

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

A review on microbiological decontamination of fresh produce with nonthermal plasma

(Article begins on next page)

NONTHERMAL PLASMA IN FRESH PRODUCE DECONTAMINATION: A REVIEW ON MICROORGANISMS INVOLVED IN FOODBORNE OUTBREAKS.

Summary

Food safety is a critical public health issue for consumers and the food industry because microbiological contamination

- of food causes considerable social and economic burdens on health care. Most foodborne illness comes from animal
- production, but as of the mid-1990s in the United States and more recently in the European Union, the contribution of
- fresh produce to foodborne illness has rapidly increased.
- Recent studies have suggested that sterilisation with nonthermal plasma could be a viable alternative to the traditional
- methods for the decontamination of heat-sensitive materials or food because this technique proves capable of
- eliminating microorganisms on surfaces without altering the substrate. In the last ten years, researchers have used
- nonthermal plasma in a variety of food inoculated with many bacterial species. All of these experiments were conducted
- exclusively in a laboratory and, to our knowledge, this technique has not been used in an industrial setting. Thus, the
- purpose of this review is to understand whether this technology could be used at the industrial level. The latest
- researches using nonthermal plasma on fresh produce were analysed. These evaluations have focused on the Log
- reduction of microorganisms and the treatment time.

Keywords

- Decontamination, foodborne outbreak, fresh produce, pathogenic microorganism, nonthermal plasma
-

Microorganisms and foodborne outbreak

**For Perronnial Space Set All of these example in the set and, to our knowledge, this technique has not been used in an indu

understand whether this technology could be used at the industrial

al plasma on fresh produce w** Currently, the global burden of foodborne diseases due to the presence of contaminating and pathogenic

- microorganisms in food remains high although in the 1990s some foodborne illnesses declined due to an intensive and
- focused effort in checking some parts of the food chain (Purayidathil and Ibrahim, 2012; Braden and Tauxe, 2013;
- WHO, 2015). In 2015, the Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet) identified 20,107 confirmed
- cases of infections, 4,531 hospitalisations and 77 deaths caused by nine pathogens transmitted through food at 10 sites,
- which encompassed 15% of the U.S. population. The incidence of confirmed cases per 100,000 were reported for
- 55 Salmonella (15⁸⁹), Campylobacter (1297), *Shigella* (553), *Cryptosporidium* (331), Shiga Toxin-Producing *E. coli*
- 56 (STEC) non-O157 (1⁶⁴), STEC O157 (095), *Vibrio* (039), *Yersinia* (029), *Listeria* (024) and *Cyclospora* (013).
- Compared with the incidence rate in 2012–2014, the incidence of confirmed infections in 2015 was significantly higher
- for STEC non-O157 (40% increase) and *Cryptosporidium* (59% increase) (Huang *et al*., 2016). The 2014 overall
- incidence for the nine pathogens was significantly lower compared with the 1996–1998 data (-29%) with further
- reductions in 2006–2008 (-3%) and 2011–2013 (-8%) (CDC 2014). The incidence of infections and the number of
- hospitalisations were the highest for *Salmonella*; the percent of hospitalisation and deaths were the highest for *Listeria*.

monly consumed in a variety of forms: (i) ready-to-eat (RTE) foods
ssed (e.g., fresh-cut and prepackaged) and (ii) foods that are processed (e.g., fresh-cut and prepackaged) and (ii) foods that are processed of non-animal The range of infections and the food sources that transmits them have changed as new pathogens have emerged or are better detected, the high-risk population has increased, the previous syndromes of unknown cause have been linked to foodborne infection, and the nature and sources of the food we eat has changed (Braden and Tauxe, 2013). Most foodborne illnesses come from animal production, but as of the mid-1990s in the US and more recently in the EU, the contribution of fresh produce to foodborne illness has rapidly increased (Nguyen-the *et al.* 2016). Many studies are reporting foodborne outbreaks due to fresh produce in the United State, the European Union, and in Australia over the last twenty years (Callejon *et al.* 2015; Nuesch-Inderbinen and Stephan 2016; Yeni *et al.* 2016). Food of non-animal origin comprise a wide range of fruit, vegetables, salads, seeds, nuts, cereals, herbs, spices, fungi, and algae. Food of non-animal origin are commonly consumed in a variety of forms: (i) ready-to-eat (RTE) foods in which the constituents are raw or minimally processed (e.g., fresh-cut and prepackaged) and (ii) foods that are processed with heat or other inactivation treatments. Food of non-animal origin are a major component of almost all meals. Mixed-ingredient RTE salads are considered healthy and convenient and are popular with consumers. From 2004 to 2012, the United States and European Union have reported a total of 377 and 198 fresh produce-associated outbreaks, respectively. This high number of outbreaks linked to fresh produce may be due to improved surveillance, but it might also be related to changes in consumer food preferences, food production and distribution practices, as well as the emergence of new foodborne pathogens (Harris *et al*. 2003; Sivapalasingam *et al*. 2004, Callejon *et al.* 2015). In the United States, the absolute number of outbreaks due to fresh produce ranges from 23 to 60 per year and does not show a clear trend during this period. In fact, there were substantial increases in 2006 (57 outbreaks), 2008 (51 outbreaks), and 2011 (60 outbreaks) (CDC 2014). In the European Union, the number of outbreaks fluctuate between 10 and 42, underlining increases in 2006 (29 outbreaks), 2009 (34 outbreaks) and 2010 (44 outbreaks). In the EU, sprouted fenugreek seeds (a fresh produce) were involved in the major food outbreak in 2011, 83 which resulted in 3000 cases of bloody diarrhoea, 852 cases of haemolytic-uremic syndrome (HUS) and 53 deaths. 84 A broad spectrum of microorganisms and food vehicles are involved in fresh produce-associated outbreaks. Norovirus was the main pathogen responsible (59% of foodborne illnesses in the US and 53% of foodborne illnesses in the EU) followed by *Salmonella* (18% of foodborne illnesses in the US and 20% of foodborne illnesses in the EU). Specifically, in the US, Norovirus outbreaks were strongly correlated with the consumption of salads; in the EU, this pathogen was mainly linked to berries (raspberries). *Salmonella* was the most common bacterial pathogen responsible for fresh 89 produce outbreaks, accounting for nearly half of the outbreaks due to bacteria (53% in the US and 50% in the EU). *Salmonella* outbreaks was the microorganism involved in the majority of sprout-associated outbreaks (14 in the US and 11 in the EU). Regarding other microorganisms, *E. coli* and *Campylobacter* outbreaks were more prevalent in the US than in the EU. Regarding food vehicle, *E. coli* was associated with the consumption of various fresh vegetables, fruits

and sprouts, whereas *Campylobacter jejuni* was involved in fresh produce outbreaks linked to the consumption of salad,

lettuce, tomatoes and melons (Callejon *et al.* 2015).

