 http://doi.org/10.1006/jsvi.2001.4254
- 483 EN 13490, 2001. Mechanical vibration Industrial trucks Laboratory evaluation and specification
- 484 of operator seat vibration. European Committee for Standardization, Brussels, Belgium.

- 485 EU OSHA, 2010. European risk observatory report. OSH in figures: Work-related musculoskeletal
- 486 disorders in the EU Facts and figures. European Agency for Safety and Health at Work,
- 487 Luxembourg. Available at: https://osha.europa.eu/en/tools-and-
- 488 publications/publications/reports/TERO09009ENC (Accessed 03 October 2015)
- 489 European Commission, 2006. Directive 2006/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the council
- 490 of 17 May 2006 on machinery, and amending Directive 95/16/EC (recast), Official Journal of the
- 491 European Union, L 157, 9.6.2006. Available at: http://eur-
- 492 lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2006:157:0024:0086:EN:PDF (Accessed 03
- 493 February 2015)
- 494 Fischer, D., Isermann, R., 2004. Mechatronic semi-active and active vehicle suspensions. Control
- 495 Engineering Practice 12 (11), 1353-1367. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.conengprac.2003.08.003
- 496 Govindu, N.K., Babski-Reeves, K., 2014. Effects of personal, psychosocial and occupational factors
- 497 on low back pain severity in workers. Int. J. Ind. Ergonom. 44 (2), 335-341.
- 498 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ergon.2012.11.007
- 499 Griffin, M.J., 1990. Handbook of Human Vibration. Academic Press, New York, NY.
- 500 Hansson, P.A., 1995. Optimization of agricultural tractor cab suspension using the evolution
- 501 method. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture 12, 35–49. http://doi.org/10.1016/0168-
- 502 1699(94)00036-P
- 503 Hostens, I., Deprez, K., Ramon, H., 2004. An improved design of air suspension for seats of mobile
- 504 agricultural machines. J. Sound Vib. 276 (1), 141-156. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2003.07.018
- 505 Howell, D.C., 2010. Statistical methods for psychology, seventh ed. Thomson Wadsworth,
- 506 Belmont, CA.

- 507 Ikenaga, S., Lewis, F.L., Campos, J., Davis, L., 2000. Active suspension control of ground vehicle
- 508 based on a full-vehicle model. In: American Control Conference, 2000. Proceedings of the 2000,
- 509 vol. 6, 4019-4024. American Automatic Control Council, Chicago, IL.
- 510 http://doi.org/10.1109/ACC.2000.876977
- 511 Ilmarinen, J., 2006. Towards a longer working life: Aging and the quality of worklife in the
- 512 European Union. Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, Helsinki.
- 513 International Ergonomics Association, 2015. http://www.iea.cc/ (Accessed 30 January 2015)
- 514 ISO 5053, 1987. Powered industrial trucks - Terminology. International Organization for
- 515 Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 516 ISO 10326-1, 1992. Mechanical vibration - Laboratory method for evaluating vehicle seat vibration
- 517 - Part 1: Basic requirements. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 518 ISO 2631-1, 1997. Mechanical vibration and shock - Evaluation of human exposure to whole-body
- 519 vibration - Part 1: General requirements. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, 520 Switzerland.
- 521 ISO 7096, 2000. Earth-moving machinery - Laboratory evaluation of operator seat vibration.
- 522 International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 523 ISO 5008, 2002. Agricultural wheeled tractors and field machinery - Measurement of whole-body
- 524 vibration of the operator. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 525 ISO 7250-2, 2010. Basic human body measurements for technological design. Part 2: Statistical
- 526 summaries of body measurements from individual ISO populations. International Organization for
- 527 Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland.

