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1. Introduction

Lawsonite-bearing blueschists and eclogites are witnesses of cold subduction processes occurred along ancient convergent margins. Metamorphic processes involved in the generation and preservation of lawsonite are crucial in many research areas, ranging from petrology to geochemistry, geodynamics and geophysics (e.g. Hacker et al., 2003; Bebout, 2007; Hacker, 2008; Davis, 2011; Martin et al., 2011; Vitale Brovarone et al., 2011; Chantel et al., 2012; Abers et al., 2013; Cao et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2013; Spandler & Pirard, 2013). Therefore, lawsonite-bearing eclogites and, to a lesser extent, lawsonite-bearing blueschists have been the focus of several studies, especially in recent years (Tsujimori and Ernst, 2014 and references therein). Compared to the rare occurrences of lawsonite eclogites worldwide (see the review paper by Tsujimori et al., 2006), lawsonite blueschist units are reported from several orogenic belts (e.g. Agard et al., 2009; Tsujimori and Ernst, 2014 and references therein); however, in many cases, the lawsonite blueschist-facies assemblages formed at peak metamorphic conditions are widely overprinted by epidote blueschist- and/or greenschist-facies retrograde assemblages during exhumation (e.g. Ernst, 1988; Agard et al., 2001, 2006; Jolivet et al., 2003; Schumacher et al., 2008; Plunder et al., 2012). Lawsonite preservation requires exhumation along cold geothermal gradients, comparable to those required for its formation during subduction. Such geothermal regimes are typical of ancient Pacific-type plate convergent margins (see Tsujimori and Ernst, 2014 for a review); the occurrence of well-preserved high-pressure lawsonite blueschists and eclogites in an orogenic belt is therefore an appealing clue of a peculiar tectonic setting.

Although the Himalaya is the archetype of collisional orogens, formed as a consequence of the closure of the Tethyan ocean separating India from Asia followed by continental collision between the two plates, high-pressure metamorphic rocks are rare along the orogen (e.g. Lombardo and Rolfo, 2002; Guillot et al., 2008). Moreover, most of the eclogites reported so far from the Himalaya correspond to the metamorphosed continental Indian crust dragged below Asia (NW Himalaya: Kaghan, Tso Morari and Stak massifs; Pognante and Spencer, 1991; Guillot et al., 1997, 1999, 2007, 2008; de Sigoyer et al., 2000; O’Brien et al., 2001; Sachan et al., 2004; Lanari et al., 2013), or underthrust beneath southern Tibet (E Himalaya: Kharta and Bhutan; Lombardo and Rolfo, 2002; Groppo et al., 2007; Chakungal et al., 2010; Grujic et al., 2011; Warren et al., 2011). Evidence of the ancient Tethyan oceanic crust subducted below Asia are also rare and locally occur within the Indus-Tsangpo Suture (ITS) zone, which separates the northern margin of the Indian plate to the south (i.e. the Himalaya s.s.) from the southern margin of the Asian plate to the north (represented, from west to east, by the Kohistan Arc, the Ladakh block and the Lhasa block). These evidences are: (i) few lawsonite blueschists from the western part of the ITS zone in Pakistan (Shangla: Shams, 1972; Frank et al., 1977) and Ladakh (NW India) (Sapi-Shergol: Honegger et al., 1989; Zildat: Virdi et al., 1977; de Sigoyer et al., 2004), interpreted as related to paleo-accretionary prisms formed in response to the subduction of the Neo-Tethyan ocean below the Asian plate (e.g. Robertson, 2000; Mahéo et al., 2006; Guillot et al., 2008); (ii) few eclogite, lawsonite- and epidote blueschist -facies rocks reported from the Indo-Burmese Ranges (Nagaland Ophiolite Complex: Ghose and Singh, 1980; Acharyya, 1986; Chatterjee and Ghose, 2010; Ao and Bhowmik, 2014; Bhowmik and Ao, 2015; Chin Hill Ophiolite: Socquet et al., 2002), interpreted as the eastern extension of the ITS zone. These rare high-pressure/low-temperature (HP-LT) rocks are therefore crucial for constraining the evolution of the India-Asia convergence zone during the closure of the Neo-Tethyan ocean (Guillot et al., 2008); in this framework, the detailed reconstruction of their P-T paths is a fundamental step toward a reliable geodynamic interpretation.

The P-T evolution of the eclogites and blueschists from the Indo-Burmese Ranges has been recently constrained by means of modern petrological methods (e.g. pseudosections); variable peak P-T conditions have been reported from different portions of the suture zone, ranging from ~340 °C, ~11.5 kbar (lawsonite blueschists: Ao and Bhowmik, 2014) to 540 ± 35 °C, 14.4 ± 2 kbar (epidote blueschists: Bhowmik and Ao,
2015) to 580-610°C and 17-20 kbar (eclogites: Chatterjee and Ghose, 2010). On the opposite, modern petrologic studies aimed at constraining the P-T evolution of the blueschist-facies rocks from the western sector of the ITS zone are lacking. Some 25 years ago, Honegger et al. (1989) reported peak metamorphic conditions of 350-420 °C, 9-11 kbar for the Sapi-Shergol lawsonite blueschists using conventional thermobarometry. P-T estimates for the Shangla blueschists were published even earlier (Guiraud, 1982; Jan, 1985) and suggest peak P-T conditions of ca. 400 °C, 5 kbar. Although detailed, these petrological studies are based on conventional methods and need to be updated using more recent and powerful petrological approaches (e.g. isochemical phase diagrams).

In this paper, the lawsonite blueschists from Sapi-Shergol have been petrologically re-investigated with the aims of: (i) constraining their P-T evolution; (ii) evaluating the influence of Fe₂O₃ and of H₂O on the stability of the high pressure mineral assemblages; (iii) understanding the processes controlling lawsonite formation and preservation, and (iv) interpreting the P-T evolution of the Sapi-Shergol blueschists in the framework of India-Asia collision.

2. Geological setting

In the India–Asia convergence system, the ITS zone records the closure of the Neo-Tethyan ocean from Late Cretaceous to Tertiary time (Frank et al., 1977; Honegger et al., 1989; Cannat and Mascle, 1990). Among the few occurrences of high-pressure rocks along the ITS, those of Ladakh (NW India) are the best in terms of rock freshness, areal extent and metamorphic assemblages. Blueschists in the Ladakh area occur along the ITS in few localities: from SE to NW these are Puga, Urtsi, Hinju and Sapi-Shergol (Honegger et al., 1989). The largest outcrop is that of Sapi-Shergol (35 km south of Kargil), where the blueschists form a 12 km x 1 km E-W trending narrow zone.

Tectonically, the Sapi-Shergol blueschists belong to a narrow belt called “Ophiolitic Mélange Unit” (Honegger et al., 1989) (Fig. 1), which outcrops over a distance of 250 km along the ITS suture. This belt consists of several thrust slices sandwiched between the Nindam-Naktul-Dras nappes to the north, and the Lamayuru-Kamba nappes to the south. The Ophiolitic Mélange Unit is interpreted as a relic of a paleo-accretionary prism formed in response to the northward subduction of the Neo-Tethyan ocean, originally separating the Ladakh arc to the south from the southern Asian active margin to the north (Mahéo et al., 2006). This paleo-accretionary prism consists of sedimentary units including blocks of (mainly) basic lithologies that have been metamorphosed under variable P-T conditions, ranging from low-grade metamorphism to lawsonite blueschist-facies metamorphism (Frank et al., 1977; Honegger et al., 1989; Jan, 1987; Reuber et al., 1987; Sutre, 1990; Ahmad et al., 1996; Robertson, 2000; Mahéo et al., 2006).

