



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Application of municipal biowaste derived products in Hibiscus cultivation: Effect on leaf gaseous exchange activity, and plant biomass accumulation and quality

This is the author's manuscript	
Original Citation:	
Availability:	
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1619351	since 2022-01-24T20:14:38Z
Published version:	
DOI:10.1016/j.scienta.2016.03.033	
Terms of use:	
Open Access	

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

1	Application of municipal biowaste derived products in <i>Hibiscus</i> cultivation: effect
2	on leaf gaseous exchange activity, and plant biomass accumulation and quality
3	
4	Daniele Massa ^{1*} , Domenico Prisa ¹ , Enzo Montoneri ² , Daniele Battaglini ¹ , Marco Ginepro ³ , Michele
5	Negre ⁴ , Gianluca Burchi ¹ .
6	
7	¹ Council for Agricultural Research and Economics (CREA), Landscaping plants and nursery research unit,
8	Via dei Fiori 8, 51012 Pescia (PT), Italy
9	² Biowaste Processing, Via XXIV Maggio 25, 37126 Verona, Italy
10	³ Dipartimento di Chimica, Università di Torino, Via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Torino, Italy
11	⁴ Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie Forestali e Alimentari, Università di Torino, Largo P. Braccini 2, 10095
12	Grugliasco (TO), Italy
13	
14	*Corrisponding author:
15	Daniele Massa
16	Tel +39 0572 451033
17	Fax +39 0572 453309

18 daniele.massa@entecra.it

19 Abstract

20 Two urban biowaste materials, fermented under anaerobic and aerobic conditions, their 21 soluble and insoluble alkaline hydrolysates, and a commercial biostimulant product, were compared 22 for their capacity to boost *Hibiscus* plants crop production and quality. Plants were grown in 4-litre 23 pots, containing peat and pumice as substrate, under optimal nutrient. A randomized-block 24 experimental design was adopted. Two types (main factors of variability) of treatments were applied, 25 i.e. by blending the above products with the substrate at transplant, and by fertigation using the soluble hydrolysates only. Plant biomass characteristics and ecophysiological parameters were measured. 26 27 Mean effect of factors and their interactions were assessed by two-way ANOVA. Principal 28 component analysis was performed by using the different dependent variables to summarize the most 29 relevant differences among treatments. Compared with the control (untreated plants), the applied 30 treatments enhanced most of the investigated parameters. The most valuable effects were observed 31 for total biomass accumulation (+25 %), plant height (+10 %), leaf chlorophyll SPAD index (+15 %) 32 and net photosynthesis (+24 %). The hydrolysates performed better than the pristine materials. The 33 former ones were comparable to the commercial biostimulant. The results confirmed the hypothesis 34 that biowaste derived products induce biostimulant activity on Hibiscus; their application can 35 improve cultivation sustainability.

36 Keywords

37 Biowaste, biostimulant, photosynthesis, substrate culture, *Hibiscus palustris*

39 Abbreviations

Acronym	Description		
D	Digestate obtained from organic humid fraction of urban waste		
DAT_1	Days after T ₁	day	
DW	Plant dry weight	g m ⁻²	
Е	Evapotranspiration rate	mmol m ⁻² s	
-F	Fertigation treatments		
FERT	Treatments applied during the cultivation through fertigation		
GC	Green compost obtained from urban gardening wastes		
Gs	Stomatal conductance	mmol m ⁻² s	
ID	Digestate insoluble alkaline hydrolysate		
IGC	Compost insoluble alkaline hydrolysate		
LAI	Leaf area index	$m^2 m^{-2}$	
NAR	Net assimilation rate	g m ⁻² d ⁻¹	
NO	Untreated		
NOVA	Commercial biostimulant		
ОМ	Organic matter	kg m ³	
Pn	Net photosynthetic rate	µmol m ⁻² s	
RGR	Relative growth rate	mg $g^{-1} d^{-1}$	
SD	Digestate soluble alkaline hydrolysate		
SGC	Compost soluble alkaline hydrolysate		
SUB	Treatments applied to the substrate as a powder blended with the substrate		
T_1	Beginning of the experimentation (30 days after transplant)	day	

42 Introduction

43 Soluble biobased substances isolated from the alkaline hydrolysate of fermented urban biowastes have been proven to perform as efficient ecofriendly chemical auxiliaries in diversified fields of the 44 45 chemical industry (Montoneri et al., 2011), agriculture (Sortino et al., 2014), and animal husbandry 46 (Montoneri et al., 2013). The products are mixtures of molecules with molecular weight from 5 to 47 several hundreds kDa, comprising aliphatic and aromatic C atoms bonded to a variety of acid and 48 basic functional groups. This is the likely reason of their multipurpose performance (Montoneri et al., 49 2011). Particularly relevant for agriculture are the results published by Sortino et al. (2014) and Gomis 50 et al. (2014). Sortino et al. (2014) have shown that the above soluble biobased substances added to 51 soil increased tomato and red pepper plant photosynthetic activity, growth and productivity. The same 52 substances enhanced the photochemical degradation of organic pollutants in industrial effluent 53 (Gomis et al., 2014). These findings suggested that they might promote either C fixation or 54 mineralization, according to the different operational environments. In both cases, it was suggested 55 that, by their capacity to complex Fe ions and keep them in solution at slightly acidic or alkaline 56 conditions, the above soluble biobased susbtances may contribute to enhance photo-Fenton processes. 57 On this basis, the development of a self-sustainable ecosystem based on cycling renewable organic C 58 between wastes and added value products appears a fascinating reachable goal, certainly worthwhile 59 to pursuit. Generally, the environmental benefits of these substances lie in the fact that these products 60 are potentially alternative to commercial synthetic chemicals. Thus, by their use, one may expect a 61 reduction of fossil source depletion and of CO₂ emissions.

The realization of the above perspectives does not depend only on the product properties and performance, but also on sustainability of the production process. Rosso et al. (2015) have reported the hydrolysis of several materials recovered from different streams of a typical municipal waste treatment plant located in North West Italy. These materials were the digestate of the plant biogas reactor performing the anaerobic digestion of the organic humid fraction, and several composts

67 obtained from mixtures of digestate, private and public gardening residues, and/or sewage sludge. 68 The hydrolysis of these materials was carried out in water at pH 13 and 60 °C. In all cases, the soluble 69 hydrolysate was obtained together with equal or higher amounts of insoluble hydrolysate. The 70 published data showed that both the soluble and insoluble hydrolysates contain organic and mineral 71 matter, but the organic/mineral ratio is higher in the former. The soluble hydrolysates contain the 72 lowest relative amount of total mineral matter, compared with the insoluble hydrolysates and the 73 pristine sourcing materials. Although this process, performed at low temperature and with complete 74 solvent and reagents recycling, appears ecofriendly, a critical point remains the production of the 75 insoluble hydrolysate. In the absence of demonstration of a promising use of this product, its disposal 76 is an economic burden for the soluble hydrolysate production process. Yet, by its content of mineral 77 elements, also the insoluble hydrolysate product might be useful for agriculture.

78 The possibility of boosting yield and quality of crops by using biostimulants has been largely 79 investigated in the last years (e.g. Bulgari et al., 2015; Calvo et al., 2014; du Jardin, 2015). Many 80 relationships between the application of biostimulants and crop performance have been assessed in 81 several experimental trials. However, the mechanisms activated at plant level by these products are 82 largely unknown and difficult to identify. Biostimulants have been found to enhance plant growth 83 and/or production and quality (Calvo et al., 2014), nutrient uptake (Saa et al., 2015), photosynthetic 84 activity (Castro et al., 2012), plant response to biotic and abiotic stresses (Petrozza et al., 2014), and 85 several metabolic processes (Bulgari et al., 2015; Calvo et al., 2014). Most literature on biostimulants 86 reports their use by foliar application (e.g. Saa et al., 2015). However, the possibility of supplying 87 these substances directly to the root zone is valuable due to improved efficiency of distribution and 88 dosage. This is especially true if fertigation is adopted for cover fertilization; obviously, this practice 89 is restricted only to soluble compounds.

