



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

The role of soil volumetric liquid water content during snow gliding processes

| This is the author's manuscript | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Original Citation: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Availability: | | | | | |
| This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1638774 since 2019-12-19T17:18:26Z | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Published version: | | | | | |
| DOI:10.1016/j.coldregions.2017.01.007 | | | | | |
| Terms of use: | | | | | |
| Open Access | | | | | |
| Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law. | | | | | |

(Article begins on next page)





This Accepted Author Manuscript (AAM) is copyrighted and published by Elsevier. It is posted here by agreement between Elsevier and the University of Turin. Changes resulting from the publishing process - such as editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms - may not be reflected in this version of the text. The definitive version of the text was subsequently published in COLD REGIONS SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, 136, 2017, 10.1016/j.coldregions.2017.01.007.

You may download, copy and otherwise use the AAM for non-commercial purposes provided that your license is limited by the following restrictions:

(1) You may use this AAM for non-commercial purposes only under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND license.

(2) The integrity of the work and identification of the author, copyright owner, and publisher must be preserved in any copy.

(3) You must attribute this AAM in the following format: Creative Commons BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/deed.en), 10.1016/j.coldregions.2017.01.007

The publisher's version is available at: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0165232X17300514

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text: http://hdl.handle.net/

This full text was downloaded from iris - AperTO: https://iris.unito.it/

| 1 | |
|---|--|
| | |

The role of soil volumetric liquid water content during snow gliding processes.

| 3 | Authors: Elisabetta Ceaglio ^{1,2} *, Christoph Mitterer ^{3,4} *, Margherita Maggioni ¹ , Stefano Ferraris ⁵ , |
|----|---|
| 4 | Valerio Segor ⁶ , Michele Freppaz ¹ |
| 5 | ¹ Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Forestali e Alimentari – DISAFA, Chimica Agraria e Pedologia |
| 6 | and NatRisk - LNSA, University of Torino - Via Leonardo Da Vinci 44, 10095 Grugliasco (TO), |
| 7 | Italy; |
| 8 | ² Fondazione Montagna Sicura - Montagne Sûre, Villa Cameron, Località Villard de la Palud 1, |
| 9 | 11013 Courmayeur (AO), Italy; |
| 10 | ³ ALPsolut S.r.l., Via Saroch 1098/B; c/o Plaza Plachéda – 23030 Livigno (SO), Italy; |
| 11 | ⁴ Division of Geotechnical and Tunnel Engineering, Institute of Infrastructure, University of |
| 12 | Innsbruck |
| 13 | ⁵ Dipartimento di Scienze, Progetto e Politiche del Territorio – DIST, Politecnico and University of |
| 14 | Torino – Viale Mattioli 39, 10125 Torino, Italy |
| 15 | ⁶ Ufficio neve e valanghe, Assetto idrogeologico dei bacini montani, Regione Autonoma Valle |
| 16 | d'Aosta, Loc. Amérique 44, 11020 Quart (AO), Italy; |
| 17 | |
| 18 | * Both authors contributed equally to this manuscript |
| 19 | Corresponding author: M. Maggioni (+39 011 6708522, margherita.maggioni@unito.it) |
| 20 | |

21 Abstract

22 In recent years, our understanding of snow gliding and glide-snow avalanches has improved; 23 however, the contributing factors are still poorly understood and difficult to measure. In particular, 24 the role of soil properties has not been considered as much as other environmental parameters (e.g. 25 air temperature). Focusing on soil properties we established a monitoring site in the Italian Alps, in 26 the release zone of a WSW-facing avalanche path. The area is typically characterized by intense 27 snow gliding that results in the formation of large glide cracks, often leading to the release of a 28 glide-snow avalanche. The site was equipped with four glide-snow shoes to measure snow gliding 29 movement. Temperature and water content sensors were located at the snow-soil interface and at 30 different depths within the soil. Meteorological data were recorded by a nearby automatic weather 31 station, and snowpack properties were evaluated using manual snow profiles and SNOWPACK 32 simulations; additionally, soils were characterized with special emphasis on the physical properties 33 of the upper soil horizons. During two monitoring seasons, we registered a cold-temperature event 34 characterized by gradual and continuous snow gliding and three warm-temperature events with 35 glide-crack formation and evolution, in one case resulting in a glide-snow avalanche. Univariate (Mann-Witney U-test) and multivariate (Classification Trees) analyses allowed us to find 36 37 significant differences between gliding and non-gliding periods, and confirmed the importance of 38 distinguishing between cold and warm-temperature events. In particular, for warm-temperature 39 events we found that the most significant parameters were a large snow depth, strong settlement and 40 high air temperature. For cold-temperature events we found that, together with a large snow depth, 41 the volumetric liquid water content, both at the snow-soil interface and within the soil, played a 42 fundamental role. Moreover, for the cold-temperature events we found a strong correlation between 43 daily glide rates and the soil volumetric liquid water content, with an exponential relationship at the 44 snow-soil interface and at 5 cm depth within the soil. These results highlight the relationship 45 between the snow gliding process and the soil conditions, which have been identified among the 46 main environmental factors related to the development of snow gliding.

Keywords: snow gliding, glide-snow avalanche, snow-soil interaction, soil plastic and liquid limits

49 **1. Introduction**

50 Snow gliding, defined as the slow downhill movement of the entire snow cover on the ground, may 51 lead to the formation of folds and cracks within the snowpack (In der Gand and Zupancic, 1966). 52 Eventually, the movement may speed up and a crack may develop into a glide-snow avalanche 53 (McClung and Schaerer, 2006). However, a glide crack does not necessarily result in glide-snow 54 avalanche release, and in case it does, the time span from crack opening to the avalanche release 55 may vary from a few seconds up to several months (Feick et al., 2012). Due to this high temporal variability, glide-snow avalanches still represent a major point of uncertainty for forecasting 56 57 programs at all scales (Peitzsch et al., 2012; Reardon and Lundy, 2005; Stimberis and Rubin, 2004). 58 Glide processes in snow and glide-snow avalanche release have been studied since the 1930s and 59 are summarized in three recent reviewing publications (Ancey and Bain, 2015; Höller, 2014; Jones, 60 2004) which conclude that snow gliding is favoured by a smooth ground surface (in der Gand and 61 Zupancic, 1966; Leitinger et al., 2008; McClung and Schaerer, 2006; Newesely et al., 2000), a 62 lowermost layer of wet snow (in der Gand and Zupancic, 1966; McClung and Clarke, 1987) and a 63 temperature at the snow-soil interface close to 0 °C (McClung and Clarke, 1987). Snow gliding can typically be observed on slopes with incline of at least 15° (McClung and Schaerer, 2006). Based 64 65 on McClung and Clarke (1987), an enhanced gliding speed is connected to increased liquid water 66 content at the snow-soil interface. Since monitoring the snow-soil interface is very demanding, 67 different methods for tracking gliding speed were developed in the past (van Herwijnen et al., 2013). 68

