



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

The mutualistic interaction between plants and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi

This is a pre print version of the following article:	
Original Citation:	
Availability:	
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1627235	since 2019-12-16T10:13:13Z
Published version:	
DOI:10.1128/microbiolspec.FUNK-0012-2016	
Terms of use:	
Open Access Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as " under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the te of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or pu protection by the applicable law.	Open Access". Works made available erms and conditions of said license. Use ablisher) if not exempted from copyright

(Article begins on next page)





This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

Lanfranco, Luisa; Bonfante, Paola; Genre, Andrea. The mutualistic interaction between plants and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. MICROBIOLOGY SPECTRUM. 4 pp: 1-20. DOI: 10.1128/microbiolspec.FUNK-0012-2016

The publisher's version is available at: http://www.asmscience.org/content/journal/microbiolspec/10.1128/microbiolspec.FUNK-0012-2016

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text: http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1627235

This full text was downloaded from iris - AperTO: https://iris.unito.it/

- 1 The mutualistic interaction between plants and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
- 2
- 3 Luisa Lanfranco, Paola Bonfante, Andrea Genre
- 4 Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology, University of Torino,
- 5 viale Pier Andrea Mattioli 25, Torino, 10125, Italy.
- 6
- 7 Corresponding author:
- 8 Luisa Lanfranco
- 9 e-mail: luisa.lanfranco@unito.it
- 10 tel: 00390116705969
- 11 fax: 00390116705962

13 Summary

14 Mycorrhizal fungi belong to several taxa and develop mutualistic symbiotic associations with 15 over 90% of all plant species, from liverworts to angiosperms. While descriptive approaches 16 have dominated the initial studies of these fascinating symbioses, the advent of molecular 17 biology, live cell imaging and 'omics' techniques have provided new and powerful tools to 18 decipher the cellular and molecular mechanisms that rule mutualistic plant-fungus interactions. 19 In this chapter we focus on the most common mycorrhizal association, arbuscular mycorrhiza 20 (AM), which is formed by a group of soil fungi belonging to Glomeromycota. AM fungi are 21 believed to have assisted the conquest of dry lands by early plants around 450 million years 22 ago, and are found today in most land ecosystems. AM fungi have several peculiar biological 23 traits, including obligate biotrophy, intracellular development inside the plant tissues, 24 coencytic multinucleate hyphae and spores, as well as unique genetics - such as the putative 25 absence of a sexual cycle -, and multiple ecological functions. All of these make the study of 26 AM fungi as intriguing as it is challenging, and their symbiotic association with most crop 27 plants is currently raising a broad interest in agronomic contexts for the potential use of AM 28 fungi in sustainable production under conditions of low chemical input. 29

30 AN OVERVIEW OF MYCORRHIZAL INTERACTIONS

31 Mycorrhizal fungi are a heterogeneous group of diverse taxa, associated with the roots of over 32 90% of all plant species, from liverworts to angiosperms. Although they can spend part of 33 their life cycle in the rhizosphere, mycorrhizal fungi always associate with the roots of plants, 34 including forest trees, wild grasses and many crops, and colonize environments such as alpine 35 and boreal zones, tropical forests, grasslands and croplands. Both partners benefit from the 36 relationship: mycorrhizal fungi improve the fitness of their host plants, by influencing mineral 37 nutrition and water absorption and by increasing tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses. The 38 host plant rewards the fungal symbiont with carbon compounds derived from the 39 photosynthetic process (1). 40 Irrespectively of their taxonomic position, mycorrhizal fungi develop an extensive hyphal 41 network in the soil, the aptly named wood-wide web, which can connect whole plant 42 communities and potentially grant the horizontal transfer of nutrients. Such underground web has caused a paradigm shift in our knowledge of plant ecology, by introducing the key role of 43 44 below ground microbes and opening the discussion on how they influence the composition 45 and fitness of plant communities (2). 46 The term mycorrhiza is derived from the Greek words for 'fungus' and 'root': a beautiful 47 linguistic rendering of such biological synergies. This does not in any way imply that 48 mycorrhizal fungi only colonize roots; in fact, so-called basal plants lacking true roots also 49 rely on mycorrhizal fungi for their nutrition and host the symbionts in other organs, such as 50 the liverwort thallus. This feature is an evolutionary reminder of the first known mycorrhizal 51 interactions. Four hundred and fifty million year-old fossils of some of the first land plants 52 display characteristic symbiotic fungal structures in their simple, prostrate shoots. In short, the 53 evolution of mycorrhizal symbioses predates the appearance of roots, even if mycorrhizal 54 fungi are currently restricted to roots in the vast majority of extant plants.

55	Mycorrhizal fungi can be divided into two major groups: aseptate endophytes such as
56	Glomeromycota, and septate Asco- and Basidiomycota. Usually, the classification of
57	mycorrhizal interactions is based on plant anatomical traits and identifies two broad
58	categories (Fig. 1), ectomycorrhizae (EM), where the fungus is restricted to the intercellular
59	spaces of outer root tissues, and endomycorrhizae, where fungi penetrate the living host cells
60	(3). In ectomycorrhizae, which colonise trees and shrubs, hyphae remain extracellular,
61	inducing important changes to root morphogenesis, while their presence leads to subtle
62	modifications in epidermal or cortical cells. In endomycorrhizae, i.e. ericoid, orchid and
63	arbuscular mycorrhizae (AM), hyphae penetrate the root cells to establish an intracellular
64	symbiosis. While AM are common to diverse plant taxa, ericoid and orchid mycorrhizae are
65	restricted to the order Ericales and the family Orchidaceae, respectively. Such taxonomic and
66	morphological diversity has stimulated the study of the colonization process in numerous host
67	plants since the start of the nineteenth century. Indeed new information generated by
68	genomic sequencing, the use of mutants affected in their symbiotic capabilities and live cell
69	imaging has deeply changed our view of the colonization process (4).
70	The arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) symbiosis is the most widespread plant-fungus mutualistic
71	association. AM fungi are found in association with all plant phyla, including agriculturally
72	relevant species, and play a crucial ecological role in the functioning of low-input
73	environments. All AM fungi belong to the Glomeromycota phylum and are very specialized
74	symbionts, as revealed by their obligate biotrophy. Currently there is broad interest in AM
75	fungi for their potential to support a reduction in the use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides,
76	and to positively impact sustainable crop production systems to feed a globally growing
77	human population (5, 6). For these reasons this chapter will focus on the AM symbiosis,
78	providing an overview of the current knowledge of the molecular mechanisms controlling this
79	ancient and deeply intimate plant-fungus association.

80 ARBUSCULAR MYCORRHIZAE

81 AM fungi form a mutualistic symbiosis with the roots of ~80% of plant species in natural and 82 agricultural systems, and are considered a central component of the plant microbiota. 83 AM fungi have the unusual ability to grow in two extremely different niches: the soil and the 84 lumen of plant cells. In soil, the extensive hyphal network has been estimated to reach a 85 density of 100 m/cm³ (7). This hyphal network can acquire water and nutrients with great 86 efficiency and reach a volume of soil that is inaccessible to roots alone (8). The presence and 87 activity of AM fungal mycelia also directly influence the physico-chemical properties of soil 88 (9). Inside their host plant, AM fungi penetrate single cells of the root cortex, where they 89 develop a structure that is the distinctive feature of this association: the arbuscule (Fig. 2). 90 Named from the Latin word for bush, or small tree, each arbuscule results from repeated 91 branching of a single hypha in the lumen of a parenchymatic cell from the inner root cortex 92 (10). With their impressive surface/volume ratio, arbuscules are considered the major site of 93 nutrient exchange (11). 94 As obligate biotrophs, AM fungi strictly depend on their plant hosts for both growth and 95 reproduction (3, 12). Up to 20% of the photosynthesis products of terrestrial plants is 96 consumed by AM fungi (13). Interestingly, the carbon flow from the plant to the fungus 97 seems to be proportional to the amount of phosphate that the fungus returns to its host (14). 98 Consequently, while the beneficial effects of AM fungi become evident when the nutrient and 99 water supply are limited, root colonization decreases in soils with abundant nutrients. 100 The AM interaction also supports important ecological services such as an increase of soil 101 quality (15) and of biodiversity of the associated plant communities (16). Additionally, many 102 studies have highlighted the positive influence of the AM symbiosis on plant responses to 103 biotic (17, 18) and abiotic stresses such as drought, salinity and heavy metal contaminants (6, 104 19, 20).

105 Classification and phylogeny of AM fungi

106 AM fungi have existed and coevolved with plants for at least 450 million years (21) as fossils

107 records witness (22). Due to their importance in nutrient transfer they are supposed to have

- 108 played a crucial role during land colonization by plants. The widespread occurrence of AM
- 109 fungi in plants from the most parts of the world, particularly in the tropics, was acknowledged
- 110 in the nineteenth century (23, 24). In 1844, the Tulasne brothers described the first AM fungal

111 species, Glomus microcarpum and Glomus macrocarpum (25). In 2001 Schüßler and

112 colleagues grouped AM fungi within a new monophyletic phylum, the Glomeromycota,

113 distinct from the Zygomycota where they had previously been placed (26).

114 The phylum Glomeromycota is currently represented by about 250 described species (4)

although molecular analyses suggest a broader diversity (27). A recent survey of the global

116 distribution of these plant symbionts showed that AM fungal communities reflected local

117 environmental conditions and the spatial distance between sites. However, despite AM fungi

apparently possessing limited dispersal ability, 93% of taxa were found on multiple continents

and 34% on all of the six surveyed continents (28).

120 Before the advent of molecular techniques, the identification of AM fungi was based on the 121 microscopic examinations of spores. These are roundish, from about 30 to 400 µm in diameter 122 and with features with taxonomic value like colour, dimension, cell wall structure, presence of 123 septa and connection to sustaining hypha. However, morphological similarities do not always 124 reflect phylogenetic relationships. The development of PCR-based approaches and advances 125 in molecular analyses led to novel identification rules (26, 29). Since then, the taxonomy of 126 Glomeromycota has been subjected to several changes and nowadays it is still largely open to 127 discussion. On the basis of rRNA gene phylogeny Glomeromycota were described as a sister 128 group of Ascomycota and Basidiomycota (26, 29). However, phylogenetic reconstructions 129 based on mitochondrial (30, 31, 32) or protein-coding nuclear (33) sequences suggest a closer

130 relationship with Mortierellales or Mucorales (Mucoromycotina). Recently, on the basis of 131 the complete genome sequence of *Rhizophagus irregularis* (34, 35), Glomeromycota were 132 again phylogenetically placed closer to Mucoromycotina (see later in this chapter). Indeed 133 Mucoromycotina, a basal group of fungi also characterized by a coenocytic mycelium, is now 134 considered a sister group of Glomeromycota (36). Interestingly, Mucoromycotina form 135 functional mycorrhiza-like associations with basal plant lineages (37) suggesting that the 136 symbiotic options available to the first plants emerging onto dry land were more varied than 137 previously thought (38). It now appears likely that the last common ancestor of both fungal 138 groups thrived in primeval soils long before plants colonised the land (36). 139

140 Biological features, genome organization and genetics of AM fungi

AM fungi are also intriguing from a cellular and genetic point of view. They display many

142 unusual biological features beside their obligate biotrophism: spores and coenocytic hyphae

143 contain thousands of nuclei in a common cytoplasm and no uninucleate life stage is known to

144 occur. This makes classical genetic approaches challenging (12, 36). Depending on the

species, a single spore contains from 800 to about 35000 nuclei (39). Single spores are

146 populated by flows of unrelated nuclei streaming from the mycelium, rather than by the

147 replication of one or few nuclei within the developing spore (40).

148 The concept of species is poorly defined in this group of organisms, since they show a high

149 degree of genetic variability. For example, the intrasporal variability of the ITS (Internal

150 Transcribed Spacer) ribosomal region can range between 6% for Gigaspora margarita (41),

151 18% for Glomus intraradices (42) and over 20% in other Glomus 'intraradices-like' strains

152 (43).

153 In spite of being among the oldest living terrestrial organisms, AM fungi surprisingly appear

154 to have lost sexual reproduction, as no sexual cycle has ever been described.

155	The genetic organization of coexisting nuclei in the Glomeromycota (i.e. coenocytic
156	organisms) has been a major source of debate for the past 15 years, with two opposite
157	hypotheses being supported within the research community: heterokaryosis (44) and
158	homokaryosis (45), which support, respectively, the presence or the absence of internuclear
159	genetic divergence (46). Furthermore, the possibility that AM fungi contain a uniform
160	population of nuclei characterized by intranuclear polymorphism has also been proposed (47).
161	Heterokaryosis may originate by hyphal anastomosis between genetically different mycelia or
162	by the accumulation of mutations. Hyphal anastomosis and the exchange of nuclei has indeed
163	been observed in a few fungal taxa (48, 49), nevertheless such events have only been
164	observed between distinct isolates of the same species from one sampling area (50), but not
165	between isolates from different geographical areas (51). These opposing hypotheses have
166	been reconciled by recent analyses of complete genome sequences of AM fungi (next
167	paragraph).
168	A further increase in the genetic complexity of AM fungi is given by the presence of
169	endobacteria and viruses. Many AM fungi harbor endobacteria in their hyphae and spores:
170	two types of endobacteria are known in Glomeromycota: rod-shaped Gram-negatives, limited
171	in distribution to members of the Gigasporaceae family and coccoid Mollicutes-related
172	endobacteria, distributed across different lineages of AM fungi (52). The genomes of a few
173	endobacteria have been sequenced: all are characterized by a limited size (0.5 - 1.8 Mb),
174	which is consistent with complete dependence on their fungal host and their inability to grow
175	in pure culture (53, 54, 55). So far, the biological role has only been investigated for
176	Candidatus Glomeribacter gigasporarum, the rod-shaped bacterium hosted by Gigaspora
177	margarita. The endobacterium enhances fungal sporulation, bioenergetic capacity, ATP
178	production and ability to detoxify reactive oxygen species. Endobacteria also appear to
179	enhance fungal responsiveness to strigolactones, root-exudated signals that act as hyphal

180 branching factors for AM fungi. Overall, this endosymbiosis improves the fungal ecological

181 fitness by priming mitochondrial metabolic pathways and giving the AM fungi more tools to

182 face environmental stresses (56, 57).

183 Our knowledge of mycoviruses of AM fungi is still very limited (58, 59). In one case a

184 biological function has been reported: the presence of the virus led to the production of a

185 higher number of spores and increased stimulation of plant growth (58). All together these

186 studies underline the importance of these additional genetic components of AM fungi, as such

187 components can contribute to the symbiosis.

