

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Unraveling the mode of antifungal action of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* as potential biocontrol agents against aflatoxigenic *Aspergillus parasiticus*

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1662848> since 2018-11-02T11:42:53Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.foodcont.2017.11.010

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

[Click here to view linked References](#)

1
2
3
4 **Unraveling the mechanism of antifungal action of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus***
5 ***amyloliquefaciens* against aflatoxigenic *Aspergillus parasiticus***
6
7

8
9
10 Fatemeh Siahmoshteh¹, Zohreh Hamidi-Esfahani^{1,*}, Davide Spadaro^{2,3}, Masoomeh Shams-
11 Ghahfarokhi⁴, Mehdi Razzaghi-Abyaneh^{5,6*}
12
13

14 ¹*Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Tarbiat Modares*
15 *University, Tehran, Iran*
16

17 ²*Centre of Competence for the Innovation in the Agro-environmental Sector-AGROINNOVA,*
18 *University of Torino, Largo Paolo Braccini 2, I-10095 Grugliasco, TO, Italy*
19

20 ³*DiSAFA-Department of Agricultural, Forestry and Food Sciences, University of Torino, Largo*
21 *Paolo Braccini 2, I-10095 Grugliasco, TO, Italy*
22

23 ⁴*Department of Mycology, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran,*
24 *Iran*
25

26 ⁵*Department of Mycology, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran 13164, Iran*
27

28 ⁶*Microbiology Research Center, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran 13164, Iran*
29
30

31 **Corresponding authors:**
32

33 Mehdi Razzaghi-Abyaneh, PhD
34

35 Department of Mycology, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran 13164, Iran
36

37 Tel: 0098-21-64112804; Fax: 0098-21-66465132
38

39 E-mail addresses: mrab442@yahoo.com & mrab442@pasteur.ac.ir
40
41
42

43
44
45
46 Zohreh Hamidi-Esfahani, PhD
47

48 *Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Tarbiat Modares*
49 *University, Tehran, Iran*
50

51 Tel: 0098-21-48292474
52

53 Z_hamidy@modares.ac.ir
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

1
2
3
4 **Abstract**
5

6 *Aspergillus parasiticus* is the known fungal contaminant, plant pathogen and aflatoxin producer
7 on foodstuffs and agricultural commodities. In the present study, the antifungal effects of culture
8 filtrates of two soil-isolated strains of *Bacillus* were studied with special reference to the possible
9 mode of actions against *Aspergillus parasiticus* NRRL2999. The growth suppression and IC₅₀
10 were determined by microbioassay technique. Changes in membrane ergosterol content,
11 mitochondrial dehydrogenase activity and chitin and β-1,3-glucan content of the fungal cell wall
12 were evaluated as the different possible targets of bacterial antagonists in the presence of
13 different doses of culture filtrates. According to the results, both bacteria showed good antifungal
14 (up to 92%) and also proteolytic activities (up to 10.5 ± 0.4 mm clear zones on gelatin agar).
15 Bacterial antagonists decreased ergosterol content of the fungal cell membrane (9.0-80%) in a
16 dose-dependent manner. They also affected dehydrogenase activity of fungal cell mitochondria.
17 Interestingly, at 2500 µl, the slight resume of fungal growth and cell wall compositions, referred
18 as paradoxical effect, was exhibited. Finally, electron microscopic photographs (SEM and TEM)
19 showed drastic changes in structure and forms of the treated fungal cells including hyphae
20 folding, wrinkling, cell depletion and vacuolization. As commercial antifungals only target one
21 organelle of the fungal cells and may cause fungal resistance after a while, applying bacterial
22 culture filtrates targeting multiple sites in the fungal cells could be new insight into reduces
23 fungal resistances.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 **Keywords:** *Aspergillus parasiticus*, *Bacillus* species, Antifungal activity, Biological control,
54 Mode of action, Aflatoxins
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 **Introduction**
5