As the presence of water is the key-contributing factor to snow gliding conditions, it is important to
know how the liquid water content at the snow-soil interface evolves. The main processes
associated with producing water are melting at the snow surface and rain-on-snow events. In fact,
Clarke and McClung (1999) related most observed glide-snow avalanches to either snowmelt or
3

73 rain-on-snow events using air temperature as a proxy and consequently called these glide-snow 74 avalanche warm-temperature events. However, glide-snow avalanches have also been observed 75 after prolonged periods of dry weather with sub-freezing temperatures, so-called cold-temperature 76 events, which could not be explained with air temperature (Clarke and McClung, 1999). More 77 recently, different processes that may lead to the presence of water at the snow-soil interface were 78 investigated (Dreier et al., 2016; Mitterer and Schweizer, 2012). The results of both analyses 79 underlined again the importance of differentiating between cold and warm-temperature events 80 (Clarke and McClung, 1999), which seem to be driven by different soil, snow (Mitterer and 81 Schweizer, 2012) and meteorological factors (Dreier et al., 2016).

82 All reviewing articles further conclude that there is a general lack of understanding of the exact 83 glide-snow avalanche release mechanism, especially concering the interaction of the two porous 84 media (snow and soil). Höller (2014) concludes that "The increasing number of glide-snow 85 avalanches in certain winter periods might be associated with the soil and ground surface 86 conditions in late autumn and early winter; however, this assumption is primarily based on 87 observations and not yet confirmed by relevant investigations. In this context, the soil conditions 88 and the conditions at the snow-soil interface should be investigated.". In fact, snow and soil are 89 connected and represent a highly dynamic system, characterized by layered particles of different 90 grain size and shapes with appreciable quantities of air and water. The strata encountered in a 91 snowpack are in some ways analogous to the horizons that make up a soil profile. The interactions 92 between the two domains are so strong that they must be considered a continuous system (Guymon, 93 1978). The presence of discontinuities in the physical properties of both snow and soil strata 94 represents a potential triggering factor for snow movements and soil erosion, respectively (Chiaia 95 and Frigo, 2009; Stanchi et al., 2014, 2012). First attempts in modelling the water transport 96 behavior at the snow-soil interface (Mitterer and Schweizer, 2012) showed that a strong pressure 97 gradient at the snow-grass interface causes an upward flux of water. Water in the model moved 98 from the soil towards the snowpack. Consequently, if the substrate is a wet porous medium (i.e. 4

99 soil), water can be present within the basal snow layer even without basal melting (Mitterer and 100 Schweizer, 2012). Moreover, in a wet soil, the high liquid water content might contribute to soil 101 cohesion loss and the production of a thin mud layer, which could reduce the roughness and friction 102 at the snow-soil interface.

103 Until now, only few studies have focused on the role of soil during snow gliding processes
104 (Baumgärtner, 2016; Mitterer and Schweizer, 2012) and therefore our aim is to contribute to a
105 better understanding of these processes with an integrated approach.

106 **2. Data and methods**

107 **2.1. Study area**

108 The study area, located in the Aosta Valley Region (NW-Italy), very close to the Mont Blanc 109 Massif, includes the so-called Torrent des Marais - Mont de la Saxe avalanche path. The avalanche 110 path runs on a WSW-facing slope from 2115 m to 1250 m a.s.l. (Fig. 1). The selected avalanche 111 release area, at an elevation of about 2100 m a.s.l., is typically characterized by intense snow 112 gliding and the formation of large glide cracks, often developing into a glide-snow avalanche, 113 mainly during springtime. However, from time to time also in late autumn glide-snow avalanches 114 were observed. The crack or avalanche crown width typically ranges between 30 and 100 m. A 115 groundwater source is present in the south-eastern part of the crack zone. The slope, characterized 116 by a mean angle of 30°, is covered by abandoned pastures and patches of bare soil providing a 117 smooth surface favourable to snow gliding (Newesely et al., 2000). The bedrock is mainly black 118 argillic schists, calcareous sandstones and, in some places, porphyritic granites. The soils in the 119 study area (Haplic Cambisol (Humic, Dystric) according to IUSS, 2006) appeared frequently 120 disturbed by snow gliding and snow avalanche phenomena, with the removal of the upper horizons 121 (5-20 cm) and the consequent exposure of the subsoil (Ceaglio et al., 2012). In the study area, at about 2000 m, the long-term mean precipitation is 730 mm yr⁻¹ (1992-2012), and the mean annual 122 123 air temperature is +2.8 °C (1992-2012); the average cumulative snowfall is about 630 cm (2002-

124 2012).

125 **Data collection**

For this work, the data were collected in the hydrological years 2009-2010 and 2010-2011, which hereafter will be called winter seasons or just seasons 2010 and 2011. All snow and meteorological parameters (Tab. 1) were provided by the automatic weather station (AWS) *Pré-Saint-Didier Plan Praz*, which is operational since 2002 and placed 9 km further south from the study site at 2044 m a.s.l.

To determine the physical properties of the snowpack, snow pit observations were made in a safe zone in the south-eastern part of the study area, where the avalanche rarely releases and only during periods characterized by low avalanche danger. Observations were performed according to Fierz et al. (2009). In addition, weekly snow profiles from the manual snow station *Morgex-Les Ors* located at 2144 m a.s.l. 9 km further south-east from the study site, were used, as this station is considered representative for the snowpack in the study area.

137 In the avalanche release area, instrumentation was installed for measuring snow gliding and snow 138 and soil properties (Fig. 2). Two couples of glide-snow shoes, connected to potentiometers 139 (Sommer®), were placed within the area where glide-cracks were observed in the past: a first 140 couple (G1-G2) was placed in the north-western part of the glide crack zone, while a second couple 141 (G3-G4) was placed in the south-eastern part, closer to the center of the glide crack zone, and to a 142 groundwater spring. The wires connecting the shoes to the potentiometers were 4.5 m long during 143 the winter season 2010 and 20 m long in the winter season 2011. Longer wires were used in the 144 second season, because length proved not sufficient in the first season. In addition to the glide-snow 145 shoes, temperature sensors (Campbell - 107 Temperature Probe) and volumetric liquid water 146 content probes (Campbell-CS616 - Water Content Reflectometers WCR) were placed at the snow-147 soil interface and at two different soil depths (5 cm and 15 cm).

These sensors were installed in a place representative of the soil conditions of the study site (A in
Fig. 2). Another system with the same set of sensors was placed very close to the groundwater
spring (B in Fig. 2) in order to measure soil conditions in a waterlogged area with evidence of soil 6

151 erosion; Stahr and Langenscheidt (2015) reported that these kind of conditions might potentially 152 cause snow gliding. The data loggers were set to record measurements every minute and to store 153 average (maximum in case of snow gliding) values every 30 minutes.

