AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Enhanced arginine biosynthesis and lower proteolytic profile as indicators of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* stress in stationary phase during fermentation of high sugar grape must: A proteomic evidence

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1656370> since 2018-01-12T11:54:39Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.foodres.2017.12.004

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

1 **Enhanced arginine biosynthesis and lower proteolytic profile as indicators of *Saccharomyces***
2 ***cerevisiae* stress in stationary phase during fermentation of high sugar grape must: a proteomic**
3 **evidence.**

4 Olta Noti^{1,2}, Enrico Vaudano², Maria Gabriella Giuffrida³, Cristina Lamberti³, Laura Cavallarin³,
5 Emilia Garcia-Moruno² & Enrica Pessione¹

6

7 ¹Consiglio per la Ricerca in Agricoltura e l'Analisi dell'Economia agraria, Centro di Ricerca
8 Viticoltura ed Enologia Via Pietro Micca 35, 14100 Asti, Italy. emilia.garciamoruno@crea.gov.it;
9 enricotommaso.vaudano@crea.gov.it

10 ² Università di Torino - Dipartimento di Scienze della Vita e Biologia dei Sistemi, Via Accademia
11 Albertina.13, 10123 Torino, Italy. enrica.pessione@unito.it; olta.noti@edu.unito.it

12 ³CNR-ISPA, Largo P. Braccini 2, 10095 Grugliasco, Torino, Italy. gabriella.giuffrida@ispa.cnr.it;
13 cristina.lamberti@ispa.cnr.it; laura.cavallarin@ispa.cnr.it

14 ¹To whom correspondence should be addressed: Enrica Pessione,
15 Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology Università di Torino. Via Accademia Albertina
16 13. 10123 Torino. Italy.

17 Tel. +39 011 6704644

18 Fax +39 011 6704508

19 E-mail: enrica.pessione@unito.it

20

21

22

23

ABSTRACT

A strain of *Saccharomyces (S) cerevisiae* (ISE19), which displayed an initial good adaptation to a high sugar medium with increased acetate and glycerol production but weak overall growth/fermentation performances, was selected during the alcoholic fermentation of Cortese grape must. To obtain insights into the metabolic changes that occur in the must during growth in particular conditions (high ethanol, high residual sugars and low nitrogen availability) leading to a sluggish fermentation or even fermentation arrest, comparative in-gel proteomic analyses were performed on cells grown in media containing 200 g/L and 260 g/L of glucose, respectively, while the YAN (Yeast Assimilable Nitrogen) concentration was maintained as it was. Two post-translationally different arginine synthases (pIs 5.6 and 5.8) were found in higher abundances in the high glucose-grown cells, together with an increased abundance of a glycosyltransferase involved in cell-wall mannans synthesis, and of two regulatory proteins (K7_Bmh1p and K7_Bmh2p) that control membrane transport. In parallel, a proteinase K-like proteolytic enzyme and three other protein fragments (Indolepyruvate decarboxylase 1, Fba1p and Eno1p) were present in lower abundances in the high glucose condition, where oxidative stress and cell cycle involved enzymes were also found to be less abundant. The overall results suggest that in stationary phase stress conditions, leading to stuck fermentation, *S. cerevisiae* ISE19 decreases cell replication, oxidative stress responses and proteolytic activity, while induces other metabolic modifications that are mainly based on cell-wall renewal, regulation of the solute transport across the cell membrane and *de novo* arginine synthesis.

Key words: *in-gel*-proteomics, glycolytic enzymes, cell-wall mannans, proteins controlling stress-induced apoptosis, K7_Bmh.

HIGHLIGHTS

- The paper describes what happens at a proteomic level when *S. cerevisiae* ISE19 is grown in high sugar grape musts in a condition leading to a fermentation arrest.
- A decreased abundance of proteolytic enzymes and enzyme fragments was observed in a high sugar condition, in agreement with recent literature data that have reported how the low expression of proteases in glucose-rich musts can cause the arrest of alcoholic fermentation.
- An increased abundance of cell-wall renewal enzymes and of the proteins that regulate membrane transport underline an effective stress response concerning envelope structures.
- Apart from proline, arginine could also play a direct protective role against osmotic stress in *S. cerevisiae* ISE19 (as previously demonstrated for cold stress), since its biosynthetic pathway seems to be activated.

INTRODUCTION

During industrial processes, and in particular during alcoholic fermentation, microorganisms encounter several stressors that can compromise their life, their performances and the achievement of satisfactory amounts of the desired end-product. The most common environmental factors that can affect yeasts growth and end-product biosynthesis are must pH, temperature, redox potential and osmolarity (Auesukaree, 2017; Matallana and Aranda, 2017). *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is the most prominent microorganism involved in wine production. During alcoholic fermentation, the microbial growth phases are characterized by different metabolic events. During the lag-phase, yeast cells adjust their metabolism to adapt to the high initial glucose/fructose concentrations and to be able to grow and convert these sugars into ethanol. One-third of the total ethanol and most of the glycerol amounts are produced in the exponential phase, in parallel with the/an increase in biomass. The remaining two thirds of ethanol are generated in the stationary phase, together with the aromatic compounds that determine the final sensory profile and quality of the wine (Salmon and Barre, 1998). In all these stages, yeast cells undergo stress such as: I) low temperatures (Pizarro et al, 2008), II) osmotic stress (Yale and Bohnert, 2001), III) anaerobiosis (Kwast et al., 2002) IV) lack of nutrients (Boer et al., 2003) and V) ethanol stress (Alexandre et al., 2001).

It is well known that a too high osmotic strength (high solute-low solvent concentration) can damage microbial physiology, above all by subtracting the solvation water that stabilizes the tertiary and quaternary structures of proteins (Goldbeck et al., 2001). A salty or sugar-rich environment prevents or delays growth (Hohmann, 2002). Some aspects of microbial responses to osmotic stress have long been elucidated: for instance, salt damage is linked to an altered ionic strength, whereas a high sugar content can cause osmotic stress, without altering the surface charges of the macromolecules (Lages et al., 1999).

As far as yeasts are concerned, it has been established that cells exposed to hyperosmotic NaCl concentrations adapt by increasing glycerol uptake inside the cell (Lages et al, 1999), or they die through an apoptotic process (Huh et al, 2003). However, this apoptotic process is the consequence

of an ion disequilibrium rather than of hyperosmotic stress. It has been demonstrated that sugar hyperosmotic stress (70% glucose or sorbitol w/w) can induce both morphological (chromatin condensation along the nuclear envelope, mitochondria swelling, DNA strand breaks) and biochemical (reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, meta-caspase activation) events that lead to cell apoptosis. Cell death is growth-phase dependent, with stationary cells displaying the highest death rate (Silva et al., 2005).