188

189 The first genome project dedicated to an AM fungus

190 Extensive efforts were made to sequence the first genome of an AM fungus. Two independent

research groups (34, 35) published the genome sequences of the same *Rhizophagus*

192 *irregularis* isolate DAOM197198 (60). By sampling the extraradical hyphae of mycorrhizal

193 hairy root cultures, Tisserant and colleagues obtained an assembly of 101 Mb out of an

194 estimated genome size of 153 Mb (34). This assembly, although highly fragmented, is

believed to include almost all the protein-coding genes (23,561 high-confidence gene

196 models), and places the genome of *R. irregularis* among the largest fungal genomes

197 sequenced to date, along with those of obligate biotrophic powdery mildews (61) and the

198 ectomycorrhizal symbiont *Tuber melanosporum* (62).

199 In order to specifically address the issue of the heterokaryotic nature and to determine the

200 extent to which nuclei differ from each other, Lin and colleagues performed a de novo

201 genome sequencing of individual nuclei collected from crushed spores (35). Their

202 comparative analysis revealed a surprisingly low level of polymorphism: > 99.97% of the

aligned genome sequences were identical in different nuclei. The genome organization of this

strain is therefore considered basically homokaryotic. By contrast, within a single nucleus, the

205	45S rDNA repeat unit - and in particular the ITS region - turned out to be highly diverged and
206	the relative abundance of alleles appeared to differ between nuclei. Genome annotation
207	resulted in 27,392 protein-coding gene models representing 30,003 putative transcripts with a
208	high level of putative/predicted (retro-)transposable elements (35), findings consistent with
209	those of Tisserant et al. (34).
210	The gene repertoire of <i>R</i> . <i>irregularis</i> mostly overlaps with the repertoire of sequenced
211	Mucoromycotina species (34, 35) and phylogenomic analyses demonstrated that
212	Glomeromycota are more closely related to Mucoromycotina than to their postulated sister
213	Dikarya (35).
214	The obligate biotrophy of AM fungi is not mirrored by any drastic loss of metabolic
215	complexity in central metabolism. One striking genomic feature is anyway the lack of genes
216	encoding plant cell wall degrading enzymes; the same situation has been observed in obligate
217	biotrophic pathogens (61) and ectomycorrhizal symbionts (62).
218	More recently, the <i>in silico</i> genome analysis of five different <i>R</i> . <i>irregularis</i> isolates (63) has
219	highlighted substantial genetic diversity among isolates. Two isolates contained a stable
220	population of two dominant divergent haploid nuclei (a unique dikaryon-like condition) while
221	the other three isolates only displayed one dominant genotype (63). R. irregularis therefore
222	appears to develop both homokaryotic and heretokaryotic mycelia, even if the modes by
223	which this differential genomic pattern arises remain unclear. In addition, the identification of
224	a putative mating type locus suggests the existence of cryptic sex-related processes (34, 63).
225	Beside reconciling the previous contrasting results, such novel data indicate that conventional
226	modes of reproduction - including mating - may exist in this lineage which can suggest
227	approaches to deliver genetic improvement of AM strains.
228	

229 The colonization process

230 The establishment of the AM symbiosis requires a succession of well characterized 231 developmental steps (Fig. 3) (3). In advance of direct plant-fungus contact - the so called 232 presymbiotic stage - diffusible molecules mediate reciprocal recognition between the two 233 symbionts. Then, the fungal hyphae contact the root epidermis, where they form adhesion 234 structures called hyphopodia; these precede root entry and mark the initiation of the symbiotic 235 phase. In response to these chemical and physical stimuli, the contacted epidermal cell 236 develops an intracellular accommodation structure, called prepenetration apparatus (64), 237 which drives fungal penetration and guides hyphal passage across the epidermal cell and 238 towards the inner root tissues. Within the root, hyphae grow inter- and intracellularly to reach 239 the deepest cortical cells where arbuscules develop (65). As root colonization proceeds, the 240 AM fungus explores the soil developing an extensive hyphal network, the extraradical 241 mycelium, which also can produce a new generation of asexual spores. 242 Host plants control each step of symbiosis development, leading to a precise synchronization 243 of fungal and plant developmental processes (65, 66). Over the last decade, the molecular 244 components controlling AM colonization have been intensively studied in angiosperms (11, 245 65) and more recently in basal land plants (67, 68). 246 247 **Presymbiotic signaling** 248 AM fungi perceive the vicinity of a host *via* root-exuded molecules that induce spore 249 germination and hyphal branching (69, 70). The most studied plant symbiotic signals are

250 carotenoid-derived phytohormones called strigolactones (SL) (71), which have a primary role

in plant development (72). AM sense SLs in root exudates at concentrations as low as 10 nM.

252 Fungal responses to GR24, a synthetic molecule commonly used to study SL actions, include

the enlargement of mitochondria, a rapid increase in ATP and NADH and nuclear

proliferation (73, 74, 75, 76). GR24 exposure also causes a sharp increase in Ca^{2+}

255 concentration in the fungal cytoplasm (77). Although fungal SLs receptors remain unknown, such observations suggest that SLs are perceived *via* a Ca²⁺-mediated signaling pathway and 256 257 trigger a cellular and molecular prelude to root colonization (74, 75, 78). 258 AM fungi also release signal molecules that trigger plant symbiotic responses (79), including transcriptional regulation, nucleus-associated Ca²⁺ signals, starch accumulation in roots and 259 260 lateral root formation (80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86). Repeated oscillations in nuclear Ca²⁺ 261 concentration (spiking) have been observed in the root epidermal cells contacted by AM 262 fungal hyphopodia, but also when the same cells were treated with exudates from germinated 263 AM fungal spores (83). Similarly, the expression of the early symbiotic gene ENOD11 in M. 264 truncatula is upregulated upon both fungal contact (87) and the perception of fungal exudates 265 (80). 266 Different N-acetylglucosamine oligosaccharides have been characterized in AM fungal 267 exudates as bio-active molecules responsible for such plant responses. They include tetra- and 268 penta-chito-oligosaccharides (CO4 and CO5) (86) as well as lipo-chito-oligosaccharides 269 (LCOs), which are very similar to nodulation (Nod) factors released by nitrogen-fixing 270 rhizobia (85). When applied as purified molecules, such chitin derivatives mimic the perception of fungal exudates in the host roots, including nuclear Ca^{2+} spiking (85, 86) and 271 272 the regulation of symbiosis-related genes (80, 85, 88). Interestingly, the release of CO4 and 273 CO5 in *Rhizophagus irregularis* exudate is boosted upon GR24 treatment (86), suggesting the 274 existence of a positive loop between plant and fungal signal perception and production of 275 these oligosaccharides. 276 The study of plant signaling mechanisms involved in the perception of AM fungal signals has 277 been developed in legumes such as *Medicago truncatula*, largely following the research on 278 rhizobial Nod factors signaling. Such comparative investigations have revealed the existence 279 of a so-called 'common symbiotic signalling pathway' (CSSP), which includes several genes

280	that are essential for both symbioses (89, 90). Evidence that the same genes are also involved
281	in diverse symbiotic, pathogenic and parasitic plant interactions is accumulating (91).
282	The CSSP starts on the plant cell membrane, with a malectin-like domain (MLD) leucine-rich
283	repeat (LRR) receptor-like kinase (known as SYMRK, in Lotus japonicus). SYMRK forms a
284	complex with Nod factor receptors NFR1 and NFR5 and is believed to also interact with the
285	so-far unidentified receptor(s) for AM fungal signals (90). In its cytoplasmic domain,
286	SYMRK also interacts with a MAP kinase kinase (92), and HMGR1, a 3-hydroxy-3-
287	methylglutaryl-CoA reductase involved in mevalonate synthesis. Indeed, mevalonate has
288	recently been demonstrated to trigger downstream symbiotic responses such as nuclear Ca^{2+}
289	spiking and ENOD11 expression (93).
290	All the remaining CSSP proteins that have currently been identified are localised to the
291	nucleus. They include three nucleoporins - NUP85, NUP133, and NENA (94, 95, 96) -
292	possibly involved in nuclear targeting of CSSP actors, as well as the ion channel
293	CASTOR/POLLUX and the SERCA-type Ca ²⁺ -ATPase MCA8. Both these latter proteins
294	localize to the nuclear envelope (97, 98) and are essential for nuclear Ca^{2+} spiking (98, 99,
295	100, 101). The nuclear envelope lumen is considered the site where Ca^{2+} is stored and
296	released from during symbiotic Ca^{2+} signaling. The Ca^{2+} -and-calmodulin-dependent protein
297	kinase CCaMK localizes to the nucleoplasm and is composed of a serine/threonine kinase
298	domain, a calmodulin binding domain and three Ca^{2+} -binding EF-hand domains (102, 103).
299	Upon an increase in Ca ²⁺ concentration, CCaMK is subject to a complex conformational
300	change (102, 104, 105). When active, CCaMK regulates gene expression through its
301	interacting partner, CYCLOPS (106, 107, 108) and the action of transcription factors such as
302	NSP1 and NSP2 (109, 110, 111, 112), NIN (113, 114) and RAM1 (89, 115).
303	The exchange of chemical signals that mediate reciprocal recognition probably becomes more
304	intense as soon as a hyphopodium adheres to the surface of the root epidermis. Hyphopodium

305 differentiation depends on plant cell wall-bound signals as shown by the seminal studies of 306 Giovannetti et al. (116) and Nagahashi and Douds (117). Only recently, though, monomeric 307 cutin has been proposed to be responsible for hyphopodium differentiation. This deduction 308 comes from the observation that RAM1 activation increases the expression of RAM2, a 309 glycerol3-phosphate acyl transferase involved in the biosynthesis of cutin precursors (115, 310 118). 311 Interestingly, in a recent paper Gutjahr et al. (119) identified loss of responsiveness to AM 312 fungi in a rice mutant, which was also mirrored by the absence of physical contact and of

313 characteristic transcriptional responses to AM fungal diffusible signals. The gene responsible

314 for the loss of symbiosis, DWARF 14 LIKE (D14L), encodes an alpha/ beta-fold hydrolase,

that is a component of an intracellular receptor complex involved in the detection of the

316 smoke compound, karrikin. Thus D14L seems to be required to support initial colonization

317 events by AM fungi. Overall, these results reveal a novel plant recognition strategy for AM

fungi and envisage the existence of an additional signaling molecule, the D14L ligand.

319

320 Host cell colonization

321 As soon as a hyphopodium develops on the root surface, the nucleus of the underlying

322 epidermal cell moves toward the fungal contact site, then migrates to the opposite side of the

323 cell traversing the lumen and partially displacing the vacuole. Concurrently, a broad,

324 columnar cytoplasmic aggregation assembles between the nucleus and the fungal contact site.

325 This aggregate is rich in endoplasmic reticulum, cytoskeleton, Golgi stacks and secretory

326 membranes, and constitutes the so-called the prepenetration apparatus, or PPA (Fig. 3) (64, 66,

- 327 120). Such features characterize the PPA as a broad exocytotic process finalized at the
- 328 construction of the novel membrane domain in fact an extension of the host plasmalemma -
- 329 where the fungus will be hosted: the perifungal membrane which envelops all intracellular

330 fungal structures (120). Only after the PPA is fully deployed, a penetrating hypha develops 331 from the hyphopodium, crosses the epidermal cell wall and enters the cell lumen, strictly 332 following the PPA route (64). PPAs are not observed in plants that lack CSSP genes such as 333 dmi2 or dmi3 (64). Furthermore constitutive expression of an active CCaMK variant induces 334 cytoplasmic aggregates that resemble a PPA (121). Consequently, one key function of the 335 CSSP is the activation of the cellular program responsible for fungal hosting (11). 336 PPA formation is not limited to epidermal cells, where the fungus starts its intracellular 337 development, but is also observed in outer and inner cortical cells, in preparation for 338 arbuscule formation (66). Cortical cells that are preparing to harbor an arbuscule display the 339 most extensive PPAs: here, the cell membrane invagination does not envelop a single hypha, 340 but progressively expands to line each of the fine branches that can fill up most of the cell 341 lumen (10). The perifungal membrane - around intracellular hyphae - or periarbuscular 342 membrane (PAM) around arbuscules (65) outlines the so-called symbiotic interface, the novel 343 cell compartment where the fungus is hosted and where most of the signal and nutrient 344 exchanges are believed to occur (123, 124). In line with this, the PAM comprises a specific 345 sub-set of membrane-associated proteins (122). Though the signal that induces branching and 346 differentiation of arbuscules is currently unknown, several plant genes required for arbuscule 347 development and/or function have been identified, including Vapyrin (125), two Vesicle-348 Associated Membrane Proteins (126), EXO70I (127), proteases (128, 129), a proton ATPase 349 (130, 131), ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters, Stunted Arbuscule (STR) and STR2 350 (132) and phosphate transporters (133, 134). Interestingly, trafficking of the symbiotic 351 phosphate transporters and ABC transporters to the PAM requires gene expression coincident 352 with arbuscule branching (135), leading to the hypothesis that PAM construction is achieved 353 by synchronizing two cellular and molecular processes: the massive reorientation of 354 exocytosis towards the developing PAM, and the transcription of specific genes encoding for

355	PAM-resident proteins (11, 135). As transcriptional control seems crucial to ensure the
356	correct protein composition of the PAM, plant transcription factors active in the AM
357	symbiosis have been also characterized. So far, transcription factors required for AM
358	symbiosis include CYCLOPS (108, 136), the gibberellin repressor protein DELLAs (137,
359	138), Reduced Arbuscular Mycorrhiza1 (RAM1; 115), Required for Arbuscule Development1
360	(RAD1; 139), MtERF1 (140), and DELLA-Interacting Protein1 (DIP1; 141). Recent results
361	suggest a model where DELLA proteins regulate arbuscule development through modulation
362	of RAM1 and RAD1 that in turn regulate genes required to support arbuscule branching (142).
363	Arbuscules are ephemeral structures that collapse and degenerate approximately two to three
364	days after maturity (11, 143), while the host cell regains its previous organization and can
365	undergo a new round of colonization.
366	The correct formation and functioning of an arbuscule is also expected to be under fungal
367	control; however, the functional studies on the fungal partner are very few. Kloppholz et al.
368	(144), discovered the first AM fungal effector, named secreted protein 7 (SP7). Effector
369	proteins are secreted by plant-colonizing microbes and are generally thought to promote
370	compatibility or to suppress plant defense responses by interfering with metabolism or
371	signaling pathways (145; chapter XX of this book). In particular, SP7, which is secreted into
372	the host cell and localizes to the plant nucleus, counteracts the plant immune response by
373	interacting with the pathogenesis-related transcription factor Ethylene Response Factor 19
374	(144). Although their mechanisms of action have not been elucidated yet, two additional
375	fungal genes have been recently identified with a putative role in accommodation of fungal
376	structures in the root (146, 147).
377	While such targeted investigations start shedding light on the cellular and molecular
378	mechanisms that act inside each host cell, transcriptomic and genomic studies suggest that
379	these are just the first steps into the characterization of fungal effectors and their function in

380 AM (148, 149).

381

382 The transfer of nutrients

383 Nutrient uptake and transfer to the host plant are the most documented roles of AM fungi. The

384 extraradical mycelium acts as an extension of the root system, taking up phosphate (P),

nitrogen (N), sulfur (S), and trace elements from the soil, and delivering them to the host plant

386 *via* the intraradical mycelium (8). The PAM is considered the site where this symbiotic

387 transfer occurs: mineral nutrients released in the interface compartment are captured by PAM-

bound plant transporters that translocate them to the host cell cytoplasm (150).