- 528 ISO 7250-1, 2012. Basic human body measurements for technological design Part 1: Body
- measurement definitions and landmarks. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva,Switzerland.
- 531 ISO 12934, 2013. Tractors and machinery for agriculture and forestry Basic types Vocabulary.
- 532 International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 533 Kandel, W., 2008. Profile of Hired Farmworkers: A 2008 Update. Economic Research Report, 60.
- 534 Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C.
- 535 Karwowski, W., 2006. International Encyclopedia of Ergonomics and Human Factors, second ed.
- 536 CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- 537 Kittusamy, N.K., Buchholz, B., 2004. Whole-body vibration and postural stress among operators of
- 538 construction equipment: A literature review. J. Safety Res. 35(3), 255-261.
- 539 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2004.03.014
- 540 Krause, F., Bronkhorst, R. E., 2003. Comfortable Earth-Moving Machinery: Knowledge and
- 541 Experiences from the Eurocabin Project. TNO Work and Employment, Hoofddorp.
- 542 Kroemer, K.H., 2005. "Extra-Ordinary" Ergonomics: How to Accommodate Small and Big
- 543 Persons, The Disabled and Elderly, Expectant Mothers, and Children, vol. 4. CRC Press, Boca544 Raton, FL.
- Kuijt-Evers, L.F.M., Krause, F., Vink, P., 2003. Aspects to improve cabin comfort of wheel loaders
  and excavators according to operators. Appl. Ergon. 34 (3), 265-271. http://doi.org/10.1016/S00036870(03)00032-2
- 548 Kumar, A., Mahajan, P., Mohan, D., Varghese, M., 2001. IT Information Technology and the
- 549 Human Interface: Tractor Vibration Severity and Driver Health: a Study from Rural India. J. Agr.
- 550 Eng. Res. 80 (4), 313-328. http://doi.org/10.1006/jaer.2001.0755

- 551 Leino, S.P., Marjanen, Y., Launis, S., 2006. Human comfort and motion under simulated vibration
- 552 exposure of mobile machinery. In: Eberhardsteiner, J., Mang, H.A., Waubke, H. (Eds.), Proceedings
- 553 of 13th International Congress on Sound and Vibration, Vienna, Austria.
- 554 Lemerle, P., Boulanger, P., Poirot, R., 2002. A simplified method to design suspended cabs for
- 555 counterbalance trucks. J. Sound Vib. 253 (1), 283-293. http://doi.org/10.1006/jsvi.2001.4260
- Lin, C., 2011. Ergonomic Assessment of Excavator Seat. Int. J. Appl. Sc. Eng. 9 (2), 99-109.
- Lines, J. A., Stiles, M., Whyte, R.T., 1995. Whole Body Vibration During Tractor Driving. J. Low
  Freq. Noise Vib. 14 (2), 87-104.
- 559 Lyons, J., 2002. Factors contributing to low back pain among professional drivers: a review of
- 560 current literature and possible ergonomic controls. Work, 19 (1), 95-102.
- 561 Mani, R., Milosavljevic, S., Sullivan, S.J., 2011. The influence of body mass on whole-body
- vibration: a quad-bike field study. The Ergonomics Open Journal 4, 1-9.
- 563 http://doi.org/10.2174/1875934301104010001
- 564 Mansfield, N.J., Newell, G.S., Notini, L., 2009. Earth Moving Machine Whole-body Vibration and
- the Contribution of Sub-1Hz Components to ISO 2631-1 Metrics. Ind. Health 47 (4), 402-410.
- 566 http://doi.org/10.2486/indhealth.47.402
- 567 Masali, M., 2013. L'Italia si Misura, vol. 2. Aracne Editrice, Roma.
- 568 Mayton, A.G., Kittusamy, N.K., Ambrose, D.H., Jobes, C.C., Legault, M.L., 2008. Jarring/jolting
- 569 exposure and musculoskeletal symptoms among farm equipment operators. Int. J. Ind. Ergonom. 38
- 570 (9) 758-766. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ergon.2007.10.011
- 571 Mehta, C.R., Tewari, V.K., 2000. Seating discomfort for tractor operators–a critical review. Int. J.
- 572 Ind. Ergonom. 25 (6), 661-674. http://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-8141(99)00054-2