Ethanol stress affects cell viability to a great extent at the final phase of batch fermentation. Toxicity is mainly due to the interaction of ethanol with the cytoplasmic membrane (and, to a lesser extent, to cytosolic enzyme damage). The action of ethanol on both the phospholipid heads and membrane proteins is counteracted by the cell, primarily through membrane-level modifications. Increases in the content of unsaturated fatty acids and ergosterol and reductions in the membrane protein content are the best known yeast responses to ethanol stress (Vanegas et al, 2012). These changes lead to consequences such as: i) variation of the proton flow, which in turn impairs pH homeostasis, ii) reduced capability of Ca^{++} and Mg^{++} translocation, iii) impaired activity of active membrane transporters (Bisson, 1999). The overall result is an alteration of membrane fluidity that strongly affects the trafficking capability of the cell.

In a previous investigation, conducted in the very early stages of fermentation, metabolites and transcripts were evaluated for several yeasts strains grown in a hyperosmotic medium (Noti et al., 2015). A high glycerol and acetate producer, that is *S. cerevisiae* (strain ISE19), was detected. This phenotypic trait correlates with an initial good growth and alcohol production in a sugar rich environment, but then with weaker performances when the simultaneous effects of prolonged hyperosmosis, an increasing ethanol concentration and a low nitrogen content are present. These stressing conditions, which occur in must fermentations with a high sugar content, frequently lead to stuck or sluggish fermentations (Bisson, 1999). The present research is aimed at shedding light on the effects triggered by the late fermentation phase stress on the protein profiles of *S. cerevisiae*

120 *ISE19*. An in-gel approach was applied to compare the proteomes of high sugar grown cells and
 121 control cells both harvested in stationary phase, in order to identify metabolic indicators of cell stress
 122 in the incoming sluggish or arrested fermentation

123 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

124 ***Overall strategy***

125 Comparative proteomic analyses of a high glycerol and acetate producer, namely, the *S.*
 126 *cerevisiae* strain ISE 19, which belongs to the CREA-Centro di Ricerca Viticoltura ed Enologia
 127 culture collection (Asti, Italy), and which was grown in natural Cortese must with both a regular and
 128 a high sugar content, in the advanced phases of fermentation, have been performed.

129 ***Fermentation conditions***

130 *S. cerevisiae* ISE 19 was pre-cultured in YPD at 26°C for 48 h. It was subsequently inoculated,
 131 at a concentration of 5×10^6 cell/mL (total cell), in 0.22 µm filter sterilized Cortese white grape must
 132 (800 mL of culture). The main parameters of the must were: pH 3.30, 200 g/L of reducing sugar and
 133 200 mg/L of Yeast Assimilable Nitrogen (YAN). Two different conditions were tested in the
 134 comparative proteomic study. The former (ISE19g-) was tested with a regular amount of sugar (200
 135 g/L), and the latter (ISE19g+) was tested by adding glucose/fructose 50% w/w to the must to reach
 136 260 g/L of sugar and by restoring the YAN to 200 mg/L. Fermentations were performed at 20°C
 137 without stirring, and three biological replicates were set up. Samples were harvested during
 138 fermentation: growth was estimated by optical density (OD) at 600 nm calculating cell/mL by
 139 calibration curve done with Bürker chamber count, ethanol and acetate were determined by enzymatic
 140 analysis (R-Biopharm AG, Darmstadt, Germany), the residual sugar content (glucose and fructose)
 141 and glycerol were evaluated by means of an HPLC equipped with a refractometric detector, using a
 142 Rezex RCM-Monosaccharide column (dimension: 300 x 7.8 mm; particle size 8 µm; Phenomenex,
 143 Torrance, USA). The adopted conditions were the following: eluent: water; column temperature:
 144 85°C; flow: 0.35 mL/min; injection volume: 20 µL. Samples for the proteomic analyses were

145 harvested at 175 g/L of consumed sugar(s), at 11 days of fermentation for ISE19g+ and 14 days of
146 fermentation for the ISE19g- test. Samples were pelleted and immediately frozen. At this
147 fermentation stage, residual sugar contents of 25 g/l and of 85 g/l were present in the low and high
148 sugar media, respectively.

149 ***Preparation of the protein extracts***

150 Yeast cells (50 mL of about 9×10^7 total cells/mL) were washed with 50 mL of a 0.85% NaCl
151 solution, centrifuged (5000xg for 20 min) and the supernatant was discarded. Cell lysis was obtained
152 by re-suspending the pellet with 3 mL of lysis buffer (Tris-HCl 50 mM, pH 7.3, EDTA 1mM), and
153 by adding an equal volume of 0.5 mm glass beads to break the cells (10 cycles of 20 minutes on ice,
154 vortexing at maximum speed). At the end of the procedure, centrifugation was carried out (5000xg
155 for 20 minutes at 4°C), and the supernatant was transferred to a new vial for total protein
156 quantification.

157 Protein quantification was performed using the "2D Quant kit" (GE Healthcare) (UV analysis
158 at 480 nm), according to the manufacturer's instructions. After the preliminary preparation, protein
159 samples were ultra-centrifuged (100000xg for 1 hr. at 4°C) in a Beckman L8-60M Ultracentrifuge
160 (Type 60 rotor). The supernatant containing the soluble proteins was recovered, supplemented with
161 10 μ L/mL of Nuclease Mix (GE Healthcare) and dialyzed/concentrated against four volumes of
162 bidistilled water in 10 KDa membrane cutoff tubes (Amicon), at a final volume of 1 mL. A second
163 quantification was performed, followed by methanol/chloroform protein precipitation, according to
164 Wessels and Flugge (1984). The obtained pellet was then solubilized in a rehydration solution (6.5
165 M urea, 2.2 M thiourea, 4% w/v CHAPS, 5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.8, 0.5% IPG buffer (GE Healthcare),
166 100 mM DTT). The protein concentration was evaluated again by using the 2D Quant kit (GE
167 Healthcare).

168 *Two dimensional electrophoresis*

169 Isoelectric focusing

170 Thirteen cm long strips were used, over a 4-7 pH range, for Isoelectric Focusing (IEF). Three
171 technical replicates were performed for each of the three biological replicates in the two different
172 fermentation conditions (glucose-enriched and control). About 275 µg of extracted proteins was
173 loaded for each strip. IEF was performed using IPGphor (GE Healthcare) at 20°C, with 66.000 Vh,
174 at 8000 V, after 10 h of active rehydration (50 V).

175 The IEF strips were then incubated at room temperature for 15 min in a reduction buffer (6 M
176 urea, 30% v/v glycerol, 2% w/v SDS, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.6), and enriched with 10 mM DTT. They
177 were subsequently incubated for 15 min in an alkylation buffer (6 M urea, 30% v/v glycerol, 2 w/v
178 SDS, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.6), and enriched with iodoacetamide 4.5% w/v to alkylate the sulfide
179 groups and prevent re-oxidation during electrophoresis.

180 SDS-PAGE

181 After equilibration, the strips were sealed at the top of 1.00 mm vertical second dimension
182 gels with 0.5% of boiling agarose. SDS-PAGE was carried out for each sample on 11.5% T and 3.3%
183 C acrylamide (Biorad) on homogeneous gels. The running buffer was 25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine,
184 0.1% SDS, pH 8.3. The running conditions were: 600 V constant voltage, 20 mA/gel, 60 W for 15
185 min at 15°C, 600 V constant voltage, 40 mA/gel, and 80 W for about 2.5 h at 15°C. The used
186 molecular weight marker was a Low M_r Electrophoresis Calibration Kit (GE Healthcare).

