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Abstract: The aim of the study was to evaluate the influence of a single partial-body cryotherapy (PBC) session on the maximum handgrip strength (JAMAR Hydraulic Hand dynamometer). Two hundred healthy adults were randomized into a PBC group and a control group (50 males and 50 females in each group). After the initial handgrip strength test (T0), the experimental group performed a 150 seconds session of PBC (temperature range between -130 and -160 °C), whilst the control group stayed in a thermo neutral room (22.0 ± 0.5 °C). Immediately after, both groups performed another handgrip strength test (T1). Data underlined that both groups showed an increase in handgrip strength values, especially the experimental group (Control: T0=39.48 kg, T1=40.01 kg; PBC: T0=39.61 kg, T1=41.34 kg). The analysis reported also a statistical effect related to gender (F=491.99, P<0.05), with females showing lower handgrip strength values compared with males (females=30.43 kg, males=52.27 kg). Findings provide the first evidence that a single session of PBC leads to the improvement of muscle strength in healthy people. The results of the study implies that PBC could be performed also before a training session or a sport competition, to increase hand isometric strength.
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Abstract

The aim of the study was to evaluate the influence of a single partial-body cryotherapy (PBC) session on the maximum handgrip strength (JAMAR Hydraulic Hand dynamometer). Two hundred healthy adults were randomized into a PBC group and a control group (50 males and 50 females in each group). After the initial handgrip strength test (T0), the experimental group performed a 150 seconds session of PBC (temperature range between -130 and -160 °C), whilst the control group stayed in a thermo neutral room (22.0 ± 0.5 °C). Immediately after, both groups performed another handgrip strength test (T1). Data underlined that both groups showed an increase in handgrip strength values, especially the experimental group (Control: T0=39.48 kg, T1=40.01 kg; PBC: T0=39.61 kg, T1=41.34 kg). The analysis reported also a statistical effect related to gender (F=491.99, P<0.05), with females showing lower handgrip strength values compared with males (females=30.43 kg, males=52.27 kg). Findings provide the first evidence that a single session of PBC leads to the improvement of muscle strength in healthy people. The results of the study implies that PBC could be performed also before a training session or a sport competition, to increase hand isometric strength.
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INTRODUCTION

Muscle contraction is defined by the changes in length of the muscle during contraction: it can be classified into isotonic or isometric. Isometric contraction occurs when the muscle tenses without changing its length. It is required in some sports (for example climbing and gymnastics) and in physical and handwork activities. Isometric contraction is typical of muscles found in the hands and forearms. Many daily functions and sporting events require high activity levels of the flexor muscles of the forearms and hands. The most common method of assessment for grip strength is the use of a handheld dynamometer. This measurement may provide overall body strength and muscles performance, individual nutritional status and well-being, by a simple and non-invasive evaluation (28). During gripping activities, the muscles of the flexor mechanism in the hand and forearm create grip strength, while the extensors of the forearm stabilize the wrist (31). It is known that temperature is a relevant determinant of muscles performance (19, 22). With regards to the cold, it can induce for example increased tissue stiffness (27), decreased nerve conduction velocity and decreased muscle contractility. These effects could reduce athletic performance (11). Isotonic and isometric strength respond differently due a decrease in temperature (14, 26, 18). In fact we know (30) that dynamic activities are more susceptible to the inhibitory effects of cold than isometric contractions. Thus interestingly some studies reported that a cold application is an effective way to increase isometric strength (18, 5). The human body responds to cold applications with vasoconstriction to maintain the core temperature. After this first response usually the opposite phenomenon occurs, that is vasodilation, which increases muscle blood-flow with increased oxygen supply, therefore potentially improving muscle performance (10).