The Sapi-Shergol Ophiolitic Mélange (SSOM) is a complex unit which includes slices of the paleo-accretionary prism, intercalated with numerous slices of other units including the Nindam and Lamayuru turbidites and low grade meta-ophiolitic slices consisting of serpentinized peridotites intruded by basic dikes (“sheared serpentinites” of Robertson, 2000). The narrow blueschist zone cropping out close to the village of Shergol (Fig. 1, 2a) is overlain discordantly by the Shergol conglomerate of post-Eocene (Oligo-Miocene?) age (Honegger et al., 1989). Blueschist lithologies are dominated by volcanoclastic sequences of basic material (Fig. 2b,c) with subordinate interbedding of cherts and minor carbonatic lithologies. Mahéo et al. (2006) suggested that the blueschists derive from calc-alkaline igneous rocks formed in an intra-oceanic arc environment. K-Ar ages of whole-rocks and glaucophane suggest an age of ca. 100 Ma for the high-pressure metamorphism (Honegger et al., 1989).

2.1 Main blueschist lithologies of the SSOM
Metabasic and metavolcanoclastic rocks are the dominant lithologies in the SSOM, and they are associated
to subordinate interbedded metasediments. These lithologies have been described in detail by Honegger et
al. (1989); the most relevant petrographic features are therefore only summarized here.

2.1.1 Metabasic and metavolcanoclastic rocks
Metabasic rocks are mainly represented by fine-grained glaucophane-bearing schists (Fig. 2c,e) with
variable amounts of lawsonite and minor clinopyroxene and phengite. Lawsonite can be either fine-grained
or porphyroblastic and it generally overgrows the main foliation defined by the alignment of glaucophane ±
phengite (Fig. 2e); where present, phengite often shows a slightly greenish pleochroism. Clinopyroxene
(omphacite/aegirine-augite) generally occurs as fine-grained dusty and fibrous aggregates, probably
replacing former magmatic clinopyroxene fenocrysts. Fine-grained titanite aggregates are often aligned to
the main foliation (Fig. 2e); opaque minerals can be locally abundant and surrounded by pressure fringes of
albite. Locally, remnants of a strongly vesiculated structure are evidenced by the alignment of fine-grained
titanite.

Metavolcanoclastic rocks are characterized by a clastic structure and consist of irregular fragments of
metabasic rocks set in a very fine-grained matrix (Fig. 2b). Clasts of metabasic rocks are either rounded or
sharp and vary in size from few millimeters to several centimeters (Fig. 2b, d). The clasts generally consist
of blue amphibole + lawsonite ± minor clinopyroxene in different modal abundances and with different
grain-size (Fig. 2d). The matrix is generally very fine-grained and mainly consists of blue amphibole, green
clinopyroxene (aegirine/omphacite) forming fine-grained dusty aggregates, porphyroblastic lawsonite and
minor phengite and chlorite. Fine-grained aggregates of titanite (leucoxene) replace former ilmenite.

Both metabasic rocks and metavolcanoclastic rocks can be crosscut by glaucophane veins and/or late albite
± calcite, and albite + chlorite ± quartz veins.

2.1.2 Metasediments
Both silicic and impure carbonatic metasediments occur as intercalations in the metabasic and
metavolcanoclastic rocks. Among the silicic metasediments, glaucophane + lawsonite + phengite ± garnet
schists, lawsonite + glaucophane + phengite + garnet quartzitic-micaschists and glaucophane + garnet +
phengite quartzites (Fig. 2f) are the most common types. Lawsonite and garnet can be either fine-grained
or porphyroblastic. Lawsonite and garnet porphyroblasts can reach few centimeters and few millimeters in
size, respectively, and generally overgrow the main foliation; lawsonite porphyroblasts are locally dusty due
to the presence of abundant fluid inclusions. Glaucophane and phengite are always fine-grained and define
the main foliation, which is often intensely crenulated. Titanite is ubiquitous as accessory mineral. The
lawsonite blueschists investigated in detail in this paper belong to this group of metasediments.

The impure carbonatic metasediments are very fine-grained and mainly consist of lawsonite, calcite,
glaucophane and minor phengite ± prehnite (Fig. 2g). Calcite often occurs as large poikiloblasts including
idioblastic lawsonite. Prehnite is rare and occurs as reniform globular aggregates of fine-grained brownish
fibrous crystals.

Late quartz, albite ± quartz and calcite ± albite veins crosscut the main schistosity in most metasediments.

3. Methods
3.1 Micro-X-ray fluorescence (µ-XRF) maps
The micro-XRF maps of the whole thin sections (Fig. 3 and Fig. SM1, SM2) were acquired using a µ-XRF
Eagle III-XPL spectrometer equipped with an EDS Si(Li) detector and with an Edax Vision32 microanalytical
system (Department of Earth Sciences, University of Torino, Italy). The operating conditions were as
follows: 100 ms counting time, 40 kV accelerating voltage and a probe current of 900 µA. A spatial resolution of about 65 µm in both x and y directions was used. Quantitative modal percentages of each mineral were obtained by processing the µ-XRF maps with the software program “Petromod” (Cossio et al. 2002).

3.2 Mineral chemistry

Minerals were analysed with a Cambridge Stereoscan 360 SEM equipped with an EDS Energy 200 and a Pentafet detector (Oxford Instruments) at the Department of Earth Sciences, University of Torino. The operating conditions were as follows: 50 s counting time and 15 kV accelerating voltage. SEM–EDS quantitative data (spot size = 2 µm) were acquired and processed using the Microanalysis Suite Issue 12, INCA Suite version 4.01; natural mineral standards were used to calibrate the raw data; the ρφZ correction (Pouchou and Pichoir, 1988) was applied. Absolute error is 1 σ for all calculated oxides.

3.3 Phase diagrams computation

Isochemical phase diagrams were calculated in the MnNKFMAO system using Perple_X (version 6.7.1, Connolly 1990, 2009) and the thermodynamic dataset and equation of state for H2O–CO2 fluid of Holland and Powell (1998, revised 2004). The following solid solution models were used: garnet (Holland and Powell, 1998), amphibole (Diener et al., 2007, 2012), omphacite (Green et al., 2007; Diener et al., 2012), chlorite (Holland et al., 1998), phengite (Holland and Powell, 1998), plagioclase (Newton et al., 1980) and epidote (Holland and Powell, 1998). Quartz, lawsonite, and zoisite were considered as pure end-members. The bulk rock compositions of the studied samples have been calculated by combining the mineral proportions obtained from the modal estimate of micro-XRF maps (Fig. 3, Table 1) with mineral chemistry acquired at SEM–EDS, and are reported in Table 1: these whole rock compositions have been used to model: (i) the whole prograde P-T evolution in sample 14-4B; (ii) the growth of garnet core + mantle in sample 14-6F. For this last sample, the possible effects of chemical fractionation of the bulk composition due to the growth of the strongly zoned garnet porphyroblasts have been also considered. The bulk composition effectively in equilibrium during the growth of garnet rim has been therefore calculated by subtracting the garnet core and mantle compositions (i.e. the modal amount of garnet core + mantle was estimated from the micro-XRF maps as 3.5 vol%) to the whole rock composition (Table 1).