187 The gels were automatically stained, using Processor Plus (Amersham Biosciences), with
188 freshly prepared Colloidal Coomassie Blue stain (Neuhoff et al., 1988). After 12 hours of staining, the
189 gels were dried in a GD 2000 Vacuum Gel Drier System (GE Healthcare).

190 ***Image analysis***

191 2-DE gel images were acquired using a SI Molecular Dynamics Personal Densitometer
192 (Amersham Biosciences). Image analysis was performed using the Progenesis PG 220 software (Non
193 Linear Dynamics). Spot detection was automatically performed using the 2005 detection software
194 algorithm.

195 ***Statistical analysis***

196 Nine replicates were performed for each 2-DE gel, and for each condition (high and normal
197 sugar contents in the culture media): three analytical replicates were conducted for each biological
198 replicate. Spot intensities were measured, *via* normalized spot volumes, using the "total quantity in
199 valid spot" standardization system. The spot volumes were statistically analyzed by means of the
200 Student's *T-test*: the mean values were considered significantly different when $p < 0.05$. Protein spots
201 with a fold change ≥ 2 and $p < 0.05$ were selected for MS analysis.

202 ***Mass spectrometry analysis and protein identification***

203 The selected spots were identified by means of MALDI-TOF/TOF mass spectrometry, using
204 an Ultraflex III MALDI TOF/TOF instrument (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen). The spots withdrawn
205 from the 2DE gels were destained overnight (with a 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate and 40% v/v
206 ethanol solution), washed three times for 10 min with acetonitrile and then dried in a Speedvac device.
207 The proteins were *in gel* digested with trypsin (Promega, Madison, USA), and their spectra were
208 acquired as described by Zava *et al.* (2009). The MS-Fit software package (<http://prospector.ucsf.edu>)
209 was used to search against the NCBI 2015.3.10 database, using the peptide mass fingerprinting
210 method (PMF) (Pappin *et al.*, 1993). The following parameters were set for the searches: S-
211 carbamidomethyl derivate on cysteine as a fixed modification, oxidation on methionine as a variable
212 modification and two missed cleavage sites for trypsin digestion. The peptide mass tolerance was 20
213 ppm.

RESULTS

Fermentation performance

Figure 1 shows the fermentation profiles of the two tested conditions (with or without added sugars), which were obtained by monitoring sugar consumption and ethanol production. The fermentation of Cortese must with the added sugars, initially shows a better performance with a higher daily rate of ethanol production. The conversion of the sugars into ethanol slowed down from the eleventh day of fermentation onwards, until it reached a complete stop on the eighteenth day of fermentation. Stuck fermentation occurred at an alcohol content of about 13.40 vol%, with 36 g/L of residual sugar, mainly consisting of fructose. The fermentation profile of the must not supplemented with sugars was regular, with complete exhaustion of the residual sugars and a final ethanol concentration of about 12% (v/v). The growth kinetics in the two conditions (Fig. 2) showed a higher yeast population in the supplemented must, which reached a maximum of about 120×10^6 cell/mL after six days of fermentation, whereas the must without any sugar addition underwent a maximum growth of 100×10^6 cell/mL, which was reached after seven days of fermentation. From the 14th day onwards, the yeast population tended to decrease in both sugar conditions reaching similar values on day 21.

Glycerol and acetate production differed in the two tests in relation to the initial sugar content (Fig. 3). In grape must with higher sugar concentrations, yeasts showed an acetic acid production rate constantly higher as compared to the fermentation with regular sugar, reaching 0.60 ± 0.03 (SD) g/L after 7 days. Later, acetate accumulation proceeded slower, showing, at the end of fermentation, concentration of 0.68 ± 0.03 g/L (Fig. 3). Regular sugar test showed a decrease in the acetate production rate after 3 days and reached a maximum of 0.5 ± 0.02 g/L acetate at the end of the fermentation. Similarly, the test with added sugar exhibited a higher concentration also for glycerol that occurs since the beginning of the fermentation and reached 7.0 ± 0.12 compared to a max of 6.30 ± 0.28 in fermentation with regular sugar. However, glycerol showed a more regular biosynthetic rate as compared to the production of acetate and its concentration remains stable under both conditions (high and low sugar) after 9 days of fermentation (Fig. 3)

240 **Comparative proteomic studies**

241 Protein profiles of the high glycerol and acetate producer *S. cerevisiae* ISE 19 grown in regular
 242 (ISE19g-) and high (ISE19g+) sugar contents, respectively, have been analyzed at a critical moment
 243 of the cell growth, that is, when the simultaneous presence of osmotic stress, ethanol stress and
 244 nitrogen depletion occurs. Samples were harvested at the stationary phase (Fig. 2), when 10.5%
 245 vol/vol of ethanol was produced in both conditions, whereas the remaining residual sugars were 25
 246 and 85 g/L in ISE19g- and ISE19g+, respectively (Fig. 1). Protein concentrations at the end of the
 247 extraction process were $9.97 \pm 0.71 \mu\text{g}/\mu\text{L}$ in ISE19g- and $11.78 \pm 0.75 \mu\text{g}/\mu\text{L}$ in ISE19g+.
 248 Comparative proteomic analyses were performed on the total cell protein extract (*in toto* proteome)
 249 in the acidic pI range (pH 4-7), where most yeast proteins can be found, in order to detect the presence
 250 of the differentially abundant proteins.

251 **Protein identification**

252 The 2DE allowed a good protein separation degree to be obtained for each considered sample.
 253 Image analysis of the 2DE gels revealed differences in spot intensity in the two experimental
 254 conditions. (Figure 4).

255 At first sight, a greater total protein pool abundance in gels loaded with extracts from cells
 256 grown in high sugar condition is detectable. Image analysis revealed 28 statistically significant
 257 different abundant spots. Three spots were absent in the high sugar condition and four spots were
 258 present exclusively in the high sugar condition. Of the remaining spots, 7 were present in higher
 259 abundances and 14 in lower abundances in the condition stimulated with a high sugar content. The
 260 spot identifications and assignment of the proteins to specific metabolic pathways are shown in Table
 261 1.

262 **DISCUSSION**

263 The protein expression profiles of *S. cerevisiae* ISE19, in an advanced stage of must fermentation
 264 with different initial sugar concentrations, have been analyzed in this work. The imbalance condition

265 between the must sugar content and the presence of Yeast Assimilable Nitrogen (YAN) has been
266 recognized as being the primary cause of fermentative arrests, even though the molecular mechanisms
267 involved in sluggish fermentation and the arrest of fermentation are still unclear (Bisson 1999).