389

390 Phosphorus

391 Two pathways contribute to inorganic phosphate (Pi) uptake in mycorrhizal plants: a direct

392 pathway by the root epidermal cells and a mycorrhizal pathway via AM fungi (151, 152). AM

393 fungi are capable of significantly improving the uptake of Pi ions, which are characterized by

394 low mobility and availability in soil. By using radioactive P, Smith and Smith (8) found that

in mycorrhizal plants most of the P delivered to the plant came from the fungus and that the

direct pathway was almost inactive. Indeed, depending on the plant and fungal species, AM

fungi can contribute from 20 to 100% of the plant P uptake (153).

398 The mycorrhizal pathway involves initially the fungal uptake of soluble Pi from the soil. This

is mediated by Pi:H⁺ transporters, which have been described in *Diversispora epigaea* (154),

400 Rhizophagus irregularis (155) and Funneliformis mosseae (156). However, a role remains to

401 be clarified for the putative Pi:Na+ transporters RiPT1 and RiPT2, recently identified in the *R*.

402 *irregularis* genome (152).

403 Within the extraradical mycelium, Pi can supply the metabolically active Pi pool (used for the

404 biosynthesis of phospholipids, DNA, RNA and proteins) or rapidly accumulate in vacuoles in

405	the form of long-chain or short-chain polyphosphates (polyP) (157, 158), presumably through
406	the action of the polyP polymerase/vacuolar transporter chaperone complex (148). PolyP is
407	considered the major Pi store in hyphae as well as the main form of Pi translocation over long
408	distances within hyphae (159). Indeed, polyP accumulation in the extraradical mycelium
409	mirrors an equivalent Pi uptake from the soil (160). Interestingly, polyP translocation towards
410	the host is mediated by the activity of a fungal aquaglyceroporin, which is highly expressed in
411	the intraradical mycelium and is responsible for water transport across the plasma membrane
412	(161). These findings provide novel insights on the mechanisms involved in the directional P
413	transport towards the roots, and they highlight a key role of host transpiration and fungal
414	aquaporins. PolyP degradation in the intraradical mycelium, possibly by vacuolar
415	endopolyphosphatase and exopolyphosphatase activities, sustains Pi flux from the fungus to
416	the apoplastic interface compartment (148, 162).
417	On the plant side the activation of the mycorrhizal pathway is mirrored by the downregulation
418	of plant Pht1 (H ⁺ -dependent) transporters located in root epidermal cells, such as Medicago
419	truncatula phosphate transporters MtPT1 and MtPT2 (163) and the upregulation of
420	mycorrhiza-inducible Pth1 transporters (152 and references therein). Some of them are mostly
421	or exclusively expressed in arbusculated cells. Among them, MtPT4 localizes to the PAM
422	surrounding the arbuscule branches (164, 122). Interestingly, the two mycorrhiza-inducible Pi
423	transporters, MtPT4 and LjPT4, are expressed in the root tips of non-colonized plants,
424	suggesting they play a role in the Pi-sensing machinery of root tips (165).
425	

426 Nitrogen

427 Although the impact of AM symbiosis on plant N uptake is not as clearly defined as that of Pi,

428 there is increasing evidence for the existence of a N pathway through the fungal hyphae to the

429 host plant, in spite of the contribution of AM fungi to the plant total N nutrition varying

430 considerably depending on the context (8, 158, 166). Nitrogen is found in soil in both organic 431 and inorganic compounds and plants use all of them. The former include simple molecules 432 such as urea, amino acids, amines and peptides, and complex ones, such as proteins, while 433 inorganic N compounds are mainly represented by nitrate (NO₃⁻) and ammonium (NH₄⁺). In 434 soils where N is limited or poorly mobile, due to drought or acidity, the contribution of the 435 AM fungus to plant N nutrition can be considerable (152, 167) ranging between 24 and 42% 436 of a plant total N content (168). 437 A few mechanisms of N uptake and transfer in the AM symbiosis have been recently described (166). Extraradical hyphae preferentially take up NH₄⁺, which is energetically less 438 439 costly than alternative N sources such as NO_3^- and amino acids. López-Pedrosa et al. (169) demonstrated that *GintAMT1*, a gene encoding for a high-affinity NH₄⁺ transporter (AMT) in 440 441 the AM fungus *R. irregularis*, is expressed in the extraradical mycelium. A second *R*. 442 irregularis AMT, has been characterized (170). GintAMT1 and GintAMT2 are differentially 443 expressed during the fungal life cycle and in response to N. In contrast to *GintAMT1*, 444 *GintAMT2* transcript levels are higher in the intraradical than extraradical hyphae. 445 Inside the extraradical mycelium, N compounds are converted into amino acids, mainly 446 arginine (171, 172). Arginine is then translocated to the intraradical hyphae within tubular 447 vacuoles and then reconverted into inorganic N compounds by the sequential enzymatic 448 activitiy of arginase and urease: NH_4^+ is the most likely form of N transferred from fungus to 449 plant (173, 174). It has been proposed that arginine binds to the negatively charged poly-P 450 and both could move together within the hyphae (171, 175). The eventual transfer of NH_4^+ from the apoplast to the plant cells probably relies on NH₄⁺ transporters sitting on the PAM 451 (176, 177, 178). Remarkably, mutations in AM-specific Pi and NH_4^+ transporters have an 452 453 impact on intraradical fungal development and arbuscule lifespan (179, 180). It has been

454 speculated that these transporters not only deliver nutrients to the plant cells, but also trigger

455 signaling processes that control arbuscule maintenance (180).

456 Even though the flux of mineral nutrients within the periarbuscular space is assumed to be

457 directed towards the plant cell, fungal Pi (181, 182) and NH_4^+ transporters (170) are expressed

458 in arbuscules. This finding suggests that the fungus may recover nutrients from the

459 periarbuscular interface, as a mechanism to control the amount of nutrients delivered to the

460 host.

461 As well as taking up inorganic N, AM fungi also appear to obtain N from complex organic

462 material (183, 184, 185, 186). Such a process probably involves, among other transporters, an

463 amino acid permease (AAP). A fungal APP (GmosAAP1) has been characterized in *F*.

464 *mosseae*. Since *GmosAAP1* is expressed in the extraradical mycelium and upregulated upon

465 exposure to organic nitrogen (187), this gene may play a role in the first steps of amino acid

466 acquisition from the soil. Since short peptides can represent a greater proportion of N in soils

467 than free amino acids, it is notable that AM fungi also possess functional dipeptide

468 transporters such as RiPTR2 (188). In yeast complementation assays, RiPTR2 allowed the

469 uptake of several dipeptides such as Ala-Leu, Ala-Tyr, Tyr-Ala. *RiPTR2* is expressed in the

470 extraradical hyphae, suggesting a role in the uptake of organic N from soil; however, a

471 stronger expression is consistently observed in the intraradical phase (188). This finding

472 points to a function for this transporter in the mobilization of organic N in mycorrhizal roots.

473 Despite all the interesting findings, many critical questions about N transport through the fungal

474 hyphae and across the mycorrhizal interface are still unanswered and should be addressed in

475 future studies (166).

476

477 **Other mineral nutrients**

- 478 In addition to the improvement of plant N and P nutrition, physiological studies also have
- 479 highlighted a role for AM fungi in enhancing the absorption of other ions such as sulphur,

480 potassium or different secondary macro- and microelements (1, 152).

- 481 Sulphur (S) is a key macronutrient for plant growth, development and response to several
- 482 stresses. Casieri et al. (189) observed an increased S content in mycorrhizal compared to non-
- 483 mycorrhizal plants and the up-regulation of two S transporters (MtSULTR1.1 and
- 484 MtSULTR1.2) in Medicago truncatula. More recently, a Lotus japonicus transporter
- 485 (LjSultr1;2), specifically involved in sulphate uptake from arbuscules, has been identified
- 486 (190).

487 Despite the importance of potassium (K^+) for plant growth, the contribution of AM symbiosis

488 to plant K^+ nutrition has only occasionally been studied. It appears that plant K^+ nutrition is

489 improved by mycorrhization, especially under K⁺ limiting conditions. Moreover, this

490 improvement could affect abiotic stress tolerance, P homeostasis maintenance, or exclusion of

- 491 soil contaminants such as radiocaesium (191 and reference therein). The characterization of
- 492 genes involved in the transport and metabolism of K⁺ and other mineral nutrients is required

493 before a comprehensive map of the transportome of arbuscular mycorrhizae can be developed.

494

495 Carbon

In exchange for the improved access of plants to nutrients, AM fungi take advantage of carbon compounds of plant origin, consuming between 10 to 30% of the plant photosynthates (192). The transcriptional regulation of genes involved in sucrose transport has been reported in several plant-fungus combinations (152 and references therein), although more efforts are required in order to clarify which plant sucrose transporters and regulatory mechanisms are active in sucrose partitioning during mycorrhization. On the fungal side, only one highaffinity monosaccharide transporter (MST), probably responsible for C uptake from the

interface compartment, has been described (193). The gene *MST2* is highly expressed in arbuscules and intercellular hyphae. The high affinity and transport capability for xylose residues suggest that the use of derivatives from plant cell wall polymers could be an additional source of C. Interestingly, *MST2* is also expressed in extraradical hyphae, which can take up glucose and xylose, suggesting a partial metabolic independence of AM fungi from host plants.

509 For full mutualism to occur, a functional linkage between C and P exchange, under a fine

510 control by both partners, is likely to be in place. Recent studies have demonstrated that a

511 strategy of reciprocal rewards rules AM interactions: in the presence of multiple partners, the

512 most beneficial one is rewarded with the majority of resources (14, 194). A similar

513 mechanism also appears to regulate C and N exchange (175). These striking results support

514 the idea that biological market dynamics ensure the stable regulation of resource exchange in

515 the evolution of AM symbiosis. However, other evidence suggests that reciprocal regulation

516 represents only a fraction of the forces determining resource exchange in the AM symbiosis

- and such reciprocity is only found in a subset of symbionts under specific conditions (195).
- 518

519 The impact of AM symbiosis on above ground organs of the host plant

520 The impact of the AM symbiosis goes beyond the root apparatus and involves distal parts of

521 the plant through a fine shaping of the whole plant physiology. The first molecular evidence

522 of a systemic effect was observed at the level of gene expression profiles: changes in

523 transcript pattern, which were not a mere consequence of an improvement in P nutrition, were

- 524 observed in shoots of *M. truncatula* upon root colonization by AM fungi (196). A
- 525 transcriptional reprogramming was also reported for other plants such as tomato and maize
- 526 (198, 199, 200). Gerlach and colleagues (200) also performed parallel ionomic and
- 527 metabolomic analyses showing drastic changes in leaf elemental composition, a general

increase in C *versus* N metabolism and an accumulation of secondary metabolites. The AM
symbiosis therefore influences the physiological status of plant leaves.

530 The systemic effect of the AM symbiosis was recently shown to also extend to fruits with the 531 potential to increase their nutritional values. Lycopene, carotenoid and volatile compound 532 contents were significantly increased in fruits of mycorrhizal tomato plants compared to those 533 of non-mycorrhizal plants (201, 202). An overall increase in fruit yield of mycorrhizal tomato 534 plants, as well as qualitative and quantitative changes in amino acid profile accompanied 535 phenological modifications as an accelerated flowering and fruiting time (203, 204). Not only 536 vegetative but also reproductive traits are therefore under the influence of the AM symbiotic 537 interaction. This situation has major ecological and agronomical implications. 538 Such systemic effects have been proposed to depend, at least to some extent, on the action of 539 phytohormones, which are also involved in AM establishment and functioning (205, 206, 540 207). The levels of several hormones such as salycilic acid (SA), jasmonic acid (JA), abscisic 541 acid (ABA), auxin and ethylene, are altered during AM colonization (208, 209, 210, 211). In 542 addition, SA, ethylene and cytokinins are considered negative regulators of fungal penetration 543 and root colonization (205). At later stages, arbuscule development is suppressed by 544 biologically active gibberellins and promoted by DELLA gibberellin repressors (137, 205, 545 212). By contrast, ABA and auxins positively regulate arbuscule development and 546 functionality (213, 214), while contrasting effects have been described for jasmonates (215). 547 The alteration of transcriptional profiles and hormonal balance in mycorrhizal plants may also 548 have an impact on the plant response to abiotic and biotic stresses (6, 18, 216, 217, 218). AM 549 symbiosis often reduces the damage caused by soil-borne pathogens, while the effect on pests 550 and pathogens attacking from aboveground are more variable and are highly dependent on the 551 combination of AM fungus, plant and attacker (18). The effect of AM at both local and 552 systemic scale strongly suggests that the bioprotective role of mycorrhization is not simply

related to improved mineral nutrition, changes in the root apparatus and/or in the microbial
rhizosphere communities, but rather to the activation of systemic defense responses (218, 219,
220, 221, 222, 223). In support of this hypothesis, stress and defense-related genes are upregulated in mycorrhizal plants, which in turn show increased tolerance to foliar bacterial
pathogens (196, 199).

In this context, the combined action of plant hormones and gene regulation may contribute to the generation of a primed status in the plant, allowing a more efficient activation of defence mechanisms in the case of a subsequent attack (200) The identification of the full set of defence regulatory elements deployed by mycorrhizal plants and indirectly driven by AM fungi, will have important practical implications regarding the effectiveness of the AM

563 symbiosis in biological control and integrated management of pests and diseases.

564

565 The common mycorrhizal network

566 AM fungi can also influence plant community dynamics and plant-plant interactions; this has 567 major implications for natural and agricultural systems. A fascinating feature of AM fungi is 568 the ability of their extraradical mycelium to inter-connect individual plants of the same or 569 different species in 'common mycorrhizal networks' (CMNs; 224). CMNs are very common 570 in terrestrial ecosystems, where they are thought to play key roles. Plants invest between 10 571 and 30% of their photosynthetic products in their fungal symbionts, and receive in exchange 572 up to 90% of their mineral requirements (4, 192). CMNs represent possible pathways for the 573 movement of soil-derived nutrients and plant-derived carbon within the network and between 574 CMN-interconnected plants. However, the knowledge on how C, N and P (as well as other 575 nutrients) are exchanged and redistributed via the CMNs is still limited. The transfer of C via 576 CMNs has been demonstrated from autotrophic to achlorophyllous (non-photosynthetic) 577 plants, yet its transfer between autotrophic plants remains more controversial (225, 226, 227).