Much research activity on the use of biostimulants in agriculture has been carried out under soil conditions for extensive crops (Calvo et al., 2014; du Jardin, 2015), while scarce literature is available for substrate cultures (e.g. Bulgari et al., 2015). For the latter, root zone conditions are significantly

93 different from soil in terms of bio-organic process (e.g. microbial activity), climate (e.g. temperature, 94 humidity) and chemo-physical characteristics. In intensive agriculture, such as container-grown plant systems, the required agrochemicals, water, and energy inputs per unit area are significantly higher, 95 96 compared with those needed for extensive crop systems. The former systems apply to ornamental 97 plants, for which the high aesthetic quality is a critical marketability parameter. In this scenario, using 98 natural products to boost plants high yield and quality could significantly improve the use efficiency 99 of non-renewable resources (e.g. fresh water and chemical fertilizers), thus increasing environmental 100 and economic sustainability. This poses worthwhile research scope on such products for 101 understanding better their action on plant through the root zone and optimizing their direct 102 administration to the substrate.

103 The present work was undertaken to address several questions posed in previous experiments on 104 the performance of the above soluble biowaste hydrolysates as promoter of plant photosynthetic 105 activity, growth and productivity, and on the sustainability of their production process. To this 106 purpose, *Hibiscus* was chosen as test plant. The soluble hydrolysates were obtained, together with the 107 corresponding insoluble hydrolysate, by alkaline hydrolysis of two different fermented municipal 108 biowaste materials. The soluble and insoluble hydrolysates, and their sourcing materials, were 109 distributed at transplant as powder blended with the substrate, and/or solubilised into the irrigation 110 water and supplied through fertigation. A commercial product, claimed by the vendor to have 111 biostimulant properties, was also tested by substrate application. This product, under the trade of 112 NOVA@®GR, is produced and distributed by Biolchim Spa, Medicina (BO), Italy. The applied 113 products were evaluated for their effects on several plant performance indicators related to plant 114 biomass accumulation and quality, and to leaf gas exchange activity. Main goals of the experiment 115 were: i) evaluation of the different urban biowaste sourced materials and/or their mixtures; ii) 116 assessment of the products application methodology; iii) evaluation of the above urban biowaste 117 sourced materials with respect to a commercial biostimulant.

118 Materials and Methods

119 Location, plant material and growing conditions

The experiment was carried out in the spring-summer of 2014, under typical Mediterranean climate conditions, in a open-air experimental nursery located in Pescia (Tuscany, Italy; latitude 43.54 °N, longitude 10.42 °E) at the Landscaping Plants and Nursery Research Unit of the Italian Council for Agricultural Research and Economics.

Hibiscus seedlings (*Hibiscus moscheutos* L. subsp. *Hibiscus palustris*) were transplanted on 10th
 of April into four-L black pots (Ø 18 cm) containing a base substrate (peat 50% and pumice 50%,
 V/V) adjusted to pH 6.

127 Plants were left 30 days under shade net (40% of shading) for acclimatisation to external (outdoor) 128 conditions. Thirty days after transplant (T_1) , pots were moved to the experimental site and arranged 129 in a randomized-block experimental design, with three replicates per treatment (eight plants per 130 replicate). Pots were positioned at 0.40 x 0.60 m each other, obtaining a crop density of 4.2 plants m⁻ 131 ². Twenty days after T_1 (DAT₁), all plants were trimmed above the fourth true leaf to stimulate the 132 emission of lateral shoots as recommended by the standard cultivation technique in commercial 133 nursery production of Hibiscus palustris. The experiment was concluded at 110 DAT₁ with the 134 destructive analysis of plant material performed roughly one month before the beginning of plant 135 senescence (basing on local climate conditions).

Irrigation water was supplied through drip irrigation system (2 emitters per pot with a total flow rate of 7.5 L h⁻¹, on average), using a timer for trigging irrigation four times per day (on average, overall the cultivation period). Irrigation scheduling was adjusted weekly according to climate conditions and leaching fraction (the ratio between water drainage and water supply), which was calculated by measuring the volume of water drained out from a limited (three per block) number of pots. Irrigation was regulated to keep leaching fraction on a target value of roughly 10-15%. Irrigation water pH and electrical conductivity ranged 6.2-6.6 and 0.42-0.60 dS m⁻¹, respectively.

143 Prior to adding the biobased products under investigation, standard basic fertilization was applied 144 to the cultivation substrate, based on plant nutrient uptake, and taking into account irrigation water 145 characteristics and possible fertilizer losses due to leaching. However, the fertilization plan was 146 disposed with the aim of preventing any nutritional stress. Macro and micro-nutrients were in part supplied at the transplant date, through controlled release fertilizers blended with the substrate (3 kg 147 m⁻³ of Osmocote Pro® 3-4 months + 3 kg m⁻³ of Osmocote Pro® 5-6 month). An additional 148 fertigation, between the exponential growth and incipient flowering phases, (from 40 to 50 DAT₁) 149 150 was performed with water soluble salts for a total supply of 0.21 N, 0.28 P₂O₅ and 0.13 K₂O kg m⁻³ 151 of substrate.

152 Climate conditions were monitored continuously (5-minute basis) during the experiment by 153 recording radiation, relative humidity and temperature of the air through the on-site meteorological 154 station (Dacagon Device, Pullman, WA 99163 USA). Minimum, mean and maximum daily average 155 photosynthetic photon flux density values were 109.2, 568.3 and 750.5 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, respectively. 156 Mean daily global radiation averaged 21.7 MJ m⁻² d⁻¹. Minimum, mean and maximum daily average 157 of air temperature values were 11.6, 20.5 and 22.3 °C, respectively. Mean daily relative humidity of 158 air averaged 64.5 %.

159 Biobased materials under investigation

160 The soluble and insoluble hydrolysate products were produced and supplied by Studio Chiono 161 ed Associati in Rivarolo Canavese, Torino, Italy. They were obtained as previously reported by 162 Sortino et al. (2014). The pristine materials were the digestate recovered from the anaerobic 163 fermentation of the organic humid fraction of municipal solid waste from separate source collection, and the green compost obtained by 180 days aerobic fermentation of private gardening and public 164 165 park trimmings. The digestate and the compost materials were processed in a pilot production facility comprising a 500 litre capacity reactor. The solid material was treated under stirring with alkaline 166 water at 4 ml g⁻¹ liquid/solid and 0.02 w/w KOH/solid ratios at 60 °C for 4 h. The reaction mix was 167

168 allowed settling to separate the supernatant liquid containing the soluble hydrolysate fraction from 169 the solid insoluble hydrolysate residue. The latter residue was washed once with fresh water at 4 ml g^{-1} added water/insoluble hydrolysate ratio. The total collected liquid phase was centrifuged to 170 171 separate fine solid particles, and run through a 5 kDa cut off ultrafitration polysulphone membrane. 172 The membrane retentate containing the soluble hydrolysate, and the solid insoluble hydrolysate were 173 dried in ventilated oven at 60 °C. The final dried products were analyzed according to previously 174 reported methods (Montoneri et al., 2011) and yielded the analytical values reported in Table 1 for 175 the sourcing digestate (D) and green compost (GC) materials, and for the respective hydrolysates, i.e. 176 the soluble (SD) and insoluble (ID) digestate hydrolysates, and the soluble (SGC) and insoluble (IGC) 177 compost hydrolysates.

178 Treatments

Treatments were arranged based on the following criteria and/or objectives: i) to supply amounts of treatments' products per unit area or plant, which were negligible, compared with the applied doses of most biowaste sourced materials (such as compost), which are reported in literature (Dorais et al., 2007); ii) to supply amounts of macronutrients contributed by the treatments' products, which were negligible, compared with the normally applied amounts of chemical fertilizers; iii) to account for effects of treatments' products as a function of their formulation and distribution mode; iv) to study possible interactions between products obtained by different sourcing materials.