268 In the present study, the fermentation with the higher sugar content initially showed a better
269 performance, both in terms of ethanol production and cell growth, with respect to the control
270 conditions. The difference of 60 g/l in the initial juice sugar load did not result in any extension of
271 the lag phase, thus demonstrating strain response capacity toward the high initial osmotic pressure.
272 At this early stage, the ISE19 strain was probably favored by a higher carbon source availability,
273 whereas the nitrogen concentration was not yet a limiting factor. The stuck fermentation, which
274 occurred at 13.40% ethanol at the end of the stationary phase, was presumably due to the low nitrogen
275 availability, which is known to be a strong limiting factor (Christ et al., 2015). Actually, owing to the
276 higher sugar concentration and the good osmotolerance of this strain, the biomass yield was higher.
277 In this condition, there is an increased need of nitrogen to support growth. Moreover, the concomitant
278 presence of several stressors, that is, a high ethanol content, the osmotic pressure of the residual sugars
279 and the accumulation of toxic compounds, such as medium-chain fatty acids, may have contributed
280 to the slowing down of the fermentative efficiency, as previously suggested by other authors (Lafon-
281 Lafourcade et al., 1984, Borrull et al., 2015). When fermentation arrest was observed, the main sugar
282 present was fructose (data not shown), due to the lower affinity of the hexose transporters (HXT) with
283 fructose and the differences in the efficiency of the hexokinases (HXK) activity toward this sugar
284 observed in yeasts (Bisson, 1999, Berthels et al., 2008).

285 *S. cerevisiae* cells react to stress through general and/or specific response mechanisms. Global
286 changes in the majority of transcripts have been observed during the fermentation process of wine
287 making, thus highlighting a general adaptive response to the typical stressors of alcoholic
288 fermentation (Marks et al., 2008). In the early fermentation stages, the greatest environmental
289 constraint is osmotic stress, which generates a water flow from inside to outside the cytoplasmic

membrane. Later, the yeast cell adapts itself through multi-level specific response mechanisms (Hohmann, 2002; Yanget al., 2006, Zuzuarregui et al., 2006; Tapia et al., 2015). However, the main response of yeast cells to high-osmolarity is the production of glycerol, the best osmotic balance stabilizer (Hohmann, 2002). Glycerol accumulation has been shown to account for 95% of the internal osmolarity recovery (Reed et al., 1987).

It should be underlined that, from a metabolic standpoint, glycerol can originate from glycolysis, at the expense of the biomass, by diverting dihydroxyacetonephosphate (DHAP) from the glycolytic flux after sensing altered osmolarity (Remize et al., 2003; Capaldi et al., 2008), thus performing the so-called glyceropyruvic fermentation (Fig 5). However, during hyperosmotic stress, yeasts can also enhance the intracellular glycerol content by limiting the glycerol flux outside the cytoplasmic membrane with closure of aquaglyceroporin Fps1 channels (Oliveira et al., 2003) and activating synthesis of glycerol transporters encoded by the *STL1* gene (Petelenz-Kurdziel et al., 2013). These proton symport systems can enhance the active uptake of external glycerol, which in turn is used both as a carbon source and during adaption to osmotic stress (Ferreira et al., 2005) and temperature (Tulha et al., 2010). Transcriptional and non-transcriptional mechanisms are involved in these flux regulations (Hohmann, 2009; Ahmadpour et al., 2014). Along with the osmo-adaptation mechanism, glycerol production during fermentation is the result of the redox balance control mechanism set up to oxidize the excess of NADH produced in the formation of 1,3-diphosphoglycerate starting from the 3-phospate glyceraldehyde in glycolysis (Ansell et al., 1997). On the other hand, in order to balance the excess of NAD⁺ generated by the initial formation of the osmo-protective glycerol, the cell utilizes NAD⁺ dependent *aldehyde dehydrogenases*, synthesizing acetate (Navarro-Aviño et al., 1999, Pigeau and Inglis, 2005). In the present investigation, the higher glycerol and acetate production observed in must with added sugar reflects the mechanism for adaptation to hyperosmosis and the successive redox regulation during alcoholic fermentation according to the mechanisms outlined above.

315 No apparent modifications concerning the glyceropyruvic pathway (Fig 4) were observed, at
 316 a proteomic level (Tab.1), after prolonged exposure of *S. cerevisiae* ISE 19 to high sugar environment
 317 in a condition of incoming sluggish and stuck fermentation. As far as the functional state (open or
 318 closed) of the aquaglyceroporin Fps1 channels is concerned, no conclusion can be reached from the
 319 proteomic studies. However, the regulatory proteins K7_Bmh2p (spot 1687B) and K7_Bmh1p (spot
 320 1689B), which control the formation of the vesicles involved in transport and exocytosis, were found
 321 to be present, but only in the hyperosmotic condition. These proteins, which belong to the 14-3-3
 322 gene family, are also involved in the protection against stress-induced apoptosis, and they play an
 323 important role in the post-transcriptional control of yeast proteins (Clapp et al., 2012).

324 Peculiar results concerning glycolysis are worth discussing. Three enzymes of the alcoholic
 325 fermentation pathway were present, with higher abundance in the sugar-stimulated condition:
 326 fructose biphosphate aldolase (Fba1p) (spot 1415B), phosphopyruvate hydratase (Eno 2 p) (spot
 327 1378B), and pyruvate decarboxylase (Pdc1p) (spots 1331B, 1564B and 1569B). The latter enzyme,
 328 converting pyruvate into acetaldehyde and having TPP as a cofactor, was present in three spots
 329 displaying different isoelectric points (6.1, 5.8 and 5.9 respectively) and the same molecular weight,
 330 thus suggesting that they are the result of post-translational modifications of the same gene product.
 331 As far as the other two enzymes are concerned, the higher abundance of Fba1p can be exploited to
 332 supply more DHAP for glycerol biosynthesis whose greater production has actually been observed in
 333 yeasts during high sugar fermentation (fig. 3b). The higher abundance of Eno2p is in agreement with
 334 the fact that Eno2p is the true glycolytic enzyme, and that glucose can act as an inducer of its
 335 synthesis, as previously observed (Kornblatt et al., 2013).

336 Other enzymes involved in glycolysis/alcoholic fermentation are present in lower abundances
 337 in high osmotic condition-grown yeasts. The low level of enolase Eno1p (spot 1434A) (25 fold less
 338 abundant in high glucose) is consistent with the known repression on the encoding gene exerted by
 339 glucose (Kornblatt et al., 2013). Previous studies showed that Eno1p (as well as the oxidative alcohol

dehydrogenase ADH₂) is more abundant in yeast cells grown in media containing ethanol, thus suggesting a gluconeogenic rather than a glycolytic role (Futcher et al., 1999). Two triose-phosphate isomerase Tpi1 (spot 1519A) and Tpi1p (spot 1515A) enzymes, with different entry codes (NP_010335 and EGA87556 respectively) (probably the products of two different genes), were present in low abundance. Triose phosphate isomerase has the function of maintaining a correct balance between glyceraldehyde phosphate (GAP) and DHAP (derived from fructose 1,6 biphosphate), and of supplying glycolysis with GAP. A part of the DHAP is probably necessary, in the hyperosmotic condition, to feed the glyceropyruvic route (Fig.5), hence triose phosphate isomerase has to work at a basal level to prevent all the DAPH from being converted into GAP. Three spots (1396A 1400A and 1419A), corresponding to oxidative alcohol dehydrogenases (ADHs), were found to be of low abundance in high glucose-medium grown cells. The inhibition of oxidative ADHs, together with the enhanced abundance of pyruvate decarboxylase, suggests the activation of alcoholic fermentation.