6
7 Food and agricultural products are always exposed to fungal contamination in the field or during
8 storage. *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium* and *Fusarium* are the main field fungi. They act as the plant
9 pathogens cause many economic losses to food and agricultural industries. They could also
10 produce hazardous toxins that cause chronic diseases in human and animals (Schuster et al.
11 2002; Rasooli and Razzaghi-Abyaneh, 2004; Razzaghi-Abyaneh 2013) .The strains of the genus
12 *Aspergillus* are of the major contaminants of foodstuffs. *A. parasiticus* could produce both B and
13 G groups of aflatoxins on food crops especially, nuts. Animals that feed by these foodstuffs may
14 exhibit various health problems including weakness, higher susceptibility to environmental
15 stresses, and loss in fertility and increase in mortality. Aflatoxin could transfer to human by
16 consumption of animal products and consequently cause cancer and death (Allameh, et al. 2005).
17 There are also reports about human infection (Goncalves et al. 2012; Goncalves et al. 2013)
18

19
20
21 Due to increasing resistance to common antifungal agents, considerable attention has been
22 focused on discovering new resources of antifungals that could affect various targets in fungal
23 cells. Control of pathogenic fungi by antagonistic microorganisms, is an emerging and
24 sustainable strategy (Ongena et al. 2004; Siahmoshteh et al. 2016). The antagonist should have
25 special characteristics to consider being biological control agent such as genetic stability, simple
26 nutritional requirements, survival in adverse environmental conditions, and growth on cheap
27 substrates in fermenters and lack of toxigenicity to humans (Holmes et al. 2008; Razzaghi-
28 Abyaneh et al. 2011).
29

30
31
32 Terrestrial bacteria especially, the strains of the genus *Bacillus* are the trustworthy group of
33 antagonistic microorganisms (Zhao et al. 2012; Ahmed, et al. 2014) . *Bacillus* strains are capable
34 of producing wide range of antagonistic compounds with strong antifungal activity (Ongena and
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

1
2
3
4 Jaques, 2008). These metabolites may act individually and/or interactively on critical cellular
5
6 processes and inhibit the growth and toxin production of fungi (Razzagh-Abyaneh et al. 2013).
7
8

9
10 Commercial antifungals each target specific organelle of the fungal cells while culture filtrate of
11
12 antagonistic microorganisms may contain various antifungal metabolites and targets different
13
14 parts of a cell to reduce resistance to treatments. Knowing about all possible antagonistic
15
16 mechanism will increase the efficacy of the biocontrol agent. In the present study, the inhibitory
17
18 effect of culture filtrates of two indigenous bacteria from the genus *Bacillus* against growth of *A.*
19
20 *parasiticus* as a pathogenic fungus with the ability to produce both B and G group of aflatoxin,
21
22 has been evaluated. Then, the effect of culture filtrates as the sources of versatile antifungal
23
24 metabolites on different organelles of *A. parasiticus* cells including chitin, β -1,3- glucan,
25
26 ergosterol content and mitochondrial enzyme activity was investigated. Proteolytic activity and
27
28 proteinaceous features of antifungal compounds were also studied. Electronic microscopes (TEM
29
30 and SEM) were also used to show any changes in fungus hypha and its internal structure. To our
31
32 knowledge, there are not any reports on the underlying mechanism of antifungal actions of
33
34 *Bacillus* strains against *A. parasiticus*. Therefore, further research on this subject seems to be
35
36 necessary.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 **Materials and methods**

47 **Microbial strains**

48
49 Two bacterial isolates from the genus *Bacillus* with known antifungal ability identified
50
51 molecularly as *B. subtilis* (UTB2) and *B. amyloliquefaciens* (UTB3) were chosen for this study
52
53
54 (Siahmoshteh et al. 2017). Bacterial strains were cultured in TSB medium for 72 hours and the
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 supernatant was separated by centrifuging 14000 rpm for 0 minutes and then sterilized by 0.22μ
5
6 filters. Different doses of culture filtrate of each strain used as the antagonist against *Aspergillus*
7
8 *parasiticus* NRRL2999. The fungus spore suspension was prepared by scraping the surface of 7
9
10 day-old SDA slant and immersing the spores in 0.1 % Tween 80 solution.
11
12
13
14
15