Surveys of fresh produce have revealed contamination with pathogenic bacteria in commodities such as tomatoes,

- lettuce, salad greens, sprouting seeds, unpasteurized fruit juice, cantaloupe and nuts (EFSA 2013). At the same time,
- evaluation of prevalence and trends of bacterial contamination in fresh fruits and vegetables initiated in Europe. In
- Sweden, a survey on prepackaged ready-to-eat (RTE) mixed ingredient salad showed that 9% of the 141 samples were
- contaminated with *Listeria monocytogenes*. The results of this study indicate that pathogenic bacteria can be present in
- RTE salads in Sweden (Soderqvist *et al.* 2016). This public health concern should be addressed by improving the
- hygiene of the raw ingredients, the production environment and the cold temperature from the manufacturer to the
- consumer. There is a great need to address possible decontamination treatments for fresh fruit and vegetables from
- production systems that would otherwise lack adequate safety. However, such treatments raise issues of acceptability by
- consumers and the high costs incurred. The final use of fruits and vegetables in the food distribution chain, e.g., eaten
- raw versus heat treated, could also be adapted with regard to the microbiological risks that they could pose.
-

Plasma Chemistry and Reactive Species

the production environment and the cold temperature from the
 Formula diverse specially decontamination treatments for fresh fruit
 Formula diversives lack adequate safety. However, such treatments rais
 Formula diver The term ''plasma'' refers to a partially or completely ionized gas consisting of photons, ions, free electrons and atoms in their fundamental or excited states having a net neutral charge. The free electric charges (electrons and ions) make plasma electrically conductive, internally interactive, and strongly responsive to electromagnetic fields.

Electrons and photons are usually designated as ''light'' species in contrast to the rest of the constituents designated as

''heavy'' species. The chemical effects occurring in an electrical discharge are the consequence of energy injection into

- a gas stream by way of electron-impact processes under the influence of an electric field. Collisions of energetic
- electrons with neutral species produce ionizations, fragmentations of molecules, and electronic, vibrational, and
- rotational excitations of the neutral gas. Plasma chemistry can be divided into two parts: 1) a volume chemistry, which
- addresses the formation and loss reactions of species in the discharge volume, and 2) a surface chemistry, implying
- 117 adsorption and desorption of molecules at the substrate surface or etching (Fridman 2008).
- The elementary processes in nonthermal plasma (NTP) volume can be broadly divided into a primary process and a
- secondary process based on the timescale of streamer propagation. Figure 1a summarizes the typical timescale of the
- 120 elementary processes in NTP. The primary process (typical timescale of approximately 10^{-8} s) includes ionization,
- excitation, dissociation, light emission, and charge transfer. The efficiency of the primary process highly depends on the
- energization methods and their parameters, such as the pulse, direct current (DC) + pulse, alternating current (AC), AC
- + pulse or DC, voltage rise-time, and frequency.The products of primary processes (electrons, radicals, ions, and
- 124 excited molecules) go to subsequent chemical reactions in the secondary process. Some additional radical species and
- 125 reactive molecules are also formed by radical-neutral recombination in the secondary process. The timescale of the
- 126 secondary process is very fast (approximately 10^{-3} s), so the residence time of the gas in the NTP reactor has modest or
- 127 no influence on the overall results. The total efficiency η_T of the NTP process will be the product of the efficiencies of
- the primary process (η_{Primary}) and of the chemical reactions in the secondary process ($\eta_{\text{secondary}}$) (i.e.), $\eta_T = \eta_{\text{Primary}}$ 128
- 129 ƞSecondary (Kim 2004).
- 130

131 **Plasma-Surface Interaction**

- 132 Many fundamental processes take place at the plasma-substrate interface. The plasma can deliver kinetic energy
- **For EXECT ASSEM SERVE ASSEM SERVE AND MONUST A THEORY OF STARBOTH AND MONET A SHOW IN SHARE AND NOTE INTERET AND NOTE THAT AND NOTE INTERET AND NOTE INTERET AND NOTE INTERET AND NOTE INTERET AND SURFACE CALL SURFACE CALL** 133 through ions accelerated in the sheaths and by vibrationally-excited molecules, potential energy through charged ions
- 134 and metastable states, chemical energy through plasma-produced reactive atoms, radicals and electromagnetic energy
- 135 from the decay of electronically excited species. The interactions with the surface are complex and regulated by specific
- 136 rate constants (K). The relation between the kinetics of surface processes and the kinetics of processes taking place
- 137 within the plasma near the surface can be seen in Figure 1b. The atom and molecule entering the plasma are converted
- 138 into activated species with kinetic rate constant **Ka**, following a specific reaction channel. The activated species arrive
- 139 at the surface and may be adsorb there
- 140 $A + (s) \rightarrow A(s)$ (**Kb**).
- 141 After adsorption, they may make a chemical reaction with the surface
- 142 $A(s) + B(s) \rightarrow AB(s) + (s) (Kc)$, which then desorbs
- 143 AB(s) \rightarrow AB + (s) (**Ke**), or which may spread onto the same surface (**Kd**).
- 144 Alternatively, the reactive atoms may desorb without undergoing any reaction
- 145 $A(s) \to A + (s) (Ki)$
- 146 or may undergo associative desorption with a reactive atom already on the surface
- 147 $A(s) + B(s) \to AB + (s) (Kj).$
- 148 Reactive species may couple in the plasma to form larger nuclei of materials and dust particles (**Kg**).
- 149 Finally, the product resulting from the recombination between desorbed species in the plasma may return to the surface
- 150 (**Kh**) (D'Angelo 2010).. Note that e represents an electron, (s) an open surface site, A(s) a specie A bound to the
- 151 surface, B(s) a specie B bound on the surface.
- 152

153 **How plasma acts on the microorganisms**

The cytoplasmic membrane is the barrier between the inner compartment of the cell and the external environment, and it is the main, and a very crucial target for most of the techniques of decontamination (chemical and/or physical), targeting the membrane structure or specific functions. Comprehension of the kinetics of cell inactivation by experimental investigation is the most important step to obtain a consistent temporal measure of microbial destruction.