4. Petrography and mineral chemistry

Among the various lithologies observed in the study area, two metasediments (samples 14-4B and 14-6F/G) have been petrologically investigated in detail; they are both characterized by a relatively simple and very well preserved mineral assemblage, but differ for the grain size and the modal abundance of each phase (Table 1). Samples 14-6F/G derive from the same hand specimen (two different thin sections cut parallel and perpendicular to the main lineation); petrography and mineral chemistry refer to both thin sections, whereas the micro-XRF map and the thermodynamic modeling refer to sample 14-6F only.

4.1 Sample 14-4B

Sample 14-4B is a fine-grained lawsonite + glaucophane + garnet-bearing quartzitic-micaschist characterized by mm-thick quartz-rich layers alternating with mm-thick lawsonite + phengite-rich layers
The main foliation, defined by the preferred orientation of phengite and glaucophane in both domains, is crosscut by late quartz-bearing and calcite ± albite-bearing veins (Fig. 3, and Fig. SM1).

Microstructural relationships between quartz (42 vol%), lawsonite (21 vol%), phengite (22 vol%), glaucophane (12 vol%) and garnet (3 vol%) suggest that these minerals all belong to the equilibrium assemblage (Fig. 7). Abundant titanite and minor pyrite occur as accessory minerals.

Lawsonite occurs as fine-grained idioblasts (Fig. 4) with quartz ± titanite inclusions; in the quartz-rich layers, lawsonite is often crowded of quartz inclusions, locally assuming a skeletal habit. It is almost pure in composition, with a very low Fe content (0.00-0.30 a.p.f.u. on the basis of 8 oxygens).

The fine-grained phengite (Fig. 4b,c) shows a relatively large compositional spread in Si, Al$_{tot}$ and (Mg + Fe$_{tot}$). Its Si content ranges between 3.53 and 3.81 a.p.f.u. (on the basis of 11 oxygens), with the most frequent values in the range 3.53-3.64 a.p.f.u (Fig. 6d). Most of the phengite compositions broadly lie along the celadonite-muscovite compositional joint, reflecting the dominant role of Tschermak's substitution; phengite with the lowest Si contents, however, plot slightly away from the celadonite-muscovite join in both Si vs. Al$_{tot}$ and (Mg + Fe$_{tot}$) vs. Si diagrams, thus suggesting the existence of very low Fe$^{3+}$ contents (Vidal and Parra, 2000).

Blue amphibole occurs as fine-grained idioblasts associated to phengite and lawsonite (Fig. 4a,b), and is slightly zoned, with a lighter blue core and a darker blue rim. Both cores and rims are ferroglauconceous according to the classification of Leake et al. (1997), but are characterized by slightly different Si (on the basis of 32 oxygens), XNa (XNa=Na/Na+Ca) and XFe$^{3+}$ (XFe$^{3+}$/Fe$_{tot}$) contents (core: Si = 7.62-7.74 a.p.f.u., XNa=0.92-0.95, XFe$^{3+}=0.23-0.27$; rim: Si = 7.91-7.97 a.p.f.u., XNa=0.98-1.00, XFe$^{3+}=0.10-0.21$) (Fig. 6e,f).

Garnet occurs as small slightly zoned idioblasts (up to 0.3 mm in diameter) (Fig. 4a), particularly enriched in Mn (Fig. 6a). XSp$_{sps}$ decreases and XAl$_{sps}$ and XPrp$_{sps}$ increase from core to rim, whereas XGrs is almost homogeneous (core: Sp$_{sps}$55-Al$_{sps}$20-Grs$_{sps}$15-Prp$_{sps}$0.6; mantle: Sp$_{sps}$50-Al$_{sps}$25-Grs$_{sps}$16-Prp$_{sps}$0.4-0.9; rim: Sp$_{sps}$44-Al$_{sps}$56-Grs$_{sps}$18-Prp$_{sps}$0.6-1.3) (Fig. 6a).

4.2 Sample 14-6F/G

Sample 14-6F/G is a lawsonite + glaucophane + phengite + garnet schist, dominated by glaucophane (44 vol%) + lawsonite (22 vol%) + phengite (9 vol%) + garnet (4 vol%) layers alternating with discontinuous quartz (21 vol%) -rich domains. The main foliation, defined by the preferred orientation of glaucophane and minor phengite, is overgrown by large lawsonite and garnet porphyroblasts and it is intensely crenulated (Fig. 5a,b). Lawsonite and garnet porphyroblasts crystallization occurred prior to the crenulation event (Fig. 7). Titanite occurs as accessory mineral aligned to the main foliation. Late quartz ± albite ± chloride veins crosscut the main foliation (Fig. 5d, e).

The fine-grained blue amphibole nematoblasts in the matrix (Fig. 5a-c) are quite homogeneous in composition; they are glaucophane according to the classification of Leake et al. (1997) and have Si = 7.71-7.99 a.p.f.u., XNa=0.85-1.00 and XFe$^{3+}=0.14-0.24$, with Si and XNa decreasing and XFe$^{3+}$ increasing toward the rim (Fig. 6e,f).

Lawsonite occurs as large porphyroblasts, up to few centimeter in size, overgrowing the main foliation (Fig. 3, 5a-d and Fig. SM2). Lawsonite porphyroblasts are often boudined; the boudinage still occurred in the lawsonite stability field because lawsonite + quartz + glaucophane are also found in the pressure shadows (Fig. 5c). The Fe content in lawsonite is very low (Fe = 0.03-0.05 a.p.f.u. on the basis of 8 oxygens).

Garnet porphyroblasts, up to 2-3 mm in diameter, overgrow the main foliation and are also included in lawsonite (Fig. 5). They are strongly zoned (Fig. 5e, 6a, 6b), with spessartine decreasing and almandine and pyrope increasing from core to rim (core: Sp$_{sps}$55-Al$_{sps}$16-Grs$_{sps}$26-Prp$_{sps}$1.1; mantle: Sp$_{sps}$40-Al$_{sps}$27-Grs$_{sps}$28-31Prp$_{sps}$1.5-1.8; rim: Sp$_{sps}$24-Al$_{sps}$37-Grs$_{sps}$27-32Prp$_{sps}$2.5-3.4) (Fig. 6a). Garnet porphyroblasts include glaucophane,
actinolite, quartz and chlorite in the core and mantle domains, and few omphacite (Jd16-33Acm9-17) (Fig. 6c), phengite (Si = 3.80 a.p.f.u.) and quartz in the mantle and rim domains (Fig. 5e,5f,6d).

Phengite occurs as small flakes in equilibrium with glaucophane (Fig. 5, 7); it is locally zoned, with the highest Si content in the rim (core: Si=3.36-3.57 a.p.f.u.; rim: Si=3.61-3.84 a.p.f.u. on the basis of 11 oxygens). The Fe\(^{3+}\) content in phengite is low since most of the phengite compositions lie along the celadonite-muscovite compositional joint (Fig. 6d).