16 **Fungal growth inhibition**

17
18 The effect of culture filtrates of the bacteria on *A. parasiticus* growth was measured using
19
20 microbioassay technique (Razzaghi-Abyaneh et al. 2007) in 6-well microplates (Jetbiofil,
21
22 China). Briefly, 50μl of 10⁷ spores/ml of fungus was added to each well. Glucose Yeast Extract
23
24 Broth (GYB) used as the culture medium. Different doses of bacterial culture filtrate harvested at
25
26 72 h incubation (2500, 1500, 750, 500, 250), was added to each well. The control well had no
27
28 culture filtrate. After 96 h incubation at 28 °C, fungal biomass of each well was gathered and
29
30 washed thoroughly with distilled water. The biomass of each well was dried at 80 °C to reach a
31
32 constant weight. The dry weight of the treated samples was compared with the controls and the
33
34 difference was reported as the fungal growth inhibition. The same method was used to determine
35
36 the IC₅₀ of culture filtrate of each bacterial strain.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 **Effect of proteinase K on the antifungal activity of *Bacillus* species**

47
48 After proving the antagonistic activity of bacterial CFC, they were treated by 0.1 mg/ml
49
50 proteinase K (each mg 15-30 unit) to indicate the proteinaceous nature of antifungal metabolites.
51
52 Samples were incubated at 37°C for 1 hour (Nagpure et al. 2014). The inhibitory effect of the
53
54 treated samples on the growth of *A. parasiticus* was determined using well diffusion method by
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 spreading 10^5 spore/ml of the fungus on the plate surface. The lack of inhibition zone around the
5
6 wells is the indicator of proteinaceous nature of bacterial culture filtrate.
7
8
9

10 11 **Ergosterol Assay**

12
13 Ergosterol content of the plasma membrane of *A. parasiticus* was determined according to
14 (Ahmad et al. 2010) with some modifications. Briefly, certain amount of biomass from
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
Ergosterol content of the plasma membrane of *A. parasiticus* was determined according to (Ahmad et al. 2010) with some modifications. Briefly, certain amount of biomass from microbioassay technique was dried at 60 °C for 3 h. Three milliliters of 25% alcoholic potassium hydroxide solution was poured on each sample and vortex mixed for 1 min. The samples were incubated at 80 °C for 1 h. After reaching to room temperature, the extraction occurred by adding 1 ml distilled water and 3 ml n-hexane to the sample tubes. The hexane layer was separated and transferred to -18 °C freezer for 18 h. Each sample diluted with fivefold in 96% ethanol. Scanning was operated between 200 and 300 nm using UV/Vis spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer EZ 301, USA). Ergosterol content was calculated as a percentage of the net weight of the mycelial mass by the following equations: percent ergosterol + percent 24(28)

DHE = [(A₂₈₂/290×F)]/pellet weight, percent 24(28) DHE= [(A₂₃₀/518×F)]/pellet weight and percent ergosterol = [percent ergosterol + percent 24(28) DHE]—percent 24(28) DHE, where F is the dilution factor, and 290 and 518 are the E values (in percent per centimeter) determined for crystalline ergosterol and 24(28) DHE, respectively.

51 **Protease Activity of culture filtrate**

52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
The protein content of culture filtrate was determined by the Bradford method (Bradford, 1965). Bovine serum albumin was used as the standard protein. Protease activity of culture filtrate was proved by well diffusion method using gelatin as the substrate. In brief, an agar medium

1
2
3
4 containing 2% of gelatin powder was prepared and autoclaved. One hundred μl of each bacterial
5 culture filtrate was poured in to wells with 8mm diameter. Proteinase K (Sigma, U.S) was also
6
7 used as positive control in two different concentrations, 10 and 50mg/ml (each mg, 15-30 unit).
8
9 The plates were incubated in 37°C for an overnight. The clear zones around the wells indicate
10
11 the protease activity of each culture filtrate.
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 **Determination of mitochondrial dehydrogenase activity**

