

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

A synthesis on cave-dwelling spiders in Europe

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1654422> since 2019-03-08T11:57:22Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1111/jzs.12201

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

A synthesis on cave-dwelling spiders in Europe

Stefano Mammola¹, Pedro Cardoso², Carles Ribera³, Martina Pavlek^{3,4,5}, Marco Isaia^{1,*}

Authors for correspondence:

* marco.isaia@unito.it; phone 0116704544

1. Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology, University of Torino, Turin, Italy

2. Finnish Museum of Natural History, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

3. Biodiversity Research Institute and Department of Animal Biology, University of

Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

4. Ruđer Bošković Institute, Zagreb, Croatia

5. Croatian Biospeleological Society, Zagreb, Croatia

Running title: European cave spiders checklist

28

29 **Abstract**

30 We provide the first overview on spiders living in subterranean habitats in Europe,
31 including the first European subterranean spider checklist. In Europe there are 486 spider
32 species known to dwell in caves and other subterranean habitats, distributed across 22
33 families. Despite a few species being able to colonize caves across the whole continent,
34 approximately 90% of the species show a restricted distribution, occurring exclusively in
35 one or two countries. From a biogeographic perspective, Southern Europe emerges as the
36 main hot spot of subterranean spider diversity, showing the highest richness of endemic
37 species. Compared to other temperate regions of the world, some families appear to be
38 well represented and other poorly represented (or lacking) in European subterranean
39 habitats. Overall, it appears that the taxonomical knowledge on subterranean spiders in
40 Europe is sufficient, but not evenly distributed. As this checklist represents a useful
41 baseline for advances in this field, we point out specific areas of interest for future
42 research.

43 I. INTRODUCTION

44 Following the description of the first blind cave-dwelling vertebrate *Proteus anguinus*
45 (Amphibia, Proteidae) (Laurenti 1768) and the first cave-obligate invertebrate *Leptodirus*
46 *hoehenwartii* (Coleoptera, Leiodidae) (Schmidt 1832), interest in subterranean biology
47 gradually increased (Camacho 1992). Soon after the birth of modern spelebiology, Jørgen
48 Schiödte (1812–1884), Emil Racoviță (1868–1947) and René Jeannel (1879–1965)
49 recognized the potential of cave ecosystems, defining them as ideal settings in which to
50 find evidence for the Darwinian evolution theories, and where to investigate biogeographic
51 patterns of species diversification. These early insights allowed scientists to consider
52 caves ideal ecological and evolutionary laboratories (Poulson and White 1969).

53 From an evolutionary perspective, a breadth of studies focused on morphological and
54 physiological adaptations to the subterranean environment, on cryptic species diversity in
55 caves and on speciation processes (reviewed in Proudlove and Wood 2003; Porter et al.
56 2007; Culver and Pipan 2009a; 2015; Juan et al. 2010; Wilkens 2010). However, the role
57 of caves as ecological laboratories is as yet not fully explored, especially when considering
58 macroecological and biogeographic patterns at a continental or global scale (reviewed in
59 Culver et al. 2013). Theoretically, the subterranean domain gathers accumulated
60 convergent adaptations in filtered subsamples of regional species pools (e.g., Gibert and
61 Deharveng 2002; Derkarabetian et al. 2010; Protas and Jeffery 2012; Fišer et al. 2013; Liu
62 et al. 2017). It has been suggested that the factors driving the biogeographic patterns of
63 phylogenetic distant taxa should also be convergent (Culver et al. 2013), which often
64 allows the generalization of results obtained from a single group of subterranean
65 organisms to other taxa. In spite of that, the analysis of patterns and processes of
66 biological diversity and diversification in subterranean environments is very incomplete for
67 a number of reasons. Foremost, compared with epigeal habitats, caves and other

68 subterranean habitats constitute challenging working environments, making it difficult to
69 assemble a suitable amount of data (Culver et al. 2006; 2013; Zagamajster et al. 2010;
70 Christman et al. 2016; Mammola and Leroy 2017). Secondly, in most regions all
71 troglobionts are invertebrates, fungi or bacteria, invariably underrepresented in ecology
72 and conservation studies (Cardoso et al. 2011); on the other hand, the paucity of species,
73 compared with epigean communities, can make it simpler to understand how subterranean
74 communities assemble and function. Furthermore, whilst state-of-art synthesis for certain
75 cave-dwelling taxa have been published in recent years (e.g. Vanderwolf et al. 2013;
76 Falasco et al. 2015; Du Preez et al. 2017; Mammola and Isaia 2017a), overviews on most
77 subterranean arthropods are still missing.

78 Araneae (spiders) is a very diverse group of arthropods comprising nearly 47,000 extant
79 (World Spider Catalog—WSC, 2017) and nearly 1,300 fossil (Dunlop et al. 2016)
80 described species. According to available approximations, worldwide spider species
81 belonging to at least 48 families have representatives underground (Mammola and Isaia
82 2017a). Recent syntheses suggest that the core of this diversity is found in temperate
83 regions (Reddell 2005), although a comparison with tropical regions may be equivocal due
84 to the fact that these areas have not been sufficiently studied, and to the lack of
85 comprehensive works on tropical cave spiders (see Peck 1999; Bloom et al. 2014;
86 Mammola and Isaia 2017a). While Culver and Pipan (2009a) reported about 30 species of
87 eyeless troglobiont tropical spiders, it is likely that a deep survey of taxonomic papers
88 would certainly disclose remarkable levels of diversity. For instance, cave-dwelling species
89 are abundant in tropical pholcids (e.g. Peck 1990; Gertsch and Peck 1992; Machado et al.
90 2011; Yao et al. 2015, 2016), with approximately 40 genera with troglophile species,
91 several genera with troglobionts (e.g. *Micropholcus*, *Ciboneya*, *Khorata*, *Pholcus*, *Uthina*),

92 and at least three genera including entirely eyeless species (*Aymaria*, *Anopsicus*,
93 *Metagonia*) (B. A. Huber, pers. comm. 2017).

94 Morphological and physiological adaptations in subterranean spiders are directly related to
95 progressive or regressive evolution and often include reduction or loss of cuticular
96 pigments, regression or loss of the eyes-apparatus, thinning of the integuments, elongation
97 of legs, heavy spination, reduction of the metabolic rate leading to higher resistance to
98 starvation, alteration of the circadian rhythm, reduction in fecundity, delayed maturity,
99 slower development, and the tendency to lay a smaller number of eggs (reviewed in
100 Mammola and Isaia 2017a). Phylogenetic studies suggest that these troglobiomorphic
101 traits have evolved several times independently in many spider lineages (e.g. Arnedo et al.
102 2007; Ledford et al. 2011).

103 Contrary to other continents, Europe has been sufficiently studied in its subterranean
104 fauna. Although not exhaustive, we provide the first comprehensive checklist of European
105 subterranean species of spiders. Our aims are to: i) synthesize the scientific knowledge on
106 spiders inhabiting caves and other subterranean habitats across Europe and ii) review the
107 current knowledge on their origin and geographic distribution. A glossary of the main terms
108 and acronyms related to the subterranean biology adopted in this text is presented in Table
109 1.

110

111 **II. HISTORICAL BACKGROUND**

112 The first descriptions of European spiders associated with caves date back to the second
113 half of the eighteenth century, when Clerck (1757) and Scopoli (1763) described some
114 poorly adapted species of *Nesticus* (Nesticidae), *Harpactea* (Dysderidae), *Metellina*
115 (Tetragnathidae) and *Holocnemus* (Pholcidae). A few decades later, Latreille (1804)
116 described the troglophile *Meta menardi* (Tetragnathidae), from specimens collected in

117 caves '[...] de la ville du Mans' in the Loire region (France). The latter species is well
118 known among cavers as a ubiquitous cave-dweller in the twilight zone of most European
119 caves.

120 The description of the first troglobiont spider was published in 1857, when Jørgen Matthias
121 Christian Schiödte (1812–1884) described *Stalita taenaria* (Dysderidae) from the Postojna
122 cave in Slovenia. According to the original description, *S. taenaria* shows some marked
123 troglobiomorphic traits, being eyeless—'*oculi nulli*'—and fully depigmented—'*abdomine*
124 *niveo*' (Schiödte 1847: 80).

125 These early insights into the subterranean habits of spiders were followed by several
126 descriptions of cave-dwelling species across Southern Europe (e.g., Keyserling 1862;
127 Simon 1872; Pavesi 1873; Simon 1881) (Figure 1). A significant leap forward in the
128 number of described European species is due to the French arachnologist Eugène Simon
129 (1848–1924), who named numerous cave adapted species—especially in the genus
130 *Troglohyphantes* (Simon 1884)—in his famous book "*Les arachnides de France*".

131 The beginning of the twentieth century saw a significant increase in European
132 spelobiological studies (Camacho 1992). During his entire career, Simon provided 58 valid
133 descriptions of cave-dwelling species (Simon 1901, 1907, 1910, 1911, 1914, 1929). Along
134 with Simon, the French speleobiologist Jean Baptiste Louis Fage (1883–1964) focused on
135 a variety of cave spider genera (Fage 1912, 1913, 1919, 1945), describing 27 valid
136 species and providing important insights on their origin and adaptations (Fage 1919,
137 1931). Concurrently, the diversity of subterranean spiders was being disclosed by
138 Władysław Jan Kulczyński (1854–1919) and Josef Kratochvíl (1909–1992) in the Balkans
139 (Kulczyński 1914; Kratochvíl 1934, 1935, 1936, 1938, 1939, 1948) and by Ludovico di
140 Caporiacco (1901–1951), Edouard Dresco (1907–2001) and Carl Friedrich Roewer (1881–

141 1963) in Italy (e.g. Caporiacco 1936, 1938, 1939, 1941; Dresco 1949, 1954, 1956, 1959;
142 Roewer, 1931, 1953).

143 In the second half of the twentieth century, Christa L. Deeleman-Reinhold and Paolo
144 Marcello Brignoli (1942–1986) described numerous European cave-dwelling species and
145 published some important syntheses on their origin and distribution (e.g., Brignoli 1970,
146 1971; Deeleman-Reinhold 1977, 1981). Subsequently, Carles Ribera and Christo
147 Deltchev, among others, focused mostly on the fauna of the Iberian and the Balkan
148 peninsulas (Ribera 1978, 2008, 2011; Deltchev 1979, 1988, 2004).