- 573 Milosavljevic, S., Mcbride, D. I., Bagheri, N., Vasiljev, R.M., Mani, R., Carman, A.B., Rehn, B.,
- 574 2011. Exposure to whole-body vibration and mechanical shock: a field study of quad bike use in
- 575 agriculture. Ann. Occup. Hyg. 55 (3), 286-295. http://doi.org/10.1093/annhyg/meq087
- 576 Milosavljevic, S., Mani, R., Ribeiro, D.C., Vasiljev, R., Rehn, B., 2012. Exploring how
- 577 anthropometric, vehicle and workplace factors influence whole-body vibration exposures during on-
- 578 farm use of a quad bike. Int. J. Ind. Ergonom. 42 (4), 392-396.
- 579 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ergon.2012.04.004
- 580 National Instruments, 2012. LabVIEW System Design Software. Available at:
- 581 http://www.ni.com/labview/ (Accessed 03 February 2015)
- 582 Osborne, A., Blake, C., Fullen, B.M., Meredith, D., Phelan, J., McNamara, J., Cunningham, C.,
- 583 2012. Risk factors for musculoskeletal disorders among farm owners and farm workers: A
- 584 systematic review. Am. J. Ind. Med. 55 (4), 376-389. http://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.22001
- 585 Paschold, H.W., Sergeev, A.V., 2009. Whole-body vibration knowledge survey of U.S.
- 586 occupational safety and health professionals. J. Safety Res. 40 (3), 171-176.
- 587 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2009.02.008
- 588 Pope, M.H., Wilder, D.G., Magnusson, M., 1998. Possible mechanisms of low back pain due to
- 589 whole-body vibration. J. Sound Vib. 215 (4), 687-697. http://doi.org/10.1006/jsvi.1998.1698
- 590 Sadeghi, N., Habibi, E., Sajjadi, S., 2012. The relationships between musculoskeletal disorders and
- 591 anthropometric indices in public vehicle drivers. Int. J. of Collaborative Research on Internal
- 592 Medicine & Public Health 4 (6), 1173-1184.
- 593 Scarlett, A.J., Price, J.S., Semple, D.A., Stayner R.M., 2005. Whole-body vibration on agricultural
- vehicles: evaluation of emission and estimated exposure levels. HSE Research Report No. 321.
- 595 Health and Safety Executive, Sudbury, Suffolk, UK.

- 596 Scarlett, A.J., Price, J.S., Stayner, R. M., 2007. Whole-body vibration: Evaluation of emission and
- 597 exposure levels arising from agricultural tractors. J. Terramech. 44 (1) 65-73.
- 598 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jterra.2006.01.006
- 599 Strambi, F., Bartalini, M., Boy, S., Gauthy, R., Landozzi, R., Novelli, D., Stanzani, C., 2012. End
- 600 users "Feedback" to improve ergonomic design of machinery. Work 41 (suppl. 1), 1212-1220.
- 601 http://doi.org/10.3233/WOR-2012-0305-1212
- 602 Tiemessen, I.J., Hulshof, C.T., Frings-Dresen, M.H., 2007. An overview of strategies to reduce
- 603 whole-body vibration exposure on drivers: A systematic review. Int. J. Ind. Ergonom., 37 (3), 245-
- 604 256. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ergon.2006.10.021
- 605 Velmurugan, P., Kumaraswamidhas, L.A., Sankaranarayanasamy, K., 2012. Optimisation of whole
- body vibration analysis for suspended cabin tractor semitrailer. Int. J. Veh. Noise Vib. 8 (2), 152-
- 607 165. http://doi.org/10.1504/IJVNV.2012.046466
- 608 Vink, P., 2005. Comfort and design. Principles and good practice. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Wang, W., Rakheja, S., Boileau, P.É., 2006. The role of seat geometry and posture on the
- 610 mechanical energy absorption characteristics of seated occupants under vertical vibration. Int. J.
- 611 Ind. Ergonom. 36 (2), 171-184. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ergon.2005.09.006
- 612 WHO, 1995. Physical status: The use of and interpretation of anthropometry. Report of a WHO
- 613 Expert Committee. WHO Press, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 614 WHO, 2000. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. WHO Technical Report Series
- 615 No. 894. WHO Press, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 616 WHO, 2004. Global Health Risks. Mortality and burden of disease attributable to selected major
- 617 risks. WHO Press, Geneva, Switzerland.

- 618 Wikström, B.O., 1993. Effects from twisted postures and whole-body vibration during driving. Int.
- 619 J. Ind. Ergonom. 12 (1), 61-75. http://doi.org/10.1016/0169-8141(93)90038-F
- 620 Wong, J.Y., 2001. Theory of ground vehicles, third ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY.
- 621 Yoshimura, T., Nakai, K., Tamaoki, G., 2005. Multi-body dynamics modelling of seated human
- body under exposure to whole-body vibration. Ind. Health, 43 (3), 441-447.
- 623 http://doi.org/10.2486/indhealth.43.441
- 624 Zehsaz M., Sadeghi M.H., Ettefagh, M.M., Shams F., 2011. Tractor cabin's passive suspension
- 625 parameters optimization via experimental and numerical methods. J. Terramech. 48 (6), 439-450.
- 626 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jterra.2011.09.005