Cryotherapy is the application of cold agents: it is based on body temperature diminution through, for instance, immersion into cold-water, application of ice packs or ice vests. Its use is widespread in sports medicine today and the application of cold has been found to decrease the inflammatory reaction, the pain threshold, swelling, edemas and to reduce the recovery time both after acute and chronic injuries (29, 16, 17). One of the latest methods in sports medicine and science is whole-body cryotherapy (WBC). WBC is based on the stimulation with very cold air of the organism of minimally dressed subjects (usually from -110°C up to -160°C), either in a specially designed chamber (WBC) or a cabin (partial-body cryotherapy, PBC), for a short period (generally from 150 seconds to 180 seconds), aiming to cause the vasoconstriction of skin vessels (2). The substantial difference between these two kinds of cryostimulation treatments is that during a cryocabin session (PBC) the head is not exposed (see figure 1). WBC and PBC are repeatedly used in sports practice to
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hasten the recovery after high-intensity exercise and increase the range of motion (8, 10). In the last decades, despite the increasing popularity of WBC and PBC in sports and exercise medicine, few studies have investigated the effectiveness of these treatments on muscle-performance recovery after exercise in adults (1, 8), but to the authors’ best knowledge, no studies have examined the acute effects of cold dry air exposure on the maximum isometric strength. The hypothesis of this study were therefore that a single PBC session would not significantly worsen the handgrip maximum isometric strength. Considering the small differences in physiological reactions between WBC and PBC short exposure (15), the aim of the present study was to test the hypothesis that a single PBC session could influence the maximum handgrip strength as measured by a hydraulic hand dynamometer. There are many categories of handled dynamometer, and according to the literature (31), the handgrip dynamometer is a method of assessment which can provide the practitioner the most accurate choice, in addition to be an inexpensive tool and easy to use on the field.
METHODS

Experimental Approach to the Problem

There are limited data about the effects of the cryogenic temperatures on isometric strength. Consequently, to evaluate the effects of a single PBC session performed before a maximum handgrip strength test, one hundred healthy men and one hundred healthy women were required to be present for one time at the testing venue. Both men and women populations were divided in experimental and control groups to assess the differences in maximum isometric strength after 150 seconds of standing rotations carried out in a cryocabin or in a thermo neutral room. The study was a 2 (Control or PBC) x 2 (T0 and T1) design. This allowed us to determine the impact of the PBC on handgrip maximum isometric strength.

Subjects

All volunteers were adults and underwent an initial physical examination by the qualified physician; all participants with epicondylitis, chronic shoulder pain, episodes of fractures wrist, and disabilities in their upper extremities and contradictions to PBC were excluded from the study. Subjects were all recreational athletes and were not accustomed to partial body treatments. To minimize the effects of circadian variation, the timing of measurements were consistent between trials. Subjects were also instructed to refrain from consuming alcohol, caffeine, theine or hot drinks 24 hours before testing commenced in order to avoid influencing the recorded variable. In addition, participants were required not to undertake exercise for 24 hours prior to the laboratory trial. They were also instructed not to take medications or supplements during the study. One hundred men and one hundred women were enrolled in the study, approved by the National Medical Ethics Committee, and signed a written informed consent. The research was undertaken in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration. Subjects were then randomly divided into a PBC and a control group (50 males and 50 females in each group). Socio-demographic information for each group is shown in Table 1.

****INSERT TABLE 1 NEAR HERE****
Procedures

Upon arrival, the participants were made to sit for 30 minutes wearing only swimwear, socks and wooden clogs to acclimate to the room temperature (22.0 ± 0.5 °C). Following acclimation, each participant performed the maximal handgrip strength test of the dominant hand (17) using a portable JAMAR Hydraulic Hand dynamometer (Sammons Preston Rolyan Nottinghamshire, UK) as recommended for use in healthy people (4,3). It was regulated for each subject: fitting the hand and allowing flexion at the metacarpophalangeal joints. When the individual adjustment operations were completed, each subject performed three submaximal voluntary isometric contractions maintained for 5 seconds as familiarization to the testing protocol. The scale of the dynamometer indicated handgrip strength in kilograms (kg).

Our experiment was conducted in May and June. The testing protocol consisted of three maximal voluntary isometric contractions maintained for 5 seconds with rest period of at least 60 seconds; the highest value was used for the determination of the maximal grip strength. The procedure and the methodology used during the handgrip strength test were carried out according to the standards (20). Specific verbal instructions were given to subjects before the evaluations and the experiments were performed with verbal encouragement (24).