5. Phase equilibria and P-T evolution

5.1 P-T pseudosection in the MnNKCFMASH system

The thermodynamic modeling approach was used to constrain the P-T evolution of the two blueschist samples. P-T pseudosections have been first calculated in the MnNKCFMASH model system (MnO-Na\(_2\)O-K\(_2\)O-CaO-FeO-MgO-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-SiO\(_2\)-H\(_2\)O), and two assumptions were made: (1) H\(_2\)O was considered in excess; (2) Fe\(^{3+}\) was not included in the calculation. The influence of these two important components on the stability of mineral assemblages will be discussed later. Concerning sample 14-6F, the fractionation effects on its bulk composition due to the growth of large garnet porphyroblasts have been considered, and two different pseudosections have been calculated: (i) a first pseudosection, calculated using the whole rock composition, has been used to model the growth of garnet core + mantle; (ii) a second pseudosection, calculated using the effective bulk composition derived by subtracting garnet cores and mantles to the whole rock composition (Table 1), has been used to model the growth of garnet rim. Fractionation effects on the bulk composition are negligible for sample 14-4B, because garnet is very small.

5.1.1 P-T evolution constrained for sample 14-4B

The topology of the pseudosection calculated for sample 14-4B is very simple and dominated by three- and four-variant fields (Fig. 8a). The observed peak assemblage Grt + Gln + Lws + Phe is modelled by a relatively narrow five-variant field at P > 19 kbar, which separates a chlorite-bearing field (at lower T) from an omphacite-bearing field (at higher T). At P < 19 kbar, both chlorite and omphacite coexist in the three-variant Grt + Gln + Lws + Phe + Chl + Omp field.

The modeled garnet compositional isopleths (core: X\(_{\text{Grs}}\)=0.60, X\(_{\text{Alm}}\)=0.24, X\(_{\text{Grs}}\)=0.15, X\(_{\text{Pfe}}\)=0.006; mantle: X\(_{\text{Sps}}\)=0.52, X\(_{\text{Alm}}\)=0.28, X\(_{\text{Grs}}\)=0.18, X\(_{\text{Pfe}}\)=0.009; rim: X\(_{\text{Sps}}\)=0.44, X\(_{\text{Alm}}\)=0.30, X\(_{\text{Grs}}\)=0.23, X\(_{\text{Pfe}}\)=0.013) constrain the growth of garnet core, mantle and rim at about 365 °C, 19.5 kbar (in the Grt + Gln + Lws + Phe + Chl field), 390°C, 20.5 kbar (in the Grt + Gln + Lws + Phe field) and 420°C, 22 kbar (in the Grt + Gln + Lws + Phe + Omp field) (Fig. 8a and Fig. SM3). The modeled modal amounts of chlorite and omphacite in equilibrium with garnet core and rim, respectively, are lower than 0.5 vol%. The modeled phengite compositional isopleths (Si = 3.80-3.82 a.p.f.u.) constrain the growth of phengite at P-T conditions compatible with the growth of garnet core and mantle.

The resulting prograde P-T evolution of sample 14-4B is therefore characterized by an increase in both P and T, up to peak conditions of about 420°C, 22 kbar (Fig. 8a). The modeled isomodes of the main mineral phases are consistent with the prograde growth (i.e. increase in its modal amount) of garnet along this P-T path, but predict the (slight) consumption of lawsonite (Fig. 8b; the P-T path crosses the Lws-isomodes downward), opposite to microstructural observations which suggest that garnet and lawsonite grew almost simultaneously (Fig. 7). This apparent discrepancy between the results of the thermodynamic modeling and the observed microstructure will be discussed in the following. The modeled H\(_2\)O isomodes show that during the inferred prograde evolution, a moderate de-hydration occurred, thus implying that mineral assemblages were H\(_2\)O saturated (Guiraud et al., 2001).
5.1.2 P-T evolution constrained for sample 14-6F

The topologies of the two pseudosections calculated for sample 14-6F using the whole rock composition and the fractionated bulk composition are simple and dominated by three- and four-variant fields. Because the two pseudosections are quite similar (the main difference is the shift of the Grt-bearing fields toward higher temperatures in the fractionated pseudosection), they have been condensed in the same figure (Fig. 9a). Two large three-variant fields, separated by a narrow di-variant field, dominate the two pseudosections: at higher P (and lower T) is stable the Chl + Grt + Act + Gln + Lws + Phe assemblage, whereas at lower P (and higher T) is stable the Chl + Grt + Gln + Lws + Omp + Phe assemblage.

The modeled garnet compositional isopleths from the unfractonated pseudosection (core: \(X_{\text{Sp}}=0.55, X_{\text{Alm}}=0.18, X_{\text{Grt}}=0.27, X_{\text{Prp}}=0.011\); mantle: \(X_{\text{Sp}}=0.40, X_{\text{Alm}}=0.27, X_{\text{Grt}}=0.30, X_{\text{Prp}}=0.018\)) constrain the growth of garnet core and mantle at about 395 °C, 18.5 kbar (in the Chl + Grt + Act + Gln + Lws + Phe field) and 435 °C, 19.5 kbar (in the Chl + Grt + Gln + Lws + Omp + Phe field) (Fig. 9a and Fig. SM4). The transition from the Act-bearing (Omp-absent) field to the Omp-bearing (Act-absent) field is consistent with the occurrence of actinolite inclusions within garnet core, and omphacite inclusions within garnet mantle. The modeled phengite compositional isopleths (\(Si = 3.81-3.83\) a.p.f.u.) constrain the growth of phengite at P-T conditions slightly lower than the growth of garnet core.

The modeled garnet compositional isopleths from the fractionated pseudosection (rim: \(X_{\text{Sp}}=0.24, X_{\text{Alm}}=0.42, X_{\text{Grt}}=0.31, X_{\text{Prp}}=0.034\)) constrain the growth of garnet rim at about 470 °C, 20 kbar (in the Chl + Grt + Gln + Omp + Lws + Phe field) (Fig. 9a). The modeled modal amount of chlorite in equilibrium with garnet rim is lower than 1 vol%.

Peak P-T conditions for sample 14-6F are therefore constrained at about 470 °C, 20 kbar. Overall, the prograde P-T evolution of sample 14-6F is similar in shape to that predicted for sample 14-4B but at lower P and slightly higher T (i.e. \(\Delta T = +50 \, ^\circ C, \Delta P = -2 \, kbar\)). Similarly to sample 14-4B, the modeled isomodes do not predict the growth (i.e. increase in modal amount) of lawsonite along this P-T path (Fig. 9b), opposite to microstructural observation which clearly show that lawsonite grew simultaneously (or even later) to garnet (Fig. 7).