Soils were sampled (3 replicates) at 5 and 15 cm depth within plots A and B and analyzed in 154 155 laboratory in order to determine soil physical properties according to standard methods (SISS, 156 1997): skeleton content (%), Atterberg plastic (LP, %) and liquid (LL, %) limits. The Atterberg 157 Limits, determined through the cone penetrometer method, represent the soil moisture content 158 values determining the transition from the semi-solid to the plastic state, and from the plastic to the 159 liquid state, respectively (Lal and Shukla, 2004; Stanchi et al., 2012). The use of Atterberg Limits 160 has been extended to the field of natural hazard assessment and investigation, mainly either for 161 unstable phenomena involving the first decimeters of soil as shallow landslides or for the evaluation 162 of soil erosion susceptibility to snow avalanches (Confortola et al., 2011; Stanchi et al., 2014, 163 2012).

164 **2.3. Methods**

During the winter season 2010, the snow gliding data registered by the glide-snow shoes G1 and G2 were analysed from 8 November 2009 until 18 March 2010, the day when a glide-snow avalanche released. For the glide-snow shoes G3 and G4 the data were analysed from 8 November 2009 until 14 February 2010, when the maximum cable length was reached. During the winter season 2011, snow gliding data registered by all the glide-snow shoes were analysed from 8 November 2010 until 30 April 2011, when the site was almost snow free, with only few snow patches left.

We performed univariate (Mann-Witney U-test) and multivariate (Classification Trees) statistical analyses to explore differences between periods of gliding (identified as those days with a daily glide rate greater than 0.5 cm/d measured by at least 3 glide-snow shoes) and periods of no gliding; initially we considered the whole dataset at once and then we classified into cold- and warmtemperature events. 176 During the winter season 2010, we identified periods of continuous, gradual gliding (defined with a 177 daily glide rate greater than 0.5 cm/d measured by the four different glide-snow shoes) in which we 178 performed further statistical analyses. We correlated glide-snow rate and soil parameters either 179 using synchronous data or considering a time lag by means of the programming language R (R 180 Team, 2014) and the software SPSS (IBM, 2013). In addition, we used a model fitting tool within R 181 (AICcmodavg and fit.model package) to establish links between the glide-snow rate and the 182 volumetric liquid water content measured in plot A. We considered daily values, which were 183 obtained by averaging the 30 minutes average values for all parameters, except for the daily glide-184 snow rate, which was calculated as the difference of the cumulative gliding at 23:30 h between two 185 consecutive days.

186 The soil parameters measured in B, closer to the water spring, were analyzed qualitatively, in order 187 to evaluate their potential influence on soil cohesion loss and on snow gliding processes.

188 Availability of snow pit observations was limited due to logistic and safety reasons and therefore 189 sparse in time. Consequently, we performed numerical simulations with the physical-based multi-190 layer snow cover model SNOWPACK (Lehning et al., 2002a,b; Wever et al., 2015), driven with 191 meteorological input data from the Pré-Saint-Didier Plan Praz weather station. We used air 192 temperature, relative humidity, wind direction and speed, solar radiation and snow depth to run the 193 model. In order to mimic the snow cover for the glide-snow avalanche site, we adopted the input 194 parameters for the slope angle, aspect and elevation of the test site. The simulated snow cover 195 temperature was then used, combined with snow profile observations, in order to evaluate the 196 temperature regime during the gliding process, i.e. to classify into cold-temperature and warm-197 temperature events.

We assumed that the distinction between a cold and a warm-temperature event is related to the origin of liquid water at the snow-soil interface: in a cold-temperature event the necessary wet snow-soil interface originates either from snow melting at basal layers of the snowpack or from

suction; in a warm-temperature event the water originates from melting processes at the snowsurface, percolates through the snowpack and ponds at the snow-soil interface.

3. Results

204 **3.1. Winter season 2010**

The winter season 2010 was characterized by a cumulative snowfall (821 cm) higher than the longterm average and an air temperature lower than the average from December until February, but higher than for the period mid-March until the end of April (dataset for period 2002-2011). The snow-soil interface temperature did not freeze since a sufficient snow depth was able to insulate the soil from the cold air temperature and remained close to 0 °C until the end of February (Fig. 3).

210 A glide crack was observed during the field work on 1 February 2010; its opening probably started 211 in the last days of January. The glide crack finally evolved into a glide-snow avalanche on 18 212 March 2010 (Fig. 4). On this day the highest peak of daily glide-snow rate was registered with the 213 glide-snow shoe G1 (100.9 cm/d) (Fig. 3). Unfortunately, the cables of glide-snow shoes G3 and G4 214 already reached their maximum length on 14 February 2010, after a long period of continuous and 215 gradual gliding; therefore they did not record the avalanche event. The snow gliding started one 216 week earlier for the glide-snow shoes G3-G4 than for the other pair and the daily glide-snow rate 217 was higher for the prior pair than for the latter one (see also boxplots in Fig. 5), with a mean daily 218 rate of 3.5 cm/d for both G1 and G2 and of 4.4 cm/d and 4.3 cm/d for G3 and G4, respectively.

The measured soil volumetric liquid water content (VLWC) in A had an average value (determined until the avalanche release on 18 March) of 24 % at 5 cm depth and of 21 % at 15 cm depth; the maximum values were 31 % at 5 cm and 26 % at 15 cm depth, respectively. In B the average values of VLWC were 47 % and 46 %, and maximum values were 53 % and 49 %, at 5 cm and 15 cm depth, respectively.

We classified the gradual and continuous gliding, which occurred from the beginning of the season
until 14 February for G3-G4 (end of cable) and until 26 February and 6 March for G1 and G2,
respectively, as a cold-temperature event. The mean air temperature was generally below zero (Fig. 9

3), even though in January it rised above 0 °C for a short period. However, we believe that during
this period, conditions did not allow water percolating from the snow surface down to the snow-soil
interface withouth subsurface refreezing, as the snow cover was typically in a winter condition with
subfreezing snow temperatures.

231 The glide-snow avalanche recorded on 18 March 2010 was classified as a warm-temperature event. 232 It occurred after a substantial rise of air temperature (daily averages from -13.3 °C to +3 °C from 9 233 to 18 March), which produced a strong snowpack settlement of 25 cm (Fig. 3). From 10 to 18 234 March, the snowpack glided downwards 303.5 cm in G1 and 64.8 cm in G2, reaching the total cable 235 length of the snow shoes; the mean glide-snow rate in this period was 34 cm/d in G1 and 7.4 cm/d in 236 G2. The maximum glide-snow rate was recorded from G1 between 15:30 and 15:35 on 18 March 237 2010, when the glide-snow shoe in G1 moved downwards by 47.4 cm, indicating the time of the 238 glide-snow avalanche release.