blots show a single straight line, while plasma sterilization shows a gments. The analysis of the three single steps in the survival currection by UV irradiation of the genetic material of the microor atom, through intrins One kinetic measurement parameter is known as Decimal value (D). This parameter has been used widely by studying sterilization by plasma. The D value is the time required to reduce an original concentration of microorganisms by 90%. The first complete analysis of literature on low pressure cold plasma (LPCP) sterilization was shown by Moisan *et al.* (2001) regarding the role of UV photons and reactive species on the survival curve of microorganisms. In the classical sterilization process, such plots show a single straight line, while plasma sterilization shows a survival diagram with two or three different linear segments. The analysis of the three single steps in the survival curve suggested many basic mechanisms: (i) direct destruction by UV irradiation of the genetic material of the microorganism; (ii) erosion of the microorganism, atom by atom, through intrinsic photodesorption by UV irradiation to form volatile compounds combining atoms intrinsic to the microorganisms; and (iii) erosion of the microorganism, atom by atom, through etching. The etching results from the adsorption of reactive species from the plasma (glow or afterglow) on the microorganism with which they subsequently undergo a chemical reaction to form volatile compounds. In certain cases, the etching mechanism is enhanced by UV photons acting synergistically with the reactive species (Laroussi *et al*. 2005). Laroussi *et al.* (2002) studied the germicidal effect of atmospheric pressure cold plasma. Depending on the type of microorganism, the type of medium in which the microorganisms are seeded, the method of exposure (direct or remote exposure) and the experimental work on the germicidal effects of cold atmospheric pressure plasma have shown survivor curves with different shapes, revealing that bacteria inactivation by non-equilibrium high pressure plasmas is a composite process. If UV is present in a dominant manner, the survivor curves often exhibit a first rapid step (small D 175 value) followed by a second slower step. When the presence of UV is not dominant, such as in the case of an air plasma, single-slope survivor curves were mostly observed. However, in many cases, multi-slope curves have also been reported.

Montie *et al*. (2000) proposed three mechanisms of cell destruction in the case of high-pressure cold plasmas assuming the presence of oxygen and moisture in the gas mixture: 1) the susceptibility of unsaturated fatty acids to attacks by hydroxyl radicals caused lipid peroxidation as confirmed by Dolezova and Lukes (2015); 2) the susceptibility of amino acids to oxidation caused protein; and 3) the formation of base adducts, which are generated through reactions with oxygen radicals that caused DNA oxidation.

Mendis *et al*. (2000) suggested that the membrane rupture of gram-negative bacteria is caused by charge accumulation

on the outer surface of the membrane; gram-positive bacteria do not undergo visible morphological changes (Laroussi

diation in this experimental condition plays a main role in bacterial
avelength range is higher than other experimental conditions.
Sima on microbial cell
plasma treatment on microbial cells is mainly due to the plasma i *et al*. 2002, 2005). However, different types of bacteria show a drastic reduction in cell viability. The diffusion of plasma-generated reactive species through the cell membrane induces the promotion of some reactions with the inner biomaterials: these reactions lead to cell death or non-viable cells (Lackmann and Bandow 2014). Recently, Guo *et al*. 2015 postulated an explanation to justify the role of UV radiation in different plasma conditions. When UV radiation 189 played a major role in the inactivation process, the gases were Ar or a N_2/O_2 mixture in combination with microwavedriven discharge. In this context, the ionization energy of Ar is higher than N₂ and O₂, making N₂ and O₂ ions (i.e., N₂⁺ 190 driven discharge. In this context, the ionization energy of Ar is higher than N₂ and O₂, making N₂ and O₂ ions (i.e., N₂⁺, 191 N⁺, O₂⁺, and O⁺). In these conditions, the amount of positive nitrogen NO was generated with more respect to the electric discharge directly in the air. A similar mechanism happens with the excited state of NO. UV radiation in this experimental condition plays a main role in bacterial inactivation because their doses in the 200–300 nm wavelength range is higher than other experimental conditions.

Chemical reactions of plasma on microbial cell

As described, the effect of plasma treatment on microbial cells is mainly due to the plasma ions and cell interactions.

Commonly used oxygen and nitrogen gas plasmas are excellent sources of reactive oxygen-based and nitrogen-based

199 reactive species $(0, 0_2, 0_3, 0)$, NO, and NO₂) because they have a direct oxidative effect on the outer surface of

microbial cells. Atomic oxygen can potentially be a very effective sterilizing agent, with a chemical rate constant for

201 oxidation at room temperature of approximately 10⁶ times that of molecular oxygen (Misra *et al.* 2011).

The lipid bilayer of microbial cells is more susceptible to atomic oxygen as the reactivity of atomic oxygen is much

higher than that of molecular oxygen, which can degrade lipids, proteins and DNA of cells. The damage of the double

bonds in the lipid bilayer causes impaired transportation of molecules in and out of the cell. The bombardment of

reactive oxygen species (ROS) on the surface of bacterial cells also disrupts the membrane lipids. During plasma use,

microorganisms are exposed to an intense bombardment by the radicals, which most likely provoke surface lesions that

the living cell cannot repair sufficiently, a process termed "etching". Plasma etching is based on the interaction of

relative energetic ions and activated species with the molecules of the substrate. The accumulation of charges imparts

an electrostatic force at the outer surface of cell membranes and can cause cell wall rupture called

electropermeabilization, which is the same principle occurring in pulsed electric fields. During plasma treatment, where

211 plasma initiates, catalyses, or helps sustain a complex biological response, compromised membrane structures (e.g.,

peroxidation) or changes in the membrane-bound proteins and/or enzymes leads to complex cell responses and may

affect many cells, as the affected cell then signals others.

The reactive species in plasma have been widely associated with direct oxidative effects on the outer surface of

microbial cells. The presence of water increases the effect of plasma: the highest efficiency in sanitization was observed

in moist organisms in comparison to dry organisms. One potential application of plasma in decontamination is based on 217 the damage the deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) in the chromosomes by plasma reactive species. Radiobiology studies 218 conducted by Wiseman and Halliwell (1996) showed that the formation of ROS (hydroxyl radicals, hydrogen peroxide, and superoxide anion) near DNA stimulates a strong biocidal effect. The use of plasma results in malondialdehyde (MDA) formation in microbial cells, which is responsible for DNA adduct formation, leading to cell damage. In 221 particular, reactive species interact with water, leading to the formation of OH ions, which are most reactive and harmful to the cells. These radicals that formed in the hydration layer around DNA are responsible for 90% of DNA damage. Hydroxyl radicals can then react with organics in its proximity leading to subsequent oxidation and consequently, to DNA destruction as well as destruction of cellular membranes and other cell components. Several active species can react with cells, but reactive oxygen species such as oxygen radicals (especially single state oxygen) can produce significant effects on cells by reacting with various macromolecules (Thirumdas *et al.* 2015).