149 From the 1980s onward, subterranean spiders have been discovered in habitats other
150 than caves (Novak et al. 2012; Mammola et al. 2016c). In particular, subterranean spiders
151 have been collected extensively in the deep strata of taluses in central Europe (Růžička
152 1990, 1996; Růžička and Thaler 2002; Růžička and Klimeš 2005; Laška et al. 2011;
153 Rendoš et al. 2012, 2013; Růžička and Dolanský 2016) and in *Milieu Souterrain*
154 *Superficiel* (MSS) across the Iberian Peninsula (e.g., Ortuño et al. 2013; Jiménez-Valverde
155 et al. 2015), Romania (Nae and Ilie, 2004; Nae, 2008) and Bulgaria (Deltchev et al. 2011).

156 These independent discoveries testified the natural occurrence of subterranean spiders in
157 the network of fissures (scree, rock cracks, etc.), the size of which is not commensurable
158 with the human scale (Culver and Pipan 2009b, 2014; Mammola et al. 2016c).

159 In the last few years, several new species have been described in southern Europe (e.g.,
160 Isaia and Pantini 2008, 2010; Wang and Li 2010a, 2011; Deltchev and Čurčić 2011;
161 Gasparo 2011; Ribera and López-Pancorbo 2011; Bosmans and Gasparo 2015; Ribera
162 and Mas 2015; Mammola et al. 2016b; Wu et al. 2016; Isaia et al. 2017; Pavlek and Ribera
163 2017), including the first European record of Symphytognathidae (Cardoso and Scharff
164 2009). Studies on European subterranean spiders continues to gain momentum and it is
165 reasonable to expect that new species will be discovered in the future, as proven by the

166 accumulation curve of species descriptions, which is far from reaching the asymptote
167 (Figure 1).

168

169 **III. THE CHECKLIST OF EUROPEAN SUBTERRANEAN SPIDERS**

170

171 We relied on the online taxonomic resources on spiders (Nentwig et al. 2017; WSC 2017)
172 and the associated literature data in order to compile the checklist of the European
173 subterranean spiders. In the absence of detailed information on the epigean/hypogean
174 distribution and the natural history of the taxa of interest (Trajano and Carvalho 2017), we
175 based the ecological classification of species (troglonbionts or troglonphiles as defined in
176 Table 1) on troglonbiomorphic traits reported in the original description of each species.
177 When available, ecological information was also considered. We acknowledged that in
178 many circumstances boundaries between categories can be vague; however, we intended
179 to provide a general indication of the degree of subterranean adaptation of European
180 spiders, which can be useful to delineate general patterns and considerations.

181 Regarding the countrywide distribution of each species, we relied on Nentwig et al. (2017),
182 whereas we derived the global distribution from the WSC (2017). We included all
183 European countries, as defined in Spiders of Europe (Nentwig et al. 2017). However, we
184 excluded the Azores, Madeira, and Canary Islands from the checklist as their insularity
185 leads to different processes which shape regional diversity.

186 The comprehensive checklist is reported in the Supporting information Table S1. Overall,
187 we identified 195 troglonbiont (Figure 2) and 291 troglonphile species (Figure 3), distributed
188 altogether in 92 genera across 22 families (Table 2). A number of these species are poorly
189 described, given that many descriptions (ca. 60 species) are based on a single sex and/or
190 lack diagnostic illustrations.

191 Around 90% of European cave spiders show a restricted distribution, being found
192 exclusively in one country. The remaining 10% have a more widespread distribution,
193 ranging across multiple countries. At least three cave-dwelling species are alien to Europe
194 (see section V).

195

196

197 **IV. BIOGEOGRAPHY OF SUBTERRANEAN SPIDERS**

198 Although modern biogeographical studies on subterranean spiders based on statistic
199 inference are virtually absent, previous authors made some contributions on the matter,
200 focusing on the general species-distribution patterns in Europe (e.g., Brignoli 1970,
201 1971a,b, 1972, 1973; Deeleman-Reinhold 1978, 1981; Deeleman-Reinhold and Deeleman
202 1980; Deltchev 1978, 2011; Koponen 1993; Ribera and Juberthie 1994; Gasparo and
203 Thaler 2000; Ribera 2004; Cardoso 2012a).

204 The diversity of subterranean spiders is unevenly distributed in Europe (Figure 4). It has
205 been suggested that past climatic events of biogeographical importance played the most
206 important role in determining the European pattern of subterranean spider biodiversity.
207 Traditionally, the theory of relicts and refuges, or climate relict hypothesis (Holsinger 1988;
208 Botosaneanu and Holsinger 1991), has been used to explain subterranean radiation in
209 temperate regions (reviewed in Culver and Pipan 2010). This theory suggests that long-
210 term climatic changes, in particular the Pleistocene glaciations (1.806–0.011 Mya),
211 prompted the colonization of the subterranean habitat and caused the obliteration of
212 surface-dwelling populations. The dramatic impacts due to ice masses north of the Alps
213 and the Carpathians (Holdhaus 1932; see paleo-reconstructions in Ehlers et al. 2011)
214 explain the low diversity of troglobiont spiders at northern latitudes (Růžička et al. 2013).
215 Accordingly, spider faunal assemblages in Northern Europe primarily consist of species

216 showing minor exaptations to the subterranean life, which possibly recolonized the
217 subterranean habitat after the glacial retreat (Růžička 1999; Figure 4a). Many of these
218 species are indeed widely distributed in Europe—e.g. *Palliduphantes pallidus* (O. Pickard-
219 Cambridge), *Meta menardi*, *Metellina merianae* (Scopoli), *Nesticus cellulanus* (Clerck) and
220 *Porrhomma convexum* (Westring)—reaching northern latitudes up to Fennoscandia and
221 the Arctic circle (e.g., Hippa and Koponen 1988; Koponen 1993; Fritzén and Koponen
222 2011). According to different authors (Ransy and Dethier 2006; Carter et al. 2010), the only
223 troglobiomorphic spider found at northern latitudes is *Porrhomma rosenhaueri* (L. Koch),
224 with scattered records from across central and eastern Europe (e.g. Thaler and Plachter
225 1983; Ransy and Dethier 2006; Růžička et al. 2011, 2013; Staudt et al. 2013; Nentwig et
226 al. 2017).

227 Meanwhile, glaciation cycles prompted the migration of cold-adapted species in Southern
228 Europe and simultaneously shaped the distribution of several preexistent troglobiont
229 species in the Alps and the Pyrenees, which survived in refugial areas found in the
230 periphery of the ice shields. For instance, this pattern is well exemplified by
231 troglobiomorphic species of *Troglohyphantes* in the Alps, occurring almost exclusively in
232 areas devoid from glaciers (Mammola et al 2015; Mammola et al. 2017a). On the other
233 hand, glacial dynamics fail to elucidate the diversity of troglobiomorphic species of
234 Dysderidae, Nesticidae and Leptonetidae in the Mediterranean basin, such as in the
235 Balkans, southern Italy, southern Iberian Peninsula and most Mediterranean islands. Other
236 climatic events, such as the Messinian Salinity Crisis (Culver and Pipan, 2010), seem to be
237 responsible for having driven the speciation process.

238 In general, historical biogeographic factors alone appear insufficient to explain the
239 European pattern of diversity. For instance, Culver et al. (2006) demonstrated the
240 existence of a mid-latitude ridge of high subterranean diversity in Europe, which is likely

241 related to long-term surface productivity. Thus, a higher surface productivity would parallel
242 a higher radiation of spiders in subsurface habitats. According to different authors (e.g.
243 Christman and Culver 2001; Niemiller and Zigler 2013), habitat availability—measured as
244 the amount of caves in an area—is also correlated to subterranean species richness. It is
245 evident that highest diversity of troglobiont spiders is concentrated in the karstic regions,
246 which have a more abundant and directly accessible habitat (see Christman and Culver
247 2001; Christman et al. 2005). This pattern is not only very clear, but also remarkable in the
248 Dinarides, one of the most important European karstic hot-spot (Bregović and Zagamajster
249 2016). However, it remains unclear whether this is a true biological pattern or a sampling
250 artifact (Christman et al. 2016). In addition, the scarcity of studies investigating MSS
251 (Mammola et al. 2016c), as well as the paucity of speleobiological prospections in non-
252 carbonate substrates across Europe, may represent a further problem in determining a
253 general pattern.

254 The majority of troglobiont spiders in Europe show a very restricted distribution range
255 when compared to epigean spiders (Cardoso, 2012). On the other hand, trogliphiles
256 generally show wider ranges (see Supporting information Table S1). In fact, several
257 trogliphile spiders are widespread in European caves (Deltshev 2011). These are mostly
258 Linyphiidae (e.g., *Palliduphantes pallidus*, *Porrhomma convexum*), Nesticidae [e.g.,
259 *Nesticus cellulanus*, *Kryptonesticus eremita* (Simon)] and Tetragnathidae (e.g., *Meta*
260 *menardi*, *Metellina merianae*). Although these species show some subterranean
261 adaptations and are commonly reported in caves, they are also able to disperse outside,
262 colonizing numerous extra-cave habitats with similar microclimatic conditions.

263 Cardoso (2012a) pointed out that spider species richness in caves is orders of magnitude
264 lower than in neighbouring epigean habitats. While associations of troglobiont and
265 trogliphile spiders are frequent, the coexistence of multiple troglobionts in the same cave

266 is less common. Some remarkable examples have been documented in Europe, especially
267 in the Dinarides. For instance, Culver and Sket (2000) reported the presence of 9 arachnid
268 species coexisting in the Postojna-Planina hypogean system in Slovenia, and 10 in the
269 Pester de la Movile cave in Romania (Culver and Sket 2000).

270 The co-occurrence of two or more congeneric species within a single cave is even rarer,
271 and it is often mediated by niche partitioning. In Alpine *Pimoa* (Pimoidae), the coexistence
272 of species was observed for the troglophiles *P. delphinica* and *P. graphitica*, with
273 individuals of the two species sharing the same caves and showing evidence of
274 interspecific gene flow (Mammola et al. 2016b). There are some cases of *Porrhomma*
275 (Linyphiidae) coexisting in the same cave or MSS (e.g., Růžička 1990; Bedek et al. 2013;
276 Růžička and Dolanský 2016). Furthermore, the presence of two congeneric
277 *Troglohyphantes* has been recorded in a few caves in Slovenia, Croatia (Deeleman-
278 Rehinold 1978), and in the Western Italian Alps (Isaia and Pantini 2010; Isaia et al. 2011,
279 2017). Typically, the co-occurrence of more species of *Troglohyphantes* occurs exclusively
280 between phylogenetically distant lineages with different degrees of troglomorphism (Isaia
281 et al. 2017).

282

283

284 **V. TAXONOMIC ACCOUNT**

285 Such as other cave-dwelling taxonomic groups (Gibert and Deharveng 2002),
286 subterranean spiders represent filtered subsamples of regional species pools. However,
287 with the notable exception of the Iberian Peninsula (Cardoso 2012a), the extent of the
288 epigean/hypogean community turnover has never been determined.