3.2. Winter season 2011

240 The winter season 2011 started with earlier and heavier snowfall events than the season 2010, but 241 the cumulative snowfall (548 cm) was lower. The air temperature was higher than the average 242 (dataset for period 2002-2011), especially during February and from mid March until the end of 243 April, when daily average air temperatures exceeded 0 °C several times. The snow-soil interface 244 temperature was not constantly around 0 °C as in 2010, but showed an oscillating behavior related 245 to thawing/freezing episodes (Fig. 6). A strong temperature decrease at the snow-soil interface was 246 registered in A after a glide crack started to open on 17 January, which very likely exposed the soil 247 where the probes were buried (Fig. 7). Between 16 and 17 January 2011 the glide-snow shoes 248 registered a peak of daily glide-snow rate of 135.8 cm/d in G2 and of 481.6 cm/d and 447 cm/d in 249 G3 and G4, respectively (Fig. 6). The only other significant glide-snow movement was registered 250 on 4 April from glide-snow shoes G3-G4 (as the other couple of glide shoes were already free of snow): the daily glide-snow rate was 113.9 cm/d in G3 and 776.6 cm/d in G4. 251

Glide-snow shoes G3-G4 registered larger snow glide movements than G1-G2: the cumulative glide was 791 cm and 1493.7 cm for G3 and G4, respectively, and 226.7 cm in G2, while G1 did not move at all. The mean daily glide-snow rate was 3.8 cm/d and 7.2 cm/d in G3 and G4, respectively, and 1.1 cm/d in G2. In this season no glide-snow avalanche released. In comparison to season 2010, in this season, the glide-snow shoes did not move for long periods, but when moving, they moved faster and over larger distances than in 2010 (Fig. 5 and 6).

The measured soil volumetric liquid water content (VLWC) in A had an average value (determined until the snow melting observed on 23 March) of 26 % at 5 cm depth and of 27 % at 15 cm depth; the maximum values were 34 % at 5 cm and 32 % at 15 cm depth, respectively. In B the VLWC average and maximum values were 43 % and 49 %, and 57 % and 51 %, at 5 cm and 15 cm depth in the soil, respectively; large fluctuations were registered in VLWC at 5 cm depth (Fig. 6).

We classified the two important snow gliding events of this season as warm-temperature events. Both events occurred after a consistent rise in air temperature with exceptional values for the period (mean daily temperatures of +3.5 °C at 2000 m a.s.l. with a maximum of 11.4 °C on 16 January 2011; mean daily temperatures of +7.5 °C with a maximum of 12.3 °C on 3 April 2011), producing a strong wetting and settlement of the snowpack.

268 **3.3. Gliding versus non-gliding periods**

269 By contrasting gliding vs. non-gliding periods results showed that periods of gliding and periods of 270 no gliding were characterized by different meteorological and soil paramenters (Tab. 2). When 271 considering the entire dataset, only snow depth was found to be statistically significantly larger for 272 gliding days than for non-gliding days. When considering cold-temperature snow gliding events 273 only, the VLWC at the snow-soil interface was higher than in periods of no gliding, while the 274 VLWC at 15 cm in soil was lower (Fig. 8). For warm-temperature events, the VLWC at 5 cm depth 275 in soil was significatively higher in gliding periods than during periods of non-gliding; the daily 276 average and maximum air temperature and the settlement were higher in gliding periods than in 277 periods of non-gliding (Fig. 9).

When applying a multivariate approach (Classification Trees), results show that when combining warm- and cold-temperature events, the discriminant factor between gliding and non-gliding was the VLWC at the snow-soil interface with a threshold value of 5% related to snowpack movement. For cold-temperature events the discriminant variable for gliding versus non-gliding periods was the VLWC at the snow-soil interface with a threshold value of 5 % (Fig. 10); for warm-temperature events the gliding periods were characterized by a snow depth of at least 133 cm, a maximum air temperature greater than 5.4 °C and a VLWC at the snow-soil interface of 2.4 % (Fig. 10).

3.4. Correlation of snow gliding with meteorological and soil variables for the cold temperature event

The cold-temperature event, which occurred in 2010, lasted some days, therefore we had enough data to perform correlation analyses (N = 37, 67, 50 and 47 for G1, G2, G3 and G4, respectively). Instead, as the warm-temperature events occurred rapidily in less than nine days, unfortunately sample size was not large enough to perform the same analyses.

During the cold-temperature event the daily glide-snow rate was positively correlated with the volumetric liquid water content at the snow-soil interface and at 5 and 15 cm depth in the soil (Tab. 3). The time-lagged analyses found that the best correlation was with synchronous data.

We fitted models which were able to well describe the relationship between the daily glide-snow rate and VLWC at the snow-soil interface and at 5 and 15 cm depth in the soil (Fig. 11): the daily glide-snow rate showed a linear relationship with VLWC at 15 cm depth in soil, while the relationship was exponential with VLWC both at the snow-soil interface and at 5 cm depth in soil. As it seemed that the curves present a different shape for the two pairs of glide-snow shoes, we also tried to fit the data of G1-G2 and G3-G4 separately: doing so, a better fit was found for the couple G3-G4 than for G1-G2.

301 3.5. Soil characteristics

Focusing on the soil physical properties, the topsoil (0-10 cm depth) differed significantly from the
 deeper soil horizons (10-20 cm depth). It was constituted by a organo-mineral horizon with hard,
 12

304 medium granular structure and 10% content of sub-angular fine gravel with abundant fine roots. 305 The underneath horizons had a soft, medium granular structure and were characterized by 35-70% 306 of angular coarse gravel and very few fine roots. These differences in soil properties were reflected 307 in the plastic (LP) and liquid (LL) limits, that in the topsoil resulted higher (L LP: 65-67%; L: 76-308 82%) than in the underlying soil horizon (LP: 36-54%; LL: 48-67%), which represents the ground 309 surface in many eroded patches in the study site; consequently, considering the soil moisture 310 content recorded in this study, the possibility of a significant reduction of soil cohesion in the 311 subnivean zone was considerable, in particular close to the groundwater source. During a field 312 survey in spring 2010, we observed the presence of a thin mud layer at the snow-soil interface while 313 digging a snow pit in the south-eastern part of the study area, closer to the groundwater source (Fig.

314 12).

315 **4. Discussion**

316 Our results underline the fact that it is important to classify glide-snow activity into cold- and 317 warm-temperature events, which is in agreement with the most recent research on this topic (e.g. 318 Dreier et al, 2016; Peitzsch et al., 2012). The statistical analyses of gliding versus non-gliding 319 periods revealed that – when ignoring this classification – explaining relationships for both periods 320 are vanishing or become less pronounced (Tab. 2 and Fig. 8 and 9). Considering all gliding periods 321 together revealed that gliding periods had higher VLWC at the snow-soil interface, thicker 322 snowpacks and lower values of VLWC at 15 cm soil depth. Except for the latter parameter, it is a 323 known and widely accepted fact that a wet interface and a considerably thick snowpack are key-324 contributing factors to snow gliding (Höller, 2014; Jones, 2004; Mitterer and Schweizer, 2012). 325 When classifying the gliding periods into warm- and cold-temperature events, the statistical 326 analyses provided more insight into the processes governing the formation of the wet interface.