The PBC group, following the baseline handgrip strength measurement (T0 PBC), completed one treatment in a cryocabin (Space Cabin, Criomed Ltd, Kherson, Ukraine), an open tank equipped with a mobile lift which allows to adjust the height of every subject, so the guest is exposed to the very cold dry air up to the shoulders, with the neck and the head out of the cabin. This device can accommodate only one subject for each session (see figure 1). The 150 seconds duration and set temperature range between -130 and -160 °C were used as recommended for cryocabin sessions (13). During the session subjects wore swimwear, a pair of gloves, woolen socks and wooden clogs. Participants were instructed to turn around continuously (standing rotations) in the cabin for the 150 seconds session. The control group, following the baseline measurement (T0 Control), was instructed to perform the same movements (standing rotations) for the same duration (150 seconds) in the turned off cryocabin (22.0 ± 0.5 °C). After the cryocabin treatment (T1 PBC) or control duty (T1 Control), the maximal handgrip strength test was repeated.
Statistical Analysis

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) for windows (SPSS 22.0, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD).

A series of independent t-tests was used to evaluate any possible differences in the anthropometric characteristics and differences among handgrip strength values both in female and male PBC and control groups.

A mixed-design repeated measures ANOVA was used to analyze handgrip strength values. Time (T0,T1) was the within-subjects factor, whereas Group (PBC and control) and Gender were the between-subjects factors. For all analyses, statistical significance was set at α = 0.05.

Partial eta-square ($\eta^2$) effect sizes were determined and interpreted using the following criteria: 0.01 = small; 0.06 = medium; and 0.13 = large.
RESULTS

Age, weight, height, BMI were not significantly different between PBC and control groups at baseline (P>0.05) both in male and in female groups. Descriptive statistics regarding the handgrip strength values in all groups of participants are shown in Table 2. Whereas control group increased handgrip strength (mean values) from T0 to T1 around 0.5 kg in both genders, PBC group showed an increase of around 1.5 kg in males (Fig.2) and around 2 kg in females (Fig.3).

A high intraclass correlation emerged (ICC 0.987), (95% CI .975, .992) The results of the ANOVA showed a significant main effect of exercise (continuously standing rotations) in both groups (F1.196=45.59, P<0.05, η2=.189) on handgrip strength increase and a significant Exercise x Group interaction (F1.196=12.77, P<0.05, η2=.061). Both PBC and control groups showed an increase in handgrip strength values compared with T0 (T0=39.55 kg, T1=40.68 kg), especially in the experimental group (Control: T0=39.48 kg, T1=40.01 kg; PBC: T0=39.61 kg, T1=41.34 kg) (Fig.4-5). The analysis reported also a significant effect of Gender (F1.196=491.99, P<0.05, η2=.715), with female participants showing lower handgrip strength values compared with male participants (females=30.43 kg, males=52.27 kg).
DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to test the hypothesis that a single PBC session would not significantly worsen the handgrip maximum isometric strength as measured by a hydraulic hand dynamometer in a large population of healthy men and women. We found an increase, compared to baseline, of the maximum handgrip strength after a short time period (150 seconds) both in the control group and in PBC group. Our results confirmed that immediately after a PBC session there was a more remarkable increase in handgrip strength compared to baseline and to the control group. Although further investigation is warranted, from a practical perspective, the use of PBC may be important for individuals who practice activities where isometric strength is required (e.g., climbing and racket sports).

The results reported in the present study are in line with others that evaluated the effect of cold agents on the maximal isometric force (5, 18, 30). In fact, a 10-minute cold bath provided an increase of the maximum isometric force production of the hip extensor significantly greater than that of the control and hot bath (water at 43°C) groups (5). Furthermore Burke et al. found a gender difference in the cold group, with men experiencing greater increases. Additionally, a previous study verified that after placing ice on the arm for 15 minutes, muscle force increased significantly and, in line with our findings, even in the control group there was a minimal increase in strength compared to baseline (18). Also Vieira et al. (30) reported that 20 minutes of ice-pack application increased isometric peak torque of plantar flexors (p<0.001) in healthy men.