Two different types (factors of variability) of treatments were applied (Table 2): i) the substrate treatments (SUB) comprising 11 treatments and the control, and the fertigation (FERT) treatments comprising 2 treatments and the control. In the first case, the substrate at seedlings transplant was blended with the different materials, which are listed in Table 2. These are the commercial NOVA biostimulant, the green compost (GC), the insoluble compost hydrolysate (IGC), the soluble compost hydrolysate (SGC), the compost mixed with its soluble hydrolysate (GC/S), the insoluble compost hydrolysate mixed with the compost soluble hydrolysate (IGC/S), the digestate (D), the insoluble

193 digestate hydrolysate (ID), the soluble digestaste hydrolysate (SD), the digestate mixed with the 194 soluble digestate hydrolysate (D/S), the insoluble digestate hydrolysate mixed with the digestate 195 soluble hydrolysate (ID/S). In the fertigation experiments, only the soluble compost (SGC-F) and 196 digestate (SD-F) hydrolysates versus the untreated control were tested. In this case, the total dose of 197 each soluble hydrolysate, which is reported in Table 2, was delivered through the irrigation system in 198 four weekly irrigations, starting at 50 DAT₁. The NOVA product was not included in the fertigation 199 experiments, as no claim for this application mode was reported in the vendor's product description 200 (Biolchim, 2015). The day before treatments, irrigation was interrupted, which allowed a loss of water up to the same quantity of easily available water (roughly 400 ml pot⁻¹) in the pot volume. This 201 202 quantity was then recovered by supplying the same amount of water with the dispensed soluble 203 product solution. This expedient allowed preventing reduction of the applied dose per plant per week, 204 due to possible products' leaching.

Table 2 reports also dry matter, and organic matter (OM) and carbon (C) applied doses. These were calculated basing on previous studies carried out with similar products in tomato and green pepper cultivation trials (Sortino et al., 2013 and 2014). Based on the chemical composition of the applied products (Table 1), dry matter doses were arranged in order to apply the same amount of OM with each product. The only exception was the commercial NOVA product, which was inevitably applied at the dose suggested by the producer. The products' mixtures for treatment 6, 7, 11 and 12 (Table 2) were made at 4/1 OM w/w ratio of the respective ingredients.

The above experimental plan, containing the two SUB and FERT factors of variability (Table 2), allowed analysing 36 SUBxFERT combinations. In this fashion, three types of effects could be investigated: i) effects due to the nature of the applied product; ii) effects related to the product application mode; iii) effects from the possible interaction of the product nature and application mode. In addition to what reported in Table 2, six more treatments were also carried out. In this case, the control substrate and soluble hydrolysates in fertigation treatments were replicated but with a total supply of nutrients equal to roughly 1/3 the dose reported in Table 2. These treatments were excluded

from statistical analyses, except for the linear regression analysis of photosynthetic rate and leaf tissue characteristics. To this purpose, the additional treatments were necessary to have a wider range of values, especially below optimal growing conditions (i.e. limited nutrient availability).

222 Plant biomass analyses

223 Plant growth was monitored measuring leaf area index (LAI), by portable ceptometer 224 (AccuPAR LP-80, Decagon Devices Inc, Pullman WA 99163 USA), and plant height, twice per 225 month. Two destructive plant analyses, at 50 (just before the beginning of fertigation treatments) and 226 110 DAT_1 (at the end of the experiment) were performed to quantify the effect of treatments on 227 physiological and biometric parameters, biomass accumulation and partitioning, and tissue mineral 228 content of the plants. Before destructive analysis, SPAD index was measured through a portable 229 SPAD-502 (Konica Minolta Optics, 2970 Ishikawa-machi, Hachioji, Tokyo, Japan) on six healthy 230 leaves per plant, from the bottom to the top, and then averaging a total of 18 measurements per 231 replicate. Plant height, mean diameter (of the canopy projected to the soil) and number of flowers and stems were measured. Plan height (cm pt⁻¹) and mean diameter (cm pt⁻¹) were used to calculate plant 232 ellipsoid volume (cm³ pt⁻¹) and the plant shape index (as the plant height to diameter ratio). Afterward, 233 234 the plant material was separated into flowers, stems and leaves, and weighted to assess biomass fresh 235 weight. A portion (roughly 150 g) of leaves was used for measuring unit leaf area through a leaf area 236 meter (WinDIAS Image Analysis System, Delta-T Devices, U.K.). Unit leaf area was used to 237 calculate leaf area index (LAI, m² m⁻²). Plant fresh material was dried in a forced-air oven (80°C for 238 72 h) and weighed for assessing plant dry matter partitioning.

Leaf tissues were analyzed for the organic nitrogen content (N) by Kjeldhal method. Leaf dry matter (0.5 g) was weighted, put into pyrex tubes with a selenium catalyser (potassium sulphate 4.63 g, copper sulphate 0.28 g and selenium 0.09 g) and digested with 12 ml of phosphosulfuric acid at 370 °C for 40' using the VELP-K20 apparatus by VELP Scientifica, Usmate MB, Italy. At the end of the digestion, the samples were distilled using the VELP-UDK127 apparatus (VELP Scientifica, Usmate MB, Italy) after adding 40 ml of NaOH (40 % w/V). The distillate was collected in a conical
flask containing boric acid (4 % w/V) and bromocresol green methyl red colour indicator. Finally,
the content of N was determined by titration with 0.1 N HCl.

247 Leaf gas exchange measurements

Leaf gas exchange measurements were performed in two different periods, during the 248 249 experiment, at 45 DAT₁, just before the first destructive plant analysis, or 105 DAT₁, at the end of 250 the experiment. A portable gas analyzer (Portable Photosynthesis System Ciras-2, PPSystems, 251 Amesbury, MA 01913 USA) was used to perform onsite measurements during the morning (between 252 9.00 and 12.00 am). During measurements, to maintain comparable analytical conditions, the chamber was set at a constant value of light saturating photosynthesis (PPFD = 1000 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, 253 primarily determined through photosynthesis light-response curves), CO₂ (400 g m⁻³), vapour 254 255 pressure deficit (VPD = 1.0 ± 0.2 kPa) and temperature (27.5 ± 1 °C). The temperature value was the 256 average of climate data recorded with a datalogger, in the same daily period of measurements, over 257 the three days prior to measurement start up. Two mature and healthy leaves (second and fourth leaf, 258 completely unfolded above the apex of the main stem) were chosen for gas exchange analysis. For 259 these measurements, two plants per replicate were used. A total of twelve measurements per treatment 260 combination at each stage was carried out. This procedure provided acquisition of values for current net photosynthetic (carbon assimilation) rate (Pn, μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹), transpiration rate (E, mmol m⁻² s⁻¹) 261 and stomatal conductance (Gs, mmol $m^{-2} s^{-1}$). The net photosynthetic rate values were finally used 262 for the computation of water use efficiency (Pn E^{-1} , μ molCO₂ mmol⁻¹H₂O). 263

264 Statistics

Collected data were analysed by ANOVA two-ways variance analysis, in order to assess significant ($P \le 0.05$, 0.01 and 0.001) differences among treatments. Mean values were then separated by Duncan's multiple range test (P = 0.05). Data analysis included also multiple-variable analysis (correlation analysis), variables' relationships by linear regressions and principal component analysis (PCA). Statistics and graphics were supported by the programs Statgraphics Centurion XV (Stat
Point, Inc., Herndon, VA, USA) and Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, California USA).

271 **Results**

272 Chemical composition of biowaste and commercial products.

273 Table 1 reports the chemical composition of the municipal biowaste and the commercial 274 products tested for their effects in the cultivation of *Hibiscus*. All contain organic and mineral matter. 275 NOVA is clearly distinguished for the highest content of OM, the relatively lowest organic C and 276 highest N contents. Indeed, the NOVA OM, C/N and OM/(C+N) values are 73.8 %, 4.5 and 2.6, 277 respectively, while the values for the biowaste products range from 50.7 to 66.4 % OM, from 6 to 278 22.1 C/N and from 1.4 to 1.8 OM/(C+N). These features reflect the different nature of the products' 279 sources. In essence, the municipal biowaste products are derived from mixtures of unsorted kitchen 280 wastes and gardening residues, pre-treated by anaerobic and/or aerobic fermentation followed by 281 chemical hydrolysis. The commercial NOVA product (Biolchim, 2015) is described by the vendor as 282 a proprietary mix of plant extract rich in fulvic acids, humic acids, amino acids and glycine betaine 283 as biostimulants. Also, the biowaste products present differences, one from the other. Compared with the pristine digestate (D) and compost (GC) materials, and with the insoluble digestate (ID) and 284 285 compost (IGC) hydrolysates, the soluble digestate (SD) and compost (SGC) hydrolysates have the 286 highest content of organic matter, C and N. Compared with the soluble digestate hydrolysate (SD), 287 the soluble compost hydrolysate (SGC) has higher content of all mineral elements, except for P and 288 N, which are higher in the soluble digestate hydrolysate (SD). It is also worthwhile to observe that, 289 compared with all other products in Table 1, the insoluble compost hydrolysate (IGC) has the higest 290 content of Si and Fe, followed by the pristine compost (GC) in the order of decreasing Si and Fe.