5.2 The influence of Fe\(^{3+}\)

Although low, the Fe\(^{3+}\) content in glaucophane from both the samples is not negligible, suggesting that the metasediment bulk compositions were slightly oxidized. In order to test the influence of Fe\(^{3+}\) on the stability of the equilibrium assemblages and on the peak P-T conditions, two P-XFe\(_2\)O\(_3\) and T-XFe\(_2\)O\(_3\) pseudosections were calculated at 420°C, 22 kbar (sample 14-4B; Fig. 10a,b), and 470°C, 20 kbar (sample 14-6F; Fig. 10c,d), respectively, i.e. at the peak P-T conditions estimated for the two samples in the Fe\(^{3+}\)-free MnNKCFMASH system. A XFe\(_2\)O\(_3\) range of 0-0.5 was considered, with XFe\(_2\)O\(_3\) = Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)/FeO\(_{\text{tot}}\) (i.e. XFe\(_2\)O\(_3\) = 0 means that all Fe is bivalent; XFe\(_2\)O\(_3\) = 0.5 means that FeO and FeO\(_3\) are present in equal amounts).

The P-XFe\(_2\)O\(_3\) and T-XFe\(_2\)O\(_3\) pseudosections modeled for sample 14-4B and contoured for the garnet rim compositional isopleths, show that peak-P conditions decrease of about 2-3 kbar with increasing XFe\(_2\)O\(_3\), whereas peak-T conditions do not significantly change at variable XFe\(_2\)O\(_3\) values. The XFe\(_2\)O\(_3\) is constrained to a maximum of 0.20, above which the modeled peak assemblage (Grt + Gln + Lws + Phe + minor Omp) is no longer stable (Fig. 10a,b).

The same effects are also observed for sample 14-6F, but in this case the decrease of peak-P conditions is less pronounced (ca. 1 kbar). The stability field of the peak assemblage (Grt + Gln + Omp + Lws + Phe + minor Chl) constrains the maximum XFe\(_2\)O\(_3\) value to 0.4, but for XFe\(_2\)O\(_3\) > 0.15 the modeled garnet compositional isopleths diverge, therefore constraining XFe\(_2\)O\(_3\) to values in the range 0-0.15 (Fig. 10c,d).
The P-T paths of the two studied samples calculated for $X_{Fe_2O_3}$=0.10 mostly overlap, thus suggesting that the prograde P-T evolution of the SSOM blueschists was characterized by an increase in P and T from ca. 370°C, 17 kbar to peak conditions of ca. 470°C, 19 kbar.

6. Discussion

6.1 H$_2$O-saturated vs. H$_2$O under-saturated conditions

The results obtained so far are based on the assumption that H$_2$O was in excess during the whole metamorphic evolution: this is a common assumption in the modeling of lawsonite-bearing blueschist and eclogites (e.g. Davis and Whitney, 2006, 2008; Clarke et al., 2006; Groppo and Castelli, 2010; Endo et al., 2012; Wei and Clarke, 2011; Vitale Brovarone et al., 2011; Ao and Bhowmik, 2014; Tian and Wei, 2014; Bhowmik and Ao, 2015). In many cases H$_2$O is considered in excess because lawsonite-bearing assemblages demand that high water amounts are available in the system. Opposite to this common assumption, it has also been demonstrated that lawsonite can grow during subduction (at increasing P and T) at H$_2$O-undersaturated conditions (e.g. Balleverre et al., 2003; Lopez-Carmona et al., 2013). H$_2$O-undersaturated conditions would significantly influence phase equilibria and hence P-T estimates; therefore, the possibility that prograde metamorphism could have occurred under H$_2$O-undersaturated conditions should be carefully evaluated.

This issue was explored by calculating two P/T-$X$(H$_2$O) pseudosections for sample 14-4B (Fig. 11); similar results are obtained for sample 14-6F (see Fig. 12a). These pseudosections report the H$_2$O content (in wt%) on the horizontal axis and a P/T gradient on the vertical axis. Two P/T gradients have been considered: the first one (gradient A: Fig. 11a) is coincident with the P-T path constrained using the P-T pseudosection calculated with H$_2$O in excess, whereas the second one (gradient B: Fig. 11b) is steeper and similar to the early prograde P-T evolution of Eastern Himalayan blueschists reported in the literature (Ao and Bhowmik, 2014). The two pseudosections are contoured for garnet core and rim compositions. The intersection between garnet compositional isopleths should provide information about: (i) whether the growth of garnet with the measured composition could have occurred along the previously discussed P/T gradient A but at H$_2$O-undersaturated conditions, and (ii) whether the alternative (steeper) P/T gradient B would be compatible with the growth of garnet with the measured composition under H$_2$O-undersaturated conditions.

The white dotted lines in the calculated P/T-$X$(H$_2$O) pseudosections represent the H$_2$O-saturation surface and divide the pseudosections in a H$_2$O-saturated part on the right and in a H$_2$O-undersaturated part on the left. A H$_2$O amount of 3-4 wt% (depending on T and P) is required to reach H$_2$O-saturated conditions in sample 14-4B. Garnet compositional isopleths show that: (i) the steeper P/T gradient B (Fig. 11b) is not compatible with the observed garnet compositions because the modeled compositional isopleths of garnet core do not overlap; (ii) concerning the P/T gradient A, the intersection of garnet compositional isopleths on the H$_2$O-saturation surface confirms that the growth of garnet with the measured composition occurred at H$_2$O-saturated conditions (Fig. 11a, 12a), thus suggesting that the assumption of H$_2$O in excess for the modeling of garnet growth was correct.

6.2 When and how did lawsonite grow

The P/T-$X$(H$_2$O) pseudosections calculated at H$_2$O saturated conditions for both samples 14-4B (Fig. 8) and 14-6F (Fig. 9) fail in modeling the contemporaneous growth of lawsonite and garnet; in fact, the inferred prograde P-T path crosses the garnet isomodes upward (Fig. 8c, 9c), but the lawsonite isomodes are crossed downward (Fig. 8b, 9b), thus suggesting that lawsonite was (slightly) consumed when garnet was growing (i.e. lawsonite modal amount was slightly decreasing while garnet modal amount was increasing).
Two different hypothesis can be proposed to explain the discrepancy between the observed microstructures and the prediction of thermodynamic modeling: (i) the first hypothesis is still based on an equilibrium model for prograde metamorphism, which is the classical paradigm that is the basis of isochemical phase diagrams; (ii) the second hypothesis explores the possibility that the prograde appearance of lawsonite was controlled by nonequilibrium processes rather than by equilibrium ones (i.e. kinetics factors prevailing over equilibrium thermodynamics).

6.2.1 The equilibrium approach

Following an approach based on the principles of equilibrium thermodynamics, the P/T-X(H₂O) pseudosection calculated for sample 14-6F (Fig. 12a), contoured for lawsonite and garnet modal amounts (Fig. 12b, 12c) is useful to explain the inconsistence between the observed and predicted sequence of porphyroblasts growth (i.e. Lws contemporaneous with Grt vs. Lws earlier than Grt) (see also Fig. SM5 for sample 14-4B). Fig. 12b shows that H₂O addition is required to form lawsonite (i.e. to increase its modal amount). The observed microstructures suggest that lawsonite growth was contemporaneous to garnet growth (Fig. 7), thus implying that H₂O was introduced in the system at the relatively high pressure of ca. 17-18 kbar (large white arrow in Fig. 12b). Once reached H₂O-saturated conditions, garnet (with the measured composition of Grt core) started to form; the simultaneous growth of high modal amounts of lawsonite, however, subtracted H₂O to the system (“-H₂O” arrows in Fig. 12b), that eventually became again H₂O-undersaturated. A protracted H₂O influx at high pressure (“+H₂O” arrows in Fig. 12b) is therefore required in order to allow the contemporaneous growth of garnet (which requires H₂O-saturated conditions) and lawsonite (whose growth subtracts H₂O to the system).