The muscle temperature immediately after a PBC session is not known, but is known (13) that skin temperature of the forearm is near to 23°C. Only one study, to the authors’ best knowledge, evaluated the muscle temperature after a WBC session: Costello et al. (7) measured vastus lateralis temperature and recorded significantly lower temperatures (p<0.05) only after 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 min after WBC. No significant differences (p>0.05) were found immediately and 10 min after the cryogenic exposure. Therefore, it has been documented that a reduction on muscle temperature below the threshold of 27°C could decrease the maximal isometric force level (6). Hence, it would make sense, according to Westerlund (32), to assume that a single cold dry air exposure in PBC does not lead to reach the threshold of 27°C for the muscle temperature immediately after the session, under which a decrease of the maximal isometric force level occurs. To confirm this, immediately after a PBC exposure we noticed an increase of the maximal hand grip strength, that may be induced by the vasodilation occurred after the PBC session, which determines an increased
blood flow to muscles and, according to Nodehi Moghadami et Dehghane (18), this may have a beneficial effect on muscle function. At the same time other authors (12, 30) suggested that the increase in isometric strength after cold exposures could depend to a compensatory mechanism that fosters the recruitment of higher threshold motor units in response to the inhibition caused by cooling, considering that this mechanism is prominent in isometric contractions due to lower dependence on this activity in relation to tissue stiffness caused by cooling.
PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

The results of the present study provide the first evidence that a single session of PBC can have a significant and positive impact on isometric strength in healthy people. This is of practical value for coaches and practitioners aiming to include this treatment in order to improve isometric strength. Again, our results represent a new approach to the longstanding problem of the PBC protocols standardization. In fact, in the light of what has emerged, it is now clear that coaches can scheduled PBC sessions also before a training session or a competition, such for example climbing, racket sports and gymnastics ring performances, where hand isometric strength is required.
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FIGURE LEGENDS:

Figure 1. Subject during a cryocabin (Space Cabin, Criomed Ltd, Kherson, Ukraine), session.

Figure 2. T test partial-body cryotherapy male group before cryotherapy (PBC T0) versus partial-body cryotherapy male group after cryotherapy session (PBC T1) p<0.007.

Figure 3. T test partial-body cryotherapy female group before cryotherapy (PBC T0) versus partial-body cryotherapy female group after cryotherapy session (PBC T1) p<0.0001.

Figure 4. T test partial-body cryotherapy female and male groups before cryotherapy (Control T0) versus partial-body cryotherapy female and male groups after cryotherapy session (Control T1) p<0.007.
Figure 5. T test partial-body cryotherapy female and male groups before cryotherapy (PBC T0) versus partial-body cryotherapy female and male groups after cryotherapy session (PBC T1) p<0.0001.
Table 1. Socio-demographic information of the participants (mean ± SD). PBC, partial-body cryotherapy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBC (n=50)</td>
<td>42.42 ± 14.17</td>
<td>178.02 ± 7.1</td>
<td>79.41 ± 9.52</td>
<td>25.21 ± 3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (n=50)</td>
<td>37.12 ± 9.32</td>
<td>177.2 ± 5.3</td>
<td>76.12 ± 12.01</td>
<td>24.18 ± 3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBC (n=50)</td>
<td>41.26 ± 11.73</td>
<td>165.0 ± 5.1</td>
<td>62.0 ± 7.95</td>
<td>22.79 ± 2.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (n=50)</td>
<td>39.56 ± 11.38</td>
<td>167.12 ± 6.2</td>
<td>64.94 ± 7.79</td>
<td>23.38 ± 3.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 2.** Descriptive statistics of handgrip strength values (kg) at T0 and T1 in each group of participants. PBC, partial-body cryotherapy. SD= standard deviation. SEM= standard error of the mean.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>T0</th>
<th>T1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Control (n=50)</td>
<td>Mean 31.15</td>
<td>31.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>4.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>PBC (n=50)</td>
<td>Mean 28.47</td>
<td>30.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sample</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>29.81</td>
<td>31.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=100)</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>4.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Control (n=50)</td>
<td>Mean 47.81</td>
<td>48.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>6.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>PBC (n=50)</td>
<td>Mean 50.75</td>
<td>52.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>7.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sample</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>49.28</td>
<td>50.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=100)</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>7.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female +</td>
<td>Control (n=100)</td>
<td>Mean 39.48</td>
<td>40.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>10.35</td>
<td>10.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female +</td>
<td>PBC (n=100)</td>
<td>Mean 39.61</td>
<td>41.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>13.16</td>
<td>12.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sample</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>39.55</td>
<td>40.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=200)</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>11.81</td>
<td>11.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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