291 Biomass accumulation and biometric parameters

292 Data collected during the first destructive analysis, carried out in the middle of the experimental 293 period, before the initiation of fertigation treatments (50 DAT₁), did not show any significant effect 294 on biomass accumulation and other biometric parameters by the substrate treatments (data not 295 shown). On the contrary, the data (Table 3) collected by destructive analysis, performed at the end of 296 the experiment (110 DAT₁), demonstrated significant effects on dry biomass accumulation and leaf 297 area index (LAI). Table 3 shows that all substrate treatments, except treatment 7 by the mix of 298 insoluble and soluble compost hydrolysates, increased significantly the leaf dry weight (DW), 299 compared with the control no treatment 1. Significant increases in leaf DW were also observed by 300 the fertigation treatments 14 (SGC-F) and 15 (SD-F), compared with the control plants. Similar 301 results were found for LAI (Table 3). A significant positive correlation between LAI and leaf DW (R 302 = 0.90 and P < 0.001, data not shown) was found. In particular, in the fertigation treatments 14 303 (SGC-F) and 15 (SD-F), the soluble compost and soluble digestate hydrolysates, respectively, caused 304 30.2 % higher LAI, compared with the control plants in the no treatment 13 (NO-F).

305 The stem and flower DW, and the total shoot DW (Table 3) showed higher selectivity in 306 establishing the ranking order of the treatments effects. Shoot DW correlated better with stem and 307 flower DW (R = 0.99, P < 0.001) than with the leaf DW (R = 0.92, P < 0.001). In the substrate 308 treatments, the highest increase (25-26 %) was caused by the soluble digestate hydrolysate (SD) 309 treatment 10 only, compared with the control no treatment 1. For the stem and flower DW, the other 310 treatments did not appear to cause significantly different effects, compared with the control no 311 treatment 1. For the total shoot DW, only the commercial NOVA, and the insoluble (ID) and soluble 312 (SD) digestate hydrolysates caused significant increase, compared with the control no treatment 1. In 313 the fertigation treatments 14 (SGC-F) and 15 (SD-F) by the soluble compost and soluble digestate 314 hydrolysates caused significant 24.2 % stem and flower DW, and 22.4 % total shoot DW increase, 315 compared with the control no treatment 13 (NO-F).

Unlike the significant differences found in DW accumulation, the different treatments did not affect at all neither biomass partitioning nor the percentage of DW in plant tissues (data not shown). The latter was 17.2 % for flowers, 27.3 % for leaves, and 29.8 % for stems, calculated as the average of all treatment combinations.

Neither the substrate nor the fertigation treatments influenced significantly the main biometric parameters, which were measured during the first destructive analysis (50 DAT₁). In the substrate treatments, the only statistically significant proven effect was the plant volume increase, which was caused by the commercial NOVA product in treatment 2 at the end of the cultivation cycle (Table 3). On the other hand, the soluble compost and soluble digestate hydrolysates, which were supplied through fertigation treatments 14 (SGC-F) and 15 (SD-F), increased significantly plant height and volume (Table 3), compared with the control no treatment 13 (NO-F).

Table 3 shows also that the plant shape index was reduced by the fertigation treatments 14 (SGC-F) and 15 (SD-F), performed with the soluble compost and soluble digestate hydrolysates, compared with the control no treatment 13 (NO-F). Indeed, the control plants showed larger and shorter vegetative habitus, compared with the plants treated by fertigation.

331 Leaf analysis and gas exchange activity

332 The experimental data collected for leaf chlorophyll and N content, and for leaf gas exchange 333 activity are reported in Table 4 and 5, respectively. Chlorophyll (represented in this work by the 334 SPAD index) and N content in leaf samples, did not show significant differences among all treatments 335 in the first destructive plant analysis at 50 DAT₁. However, significant differences were observed 336 later during the last period of analyses (Table 4). In the substrate treatments, the insoluble compost 337 hydrolysate (IGC) treatment 4, the mix of the insoluble soluble hydrolysate (ID/S), and the soluble 338 compost hydrolysate (SGC) treatments 12 and 5, respectively, caused the highest SPAD value 339 compared with the control no treatment 1. For leaf N, only the insoluble compost hydrolysate (IGC) 340 treatment 4, the mix of the insoluble and soluble digestate hydrolysates (ID/S), and the commercial

341 NOVA product treatments 12 and 2, respectively, were significantly higher than the control no 342 treatment 1. In the fertigation treatments, the soluble compost hydrolysate treatment 14 (SGC-F) gave the highest SPAD value. This was 4.4 % and 10.8 % higher than the respective values, which were 343 344 recorded for the soluble digestate hydrolysate treatment 15 (SD-F) and the control no treatment 13. For leaf N, no fertigation treatment gave significantly different values from the value that was 345 346 recorded for the control no treatment 13 (NO-F). The data in Table 4 also show that an interaction 347 was found between the main experimental factors for chlorophyll SPAD index; such an interaction 348 was due to the significantly higher response of the soluble compost (treatment SGC-F) and the soluble 349 digestate (treatment SD-F) hydrolysates, when combined with the insoluble digestate hydrolysate 350 (ID). A heterogeneous response was observed for the other combinations (data not shown).

Leaf N concentration was highly correlated with SPAD (R = 0.85, P < 0.001) while a poor, although significant, correlation was found with leaf DW (R = 0.46, P = 0.002) and total shoot DW (R = 0.59, P < 0.001) as can be also deduced by Fig. 1. The relationship between SPAD and N was significantly (P < 0.001) represented by a linear equation (SPAD = 13.09N + 0.72) with a determination coefficient explaining 73 % of the experimental variability (Fig. 1).

356 Gas exchange activity measured at 45 DAT₁ did not show any significant difference among 357 treatments for the measured photosynthetic rate (Pn), stomatal conductance (Gs), and 358 evapotranspiration (E). However, the measurements at 105 DAT₁ (Table 5) showed significant 359 treatment effects. Substrate treatments caused significant increases of the photosynthetic activity, compared with the control no treatment 1. Photosynthetic rate of treated plant averaged 20.4 µmol m⁻ 360 2 s⁻¹, compared to 17.4 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ for untreated plants. The compost (GC) treatment 3 gave the 361 362 highest 24.3 % Pn increase, compared with the control no treatment 1. In the fertigation treatments, 363 the soluble compost and soluble digestate treatments 14 (SGC-F) and 15 (SD-F) gave significantly 364 higher average 22.7 % Pn increase, compared with the control no treatment 13 (NO-F). A 365 heterogeneous plant response to the different treatment combinations was highlighted by a significant 366 interaction of the main experimental factors. In this case, the general tendency of soluble compost 367 and soluble digestate fertigation treatments 14 (SGC-F) and 15 (SD-F) to increase Pn was 368 significantly more pronounced for the following combinations: treatments 1x14, 5x14, 8x14, 11x14 369 and 9x15 (see Table 2 for combinations) with respect to untreated plants (data not shown). These 370 results were generally denoting that: i) soluble compost had a major effect on Pn with respect to 371 soluble digestate; ii) combinations of products (between substrate and fertigation treatments) derived 372 from the same organic matrixes had major effects respect to their mixtures. The collected data showed 373 a strong correlation between Pn and SPAD (R = 0.87, P < 0.001) as confirmed by data analysis 374 reported in Fig. 1, and a poorer although significant correlation with total shoot DW accumulated at 375 the end of the cultivation cycle (R = 0.65, P < 0.001).