19
20
21 Dehydrogenase activity of fungal mitochondria after treatment with bacterial culture filtrate was
22
23 determined by MTT method. The test was carried out in 96-well microplate according to Lopes *et*
24
25 *al.*, 2013 with modifications (Lopes et al. 2013). Briefly, 100 μl of 10^5 spore/ml was added to
26
27 each well containing 100 μl of two-fold serial dilution of culture filtrate in TSB. After 24h of
28
29 incubation, 20 μl of 5mg/ml MTT in phosphate buffer (PBS) was added to the cells. After 30
30
31 minutes at 35° C, the plate was centrifuged at 500 g for 5 minutes. The insoluble purple-color
32
33 formazan was solubilized in 200 μl of DMSO.
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Chitin and glucan assay of the fungal cell wall**

41
42
43 Biomass of *A. parasiticus* in contact with different doses of bacterial culture filtrate, were
44
45 harvested after four days of incubation at 28 °C. The mycelia were inactivated by incubating at
46
47 60 °C for 1 hour. The biomass of each treatment was disrupted by glass beads in a microsmash
48
49 (TOMY, Japan). The pellets were washed 5 times with 1M NaCl solution to solubilize the
50
51 remaining plasma debris; the cell walls were boiled for 10 minutes in a buffer (500mM Tris-HCl,
52
53 SDS, β -mercaptoethanol and EDTA). The pellets were freeze-dried and then hydrolyzed by
54
55 boiling in 3 M fluoroacetic acid for 3 h. The acid evaporation was occurred at 65°C. The chitin
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 content was determined according to Kapteyn et al. (2000). The glucan of each hydrolyzed
5
6 sample was determined according to (Fortwendel et al. 2009) by fluorimetric method.
7
8
9

10 11 **Electron microscopic analysis (SEM and TEM)** 12

13
14 A small specimen of fungal mycelium which was treated by IC₅₀ concentration of bacterial
15
16 culture filtrate on microbioassay experiment was prepared for electron microscopic observations.
17
18 For both SEM and TEM, the samples were firstly fixed in 3% glutaraldehyde in phosphate buffer
19
20 (0.1 M, pH 7.2). After at least 3three hours, the samples were washed with phosphate buffer and
21
22 (0.1 M, pH 7.2). After at least 3three hours, the samples were washed with phosphate buffer and
23
24 passed through 2% molten agar. Then, the specimens immersed in 1% aqueous solution of
25
26 osmium tetroxide in phosphate buffer, pH 7.2. After one hour, the samples were exposed to
27
28 dehydration by a graded water–acetone series (10% steps for 30–90% each of 60min, 100% for
29
30 180 min and finally 100% overnight). For SEM, the specimens were covered by a thin layer of
31
32 gold (13 nm) to increase the electrical conduction and the samples were observed under SU-3500
33
34 SEM, Hitachi (Japan). Thin sections of dehydrated samples were prepared by Leica Ultracut
35
36 SEM, Hitachi (Japan). Thin sections of dehydrated samples were prepared by Leica Ultracut
37
38 UCP on 100-mesh grids to observe by TEM (EM10C-100 KV, Zeiss).
39
40
41
42

43 **Data analysis** 44

45
46 All data were subjected to the analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) using an SPSS version
47
48 23.0 program for Windows (<http://www.spss.com/>). Differences with P<0.05 were considered
49
50 significant.
51
52
53
54

55 **Results** 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65

Fungal growth inhibition

As indicated in Table 1 both bacterial strains were able to inhibit the growth of *A. parasiticus* NRRL2999 in a dose-dependent manner. UTB2 suppressed the fungal growth in the range of 4 to 90 % and UTB3 in the range of 5 to 92 %. Surprisingly, at 2500 µl the inhibitory effect of culture filtrate of UTB2 has been decreased to 85%. This phenomenon is termed “paradoxical effect”. It is stated that in a certain range of higher concentrations paradoxically showed resume in growth (Loiko and Wagener, 2016).