Our model thus suggests that the system might have been H₂O-undersaturated during the early prograde subduction (i.e. prior to the appearance of garnet). According to the modeling, at H₂O-undersaturated conditions, the Ca-rich precursor of lawsonite should have been epidote: the small epidote inclusions observed in garnet porphyroblasts (sample 14-6F: Fig. 5f) would support this assumption. This hypothesis confirms what has been already predicted by previous studies, i.e. the H₂O-rich character of lawsonite-bearing assemblages requires the addition of H₂O at elevated pressure to allow them to form (Clarke et al., 2006; Tsujimori and Ernst, 2014). Significant fluid release is predicted at these P-T conditions (e.g. Ulmer and Trommsdorff, 1995; Scambelluri et al., 2004; Poli and Schmidt, 1995; Poli et al., 2009) through metamorphic devolatilization reactions occurring in the subducting slab (Bebout, 1991, 1995; Jarrad, 2003).

Our results suggest that fluids released at P > 17-18 kbar by the de-hydrating subducting slab can be largely re-incorporated in lawsonite, and confirm that the pervasive growth of lawsonite represents an efficient mechanism for fixing water in the high pressure accretionary prism, thereby delaying its ascent toward the surface (Ballèvre et al., 2003; Vitale Brovarone and Beyssac, 2014).

6.2.2 The nonequilibrium approach

Alternatively to what discussed in the previous point, the inconsistency between the observed microstructures and the equilibrium phase relations predicted by the pseudosections could suggest that nonequilibrium processes controlled the prograde appearance of lawsonite and garnet. Transient nonequilibrium states can be common during prograde metamorphism (e.g. Ague & Carlson, 2013), especially at low temperatures such those inferred for the early prograde evolution of the studied blueschists. Previous works addressed the question of the interplay between the approach to equilibrium on one hand, and reaction kinetics on the other hand (see Ague & Carlson, 2013 for a review). Crucial to the discussion is the concept of reaction affinity, which is an energetic expression of the easiness of a reaction to overstep the kinetic barriers to nucleation and growth (e.g. Waters & Lovegrove, 2002; Pattison et al., 2011; Ketcham & Carlson, 2012). It has been demonstrated that mineral reactions which release large
quantities of H$_2$O have higher reaction affinity per unit of temperature/pressure overstep than those which release little or no H$_2$O. The former are expected to be overstepped in temperature and/or pressure less than the latter (see Pattison et al., 2011 for further details). Reactions with lower reaction affinity may be strongly influenced by kinetic factors, or may not occur at all.

Some authors considered nucleation as the main rate-limiting process in metamorphic reactions (e.g. Waters & Lovegrove, 2002; Gaidies et al., 2011; Pattison et al., 2011). They demonstrated that low reaction affinity (and consequently high overstepping) of a prograde metamorphic reaction may cause the delayed nucleation (and growth) of porphyroblastic phases. Microstructurally, this becomes evident when the observed sequence of porphyroblasts growth does not coincide with the sequence predicted by thermodynamic modelling (e.g. Waters & Lovegrove, 2002). Other authors argued that intergranular diffusion is the main kinetic component controlling the nucleation and growth of porphyroblastic phases (e.g. Carlson, 1989, 2002; Hirsch et al., 2000; Ketcham & Carlson, 2012). In this case, delayed porphyroblasts growth would be related to the sluggishness of intergranular diffusion. More in detail, growing porphyroblasts extract nutrients from the immediate surroundings, suppressing the nucleation of new crystals in diffusionally depleted zones surrounding pre-existing crystals.

A quantitative treatment of these concepts is well beyond the aim of this paper; nevertheless, it is worth noting that the modelled pseudosection for sample 14-6F predicts that lawsonite is mainly produced at low P-T conditions (i.e. at P < 5 kbar, and T < 300°C; Fig. SM6) through the epidote or prehnite (depending on T) breakdown, much earlier than the onset of garnet growth. Both the epidote- and prehnite-consuming (lawsonite-producing) reactions are hydration reactions, i.e. they consume H$_2$O. Qualitatively, it is therefore to be expected that reaction affinity of these reactions is very low and that they might be significantly overstepped in temperature and pressure. The discrepancy between the observed and predicted sequence of porphyroblasts growth can be therefore explained by a delayed growth of lawsonite porphyroblasts, possibly due to: (i) low reaction affinity of the Lws-producing reaction (either Ep- or Prh-consuming), and/or (ii) difficulty of nucleation of lawsonite.

Both the equilibrium- and nonequilibrium- hypothesis are compatible with microstructural observations (e.g. the rare occurrence of small epidote inclusions within garnet) and they are complementary rather than mutually exclusive.

### 6.3 Interpretation of the P-T evolution and geodynamic implications

Prior to this study, P-T estimates based on conventional thermobarometry suggested peak P-T conditions of 350-420°C, 9-11 kbar for the SSOM blueschists (Honegger et al., 1989). The results of our petrological modeling point to peak P-T conditions significantly higher than those previously estimated, i.e. ca. 470°C, 19 kbar (Fig. 13), thus suggesting that the careful re-examination (by means of modern petrological approaches) of previous P-T estimates obtained using conventional thermobarometry can provide new insights on the subduction history of the Neo-Tethyan ocean. The obtained results suggest that the SSOM blueschists experienced a cold subduction history along a very low to low thermal gradient (“early” prograde: ca. 5-6°C/km; “late” prograde: ca. 7-8°C/km; Fig. 13a). Furthermore, in order to preserve lawsonite in the studied lithologies, exhumation must have been coupled with significant cooling (i.e. without crossing the lawsonite-out boundary; Zack et al., 2004). The resulting P-T path is therefore characterized by a clockwise hairpin loop along low thermal gradients (<8-9 °C/km) (Fig. 13a).