327 During gliding periods of warm-temperature events, air temperature (daily mean and maximum) 328 was significantly higher, and the decrease of snow depth was significantly stronger, than during 329 non-gliding periods; moreover, in periods of gliding new snow amount was significantly lower than 13 330 in periods of no gliding (Tab. 2, Fig. 9). Both, high air temperatures and the strong decrease in snow 331 depth indicates a melting snowpack suggesting that water was produced at the snow surface and 332 percolated through the snowpack (e.g. Peitzsch et al., 2012). Having percolated the entire 333 snowpack, VLWC at 5 cm soil depth was significanly higher for warm-temperature gliding periods 334 than during non-gliding periods, while values of VLWC at the snow-soil interface and at 15 cm soil 335 depth did not show any statistically relevant difference. In other words, warm-temperature events 336 were characterised by high air temperature, strong snow settlement and high values of VLWC at or 337 close to the snow-soil interface.

338 Cold-temperature gliding periods were characaterised by significantly higher values of VLWC at the snow-soil interface, lower values of air temperature (minimum, mean) and lower values of 339 340 VLWC at 15 cm soil depth than during non-gliding periods (Tab. 2, Fig. 8). The low values of 341 VLWC at 15 cm depth in soil during gliding periods might be related to suction. The statistical 342 analyses suggests that cold-temperature gliding events in our dataset could be characterised by an 343 upward movement of water. Mitterer and Schweizer (2012) already showed with a simplified 344 modelling approach that the difference of liquid water content between snow and soil is largest at 345 the interface. The resulting hydraulic gradient moves water from the soil into the snow. Together 346 with the measurement results by Baumgärtner (2016) our data represents the first evidence of this 347 process.

348 Moreover, our analysis of VLWC and glide rate measurements shows that the amount of water at 349 the interface is correlated with an increase in gliding speed. In particular, in the case of the cold-350 temperature snow gliding event in 2010, the glide-snow rate was strongly correlated with the 351 measured soil volumetric liquid water content (Tab. 3): faster gliding rates corresponded to higher 352 amounts of VLWC. These findings again agree with the recent results of Baumgärtner (2016), who 353 found a strong correlation between glide-snow rates and VLWC in the soil for data gathered in an 354 experimental site during the period October-January. For our data, we found an exponential relation 355 between glide-snow rates and VLWC at the snow-soil interface and at 5 cm soil depth and a linear 14

356 relation with VLWC values at 15 cm soil depth (Fig. 11). The exponential and linear relationship 357 between the glide-snow rates and the VLWC was better defined for the glide-snow shoes pair G3-358 G4 than for G1-G2 which might be due to site specific conditions (e.g. position of the glide-snow 359 shoes pairs in the crack and vicinity to the water source).

360 The results suggest that the amount of water closer to the snow-soil interface has a strong impact on 361 gliding acceleration (Fig. 11). The increase in glide-rates is known to be a reasonable precursor of 362 glide-snow avalanche activity (Stimberis and Rubin, 2011; van Herwijnen and Simenhois, 2012). 363 The exponential correlation of the glide rate with VLWC at the snow-soil interface and at 5 cm 364 depth in soil shows similar behaviour as the exponential increases of gliding velocity shortly before 365 a glide-crack turns into a glide-snow avalanche (van Herwijnen et al., 2013). In our data of VLWC 366 at 5 cm soil depth, approximately at the threshold where the derivative of the exponential function 367 becomes larger than one, the glide rate increases dramatically, while the VLWC increases little. The 368 change occurred in the period before we believe that the glide crack started to open. The 369 observations are in accordance with Clarke and McClung (1999), who pointed out that the rupture 370 and release of the snowpack are more likely to be consequences of increased glide-snow rate than of 371 a threshold in the glide-snow rate. In our case, though, the increase was possibly not strong enough 372 and consequently no avalanche released after the glide-crack opening during the observed period of 373 cold-temperature event. In case of warm-temperature events the movements were faster, but sample 374 size was too small to perform the same statistical analysis we made with the cold-temperature event. 375 Still, we think that the behaviour might be similar, with the only difference that the supplied water 376 is arriving from the snow surface, while for the cold-temperature events it arrives either from the 377 soil or from snowpack basal melting.

In addition, we observed changes in VLWC which we attributed to freezing of water. At the beginning of season 2010, VLWC measured in A at 5 cm soil depth was roughly 25 % and it dropped abruptly to 14 % on 20 December 2009 (Fig. 3). These decrease occurred in a period of prevailing low air temperatures and shallow snow cover, which are reflected in subfreezing 15 382 temperatures at the snow-soil interface and in the topsoil (Fig. 3). Snowfalls increased the total 383 snow depth and insulated the soil, where the VLWC increased to a value similar to the initial one. 384 Changes in VLWC are either attributed to water flow or to phase changes. Since the sharp decreases in VLWC occurred during a cold period with soil temperatures below 0 °C, we think that freezing 385 386 processes led to this decrease in VLWC (Brooks et al., 2011). Since the relative permittivity of 387 water is about 20 times larger than that of ice, the significant drop in permittivity measured in A 388 suggests rather a phase change than water movement causing this change. Similarly, after the snow 389 depth increased, insulating the soil, the frozen water in the soil or snow could melt leading to an 390 increase in VLWC. During periods of low VLWC and sub-freezing soil temperatures, no gliding 391 was registered (Fig. 3), while the snowpack started again to glide as soon as the soil temperatures 392 had reached roughly 0 °C.

In addition, the amount of VLWC feedbacks with the phase change of water: freezing will be much slower at high water content than at low water content. Water heat capacity is higher than frozen soil one, and water freezing adds latent heat to the soil water system. These two factors explain the different behavior of plot A and B in terms fo soil temperatures and VLWC (Fig. 3 and 6). At the beginning of winter larger decreases of temperature and VLWC occurred in plot A than in plot B, because of the inertia due to the greater amount of liquid water in plot B.

Abrupt VLWC changes occurred in plot B in 2011. We think that also in this case a water phase change can explain the strong decrease registered in VLWC at 5 cm depth in plot B at mid January 2011 (Fig. 6). In this case, the opening of a glide crack occurred on 17 January (as also registered by the glide-snow shoes) and possibly exposed the soil, where the sensors were buried, to the cold air temperatures registered in the following period. Subsequently the soil temperature dropped below 0 °C and the soil water froze. The same considerations on water phase changes are valid for the other sharp changes registered for VLWC at 5 cm depth in plot B (Fig. 6).