376 Stomatal conductance (Table 5) was significantly increased, by 45 % on average, only by the 377 insoluble compost hydrolysate (IGC) and the GC treatments 4 and 3, respectively, compared with the 378 control no treatment 1. In the fertigation treatments, the soluble compost hydrolysate treatment 14 379 (SGC-F) increased Gs by 25.7 % and 33.5 %, compared with the soluble digestate hydrolysate 380 treatment 15 (SD-F) and to the control no treatment 13 (NO-F), respectively. A roughly similar plant 381 response to the different treatments was observed for the evapotranspiration rate (E) reported in Table 382 7. In the fertigation treatments, the soluble compost hydrolysate treatment 14 (SGC-F) increased the evapotranspiration rate by 28.2 and 44.4 %, compared with the soluble digestate hydrolysate 383 384 treatment 15 (SD-F) and the control no treatment 13 (NO-F), respectively. The evapotranspiration 385 rate and the stomatal conductance were found significantly correlated (R = 0.61, P < 0.001; data not 386 shown).

Finally, in the substrate treatments, the crop water use efficiency values (Table 5) showed no significant difference among most of treatments. The only exception was treatment 12 by the mix of the insoluble and soluble digestate hydrolysates (ID/S), which caused a 27.1 % significantly higher water use efficiency value, compared with the control no treatment 1. In the fertigation treatments, the soluble digestate hydrolysate (SD) treatment 15 (SD-F) caused 16.9 % significantly higher water use efficiency value compared with the control no treatment 13 (NO-F). On the contrary, the soluble compost hydrolysate treatment 14 (SGC-F) caused 20.1 % significant decrease of water use
efficiency, compared with the control no treatment 13 (NO-F).

395 Treatments' ranking order and principal component analysis

396 Summarizing the data reported in Table 3, 4 and 5, it may be observed that, for substrate 397 treatments, the biowaste sourced products rank significantly first in most cases. Exceptions are the 398 plant volume, for which the commercial NOVA biostimulant ranks first, and the plant height and 399 shape index, which showed no treatment effects. The soluble (SD) and/or insoluble (ID) digestate 400 hydrolysates rank significantly first for their effects on four indicators connected to plant biomass 401 accumulation and biometric parameters (Table 3). These are the leaf, stem and flowers, and total 402 shoot DW, and LAI. The insoluble compost hydrolysate (IGC) and/or the compost (GC) rank 403 significantly first for the effects connected to the Pn, leaf N and SPAD index (Table 4 and 5). The 404 ranking order of the fertigation treatments summarizes the results described in the above subsections. 405 In essence, the soluble digestate and compost hydrolysates treatments 14 (SGC-F) and 15 (SD-F), 406 compared with the control no treatment 13 (NO-F), showed significant effects on all indicators. The 407 only exception was the leaf N content, for which no significant difference occurred among treatments 408 14 and 15, and the no treatment 13 (Table 4). Treatment 15 was better than treatment 14, for the effect 409 on plant height and volume. On the contrary, for the effects on Gs, E and SPAD index, the soluble 410 compost hydrolysate in treatment 14 (SGC-F) performed better than the soluble digestate hydrolysate 411 in treatment 15 (SD-F).

The above-summarised results were used as a base for the interpretation of relationships among treatments, between treatments and nature of the pristine materials, and between treatments and investigated variables. To this end, principal component analysis (PCA) was performed by using the investigated parameters to summarise main differences among treatments and sourcing materials under investigation (Fig. 1). As reported in Fig. 1, the first principal component 1 (PC1) separates treated and untreated plants. Such a separation is more marked for fertigation treatments that are 418 grouped in three main areas related to: i) no treatment 13 (100 % of its combinations); ii) soluble green compost hydrolysate treatment 14 (92 % of its combinations); iii) soluble digestate hydrolysate 419 420 treatment 15 (83 % of its combinations). The latter two treatments were then separated by the second 421 principal component 2 (PC2). According to the loadings (Fig. 1), treatment combinations with soluble 422 green compost hydrolysate (treatment 14) were more correlated with ecophysiological (Pn, E and Gs) 423 parameters and tissue characteristics (leaf N content and SPAD) than combinations treatments 424 obtained by soluble digestate treatment 15. However, by PC1 it was clear that both treatments were, 425 in general, more correlated with biomass accumulation, plant height and volume, SPAD, leaf N 426 content, and photosynthesis than untreated plants (no treatment 13). Within the three main clusters, 427 treatment combinations with NOVA and hydrolysate products were more correlated with the above 428 parameters than untreated plants (control treatment 1), which performed at the lowest level. More in 429 details, hydrolysates products (treatment 4, 5, 9 and 10) were, on average, more correlated with the 430 above parameters than pristine materials (treatment 3 and 8) and/or mixed treatments (treatment 6, 7, 431 11 and 12) that instead gave heterogeneous responses.

432 **Discussion**

433 To avoid any nutritional plant stress, the crop received an optimal amount of nutrients by standard 434 chemical fertilization (see Materials and Methods, growing conditions section). The applied products 435 under investigation (Table 1) contained a quantity of potential plant nutrients (for instance roughly 436 2-6 % N on dry matter basis) that varied, as a function of product chemical nature. As well known, 437 any increase in nutrient supply, above an optimal threshold, does not produce any significant effect 438 on plant yield and dry biomass accumulation (Massa et al., 2009; Reid 2002; Silberbush et al., 2005). 439 Therefore, the amounts of potential additional nutrients, which were contributed by the added 440 experimental biowaste and commercial NOVA products (Table 1 and 2), were deemed negligible (2-5 %) compared with the amounts of nutrients, which were available to the crop by the standard 441 442 chemical fertilization. This consideration appears highly relevant for the following discussion.

443 The data reported in Table 3-7 show that the plant response to the applied treatments was 444 generally positive, showing higher accumulation of fresh (data not shown) and dry biomass and 445 photosynthetic activity, compared with untreated plants. Considering the relatively low amount 446 (Table 2) of products blended with the substrate (in the substrate treatments), and/or delivered through 447 the fertigation system (in the fertigation treatments), the increase in most of the measured plant 448 parameters (Tables 3-5) was remarkable. In fact, the total quantity of products used was in the order 449 of 5-15 % of the minimum dose for common organic fertilizers and/or generic amendments, which 450 are normally applied in agriculture (Dorais, 2007).

451 In recent on-field red pepper and tomato cultivation trials, Sortino et al. (2013 and 2014) found that the application of 700 kg ha⁻¹ of urban biowastes' hydrolysates did not alter significantly the soil 452 chemical composition. Yet, plant photosyntethic activity, growth, and productivity were enhanced 453 454 significantly by the added soluble hydrolysates. The authors concluded that the tested products 455 enhanced the plant photosyntethic activity and that, in turn, the increase of photosynthetic activity 456 was the main factor increasing plant growth and productivity. More recently (Fascella et al., 2015), 457 this hypothesis was confirmed by applying the same soluble hydrolysates for the cultivation of 458 Euphorbia in pots. The data collected on *Hibiscus* seem to support the role of the tested products as 459 promoters of the plant photosynthetic activity (Table 5). In relation to these previous works, and to 460 the present work, there are two key issues deserving further discussion. These are (i) the relationship 461 between leaf chemical features, gas exchange activity, and biomass accumulation, and (ii) the 462 relationship of the observed effects with the applied products chemical nature and/or composition.

Several authors (Bulgari et al., 2015; Calvo et al., 2014; du Jardin, 2015; Ertani et al., 2013a) have associated the increase in dry biomass of agricultural crops, treated with products derived from organic matrixes, to the presence of organic molecules that stimulate plants. More generally, biostimulant substances augment biomass accumulation and yield, improve nutrient uptake and enhance metabolic functions. Also, many biostimulant organic substances, such as algae and/or seaweed extract, soil and water humic and fulvic acid, have been found to improve photosynthetic 469 capacity in several different plant species, which are grown either under optimal conditions (Castro 470 et al., 2012; Jannin et al., 2012) or in presence of abiotic stress (Anjum et al., 2011; Ertani et al., 471 2013b). Humic-like substances, obtained from different organic wastes, fall in this category, either 472 for their chemical nature or for their biostimulant activity (Eyheraguibel et al., 2008; Morard et al., 2011). The biowaste derived products tested in this work belong to the category of "complex organic 473 474 material" (du Jardin, 2015) and bear structural similarities with natural humic substances (Montoneri 475 et al., 2011). They are rich in molecules, which are typically found in products claimed to have 476 biostimulant activity (e.g. Biolchim, 2015).