The overall results concerning glycolysis (in the cells grown in the hyperosmotic medium) suggest: i) an initial activation of the glycolytic flux, due to an enhanced abundance of fructose 1,2 biphosphate aldolase, ii) a slight slowing-down of glycolysis, due to a decreased abundance of triose-phosphate isomerase, which probably favors glycerol synthesis and iii) an activation of the conversion of 2-phosphoglycerate to PEP through the enhanced biosynthesis of Eno2p (the glycolytic enzyme) and a decreased abundance of Eno1p (the gluconeogenic enzyme). A stimulation of the alcoholic fermentation in the hyperosmotic medium was obtained, as proved by the enhanced abundance of pyruvate decarboxylase and lower abundance of oxidative alcohol dehydrogenases. It is possible to hypothesize that alcoholic fermentation proceeds, even in a relatively lowered glycolytic flux, by exploiting also the pyruvate that comes from other paths.

Three fragments originating from Pdc1p (spot 1354A), Fba1p (1500A) and Eno1p (1507A) were found to be less abundant or totally lacking in a hyperosmotic medium. Since these fragments

are the result of a proteolytic action over the native protein, this finding suggests that proteolysis occurs to a lesser extent in high glucose conditions. As a support to this, the only proteolytic enzyme, Proteinase K (spot 1494A) was found in a lower abundance in the high-sugar medium grown cells. These results are in agreement with a recent report that suggests that the low expression of proteases in glucose-rich musts can cause fermentation arrests, due to the lack of nitrogen scavenger activity exerted by proteolytic enzymes (Szopinska et al., 2016). This is probably what also occurs for *S. cerevisiae* ISE 19.

Erasmus et al. (2003) reported an up-regulation of genes for glycolysis and for the pentose phosphate pathway, whereas genes involved in the *de novo* biosynthesis of purines, pyrimidines, histidine and lysine were down-regulated during hyperosmotic stress. These findings have also been confirmed at a proteomic level (Pham and Wright, 2002).

In the present work, no modifications have been observed in the biosynthetic pathway of histidine. However, the enzymes involved in amino acid biosynthesis displayed fold changes in the high glucose-grown cells. In fact, diaminopimelate epimerase (Yhi9p) (spots 1490A and 1493A), a piridoxalphosphate (PLP)-dependent isomerase involved in the so-called aspartate pathway leading to the synthesis of lysine, displayed a low abundance in the hyperosmotic medium, in agreement with the data reported above (Pham and Wright, 2002). On the contrary, two post-translationally different arginine-succinate synthases (Arg1p) (spot 1358B, pI 5.8 and spot 1577B pI 5.6) were more abundant (up to 10 fold) in the high sugar condition. Xu and co-workers (2011) demonstrated that arginine could protect the yeast *Candida glabrata* during hyperosmotic stress. Both imported (extracellular) arginine and *de novo* synthesized arginine lead to a higher biomass production (measured as dry cell weight). However, these authors also observed the enhanced transcription of genes encoding enzymes for arginine biosynthesis, thus suggesting that the second mechanism (*i.e. de novo* synthesis) is prevalent. Although a cryoprotective effect of arginine on the freezing stress of *S. cerevisiae* has been reported (Morita et al., 2002), the present proteomic results are the only ones that have found that

arginine is a good osmoprotectant in *S. cerevisiae* ISE19 as well as in *Candida glabrata*. Furthermore, our results support the observations of Gutierrez et al. (2012, 2015), who demonstrated that arginine exerted a positive effect (compared to other amino acids) on the growth and fermentation rate of *S. cerevisiae*. It is possible that arginine exerts this beneficial effect on growth not only because of its nutritional role as a nitrogen source, but also because of its osmoprotectant effect. On the other hand, arginine is one of the most abundant amino acid present in must (Gutierrez *et al.*, 2015), and it could have contributed to an evolutionary selection of *S. cerevisiae* strains in this ecological niche.

A glycosyltransferase, involved in the synthesis of cell-wall mannans (YJR075Wp-like protein) (spot 1688B), was only present in the high glucose concentration, thus suggesting the need of cell-wall processing and renewal during hyperosmotic stress. This is to be expected, since the cell-wall of *S. cerevisiae* is an elastic and dynamic structure responsive to changes in the external environment, which provides osmotic and physical protection to the yeast cell. Changes the cell-wall mannan structures under osmotic stress have been previously observed in yeasts (Koyama et al. 2009). On the other hand, a unique oligosaccharide modification, mannosylphosphorylation, has been demonstrated in the cell-wall mannoproteins of *S. cerevisiae* during cellular response to a variety of stresses, among which osmotic stress, which strongly enhances mannosyl phosphorylation (Odani et al., 1997). These authors hypothesized that mannosylphosphate transfer, which gives a net negative charge to the cell-wall, allows the formation of a hydration shell on the cell surface, resulting in yeast cell protection from high solute stress. Whether this mechanism is also operative in *S. cerevisiae* ISE19 during osmotic stress still has to be elucidated.

An inverse correlation was found between osmotic stress and the abundance of oxidative-stress related enzymes, such as catalase T (Ctt1p) (spot 1326A and spot 1743A). Szopinska et al. (2016) have recently reported that the highest transcription rate of genes involved in antioxidant activities can be found when all the fermentable sugars have been depleted. The present findings are in agreement with these observations, and confirm that oxidative stress is a statistically rare event when sugars are abundant and hence not completely consumed.

CONCLUSIONS

Alcoholic and glyceropyruvic fermentation are closely linked throughout winemaking. On average, 8% of must sugars undergoes glyceropyruvic fermentation, while the remaining part is converted to ethanol. The ability to produce glycerol varies according to the yeast strain, and can therefore affect the percentage of glycerol and ethanol formed by the same amount of fermented sugars. The present proteomic results on *S. cerevisiae* ISE 19 have not revealed any direct activation of the glyceropyruvic pathway at stationary phase after prolonged exposure to a hyperosmotic environment, in a condition leading to stuck fermentation, although the catalytic activation of the enzymes involved in glycerol synthesis cannot be excluded. On the other hand, an overall enhancement of the abundance of the proteins involved in alcoholic fermentation, cell-wall synthesis, aromatic amino acid as well as arginine biosynthesis has been detected. No apparent increase in abundance of stress proteins has been observed and proteolysis seems to be negatively modulated in hyperosmotic conditions.

Understanding how osmotolerant yeasts are able to survive and adapt to critical conditions will allow a better biomass yield and an overall better alcoholic fermentation to be obtained. Moreover, the elucidation of the cytotoxic effects, induced by high glucose concentrations, could contribute to the optimization of the industrial fermentative yeast performance under hyperosmotic stress.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by MIUR-University of Torino “Fondi Ricerca Locale (ex-60%) and the “BIODATI” grant [DM 15421/7301/11] from the Italian *Ministero delle Politiche Agricole Alimentari e Forestali*. O. Noti was a recipient of a PhD fellowship [DM 16578/7303/10] from the Italian *Ministero delle Politiche Agricole Alimentari e Forestali*. We are indebted to Erika Mangiapane for performing part of the work on the 2DE gels.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST/ETHICAL CONCERNS

441 The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest and no ethical issues (no animal or human
 442 involvement) in the experiments performed in the present investigation.