406 Therefore the amount of water content is not only important itself for snow gliding, but it has also a 407 cascading effect on the soil thermal regime: it plays a major role in keeping the temperature at the 16 408 snow-soil interface close to 0 °C, which is in turn again a predisposing factor for snow gliding. In 409 other words, the strictly inter-connected water flow and thermal dynamics of both soil and snow 410 porous media influence glide-snow processes.

Due to the high soil volumetric liquid water content recorded especially in B throughout both winter 411 412 seasons, and in particular, during early spring, we could not exclude the loss of soil cohesion under 413 the snow cover (Stahr and Langenscheidt, 2015). These soils, in fact, showed relatively low values 414 of the Atterberg plastic and liquid limits, in particular at a depth of 15 cm (LP: 36-54 %; LL: 48-67 415 %), which could represent the ground surface where the topsoil had already been eroded and 416 stripped away (Ceaglio et al., 2012). The soil VLWC registered at 15 cm depth in B (close to the 417 water source, where many patches of soil erosion are present) reached the maximum values of 48 % 418 in season 2010 (23 March) and of 51 % in season 2011 (13 February). These values were close to 419 the Atterberg plastic and liquid limits for the subsoil. Therefore, the loss of cohesion might have 420 contributed to the active snow gliding processes by the formation of a thin mud layer at the snow-421 soil interface, especially in the eroded areas, as observed in 2010 (Fig. 12). This thin mud layer 422 might have reduced the roughness and the friction at the snow-soil interface and might explain the 423 high amount of solid material transported by the glide-snow avalanches in this study site (Ceaglio et 424 al., 2012).

425 **5.** Conclusion

The presence of water at the snow-soil interface is one of the key contributing factors to glide-snow processes. In this study we focused on how the liquid water at the snow-soil interface is generated, evolves and how it is related to glide-snow rates. With a newly established field site, we analyzed the different predisposing conditions for warm- and cold-temperature snow gliding events.

430 For warm-temperature events we found that the most significant variables were snow depth,431 settlement and air temperature.

432 For cold-temperature events we found that, together with snow depth, the volumetric liquid water
433 content at the snow-soil interface and in soil played a fundamental role. Our results indicate that 17

cold-temperature events are characterised by an upward movement of water from the soil to the snow. We determined a quantitative relationship between the glide-snow rate and the volumetric liquid water content at the snow-soil interface and at different soil depths. Glide-snow rates increased exponentially with increasing water content at the snow-soil interface and in the top 5 cm of the soil. This observations show the importance of considering the snow cover and the underlying soil as a continuous system, where the key contributing part is certainly represented by the snow-soil interface.

In addition, we found that some discontinuities between the topsoil and the underlying soil horizon may act as a gliding layer. Depending on the soil physical characteristics, especially the plastic and liquid limits, the presence of high liquid water content values could induce the reduction of the soil cohesion, favoring the formation of a soft slushy film and creating a predisposing condition for both snow gliding and soil erosion.

Our results confirm that it is paramount to observe and/or measure the snow and soil properties 446 jointly, since together they represent a highly dynamic and connected porous medium, in order to 447 448 enhance our knowledge on driving processes for snow gliding. Thermal and hydraulic processes are 449 influencing the formation processes of glide cracks and avalanches. As our database is limited and 450 site specific, some of our results might be influenced by the specific conditions we observed in both 451 winter seasons. However, many results such as the exponential correlation of soil water content and glide-snow rates are probably generally valid. Nevertheless, more data from well-instrumented sites 452 453 should be collected to corroborate our results.

454

455 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project has been conducted as part of Operational Program 'Italy - France (Alps - ALCOTRA)',
Project "DynAval - Dynamique des avalanches: départ et interactions écoulement/obstacles".
Manuscript writing was supported by the Italian MIUR Project (PRIN 2010–11) "Response of
morphoclimatic system dynamics to global changes and related geomorphological hazards" 18 460 (national coordinator C. Baroni), and by the CNR Nextsnow project through the DIST Dept. We
461 would like to thank the avalanche warning service of the Valle d'Aosta Region; Paola Dellavedova
462 (FMS) for her suggestions; Fabrizio Busa for technical assistance in realizing and maintaining the
463 monitoring site; Davide Viglietti, Gianluca Filippa and Enrico Bruno (NatRisk – DISAFA) for field
464 work and discussions.



Fig. 1. Study area: the polygon shows the extension of the avalanche event occurred in 2009 as an
example, with the release area highlighted within the white rectangle. In the inset the localization
within the Aosta Valley and in Italy is shown.



473 Fig. 2. General view of the monitoring site with the localization of the two pairs of glide-snow
474 shoes (G1-G2 and G3-G4) and of the temperature and volumetric liquid water content sensors (A
475 and B). The scheme in the upper-left corner shows the instrumentation. Photo taken by R. Cosson in
476 Winter 2008.



479 Fig. 3. Winter season 2009-2010: (a) Snow depth (HS), simulated 24 h new snow sum (HN24); (b) 480 measured air temperature (TA) and simulated snow surface temperature (TSS) at the location of the 481 AWS Pré-Saint-Didier Plan Praz. Soil temperature at plot A (c) and B (d), (e) glide-snow distance 482 and volumetric liquid water content (VLWC) measured within the soil (f) and at the snow-soil 483 interface (g) for both A and B. G1-G2 and G3-G4 refer to the two pairs of glide-snow shoes as in 484 Fig. 2. Dashed lines identify significative dates: 14 February - end of cables for the pair G3-G4; 18 March - snow avalanche release. Blue and red colored lines on top indicate periods of cold-485 486 temperature (blue) and warm-temperature events (red).



489 Fig. 4. Glide crack and snow avalanche recorded during winter season 2010. Photos taken on 17

490 March (up) and 23 March 2010 (bottom, by R. Cosson).



Fig. 5. Daily average glide rates for the four different glide shoes in the two monitoring seasons
(left: 2009-2010; right: 2010-2011). Data from 8 November 2009 to 14 February 2010 for G3-G4
and from 8 November 2009 to 18 March 2010 for G1-G2 in season 2010; data from 8 November
2010 to 30 April 2011 for G1-G2-G3-G4 in season 2011.



Fig. 6. Same representation as in Fig. 3, but for the winter season 2010-2011. Dashed lines identify
significative dates for strong glides-snow movements: 17 January 2011 and 4 April 2011. Blue and
red colored lines on top indicate periods for cold-temperature (blue) and warm-temperature events
(red)



505 Fig. 7. Glide crack and snowmelt during winter season 2011. Photos taken on 3 February (up) and

506 23 March 2011(bottom).



509 Figure 8. Comparison between gliding (Glide) and non-gliding (NoGlide) periods during cold-510 temperature events: (a) volumetric liquid water content at the snow-soil interface (VLWC) and (b) 511 at 15 cm soil depth, (c) snow depth and (d) daily mean air temperature.