578 Similarly, the role of CMNs on mineral (e.g. N) transport between plants is not so clear (228). 579 Moreover, the terms of trade, that is the relationship between the investment of a given plant 580 into a CMN (amount of assimilated C), and the return of investment in terms of mineral 581 nutrients provided by the CMN, are unresolved. Different co-cultivated plants benefit 582 differently from their CMN, depending on the AM fungal species involved (229, 230, 231). 583 To address such questions, Walder et al. (232) set up an elegant microcosm experiment with a 584 pair of plants (flax and sorghum) interlinked by a CMN of either *R*. intraradices or *F*. *mosseae*. Fluxes of C, P and N were then monitored through C stable isotope tracing and ¹⁵N 585 and ³³P labeling. Depending on the fungal species, a strong asymmetry was observed in 586 587 resource exchange: flax invested little C but obtained up to 94% of the N and P provided by 588 the CMN. Furthermore, the overall biomass was larger when the plants were grown together 589 than in monoculture. Overall, CMNs appear to contribute to the productivity increase that is 590 often observed in intercropping compared with conventional monocropping systems (233). 591 These findings clearly challenge the "biological market" model where the most beneficial 592 partners are favoured (14) and suggest that resource exchange in the AM symbiosis is 593 determined by more complex factors (195). 594 Recently, a new role for CMNs was discovered. Plants can exploit CMNs to transfer defense 595 signals to neighboring individuals. The first demonstration of interplant signalling via CMNs 596 was in tomato plants attacked by the foliar necrotrophic fungus Alternaria solani: six defense-597 related genes were upregulated in uninfected plants that were only connected to the infected 598 individuals by CMN (234). The CMN-mediated transfer of defense signals was also observed 599 between insect-attacked plants and healthy neighboring plants (235, 236). Altogether, CMNs 600 seems to act as a belowground interplant defense communication system. Nevertheless, the 601 nature and the mechanism of signal transfer through the fungal mycelium as well as the 602 ubiquity and ecological impact of interplant signaling in nature remain to be fully understood

603 (237).

604

605 CONCLUDING REMARKS

606 Our understanding of the AM interaction at multiple levels, from cells to ecosystems, is 607 increasingly benefiting from the developments and advances of investigation tools such as 608 'omics' technologies, live cell imaging, stable isotope tracking and genetic manipulation. 609 Already genome sequencing and analyses has accelerated our understanding of enigmatic 610 aspects of the genetics and biology of AM fungi. It is likely that mycorrhizal research will 611 more and more rely on multidisciplinary approaches and combinations of analytical 612 techniques - in both controlled and natural conditions - to answer numerous questions 613 concerning the evolution, ecology and functioning of this fascinating interaction. The holistic 614 knowledge generated by such approaches will be crucial to boost the fruitful application of 615 the AM symbiosis in sustainable agronomical practices to face current challenges in global 616 food production and security. 617 618 Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Simone Belmondo and Giulia Russo for their contribution to the literature
survey. Research was funded by Progetto Ateneo SLEPS and Ricerca Locale 2014 to LL and
Ricerca Locale 2014 to AG.

624	1. Smith VSE	, Read DJ. 2008. N	Aycorrhizal S	ymbiosis 3 ^{rc}	^d Edition.	Aufl.,	Academic Press.
-----	--------------	--------------------	---------------	--------------------------	-----------------------	--------	-----------------

- 625 2. van der Heijden MGA, Sanders IR. 2002. Mycorrhizal ecology. Springer-Verlag
- 626 Berlin Heidelberg
- 3. Bonfante P, Genre A. 2010. Mechanisms underlying beneficial plant-fungus interactions
 in mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Nature Comm* 1:48.
- 4. van der Heijden MGA, Martin F, Selosse MA, Sanders IR. 2015. Mycorrhizal ecology
 and evolution: the past, the present and the future. *New Phytol* 205:1406-1423.
- 631 5. Gianinazzi S, Gollotte A, Binet MN, van Tuinen D, Redecker D, Wipf D. 2010.
- Agroecology: the key role of arbuscular mycorrhizas in ecosystem services. *Mycorrhiza*20:51-530.
- 6. Berruti A, Lumini E, Balestrini R, Bianciotto V. 2016. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi as
 natural biofertilizers: let's benefit from past successes. *Front Microbiol* 6:1559.
- 636 7. Miller RM, Reinhardt DR, Jastrow JD. 1995. External hyphal production of vesicular-
- arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in pasture and tallgrass prairie communities. *Oecologia* **103:**17-23.
- 639 8. Smith SE, Smith FA. 2011. Roles of arbuscular mycorrhizas in plant nutrition and

growth: New paradigms from cellular to ecosystem scales. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 62:227250.

- 642 9. Finlay RD. 2008. Ecological aspects of mycorrhizal symbiosis: with special emphasis on
 643 the functional diversity of interactions involving the extraradical mycelium. *J Exp Bot*644 59:1115-1126
- 645 10. Bonfante P. 1984. Anatomy and morphology of VA mycorrhizae, p 5-33. *In* CL Powell,
 646 DJ Bagyaraj (ed), *VA Mycorrhizae*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.

- 647 11. Gutjahr C, Parniske M. 2013. Cell and developmental biology of arbuscular
- 648 mycorrhiza symbiosis. Ann Rev Cell Develop Biol 29:593-617.
- Lanfranco L, Young JPW. 2012. Genetic and genomic glimpses of the elusive
 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 15:454-461.
- 13. Bago B, Pfeffer PE, Shachar-Hill Y. 2000. Carbon metabolism and transport in
 arbuscular mycorrhizas. *Plant Physiol* 124:949-958.
- 653 14. Kiers ET, Duhame M, Beesetty Y, Mensah JA, Franken O, Verbruggen E,
- 654 Fellbaum CR, Kowalchuk GA, Hart MM, Bago A, Palmer TM, West SA,
- 655 Vandenkoornhuyse P, Jansa J, Bücking H. 2011. Reciprocal rewards stabilize
- 656 cooperation in the mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Science* **333**:880-882.
- 657 15. Rillig MC, Aguilar-Trigueros CA, Bergmann J, Verbruggen E, Veresoglou SD,
- 658 Lehmann A. 2015. Plant root and mycorrhizal fungal traits for understanding soil
- 659 aggregation. *New Phytol* **205**:1385-1388.
- 16. van der Heijden MGA, Klironomos JN, Ursic M, Moutoglis P, Streitwolf-Engel R,
- 661 Boller T, Wiemken A, Sanders IR. 1998. Mycorrhizal fungal diversity determines
- plant biodiversity, ecosystem variability and productivity. *Nature* **396**:69-72.
- 17. Pozo MJ, Azcón-Aguilar C. 2007. Unraveling mycorrhiza-induced resistance. *Curr*
- 664 *Opin Plant Biol* **10:**393-398.
- 18. Jung SC, Martinez-Medina A, Lopez-Raez JA, Pozo MJ. 2012. Mycorrhiza-induced
- resistance and priming of plant defenses. *J Chem Ecol* **38**:651-64.
- Porcel R, Aroca R, Ruiz-Lozano JM. 2011. Salinity stress alleviation using arbuscular
 mycorrhizal fungi. *Agronomy Sust Developm* 32:181-200.

- 669 20. Augé RM, Toler HD, Saxton AM. 2015. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis alters
- stomatal conductance of host plants more under drought than under amply watered
- 671 conditions: a meta-analysis. *Mycorrhiza* **25**:13-24.
- 672 21. Redecker D, Kodner R, Graham LE. 2000. Glomalean fungi from the Ordovician.
 673 *Science* 289:1920-1921.
- 674 22. Remy W, Taylor TN, Hass N, Kerp H. 1994. Four hundred-million-year-old
- 675 vescicular arbuscular mycorrhizae. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **91:**11841-11843.
- 676 23. Janse JM. 1896. Les endophytes radicaux de quelques plantes Javanese. Annales du
- 677Jardin Botanique de Buitenzorg 15:53-212.
- 678 24. Gallaud I. 1905. Études sur les mycorrhizes endotrophes. Revue Générale de Botanique

679 **17:**5-48, 66-83, 123-135, 223-239, 313-325, 425-433, 479-500.

- 680 25. **Tulasne LR, Tulasne C**. 1844. Fungi nonnulli hipogaei, novi v. minus cogniti auct.
- 681 *Giornale Botanico Italiano* **2:**55-63.
- 682 26. Schüβler A, Schwarzott D, Walker C. 2001. A new fungal phylum, the
- 683 *Glomeromycota*: phylogeny and evolution. *Mycol Res* **105**:1413-1421.
- 684 27. Öpik M, Zobel M, Cantero JJ, Davison J, Facelli JM, Hiiesalu I, Jairus T, Kalwij
- 685 JM, Koorem K, Leal ME, Liira J, Metsis M, Neshataeva V, Paal J, Phosri C, Põlme
- 686 S, Reier Ü, Saks Ü, Schimann H, Thiéry O, Vasar M, Moora M. 2013. Global
- 687 sampling of plant roots expands the described molecular diversity of arbuscular
- 688 mycorrhizal fungi. *Mycorrhiza* **23:**411-430.
- 689 28. Davison J, Moora M, Öpik M, Adholeya A, Ainsaar L, Bâ A, Burla S, Diedhiou
- 690 AG, Hiiesalu I, Jairus T, Johnson NC, Kane A, Koorem K, Kochar M, Ndiaye C,
- 691 Pärtel M, Reier Ü, Saks Ü, Singh R, Vasar M, Zobel M. 2015. Global assessment of

- arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus diversity reveals very low endemism. *Science* 349:970973.
- 694 29. Krüger M, Krüger C, Walker C, Stockinger H, Schüßler A. 2012. Phylogenetic 695 reference data for systematics and phylotaxonomy of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi from 696 phylum to species level. New Phytol 193:970-984. 697 30. Lee J, Young JPW. 2009. The mitochondrial genome sequence of the arbuscular 698 mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus intraradices* isolate 494 and implications for the 699 phylogenetic placement of Glomus. New Phytol 183:200-211. 700 31. Pelin A, Pombert JF, Salvioli A, Bonen L, Bonfante P, Corradi N. 2012. The 701 mitochondrial genome of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus Gigaspora margarita reveals 702 two unsuspected transsplicing events of group I introns. New Phytol 194:836-845. 703 32. Nadimi M, Beaudet D, Forget L, Hijri M, Lang BF. 2012. Group I intron-mediated 704 trans-splicing in mitochondria of Gigaspora rosea and a robust phylogenetic affiliation of 705 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi with Mortierellales. Mol Biol Evol 29:2199-2210. 706 33. Halary S, Malik SB, Lildhar L, Slamovits CH, Hijri M, Corradi N. 2011. Conserved 707 meiotic machinery in Glomus spp., a putatively ancient asexual fungal lineage. Genome 708 Biol Evol 3:950-958. 709 34. Tisserant E, Malbreil M, Kuo A, Kohler A, Symeonidi A, Balestrini R, Charron P, 710 Duensing N, Frei dit Frey N, Gianinazzi-Pearson V, Gilbert LB, Handa Y, Herr JR, 711 Hijri M, Koul R, Kawaguchi M, Krajinski F, Lammers PJ, Masclaux FG, Murat C, 712 Morin E, Ndikumana S, Pagni M, Petitpierre D, Requena N, Rosikiewicz P, Riley R, 713 Saito K, San Clemente H, Shapiro H, van Tuinen D, Becard G, Bonfante P, 714 Paszkowski U, Shachar!Hill YY, Tuskan GA, Young PW, Sanders IR, Henrissat B, 715 Rensing SA, Grigoriev IV, Corradi N, Roux C, Martin F. 2013. Genome of an

arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus provides insight into the oldest plant symbiosis. *Proc Natl*

```
717 Acad Sci USA 110:20117-20122.
```

718 35. Lin K, Limpens E, Zhang ZH, Ivanov S, Saunders DGO, Mu DS, Pang E, Cao H,

- 719 Cha H, Lin T, Zhou Q, Shang Y, Li Y, Sharma T, van Velzen R, de Ruijter N,
- 720 Aanen DK, Win J, Kamoun S, Bisseling T, Geurts R, Huang S. 2014. Single nucleus
- genome sequencing reveals high similarity among nuclei of an endomycorrhizal fungus.
- 722 *PLoS Genet* **10:**e1004078.
- 36. Young JPW. 2015. Genome diversity in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 23:113-119.
- 725 37. Bidartondo MI, Read DJ, Trappe JM, Merckx V, Ligrone R, Duckettet JG. 2011.
- The dawn of symbiosis between plants and fungi. *Biol Lett* **7:**574-577.
- 727 38. Field KJ, Pressel S, Duckett JG, Rimington WR, Bidartondo MI. 2015. Symbiotic
- 728 options for the conquest of land. *Trends Ecol Evol* **30**:477-486.
- 39. Hosny M, Gianinazzi-Pearson V, Dulieu H. 1998. Nuclear DNA content of 11 fungal
- 730 species in Glomales. *Genome* **41**:422-428.
- 40. Jany JL, Pawlowska TE. 2010. Multinucleate spores contribute to evolutionary
- 732 longevity of asexual Glomeromycota. *Am Nat* **175**:424-435.
- 41. Lanfranco L, Delpero M, Bonfante P. 1999. Intrasporal variability of ribosomal
- sequences in the endomycorrhizal fungus *Gigaspora margarita*. *Mol Ecol* **8**:37-45.
- 42. Jansa J, Mozafar A, Anken T, Ruh R, Sanders IR, Frossard E. 2002. Diversity and
- structure of AMF communities as affected by tillage in a temperate soil. *Mycorrhiza*
- 737 **12:**225-234.
- 43. Stockinger H, Walker C, Schüssler A. 2009. 'Glomus intraradices DAOM197198', a
- 739 model fungus in arbuscular mycorrhiza research, is not *Glomus intraradices*. New Phytol

740 **183:**1176-1187.

- 44. Hijri M, Sanders IR. 2005. Low gene copy number shows that arbuscular mycorrhizal
 fungi inherit genetically different nuclei. *Nature* 433:160-163.
- 743 45. Rosendahl S, Stukenbrock EH. 2004. Community structure of arbuscular mycorrhizal
- fungi in undisturbed vegetation revealed by analyses of LSU rDNA sequences. *Mol Ecol*13:3179-3186.
- 46. **Ropars J, Corradi N.** 2015. Homokaryotic vs heterokaryotic mycelium in arbuscular
- 747 mycorrhizal fungi: different techniques, different results? *New Phytol* **208**:638-641.
- 748 47. Pawlowska TE, Taylor JW. 2004. Organization of genetic variation in individuals of
- arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Nature* 427:733-737.
- 48. Giovannetti M, Fortuna P, Citernesi AS, Morini S, Nuti MP. 2001. The occurrence
- of anastomosis formation and nuclear exchange in intact arbuscular mycorrhizal networks. *New Phytol* 151:717-724.
- 49. Giovannetti M, Sbrana C, Avio L, Strani P. 2004. Patterns of below-ground plant
- 754 interconnections established by means of arbuscular mycorrhizal networks. *New Phytol*755 164:175-181.
- 50. Croll D, Giovannetti M, Koch AM, Sbrana C, Ehinger M, Lammers PJ, Sanders IR.