This P-T evolution is consistent with a cold subduction zone system in an intra-oceanic subduction setting, as also suggested by Ao and Bhowmik (2014) for blueschists from the far eastern Himalaya. Moreover, the observed lithological associations (i.e. mainly volcanoclastic rocks and minor sediments), the estimated peak P-T conditions (very close to the eclogite stability field but still inside the lawsonite blueschist -facies) and the clockwise hairpin P-T trajectory, are all consistent with the interpretation that the SSOM represents
a relic of an oceanic paleo-accretionary prism, related to the northward subduction of the northern Neo-
Tethyan ocean beneath the Ladakh Asian margin (Robertson, 2000; Mahéo et al., 2006; Guillot et al., 2008).
Interestingly, the estimated peak P-T conditions of ca. 470°C, 19 kbar roughly coincide with the maximum
P-T estimates predicted by thermo-mechanical models for the metasediments exhumed in the accretionary
wedge (Yamato et al. 2007) (Fig. 13a), and with the maximum P-T conditions registered by natural
occurrences of blueschist accretionary complexes worldwide (Fig. 13b) (e.g. the Schistes Lustres Complex of
the Western Alps and Alpine Corsica, Turkey, Zagros, Oman, New Caledonia, Franciscan Complex: e.g.
Banno et al., 2000; Agard et al., 2001a,b; Warren, 2005; Agard et al., 2006; Page et al., 2006; Tsujimori et
al., 2006; Warren and Waters, 2006; Ernst and McLaughlin, 2012; Plunder et al., 2012, 2015; Agard and
Most of the studies focused on subduction-related HP-LT terranes from different localities point to a
continuous increase of peak-T and associated P in adjacent tectonometamorphic units (Fig. 13b). A
continuous metamorphic gradient is thus recorded in most of the blueschist-facies terranes worldwide, up
to maximum P-T conditions of ca. 470°C, 18-19 kbar (e.g. Oman: Yamato et al., 2007; Corsica: Vitale
Brovarone et al., 2014; Schistes Lustres of the Western Alps: Plunder et al., 2012; New Caledonia: Vitale
Brovarone & Agard, 2013; Turkey: Plunder et al., 2015). This metamorphic zonation might reflect the
repeated accretion of the ocean-floor sediments subducted at different depths and offscraped at the base
of the accretionary prism (e.g. Agard et al., 2009 and references therein).
A similar metamorphic zonation from greenschist to pumpellyite-diopside and up to lawsonite-blueschist -
facies conditions has been recently reported by Ao & Bhowmik (2014) for the Nagaland Ophiolite Complex
of far-eastern Himalaya, whose geological setting is very similar to that of the SSOM (i.e. it is mainly
dominated by metavolcanoclastic rocks, with minor intercalations of metasediments). Although a detailed
discussion of the SSOM metamorphic units adjacent to the blueschist one is beyond the aim of this paper, it
is worth mentioning that preliminary data suggest that a similar metamorphic zonation might characterize
also the western portion of the ITS zone. Chlorite + epidote + green/blue-green amphibole-bearing
metavolcanoclastic rocks, and prehnite-pumpellyte-bearing metagabbros occur in the thin metamorphic
slices associated to the blueschist unit in the SSOM. Further petrological investigations could eventually
confirm the existence of a continuous metamorphic gradient in the SSOM.
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**Figure captions**

**Fig. 1** – Geological sketch map of the studied area (modified after Honegger et al., 1989). (1) Dras-Naktul volcanoclastics and flysch; (2) pillow lavas, sill and dyke series; (3) ultramafic lenses; (4) Shergol conglomerase; (5) mélange formation; (6) blueschist zone; (7) Karamba and Lamayuru unit; (8) Zanskar unit. Star: samples location. Inset: simplified tectonic map of the Himalayan orogen showing the locations of the blueschist facies rocks in the Indus Tsangpo suture zone (ITS). 1, Shangla; 2, Sapi-Shergol; 3, Zildat; 4, Sans Sang; 5, Yamdrock; 6, Nagaland. Other abbreviations used: NP, Nanga Parbat; NB, Namche Barwa; MBT, Main Boundary Thrust; MFT, Main Frontal Thrust.

**Fig. 2** – (a) Panoramic view of the Sapi-Shergol Ophiolite Melange (in blue). View looking north-westward. Landscape width is about 20 km. (b,c) Outcrop appearance of the most abundant blueschist lithologies in the SSOM: volcanoclastic rocks (b) and metabasic rocks (c). (d-g) Representative microstructures of volcanoclastic (d) and metabasic (e) rocks and of silicic (f) and carbonatic (g) metasediments. The dotted white line in (d) separates a pluri-mm clast (lower right) from the reddish matrix (upper left). The inset in (g) shows a detail of a large poikiloblast of calcite including idioblastic lawsonite. Plane Polarized Light (PPL).

**Fig. 3** - Processed major elements µ-XRF maps of the whole thin sections of samples 14-4B and 14-6F. The unprocessed µ-XRF maps for each element are reported in Fig. SM1 and SM2.

**Fig. 4** – Representative microstructures of sample 14-4B. (a) Detail of a discontinuous quartz-rich layer alternated to thicker lawsonite + phengite + glaucophane layers. Note the small dark garnet on the right. (PPL). (b, c) Detail of a phengite + lawsonite + glaucophane layer: phengite and glaucophane define the main foliation. PPL (b) and Crossed Polarized Light (XPL) (c).

**Fig. 5** – Representative microstructures of sample 14-6F/G. (a) The main foliation, defined by the preferred orientation of glaucophane and minor phengite, is overgrown by large lawsonite and garnet porphyroblasts and is intensely crenulated. PPL (a), XPL (b). (c) Detail of a boudinated lawsonite porphyroblast overgrowing the fine-grained glaucophane + phengite matrix. Lawsonite and quartz occur in the pressure shadows. Note the garnet porphyroblasts, overgrowing the main foliation and included in lawsonite. PPL. (d) Detail of a lawsonite porphyroblast including several garnet crystals, crosscut by thin quartz veins. PPL. (e) Processed X-ray map of garnet reported in (d), highlighting the inclusion distribution within garnet and its chemical zoning. (f) Back-scattered (BSE) image of a garnet porphiroblast, showing the distribution of inclusions. Note the occurrence of a small omphacite inclusion in garnet rim and of a small epidote inclusion in garnet mantle.

**Fig. 6** - Compositional diagrams for the main mineral phases analysed in samples 14-4B and 14-6F/G. (a) Garnet compositions plotted in the Grs-(Sps+Andr)-(Alm+Prp) diagram. (b) Fe, Mg and Mn X-ray maps of the same garnet reported in Fig. 3d, e. (c) Omphacite compositions (inclusions in garnet) plotted in the Jd-Quad-Aeg diagram. (d) Phengite compositions plotted in the Si vs. (Mg + Fe) (a.p.f.u.) diagram. The black line represents the ideal celadonitic substitution. (e,f) Na-Amphibole compositions plotted in the Si (a.p.f.u.) vs. Mg/(Mg+Fe$^{2+}$) (e), Si (a.p.f.u.) vs. Na/(Na+Ca) and Si (a.p.f.u.) vs. Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$_{tot}$ (f). (g) Ca-Amphibole compositions plotted in the Si (a.p.f.u.) vs. Mg/(Mg+Fe$^{2+}$).

**Fig. 7** – Metamorphic evolution inferred for samples 14-4B and 14-6F. Sm is the main foliation.
**Fig. 8** – (a) P-T pseudosection calculated for sample 14-4B in the MnNKCFMASHO model system and at H₂O saturated conditions using the whole-rock bulk composition. The variance of the fields varies from two (i.e. 8 phases, white fields) to five (i.e. 5 phases, darker grey fields). Garnet compositional isopleths are reported for garnet core, mantle and rim in dark, medium and light red, respectively (Alm: dashed; Grs: continuous; Prp: dotted; Sps: dashed-dotted lines); phengite compositional isopleths are reported in yellow. The modeled peak assemblage is reported in bold. The black arrow is the prograde portion of the P-T path inferred from the pseudosection. The entire set of garnet compositional isopleths is reported in Fig. SM3. (b, c) Same pseudosection of (a), contoured for lawsonite (b) and garnet (c) modal amount (vol%). Note that lawsonite is predicted to be slightly consumed along the inferred P-T path, whereas garnet is predicted to increase in modal amount.