Plasma obtained at atmospheric pressure

For the solution of cellular membranes and other cell
the cells, but reactive oxygen species such as oxygen radicals (espect
ects on cells by reacting with various macromolecules (Thirumdas
spheric pressure
tic pressure
ti Non-equilibrium atmospheric pressure discharges can operate in a wide range of temperatures and pressures and are often called partial discharges (PD). PDs are gas discharges that are restricted electrical discharges. These discharges can occur in the presence of a solid or liquid dielectric and frequently show a non-stationary character (a transition between different plasma modes). The plasma is described by generative technology with the following main PDs: dielectric-barrier discharges (DBD), corona discharges (CD), microwave discharges (MW) and atmospheric pressure plasma jet (APPJ).

Siemens invented the DBD for the generation of ozone in 1857. Thereafter, it was established that the discharge takes place in a number of individual filamentary breakdown channels in a plane-parallel gap with insulated electrodes. It was shown that the plasma parameters of the micro-discharges (breakdown channels) can be controlled and modulated, and therefore, the DBD process can be optimised for applications of interest. DBD installations have various electrode configurations and are characterized by the presence of one or more solid dielectric layers (glass, quartz, and ceramic) placed between the metal electrodes. The gap between the electrodes with the dielectric can range from 100 mm to many centimetres. In atmospheric pressure environments, under 10 kV AC conditions, a few mm distance between the electrodes is common. Multiple set-ups of the electrode systems are also common, and joint and non-joint electrode configurations are possible (Denes and Manolache 2004). The dielectric layer plays an important role for (i) limiting the discharge current and avoiding the arc transition (it enables to work with a continuous/pulsed mode) and (ii) distributing 245 random streamers on the electrode surface and ensuring a homogeneous treatment (Tendero et al 2006).

nergies, and as a consequence, neutral chemistry (free radical chem

the 2004).

W) are produced by electromagnetic waves with frequencies above

a box, where the waves are in resonance. Because of the necessity

the need The second type of PDs is CD. Corona discharges are often called negative, positive, bipolar, AC, DC, or high frequency (HF), depending on the polarity of the stressed electrodes, whether one or both positive and negative ions are implicated in the current conduction, and on the nature of the driving field. Corona discharges are exclusive in comparison to other plasmas due to the presence of a large low field drift region positioned between the ionization zone and the passive (low field) electrode. Ions and electrons penetrating the above mentioned drift space will undergo neutralization, excitation and recombination reactions including both electrons and neutral and charged molecular and atomic species. Nevertheless, because of the multiple inelastic collision processes in the atmospheric pressure environment, the charged active species running off from the ionization zone (electrons and ions) will have energies lower than the ionization energies, and as a consequence, neutral chemistry (free radical chemistry) will typify the drift region (Denes and Manolache 2004). Microwave discharges (MW) are produced by electromagnetic waves with frequencies above hundreds of MHz. The discharge usually burns in a box, where the waves are in resonance. Because of the necessity for a microwave-generating apparatus and the need for protection, this type of plasma, in general, seems to be of minor interest in biotechnology, but it was often used in the basic research of NTP interactions with biomaterials. However, MW belongs to one of the few NTP plasma sources already certified for medical use (Scholtz *et al*. 2015). 261 The last type of PDs is the atmospheric pressure plasma jet (APPJ) that can operate with radio frequency (RF) power or microwave power. The ionized gas from the plasma jet flows out through a nozzle and is directed on a substrate situated a few millimetres to a few centimetres downstream. This APPJ source configuration has been used for many applications such as the surface treatment of different materials and biomedical applications and for example, the induction of apoptosis in cancer cells. An apparatus with characteristics similar to APPJ is the APP torch system, but the plasma is generated between the tip of the centre of the electrode and the ground electrode near the exit of the torch. A relatively low electron and gas temperature characterizes the APPJs because gas molecules are dissociated between the electrodes in a glow micro-discharge. In the case of plasma torches, a very high voltage of 10 to 50 kV is generally applied, and the reactive gas is dissociated in an arc discharge. Consequently, a typical atmospheric pressure plasma torch is predisposed to have a significantly greater gas temperature and plasma density than that found in APPJs (Kim et al 2016). **Nonthermal plasma application on fresh produce** The potential of the nonthermal plasma technology in food decontamination has emerged since the mid-90s when many

studies that evaluated the effectiveness of plasma on pure cultures of many microorganisms started being published

(Surowsky *et al.* 2015). These studies found that the plasma inactivation capacity depended on many factors such as the

a lack of knowledge on the nutritional effects (Fernández and The

015; Surowsky *et al.* 2015; Thirumdas *et al.* 2015). The 34 studies

ables 1–7 since they were the most involved in the foodborne outbrountries. The stu type of technology used to generate the plasma, the feed gas, the voltage, the treatment time, the direct or indirect exposure, the species and the concentration of the tested microorganisms and the structural characteristics of the produce (Li and Farid 2016). It is only in the last ten years that researchers began to apply nonthermal plasma to the surface of different foods inoculated with many bacterial species. Of the 47 studies we found, 40% used cold plasma on fresh fruits and vegetables, 21% on dry fruits, nuts and seeds, 19% on protein foods such as meat and cold cuts, 10% on spices, 6% on liquids and 4% on the eggshells. All of these experiments were performed exclusively in the laboratory and, to our knowledge, real industrial applications have not yet been made. Indeed, these studies have shown both the ability of plasma processes to break down the microbial load and some limitations in the efficacy on biofilms, the capacity of penetration and a lack of knowledge on the nutritional effects (Fernández and Thompson 2012; Niemira 2012; Pinela and Ferreira 2015; Surowsky *et al.* 2015; Thirumdas *et al.* 2015). The 34 studies on fresh foods, as defined previously, are shown in Tables 1–7 since they were the most involved in the foodborne outbreaks that occurred in Europe and industrialized countries. The studies were divided into seven groups based on the type of microorganism subjected to the treatment. The authors applied cold plasma on fresh vegetables (56%), dry fruits, nuts and seeds (29%) 290 and spices (15%). Different plasma processes were used including plasma jet (34%), DBD (20%), MW (14%), low pressure plasma (12%), CD (3%), and other plasma processes (17%). Furthermore, the gas most widely used was air 292 (44%), followed by pure Ar (17%), mixtures of He/O₂ and Ar/O₂ (12%) and pure N₂ (9%). The inoculated microorganisms included *Escherichia coli* spp., *Salmonella* spp., *Listeria* spp., mesophilic bacteria, fungi, yeast, spores and bacilli and even other microorganisms involved in the decay process. The microorganisms that were most studied were *E. coli* spp., fungi, mesophilic bacteria and *Salmonella* spp. Notably, *E. coli* spp. and especially toxin-producing species and *Salmonella* spp. are often responsible for foodborne outbreaks. As reported above, in Europe, the main causative agent of these events is *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli*, but in the tables, no studies are reported that used this microorganism. *Campylobacter jejuni* was used in one study where it was inoculated at a concentration of approximately 4 Log on skinless chicken breast and chicken thighs with the skin then subjected to a direct treatment with air plasma at atmospheric pressure (DBD) for three minutes; this treatment was enough to break 301 down the microorganism of 2:45 Log and 3:11 Log, respectively (Dirks *et al.* 2012). The lack of studies on the plasma treatment of foods inoculated with *Campylobacter* spp. could be due to it is a microaerophilic microorganism and the difficulty in cultivation. To our knowledge, studies assessing the effectiveness of nonthermal plasma on food contaminated with Norovirus have not been published since Norovirus, which is a primary cause of acute gastroenteritis in both Europe and the United States, cannot be cultivated. There is only one study in which human faeces contaminated with Norovirus GII.4 were treated with cold atmospheric pressure plasma for 15 minutes to reach a 307 reduction of 1 60 Log genomic equivalents ml⁻¹ (Ahlfeld *et al.* 2015).