443

444

REFERENCES

445 Ahmadpour, D., Geijer, C., Tamás, M.J., Lindkvist-Petersson, K., & Hohmann, S. (2014). Yeast
 446 reveals unexpected roles and regulatory features of aquaporins and aquaglyceroporins. *Biochim.*
 447 *Biophys. Acta*, 1840, 1482–1491.

448 Alexandre, H., Ansanay-Galeote, V., Dequin, S., & Blondin, B. (2001). Global gene expression
 449 during short-term ethanol stress in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEBS Letters*, 498, 98–103.

450 Auesukaree, C. (2017). Molecular mechanisms of the yeast adaptive response and tolerance to
 451 stresses encountered during ethanol fermentation. *J. Biosci. Bioeng.*, 124, 133–142.

452 Berthels, N. J., Otero, R. R. C., Bauer, F. F., Pretorius, I. S. & Thevelein, J. M. (2008). Correlation
 453 between glucose/fructose discrepancy and hexokinase kinetic properties in different *Saccharomyces*
 454 *cerevisiae* wine yeast strains. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 77, 1083–1091.

455 Bisson, L.F. (1999). Stuck and sluggish fermentations. *Am. J. Enol. and Viticult.*, 50, 107–119.

456 Boer, V.M., de Winde, J.H., Pronk J. T., & Piper M.D. (2003). The genome-wide transcriptional
 457 responses of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* grown on glucose in aerobic chemostat cultures limited for
 458 carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, or sulfur. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 278, 3265–3274.

459 Borrull, A., López-Martínez, G., Poblet, M., Cordero-Otero, R. & Rozès, N. (2015). New insights
 460 into the toxicity mechanism of octanoic and decanoic acids on *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Yeast*,
 461 32, 451–460.

- 462 Capaldi, A.P., Kaplan, T., Liu, Y., Habib, N., Regev, A., Friedman, N., & O'Shea, E.K. (2008).
 463 Structure and function of a transcriptional network activated by the MAPK Hog1. *Nat. Genet.*, 40,
 464 1300-1306.
- 465 Christ, A., Kowalczyk A., Zuchowska M., Claus C., Löwenstein, R., Szopinska-Morawska A.,
 466 Renaut, J., & König, H. (2015). An exemplary model study for overcoming stuck fermentation during
 467 spontaneous fermentation with the aid of a *Saccharomyces* triple hybrid. *J. Agric. Sci.*, 7, 18–34.
- 468 Clapp C., Portt L., Khoury C., Sheibani S., Norman G., Ebner P., Eid R, Vali H., Mandato C.A.,
 469 Madeo, F., & Greenwood, M. (2012). T14-3-3 Protects against stress-induced apoptosis. *Cell Death*
 470 *Dis.*, 3:e348. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2012.90
- 471 Erasmus, D.J., van der Merwe, G.K., & van Vuuren, H.J.J. (2003). Genome-wide expression analysis:
 472 Metabolic adaptation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to high sugar stress. *FEMS Yeast Res.*, 3, 375-
 473 399.
- 474 Ferreira, C., van Voorst, F., Martins, A., Neves, L., Oliveira, R., Kielland-Brandt, M.C., Lucas, C.,
 475 & Brandt, A. (2005). A member of the sugar transporter family, Stl1p is the glycerol/H⁺ symporter
 476 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Biol. Cell.*, 16, 2068-2076.
- 477 Futcher B., Latter G.I., Monardo P., McLaughlin C.S. & Garrels J.I. (1999). A Sampling of the Yeast
 478 Proteome. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 19, 7357–7368.
- 479 Goldbeck, R.A., Paquette S.J., & Kliger D.S. (2001). The effect of water on the rate of conformational
 480 change in protein allostery. *Biophys. J.*, 81, 2919-2934.
- 481 Guerzoni, M. E., Lanciotti, R., & Cocconcelli, P. S. (2001). Alteration in cellular fatty acid
 482 composition as a response to salt, acid, oxidative and thermal stresses in *Lactobacillus helveticus*.
 483 *Microbiology*, 147, 2255-2264.

- 484 Gutiérrez, A., Chiva, R., & Guillamón, J.M. (2015). Arginine addition in the stationary phase
485 influences the fermentation rate and synthesis of aroma compounds in a synthetic must fermented by
486 three commercial wine strains. *LWT - Food Sci. Techol.*, 60, 1009–1016.
- 487 Gutiérrez, A., Chiva, R., Sancho, M., Beltran, G., Arroyo-López, F.N., & Guillamon, J.M. (2012).
488 Nitrogen requirements of commercial wine yeast strains during fermentation of a synthetic grape
489 must. *Food Microbiol.*, 1, 25–32.
- 490 Hohmann, S. (2002). Osmotic stress signaling and osmoadaptation in yeasts. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol.*
491 *Rev.*, 66, 300-372.
- 492 Hohmann, S. (2009). Control of high osmolarity signaling in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.
493 *FEBS Lett.*, 583, 4025–4029.
- 494 Homma, T., Iwahashi, H., & Komatsu, Y. (2003). Yeast gene expression during growth at low
495 temperature. *Cryobiology*, 46, 230-237.
- 496 Huh, W.K., Falvo, J.V., Gerke, L.C., Carroll, A.S., Howson, R.W., Weissman, J.S., & O'Shea, E.K.
497 (2003). Global analysis of proteins localisation in budding yeast. *Nature*, 425, 686-691.
- 498 Kornblatt, M. J., Richard, A. J., Mattie, S., Zakaib, J., Dayanandan, S., Hanic-Joyce P. J., & Joyce P.
499 B. (2013). The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* enolase-related regions encode proteins that are active
500 enolases. *Yeast*, 30, 55–69.
- 501 Koyama, T., Makita, M., Shibata, N., & Okawa, Y. (2009). Influence of oxidative and osmotic stresses
502 on the structure of the cell wall mannan of *Candida albicans* serotype A. *Carbohydr. Res.*, 344, 2195-
503 2200.
- 504 Kwast, K. E., Lai, L. C., Menda, N., James, D. T., Aref, S., & Burke, P. V. (2002). Genomic analyses
505 of anaerobically induced genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: functional roles of Rox1 and other
506 factors in mediating the anoxic response. *J. Bacteriol.*, 184, 250-265.