289 Currently, 63 families of spiders have been reported in Europe (Nentwig et al. 2017; Figure
290 5), of which *circa* one third has representatives underground, either troglophiles or

291 troglobionts (Figure 6). The taxonomic disharmony in European cave species
292 assemblages is reflected, among other examples, by the lack of cave-adapted
293 Mygalomorphs. Mygalomorphs are represented in Europe by three families of trap-door
294 spiders (Ctenizidae, Nemesiidae, Cyrtaucheniidae), with the addition of a few species of
295 Theraphosidae. Tentatively, Mygalomorphs are among the largest European spiders, a
296 factor that may have hindered their adaptation to energy-poor environments. Moreover, it
297 is likely that many caves lack appropriate substrates in which to dig burrows. Conversely,
298 in other world regions various species of cave Mygalomorphs have been reported
299 (Mammola and Isaia, 2017a), especially in the tropics (e.g. Bertani et al. 2013; Maroquín
300 2014; Fonseca-Ferreira et al. 2017), where energy inputs in caves are generally higher.
301 Unsurprisingly, families mostly relying on sight for preying, such as active and ambush
302 hunters (e.g. Lycosidae, Oxyopidae, Salticidae and Thomisidae), are also lacking in
303 European caves as well as in the rest of the world (Mammola and Isaia 2017a). Notable
304 exceptions are three species of eyeless Lycosidae, two in Hawaii (Gertsch 1973a) and one
305 in French Polynesia (Framenau and Lehtinen 2014). In addition, it has been suggested that
306 an active lifestyle is not a good adaptation to cave life, as it requires much energy
307 compared to a sit-and wait strategy (e.g. Cardoso 2012a). An exception is found in
308 Dysderidae which, despite displaying an active hunting lifestyle, are particularly diversified
309 in Southern European caves and Shallow Subterranean Habitats (SSHs) (e.g., Deeleman-
310 Reinhold and Deeleman 1988; Gasparo and Thaler 2000; Arnedo et al. 2007; Cardoso
311 2012a; Macías-Hernández et al. 2016).

312 In comparison to other temperate regions, in European subterranean habitats some
313 families are well represented while others are not. A clear example can be found in the
314 family Dictynidae, which had notable radiations in the North American (e.g. Paquin and

315 Dupérré 2009; Hedin 2015) and Asian karstic areas (e.g. Li and Wang 2017), while they
316 are almost lacking in European subterranean habitats.

317 Conversely, the Dysderidae, Leptonetidae, Linyphiidae, and Nesticidae—including most
318 European troglobionts—are ubiquitously found across karstic areas in southern Europe
319 and other temperate regions worldwide (e.g., Brignoli 1970; Gertsch 1974, 1984; Lehtinen
320 and Saaristo 1980; Miller 2005; Reddell 2005; Ledford et al. 2011, 2012; Lin et al. 2016).

321 The Dysderidae are renowned for their occurrence in subterranean habitats and include
322 numerous troglobionts, especially in the Dinaric karst and in the Iberian Peninsula (e.g.,
323 Deeleman-Reinhold & Deeleman, 1988; Gasparo & Thaler, 2000). Troglobiont-rich genera
324 include *Dysdera*, *Folkia*, *Harpactea*, *Mesostalita*, *Minotauria*, *Parastalita*, *Rhode*,
325 *Speleoharpactea*, *Stalagtia*, and *Stalita*.

326 The Linyphiidae include numerous species associated with caves and several troglobionts
327 in the genus *Antrohyphantes* (Balkan peninsula), *Centromerus* (Iberian Peninsula, Italy,
328 Balkans), *Lepthyphantes* (Iberian Peninsula, Romania), *Palliduphantes* (Balkans, Italy,
329 Spain), *Troglohyphantes* (Alps, Balkans, Cantabrian Mountains, Carpathian, Pyrenees),
330 and *Typhlonyphia* (Dalmatia). The genus *Troglohyphantes*, in particular, is the European
331 spider taxon that underwent the largest radiation in caves (Deeleman-Rehinold 1978;
332 Deltchev 2008; Isaia et al. 2011, 2017). It currently includes 127 species (WSC, 2017), of
333 which at least 72 are troglobionts.

334 Leptonetidae are commonly found in subterranean habitats across the Mediterranean
335 basin (Brignoli 1970). Most of the species are trogliphiles, but troglobionts can be found in
336 France (*Leptoneta*), Iberian Peninsula (*Teloleptoneta* and *Leptoneta*), Italy
337 (*Protoleptoneta*), and the Balkan Peninsula (*Barusia*, *Cataleptoneta*, *Leptonetela*, and
338 *Sulcia*).

339 Nesticidae are renowned for their hypogean adaptations, being often found in underground
340 ecosystems in the Mediterranean basin (Ribera et al. 2014). Troglóbiont-rich genera
341 include *Carpathonesticus*, *Kryptonesticus*, *Nesticus* and *Typhlonesticus*, the latter
342 consisting exclusively of troglóbionts. Notably, in Europe both Leptonetidae and Nesticidae
343 appear to be more diverse in subterranean than in epigean habitats (Figure 6).

344 Hahniidae, Liocranidae, Pholcidae, Agelenidae, Telemidae and Symphytognathidae also
345 include a number of troglóbionts. Hahniidae include a few anophtalmic species in the
346 genus *Hahnia* and *Iberina*, which are found in the Pyrenees, Romania and Caucasus.
347 Troglóbiontiform Liocranidae are found in the genus *Agroecina* (Romania) and
348 *Cybaeodes* (Sicily and Iberian Peninsula). Other troglóbionts are found in Agelenidae
349 (*Hadites* and *Histopona*) and Pholcidae (*Stygopholcus* and *Hoplopholcus*).

350 The troglóbiont spiders listed so far belong to genera (or at least families) sharing the
351 same geographic area with congeneric surface-dwelling species. This pattern suggests
352 that, from a phylogenetic point of view, most European cave species did not originate from
353 deep, ancient cladogenetic events. Exceptions are found in Telemidae and
354 Symphytognathidae, which are monospecific in Europe. For this reason, *Telema tenella*
355 Simon (Telemidae) and *Anapistula ataecina* (Symphytognathidae) are considered the only
356 true relict species in European caves, ancient representatives of families with tropical
357 origins which once occupied Europe (Fage 1931; Cardoso and Scharff 2009). *T. tenella* is
358 found in the Oriental Pyrenees and in the province of Gerona (Juberthie 1985; Ribera and
359 Mateos 2000; Wang et al. 2012). Worldwide, the genus *Telema* consists of an additional
360 39 species, found in Guatemala (Gertsch 1973b) and East- and Southeast-Asia (WSC,
361 2017), including several cave-dwelling representatives (e.g., Zu and Chen 2002; Chen and
362 Zu 2009; Lin et al. 2009, Wang and Li 2010b; Zhang and Li 2014). *A. ataecina* was
363 recently discovered in few caves of the Frade cave system in Portugal (Cardoso and

364 Scharff 2009). The genus includes subterranean species from Western Australian caves
365 (e.g., Harvey 1998).

366 Finally, at least three cave-associated species are alien to Europe, namely *Psilochorus*
367 *simoni* (Berland) (Pholcidae), *Nesticella mogera* (Yaginuma) and *Eidmannella pallida*
368 (Emerton) (Nesticidae) (Nentwig 2015; Mammola 2017; Nentwig et al. 2017). *P. simoni* is
369 native to North America and it has been introduced in Europe at least once, being now
370 established in several European countries (Fürst and Blandenie 1993; Nentwig et al.
371 2017). It is commonly found in caves and other artificial habitats, especially mines
372 (Kostanjšek and Ramšak 2005; Isaia et al. 2011). *Nesticella* spiders are of Asian descent.
373 *N. mogera* was recently recorded in a few European countries (e.g., Kielhorn 2009; Bielak-
374 Bielecki and Rozwałka 2011; Nentwig et al. 2017). *E. pallida* is a cosmopolitan species of
375 tropical and subtropical areas, having been accidentally introduced into greenhouses and
376 similar habitats (Nentwig et al. 2017). It is now commonly found in caves and greenhouses
377 of the Madeira, Canary and Balearic Islands and in the southeastern Iberian Peninsula
378 (Ribera, unpublished data). To our knowledge, no studies have been conducted to attest
379 potential negative impacts on native spider communities in caves.

380

381 **VII. FUTURE LINES OF RESEARCH**

382 Several questions concerning the biogeography of subterranean spiders in Europe remain
383 mostly unanswered. In this perspective, the checklist of European cave-dwelling spiders
384 herein provided aims at setting a baseline for speleobiological, arachnological and
385 biogeographical studies. We report a number of long-standing questions, hoping to
386 stimulate future research on subterranean spiders.

387

388 i) *Phylogeographical patterns*. Research is needed to shed light on the diversification and
389 origin of the European cave fauna and to understand which are the closest extant relatives
390 of cave obligate species. In particular, establishing empirical phylogenetic patterns of cave
391 species using broad taxonomic samples—both cave and epigeal species—appears to be
392 a promising line of enquiry.

393

394 ii) *Ecological drivers of subterranean spiders*. It is still unclear whether species richness
395 and diversity of subterranean spiders in European subterranean habitats are driven by
396 macro-scale (e.g. latitude, past glacial dynamics, macroclimate) or micro-scale parameters
397 (e.g. geology, cave dimension, trophic resources).

398

399 iii) *Functional groups*. In terms of foraging guilds (*sensu* Cardoso et al. 2011), a functional
400 disharmony is often observed in cave-dwelling spiders, with entire guilds being scarcely
401 represented, if not absent, in caves. With the exception of the Iberian Peninsula (Cardoso,
402 2012) there are, however, no studies on functional assemblages of subterranean spider
403 communities.

404

405 iv) *Competition and niche partitioning*. Being top-predators, several species of spiders
406 compete with each other for space and resources in subterranean habitats. In Europe, it
407 has been demonstrated that, at a local scale, there is competition between congeneric
408 *Meta* spiders (Mammola and Isaia 2014), in *M. menardi* and *Metellina merianae* (Bourne
409 1976, 1977; Novak et al. 2010) and in *M. menardi* and *Pimoa graphitica* (Mammola et al.
410 2016a). However, further studies have to be conducted to better understand how
411 competition between cave-dwelling spiders influences the large scale distribution,

412 especially in high dispersal troglophile species (Mammola et al. 2015; Mammola and Isaia,
413 2017b).