477 For the indicators connected to Hibiscus biomass accumulation in the substrate treatments, 478 the products' ranking in order of decreasing effect changes, depends on the plant parameter and organ 479 (Table 3). By comparison, in the fertigation treatments, the soluble products (SGC-F and SD-F) 480 improved most of the above indicators, compared with the control (NO-F). However, it should be 481 highlighted that fertigation treatments practically received a quantity of products higher than substrate 482 treatments (Table 2), which could raise the hypothesis of an additive and/or dose response effect of 483 the tested products as observed for example by Sortino et al. (2013) with soluble hydrolysates. As 484 matter of fact, plant response to fertigation treatments was more pronounced and defined than for 485 substrate treatments (Tables 3-5 and Fig. 1).

486 Attempts to correlate the observed effect ranking order with the products' chemical 487 characteristics (Table 1) did not allow assessing definite clear product-properties relationships. It is true that the investigated products differ for the concentration of macro- and micro-nutrients. 488 489 However, when the products are supplied to the plants at the doses reported in Table 2, the mineral 490 composition differences are likely to be levelled out by the higher relatively amount of nutrients 491 supplied by the conventional chemical fertilizers. It was worth to determine the amount of beneficial 492 elements such as Se and Si among the oligoelements in the texted products. Selenium can have a 493 growth-promoting effect for many plant species (Pilon-Smits et al., 2009), for example by increasing 494 N reductase activity (Nowak et al., 2004). It naturally occurs as trace element in most soils, at typical

levels below 1 mg kg⁻¹ (Pilon-Smits et al., 2009). Selenium in the investigated products (Table 1) has been found slightly above this level, only in the soluble digestate hydrolysate (SD) and the commercial product (NOVA). However, neither any significant variation of Se nor correlation with N content was found in leaf tissues (data not shown). These findings did not help to explain the products' performance ranking order and were in agreement with previous works (Hawrylak-Nowak et al., 2015; Rios et al., 2013).

501 Leaf N correlated (Fig. 1) well with SPAD, but less well with Pn, and biomass accumulation 502 parameters. Weak correlations between Pn and leaf N were observed by other authors (e.g. Kenzo et 503 al., 2015). In the present experiment, it is likely that the optimal nutrient availability in the root 504 allowed plants taking up the needed quantity of nutrients to support the higher growth rate induced 505 in treated plants, without affecting leaf N content (Table 4). The high correlation between Pn and 506 SPAD, as observed in the present work (Fig. 1), is usual. Very often, the increase in Pn, in 507 biostimulated plants, is coupled to higher chlorophyll and nutrient content in plant tissues (Bulgari et 508 al., 2014; Calvo et al., 2014). To this purpose, most of literature is based on the study of extensive 509 crops, cultivated on soil and treated through foliar application (e.g. Anjum er al. 2011; Jannin et al., 510 2013). By comparison, very little is known on potted ornamental plants cultivated in substrate and 511 treated directly in the root zone.

The positive influence of tested products on chlorophyll content and Pn (Table 4 and 5) is in agreement with previous works conducted on plant biostimulation obtained with various substances and products (e.g. Amanda et al., 2009; Anjum er al. 2012; Ertani et al., 2013b; Fascella et al., 2015; Jannin et al., 2013). Furthermore, the relationship between SPAD index and leaf colour (e.g. Papasavvas et al., 2008; Shibayama et al., 2012) helps to assess a relevant commercial parameter for ornamental plants such as leaf greenness. To this purpose, Loh et al. (2002) proposed SPAD meter as effective tool for the evaluation of plant quality in ornamental crops.

519 The data collected on tissue characteristics and leaf gas exchanges (Table 4 and 5) support the 520 intriguing role of the biowaste derived products as photosensitizers (Bianco Prevot et al., 2011, and 521 Gomis et al., 2014), promoters of photosynthetic activity, in agreement with Sortino et al. (2013 and 522 2014) and Fascella et al. (2015). This hypothesis is consistently with similar findings for humic acids 523 (Bulgari et al., 2015; Calvo et al., 2014), bearing structural similarities with the above biowaste 524 product (Montoneri et al., 2011).

525 The compost (GC) and the insoluble compost hydrolysate (IGC) rank first for the effects on 526 Pn in the substrate treatments (Table 5). These data were consistent with PCA shown in Fig. 1. Table 527 1 shows that these products contain the highest amounts of Fe and Si, compared with all other tested 528 products. The contribution of the compost (GC) and insoluble compost hydrolysate (IGC) to the total 529 amount of Fe and Si was roughly 64 % and 177 %, respectively, higher than the average value 530 contributed by the other applied products listed in Table 1. Both Si (Houben et al., 2013) and Fe 531 (Miller et al., 1995) are known to have important role in photosynthesis. Gomis et al. (2014) have 532 proposed that Fe in the soluble compost hydrolysate is responsible of the photosensitizing properties 533 of this material, which were demonstrated for the remediation of chemical industrial waste waters 534 containing organic pollutants. Other works (du Jardin, 2015; Li et al., 2015; Pilon-Smits et al 2009) 535 report that Si is a beneficial element for plants, inasmuch as it plays a key role against several biotic 536 and abiotic stress, and promotes plant growth and development, chlorophyll concentration and 537 photosynthetic activity. The data collected in the present work seem consistent with the above 538 literature findings. However, considering all treatments, a definite correlation of photosynthetic 539 activity and/or SPAD index vs. the amounts of applied Si and Fe amounts could not be established. 540 Very likely, the effects of the above mineral elements do not rely to the applied amounts, but depend 541 also on the nature and solubility of the organic matter to which they are bonded.

The confirmation that the biowaste-derived products, which were tested in the present work, promote plant photosynthetic activity is certainly relevant. Nevertheless, under the adopted experimental conditions, many other factors could contribute to the observed plant growth and development. The weak, although significant, correlation found between Pn and the total shoot DW (R = 0.65, P < 0.001; see also Fig. 1) leads to suppose that not all applied products in the present work 547 were able to induce a real improved efficiency in the conversion of carbon assimilates in plant 548 biomass. As matter of fact, only the soluble (SD) and insoluble (ID) digestate hydrolysates, and the 549 commercial NOVA biostimulant, in the substrate treatments, and the soluble compost (SGC) and 550 soluble digestate (SD), in fertigation treatments, caused a significant higher accumulation in total 551 shoot DW (Table 3). This could also imply likely further positive effects by the above mentioned 552 treatments on different aspects of plant primary and secondary metabolism, other than photosynthesis. 553 For example, other complex organic materials have been found improving nutrient uptake (e.g. Ertani 554 et al., 2013b; Morard et al., 2011) and other metabolic processes (du Jardin, 2015; Ertani et al., 555 2013a). On the other hand, a high carbon intake does not necessarily results in high long-term carbon 556 storage. Indeed, not all assimilated CO₂ is converted in structural biomass due to different respiration 557 efficiency during dark hours and carbon allocation to the secondary metabolism, as for example 558 related to volatile organic compounds (Herms and Mattson 1992). However, the use of products 559 stimulating photosynthetic activity appears of great interest to ornamental cultivation for boosting 560 high yield and quality, and for improving the efficiency of the production process. Photosynthesis is 561 a process very sensitive to biotic and abiotic stresses (Ashraf and Harris, 2013). The application of 562 the tested products could be a practice for overcoming and/or containing moderate stressful 563 conditions, which affect photosynthesis (e.g. Flexas et al., 2004; Lieth and Pasian 1991; Yamori et 564 al., 2005), with positive effects on production sustainability and market competition (e.g. shorter 565 cultivation cycle).

In the present work, together with the highest enhancement of Pn, the compost-derived products caused the highest E and Gs values compared to untreated plants, thus decreasing crop water use efficiency (Tables 5). Digestate-derived products resulted more efficient in enhancing the crop water use efficiency. Generally speaking, the supply of water can not be a limiting factor in container-grown plants. This is especially the case of ornamentals where the aesthetic value of the plant is the fundamental market parameter. However, water use efficiency must be highly considered in agriculture for its environmental implications. 573 The collected data allow drawing some conclusions on some important issues, which have 574 both economic and environmental relevance. These are related not only to agriculture, but also to 575 urban biowastes processes and product development. One main issue is the value of the biowaste 576 hydrolysates, compared with their sourcing materials. The data reported in Tables 3-5 and Fig. 1 577 indicate that the soluble and/or insoluble hydrolysates appear more efficient than the sourcing 578 digestate or compost materials. Undoubtedly, the most remarkable and defined effects were obtained 579 with soluble hydrolysates applied by fertigation (Fig. 1); this effect was also due to a likely higher 580 bioavailability of biostimulant substances. As matter of fact, PCA (Fig. 1) highlighted the presence 581 of three main clusters, related to fertigation treatments; treated plants were more correlated with 582 biomass accumulation, plant height and volume, SPAD, leaf N content, and photosynthesis than 583 control treatment 13. Within these macro-clusters, hydrolysate products were more correlated with 584 the above parameters than untreated plants and/or plants treated only with the pristine materials. The 585 evidence that both the soluble and insoluble hydrolysates are valuable products, more than their 586 sourcing biowaste materials, offers positive prospects for their production process.