- 507 Lafon-Lafourcade, S., Geneix, C., & Ribéreau-Gayon, P. (1984). Inhibition of alcoholic
508 fermentation of grape must by fatty acids produced by yeasts and their elimination by yeast ghosts.
509 *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 47, 1246–1249.
- 510 Lages, F., Silva-Gracia, M., & Lucas, C. (1999). Active glycerol uptake is a mechanism underlying
511 halotolerance in yeasts: a study of 42 species. *Microbiology*, 145, 2577–2585.
- 512 Mansilla, M. C., Cybulski, L. E., Albanesi, D., & de Mendoza, D. (2004). Control of membrane lipid
513 fluidity by molecular thermosensors. *J. Bacteriol.*, 186, 6681–6688.
- 514 Marks, V. D, Ho Sui, S. J., Erasmus, D., van der Merwe, G. K., Brumm, J., Wasserman, W. W.,
515 Bryan, J., & van Vuuren, H. J. (2008). Dynamics of the yeast transcriptome during wine fermentation
516 reveals a novel fermentation stress response. *FEMS Yeast. Res.*, 8, 35–52.
- 517 Matallana, E. & Aranda, A. (2017). Biotechnological impact of stress response on wine yeast. *Lett.*
518 *Appl. Microbiol.*, 64, 103–110.
- 519 Morita, Y, Nakamori, S, & Takagi, H. (2002). Effect of proline and arginine metabolism on freezing
520 stress of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. Biosci. Bioeng.*, 94, 390–394.
- 521 Navarro-Aviño, J.P., Prasad, R., Miralles, V.J., Benito, R.M., Serrano, R., 1999. A proposal for
522 nomenclature of aldehyde dehydrogenases in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and characterization of the
523 stress-inducible ALD2 and ALD3 genes. *Yeast* 15, 829–842.
- 524 Neuhoff, V., Arold, N., Taube, D., Ehrhardt, W., 1988. Improved staining of proteins in
525 polyacrylamide gels including isoelectric focusing gels with clear background at nanogram sensitivity
526 using Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 and R-250. *Electrophoresis* 9, 255–262.
- 527 Noti, A., Vaudano, E., Pessione, E., & Garcia-Moruno, E. (2015). Short-term response of different
528 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains to hyperosmotic stress caused by inoculation in grape must: RT-
529 qPCR study and metabolite analysis. *Food Microbiol.*, 52, 49–58.

- 530 Odani, T., Shimma, Y, Wang, X.H., & Jigami, Y. (1997). Mannosylphosphate transfer to cell wall
 531 mannan is regulated by the transcriptional level of the MNN4 gene in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.
 532 *FEBS Lett.*, 420, 186-90.
- 533 Oliveira, R., Lages, F., Silva-Graca, M., & Lucas, C. (2003). Fps1p channel is the mediator of the
 534 major part of glycerol passive diffusion in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: artefacts and re-definitions.
 535 *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1613, 57-71.
- 536 Pappin, D.J.C., Hojrup, P., & Bleasby, A.J. (1993). Rapid identification of proteins by peptide-mass
 537 fingerprinting. *Curr. Biol.*, 3, 327-332.
- 538 Pessione E. (2012). Lactic acid bacteria contribution to gut complexity: lights and shadows. *Frontiers*
 539 *in Cell. Inf. Microbiol.*, 2, 86.
- 540 Petelenz-Kurdziel, E., Kuehn, C., Nordlander, B., Klein, D., Hong, K.-K., Jacobson, T., Dahl, P.,
 541 Schaber, J., Nielsen, J., Hohmann, S., & Klipp, E. (2013). Quantitative analysis of glycerol
 542 accumulation, glycolysis and growth under hyper-osmotic stress. *PLOS Comput. Biol.*, 9, e1003084.
 543 doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003084.
- 544 Pham, T.K. & Wright, P.C. (2008). The proteomic response of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in very
 545 high glucose conditions with amino acid supplementation. *J. Proteome Res.*, 7, 4766-4774.
- 546 Pigeau, G.M., Inglis, D.L., 2005. Upregulation of ALD3 and GPD1 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
 547 during Icewine fermentation. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 99, 112–125.
- 548 Pizarro, F.J., Jewett, M.C., Nielsen, J., & Agosin, E. (2008). Growth temperature exerts differential
 549 physiological and transcriptional responses in laboratory and wine strains of *Saccharomyces*
 550 *cerevisiae*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 74, 6358-6368.
- 551 Reed, R., Chudek, J., Foster, R. & Gadd, G. (1987). Osmotic significance of glycerol accumulation
 552 in exponentially growing yeasts. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 53, 2119–2123.

- 553 Remize, F., Cambon, B., Barnavon L. & Dequin S. (2003). Glycerol formation during wine
554 fermentation is mainly linked to Gpd I p and is only partially controlled by the HOG pathway. *Yeast*,
555 20, 1243–1253.
- 556 Salmon, J.M. & Barre, P. (1998). Improvement of nitrogen assimilation and fermentation kinetics
557 under enological conditions by derepression of alternative nitrogen-assimilatory pathways in an
558 industrial *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 64, 3831-3837.
- 559 Silva, R.D., Sotoca, R., Johansson, B., Ludovico, P., Sansonetty, F., Silva M.T., Peinado, J.M. &
560 Côte-Real, M. (2005). Hyperosmotic stress induces metacaspase- and mitochondria-dependent
561 apoptosis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Microbiol.*, 58, 824-834.
- 562 Szopinska, A., Christ, E., Planchon, S., König, H., Evers, D. & Renaut, J. (2016). Stuck at work?
563 Quantitative proteomics of environmental wine yeast strains reveals the natural mechanism of
564 overcoming stuck fermentation. *Proteomics*, 16, 593–608.
- 565 Tapia, H., Young, L., Fox, D., Bertozzi, C.R. & Koshlanda, D. (2015). Increasing intracellular
566 trehalose is sufficient to confer desiccation tolerance to *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *PNAS*, 112, 6122-
567 6127.
- 568 Toledano, M. B., Kumar, C., Le Moan, N., Spector, D. & Tacnet, F. (2007). The system biology of
569 thiol redox system in *Escherichia coli* and yeast: Differential functions in oxidative stress, iron
570 metabolism and DNA synthesis. *FEBS Lett.*, 581, 3598-3607.
- 571 Tulha, J., Lima, A., Lucas, C. & Ferreira, C. (2010). *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* glycerol/H⁺
572 symporter Stl1p is essential for cold/near-freeze and freeze stress adaptation. A simple recipe with
573 high biotechnological potential is given. *Microbial. Cell. Fact.*, 9, 82.
- 574 Vanegas, J.M., Contreras M.F., Faller, R. & Longo, M.L. (2012). Role of Unsaturated Lipid and
575 Ergosterol in Ethanol Tolerance of Model Yeast. *Biomembranes. Biophys J.*, 102, 507–516.

- 576 Wessel, D. & Flügge, U.I. (1984). A method for the quantitative recovery of protein in dilute solution
577 in the presence of detergents and lipids. *Anal. Biochem.*, 138, 141-143.
- 578 Yale, J. & Bohnert, H.J. (2001). Transcript expression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* at high salinity.
579 *J. Biol. Chem.*, 276, 15996-16007.
- 580 Yang, X.X., Maurer, K.C., Molanus, M, Mager, W.H., Siderius, M., & van der Vies, S.M. (2006).
581 The molecular chaperone Hsp90 is required for high osmotic stress response in *Saccharomyces*
582 *cerevisiae*. *FEMS Yeast Res.*, 6, 195-204.
- 583 Zava, S., Barello, C., Pessione, A., Perono Garoffo, L., Fattori, P., Montorfano, G., Conti A., Giunta
584 C., Pessione E., Berra B., & Giufrida M.G. (2009). Mare's colostrum globules stimulate fibroblast
585 growth *in vitro*: a biochemical study. *J. Medicinal Food*, 12, 836-845.
- 586 Zuzuarregui, A., Monteoliva, L., Gil C. & Del Olmo M.L. (2006). Transcriptomic and proteomic
587 approach for understanding the molecular basis of adaptation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to wine
588 fermentation. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 72, 836–847.