757 2009. Nonself vegetative fusion and genetic exchange in the arbuscular mycorrhizal

- fungus *Glomus intraradices*. *New Phytol* **151**:717-724.
- 759 51. Giovannetti M, Sbrana C, Strani P, Agnolucci M, Rinaudo V, Avio L. 2003. Genetic
- 760 diversity of isolates of *Glomus mosseae* from different geographic areas detected by
- 761 vegetative compatibility testing and biochemical and molecular analysis. *Appl Environ*
- 762 *Microbiol* **69:**616-624.

- 763 52. Desirò A, Salvioli A, Ngonkeu EL, Mondo SJ, Epis S, Faccio A, Kaech A,
- 764 **Pawlowska TE, Bonfante P.** 2014. Detection of a novel intracellular microbiome hosted
- in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *ISME J* **8**:257-270.
- 53. Ghignone S, Salvioli A, Anca I, Lumini E, Ortu G, Petiti L, Cruveiller S, Bianciotto
- 767 V, Piffanelli P, Lanfranco L, Bonfante P. 2012. The genome of the obligate
- reveals an interphylum network of nutritional
- 769 interactions. *ISME J* **6**:136-145.
- 54. Torres-Cortésa G, Ghignone S, Bonfante P, Schüßler A. 2015. Mosaic genome of
- endobacteria in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: transkingdom gene transfer in an ancient
- 772 mycoplasma-fungus association. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **112**:7785-7790.
- 55. Naito M, Morton JB, Pawlowska TE. 2015. Minimal genomes of mycoplasma-related
- endobacteria are plastic and contain host-derived genes for sustained life within
- Glomeromycota. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **112:**7791-7796.
- 56. Salvioli A, Ghignone S, Novero M, Navazio L, Venice F, Bagnaresi P, Bonfante P.
- 2016. Symbiosis with an endobacterium increases the fitness of a mycorrhizal fungus,
- raising its bioenergetic potential. *ISME J* 1-15.
- 57. Vannini C, Carpentieri A, Salvioli A, Novero M, Marsoni M, Testa L, de Pinto MC,

780 Amoresano A, Ortolani F, Bracale M, Bonfante P. 2016. An interdomain network: the

- endobacterium of a mycorrhizal fungus promotes antioxidative responses in both fungal
- and plant hosts. *New Phytol* doi:10.1111/nph.13895
- 58. Ikeda Y, Shimura H, Kitahara R, Masuta C, Ezawa T. 2012. A novel virus-like
- double-stranded RNA in an obligate biotroph arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus: a hidden
- 785 player in mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Mol Plant-Microbe Interact* **25**:1005-1012.

786	59. Kitahara R, Ikeda Y, Shimura H, Masuta C, Ezawa T. 2012. A unique mitovirus
787	from Glomeromycota, the phylum of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Arch Virol 159:2157-
788	2160.
789	60. Martin F, Tuskan GA, DiFazio SP, Lammers P, Newcombe G, Podila GK. 2004.

- 790 Symbiotic sequencing for the *Populus* mesocosm. *New Phytol* **161:**330-335.
- 791 61. Spanu PD, Abbott JC, Amselem J, Burgis TA, Soanes DM, Stüber K, Ver Loren
- van, Themaat E, Brown JK, Butcher S A, Gurr SJ, Lebrun MH, Ridout CJ,
- 793 Schulze-Lefert P, Talbot NJ, Ahmadinejad N, Ametz C, Barton GR, Benjdia M,
- 794 Bidzinski P, Bindschedler LV, Both M, Brewer MT, Cadle-Davidson L, Cadle-
- 795 Davidson MM, Collemare J, Cramer R, Frenkel O, Godfrey D, Harriman J, Hoede
- 796 C, King BC, Klages S, Kleemann J, Knoll D, Koti PS, Kreplak J, López-Ruiz FJ, Lu
- 797 X, Maekawa T, Mahanil S, Micali C, Milgroom MG, Montana G, Noir S, O'Connell
- 798 RJ, Oberhaensli S, Parlange F, Pedersen C, Quesneville H, Reinhardt R, Rott M,
- 799 Sacristán S, Schmidt SM, Schön M, Skamnioti P, Sommer H, Stephens A, Takahara
- 800 H, Thordal- Christensen H, Vigouroux M, Wessling R, Wicker T, Panstruga R. 2010.
- 801 Genome expansion and gene loss in powdery mildew fungi reveal tradeoffs in extreme
- 802 parasitism. *Science* **330**:1543-1546.
- 803 62. Martin F, Kohler A, Murat C, Balestrini R, Coutinho PM, Jaillon O, Montanini B,

804 Morin E, Noel B, Percudani R, Porcel B, Rubini A, Amicucci A, Amselem J,

- 805 Anthouard V, Arcioni S, Artiguenave F, Aury JM, Ballario P, Bolchi A, Brenna A,
- 806 Brun A, Buée M, Cantarel B, Chevalier G, Couloux A, Da Silva C, Denoeud F,
- 807 Duplessis S, Ghignone S, Hilselberger B, Iotti M, Marçais B, Mello A, Miranda M,
- 808 Pacioni G, Quesneville H, Riccioni C, Ruotolo R, Splivallo R, Stocchi V, Tisserant E,
- 809 Viscomi AR, Zambonelli A, Zampieri E, Henrissat B, Lebrun MH, Paolocci F,
- 810 Bonfante P, Ottonello S, Wincker P. 2010. Périgord black truffle genome uncovers

811 evol	utionary	origins and	mechanisms	of syı	mbiosis.	Nature	464:	1033-	-1038	3.
----------	----------	-------------	------------	--------	----------	--------	------	-------	-------	----

- 812 63. Ropars J, Kinga Sędzielewska Toro K, Noel J, Pelin A, Charron P, Farinelli L,
- 813 Marton T, Krüger M, Fuchs J, Brachmann A, Corradi N. 2016. Evidence for the
- 814 sexual origin of heterokaryosis in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Nature Microbiol*
- 815 doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.33
- 816 64. Genre A, Chabaud M, Timmers T, Bonfante P, Barker DG. 2005. Arbuscular
- 817 mycorrhizal fungi elicit a novel intracellular apparatus in *Medicago truncatula* root
- epidermal cells before infection. *Plant Cell* **17:**3489-3499.
- 819 65. Harrison MJ. 2012. Cellular programs for arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Curr Opin*
- 820 *Plant Biol* **15:**691-8.
- 821 66. Genre A, Chabaud M, Faccio A, Barker DG, Bonfante P. 2008. Prepenetration
- apparatus assembly precedes and predicts the colonization patterns of arbuscular
- 823 mycorrhizal fungus within the root cortex of both *Medicago truncatula* and *Daucus*
- 824 *carota. Plant Cell* **20:**1407-1420.
- 67. Wang B, Yeun LH, Xue JY, Liu Y, Ané JM, Qiu YL. 2010. Presence of three
- 826 mycorrhizal genes in the common ancestor of land plants suggests a key role of
- 827 mycorrhizas in the colonization of land by plants. *New Phytol* **186**:514-525.
- 828 68. Delaux PM, Radhakrishnan GV, Jayaraman D, Cheema J, Malbreil M, Volkening
- JD, Sekimoto H, Nishiyama T, Melkonian M, Pokomy L, Rothfels JC, Sederoff HW,
- 830 Stevenson DW, Surek B, Zhang Y, Sussman MR, Dunand C, Morris RJ, Roux C,
- 831 Wong GK-S, Oldroyd GED, Ané J-M. 2015. Algal ancestor of land plants was
- preadapted for symbiosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **112**:13390-13395.
- 69. Buée M, Rossignol M, Jauneau A, Ranjeva R, Bécard G. 2000. The pre-symbiotic
- growth of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi is induced by a branching factor partially purified
- from plant root exudates. *Mol Plant-Microbe Interact* **13**:693-698.

- 836 70. Nagahashi G, Douds DD. 2004. Isolated root caps, border cells, and mucilage from host
- 837 roots stimulate hyphal branching of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus, *Gigaspora*
- 838 gigantea. Mycol Res 108:1079-1088.
- 839 71. Al-Babili S, Bouwmeester HJ. 2015. Strigolactones, a novel carotenoid-derived plant
 840 hormone. *Ann Rev Plant Biol* 66:161-18.
- 72. Ruyter-Spira C, Al-Babili S, van der Krol S, Bouwmeester H. 2013. The biology of
 strigolactones. *Trends Plant Sci* 18:72-83.
- 843 73. Akiyama K, Matsuzaki K, Hayashi H. 2005. Plant sesquiterpenes induce hyphal
 844 branching in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Nature* 435:824-827.
- 845 74. Besserer A, Puech-Pages V, Kiefer P, Gomez-Roldan V, Jauneau A, Roy S, Portais
- 846 J-C, Roux C, Becard G, Séjalon-Delmas N. 2006. Strigolactones stimulate arbuscular
- 847 mycorrhizal fungi by activating mitochondria. *PLoS Biol* **4**:1239-1247.
- 848 75. Besserer A, Becard G, Roux C, Jauneau A, Séjalon-Delmas N. 2008. GR24, a
- 849 synthetic analogue of strigolactones, stimulates mitosis and growth of the arbuscular
- 850 mycorrhizal fungus *Gigaspora rosea* by boosting its energetic metabolism. *Plant Physiol*
- **148:**402-413.
- 76. Akiyama K, Ogasawara S, Hayashi H. 2010. Structural requirement of strigolactones
 for hyphal branching in AM fungi. *Plant Cell Physiol* 51:1104-1117.
- 77. Moscatiello R, Sello S, Novero M, Negro A, Bonfante P, Navazio L. 2014. The
- 855 intracellular delivery of TAT-aequorin reveals calcium-mediated sensing of
- environmental and symbiotic signals by the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus Gigaspora
- 857 *margarita. New Phytol* **203**:1012-1020.
- 858 78. Bonfante P, Genre A. 2015. Arbuscular mycorrhizal dialogues: do you speak 'plantish'
- 859 or 'fungish'? *Trends Plant Sci* **20**:150-154.

- 860 79. Bonfante P, Requena N. 2011. Dating in the dark: how roots respond to fungal signals
- to establish arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 14:451-457.

862 80. Kosuta S, Chabaud M, Lougnon G, Gough C, Dénarié J, Barker DG, Bécard G.

- 863 2003. A diffusible factor from arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi induces symbiosis-specific
- 864 *MtENOD11* expression in roots of *Medicago truncatula*. *Plant Physiol* **131(3)**:952-962.
- 865 81. Oláh B, Brière C, Bécard G, Dénarié J, Gough C. 2005. Nod factors and a diffusible
- factor from arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi stimulate lateral root formation in *Medicago*

truncatula via the DMI1/DMI2 signalling pathway. *Plant J* **44:**195-207.

- 868 82. Kuhn H, Küster H, Requena N. 2010. Membrane steroid-binding protein 1 induced by
- a diffusible fungal signal is critical for mycorrhization in *Medicago truncatula*. *New*
- 870 *Phytol* **185:**716-733.
- 871 83. Chabaud M, Genre A, Sieberer BJ, Faccio A, Fournier J, Novero M, Barker DG,

872 **Bonfante P.** 2011. Arbuscular mycorrhizal hyphopodia and germinated spore exudates

- trigger Ca^{2+} spiking in the legume and non legume root epidermis. *New Phytol* **189**:347-
- 874 55.
- 875 84. Mukherjee A, Ané J-M. 2011. Germinating spore exudates from arbuscular
- 876 mycorrhizal fungi: molecular and developmental responses in plants and their regulation
- 877 by ethylene. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* **24:**260-270.
- 878 85. Maillet F, Poinsot V, André O, Puech-Pagès V, Haouy A, Gueunier M, Dénarié J.
- 879 2011. Fungal lipochitooligosaccharide symbiotic signals in arbuscular mycorrhiza.
- 880 *Nature* **469:**58-63.
- 881 86. Genre A, Chabaud M, Balzergue C, Puech-Pages V, Novero M, Rey T, Fournier J,
- 882 Rochange S, Becard G, Bonfante P, Barker DG. 2013. Short-chain chitin oligomers
- from arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi trigger nuclear Ca²⁺ spiking in *Medicago truncatula*
- roots and their production is enhanced by strigolactone. *New Phytol* **198**:190-202.

885	87. Chabaud M, Venard C, Defaux-Petras A, Bécard G, Barker DG. 2002. Targeted
886	inoculation of Medicago truncatula in vitro root cultures reveals MtENOD11 expression
887	during early stages of infection by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. New Phytol 156:265-
888	273.
889	88. Czaja LF, Hogekamp C, Lamm P, Maillet F, Martinez EA, Samain E, Dénarié J,
890	Küster H, Hohnjec N. 2012. Transcriptional responses toward diffusible signals from
891	symbiotic microbes reveal MtNFP- and MtDMI3- dependent reprogramming of host gene
892	expression by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal lipochitooligosaccharides. Plant Physiol
893	159: 1671-1685.
894	89. Oldroyd GED. 2013. Speak, friend, and enter: signalling systems that promote
895	beneficial symbiotic associations in plants. Nature Rev Microbiol 11:252-263.
896	90. Gobbato E. 2015. Recent developments in arbuscular mycorrhizal signaling. Curr Opin
897	<i>Plant Biol</i> 26: 1-7.
898	91. Genre A, Russo G. 2016. Does a common pathway transduce symbiotic signals in
899	plant-microbe interactions. Front Plant Sci 7:96.
900	92. Chen T, Zhu H, Ke D, Cai K, Wang C, Gou H, Hong Z, Zhang Z. 2012. A MAP
901	kinase kinase interacts with SymRK and regulates nodule organogenesis in Lotus
902	japonicus. Plant Cell 24:823-38.
903	93. Venkateshwaran M, Jayaraman D, Chabaud M, Genre A, Balloon AJ, Maeda J,
904	Forshey K, den Os D, Kwiecien NW, Coon JJ, Barker DG, Ané J-M. 2015. A role
905	for the mevalonate pathway in early plant symbiotic signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA
906	112: 9781-9786.
907	94. Kanamori N, Madsen LH, Radutoiu S, Frantescu M, Quistgaard EM, Miwa H,
908	Downie JA, James EK, Felle HH, Haaning LL, Jensen TH, Sato S, Nakamura Y,
909	Tabata S, Sandal N, Stougaard J. 2006. A nucleoporin is required for induction of Ca ²⁺

- 910 spiking in legume nodule development and essential for rhizobial and fungal symbiosis.
- 911 *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **103:**359-364.