lue to groups containing a low number of data. In order to evaluate
ent process parameters, Log reduction was used. The mean and sta
t time related to different parameters are shown in table 8. On ave-
the microorganism o To verify whether the effectiveness of the plasma treatment depended on the type of microorganism inoculated, the type of technology, the type of gas, the type of food, and the exposure time, statistical analyses were performed on the data reported in tables 1–7. The statistical analysis was conducted with the statistical package IBM SPSS Statistics 22.0 using Spearman's test, ANOVA, Probit regression analysis, and t test. At first, statistical analyses were performed by considering the data as a whole, and then the data were divided by the type of microorganism treated, the type of plasma process used, the type of gas for the generation of plasma, the treatment time, and the type of food treated. As shown in tables 1–7, the data are not homogeneous, such as the data regard the treated microorganism groups and the type of plasmas that were used. In some cases, the lack of homogeneity in the data prevented statistical analysis or resulted in no significant differences due to groups containing a low number of data. In order to evaluate the microorganism abatement due to the different process parameters, Log reduction was used. The mean and standard deviation of the abatement and the treatment time related to different parameters are shown in table 8. On average, the plasma 319 treatments are able to reduce the microorganism on fresh produce by 2.73 ± 1.44 Log, and this highlights their potentiality in food decontamination. The mean abatement of the seven groups of microorganisms ranges from 3 320 potentiality in food decontamination. The mean abatement of the seven groups of microorganisms ranges from 325 ± 1.56 Log for *Listeria* spp. to 1.51 ± 1.04 Log for bacilli and spores, but there are no statistical between the groups. Regarding the plasma processes that were studied, plasma jet was applied on all microorganisms that were considered followed by DBD and MW, which were tested on all microorganisms except bacilli/spores and the so called "other" 325 respectively. The abatements obtained with the different plasma processes range between 355 ± 163 Log for those 326 identified as other treatment and 1.23 ± 0.64 Log for corona discharge plasma. The ANOVA revealed a statistically

327 significant difference comparing the mean abatements with the type of plasma used ($F = 4996$, $p \le 0001$), especially

328 between the DBD and the plasma jet ($p \le 0.005$) and between the DBD and the MW ($p \le 0.05$). This highlights the

efficacy of the DBD more than the other two most used treatments, regardless of the type of microorganism or treated

food. Additionally, low pressure plasma and the so called "other processes" reached good microorganism reduction, but

they were not studied on all groups of microorganisms.

332 Furthermore, the mean abatement obtained in relation to the type of gas used to generate the plasma amounted between
333 $4:10 \pm 0.85$ Log reached with SF6 and 1.80 ± 1.22 Log with Ar. Moreover, the differences betw

334 statistically significant (F = 4.290, p <0.001) and in particular, from mixtures Ar/O₂ and N₂ (p <0.05) and between the

335 mixtures Ar/O₂ and Ar (p <0.05). This mixture was then proven to be the most effective (3.86 \pm 0.94), immediately

followed by air, that is the only gas used for generating plasma applied to all seven groups of microorganisms, and it

337 reached a mean Log reduction of 3.03 ± 1.58 . This is an important result because air is the least expensive gas, and this

characteristic could be critical for the application of plasma treatment on an industrial scale. No correlation was found

339 between the abatement and processing time used during the experiments except for bacilli and spores (Rho = 0.730 , p

- 368 The application of plasma technology on an industrial scale is possible only if it reaches sufficient levels of
- 369 effectiveness, efficiency and economic and environmental sustainability.

For Peetively.
 Follow Exercise 12 attent could be a step in a multi-stage process in which microorgand to overcome in order to survive in the food environment. The conductions all safety, stability and quality of foods The low pressure processes are very effective, especially against fungi and yeast, but they need a vacuum system, so they cannot be used on-line. Nonthermal atmospheric plasma proves itself to be a suitable technology for use on fresh produce to reduce the microbial load that is present and to avoid reaching the minimum infective dose of pathogens. Considering the treatment time, it may be compatible with an industrial application using the DBD or the plasma jet. Nonthermal plasma has many benefits including a lower operating temperature, lower water consumption, lower cost, timely production of the acting agents, and a lack of residues during production when compared to thermal and chemical treatments (Thirumdas *et al.* 2015; Ziuzina *et al.* 2015; Li and Farid 2016). Moreover, this technology could be used for the degradation of chemical contaminants such as pesticides and mycotoxins as reported by Heo *et al.* (2014) and Ouf *et al.* (2015), respectively. The nonthermal plasma treatment could be a step in a multi-stage process in which microorganisms, pathogens and chemical contaminants need to overcome in order to survive in the food environment. The correct combination of hurdles can ensure microbial safety, stability and quality of foods (Pinela and Ferreira 2015). For example, nonthermal plasma can be generated by applying electric fields to the gas that is inside packages (Ziuzina *et al.* 2012). Misra *et al*. (2014) studied the effects of cold plasma on packaged strawberries, and they reached a 3 Log reduction of the total plate count. Additionally, Min *et al.* (2016) inhibited *E. coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella*, *L. monocytogenes*, and Tulane virus inoculated on Romaine lettuce treated in package by a DBD process. Therefore, this treatment received increasing attention from the food industry because it can prevent the recontamination of fresh produce during the packaging step, and it has the potential to scale up for commercial application (Li and Farid 2016). The nutritional and organoleptic characteristics of fresh produce treated by plasma technology should be taken into account because it does not negatively affect the consumer's buying decision. Some authors studied the impact of plasma treatment on colour, pH, vitamins, fat and enzymes, but the results were not always uniform. Sometimes the researchers encountered some colour changes that adversely affected the appearance of the product, while the inactivation of enzymes such as polyphenol oxidase and peroxidase would be useful since they catalyse the browning reaction at cut surfaces. Furthermore, there is a lack of evidence regarding organoleptic evaluations of fresh produce treated by nonthermal plasma (Surowsky *et al.* 2015). The aim of food industry is to produce healthy food with high nutritional and organoleptic quality, reducing the environmental impact, while raising their economic standards with a net profit. This matter must be taken into account for the evaluation of the economic and environmental sustainability of cold plasma treatments. Niemira (2012) proposed some interesting considerations about the economic aspects of plasma in food safety and food processing. These calculations derive from the transposition and the elaboration of the costs of plasma in non-food commercial applications because the economic data presented in most food processing publications are not well