587 A further issue addressed by the present work is how general the effects of the 588 biowaste-derived products are for different plant species (ornamentals), considering previous 589 experiments reported on other plant species with the same or similar fermented biowastes and 590 hydrolysates. Fascella et al. (2015) compared the same soluble digestate hydrolysates (SD) with the 591 soluble hydrolysate obtained from a composted mix of the above digestate, and urban gardening 592 wastes and sewage sludge. They showed that, in the case of Euphorbia cultivation, the soluble 593 hydrolysate obtained from the compost was more efficient than the soluble digestate hydrolysate 594 (SD). Sortino et al. (2013 and 2014) compared the same above soluble digestate (SD) and soluble 595 compost (SGC) hydrolysates for greenhouse tomato cultivation. They found that the soluble compost 596 hydrolysate (SGC) as well or better than the soluble digestate hydrolysate (SD). Rovero et al. (2015) 597 compared the composted mix of the above digestate, and urban gardening wastes and sewage sludge, 598 and its soluble and insoluble hydrolysates, in maize cultivation trials performed in open field. No 599 added benefits were shown from the use of the soluble and insoluble compost hydrolysates, compared 600 with the source compost. The results of the above reported studies, and the results of the present work, 601 demonstrate that product performance depends much on the cultivated plant and on the boundary 602 (environmental) conditions. However, different products may be obtained from a variety of different municipal biowastes sourced from different locations and pre-treated by anaerobic and aerobic 603 604 fermentation (Rosso et al., 2015). This offers the prospect to obtain a wide variety of products, which 605 can be used *ad hoc* for different cultivations. It seems therefore that the results and conclusions of the 606 present work offer worthwhile scope for further research aiming to develop biowaste-sourced 607 products tailored for specific plants' studies and cultivation. To this purpose, it should be underlined 608 that many commercial biostimulant products offer scarce and often insufficient and or vague 609 information on product dosage and distribution. One of the reasons for selecting the NOVA product, 610 as reference commercial product in the present work, was the detailed information reported by the 611 producer about the dosage for substrate cultivations. The comparative data reported in this work, for 612 the biowaste-sourced products and for the commercial NOVA biostimulant, prove that the 613 biowaste-derived products have great potential in intensive agriculture.

614 Conclusions

615 The results obtained in the present work assess the effect of biowaste-sourced products on 616 container-grown Hibiscus. All treatments have been found to enhance most of the investigated plant 617 parameters, at different degree and depending on their nature, compared to untreated plants. The most 618 valuable effects were observed on biomass accumulation, relative growth rate, net assimilation rate, 619 SPAD index and gas exchange activity. The comparison of the above biowaste-sourced products with 620 the commercial NOVA biostimulant demonstrates that the biowaste-sourced products have a 621 commercially exploitable potential. The comparison of the results obtained in the present work, with those previously reported on the performance of similar products in the cultivation of other 622 623 ornamental and food plants, shows that a wide variety of biowaste-sourced products is potentially

624 obtainable, which may be targeted to the cultivation of different plant species. This work offers scope 625 for further worthwhile investigation on other plant species, using other different biowastes, in order 626 to assess the full potential of municipal biowaste as source of added value products for use in 627 agriculture. This would also involve a better understanding of the biomolecular mechanisms ruling 628 the effects of these products.

629 Acknowledgements

This work was carried out partly with funds from the Italian Ministry of Agriculture as part of
the "Agrienergia" project. The authors are grateful to Dr. A. Di Nardo, Dr. L. Incrocci and Dr. B.
Nesi for their manuscript revision and comments.

633 **References**

- Amanda, A., Ferrante, A., Valagussa, M., Piaggesi, A., 2009. Effect of biostimulants on quality of
 baby leaf lettuce grown under plastic tunnel. Acta. Hortic. 807, 407-412.
- Anjum, S.A., Wang, L., Farooq, M., Xue, L., Ali, S., 2011. Fulvic acid application improves the
- maize performance under well-watered and drought conditions. J. Agron. Crop Sci. 197, 409-417.
- 638 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-037X.2011.00483.x.
- Ashraf, M., Harris, P.J.C., 2013. Photosynthesis under stressful environments: An overview.
 Photosynthetica 51, 163-190. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11099-013-0021-6.
- 641 Bianco Prevot, A., Avetta, P., Fabbri, D., Laurenti, E., Marchis, T., Perrone, D.G., Montoneri, E.,
- Boffa, V., 2011. Waste-derived bioorganic substances for light-induced generation of reactive
- 643 oxygenated species. ChemSusChem 4, 85-90. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201000237.
- Biolchim, 2015. NOVA@®GR Granular biostimulant based on plant extracts,
 http://www.biolchim.it/index.php?lang=en&page=products/biostimulants/novagr_granular_bios
 timulant based on plant extracts.html (last access 1st September 2015).
- Bulgari, R., Cocetta, G., Trivellini, A., Vernieri, P., Ferrante, A., 2015. Biostimulants and crop
 responses: a review. Biol. Agric. Hortic. 31, 1-17.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01448765.2014.964649.
- Calvo, P., Nelson, L., Kloepper, J.W., 2014. Agricultural uses of plant biostimulants. Plant Soil 383,
 3-41.

- Castro, J., Vera, J., González, A., Moenne, A., 2012. Oligo-carrageenans stimulate growth by
 enhancing photosynthesis, basal metabolism, and cell cycle in tobacco plants (var. Burley). J Plant
 Growth. Regul. 31, 173-185. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00344-011-9229-5.
- Dorais, M., 2007. Organic production of vegetables: State of the art and challenges. Can. J. Plant Sci.
 87, 1055-1066.
- du Jardin, P., 2015. Plant biostimulants: Definition, concept, main categories and regulation. Sci.
 Hortic. 196, 3-14. http://dx.doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2015.09.021.
- Ertani, A., Nardi, S., Altissimo, A., 2013a. Review: Long-term research activity on the biostimulant
 properties of natural origin compounds. Acta Hortic. 1009, 181-188.
- Ertani, A., Schiavon, M., Muscolo, A., Nardi, S., 2013b. Alfalfa plant-derived biostimulant stimulate
 short-term growth of salt stressed Zea mays L. plants. Plant Soil 364, 145-158.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11104-012-1335-z.
- Eyheraguibel, B., Silvestre, J., Morard, P., 2008. Effects of humic substances derived from organic
 waste enhancement on the growth and mineral nutrition of maize. Bioresour. Technol. 99, 4206-
- 666 4212. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.08.082.
- Fascella, G., Montoneri, E., Ginepro, M., Francavilla, M., 2015. Effect of urban biowaste derived
 soluble substances on growth, photosynthesis and ornamental value of Euphorbia x lomi. Sci.
 Hortic. 197, 90-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2015.10.042.
- Flexas, J., Bota, J., Loreto, F., Cornic, G., Sharkey, T.D., 2004. Diffusive and metabolic limitations
 to photosynthesis under drought and salinity in C3 plants. Plant Biol. 6, 269-279.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-2004-820867.
- Gardner, F.P., Pearce, R.B., Mitchell, R.L., 1985. Physiology of crop plants, 1st ed. Iowa State
 University Press, Ames.
- 675 Gomis, J., Bianco Prevot, A., Montoneri, E., GonzÃ; lez, M.C., Amat, A.M., MÃ; rtire, D.O., Arques,
- 676 A., Carlos, L., 2014. Waste sourced bio-based substances for solar-driven wastewater