912 95. Saito K, Yoshikawa M, Yano K, Miwa H, Uchida H, Asamizu E, Sato S, Tabata S,

- 913 Imaizumi-Anraku H, Umehara Y, Kouchi H, Murooka Y, Szczyglowski K, Downie
- 914 JA, Parniske M, Hayashi M, Kawaguchi M. 2007. NUCLEOPORIN85 is required for
- 915 calcium spiking, fungal and bacterial symbioses, and seed production in *Lotus japonicus*.
- 916 *Plant Cell* **19:**610-624.
- 917 96. Groth M, Takeda N, Perry J, Uchida H, Dräxl S, Brachmann A, Sato S, Tabata S,
- 918 Kawaguchi M, Wang TL, Parniske M. 2010. NENA, a *Lotus japonicus* homologue of
- 919 Sec13, is required for rhizodermal infection by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi but
- 920 dispensable for cortical endosymbiotic development. *Plant Cell* **22**:2509-2526.
- 921 97. Riely BK, Lougnon G, Ané JM, Cook DR. 2007. The symbiotic ion channel homolog
- 922 DMI1 is localized in the nuclear membrane of *Medicago truncatula* roots. *Plant J*923 **49:**208-216.
- 924 98. Capoen W, Sun J, Wysham D, Otegui MS, Venkateshwaran M, Hirsch S, Miwa H,
- 925 **Downie JA, Morris RJ, Ané JM, Oldroyd GE.** 2011. Nuclear membranes control
- 926 symbiotic calcium signalling of legumes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **108**:14348-14353.
- 927 99. Ané J-M, Kiss GB, Riely BK, Penmetsa RV, Oldroyd GE, Ayax C, Lévy J, Debellé
- 928 F, Baek JM, Kalo P, Rosenberg C, Roe BA, Long SR, Dénarié J, Cook DR. 2004.
- 929 *Medicago truncatula* DMI1 required for bacterial and fungal symbioses in legumes.
- 930 *Science* **303**:1364-1367.
- 931 100. Imaizumi-Anraku H, Takeda N, Charpentier M, Perry J, Miwa H, Umehara Y,
- 932 Kouchi H, Murakami Y, Mulder L, Vickers K, Pike J, Downie JA, Wang T, Sato S,
- 933 Asamizu E, Tabata S, Yoshikawa M, Murooka Y, Wu GJ, Kawaguchi M, Kawasaki
- 934 S, Parniske M, Hayashi M. 2005. Plastid proteins crucial for symbiotic fungal and

- bacterial entry into plant roots. *Nature* **433**:527-531.
- 936 101. Venkateshwaran M, Cosme A, Han L, Banba M, Satyshur KA, Schleiff E,
- 937 Parniske M, Imaizumi-Anraku H, Ané JM. 2012. The recent evolution of a symbiotic
 938 ion channel in the legume family altered ion conductance and improved functionality in
- 939 calcium signaling. *Plant Cell* **24:**2528-2545.
- 940 102. Patil, S, Takezawa D, Poovaiah BW. 1995. Chimeric plant calcium/calmodulin-
- 941 dependent protein kinase gene with a neural visinin-like calcium binding domain. *Proc*942 *Natl Acad Sci USA* 92:4897-4901.
- 943 103. Takezawa D, Ramachandiran S, Paranjape V, Poovaiah BW. 1996. Dual regulation
- 944 of a chimeric plant serine/threonine kinase by calcium and calcium/calmodulin. *J Biol*945 *Chem* 271:8126-8132.
- 946 104. Levy J, Bres C, Geurts R, Chalhoub B, Kulikova O, Duc G, Journet EP, Ané JM,
- Lauber E, Bisseling T, Dénarié J, Rosenberg C, Debellé F. 2004. A putative Ca²⁺ and
 calmodulin-dependent protein kinase required for bacterial and fungal symbioses. *Science*303:1361-1364.
- 950 105. Mitra RM, Gleason CA, Edwards A, Hadfield J, Downie JA, Oldroyd GE, Long
- 951 **SR. 2004**. A Ca^{2+} /calmodulin-dependent protein kinase required for symbiotic nodule
- 952 development: gene identification by transcript-based cloning. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*
- **953 101:**4701-4705.
- 106. Miwa H. Sun J, Oldroyd GE, Downie JA. 2006. Analysis of calcium spiking using a
 cameleon calcium sensor reveals that nodulation gene expression is regulated by calcium
 spike number and the developmental status of the cell. *Plant J* 48:883-894.
- 957 107. Messinese E, Mun JH, Yeun LH, Jayaraman D, Rougé P, Barre A, Lougnon G,
- 958 Schornack S, Bono JJ, Cook DR, Ané JM. 2007. A novel nuclear protein interacts with
- 959 the symbiotic DMI3 calcium- and calmodulin-dependent protein kinase of *Medicago*

960	truncatula.	Mol Plant	Microbe	Interact	20: 912-921.
900	пипсаниа.		microbe	meraci	20.912-921

- 961 108. Yano K, Yoshida S, Müller J, Singh S, Banba M, Vickers K, Markmann K, White
- 962 C, Schuller B, Sato S, Asamizu E, Tabata S, Murooka Y, Perry J, Wang TL,
- 963 Kawaguchi M, Imaizumi-Anraku H, Hayashi M, Parniske M. 2008. CYCLOPS, a
- 964 mediator of symbiotic intracellular accommodation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105:20540965 20545.
- 966 109. Kaló P, Gleason C, Edwards A, Marsh J, Mitra RM, Hirsch S, Jakab J, Sims S,
- 967 Long SR, Rogers J, Kiss GB, Downie JA, Oldroyd GE. 2005. Nodulation signalling in
- 968 legumes requires NSP2, a member of the GRAS family of transcriptional regulators.
- 969 *Science* **308**:1786-1789.
- 970 110. Smit P, Raedts J, Portyanko V, Debellé F, Gough C, Bisseling T, Geurts R. 2005.
- 971 NSP1 of the GRAS protein family is essential for rhizobial Nod factor-induced
- 972 transcription. *Science* **308**:1789-1791.
- 973 111. Heckmann AB, Lombardo F, Miwa H, Perry JA, Bunnewell S, Parniske M, Wang
- 974 TL, Downie JA. 2006. Lotus japonicus nodulation requires two GRAS domain
- 975 regulators, one of which is functionally conserved in a non-legume. *Plant Physiol*
- **142:**1739-1750.
- 977 112. Murakami Y, Miwa H, Imaizumi-Anraku H, Kouchi H, Downie JA, Kawaguchi
- 978 M, Kawasaki S. 2006. Positional cloning identifies *Lotus japonicus* NSP2, a putative
- 979 transcription factor of the GRAS family, required for NIN and ENOD40 gene expression
- 980 in nodule initiation. DNA Res 13:255-265.
- 981 113. Marsh JF, Rakocevic A, Mitra RM, Brocard L, Sun J, Eschstruth A, Long SR,
- 982 Schultze M, Ratet P, Oldrovd GE. 2007. *Medicago truncatula* NIN is essential for
- 983 rhizobial-independent nodule organogenesis induced by autoactive calcium/calmodulin-
- 984 dependent protein kinase. *Plant Physiol* **144:3**24-335.

- 985 114. Schauser L, Roussis A, Stiller J, Stougaard J. 1999. A plant regulator controlling
 986 development of symbiotic root nodules. *Nature* 402:191-195.
- 987 115. Gobbato E, Marsh JF, Vernie T, Wang E, Maillet F, Kim J, Miller JB, Sun J,
- 988 Bano SA, Ratet P, Mysore KS, Dénarié J, Schultze M, Oldroyd GE. 2012. A GRAS-
- type transcription factor with a specific function in mycorrhizal signaling. *Curr Biol*
- **22:**2236-2241.
- 991 116. Giovannetti M, Sbrana C, Avio L, Citernesi AS, Logi C. 1993. Differential hyphal
 992 morphogenesis in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi during pre-infection stages. *New Phytol*993 125:587-593.
- 117. Nagahashi G, Douds DD. 1997. Appressorium formation by AM roots fungi on
 isolated cell walls of carrot. *New Phytol* 136:299-304.
- 996 118. Wang E, Schornack S, Marsh JF, Gobbato E, Schwessinger B, Eastmond P,
- 997 Schultze M, Kamoun S, Oldroyd GE. 2012. A common signaling process that promotes
 998 mycorrhizal and oomycete colonization of plants. *Curr Biol* 22:2242-2246.
- 999 119. Gutjahr C, Gobbato E, Choi J, Riemann M, Johnston MG, Summers W,
- 1000 Carbonnel S, Mansfield C, Yang S-Y, Nadal M, Acosta I, Takano M, Jiao W-B,
- 1001 Schneeberger K, Kelly KA, Paszkowski U. 2015. Rice perception of symbiotic
- arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi requires the karrikin receptor complex. *Science* **350**:1521-
- 1003 1524.
- 1004 120. Genre A, Ivanov S, Fendrych M, Faccio A, Zarsky V, Bisseling T, Bonfante P
- 1005 (2012) Multiple exocytotic markers accumulate at the sites of perifungal membrane
 1006 biogenesis in arbuscular mycorrhizas. *Plant Cell Physiol* 53:244-255.
- 1007 121. Takeda N, Maekawa T, Hayashi M. 2012. Nuclear-localized and deregulated
- 1008 calcium- and calmodulin-dependent protein kinase activates rhizobial and mycorrhizal
- 1009 responses in *Lotus japonicus*. *Plant Cell* **24:**810-822.

- 1010 122. Pumplin N, Harrison MJ. 2009. Live-cell imaging reveals periarbuscular membrane
- 1011 domains and organelle location in *Medicago truncatula* roots during arbuscular
- 1012 mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Plant Physiol* **151**:809-819.
- 1013 123. Bonfante P. 2001. At the interface between mycorrhizal fungi and plants: the structural
- 1014 organization of cell wall, plasma membrane and cytoskeleton, p 45-61. *In* Hock B (ed),
- 1015 The Mycota, IX: Fungal Associations, Springer, Berlin.
- 1016 124. Balestrini R, Bonfante P. 2014. Cell wall remodeling in mycorrhizal symbiosis: a way
 1017 towards biotrophism. *Front Plant Sci* 5:237.
- 1018 125. Pumplin N, Mondo SJ, Topp S, Starker CG, Gantt JS, Harrison MJ. 2010.
- 1019 *Medicago truncatula* Vapyrin is a novel protein required for arbuscular mycorrhizal
- 1020 symbiosis. *Plant J* **61:**482-494.
- 1021 126. Ivanov S, Fedorova EE, Limpens E, De Mita S, Genre A, Bonfante P, Bisseling T.
- 2012. Rhizobium-legume symbiosis shares an exocytotic pathway required for arbuscule
 formation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 109:8316-8321.
- 1024 127. Zhang X, Pumplin N, Ivanov S, Harrison MJ. 2015. EXO70I is required for
- 1025 development of a sub-domain of the periarbuscular membrane during arbuscular
- 1026 mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Curr Biol* **25**:2189-2195.
- 1027 128. Takeda S, Asamizu E, Tabata S, Parniske M. 2009. Apoplastic plant subtilase
- support arbuscular mycorrhiza development in *Lotus japonicus*. *Plant J* **58**:766-777.
- 1029 129. Rech SS, Heidt S, Requena N. 2013. A tandem Kunitz protease inhibitor (KPI106)-
- serine carboxypeptidase (SCP1) controls mycorrhiza establishment and arbuscule
- 1031 development in *Medicago truncatula*. *Plant J* **75**:711-25.
- 1032 130. Krajinski F, Courty PE, Sieh D, Franken P, Zhang H, Bucher M, GerlachN,
- 1033 Kryvoruchko I, Zoeller D, Udvardi M, Hause B. 2014. The H+-ATPase HA1 of

- 1034 *Medicago truncatula* is essential for phosphate transport and plant growth during
- arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Plant Cell* **26**:1808-1817.
- 1036 131. Wang E, Yu N, Bano SA, Liu C, Miller AJ, Cousins D, Zhang X, Ratet P, Tadege
- 1037 M, Mysore KS, Downie JA, Murray JD, Oldroyd GE, Schultze M. 2014. A H⁺-
- 1038 ATPase that energizes nutrient uptake during mycorrhizal symbioses in rice and
- 1039 *Medicago truncatula. Plant Cell* **26:**1818-183.
- 1040 132. Zhang Q, Blaylock LA, Harrison MJ. 2010. Two Medicago truncatula half-ABC
- 1041 transporters are essential for arbuscule development in arbuscular mycorrhizal
- 1042 symbiosis. *Plant Cell* **22**:1483-97.
- 1043 133. Javot H, Penmetsa RV, Terzaghi N, Cook DR, Harrison MJ. 2007. A Medicago
- 1044 *truncatula* phosphate transporter indispensable for the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis.
- 1045 *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **104:**1720-1725.
- 1046 134. Yang SY, Grønlund M, Jakobsen I, Grotemeyer MS, Rentsch D, Miyao A,
- 1047 Hirochika H, Kumar CS, Sundaresan V, Salamin N, Catausan S, Mattes N, Heuer
- 1048 S, Paszkowski U. 2012. Non-redundant regulation of rice arbuscular mycorrhizal
- 1049 symbiosis by two members of the PHOSPHATE TRANSPORTER1 gene family. *Plant*
- 1050 *Cell* **24:**4236-4251.
- 1051 135. Pumplin N, Zhang X, Noar RD, Harrison MJ. 2012. Polar localization of a
- symbiosis-specific phosphate transporter is mediated by a transient reorientation of
- secretion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **109:**665-672.
- 1054 136. Horváth B, Yeun LH, Domonkos A, Halász G, Gobbato E, Ayaydin F, Miró K,
- 1055 Hirsch S, Sun J, Tadege M, Ratet P, Mysore KS, Ané JM, Oldroyd GE, Kaló P.
- 1056 2011. *Medicago truncatula* IPD3 is a member of the common symbiotic signaling
- 1057 pathway required for rhizobial and mycorrhizal symbioses. Mol Plant Microbe Interact
- **24**:1345-1358.

- 1059 137. Floss DS, Levy JG, Levesque-Tremblay V, Pumplin N, Harrison MJ. 2013.
- 1060 DELLA proteins regulate arbuscule formation in arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis.
- 1061 *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **110:**E5025-E5034.
- 1062 138. Takeda N, Handa Y, Tsuzuki S, Kojima M, Sakakibara H, Kawaguchi M. 2015.
- 1063 Gibberellins interfere with symbiosis signaling and gene expression and alter
- 1064 colonization by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in *Lotus japonicus*. *Plant Physiol* **167:**545-
- 1065 557.
- 1066 139. Xue L, Cui H, Buer B, Vijayakumar V, Delaux PM, Junkermann S, Bucher M.
- 2015. Network of GRAS transcription factors involved in the control of arbuscule
 development in *Lotus japonicus*. *Plant Physiol* 167:854-871.
- 1069 140. Devers EA, Teply J, Reinert A, Gaude N, Krajinski F. 2013. An endogenous
- 1070 artificial microRNA system for unraveling the function of root endosymbioses related
- 1071 genes in *Medicago truncatula*. *BMC Plant Biol* **13:**82.
- 1072 141. Yu N, Luo D, Zhang X, Liu J, Wang W, Jin Y, Dong W, Liu J, Liu H, Yang W,
- 1073 Zeng L, Li Q, He Z, Oldroyd GE, Wang E. 2014. A DELLA protein complex controls
- 1074 the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis in plants. *Cell Res* **24:**130-133.
- 1075 142. Park H-J, Floss DS, Levesque-Tremblay V, Bravo A, Harrison MJ. 2015. Hyphal
- 1076 branching during arbuscule development requires *Reduced Arbuscular Mycorrhiza1*.
- 1077 *Plant Physiol* **69:**2774-2788.
- 1078 143. Kobae Y, Hata S. 2010. Dynamics of periarbuscular membranes visualized with a
- 1079 fluorescent phosphate transporter in arbuscular mycorrhizal roots of rice. *Plant Cell*1080 *Physiol* 51:341-53.
- 1081 144. Kloppholz S, Kuhn H, Requena N. 2011. A secreted fungal effector of *Glomus*
- *intraradices* promotes symbiotic biotrophy. *Curr Biol* **21**:1204-1209.