- Baier, M., Görgen, M., Ehlbeck, J., Knorr, D., Herppich, W.B. and Schlüter, O. (2014) Non-thermal atmospheric
- pressure plasma: Screening for gentle process conditions and antibacterial efficiency on perishable fresh produce.
- *Innov Food Sci Emerg Technol* **22**, 147–157.
- Baier, M., Ehlbeck, J., Knorr, D., Herppich, W.B. and Schlüter, O. (2015a) Impact of plasma processed air (PPA) on
- quality parameters of fresh produce. *Postharvest Biol Technol* **100**, 120–126.
- Baier, M., Janßen, T., Wieler, L.H., Ehlbeck, J., Knorr, D. and Schlüter, O. (2015b) Inactivation of Shiga toxin-
- producing *Escherichia coli* O104:H4 using cold atmospheric pressure plasma. *J Biosci Bioeng* **120(3)**, 275-279.
- Basaran, P., Basaran-Akgul, N. and Oksu, L. (2008) Elimination of *Aspergillus parasiticus* from nut surface with low
- pressure cold plasma (LPCP) treatment. *Food Microbiol* **25**, 626–632.
- Bermúdez-Aguirre, D., Wemlinger, E., Pedrow, P., Barbosa-Cánovas, G. and Garcia-Perez, M. (2013) Effect of
- atmospheric pressure cold plasma (APCP) on the inactivation of *Escherichia coli* in fresh produce. *Food Control* **34,** 149-157.
- Braden, C.R. and Tauxe R.V. (2013) Emerging Trends in Foodborne Diseases. *Infect Dis Clin N Am* **27,** 517-533.
- Callejon, R.M., Rodrıguez-Naranjo, M.I., Ubeda, C., Hornedo-Ortega, R., Garcia-Parrilla, M.C. and Troncoso, A.M.
- (2015) Reported Foodborne Outbreaks Due to Fresh Produce in the United States and European Union: Trends and
- Causes. *Foodborne Pathog Dis* **12 (1)**, 32-38.
- CDC (2014) Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet): FoodNet Survillance Report for 2014 (Final
- Report). Atlanta, Georgia. US Department of Health and Human Services.
- D'Angelo, D. (2010) Plasma-surface Interaction. In Plasma Technology for Hyperfunctional Surface ed. Rauscher H.,
- Perucca, M. and Buyle, G. pp. Wiley-VCH.
- CP) treatment. *Food Microbiol* **25**, 626–632.

mlinger, E., Pedrow, P., Barbosa-Cánovas, G. and Garcia-Perez, N

a plasma (APCP) on the inactivation of *Escherichia coli* in fresh pt

V. (2013) Emerging Trends in Foodborn Denes, F.S. and Manolache, S. (2004) Macromolecular plasma-chemistry: an emerging field of polymer science. *Prog Polym Sci* **29**, 815–885.
- Dolezalova, E. and Lukes, P. (2015) Membrane damage and active but nonculturable state in liquid cultures of
- *Escherichia coli* treated with an atmospheric pressure plasma jet. *Bioelectrochemistry* **103**, 7–14.
- EFSA (2013) Scientific Opinion on the risk posed by pathogens in food of non-animal origin. Part 1 (outbreak data
- analysis and risk ranking of food/pathogen combinations) Panel on Biological Hazards (BIOHAZ) EFSA 2013.
- Fernández, A. and Thompson, A. (2012) The inactivation of *Salmonella* by cold atmospheric plasma treatment. *Food*
- *Res Int* **45**, 678–684.
- Fernández, A., Noriega, E. and Thompson, A. (2013) Inactivation of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium on
- fresh produce by cold atmospheric gas plasma technology. *Food Microbiol* **33**, 24-29.
- Fridman, A. (2008) Plasma chemistry. Cambridge University Press, New York, USA.
- Guo, J., Huang, K. and Wang, J. (2015) Bactericidal effect of various non-thermal plasma agents and the influence of
- experimental conditions in microbial inactivation: A review. *Food Control* **50**, 482-490.
- Harris, L.J., Farber, L.R., Beuchat, M.E., Parish, T.V., Suslow, E.H., Garrett, F.F., Busta, F.F. (2003) Outbreaks
- associated with fresh produce: Incidence, growth, and survival of pathogens in fresh and fresh-cut produce. *Compr*
- *Rev Food Sci Food Safety* **2**, 78–86.
- Heo, N.S., Lee, M.K., Kim, G.W., Lee, S.J., Park, J.Y. and Park, T.J. (2014) Microbial inactivation and pesticide
- removal by remote exposure of atmospheric air plasma in confined environments. *J Biosci Bioeng* **117(1)**, 81-85.
- Hertwig, C., Reineke, K., Ehlbeck, J., Knorr, D., and Schlüter, O. (2015a) Decontamination of whole black pepper
- using different cold atmospheric pressure plasma applications. *Food Control* **55**, 221-229.
- Hertwig, C., Reineke, K., Ehlbeck, J., Erdoğdu, B., Rauh, C. and Schlüter, O. (2015c) Impact of remote plasma
- treatment on natural microbial load and quality parameters of selected herbs and spices. *J Food Eng* **167**, 12–17.
- Huang, J.Y., Henao, O.L., Griffin, P.M., Vugia, D.J., Cronquist, A.B., Hurd, S., Tobin-D'Angelo, M., Ryan, P., Smith,
- K., Lathrop, S., Zansky, S., Cieslak, P.R., Dunn, J., Holt, K.G., Wolpert, B.J., Patrick, M.E. (2016) Infection with
- Pathogens Transmitted Commonly Through Food and the Effect of Increasing Use of Culture-Independent Diagnostic
- Tests on Surveillance--Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network, 10 U.S. Sites, 2012-2015*. Morb Mortal*
- *Wkly Rep* **65(14)**, 368-71.
- spheric pressure plasma applications. *Food Control* 55, 221-229.