414

415 v) *Other interspecific relationships*. According to Culver and Pipan (2009a), the peculiar
416 conditions found in subterranean habitats limit the risk of predation. However, other
417 asymmetrical interspecific interactions, such as parasitoidism (e.g. Dipterans and
418 Hymenopterans) and parasitism (e.g. fungi and other pathogens), have been rarely
419 investigated. Moreover, the effect of interspecific relationships on distributional patterns
420 (e.g. overlap between parasites/parasitoids and their hosts) has never been considered.

421

422 vi) *Effects of future climate change on distribution patterns*. Climatic-driven changes in the
423 distribution range of most subterranean species have been seldom studied (e.g.,
424 Brandmayr et al. 2013; Brandmayr and Pizzolotto 2016; Sánchez-Fernández et al. 2016;
425 Mammola and Leroy 2017). Regarding spiders, significant latitudinal shifts are expected
426 within high dispersal species (Mammola 2017; Mammola and Isaia 2017b). On the other
427 hand, contractions in the distribution range can be expected within most restricted
428 cryophilic *taxa* (Mammola et al. 2017a). Ecological hypotheses about the effect of climate
429 change on organisms dwelling in stable habitats, such as caves, represent a challenging
430 and fast-moving field of research.

431

432 vii) *Assessing the conservation status of subterranean spiders*. The status of conservation
433 of most subterranean spiders is unknown, given that a few species have been assessed
434 according to the IUCN criteria (Cardoso 2010; Cardoso and Hilton-Taylor 2015; IUCN
435 2017; Borges et al. 2016; Mammola et al. 2017b; Cardoso et al. 2017). Of these, most
436 assessments are now outdated, having been made before the adoption of the current

437 criteria in 2001, and thus not comparable with the current criteria. Therefore, there is an
438 urgent need for IUCN assessments of European subterranean species and the
439 development of specific conservation programmes (Martín et al. 2010; Borges et al. 2012;
440 Cardoso et al. 2011, 2016, 2017; Cardoso 2012b; Mammola and Isaia 2017a).

441

442 **VIII. CONCLUSIONS**

443 In a recent review on the status of biodiversity studies in subterranean habitats, Culver and
444 colleagues argued that (2013:49) "[...] *perhaps the time is right for a large-scale global*
445 *assessment of subterranean biodiversity*". With respect to subterranean spiders, we
446 believe that the current paucity of information on the distribution of most species—
447 especially in the tropics—delays global understanding of their diversity and its thorough
448 comparison across different biogeographic areas . On a more positive note, this work
449 demonstrates that information found in literature can be extracted and summarized in
450 order to provide a reliable baseline to unravel biogeographic patterns—at least in areas
451 where the subterranean fauna is relatively well documented. This is also possible thanks
452 to the extensive online spider taxonomic catalogues (Nentwig et al. 2017; WSC 2017), with
453 current nomenclature, updated synonymies, associated literature and distributional data—
454 however, such data is currently missing for most large arthropod orders and classes.
455 Although most macroecological questions about subterranean spiders are still
456 unanswered, there is a strong potential for fast advancements in our understanding of their
457 diversity patterns, processes and of the evolution of life in caves.

458

459 **IX. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

460 We are grateful to all photographers for sharing their photos of spiders and their habitats—
461 see captions of Fig. 1 and 2. A special thanks goes to Alexandra Jones for proof-reading

462 our English, and to Bernhard A Huber and Gustavo Hormiga for providing useful
463 comments of earlier versions of the manuscript. We are thankful to Fulvio Gasparo, who
464 provided an important revision of the Dysderidae included in the checklist.

465

466 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

467 The authors disclose any actual or potential conflict of interest

468

469 **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

470 **Table S1.** Checklist of the European subterranean spiders.

471

472 **X. REFERENCES**

- 473 Arnedo MA, Oromi P, Múrria C, Macías-Hernández N, Ribera C (2007) The dark side of an island radiation:
474 systematics and evolution of troglobitic spiders of the genus *Dysdera* Latreille (Araneae: Dysderidae) in the
475 Canary Islands. *Invertebr Syst* 21:623–660.
- 476
- 477 Bedek J, Bilandžija H, Bregović P, Jalžić B, Lukić M, Pavlek M (2013) Fauna of the Cave System Đulin ponor
478 – Medvedica. In: Janjann Ž, Vučić V (ed), *The Cave System Đulin ponor – Medvedica* (Đula' Sinkhole -
479 Medvedica Cave). *Caving Guidebook*, Ogulin, pp 75.
- 480
- 481 Bertani R, Bichette ME, Pedroso DR (2013) *Tmesiphantes hypogeus* sp. nov. (Araneae, Theraphosidae),
482 the first troglobitic tarantula from Brazil. *An Acad Bras Ciên* 85(1):107–115.
- 483
- 484 Bielak-Bielecki P, Rozwałka R (2011) *Nesticella mogera* (Yaginuma, 1972) (Araneae: Nesticidae) in Poland.
485 *Acta Biol* 18:137–141.
- 486
- 487 Bloom T, Binford GA, Esposito L, Garcia GA, Peterson I, Nishida A, Loubet-Seneor K, Agnarsson I (2014)
488 Discovery of two new species of eyeless spiders within a single Hispaniola cave. *J Arachnol* 42:148–154.
- 489
- 490 Borges P, Crespo L, Cardoso P (2016) Species conservation profile of the cave spider *Turinyphia cavernicola*
491 (Araneae, Linyphiidae) from Terceira Island, Azores, Portugal. *Biodiv Data J* 4:e10274.
- 492
- 493
- 494 Borges PAV, Cardoso P, Amorim IR, Pereira F, Constância JP, Nunes JC, Barcelos P, Costa P, Gabriel R and
495 Dapkevicius MdL (2012) Volcanic caves: priorities for conserving the Azorean endemic troglobiont species.
496 *Int J Speleol* 41(1):101–112.
- 497
- 498 Bourne JD (1976) Notes préliminaires sur la distribution spatiale du *Meta menardi*, *Triphosa dubitata*,
499 *Triphosa sabaudiata*, *Nelima aurantiaca* et *Culex pipiens* au sein d'un écosystème cavernicole (Grotte de
500 Scierce: Mte. Savoie). *Int J Speleol* 8:253–267.

501

502 Bourne JD (1977) Mise en evidence de groupements temporaires de la faune pariétale dans un tunnel
503 artificiel en fonction de l'humidité et des mouvements d'air. Rev Suis Zool 84:527–539.

504

505 Brandmayr P, Pizzolotto R (2016) Climate change and its impact on epigean and hypogean carabid beetles.
506 Period biol 118(3): 147–162.

507

508 Brandmayr P, Giorgi F, Casale A, Colombetta G, Mariotti L, Taglianti AV, Weber F, Pizzolotto R (2013)
509 Hypogean carabid beetles as indicators of global warming? Environ Res Lett 8:1–11.

510

511 Bregović P, Zagmajster M (2016) Understanding hotspots within a global hotspot – identifying the drivers of
512 regional species richness patterns in terrestrial subterranean habitats. Insect Conserv Divers 9:268–281.

513

514 Brignoli PM (1970) Considerazioni biogeografiche sulla famiglia Leptonetidae (Araneae). Bull Mus Nat Hist
515 Natur Paris 41:189–195.

516

517 Brignoli PM (1971a) Note su ragni cavernicoli italiani (Araneae). Fragm Entomol 7:129–229.

518

519 Brignoli PM (1971b) Considerazioni biogeografiche sui ragni cavernicoli Mediterranei. Acta V Arachnological
520 Congress (Brno, 1971):79–84.

521

522 Brignoli PM (1972) Catalogo dei ragni cavernicoli italiani. Quad Circ Speleol Rom 1:1–212.

523

524 Bosmans R, Gasparo F (2015) A new cave-dwelling *Centromerus* from Sardinia (Araneae: Linyphiidae).
525 Fragm Entomol 46:113–116.

526

527 Botosaneanu L, Holsinger J (1991) Some aspects concerning colonization of the subterranean realm -
528 especially subterranean waters: a response to Rouch and Danielopol, 1987. Stygologia 6:11–39.

529

530 Camacho AI (1992) The natural history of biospeleology. Monographs of the National Museum of Natural
531 Sciences Madrid: National Museum of Natural Sciences.

532

533 Caporiacco L di (1936) Aracnidi cavernicoli della provincia di Verona. Le Grotte d'Italia, Trieste 1:1–10.
534

535 Caporiacco L di (1938) Un nuovo *Troglohyphantes* delle grotte piemontesi. Le Grotte d'Italia, Trieste 2:42–
536 43.

537

538 Caporiacco L di (1939) Aracnidi cavernicoli delle Puglie. Le Grotte d'Italia, Trieste 3:43–47.

539

540 Caporiacco L di (1941) Aracnidi cavernicoli bresciani. Le Grotte d'Italia, Trieste 4:82–90.

541

542 Cardoso P (2010) *Anapistula ataecina*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, e.T176265A7207415.
543

544 Cardoso P (2012a) Diversity and community assembly patterns of epigean vs. troglobiont spiders in the
545 Iberian Peninsula. Int J Speleol 41:83–94.

546

547 Cardoso P (2012b) Habitats Directive species lists: urgent need of revision. Insect Conserv Divers 5(2):169–
548 174.

549

550 Cardoso P, Hilton-Taylor C (2015) *Nothopantes horridus*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species,
551 T70560176A70560214.

552

553 Cardoso P, Scharff N (2009) First record of the spider family Symphytognathidae in Europe and description
554 of *Anapistula ataecina* sp. n. (Araneae). Zootaxa 2246:45–57.

555

556 Cardoso P, Erwin TL, Borges PAV, New TR (2011) The seven impediments in invertebrate conservation and
557 how to overcome them. *Biol Conserv* 144:2647–2655.

558

559 Cardoso P, Stoev P, Georgiev T, Senderov V, Penev L (2016) Species Conservation Profiles compliant with
560 the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. *Biodiv Data J* 4:e10356.

561

562 Cardoso P, Crespo L, Silva I, Borges P, Boieiro M (2017) Species conservation profiles of endemic spiders
563 (Araneae) from Madeira and Selvagens archipelagos, Portugal. *Biodiv Data J* 5:e20810.

564

565

566 Carter J, Fowles A, Angele C (2010) Monitoring the population of the linyphid spider *Porrhomma rosenhaueri*
567 (L. Koch, 1872) (Araneae: Linyphiidae) in Lesser Garth Cave, Cardiff, UK. *J Cave Karst Sc* 37:3–8.