- 1083 145. Lo Presti L, Lanver D, Schweizer G, Shigeyuki T, Liang L, Tollot M, Zuccaro A,
- 1084 Kahmann R. 2015. Fungal effectors and plant susceptibility. *Ann Rev Phytopathol*1085 66:513-45.
- 1086 146. Tsuzuki S, Handa Y, Takeda N, Kawaguchi M. 2016. Strigolactone-induced putative
- 1087 secreted protein 1 is required for the establishment of symbiosis by the arbuscular
- 1088 mycorrhizal fungus *Rhizophagus irregularis*. *Mol Plant-Microbe Interact* **29:**277-286.
- 1089 147. Fiorilli V, Belmondo S, Khouja HR, Abbà S, Faccio A, Daghino S, Lanfranco L.
- 1090 2016. *RiPEIP1*, a gene from the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Rhizophagus irregularis*,
- 1091 is preferentially expressed *in planta* and may be involved in root colonization.
- 1092 *Mycorrhiza*, DOI 10.1007/s00572-016-0697-0.
- 1093 148. Tisserant E, Kohler A, Dozolme-Seddas P, Balestrini R, Benabdellah K, Colard A,
- 1094 Croll D, Da Silva C, Gomez S K, Koul R, Ferrol N, Fiorilli V, Formey D, Franken P,
- 1095 Helber N, Hijri M, Lanfranco L, Lindquist E, Liu Y, Malbreil M, Morin E, Poulain
- 1096 J, Shapiro H, van Tuinen D, Waschke A, Azcón-Aguilar C, Bécard G, Bonfante P,
- 1097 Harrison MJ, Küster H, Lammers P, Paszkowski U, Requena N, Rensing SA, Roux
- 1098 C, Sanders IR, Shachar-Hill Y, Tuskan G, Young JP, Gianinazzi-Pearson V, Martin
- 1099 **F.** 2012. The transcriptome of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus intraradices*
- 1100 (DAOM 197198) reveals functional tradeoffs in an obligate symbiont. New Phytol
- **1101 193:**755-769.
- 1102 149. Sędzielewska-Toro KA, Delaux P-M. 2016. Mycorrhizal symbioses: today and
 1103 tomorrow. *New Phytol* 209:917-920.
- 1104 150. Bapaume L, Reinhardt D. 2012. How membranes shape plant symbioses: signaling
 1105 and transport in nodulation and arbuscular mycorrhiza. *Front Plant* 3:223.
- 1106 151. Smith SE, Smith FA. 2012. Fresh perspectives on the roles of arbuscular mycorrhizal
- fungi in plant nutrition and growth. *Mycologia* **104:**1-13.

1108 152. Casieri L, Ait Lahmidi N, Doidy J, Veneault-Fourrey C, Migeon A, Bonneau L,

- 1109 **Wipf D.** 2013. Biotrophic transportome in mutualistic plant-fungal interactions.
- 1110 *Mycorrhiza* **23:**597-625.
- 1111 153. Facelli E, Smith SE, Facelli JM, Christophersen HM, Smith FA. 2010.
- 1112 Underground friends or enemies: model plants help to unravel direct and indirect effects
- 1113 of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on plant competition. *New Phytol* **185**:1050-1061.
- 1114 154. Harrison MJ, Van Buuren ML. 1995. A phosphate transporter from the mycorrhizal
 1115 fungus *Glomus versiforme*. *Nature* 378:626-629.
- 1116 155. Maldonado-Mendoza IE, Dewbre GR, Harrison MJ. 2001. A phosphate transporter
- 1117 gene from the extra-radical mycelium of an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus*
- 1118 *intraradices* is regulated in response to phosphate in the environment. *Mol Plant Microbe*
- 1119 *Interact* **14**:1140-1148.
- 1120 156. Benedetto A, Magurno F, Bonfante P, Lanfranco L. 2005. Expression profiles of a
- 1121 phosphate transporter gene (*GmosPT*) from the endomycorrhizal fungus *Glomus*
- 1122 *mosseae*. *Mycorrhiza* **15**:620-627.
- 1123 157. Ezawa T, Cavagnaro TR, Smith SE, Smith FA, Ohtomo R. 2003. Rapid
- accumulation of polyphosphate in extraradical hyphae of an arbuscular mycorrhizal
- 1125 fungus as revealed by histochemistry and a polyphosphate kinase/luciferase system. *New*
- 1126 *Phytol* **161:**387-392.
- 1127 158. Mensah JA, Koch AM, Antunes PM, Kiers ET, Hart M, Bucking H. 2015. High
- functional diversity within species of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi is associated with
- differences in phosphate and nitrogen uptake and fungal phosphate metabolism.
- 1130 *Mycorrhiza* **25**:533-46.

1131 159. Hijikata N, Murase M, Tani C, Ohtomo R, Osaki M, Ezawa T. 2010.

- 1132 Polyphosphate has a central role in the rapid and massive accumulation of phosphorus in
- extraradical mycelium of an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus. *New Phytol* **186**:285-289.
- 1134 160. Kikuchi Y, Hijikata N, Yokoyama K, Ohtomo R, Handa Y, Kawaguchi M, Saito
- 1135 **K**, **Ezawa T**. 2014. Polyphosphate accumulation is driven by transcriptome alterations
- that lead to near-synchronous and near-equivalent uptake of inorganic cations in an
- arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus. *New Phytol* **204:**638-649.
- 1138 161. Kikuchi Y, Hijikata N, Ohtomo R, Handa Y, Kawaguchi M, Saito K, Masuta C,
- 1139 Ezawa T. 2016. Aquaporin-mediated long-distance polyphosphate translocation directed
- 1140 towards the host in arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis: application of virus-induced gene
- silencing. *New Phytol* doi: 10.1111/nph.14016.
- 1142 162. Ezawa T, Smith SE, Smith FA. 2001. Differentiation of polyphosphate metabolism
 1143 between the extra- and intraradical hyphae of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytol*
- 1144 **149:**555-563.
- 1145 163. Liu H, Trieu AT, Blaylock LA, Harrison MJ. 1998. Cloning and characterization of
- 1146 two phosphate transporters from *Medicago truncatula* roots: regulation in response to
- phosphate and to colonization by arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi. *Mol Plant-Microbe Interact* 11:14-22.
- 1149 164. Harrison MJ, Dewbre GR, Liu JY. 2002. A phosphate transporter from *Medicago*
- truncatula involved in the acquisition of phosphate released by arbuscular mycorrhizal
 fungi. *Plant Cell* 14:2413-2429.
- 1152 165. Volpe V, Giovannetti M, Sun X-G, Fiorilli V, Bonfante P. 2015. The phosphate
- transporters LjPT4 and MtPT4 mediate early root responses to phosphate status in non
 mycorrhizal roots. *Plant Cell Environ* **39:**660-671.
- 1155 166. Bucking H, Kafle A. 2015. Role of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in the nitrogen

- 1156 uptake of plants: current knowledge and research gaps. *Agronomy* 5:587-612.
- 1157 167. Lanfranco L, Guether M. Bonfante P. 2011. Arbuscular mycorrhizas and N
- acquisition by plants, p 52-68. *In* Polacco, JC, Todd CD (ed), *Ecological Aspects of*
- 1159 Nitrogen Metabolism in Plants. Wiley, Chichester.
- 1160 168. Mader P, Vierheilig H, Streitwolf-Engel R, Boller T, Frey B, Chriestie P,
- 1161 Wiemken A. 2000. Transport of ¹⁵N from a soil compartment separated by a
- polytetrafluoro-ethylene membrane to plant roots *via* the hyphae of arbuscular
- 1163 mycorrhizal fungi. New Phytol **146:**155-161.
- 1164 169. López-Pedrosa A, Gonzales-Guerrero M, Valderas A, Azcon-Aguilar C, Ferrol N.
- 1165 2006. *GintAMT1* encodes a functional high-affinity ammonium transporter that is
- 1166 expressed in the extraradical mycelium of *Glomus intraradices*. *Fungal Genet Biol*
- **43:**102-110.
- 1168 170. Pérez-Tienda J, Testillano PS, Balestrini R, Fiorilli V, Azcón-Aguilar C, Ferrol N.
- 1169 2011. *GintAMT2*, a new member of the ammonium transporter family in the arbuscular
- 1170 mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus intraradices*. *Fungal Genet Biol* **48**:1044-1055.
- 1171 171. Cruz C, Egsgaard H, Trujillo C, Ambus P, Requena N, Martins-Louçao MA,
- **Jakobsen I.** 2007. Enzymatic evidence for the key role of arginine in nitrogen
- 1173 translocation by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Plant Physiol* **144:**782-792.
- 1174 172. Jin H, Pfeffer P, Douds D, Piotrowski E, Lammers P, Shachar-Hill Y. 2005. The
- 1175 uptake, metabolism, transport and transfer of nitrogen in an arbuscular mycorrhizal
- 1176 symbiosis. *New Phytol* **168**:687-696.
- 1177 173. Govindarajulu M, PfeVer PE, Hairu J, Abubaker J, Douds DD, Allen JW,
- 1178 Bücking H, Lammers PJ, Shachar-Hill Y. 2005. Nitrogen transfer in the arbuscular
- 1179 mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Nature* **435**:819-823.

174. Willis A, Rodrigues BF, Harris PJC. 2013. The ecology of arbuscular mycorrhizal
fungi. *Crit Rev Plant Sci* 32:1-20.

1182 175. Fellbaum CR, Gachomo EW, Beesetty Y, Choudhari S, Strahan GD, Pfeffer PE,

- 1183 Kiers ET, Bücking H. 2012. Carbon availability triggers fungal nitrogen uptake and
- 1184 transport in arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **109**:2666-2671.
- 1185 176. Guether M, Neuhauser B, Balestrini R, Dynowski M, Ludewig U, Bonfante P.
- 1186 2009. A mycorrhizal-specific ammonium transporter from *Lotus japonicus* acquires
- 1187 nitrogen released by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Plant Physiol* **150**:73-83.
- 1188 177. Kobae Y, Tamura Y, Takai S, Banba M, Hata S. 2010. Localized expression of
- arbuscular mycorrhiza-inducible ammonium transporters in soybean. *Plant Cell Physiol*51:1411-1415.
- 1191 178. Koegel S, Ait Lahmidi N, Arnould C, Chatagnier O, Walder F, Ineichen K, Boller

1192 **T, Wipf D, Wiemken A, Courty PE.** 2013. The family of ammonium transporters

- (AMT) in *Sorghum bicolor*: two AMT members are induced locally but not systemically
- in roots colonized by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytol* **198**:853-865.
- 1195 179. Javot H, Penmetsa RV, Breuillin F, Bhattarai KK, Noar RD, Gomez SK, Zhang
- 1196 **Q, Cook DR, Harrison MJ.** 2011. *Medicago truncatula mtpt4* mutants reveal a role for
- 1197 nitrogen in the regulation of arbuscule degeneration in arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis.
- 1198 *Plant J* **68**:954-965.
- 1199 180. Breuillin-Sessoms F, Floss DS, Gomez SK, Pumplin N, Ding Y, Levesque
- 1200 Tremblay V, Noar RD, Daniels DA, Bravo A, Eaglesham JB, Benedito VA, Udvardi
- 1201 MK, Harrison MJ. 2015. Suppression of arbuscule degeneration in *Medicago truncatula*
- 1202 phosphate transporter 4 mutants is dependent on the ammonium transporter 2 family
- 1203 protein AMT2;3. *Plant Cell* **27:**1352-1366.
- 1204 181. Balestrini R, Gómez-Ariza J, Lanfranco L, Bonfante P. 2007. Laser microdissection

- 1205 reveals that transcripts for five plant and one fungal phosphate transporter genes are
- 1206 contemporaneously present in arbusculated cells. *Mol Plant-Microbe Interact* 20:10551207 1062.
- 1208 182. Fiorilli V, Lanfranco L, Bonfante P. 2013. The expression of *GintPT* the phosphate
 1209 transporter of *Rhizophagus irregularis* depends on the symbiotic status and phosphate
 1210 availability. *Planta* 237:1267-1277.
- 1211 183. Hodge A, Campbell CD, Fitter AH. 2001. An arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus
 1212 accelerates decomposition and acquires nitrogen directly from organic material. *Nature*1213 413:297-299.
- 1214 184. Leigh J, Hodge A, Fitter AH. 2009. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi can transfer
 1215 substantial amounts of nitrogen to their host plant from organic material. *New Phytol*1216 181:199-207.
- 1217 185. Hodge A, Fitter AH. 2010. Substantial nitrogen acquisition by arbuscular mycorrhizal
 1218 fungi from organic material has implications for N cycling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*
- 1218 fungi from organic material has implications for N cycling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*
- **107:**13754-13759.
- 1220 186. Whiteside MD, Digman MA, Gratton E, Treseder KK. 2012. Organic nitrogen
- 1221 uptake by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a boreal forest. *Soil Biol Biochem* **55**:7-13.

1222 187. Cappellazzo G, Lanfranco L, Fitz M, Wipf D, Bonfante P. 2008. Characterization of

- an amino acid permease from the endomycorrhizal fungus *Glomus mosseae*. *Plant*
- 1224 *Physiol* **147:**429-37.
- 1225 188. Belmondo S, Fiorilli V, Pérez-Tienda J, Ferrol N, Marmeisse R, Lanfranco L.
- 1226 2014. A dipeptide transporter from the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Rhizophagus*
- *irregularis* is upregulated in the intraradical phase. *Front Plant Sci* **5**:436.