Ehlbeck, J., Erdoğdu, B., Rauh, C. and Schlüter, O. (2015c) Impact

obial load and quality parameters of selected herbs and spices. *JF*

Griffin, P.M., Vu Jahid, I.K., Han, N. and Ha, S.D. (2014) Inactivation kinetics of cold oxygen plasma depend on incubation conditions
- of *Aeromonas hydrophila* biofilm on lettuce. *Food Res Int* **55**, 181–189.
- Jahid, I.K., Han, N., Zhang, C.Y. and Ha, S.D. (2015) Mixed culture biofilms of *Salmonella* Typhimurium and
- cultivable indigenous microorganisms on lettuce show enhanced resistance of their sessile cells to cold oxygen
- plasma. *Food Microbiol* **46,** 383-394.
- Kim, H.H. (2004) Nonthermal Plasma Processing for Air-Pollution Control: A Historical Review, Current Issues, and
- Future Prospects. *Plasma Process Polym* **1**, 91–110.
- Kim, J.E., Lee, D.U. and Min, S.C. (2014) Microbial decontamination of red pepper powder by cold plasma. *Food Microbiol* **38,** 128-136.
- Kim, K.N., Lee, S.M., Mishra, A. and Yeom, G.Y. (2016) Atmospheric pressure plasmas for surface modification of flexible and printed electronic devices: A review. *Thin Solid Films* **598**, 315–334.
- Lackmann, J.W. and Bandow, J.E. (2014) Inactivation of microbes and macromolecules by atmospheric-pressure
- plasma jets. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **98**, 6205–6213.
- Lacombe, A., Niemira, B.A., Gurtler, J.B., Fan, X., Sites, J., Boyd, G. and Chen, H. (2015) Atmospheric cold plasma
- inactivation of aerobic microorganisms on blueberries and effects on quality attributes. *Food Microbiol* **46**, 479-484.
- Laroussi, M., Richardson, J.P. and Dobbs, F.C. (2002) Effects of nonequilibrium atmospheric pressure plasmas on the
- heterotrophic pathways of bacteria and on their cell morphology. *Appl Phys Lett* **81**, 772–774.
- Laroussi, M. (2005) Low temperature plasma-based sterilization: overview and state-of-the-art. *Plasma Processes Polym* **2**, 391–400.
- Lee, H., Kim, J.E., Chung, M.S. and Min, S.C. (2015) Cold plasma treatment for the microbiological safety of cabbage,
- lettuce, and dried figs. *Food Microbiol* **51**, 74-80.
- Li, X. and Farid, M. (2016) A review on recent development in non-conventional food sterilization technologies. *J Food Eng* **182**, 33-45.
- M. and Azam, F. (2000) A note on the possible electrostatic disrup

4–1306.