- 677 remediation: Photodegradation of emerging pollutants. Chem. Eng. J. 235, 236-243.
 678 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2013.09.009.
- Hawrylak-Nowak, B., Matraszek, R., Pogorzelec, M., 2015. The dual effects of two inorganic
 selenium forms on the growth, selected physiological parameters and macronutrients
 accumulation in cucumber plants. Acta Physiol. Plant. 37. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11738-015-
- 6821788-9.
- Herms, D.A., Mattson, W.J., 1992. The Dilemma of Plants: To Grow or Defend. Q. Rev. Biol. 67,
 283-335. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2830650.
- Houben, D., Sonnet, P., Cornelis, J.T., 2014. Biochar from Miscanthus: A potential silicon fertilizer.
 Plant Soil 374, 871-882. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11104-013-1885-8.
- 687 Jannin, L., Arkoun, M., Ourry, A., Laîné, P., Goux, D., Garnica, M., Fuentes, M., Francisco, S.S.,
- Baigorri, R., Cruz, F., Houdusse, F., Garcia-Mina, J.M., Yvin, J.C., Etienne, P., 2012. Microarray
 analysis of humic acid effects on *Brassica napus* growth: involvement of N, C and S metabolisms.
 Plant Soil 359, 297-319.
- 691 Kenzo, T., Inoue, Y., Yoshimura, M., Yamashita, M., Tanaka-Oda, A., Ichie, T., 2015. Height-related
- changes in leaf photosynthetic traits in diverse Bornean tropical rain forest trees. Oecologia 177,
 191-202. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00442-014-3126-0.
- Li, P., Song, A., Li, Z., Fan, F., Liang, Y., 2015. Silicon ameliorates manganese toxicity by regulating
 both physiological processes and expression of genes associated with photosynthesis in rice
 (Oryza sativa L.). Plant Soil. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11104-015-2626-y.
- Lieth, J.H., Pasian, C.C., 1991. A simulation model for the growth and development of flowering rose
 shoots. Sci. Hortic. 46, 109-128.
- 699 Loh, F.C.W., Grabosky, J.C., Bassuk, N.L., 2002. Using the SPAD 502 meter to assess chlorophyll
- and nitrogen content of benjamin fig and cottonwood leaves. Horttechnology 12, 682-686.

- Massa, D., Mattson, N.S., Lieth, H.J., 2009. Effects of saline root environment (NaCl) on nitrate and
 potassium uptake kinetics for rose plants: a Michaelis-Menten modelling approach. Plant Soil
 318, 101-115. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11104-008-9821-z.
- Miller, G.W., Huang, I.J., Welkie, G.W., Pusnik, J.C., 1995. Function of iron in plants with special
 emphasis on chloroplast and photosynthetic activity, In: Abadia, J. (Ed.), Iron Nutrition in Soils
 and Plants. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordecht, pp. 19-28.
- Montoneri, C., Montoneri, E., Tomasso, L., Piva, A. 2013. Compost derived substances decrease feed
 protein N mineralization in swine cecal fermentation. J Agric Sci 13, 31-44.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/jas.v5n3p
- 710 Montoneri, E., Mainero, D., Boffa, V., Perrone, D.G., Montoneri, C., 2011. Biochemenergy: A
- 711 project to turn an urban wastes treatment plant into biorefinery for the production of energy,
- chemicals and consumer's products with friendly environmental impact. Int. J. Global Environ.
- 713 Issues 11, 170-196. http://dx.doi.org/10.1504/ijgenvi.2011.043528.
- Morard, P., Eyheraguibel, B., Morard, M., Silvestre, J., 2011. Direct effects of Humic-Like substance
 on growth, water, and mineral nutrition of various species. Journal Plant Nut. 34, 46-59.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2011.531358.
- Nowak, J., Kaklewski, K., Ligocki, M., 2004. Influence of selenium on oxidoreductive enzymes 717 718 activity in soil and in plants. Soil Biol. Biochem. 36, 1553-1558. 719 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.07.002.
- Papasavvas, A., Triantafyllidis, V., Zervoudakis, G., Kapotis, G., Samaras, Y., Salahas, G., 2008.
 Correlation of SPAD-502 meter readings with physiological parameters and leaf nitrate content
 in *Beta vulgaris*. J. Environ. Prot. Ecol. 9, 351–356.
- Pilon-Smits, E.A., Quinn, C.F., Tapken, W., Malagoli, M., Schiavon, M., 2009. Physiological
 functions of beneficial elements. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 12, 267-274.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2009.04.009.

726 Reid, J.B., 2002. Yield response to nutrient supply across a wide range of conditions. 1. Model 727 derivation. Field Crop. Res. 77, 161-171.

Rios, J.J., Blasco, B., Leyva, R., Sanchez-Rodriguez, E., Rubio-Wilhelmi, M.M., Romero, L., Ruiz, 728

- J.M., 2013. Nutritional balance changes in lettuce plant grown under different doses and forms of 730 selenium. Nutrition 36, Journal of Plant 1344-1354. 731 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2013.790427.
- Rosso, D., Fan, J., Montoneri, E., Negre, M., Clark, J., Mainero, D., 2015. Conventional and 732 733 microwave assisted hydrolysis of urban biowastes to added value lignin-like products. Green 734 Chemistry 17, 3424-3435. http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c5gc00357a.
- 735 Rovero, A., Vitali, M., Rosso, D., Montoneri, E., Chitarra, W., Tabasso, S., Ginepro, M., Lovisolo, 736 C., 2015. Sustainable maize production by urban biowaste products. Int. J. Agron. Agric. Res. 6, 737 75-91.
- 738 Saa, S., Olivos-Del Rio, A., Castro, S., Brown, P.H., 2015. Foliar application of microbial and plant
- 739 based biostimulants increases growth and potassium uptake in almond (Prunus dulcis [Mill.] D.

740 A. Webb). Front. Plant Sci. 6, 87. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00087.

- 741 Shibayama, M., Sakamoto, T., Takada, E., Inoue, A., Morita, K., Yamaguchi, T., Takahashi, W.,
- 742 Kimura, A., 2012. Estimating rice leaf greenness (SPAD) using fixed-point continuous
- 743 observations of visible red and near infrared narrow-band digital images. Plant Prod. Sci. 15, 293-
- 744 309. http://dx.doi.org/10.1626/pps.15.293.
- 745 Silberbush, M., Ben-Asher, J., Ephrath, J.E., 2005. A model for nutrient and water flow and their 746 uptake by plants grown in a soilless culture. Plant Soil 271, 309-319.
- 747 Sortino, O., Dipasquale, M., Montoneri, E., Tomasso, L., Avetta, P., Bianco Prevot, A., 2013. 90%
- 748 yield increase of red pepper with unexpectedly low doses of compost soluble substances. Agron.
- 749 Sustainable Dev. 33, 433-441.

- Sortino, O., Montoneri, E., Patanè, C., Rosato, R., Tabasso, S., Ginepro, M., 2014. Benefits for
 agriculture and the environment from urban waste. Sci. Tot. Environ. 487, 443-451.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.04.027.
- 753 Yamori, W., Noguchi, K., Terashima, I., 2005. Temperature acclimation of photosynthesis in spinach
- leaves: analyses of photosynthetic components and temperature dependencies of photosynthetic
- partial reactions. Plant Cell Environ. 28, 536-547.

756

758 Figure captions

Fig. 1 Variable loadings (Fig. 1a) and data scores (Fig. 1b) obtained by principal component analysis.

- 760 Acronyms (Fig 1a) represent leaf stomatal conductance (Gs), transpiration (E) and photosynthesis
- 761 (Pn), water use efficiency (Pn/E), leaf chlorophyll (SPAD) and nitrogen (N) content, plant volume
- 762 (V), height (H) and shape index (SI), and leaf (LeD), stem (StD) and total shoot (stems, leaves and
- flowers) dry weight (TD). Points and numbers (Fig. 1b) represent treatment combinations (see Table
- 2): i) green numbers show combinations with control treatment 1; ii) red numbers show combinations
- with NOVA treatment 2; iii) blue numbers show combinations with non-mixed hydrolysate products
- 766 (treatment 4, 59, and 10). Dashed lines group all combinations with fertigation treatments: i) dashed
- 767 circle for control treatment 13; ii) top dashed rectangle for soluble green compost hydrolysate
- treatment 14; iii) bottom dashed rectangle for soluble digestate hydrolysate treatment 15.