568

569 Chen HM, Zhu MS (2009) Two new troglobitic species of the genus *Telema* (Araneae, Telemidae) from
570 Guizhou, southwestern China. *J Nat Hist* 43: 1705–1713.

571

572 Christman MC and Culver DC (2001) The relationship between cave biodiversity and available habitat. *J*
573 *Biogeogr* 28:367–380.

574

575 Christman MC, Culver DC, Madden MK, White D (2005) Patterns of endemism of the eastern North
576 American cave fauna. *J Biogeogr* 32:1441–1452.

577

578 Christman MC, Doctor DH, Niemiller ML, Weary DJ, Young JA, Zigler K, Culver DC (2016) Predicting the
579 occurrence of cave-inhabiting fauna based on features of the earth surface environment. *PLoS ONE*
580 11:e0160408.

581

582 Clerck C (1757) Svenska spindlar, uti sina hufvud-slågter indelte samt under några och sextio särskildte arter
583 beskrefne och med illuminerade figurer uplyste. Stockholmiae.
584

585 Culver DC, Deharveng L, Bedos A, Lewis JJ, Madden M, Reddell JR, Sket B, Trontelj P, White D (2006) The
586 mid-latitude biodiversity ridge in terrestrial cave fauna. *Ecography* 29: 120–128.
587

588 Culver DC, Pipan T (2009a) *The Biology of Caves and Other Subterranean Habitats*. Oxford: Oxford
589 University Press.
590

591 Culver DC, Pipan T (2009b) Superficial subterranean habitats – gateway to the subterranean realm? *J Cave*
592 *Karst Sc* 35:5–12.
593

594 Culver DC, Pipan T (2010) Climate, abiotic factors, and the evolution of subterranean life. *Acta Carsol*
595 39:577–586
596

597 Culver DC, Pipan T (2014) *Shallow Subterranean Habitats: Ecology, Evolution, and Conservation*.
598 Amsterdam: Elsevier.
599

600 Culver DC, Pipan T (2015) Shifting paradigms of the evolution of cave life. *Acta Carsol* 44: 415–425.
601

602 Culver DC, Sket B (2000) Hotspots of subterranean biodiversity in caves and wells. *J cave Karst St* 62:11–
603 17.
604

605 Culver DC, Trontelj P, Zagmajster M, Pipan T (2013) Paving the way for standardized and comparable
606 subterranean biodiversity studies. *Subt Biol* 10:43.
607

608 Deeleman-Reinhold CL (1977) Distribution patterns in European cave spiders. Congrès International de
609 Spéléologie 7:25–34.
610
611 Deeleman-Reinhold CL (1978) Revision of the cave-dwelling and related spiders of the genus
612 *Troglohyphantes* Joseph (Linyphiidae), with special reference to the Yugoslav species. Op Acad Sc Art Slov
613 23:1–221.
614
615 Deeleman-Reinhold CL (1981) Remarks on origin and distribution of troglobitic spiders. Proceedings of the 8
616 international congress of speleology:305–308.
617
618 Deeleman-Reinhold CL, Deeleman PR (1980) Remarks on troglobitism in spiders. Proceedings of the 8
619 Internationaler Arachnologen-Kongress Wien: 433–438.
620
621 Deeleman-Reinhold CL, Deeleman PR (1988) Revision des Dysderinae (Araneae, Dysderidae), les especes
622 mediterraneennes occidentales exceptees. Tijdschr entomol 131:141–269.
623
624 Deltshv C (1978) The Origin, formation and zoogeography of Troglobitic spiders of the Balkan Peninsula.
625 Proceedings of the 7th International Congress of Arachnology (Exeter, 1977) 42:345–351.
626
627 Deltshv C (2008) Faunistic diversity and zoogeography of cave-dwelling spiders on the Balkan Peninsula.
628 Advances in Arachnology and Developmental Biology, papers dedicated to Prof. Dr. Božidar Ćurčić.
629 monographs 12:327–348.
630
631 Deltshv C (2011) The faunistic diversity of cave-dwelling spiders (Arachnida, Araneae) of Greece. Arachnol
632 Mitteil 40:23–32.
633
634 Deltshv C, Ćurčić BPM (2011) A new spider species *Harpactea complicata* Deltshv sp. nov. from caves of
635 Serbia (Araneae: Dysderidae). Zootaxa 2782:34–38.

636

637 Deltshev C, Lazarov C, Naumova C, Stoev C (2011) A survey of spiders (Araneae) inhabiting the euedaphic
638 soil stratum and the superficial underground compartment in Bulgaria. *Arachnol Mitteil* 40:33–46.

639

640 Derkarabetian S, Steinmann DB, Hedin M (2010) Repeated and time-correlated morphological convergence
641 in cave-dwelling harvestmen (Opiliones, Laniatores) from montane western North America. *PLoS ONE*
642 5:e10388.

643

644 Dresco E (1949) Note sur les araignées de quelques grottes de l'Italie méridionale et description d'une
645 espèce nouvelle. *Boll Soc Nat Napoli* 58:1–6.

646

647 Dresco E (1954) Description d'une araignée cavernicole nouvelle du genre *Paraleptoneta*. *Notes biospéol*
648 9:45–48.

649

650 Dresco E (1956) *Tegenaria henroti*, espèce nouvelle des grottes de Sardaigne (Araneae, Agelenidae).
651 *Fragm Entomol* 2:115–119

652

653 Dresco E (1959) *Tegenaria zinzulusensis*, araignée nouvelle d'Italie du sud (Araneae, Agelenidae). *Bull du*
654 *Mus Nat Hist Natur Paris* 31:506–509.

655

656 Du Preez G, Majdi N, Swart A, Traunspurger W, Fourie H (2017) Nematodes in caves: a historical
657 perspective on their occurrence, distribution and ecological relevance. *Nematology*, doi:10.1163/15685411-
658 00003068

659

660 Dunlop JA., Penney D, Jekel D (2016) A summary list of fossil spiders and their relatives. In: World Spider
661 Catalog, version 17.5. *Natural History Museum Bern*. Available at <http://wsc.nmbe.ch>. Accessed on
662 29.09.2016.

663

664 Ehlers J, Gibbard PL, Hughes PD (2011) Quaternary glaciations - extent and chronology. A closer look (Vol.
665 15). Amsterdam, Elsevier.

666

667 Fage L (1912) Etudes sur les araignées cavernicoles. I. Revision des Ochyroceratidae (n. fam.).
668 *Biospelogica*, XXV. *Arc Zool Expér Génér* 5:97–162.

669

670 Fage L (1913) Etudes sur les Araignées cavernicoles. II. Revision des Leptonetidae. *Biospelogica*, XXIX. *Arc*
671 *Zool Expér Génér* 10:479–576.

672

673 Fage L (1919) Etudes sur les araignées cavernicoles. III. Sur le genre *Troglohyphantes*. *Biospelogica* XL. *Arc*
674 *Zool Expér Génér* 58:55–148.

675

676 Fage L (1931) *Biospeologica* LV; Araneae; précédée d'un essai sur l'Evolution souterraine et son
677 déterminisme. *Arc Zool Expér Génér* 5:99–291.

678

679 Fage L (1945) A propos de quelques araignées cavernicoles de Crète. *Mus Nat Hist Natur Paris* 17:109–114.

680

681 Falasco E, Ector L, Isaia M, Wetzel CE, Hoffmann L, Bona F (2014) Diatom flora in subterranean
682 ecosystems: a review. *Int J Speleol*, 43: 231–251.

683

684 Fišer C, Zagamajster M, Zakšek V (2013) Coevolution of life history traits and morphology in female
685 subterranean amphipods. *Oikos* 122:770–778.

686

687 Fonseca-Ferreira R, de Almeida Zampaulo R, Leite Guada JP (2017) Diversity of iron cave-dwelling
688 mygalomorph spiders from Pará, Brazil, with description of three new species (Araneae). *Tropical zool*
689 30(4):178–199.

690

691 Framenau VW, Lehtinen PT (2014) *Nukuhiva* Berland, 1935 is a troglobitic wolf spider (Araneae: Lycosidae),
692 not a nursery-web spider (Pisauridae). *Zootaxa* 4028:129–135.

693

694 Fritzen NR, Koponen S (2011) The cave spider *Meta menardi* (Araneae, Tetragnathidae) occurrence in
695 Finland and notes on its biology. *Mem Soc pro Fauna Flora Fenn* 87:80–86.

696

697 Fürst PA, Blandenier G (1993) *Psilochorus simoni* (Berland, 1911)(Araneae, Pholcidae): Découvertes de
698 nouvelles stations suisses et discussion de son écologie. *Bulletin de la Société neuchâteloise des Sciences*
699 *naturelles* 116:75–85.

700

701 Gasparo F (2011) Una nuova *Harpactea cavernicola* di Creta (Araneae, Dysderidae). *Atti Mem Comm Gr "E.*
702 *Boegan"* 43: 57–65.

703

704 Gasparo F, Thaler K (2000) I ragni cavernicoli del Venezia Giulia (Italia nord-orientale) (Arachnida, Araneae).
705 *Atti Mem Comm Gr "E. Boegan"* 37:17–55.

706

707 Gertsch WJ (1973a) The cavernicolous fauna of the Hawaiian lava tubes. 3. Araneae (Spiders). *Pacific Ins*
708 16:415–426.

709

710 Gertsch WJ (1973b). A report on cave spiders from Mexico and Central America. *AMCS Bull* 5: 141–163.

711

712 Gertsch WJ (1974) The spider family Leptonetidae in North America. *J Arachnol* 1:145–203.

713

714 Gertsch WJ (1984) The spider family Nesticidae (Araneae) in North America, Central America, and the West
715 Indies. Bull Texas Mem Mus 31: 1–91.
716
717 Gertsch WJ, Peck SB (1992) The pholcid spiders of the Galápagos Islands, Ecuador (Araneae, Pholcidae).
718 Can J Zool 70: 1185–1199.
719
720 Gibert J, Deherveng L (2002) Subterranean ecosystems: a truncated functional biodiversity. Bioscience
721 52:473–481.
722
723 Harvey MS (1998) A review of the Australasian species of *Anapistula* Gertsch (Araneae:
724 Symphytognathidae). Rec Wes Aus Mus 19:111–120.
725
726 Hedin M (2015) High stakes species delimitation in eyeless cave spiders (Cicurina, Dictynidae, Araneae)
727 from central Texas. Mol Ecol 24:346–361.
728
729 Hippa H, Koponen S (1988) The arthropod fauna of Gronligrotta, Norway. Cave Science 15:117–119.
730
731 Holsinger JR (1988) Troglobites: the evolution of cave-dwelling organisms. Am Sci 76:147–153.
732
733 Holdhaus K (1932) Die europäische Höhlenfauna in ihren Beziehungen zur Eiszeit. Zoogeographica 1:1–32.
734
735 Isaia M, Pantini P (2008) A new species of Troglolyphantes (Araneae, Linyphiidae) from the western Italian
736 Alps. J Arachnol 35:427–431.
737
738 Isaia M, Pantini P (2010) New data on the spider genus *Troglolyphantes* (Araneae, Linyphiidae) in the Italian
739 Alps, with the description of a new species and a new synonymy. Zootaxa 2690:1–18.