589 CAPTIONS TO FIGURES

- 590 Figure 1. Fermentation performance, referring to ethanol production versus glucose consumption, in
591 the ISE19 strain on Cortese grape must with regular (black line) and high (grey line) sugar contents.
592 Error bars represent standard errors of the mean (n=3).
- 593 Figure 2. Growth kinetics of the ISE19 strain in Cortese grape must with regular (black line) and high
594 (grey line) sugar contents. Error bars represent standard errors of the mean (n=3).
- 595 Figure 3. Acetate and glycerol production during fermentation by strain ISE19 in Cortese grape must
596 with regular (black line) and high (grey line) sugar content. Error bars represent standard error of the
597 mean (n=3).

598 Figure 4. Representative 2DE images of intracellular soluble proteins of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
599 ISE 19 grown under a regular sugar condition (200 g/L) (on the left) and with the addition of
600 supplementary sugar (260g/L) (on the right).

601 Figure 5. Schematic representation of the glyceropyruvic fermentation pathway.

602

603 **Tab.1. Protein identification.** B: proteins more abundant in the high glucose medium. A: proteins more abundant in the
604 regular glucose medium

Spot n°	Fold changes	Name of the protein and acronym (if it exists)	Protein Entry (NCBI 2015.3.10)	Number of peptides/number of total signals	Sequence coverage by PMF	Molecular weight (Da) (Theoretical/experimental)	pI (Theoretical/experimental)
1331B	4	Pyruvate decarboxylase isozyme 1, Pdc1p	EGA57763	10/56	24%	61542/56000	5.8/6.1
1358B	2	Argininosuccinate synthase, Arg1p	EGA76938	14/51	36.6%	46455/47000	5.7/5.8
1378B	2.5	Phosphopyruvate hydratase, Eno2p	NP_012044	16/55	44.4%	46915/45000	5.7/6.2
1415B	3.5	Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase, Fba1p	EGA61531	12/58	43.5%	39607/40000	5.5/5.9
1564B	5	Pyruvate decarboxylase isozyme 1, Pdc1p	EGA57763	15/63	33%	61542/53000	5.8/5.8
1569B	2	Pyruvate decarboxylase isozyme 1, Pdc1p	EGA57763	18/74	41.7%	61496/58000	5.8/5.9
1577B	10	Argininosuccinate synthase, Arg1p	EGA76938	17/56	45.8%	46455/46500	5.7/5.6

1663 B	Only in B	phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase beta subunit , Frs1p	AAT92797	9/25	20.3%	67332/70000	5.5/5.8
1687 B	Only in B	14-3-3 family protein, K7_Bmh2p	GAA22335	9/22	38.6%	30933/35000	4.8/4.5
1688B	Only in B	YJR075Wp-like protein	EDZ71169	4/33	11.7%	30721/32000	6.1/6.0
1689B	Only in B	14-3-3 family protein, K7_Bmh1p	GAA23007	13/30	47.9%	30205/35000	4.9/4.6
1306A	3	Methionine synthase, Met6p	EDN63067	27/58	39.4%	85831/85000	6.1/6.5
1326A	62	Catalase T, Ctt1p	AJP38866	9/76	21.4%	64560/64000	6.2/6.3
1354A	2.5	Pyruvate decarboxylase isozyme 1, Pdc1p (fragment)	EGA57763	12/54	24.7%	61496 /51000	5.8/5.9
1396A	2	Alcohol dehydrogenase, ADH1	AAA34410	10/35	31.3%	36823 /40000	6.3/6.5
1400A	2	Alcohol dehydrogenase, ADH1	AAA34410	9/61	24.4%	36823/40000	6.3/6.3
1419A	4	Alcohol dehydrogenase, ADH1	AAA34410	6/41	18%	36837/40000	5.9/5.6
1434A	25	Enolase, Eno1p	EGA82740	11/27	40.1%	41709/38000	6.2/6.5
1485A	Only in A	S-adenosylmethionine synthetase, Sam1p	EGA73728	5/49	29.5%	28481/33000	6.0/6.0
1490A	Only in A	Diaminopimelate epimerase, Yhi9p	EGA58492	7/32	31.6%	32186/31000	5.5/6.2

		Ssf2p	EGA83416	4/32	18.2%	32878/31000	6.2/6.2
1493A	8.5	Inorganic pyrophosphatase, Ipp1p	AJP83924	8/43	37.3%	32284/31000	5.4/5.8
		Diaminopimelate epimerase, Yhi9p	EGA58492	5/43	24.7%	32186/31000	5.5/5.8
1494A	2.5	Peptidases_S8_PCSK9_ProteaseK_like (from YEL060Cp-like protein aa 130-406)	EDZ72718	6/34	12.8%	29297/31000	6.8/6.6
1500A	3.5	Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase, Fba1p fragment (starting from aa 1)	EGA61531	7/42	20.3%	39607/30000	5.5/5.5
1507A	Only in A	Enolase, Eno1p fragment (starting from aa 116)	EGA82740	7/50	20%	29715/30000	5.3/5.1
1513A	5	YAL034W-Ap-like protein	EDZ74019	6/42	32.9%	29537/28000	6.1/5.8
1515A	2	Triose-phosphate isomerase, Tpi1p	EGA87556	3/39	18.3%	27305/27000	6.3/6.3
1519A	2	Triose-phosphate isomerase, Tpi1	NP_010335	10/48	49.2%	26796/27000	5.7/5.9
1743A	5.5	Catalase T, Ctt1p	EDV10159	13/44	27.4%	65696/63000	6.2/6.6

606

607 Fig. 1

608

609 Fig, 2

610

611

612

613 Fig. 3

614

615

616

617 Fig. 4

618

619

620

GLYCEROPYRUVIC FERMENTATION

HIGHLIGHTS

- The paper describes what happens at a proteomic level when *S. cerevisiae* ISE19 is grown in high sugar grape musts in a condition leading to a fermentation arrest.
- A decreased abundance of proteolytic enzymes and enzyme fragments was observed in a high sugar condition, in agreement with recent literature data that have reported how the low expression of proteases in glucose-rich musts can cause the arrest of alcoholic fermentation.
- An increased abundance of cell-wall renewal enzymes and of the proteins that regulate membrane transport underline an effective stress response concerning envelope structures.
- Apart from proline, arginine could also play a direct protective role against osmotic stress in *S. cerevisiae* ISE19 (as previously demonstrated for cold stress), since its biosynthetic pathway seems to be activated.