514 Figure 9. Comparison between gliding (Glide) and non-gliding (NoGlide) periods during warm-515 temperature events: (a) volumetric liquid water content (VLWC) at 5 cm soil depth, (b) daily 516 maximum air temperature, (c) daily mean air temperature, (d) snow depth, (e) 24-hour difference in 517 snow depth and maximum simulated new snow depth summed over five days.



520 Figure 10. Classification Trees for cold (left) and warm (right) temperature events, considering all

521 the variables shown in Table 2.



Fig. 11. Fitting models between daily glide-snow rates and volumetric liquid water content
(VLWC) measured in plot A at the snow-soil interface and at 5 and 15 cm soil depths during the
cold-temperature snow gliding event of season 2010 [data: 21 Jan. – 26 Feb. for G1 (N=37), 31
Dec. – 7 Mar. for G2 (N=67), 26 Dec. – 13 Feb. for G3 (N=50), 29 Dec. – 13 Feb. for G4 (N=47)].



529 Fig. 12. On 2 April 2010 in the study area the soil at the bottom of the snowpack appeared liquid

530 and mixed with the snow in a continuous system.

532 Tables

| | 533 | Table 1. Parameters measured at the AWS Pré-Saint-Didier - Plan Praz (2 | 2044 m a.s.l.` |
|--|-----|---|----------------|
|--|-----|---|----------------|

| Automatic weather station of Pré-Saint-Didier - Plan Praz | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2044 m a.s.l., UTM - 32T ED50 E: 340864 N: 5069401 | | | | | | | |
| Characteristics: flat, grassy area | | | | | | | |
| Sensor | Parameter Unit | | | | | | |
| Thermometer | Air temperature °C | | | | | | |
| Rain gauge | Rain precipitation mm | | | | | | |
| Snow gauge Snow depth cm | | | | | | | |
| Solarimeter Short wave (305 e 2800 nm) | | | | | | | |
| | solar radiation (total, incident | | | | | | |
| | and reflected) | | | | | | |
| Anemometer | Wind speed (average and gusts); | m/s | | | | | |
| | wind direction | degree | | | | | |
| Hygrometer | Relative humidity | % | | | | | |
| Barometer | Atmospheric pressure P | | | | | | |
| Snow thermometer | ter Snow temperature °C | | | | | | |

Table 2. Summary statistics showing median values of various variables for gliding days (Gd) and non-gliding days (NonGd). For each variable, distributions were contrasted (U-test, crosstabulated), and the level of significance p is given (*p<0.05, **p<0.01).

| | All events | | | Cold events | | | | Warm events | | |
|---------------------|------------|-------|----------|-------------|-------|----------|--|-------------|-------|----------|
| Variables | Gd | NonGd | p-value | Gd | NonGd | p-value | | Gd | NonGd | p-value |
| Temperature | | | | | | | | | | |
| at 0 cm (°C) | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.01* | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.061 | | 0.4 | -0.1 | 0.017* |
| VLWC at 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| cm (%/100) | 0.05 | 0.02 | <0.001** | 0.06 | 0.01 | <0.001** | | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.889 |
| Temperature | | | | | | | | | | |
| at -5 cm (°C) | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.204 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.467 | | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.117 |
| VLWC at | | | | | | | | | | |
| -5 cm (%/100) | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.226 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.721 | | 0.29 | 0.26 | <0.001** |
| Temperature | | | | | | | | | | |
| at -15 cm (°C) | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.157 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.267 | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.249 |
| VLWC at - | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 cm (%/100) | 0.23 | 0.27 | <0.001** | 0.22 | 0.27 | <0.001** | | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.183 |
| Avg Ta (°C) | -3.7 | -3.1 | 0.228 | -4.5 | -3.1 | 0.005** | | 1.5 | -3.1 | 0.007** |
| Max Ta (°C) | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.461 | -0.2 | 0.8 | 0.010* | | 6.2 | 0.8 | 0.001** |
| Min Ta (°C) | -6.8 | -5.7 | 0.111 | -7.7 | -5.7 | 0.005** | | -2.7 | -5.7 | 0.075 |
| HS (cm) | 141 | 132 | <0.001** | 137 | 132 | 0.039* | | 148 | 132 | <0.001** |
| Δ HS24h (cm) | -1.6 | -0.8 | 0.083 | -1.1 | -0.8 | 0.792 | | -2.2 | -0.8 | <0.001** |
| HN24 (cm) | 2 | 4 | 0.100 | 2 | 4 | 0.573 | | 0 | 4 | 0.005** |
| HN3d (cm) | 9 | 12 | 0.255 | 12 | 12 | 0.884 | | 7 | 12 | 0.003** |
| HN5d (cm) | 20 | 21 | 0.109 | 25 | 21 | 0.744 | | 12 | 21 | 0.002** |
| HN7d (cm) | 31 | 34 | 0.062 | 37 | 34 | 0.564 | | 20 | 34 | 0.002** |

Table 3. Correlations (r) between daily glide-snow rate (G) and volumetric liquid water content (VLWC) measured in plot A (Fig. 2) during the cold-temperature snow gliding event of season 2010 (data in periods: 21 Jan. – 26 Feb. for G1, 31 Dec. – 7 Mar. for G2, 26 Dec. – 13 Feb. for G3, 29 Dec. – 13 Feb. for G4) at the snow-soil interface (I) and at 5 and 15 cm depth in the soil (S5 and S15 respectively). *p<0.05, **p<0.01; n.s. not significant.

| | VLWC | VLWC | VLWC |
|----|--------|------------|--------|
| | I | S 5 | S15 |
| G1 | .451** | .536** | .825** |
| G2 | n.s. | .567** | .697** |
| G3 | .866** | .873** | .962** |
| G4 | .858** | .884** | .956** |