740

741 Isaia M, Paschetta M, Lana E, Pantini P, Schonhofer AL, Christian E, Badino G (2011) Subterranean
742 arachnids of the Western Italian Alps (Arachnida: Araneae, Opiliones, Palpigradi, Pseudoscorpiones). Torino,
743 Monografie del Museo di Scienze Naturali.

744

745 Isaia M, Mammola M, Mazzuca P, Arnedo MA, Pantini, P (2017) Advances in the systematics of the spider
746 genus *Troglohyphantes* (Araneae, Linyphiidae). Syst Biodiv 15: 307–326.

747

748 IUCN (2017) The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Available online at: <http://www.iucnredlist.org>.

749

750 Jiménez-Valverde A, Gilgado JD, Sendra A, Pérez-Suárez G, Herrero-Borgoñón JJ, Ortuño VM (2015)
751 Exceptional invertebrate diversity in a scree slope in Eastern Spain. J Ins Conserv 19:713–728.

752

753 Juan C, Guzik MT, Jaume D, Cooper SJ (2010) Evolution in caves: Darwin's 'wrecks of ancient life' in the
754 molecular era. Mol Ecol 19:3865–3880.

755

756 Juberthie C (1985) Cycle vital de *Telema tenella* dans la Grotte-Laboratoire de Moulis et strategies de
757 reproduction chez les Araignees cavernicoles. Mém Biospéol 12:77–89.

758

759 Juberthie C, Decou V (1994) Structure et diversité du domaine souterrain; particularités des habitats et
760 adaptations des espèces. Encyclopaedia Biospeologica 1:5–22.

761

762 Keyserling E (1862) Beschreibung einer neuen Spinne aus den Hohlen von Lesina. Verhandlungen der
763 Kaiserlich-Königlichen Zoologisch-Botanischen Gesellschaft in Wien 12:539–544.

764

765 Kielhorn K-H (2009) First records of *Spermophora kerinci*, *Nesticella mogera* and *Pseudanapis aloha* on the
766 European Mainland (Araneae: Pholcidae, Nesticidae, Anapidae). Arachnol Mitteil 37: 31–34.

767

- 768 Koponen S (1993) On the biogeography and faunistics of European spiders: latitude, altitude and insularity.
769 Bull Soc Neuch Sci Nat 116:141–152.
770
- 771 Kostanjšek R, Ramšak L (2005) *Psilochorus simoni* (Berland, 1911)(Araneae, Pholcidae), a new record for
772 Slovenian fauna from Postonjska jama cave. *Natura sloveniae* 7:37–40.
773
- 774 Kratochvíl J (1934) Pregled pecinskih paukova u Jugoslaviji. *Prirodoslovne Razprave* 2:165–226.
775
- 776 Kratochvíl J (1935) Araignées cavernicoles de Krivošije. *Práce Morav Přírodov Spole* 9:1–25.
777
- 778 Kratochvíl J (1936) Nouveau genre d'araignées cavernicoles en Yougoslavie. *Typhlonyphia reimoseri* n. gen.
779 n. sp. *Věst Českosl Zool Společ Praze* 3:69–79.
780
- 781 Kratochvíl J (1938) Étude sur les araignées cavernicoles du genre *Sulcia* nov. gen. *Práce Morav Přírodov*
782 *Spole* 11:1–25.
783
- 784 Kratochvíl J (1939) À propos des deux araignées cavernicoles de Yougoslavie. *Věst Českosl Zool Společ*
785 *Praze* 6-7:279–289.
786
- 787 Kratochvíl J (1948) Étude sur les araignées cavernicoles du genre *Stygohyphantes* gen. nov. *Věst Českosl*
788 *Zool Společ Praze* 12:3–24.
789
- 790 Kulczyński W (1914) *Aranearum species novae minusve cognitae, in montibus Kras dictis a Dre C. Absolon*
791 *aliisque collectae*. *Bull Int Acad Sc Cracovie* 1914:353–387.
792
- 793 Laška V, Kopecký O, Růžička V, Mikula J, Vélé A, Šarapatka B, Tuf IH (2011) Vertical distribution of spiders
794 in soil. *J Arachnol* 39: 393–398.

795

796 Latreille PA (1804) Histoire naturelle générale et particulière des Crustacés et des Insectes. Paris 7:144–305.

797

798 Laurenti JN (1768) Specimen medicum, exhibens synopsis reptilium emendatum cum experimentis circa

799 venena et antidota reptilium austriacorum. Wien: Joan. Thom. nob. de Trattnern.

800

801 Ledford J, Paquin P, Cokendolpher J, Campbell J, Griswold C (2011) Systematics of the spider genus

802 *Neoleptoneta* Brignoli, 1972 (Araneae: Leptonetidae) with a discussion of the morphology and relationships

803 for the North American Leptonetidae. Invertebr Syst 25:334–388.

804

805 Ledford J, Paquin P, Cokendolpher J, Campbell J, Griswold C (2012) Systematics, conservation and

806 morphology of the spider genus *Tayshaneta* (Araneae, Leptonetidae) in Central Texas Caves. ZooKeys

807 167:1–102.

808

809 Lehtinen PT, Saaristo M (1980) Spiders of the Oriental-Australian region. II Nesticidae. Annales Zool Fenn

810 17:47–66.

811

812 Li S, Wang X (2017) New cave-dwelling spiders of the family Dictynidae (Arachnida: Araneae) from Guangxi

813 and Guizhou, China. Zool Syst 42:125–228.

814

815 Lin Y, Ballarin F, Li S (2016) A survey of the spider family Nesticidae (Arachnida, Araneae) in Asia and

816 Madagascar, with the description of forty-three new species. ZooKeys 627:1–168.

817

818 Lin YC, Pham DS, Li SQ (2009) Six new spiders from caves of northern Vietnam (Araneae: Tetrablemmidae:

819 Ochyroceratidae: Telemidae: Symphytognathidae). Raffles B Zool 57: 323–342

820

821 Liu W, Golovatch S, Wesener T, Tian M (2017) Convergent evolution of unique morphological adaptations to
822 a subterranean environment in cave millipedes (Diplopoda). PLoS ONE 12:e0170717.
823
824 Machado A de B, Ribera C (1986) Araneidos cavernícolas de Portugal: Familia Leptonetidae (Araneae).
825 Actas X Congreso Internacional de Aracnología Barcelona 1:355–366.
826
827 Machado EO, Ferreira RL, Brescovit AD (2011). A new troglomorphic *Metagonia* Simon 1893 (Araneae,
828 Pholcidae) from Brazil. Zootaxa 3135: 59–62.
829
830 Macías-Hernández N, López SC, Roca-Cusachs M, Oromí P, Arnedo MA (2016) A geographical distribution
831 database of the genus *Dysdera* in the Canary Islands (Araneae, Dysderidae). ZooKeys 625: 11–23.
832
833 Mammola S (2017) Modelling the future spread of native and alien congeneric species in subterranean
834 habitats — the case of *Meta* cave-dwelling spiders in Great Britain. Int J Speleol 46(3):427–437.
835
836 Mammola S, Isaia M (2014) Niche differentiation in *Meta bourneti* and *M. menardi* (Araneae, Tetragnathidae)
837 with notes on the life history. Int J Speleol 43:343–353.
838
839 Mammola S, Isaia M (2016) The ecological niche of a specialized subterranean spider. Invertebr Biol
840 135:20–30.
841
842 Mammola S, Isaia M (2017a) Spiders in caves. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 284: 20170193.
843
844 Mammola S, Isaia M (2017b) Rapid poleward distributional shifts in the European cave-dwelling *Meta*
845 spiders under the influence of competition dynamics. J Biogeogr, doi:10.1111/jbi.13087.
846

847 Mammola S, Leroy B (2017) Applying species distribution models to caves and other subterranean habitats.
848 Ecography, doi:10.1111/ecog.03464
849

850 Mammola S, Isaia M, Arnedo MA (2015) Alpine endemic spiders shed light on the origin and evolution of
851 subterranean species. PeerJ 3:e1384.
852

853 Mammola S, Piano E, Isaia M (2016a) Step back! Niche dynamics in cave-dwelling predators. Acta Oecol
854 75:35–42.
855

856 Mammola S, Hormiga G, Arnedo MA, Isaia M (2016b) Unexpected diversity in the relictual European spiders
857 of the genus *Pimoa* (Araneae, Pimoidae). Invertebr Syst 30:566–587.
858

859 Mammola S, Giachino PM, Piano E, Jones A, Barberis M, Badino G, Isaia M (2016c) Ecology and sampling
860 techniques of an understudied subterranean habitat: the *Milieu Souterrain Superficiel* (MSS). Sc Nat 103:88.
861

862 Mammola S, Goodacre SL, Isaia M (2017a) Climate change may drive cave spiders to extinction. Ecography
863 40:1–10.
864

865 Mammola S, Hormiga G, Isaia M (2017b) Species conservation profile of the stenoendemic cave spider
866 *Pimoa delphinica* (Araneae, Pimoidae) from the Varaita valley (NW-Italy). Biodiv Data J 5:e11509.
867

868 Maroquín JIM. 2014. Taxonomic revision of *Hemirrhagus* Simon, 1903 (Araneae: Theraphosidae,
869 Theraphosinae), with description of five new species from Mexico. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society.
870 170(4):634–689.
871

872 Martín JL, Cardoso P, Arechavaleta M, Borges PAV, Faria BF, Abreu C, Aguiar AF, Carvalho JA, Costa AC,
873 Cunha RT, Fernandes FM, Gabriel R, Jardim R, Lobo C, Martins AMF, Oliveira P, Rodrigues P, Silva L,

874 Teixeira D, Amorim IR, Homem N, Martins B, Martins M & Mendonça E (2010) Using taxonomically unbiased
875 criteria to prioritize resource allocation for oceanic island species conservation. *Biodivers Conserv* 19:1659-
876 1682.

877

878 Miller JA (2005) Cave adaptation in the spider genus *Anthrobia* (Araneae, Linyphiidae, Erigoninae). *Zool*
879 *Scripta* 34: 565–592.