- 1228 189. Casieri L, Gallardo K, Wipf D. 2012. Transcriptional response of *Medicago*
- *truncatula* sulphate transporters to arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis with and without
 sulphur stress. *Planta* 235:1431-1447.
- 1231 190. Giovannetti M, Tolosano M, Volpe V, Kopriva S, Bonfante P. 2014. Identification
- and functional characterization of a sulfate transporter induced by both sulfur starvation
- and mycorrhiza formation in *Lotus japonicus*. New Phytol **204**:609-619.
- 1234 191. Garcia K, Zimmermann SD. 2014. The role of mycorrhizal associations in plant
 1235 potassium nutrition. *Front Plant Sci* 5:337.
- 1236 192. Drigo B, Pijl A, Duyts H. 2010. Shifting carbon flow from roots into associated
- microbial communities in response to elevated atmospheric CO₂. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107:10938-10942.
- 1239 193. Helber N, Wippel N, Schaarschmidt S, Hause B, Requena N. 2011. A versatile

monosaccharide transporter that operates in the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus sp.* is crucial for the symbiotic relationship with plants. *Plant Cell* 23:3812-3823.

- 1242 194. Hammer EC, Pallon J, Wallander H, Olsson PA. 2011. Tit for tat? A mycorrhizal
- fungus accumulates phosphorus under low plant carbon availability. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 76:236-244.
- 1245 195. Walder F, van der Heijden MAG. 2015. Regulation of resource exchange in the
 1246 arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Nature Plants* 1:151-59.
- 1247 196. Liu J, Maldonado-Mendoza I, Lopez-Meyer M, Cheung F, Town CD, Harrison
- 1248 MJ. 2007. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis is accompanied by local and systemic
- 1249 alterations in gene expression and an increase in disease resistance in the shoots. *Plant J*
- **50:**529-544.

- 1251 198. Fiorilli V, Catoni M, Miozzi L, Novero M, Accotto GP, Lanfranco L. 2009. Global 1252 and cell-type gene expression profiles in tomato plants colonized by an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus. New Phytol 184:975-987. 1253
- 1254 199. Cervantes-Gámez RG, Bueno-Ibarra MA, Cruz-Mendívil A, Calderón-Vázquez
- 1255 CL, Ramírez-Douriet CM, Maldonado-Mendoza IE, Villalobos-López MÁ, Valdez-
- 1256 Ortíz Á, López-Meyer M. 2015. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis-induced expression 1257 changes in Solanum lycopersicum leaves revealed by RNA-seg analysis. Plant Mol Biol 1258
- Rep 34:89-102.
- 1259 200. Gerlach N, Schmitz J, Polatajko A, Schlüter U, Fahnenstich H, Witt S, Fernie AR,
- 1260 Uroic K, Scholz U, Sonnewald U, Bucher M. 2015. An integrated functional approach
- 1261 to dissect systemic responses in maize to arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. Plant Cell 1262 *Environ* **38:**1591-1612.
- 1263 201. Giovannetti M, Avio L, Barale R, Ceccarelli N, Cristofani R, Iezzi A, Mignolli F,
- 1264 Picciarelli P, Pinto B, Reali D, Sbrana C, Scarpato R. 2012. Nutraceutical value and 1265 safety of tomato fruits produced by mycorrhizal plants. British J Nutrit 107:242-251.
- 1266 202. Hart M, Ehret DL, Krumbein A, Leung C, Murch S, Turi C, Franken P. 2015.
- 1267 Inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi improves the nutritional value of tomatoes. 1268 Mycorrhiza 25:359-376.
- 1269 203. Salvioli A, Zouari I, Chalot M, Bonfante P. 2012. The arbuscular mycorrhizal status 1270 has an impact on the transcriptome profile and amino acid composition of tomato fruit.
- 1271 BMC Plant Biol 12:44.
- 1272 204. Zouari I, Salvioli A, Chialva M, Novero M, Miozzi L, Tenore GC, Bagnaresi P,
- 1273 Bonfante P. 2014. From root to fruit: RNA-Seq analysis shows that arbuscular
- 1274 mycorrhizal symbiosis may affect tomato fruit metabolism. BMC Genomics 15:221.

1275	205. Foo E, Ross JJ, Jones WT, Reid JB. 2013. Plant hormones in arbuscular mycorrhizal
1276	symbioses: an emerging role for gibberellins. Ann Bot 111:769-779.
1277	206. Gutjahr C. 2014. Phytohormone signaling in arbuscular mycorhiza development. Curr
1278	Opin Plant Biol 20:26-34.
1279	207. Pozo MJ, Lopez-Raez JA, Azcón-Aguilar C, García-Garrido JM. 2015.
1280	Phytohormones as integrators of environmental signals in the regulation of mycorrhizal
1281	symbioses. New Phytol 205:1431-1436.
1282	208. Hause B, Mrosk C, Isayenkov S, Strack D. 2007. Jasmonates in arbuscular
1283	mycorrhizal interactions. Phytochemistry 68:101-110.
1284	209. López-Ráez JA, Verhage A, Fernández I, Garciá JM, Azcón-Aguilar C, Flors V,
1285	Pozo MJ. 2010. Hormonal and transcriptional profiles highlight common and differential
1286	host responses to arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and the regulation of the oxylipin pathway.
1287	<i>J Exper Bot</i> 61: 2589-2601.
1288	210. Ludwig-Müller J. 2010. Hormonal responses in host plants triggered by arbuscular
1289	mycorrhizal fungi. In Arbuscular mycorrhizas: physiology and function (pp. 169-190).
1290	Springer Netherlands.
1291	211. Miozzi L, Catoni M, Fiorilli V, Philip MM, Accotto GP, Lanfranco L. 2011.
1292	Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis limits foliar transcriptional responses to viral infection
1293	and favors long term virus accumulation. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 24:1562-1572.
1294	212. Martìn-Rodrìguez JA, Molinero-Rosales N, Tarkowskà D, Ruìz-Rivero O, Garcìa-
1295	Garrido JM. 2014. Role of gibberellins during arbuscular mycorrhizal formation in
1296	tomato: new insights revealed by endogenous quantification and genetic analysis of their
1297	metabolism in mycorrhizal roots. Physiol Plant 154:66-81.
1298	213. Martìn-Rodrìguez J, Leòn-Morcillo R, Vierheilig H, Ocampo JA, Ludwig-Muller

- J, Garcìa-Garrido JM. 2011. Ethylene-dependent/ethylene-independent ABA
 regulation of tomato plants colonized by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytol*1301 190:193-205.
- 1302 214. Etemadi M, Gutjahr C, Couzigou J-M, Zouine M, Lauressergues D, Timmers A,
- 1303Audran C, Bouzayen M, Becard G, Combier J-P. 2014. Auxin perception is required
- for arbuscule development in arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Plant Physiol* 166:281292.
- 1306 215. Wasternack C, Hause B. 2013. Jasmonates: biosynthesis, perception, signal
- 1307 transduction and action in plant stress response, growth and development. An update to
- 1308 the 2007 review in Annals of Botany. *Ann Bot* **111**:1021-1058.
- 1309 216. Singh LP, Gill SS, Tuteja N. 2011. Unraveling the role of fungal symbionts in plant
 1310 abiotic stress tolerance. *Plant Signal Behav* 6:175-191.
- 1311 217. Azcón R, Medina A, Aroca R, Ruiz-Lozano JM. 2013. Abiotic stress remediation by
- the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis and rhizosphere bacteria/yeast interactions, p 991-
- 1313 1002. *In* FJ de Bruijn (ed), *Molecular Microbial Ecology of the Rhizosphere*, John Wiley
- 1314 and Sons, Inc.
- 1315 218. Pozo MJ, Jung SC, Lòpez-Ràez J, Azcón-Aguilar C. 2010. Impact of arbuscular
- 1316 mycorrhizal symbiosis on plant response to biotic stress: The role of plant defence
- 1317 mechanisms. In Koltai H, Kapulnik Y (ed) Arbuscular Mycorrhizas: Physiology and
- 1318 Function, 2nd edn. Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, Germany 193-207.
- 1319 219. Pozo MJ, Cordier C, Dumas-Gaudot E, Gianinazzi S, Barea, JM, Azcon-Aguilar
- 1320 C. 2002. Localized *versus* systemic effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on defence
- responses to *Phytophthora* infection in tomato plants. *J Exper Bot* **53**:525-534.
- 1322 220. Whipps JM. 2004. Prospects and limitations for mycorrhizas in biocontrol of root
- 1323 pathogens. *Can J Bot* **82:**1198-1227.

- 1324 221. Ismail Y, Hijri M. 2012. Arbuscular mycorrhization with *Glomus irregularis* induces
 1325 expression of potato PR homologues genes in response to infection by *Fusarium*1326 sambucinum. Funct Plant Biol **39**:236-245.
- 1327 222. Campos-Soriano L, García-Martínez J, San Segundo B. 2012. The arbuscular
- 1328 mycorrhizal symbiosis promotes the systemic induction of regulatory defence-related
- genes in rice leaves and confers resistance to pathogen infection. *Mol Plant Pathol*

1330 **13:**579-92.

- 1331 223. Vos C, Schouteden N, van Tuinen D, Chatagnier O, Elsen, A, De Waele D, Panis B,
- 1332 Gianinazzi-Pearson V. 2013. Mycorrhiza-induced resistance against the rootknot
- 1333 nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* involves priming of defense gene responses in tomato.
- 1334 *Soil Biol Biochem* **60**:45-54.
- 1335 224. Simard SW, Beiler KJ, Bingham MA, Deslippe JR, Philip LJ, Teste FP. 2012.
- 1336 Mycorrhizal networks: mechanisms, ecology and modelling. *Fun Biol Rev* **26**:39-60.
- 1337 225. Voets L, Goubau I, Olsson PA, Merckx R, Declerck S. 2008. Absence of carbon
- 1338 transfer between *Medicago truncatula* plants linked by a mycorrhizal network,
- demonstrated in an experimental microcosm. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **65**:350-360.
- 1340 226. Selosse M-A, Roy M. 2009. Green plants eating fungi: facts and questions about
- 1341 mixotrophy. *Trends Plant Sci* 14:64-70.
- 1342 227. Jalonen R, Nygren P, Sierra J. 2009. Transfer of nitrogen from a tropical legume tree
- 1343 to an associated fodder grass via root exudation and common mycelial networks. *Plant*
- 1344 *Cell Environ* **32:**1366-1376.
- 1345 228. Lekberg Y, Hammer E, Olsson PA. 2010. Plants as resource islands and storage units
- 1346 adopting the mycocentric view of arbuscular mycorrhizal networks. *FEMS Microbiol*
- *Ecol* **74:**336-345.

- 1348 229. Zabinski CA, Quinn L, Callaway RM. 2002. Phosphorus uptake, not carbon transfer,
- explains arbuscular mycorrhizal enhancement of *Centaurea maculosa* in the presence of
 native grassland species. *Funct Ecol* 16:758-765.
- 1351 230. van der Heijden MGA, Wiemken A, Sanders IR. 2003. Different arbuscular
- 1352 mycorrhizal fungi alter coexistence and resource distribution between co-occurring plant.
- 1353 *New Phytol* **157:**569-578.
- 1354 231. Wagg C, Jansa J, Stadler M, Schmid B, van der Heijden MGA. 2011. Mycorrhizal
- fungal identity and diversity relaxes plant-plant competition. *Ecology* **92:**1303-1313.
- 1356 232. Walder F, Niemann H, Natarajan M, Lehmann MF, Boller T, Wiemken A. 2012.
- 1357 Mycorrhizal networks: common goods of plants shared under unequal terms of trade.
- 1358 Plant Physiol 159:789-797.
- 1359 233. Wang ZG, Jin X, Bao XG, Li XF, Zhao JH, Sun JH, Christie P, Li L. 2014.
- Intercropping enhances productivity and maintains the most soil fertility propertiesrelative to sole cropping. *PLoS One* 9:e113984.
- 1362 234. Song YY, Zeng RS, Xu JF, Li J, Shen X, Yihdego WG. 2010. Interplant
- 1363 communication of tomato plants through underground common mycorrhizal networks.
- 1364 *PLoS ONE* **5**:e13324.
- 1365 235. Babikova Z, Gilbert L, Bruce TJA, Birkett M, Caulfield JA, Woodcock C, Pickett
- JA, Johnson D. 2013. Underground signals carried through common mycelial networks
 warn neighbouring plants of aphid attack. *Ecol Lett* 16:835-843.
- 1368 236. Song YY, Ye M, Li C, HeX, Salman KZ, Su YJ, Luo SM, Zeng RS. 2014. Hijacking
- 1369 common mycorrhizal networks for herbivore-induced defence signal transfer between
- tomato plants. *Sci Rep* **4**:3915.

- 1371 237. Johnson D, Gilbert L. 2015. Interplant signalling through hyphal networks. *New*
- *Phytol* **205**:1448-1453.

1374 Figure legends

1375 Figure 1. Root colonization in ectomycorrhizal (blue) and arbuscular mycorrhizal (pink) 1376 interactions. Ectomycorrhizal fungi envelop root tips with a thick mycelial mantle. From this 1377 mantle, intercellular hyphae generate the so-called Hartig net around epidermal cells. In the 1378 case of arbuscular mycorrhizae, the root tip is usually not colonized; hyphae developed from a 1379 germinated spore produce a hyphopodium on the root epidermis. Intraradical colonization 1380 proceeds both inter- and intracellularly, culminating with the development of highly branched 1381 arbuscules inside inner cortical cells. Reprinted from *Nature Communications* (3) with 1382 permission of the publisher.

1383

1386

1384 Figure 2. Fluorescence micrographs of different stages in the life cycle of the AM fungus

1385 *Gigaspora gigantea*. A spore (S) and the germination hyphae (GH) show strong cytoplasmic

autofluorescence (a). Hyphopodia (arrows) on the surface of a host root (b) give rise to single

1387 infection units, with several arbuscules (A) in the inner root cortex (c). A high magnification

1388 from a root longitudinal section is presented in d, showing two arbuscules in adjacent cortical

1389 cells. Bars = $100 \mu m$ (a-c); 25 μm (d); fungal fluorescence was excited with 380 nm UV light.

1390

1391 Figure 3. Root colonization by AM fungi. Spore germination generates a short explorative 1392 mycelium. The perception of root exudates induces repeated hyphal branching, increasing the 1393 probability of a direct contact between the symbionts. Concurrently, fungal exudates are also 1394 released and activate the Common Symbiotic Signaling Pathway in root cells. Signal 1395 transduction includes nuclear-associated calcium signals (spiking) and leads to the activation 1396 of cellular and transcriptional responses (green cells and nuclei). Plant-fungus contact is 1397 followed by the formation of an adhering hyphopodium on the root surface. The contacted 1398 epidermal cell then assembles a prepenetration apparatus (PPA), a broad cytoplasmic

- 1399 aggregation (yellow) responsible for the exocytotic biogenesis of the symbiotic interface
- 1400 compartment, where the intracellular hypha is hosted. Root colonization proceeds through the
- 1401 epidermis into the inner cortical cells with a PPA-like process. Intercellular hyphae can also
- 1402 develop along the root axis. Eventually, highly branched arbuscules develop in the lumen of
- 1403 inner cortical cells, deploying an extensive surface for nutrient exchange. Reprinted from
- 1404 *Nature Communications* (3) with permission of the publisher.

Ectomycorrhiza Arbuscular mycorrhiza









Arbuscule