545

547 **References**

- 548 Ancey, C. and Bain, V., 2015. Dynamics of glide avalanches and snow gliding. Rev. Geophys., 53: 745-784.
- 549 Baumgärtner S., 2016. Analyse der Einflussparameter auf das Schneegleiten, Master Thesis, Univ. 550 Innsbruck
- 551Brooks, P. D., Grogan, P., Templer, P. H., Groffman, P., Öquist, M. G. and Schimel, J. (2011). Carbon and552nitrogen cycling in snow-covered environments. Geography Compass, 5(9), 682-699.553DOI: 10.1111/j.1749-8198.2011.00420.x
- Ceaglio, E., Meusburger, K., Freppaz, M., Zanini, E. and Alewell, C., 2012. Estimation of soil redistribution
 rates due to snow cover related processes in a mountainous area (Valle d'Aosta, NW Italy). Hydrol.
 Earth Syst. Sci., 16: 517-528.
- 557 Chiaia, B. and Frigo, B., 2009. A scale-invariant model for snow slab avalanches. J. Stat. Mech-Theory E. 558 P02056.
- Clarke, J.A. and McClung, D.M., 1999. Full-depth avalanche occurrences caused by snow gliding. Coquihalla,
 B.C., Canada. J. Glaciol., 45(151): 539-546.
- 561Confortola, G., Maggioni, M., Freppaz M. and Bocchiola, D., 2011. Modelling soil removal from snow562avalanches: a case study in the North-Western Italian Alps. Cold Reg. Sci. Technol., 70: 43-52.
- 563 Dreier, L., Harvey, S., van Herwijnen, A. and Mitterer, C. (2016). Relating meteorological parameters to 564 glide-snow avalanche activity, Cold Reg. Sci. Technol., 128: 57-68.
- Feick, S., Mitterer, C., Dreier, L., Harvey, S. and Schweizer, J., 2012. Automated detection and monitoring of
 glide-snow events using satellite based optical remote sensing and terrestrial photography,
 Proceedings ISSW 2012. International Snow Science Workshop Anchorage, AK, U.S.A., 16-22
 October 2012, pp. 603-609.
- Fierz, C., Armstrong, R.L., Durand, Y., Etchevers, P., Greene, E., McClung, D.M., Nishimura, K., Satyawali, P.K.
 and Sokratov, S.A., 2009. The International Classification for Seasonal Snow on the Ground. IHP-VII
 Technical Documents in Hydrology N°83, IACS Contribution N°1. UNESCO-IHP, Paris.
- 572 Guymon, G., 1978. A review of snow-soil interactions. In: S. Colbeck and M. Ray (Editors), Modeling of snow 573 cover runoff, U.S. Army Cold Reg. Res. and Eng. Lab., Hanover, NH, pp. 297-303.
- 574 Höller, P., 2014. Snow gliding and glide avalanches: a review. Nat. Hazards, 71: 1259-1288.
- 575 IBM Corp. Released 2013. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.
- 576 In der Gand, H. and Zupancic, M., 1966. Snow gliding and avalanches. IAHS Publication, 69: 230-242.
- IUSS Working Group, 2006. WRB: World reference base for soil resources 2nd edn. World Soil Resources
 Report No. 103, FAO, Rome.
- 579 Jones, A., 2004. Review of glide processes and glide avalanche release. Avalanche News, 69: 53-60.
- 580 Lal, R. and Shukla, M.K., 2004. Principles of Soil Physics. Marcel Dekker Inc., NewYork-USA, Basel, CH.
- Lehning, M., Bartelt, P., Brown, R.L. and Fierz, C., 2002a. A physical SNOWPACK model for the Swiss
 avalanche warning; Part III: meteorological forcing, thin layer formation and evaluation. Cold Reg.
 Sci. Technol., 35(3): 169-184.
- Lehning, M., Bartelt, P., Brown, R.L., Fierz, C. and Satyawali, P.K., 2002b. A physical SNOWPACK model for the Swiss avalanche warning; Part II. Snow microstructure. Cold Reg. Sci. Technol., 35(3): 147-167.
- Leitinger, G., Höller, P., Tasser, E., Walde, J. and Tappeiner, U., 2008. Development and validation of a
 spatial snow-glide model. Ecol. Model., 211: 363-374.
- 588 McClung, D.M. and Clarke, G.K.C., 1987. The effects of free water on snow gliding. J. Geophys. Res., 92(B7):
 589 6301-6309.
- McClung, D.M. and Schaerer, P., 2006. The Avalanche Handbook. The Mountaineers Books, Seattle WA,
 U.S.A., 342 pp.
- Mitterer, C. and Schweizer, J., 2012. Towards a better understanding of glide-snow avalanche formation,
 International Snow Science Workshop ISSW 2012, Anchorage AK, U.S.A., 16-21 September 2012,
 pp. 610-616.
- Newesely, C., Tasser, E., Spadinger, P. and Cernusca, A., 2000. Effects of land-use changes on snow gliding
 processes in alpine ecosystems. Basic Appl. Ecol., 1: 61-67.

- Peitzsch, E.H., Hendrikx, J., Fagre, D.B. and Reardon, B., 2012. Examining spring wet slab and glide
 avalanche occurrence along the Going-to-the-Sun Road corridor, Glacier National Park, Montana,
 USA. Cold Reg. Sci. Technol., 78: 73-81.
- Reardon, B.A. and Lundy, C., 2005. Forecasting for natural avalanches during spring opening of the Goingto-the-Sun Road, Glacier National Park, USA. In: K. Elder (Editor), Proceedings ISSW 2004.
 International Snow Science Workshop, Jackson Hole WY, U.S.A., 19-24 September 2004, pp. 565581.
- 604 SISS, 1997. In: Angeli Milano, Franco (Ed.), Metodi di analisi fisica del suolo.
- Stanchi, S., Freppaz, M. and Zanini, E., 2012. The influence of Alpine soil properties on shallow movement
 hazards, investigated through factor analysis. Nat. Hazards Earth Sys., 12: 1-10.
- Stanchi, S., Freppaz, M., Ceaglio, E., Maggioni, M., Meusburger, K., Alewell, C. and Zanini, E., 2014. Soil
 erosion in an avalanche release site (Valle d'Aosta: Italy): towards a winter factor for RUSLE in the
 Alps. Nat. Hazards Earth Sys., 14: 1761–1771.
- Stimberis, J. and Rubin, C., 2004. Glide avalanche detection on a smooth rock slope, Snoqualmie Pass,
 Washington. In: K. Elder (Editor), Proceedings ISSW 2004. International Snow Science Workshop,
 Jackson Hole WY, U.S.A., 19-24 September 2004, pp. 608-610.
- 613 Stimberis J, Rubin C (2011) Glide avalanche response to an extreme rain-on-snow event, Snowqualmie Pass,
 614 Washington, USA. J. Glaciol., 57: 468-474
- 615Stahr,A. andLangenscheidt,E. (2015).LandformsofHighMountains.DOI61610.1007/978-3-642-53715-8.Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2015.
- 617R Team, 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical618Computing, Vienna, Austria. 2013. ISBN 3-900051-07-0.
- van Herwijnen, A. and Simenhois, R. (2012). Monitoring glide avalanches using time-lapse photography.
 Proceedings ISSW 2013. International Snow Science Workshop ISSW, Anchorage, Alaska, 16-21
 September 2012, pp. 899-903.
- van Herwijnen, A., Berthod, N., Simenhois, R. and Mitterer, C., 2013. Using time-lapse photography in
 avalanche research, Proceedings ISSW 2013. International Snow Science Workshop ISSW,
 Grenoble-Chamonix, France, 7-11 October 2013, pp. 950-954.
- Wever, N., Schmid, L., Heilig, A., Eisen, O., Fierz, C. and Lehning, M., 2015. Verification of the multi-layer
 SNOWPACK model with different water transport schemes. Cryosphere, 9: 2271-2293.
- 627