880

881 Moseley M (2009) Size matters: scalar phenomena and a proposal for an ecological definition of ‘cave’.
882 *Cave and Karst Science* 35:89–94.

883

884 Nae A, Ilie V (2004) Data concerning the spider diversity (Arachnida: Araneae) from the Closani karstic area
885 (Oltenia, Romania), with special reference to the superficial subterranean environment. *Travaux du Muséum*
886 *National d'Histoire Naturelle "Grigore Antipa"* 47: 31–41.

887

888 Nae A (2008) Data concerning the Araneae fauna from the aninei mountains Karsik Area (Banat, Romania).
889 *Trav Inst Speol "Emile Racovitza"* 47: 53–63.

890

891 Nentwig W (2015) Introduction, establishment rate, pathways and impact of spiders alien to Europe. *Biol Inv*
892 17:2757–2778.

893

894 Nentwig W, Blick T, Gloor D, Hänggi A, Kropf C (2017) Spiders of Europe, version 01.2017. Available at
895 www.araneae.unibe.ch. Accessed 06.01.2017. doi: 10.24436/1

896

897 Niemiller ML, Zigler KS (2013) Patterns of cave biodiversity and endemism in the Appalachians and Interior
898 Plateau of Tennessee, USA. *PLoS ONE* 8:e64177.

899

900 Novak T, Tkvac T, Kuntner M, Arnett EA, Delakorda SL, Perc M, Janžekovič F (2010) Niche partitioning in
901 orbweaving spider *Meta menardi* and *Metellina merianae* (Tetragnathidae). *Acta Oecol* 36: 522–529.
902

903 Novak T, Perc M, Lipovšek S, Janžekovič F (2012) Duality of terrestrial subterranean fauna. *Int J Speleol*
904 41:181–188.
905

906 Ortuño VM, Gilgado JD, Jiménez-Valverde A, Sendra A, Pérez-Suárez G, Herrero-Borgoñón JJ (2013) The
907 “alluvial mesovoid shallow substratum”, a new subterranean habitat. *PLoS ONE* 8:e76311.
908

909 Paquin P, Dupérré N (2009) A first step towards the revision of *Cicurina*: redescription of type specimens of
910 60 troglobitic species of the subgenus *Cicurella* (Araneae: Dictynidae), and a first visual assessment of their
911 distribution. *Zootaxa* 2002: 1–67.
912

913 Pavesi P (1873) Sopra una nuova specie di ragni (*Nesticus speluncarum*) appartenente alle collezione del
914 Museo civico di Genova. *Ann Mus Civ St Nat Genova* 4: 344–352.
915

916 Pavlek M, Ribera C (2017) *Kryptonesticus deelemanae* gen. et sp. nov. (Araneae, Nesticidae), with notes on
917 the Mediterranean cave species. *Eur J Taxon* 262: 1–27.
918

919 Peck SB (1990) Eyeless arthropods of the Galapagos Islands, Ecuador: Composition and origin of the
920 cryptozoic fauna of a young, tropical, oceanic archipelago. *Biotropica* 22: 366–381.
921

922 Peck SB (1999) Historical biogeography of Jamaica: evidence from cave invertebrates. *Can J Zool* 77: 368–
923 380.
924

925 Porter LM (2007) Subterranean biogeography: what have we learned from molecular techniques? *J Cave*
926 *Karst Sc* 69:179–186.

- 927
- 928 Poulson TL, White WB (1969) The cave environment. *Science* 165:971–981.
- 929
- 930 Proudlove G, Wood PJ (2003) The blind leading the blind: cryptic subterranean species and DNA taxonomy.
- 931 *Trends Ecol Evol* 18:272–273.
- 932
- 933 Protas M, Jeffery WR (2012) Evolution and development in cave animals: from fish to crustaceans. Wiley
- 934 *Interdiscip Rev Dev Biol* 1:823–845.
- 935
- 936 Ransy M, Dethier M (2006) Note sur *Porrhomma rosenhaueri* (L. Koch, 1872) [Araignée, Linyphiidæ] en
- 937 Belgique. *Bull Cherch Wallonia* 45:109–112.
- 938
- 939 Reddell JR (2005) Spiders and related groups. In: Culver DC, White WB (ed), *Encyclopedia of caves*, 2nd
- 940 edition. Amsterdam: Elsevier, pp 786–797.
- 941
- 942 Rendoš M, Mock A, Jászay T (2012) Spatial and temporal dynamics of invertebrates dwelling karstic
- 943 mesovoid shallow substratum of Sivec National Nature Reserve (Slovakia), with emphasis on Coleoptera.
- 944 *Biologia* 67:1143–1151.
- 945
- 946 Resende LPA, Bichuette ME (2016) Sharing the space: coexistence among terrestrial predators in
- 947 Neotropical caves. *J Nat Hist* 50:2107–2128.
- 948
- 949 Ribera C (1979) Distribution des Nesticidae cavernicoles de la Péninsule Ibérique. *Rev Arachnol* 2:291–300.
- 950
- 951 Ribera C (1988) La familia Leptonetidae (Arachnida, Araneae) in la Península Ibérica. In: Haupt J (ed), *XI*
- 952 *Europäisches Arachnologisches Colloquium*. Berlin: Technische Universität Berlin Dokumentation Kongresse
- 953 und Tagungen, 267–281.

- 954
- 955 Ribera C (2004) Arachnida: Araneae (Spiders). In: Gunn J (ed), Encyclopaedia of Caves and Karst Sciences.
- 956 Fitzroy Dearborn, Taylor and Francis, pp 71–73.
- 957
- 958 Ribera C, Juberthie C (1994) Araneae. In: Juberthie C, Decu V (ed), Encyclopaedia biospeologica. Moulis-
- 959 bucarest, pp 197–214.
- 960
- 961 Ribera C, López-Pancorbo A (2011) *Nesticus baeticus* sp. n., a new troglobitic spider species from south-
- 962 west Europe (Araneae, Nesticidae). ZooKeys 89: 1–13.
- 963
- 964 Ribera C, Mateos E (2000) *Telema tenella* (Simon, 1882)(Telemidae) y *Argyroneta aquatica* (Clerck, 1758)
- 965 (Argyronetidae), dos nuevas familias de araneidos para la fauna ibérica. Rev Iber Aracnol 1: 61–63.
- 966
- 967 Ribera C, Mas ED (2015) Description of three new troglobiontic species of *Cybaeodes* (Araneae,
- 968 Liocranidae) endemic to the Iberian Peninsula. Zootaxa 3957:313–323.
- 969
- 970 Ribera C, Elverici M, Kunt KB, Özkütük RS (2014) *Typhlonesticus gocmeni* sp. n., a new cave-dwelling blind
- 971 spider species from the Aegean region of Turkey (Araneae, Nesticidae). ZooKeys 419:87–102.
- 972
- 973 Roewer CF (1931) Arachnoideen aus südostalpinen Höhlen gesammelt von Herrn Karl Strasser in den
- 974 Jahren 1929 und 1930. Mitteilungen über Höhlenund Karstforschung 1931:1–17.
- 975
- 976 Roewer CF (1953) Cavernicole arachniden aus Sardinien. Notes biospéologiques 8:39–49.
- 977
- 978 Růžička V (1990) The spiders of stony debris. Acta Zool Fenn 190:333–337.
- 979
- 980 Růžička V (1996) Spiders in stony debris in South Bohemian mountains. Silva Gabreta 1:186–194.

- 981
- 982 Růžička V (1999) The first steps in subterranean evolution of spiders (Araneae) in Central Europe. J Nat Hist
983 33:255–265.
- 984
- 985 Růžička V, Thaler K. (2002) Spiders (Araneae) from Deep Screes in the Northern Alps (Tyrol, Austria). Ber
986 Naturwiss-med Ver Innsb 89:137–141.
- 987
- 988 Růžička V, Klimeš L (2005) Spider (Araneae) communities of scree slopes in the Czech Republic. J Arachnol
989 33:280–289.
- 990
- 991 Růžička V, Dolanský J (2016) Catching of spiders in shallow subterranean habitats in the Czech Republic.
992 Arachnol Mitteil 51:43–48.
- 993
- 994 Růžička V, Laška V, Mikula J, Tuf IH (2011) Morphological adaptations of *Porrhomma* spiders inhabiting soil.
995 J Arachnol 39: 355–357.
- 996
- 997
- 998 Růžička V, Šmilauer P, Mlejnek R (2013) Colonization of subterranean habitats by spiders in Central Europe.
999 Int J Speleol 42:133–140.
- 1000
- 1001 Sánchez-Fernández D, Rizzo V, Cieslak A, Faille A, Fresneda J, Ribera I (2016) Thermal niche estimators
1002 and the capability of poor dispersal species to cope with climate change. Sci Rep 6:23381.
- 1003
- 1004 Schiödte JC (1847) Foreløbig Beretning om Untersøgelse om den underjordiske Fauna i Hulerne i Krain og
1005 Istrien. Oversigt over det Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskabs Forhandlinger, pp 75–81.
- 1006

- 1007 Scopoli JA (1763) *Entomologia carniolica, exhibens insecta carniolae indigena et distributa in ordines,*
1008 *genera, species, varietates. Methodo Linnaeana. Vindobonae* 420:392–404.
- 1009
- 1010 Simon E (1872) Notice complémentaire sur les arachnides cavernicoles et hypogés. *Ann Soc Entomol*
1011 *France* (5)2:473–488.
- 1012
- 1013 Simon E (1881) Arachnides nouveaux ou peu connus des provinces basques. *Anal Soc Esp Hist Nat*
1014 10:127–132.
- 1015
- 1016 Simon E (1884) Les arachnides de France. *Paris* 5:180–885.
- 1017
- 1018 Simon E (1901) Note sur les arachnides capturés par M. Mazauric dans la grotte de Trabuc (Garde). *Bull*
1019 *Soc Entomol France* 1901: 238–239.
- 1020
- 1021 Simon E (1907) Araneae, Chernetes et Opiliones (Première série). *Biospeologica. III. Arch Zool Expér Génér*
1022 (4)6:537–553.
- 1023
- 1024 Simon E (1910) Araneae et Opiliones (Seconde Série). *Biospeologica. XV. Arch Zool Expér Génér* (5)5:49–
1025 66.
- 1026
- 1027 Simon E (1911) Araneae et Opiliones (Troisième série). *Biospeologica. XXIII. Arch Zool Expér Génér*
1028 (5)9:177–206.
- 1029
- 1030 Simon E (1914) Les arachnides de France. Synopsis générale et catalogue des espèces françaises de
1031 l'ordre des Araneae; 1re partie. *Paris* 6:1–308.
- 1032
- 1033 Simon E (1929) Les arachnides de France. Synopsis générale et catalogue des espèces françaises de
1034 l'ordre des Araneae; 3e partie. *Paris* 6:533–772.
- 1035