**Fig. 9** – (a) P-T pseudosections calculated for sample 14-6F in the MnNKCFMASHO model system and at H₂O saturated conditions using the whole-rock (unfractionated: lower left) and the fractionated (upper right) bulk compositions, respectively, and used to model the growth of garnet core and mantle (unfractionated bulk) and garnet rim (fractionated bulk). The variance of the fields varies from two (i.e. 8 phases, white fields) to four (i.e. 6 phases, darker grey fields). Garnet compositional isopleths are reported for garnet core, mantle and rim in dark, medium and light red, respectively (Alm: dashed; Grs: continuous; Prp: dotted; Sps: dashed-dotted lines); phengite compositional isopleths are reported in yellow. The black arrows are the prograde portions of the P-T path inferred for the growth of garnet core, mantle and rim. The entire set of garnet compositional isopleths is reported in Fig. SM4. (b, c) Same pseudosections of (a), contoured for lawsonite (b) and garnet (c) modal amount (vol%). Note that lawsonite is predicted to be slightly consumed along the inferred P-T path, whereas garnet is predicted to increase in modal amount.

**Fig. 10** – (a, b) P-X(Fe₂O₃) and T-X(Fe₂O₃) pseudosections calculated for sample 14-4B in the MnNKCFMASHO model system at T = 420°C and P = 22 kbar, respectively. (c, d) P-X(Fe₂O₃) and T-X(Fe₂O₃) pseudosections calculated for sample 14-6F (fractionated bulk composition) the MnNKCFMASHO model system at T = 470°C and P = 20 kbar, respectively. In all the pseudosections the variance of the fields varies from two (i.e. 8 phases, white fields) to six (i.e. 5 phases, darker grey fields). Garnet compositional isopleths are reported for garnet rim in red (Alm: dashed; Grs: continuous; Prp: dotted; Sps: dashed-dotted lines). The modeled equilibrium assemblages are reported in bold. For both the samples, peak-P conditions decrease with increasing XFe₂O₃ (ΔP = 2-3 kbar for sample 14-4B and ΔP = 1 kbar for sample 14-6F), whereas peak-T conditions do not significantly change at variable XFe₂O₃ values.

**Fig. 11** – P/T-X(H₂O) pseudosection calculated for sample 14-4B in the MnNKCFMASHO model system along two different P/T gradients: gradient A (a) coincides with the P-T path constrained using the P-T pseudosection calculated with H₂O in excess (black arrow in Fig. 8a); gradient B (b) is steeper (similar to the early prograde P-T evolution of Eastern Himalayan blueschists reported in the literature; Ao and Bhowmik, 2014). The variance of the fields varies from two (i.e. 7 phases, white fields) to five (i.e. 5 phases, darker grey fields). Garnet compositional isopleths are reported for garnet core and rim in dark and light red, respectively (Alm: dashed; Grs: continuous; Prp: dotted; Sps: dashed-dotted lines). The observed equilibrium assemblage is reported in bold. The white dotted lines in both pseudosections represent the H₂O-saturation surface and divide the pseudosections in a H₂O-saturated part on the right and in a H₂Oundersaturated part on the left. The intersection of garnet compositional isopleths on the H₂O-saturation surface in (a) confirms that garnet growth (with the measured composition) occurred at H₂O-saturated conditions; garnet core compositional isopleths do not intersect in (b), thus implying that gradient B is not compatible with the observed mineral assemblage and compositions.
**Fig. 1**

- (a, b) P/T-X(H₂O) pseudosections calculated for sample 14-6F in the MnNKCFMASHO model system along the same gradient A as in Fig. 11a and using the whole-rock (unfractionated: a) and the fractionated (b) bulk compositions. The variance of the fields varies from two (i.e. 7 phases, white fields) to five (i.e. 5 phases, darker grey fields). Garnet compositional isopleths are reported for garnet core and rim in dark and light red, respectively (Alm: dashed; Grs: continuous; Prp: dotted; Sps: dashed-dotted lines). The white dotted line represents the H₂O-saturation surface and divides the pseudosections in a H₂O-saturated part on the right and in a H₂O-undersaturated part on the left. The intersection of garnet compositional isopleths on the H₂O-saturation surface confirms that garnet growth (with the measured composition) occurred at H₂O-saturated conditions.

- (c-f) Same P/T-X(H₂O) pseudosection of (a, b) contoured for lawsonite (c, d) and garnet (e, f) modal amounts (in vol%). The red ellipses indicate the P-T-X(H₂O) conditions inferred for the growth of garnet core and rim from Fig. 12a, 12b. H₂O addition is required to form lawsonite (c, d). A protracted H₂O influx at high pressure is required in order to allow the contemporaneous growth of garnet, which requires H₂O-saturated conditions (e, f) and lawsonite, whose growth subtracts H₂O to the system (c, d) (see text for further details).

**Fig. 13** - (a) P-T path constrained for the Sapi-Shergol blueschist unit (red thick vs. dotted arrows are the P-T paths constrained in the MnNKCFMASHO vs. MnNKCFMASH system, respectively; the P-T path previously constrained by Honegger et al. 1989 and Guillot et al., 2008 is reported in orange) compared with the P-T paths of the other Himalayan blueschist rocks: Shangla (in yellow: Guillot et al., 2008) and Nagaland Ophiolite Complex (in green: Ao and Bhowmik, 2014). The dashed grey arrow is the schematic P-T path followed by the sedimentary particles in the accretionary wedge, as resulting from the thermomechanical numerical study of Yamato et al. (2007). (b) P-T diagram comparing the maximum P-T conditions for well-documented examples of accretionary terranes in subduction zones (modified from Agard and Vitale Brovarone, 2013 and Plunder et al., 2015, with references therein) with the P-T conditions experienced by the Sapi-Shergol blueschist unit (this study; red squares) and the Nagaland Ophiolite Complex of far-eastern Himalaya (Ao and Bhowmik, 2014; green square). Data are mainly derived from: Agard et al. (2001b), Plunder et al. (2012): Western Alps; Ravna et al. (2010), Vitale Brovarone et al. (2011, 2013), Agard and Vitale Brovarone (2013): Corsica; David and Whitney (2008), Plunder et al. (2015): Turkey; Warren et al. (2005), Warren and Waters (2006), Agard and Vitale Brovarone, (2013): Oman; Agard et al. (2006): Zagros; Fitzherbert et al. (2003, 2004, 2005), Agard and Vitale Brovarone (2013), Vitale Brovarone and Agard (2013): New Caledonia; Banno et al. (2000), Page et al. (2006), Tsujimori et al. (2006), Ernst and McLaughlin (2012), Ukar and Cloos (2014): Franciscan Complex (western USA).
Table 1 - Modal (vol%) and bulk (wt%) compositions of samples 14-4B and 14-6F

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>14-4B</th>
<th>14-6F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qtz</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lws</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gln</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grt</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>14-4B</th>
<th>14-6F</th>
<th>unfractionated</th>
<th>fractionated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>70.26</td>
<td>61.69</td>
<td>62.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>14.40</td>
<td>15.76</td>
<td>15.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>7.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>