Hara, B.A., Sites, J.E., Boyd, G. and Lacombe, A. (2016) Dielectric

inhibits *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella, Listeria monocyto,*
 Hicr Mendis, D.A., Rosenberg, M. and Azam, F. (2000) A note on the possible electrostatic disruption of bacteria. *IEEE Trans Plasma Sci* **28**, 1304–1306.
- Min, S.C., Roh, S.H., Niemira, B.A., Sites, J.E., Boyd, G. and Lacombe, A. (2016) Dielectric barrier discharge
- atmospheric cold plasma inhibits *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and Tulane virus in
- Romaine lettuce. *Int J Food Microbiol* **237**, 114–120.
- Misra, N.N., Tiwari, B.K., Raghavarao, K.S.M.S. and Cullen P.J. (2011) Nonthermal Plasma Inactivation of Food-
- Borne Pathogens. *Food Eng Rev* **3**,159–170.
- Misra, N.N., Patil, S., Moiseev, T., Bourke, P., Mosnier, J.P, Keener, K.M. and Cullen P.J. (2014a) In-package
- atmospheric pressure cold plasma treatment of strawberries. *J Food Eng* **125**, 131–138.
- Misra, N.N., Moiseev, T., Patil, S., Pankaj, S.K., Bourke, P., Mosnier, J.P, Keener, K.M. and Cullen P.J. (2014b) Cold
- Plasma in Modified Atmospheres for Post-harvest Treatment of Strawberries. *Food Bioprocess Technol* **7**, 3045–
- 3054.
- Mitra, A., Li, Y.F., Klämpfl, T.G., Shimizu, T., Jeon, J., Morfill, G.E. and Zimmermann, J.L. (2014) Inactivation of
- Surface-Borne Microorganisms and Increased Germination of Seed Specimen by Cold Atmospheric Plasma. *Food*
- *Bioprocess Technol* **7**, 645–653.
- Moisan, M., Barbeau, J., Moreau, S., Pelletier, J., Tabrizian, M., Yahia, L. H. (2001) Low-temperature sterilization
- using gas plasma: a review of the experiments and an analysis of the inactivation mechanism. *Int J Pharmac* **226**, 1-
- 21.
- Montie, T.C., Kelly-Wintenberg, K. and Roth, J.R. (2000) An overview of research using the one atmosphere uniform
- glow discharge plasma (OAUGDP) for sterilization of surfaces and materials. *IEEE Trans Plasma Sci* **28**, 41–50.
- Moreau, M., Orange, N., Feuilloley, M.G.J. (2008) Non-thermal plasma technologies: new tools for
- biodencotamination. *Biotech Advances* **26**, 610-617.
- Nguyen-the, C., Bardin, M., Berard, A., Berge, O., Brillard, J., Broussolle, V., Carlin, F., Renault, P., Tchamitchian, M.,
- Morris, S.E. (2016) Agrifood systems and the microbial safety of fresh produce: Trade-offs in the wake of increased
- sustainability. *Sci Total Environ* **562**, 751-759.
- Niemira, B.A. and Sites, J. (2008) Cold Plasma Inactivates *Salmonella* Stanley and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7
- Inoculated on Golden Delicious Apples. *J Food Protect* **71(7)**, 1357–1365.
- Niemira, B.A. (2012) Cold Plasma decontamination of Foods. *Annu Rev Food Sci Technol* **3**, 125-42.
- Nuesch-Inderbinen, M. and Stephan, R. (2016) Fresh fruit and vegetables as vehicles of bacterial foodborne disease: a
- review and analysis of outbreaks registered by proMED-mail associated with fresh produce. *J Food Safety Food Qual*
- *Arch Lebensmittelhyg* **67(2)**, 32-39.
- Ouf, S.A., Basher, A.H. and Mohamed, A.A.H. (2015) Inhibitory e ffect of double atmospheric pressure argon cold
- plasma on spores and mycotoxin production of *Aspergillus niger* contaminating date palm fruits. *J Sci Food Agric* **95**,
- 3204–3210.
- **For Peer Review of Aspergillus interest of double atmospheriology.**
 For Peer State II, (2015) Inhibitory effect of double atmospheriology.
 For Peer Review II and Kong, M.G. (2008a) Cold Atmospheric Plasma Decontar
 Perni, S., Liu, D.W., Shama, G. and Kong, M.G. (2008a) Cold Atmospheric Plasma Decontamination of the Pericarps of Fruit. *J Food Protect* **71(2)**, 302–308.
- Perni, S., Shama, G. and Kong, M.G. (2008b) Cold Atmospheric Plasma Disinfection of Cut Fruit Surfaces
- Contaminated with Migrating Microorganisms. *J Food Protect* **71(8)**, 1619–1625.
- Pignata, C., D'Angelo, D., Basso, D., Cavallero, M.C., Beneventi, S., Tartaro, D., Meineri V. and Gilli, G. (2014) Low-
- temperature, low-pressure gas plasma application on *Aspergillus brasiliensis*, *Escherichia coli* and pistachios. *J Appl*
- *Microbiol* **116**, 1137-1148.
- Pinela, J. and Ferreira, I.C.F.R. (2015) Non-thermal Physical Technologies to Decontaminate and Extend the Shelf-life
- of Fruits and Vegetables: Trends Aiming at Quality and Safety, Critical *Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* doi:
- 10.1080/10408398.2015.1046547.
- Purayidathil, F.W. and Ibrahim, J. (2012) A summary of health outcomes: multistate foodborne disease outbreaks in the
- U.S., 1998-2007. *J Environ Health* **75(4)**, 8-13.
- Scholtz, V., Pazlarova, J., Souskova, H., Khun, J. and Julak, J. (2015) Nonthermal plasma A tool for
- decontamination and disinfection. *Biotechnol Adv* **33,** 1108–1119.
- Selcuk, M., Oksuz, L., Basaran, P. (2008) Decontamination of grains and legumes infected with *Aspergillus* spp. and
- *Penicillum* spp. by cold plasma treatment. *Biores Technol* **99**, 5104-5109**.**
- Sivapalasingam, S., Friedman, C.R., Cohen, L., Tauxe, R. (2004) Fresh produce: A growing cause of outbreaks of
- foodborne illness in the United States, 1973 through 1997. *J Food Prot* **67,** 2342–2353.
- Söoderqvist, K., Lambertz, S.T., Vågsholm, I., Boqvist, S. (2016) Foodborne Bacterial Pathogens in Retail Prepacked
- Ready-to-Eat Mixed Ingredient Salads. *J Food Prot* **79(6)**, 978–985.
- Suhem, K., Matan, Na., Nisoa M. and Matan, Ni. (2013) Inhibition of *Aspergillus flavus* on agar media and brown rice
- cereal bars using cold atmospheric plasma treatment. *Int J Food Microbiol* **161**, 107–111.
- Sun, S., Anderson, N.M. and Keller, S. (2014) Atmospheric Pressure Plasma Treatment of Black Peppercorns
- Inoculated with Salmonella and Held Under Controlled Storage. *J Food Sci* **79(12)**, 2441-2446
- Surowsky, B., Schlüter, O., Knorr, D. (2015) Interactions of Non-Thermal Atmospheric Pressure Plasma with Solid and
- Liquid Food Systems: A Review. *Food Eng Rev* **7**, 82–108.
- Tappi, S., Gozzi, G., Vannini, L., Berardinelli, A., Romani, S., Ragni, L. and Rocculi, P. (2016) Cold plasma treatment
- for fresh-cut melon stabilization. *Innov Food Sci Emerg Technol* **33**, 225–233.
- Tendero, C., Tixier, C., Tristant, P., Desmaison, J. and Leprince, P. (2006) Atmospheric pressure plasmas: A review.
- *Spectrochim Acta B* **61**, 2 30.
- Thirumdas, R., Sarangapani, C., Annapure, U.S. (2015) Cold Plasma: A novel Non-Thermal Technology for Food
- Processing. *Food Biophys* **10**, 1–11.
- WHO (2015) WHO estimates of the global burden of foodborne diseases: foodborne disease burden epidemiology
- reference group 2007-2015. ISBN 978 92 4 156516 5.
- Wiseman, H., Halliwell, B. (1996) Damage to DNA by reactive oxygen and nitrogen species: role in inflammatory
- disease and progression to cancer. *Biochem J* **313(1)**, 17-29.
- Yeni, F., Yavaş, S., Alpas, H., Soyer, Y. (2016) Most Common Foodborne Pathogens and Mycotoxins on Fresh
- Produce: A Review of Recent Outbreaks. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* **56(9),** 1532-44.
- ini, L., Berardinelli, A., Romani, S., Ragni, L. and Rocculi, P. (201

ization. *Innov Food Sci Emerg Technol* **33**, 225–233.

stant, P., Desmaison, J. and Leprince, P. (2006) Atmospheric press

-30.

i, C., Annapure, U.S. Ziuzina, D., Patil, S., Cullen, P.J., Keener, K.M., and Bourke, P. (2012) Atmospheric cold plasma inactivation of
- *Escherichia coli* in liquid media inside a sealed package. *J Appl Microbiol* **114**, 778—787.
- Ziuzina, D., Patil, S., Cullen, P.J., Keener, K.M., and Bourke, P. (2014) Atmospheric cold plasma inactivation of
- *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium and *Listeria monocytogenes* inoculated on fresh produce.
- *Food Microbiol* **42,** 109-116.
- Ziuzina, D., Hana, L., Cullen, P.J. and Bourke, P. (2015) Cold plasma inactivation of internalised bacteria and biofilms
- for *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium, *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Escherichia coli*. *Int J Food Microbiol*
- **210,** 53–61.
-

Table 1 Studies regarding the treatment of *Escherichia coli* spp. on fresh produce.

*S. enteric*a serovar Typhimurium ATCC Strawberries³.8 Log CFU sample 14028

Table 3 Studies regarding the treatment of *Listeria* spp. on fresh produce.

Table 4 Studies regarding the treatment of aerobic mesophilic bacteria on fresh produce.

Table 5 Studies regarding the treatment of fungi and yeast on fresh produce.

Table 7 Studies regarding the treatment of other bacteria on fresh produce.

Table 8 Mean Log reduction and treatment time for different processing parameters.

Figure captions

Figure 1 Time evolution of the elementary processes in NTP volume (a) and schematic surface processes (b) (modified from Kim *et al*. 2004 and D'Angelo 2010).