- 1036 Staudt A, Weber D, Nährig D (2013) Webspinnen (Arachnida, Araneae) aus Höhlen des Grossherzogtums
1037 Luxemburgs. *Ferrantia* 69: 115-157
1038
- 1039 Sket B (2008) Can we agree on an ecological classification of subterranean animals? *J Nat Hist* 42:1549–
1040 1563.
1041
- 1042 Thaler K, Plachter H (1983) Spinnen aus Höhlen der Fränkischen Alb, Deutschland (Arachnida: Araneae:
1043 Erigonidae, Linyphiidae). *Senckenb Biol* 63: 249-263.
1044
- 1045 Trajano E, Carvalho MR de (2017) Towards a biologically meaningful classification of subterranean
1046 organisms: a critical analysis of the Schiner-Racovitza system from a historical perspective, difficulties of its
1047 application and implications for conservation. *Subt Biol* 22:1–26.
1048
- 1049 Vanderwolf K, Malloch D, McAlpine DF, Forbes GJ (2013) A world review on fungi, yeasts, and slime molds
1050 in caves. *Int J Speleol* 42:77–96.
1051
- 1052 Wang CX, Li SQ (2010a) Two new species of the spider genus *Cataleptoneta* from Balkan Peninsula
1053 (Araneae, Leptonetidae). *Zootaxa* 2730:57–68.
1054
- 1055 Wang CX, Li SQ (2010b) New species of the spider genus *Telema* (Araneae, Telemidae) from caves in
1056 Guangxi, China. *Zootaxa* 2632: 1–45.
1057
- 1058 Wang CX, Li SQ (2011) A further study on the species of the spider genus *Leptonetela* (Araneae:
1059 Leptonetidae). *Zootaxa* 2841:1–90.
1060
- 1061 Wang C, Ribera C, Li SQ (2012) On the identity of the type species of the genus *Telema* (Araneae,
1062 Telemidae). *ZooKeys* 251:11–19.
1063
- 1064 Wilkens H (2010). Genes, modules and the evolution of cave fish. *Heredity* 105:413–422.

1065

1066 World Spider Catalog (2017) World Spider Catalog, version 17.5. Natural History Museum Bern. Available at
1067 <http://wsc.nmbe.ch>. Accessed 06.01.2017. doi: 10.24436/2

1068

1069 Wu Y, Wang C, Zheng G, Li S (2016) Three new species of the genus *Leptonetela* from Greece (Araneae,
1070 Leptonetidae). *ZooKeys* 569: 23–35.

1071

1072 Yao Z, Pham DS, Li S (2015) Pholcid spiders (Araneae: Pholcidae) from northern Vietnam, with descriptions
1073 of nineteen new species. *Zootaxa* 3909: 1–82.

1074

1075 Yao Z, Dong T, Zheng G, Fu J, Li S (2016). High endemism at cave entrances: a case study of spiders of the
1076 genus *Uthina*. *Sci Rep* 6: 35757.

1077

1078 Zagamajster M, Culver DC, Christman MC, Sket B (2010) Evaluating the sampling bias in pattern of
1079 subterranean species richness: combining approaches. *Biodiv Cons* 19: 3035–3048.

1080

1081 Zhang Y, Li S (2014) A spider species complex revealed high cryptic diversity in South China caves. *Mol*
1082 *phylog evol* 79: 353–358.

1083

1084 Zhu MS, Chen HM (2002) A new cave spider of the genus *Telema* from China (Araneae: Telemidae). *Acta*
1085 *Zoot Sinica* 27: 82–84.

1086

1087 **TABLES**

1088 **Table 1. Glossary.** Definition of main terms and acronyms related to the subterranean
 1089 biology adopted in this text.

Term	Acronym	Definitions adopted herein	Reference(s)
Troglobiomorphism	-	The complex of morphological and physiological adaptations to the subterranean environmental conditions.	Juberthie and Decu 1994
Troglobiont	TB	An organism strictly bound to the hypogean habitat, clearly exhibiting subterranean adaptations.	Sket 2008
Troglophile	TF	Organisms which are able to maintain stable subterranean populations (eutroglophile) or are inclined to inhabit subterranean habitats, being however intimately associated with epigean habitats for some biological functions (subtroglophile).	
Trogloxene	-	An epigean species occurring accidentally underground.	
Shallow—or Superficial— Subterranean Habitats	SSH	The aphotic subterranean habitats close to the surface, harboring species with troglobiomorphic traits, namely hypotelminorheic habitats, epikarst, lava tubes, deep leaf litter, deep soil strata and the MSS.	Culver and Pipan 2009b, 2014
<i>Milieu Subterrain Superficiel</i>	MSS	A terrestrial SSH represented by the underground network of empty air-filled voids and cracks harboring species with troglobiomorphic traits.	Mammola et al. 2016c

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1098

1099 **Table 2. European families of subterranean spiders.** Spider families occurring in
 1100 subterranean habitats across Europe, with current number of genera and species. Total
 1101 number of genera for each family are derived from Spiders of Europe (Nentwig et al.
 1102 2017).

1103

Family	Hypogean elements		Total in Europe		Notes
	Gen.	Sp.	Gen.	Sp.	
Agelenidae	5	40	19	220	Mainly troglaphiles. Troglaphobionts in <i>Histopona</i> and <i>Hadites</i> .
Amaurobiidae	1	3	4	39	Some troglaphobiles in <i>Amaurobius</i> .
Anapidae	2	3	3	5	Some weakly adapted species in MSS habitats.
Cybaeidae	1	1	4	15	<i>Cybaeus vignai</i> is the only species showing troglaphobiomorphic traits.
Dictynidae	3	4	19	69	Some troglaphophile species in the genus <i>Cicurina</i> , <i>Chorizomma</i> and <i>Mastigusa</i> .
Dysderidae	16	56	22	372	Many troglaphobiont species mostly distributed in the Mediterranean basin.
Filistatidae	1	1	3	11	<i>Filistata insidiatrix</i> often at the cave entrance.
Hahniidae	2	3	8	34	Troglaphobionts in <i>Hahnina</i> and <i>Iberina</i> .
Leptonetidae	8	60	8	69	Numerous genera with troglaphobiles and troglaphobionts.
Linyphiidae	28	222	217	1231	Several troglaphobiont-rich genera (e.g. <i>Centromerus</i> and <i>Troglaphyphantes</i>).
Liocranidae	2	5	12	53	Troglaphobionts in <i>Cybaeodes</i> and <i>Agraecina</i> .
Mysmenidae	1	1	3	4	<i>Trogloneta granulum</i> in bare-colluvial MSS.
Nesticidae	6	50	7	55	Different genera, all mostly related to caves. Troglaphobiles and troglaphobionts.
Pholcidae	6	20	12	44	Mostly troglaphobiles. Troglaphobiomorphic species in <i>Hoplopholcus</i> and <i>Stygopholcus</i> .
Pimoidae	1	4	1	4	Only represented in Europe by the genus <i>Pimoa</i> , troglaphophile.
Segestriidae	1	2	2	18	Two species of <i>Segestria</i> found in caves.
Sicariidae	1	1	1	2	<i>Loxosceles rufescens</i> often associated with caves and other SSH.
Sparassidae	1	1	5	15	<i>Heteropoda variegata</i> often associated with caves.
Symphytognathidae	1	1	1	1	Only represented in Europe by <i>Anapistula ataecina</i> (relict species, troglaphobiont).
Telemidae	1	1	1	1	Only represented in Europe by <i>Telema tenella</i> (relict species, troglaphobiont).
Tetragnathidae	2	3	4	32	Troglaphobiles in <i>Meta</i> and <i>Metellina</i> , widespread in European caves.
Theridiidae	3	5	46	228	Troglaphophile species in <i>Episinus</i> , <i>Robertus</i> and <i>Rugathodes</i>
Total (22)	93	486	402	2522	See Supporting information Table S1 for the complete checklist.

1104

1105

1106

1107 **FIGURES LEGEND**

1108 **Figure 1.** Number of subterranean spider species described from 1757 to 2015.

1109

1110 **Figure 2.** Examples of the diversity of spiders in European subterranean habitats—

1111 troglobionts. **a)** *Hadites tegenarioides* Keyserling (Agelenidae); **b)** *Stalagtia*

1112 *hercegovinensis* (Nosek) (Dysderidae); **c)** *Stygopholcus absoloni* (Kulczyński) (Pholcidae);

1113 **d)** *Troglohyphantes* sp. (Linyphiidae) **e)** *Stalita pretneri* Deeleman-Reinhold (Dysderidae);

1114 **f)** *Sulcia* sp. (Leptonetidae)—note the typical violet reflexes on the legs, a useful character

1115 for distinguishing Leptonetidae in the field; **g)** *Typhlonesticus absoloni* (Kratohvíl)

1116 (Nesticidae); **h)** *Typhlonyphia* sp. (Linyphiidae). Photo credits/by curtesy of: **a,d,h:** Tin

1117 Rožman; **b,c,e,f,g)** Martina Pavlek.

1118

1119 **Figure 3.** Examples of the diversity of spiders in European subterranean habitats—

1120 troglophiles. **a)** *Loxosceles rufescens* (Dufour) (Siicaridae); **b)** *Palliduphantes pallidus* (O.

1121 P.-Cambridge) (Linyphiidae); **c)** *Pimoida graphitica* Mammola, Hormiga and Isaia

1122 (Pimoidae); **d)** *Nesticus cellulanus* (Clerck) (Nesticidae) **e)** *Porrhomma convexum*

1123 (Westring) (Linyphiidae); **f)** *Meta menardi* (Latreille) (Tetragnathidae); **g)** *Hoplopholcus*

1124 *labyrinthi* (Kulczyński) (Pholcidae); **h)** *Tegenaria* sp. (Agelenidae). Photo credits/by curtesy

1125 of: **a–c,f,h:** Francesco Tomasinelli (**b** and **f** in Isaia et al. 2011) **d:** Enrico Lana (in Isaia et

1126 al. 2011); **e:** Mauro Paschetta (in Isaia et al. 2011); **g:** Fulvio Gasparo (in Nentwig et al.

1127 2017).

1128

1129 **Figure 4.** Distribution of troglophilic (**a**) and troglobitic (**b**) spiders in Europe. For each

1130 country, shades of grey indicate number of species (see legend).

1131

1132 **Figure 5.** Family charts of European spiders and cave-dwelling spiders, with reference to
1133 troglobionts and trogloniles.

1134

1255