Effect of proteinase K on the antifungal activity of *Bacillus* species

Well diffusion method was used to indicate the proteinaceous nature of the antifungal metabolites present in culture filtrates by inhibiting the growth of *A. parasiticus* on agar plate. By measuring the inhibition zone, no significant difference was observed between proteinase-treated and non-treated culture filtrate of both strains. Such results could offer that the inhibitory components which present in both bacterial culture filtrates are not necessarily of protein. Otherwise, they would be hydrolyzed by the enzyme and lose their inhibitory effect. The pH of both culture filtrates was 8.4 ± 0.03 . This pH value is in the range of optimum proteinase activity (7.5-9.0) (www.sigmaaldrich.com). According to Cawoy *et al.* (2015), the main inhibitory components of *Bacillus* strains are Iturins and Fengycins. The other secretory inhibitory components are surfactins. This family of components is also of LPs.

Effect of culture filtrates on ergosterol content of membrane

Ergosterol is an important component of the fungal cell membrane which is a bioregulator and responsible for the membrane flexibility. The ergosterol content was determined at 250, 500,

1
2
3
4 750, 1500, 2500 µl of bacterial CFC. Both bacterial culture filtrates reduce ergosterol content of
5
6 *A. parasiticus* cell membrane (Table 2). It shows that cell ergosterol could be considered to be a
7
8 target for the antifungal compounds of the bacterial CFC.
9

10 11 12 13 14 **Protein content and protease activity of culture filtrate**

15
16 Protein content of bacterial CFC was determined by Bradford method. Culture filtrates contains
17
18 3.72 ± 0.46 and 1.48 ± 0.11 µg of protein for UTB2 and UTB3, respectively. After a night of
19
20 incubation at 37° C, the presence of clear zone around the wells of culture filtrate on gelatin agar
21
22 indicates the hydrolysis of gelatin by protolitic enzymes. Clear zone of 10 ± 0.1 , 10.5 ± 0.4 , 7.5
23
24 ± 0.1 mm was observed for UTB2, UTB3 and Proteinase K 50 mg/ml. No clear zone was
25
26 observed around the wells containing 20 mg/ml of proteinase K. According to results of this test,
27
28 culture filtrate of both bacterial strains showed good proteolytic activity but without significant
29
30 difference with each other.
31
32
33
34

35 36 37 38 **Determination of mitochondrial dehydrogenases activity**

39
40 Mitochondrial dehydrogenases (MD) activity of *A. parasiticus* after exposing to bacterial culture
41
42 filtrate was determined by through colorimetric assay using MTT as substrate in 96-well plates.
43
44 The efficacy of culture filtrates on activities of MD is shown in Figure 1. Inhibitory effect of
45
46 CFC on activities of MD is also dose-dependent i.e different amounts of CFC caused different
47
48 degrees of inhibition on dehydrogenases activity as the activity inhibition in low doses of CFC is
49
50 not statistically different ($p < 0.05$) with control sample. In the highest dose of CFC (200 µ) more
51
52 than 60% of enzyme deactivation was recorded. In each treatment, CFC of UTB2 showed higher
53
54 impact on enzyme activity.
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Effect of culture filtrates on fungal cell wall components

The effect of different doses of culture filtrates of UTB2 and UTB3 on cell wall compositions of *A. parasiticus* was evaluated by determining the amount of chitin and β -1,3 -glucan. None of the samples significantly affected the chitin composition of the cell wall of *A. parasiticus* ($p < 0.05$). The glucan content of the cell wall of the fungus was significantly decreased by increasing the amount of CFC. But applying 2500 μ l CFC of UTB2 had no statistically significant effect on glucan ratio. It is suggested that at high concentrations of antifungals, the synthesis of β -1,3-glucan could be restored (Loiko & Wagener, 2016).

Electron microscopic analyses (SEM and TEM)

The morphological changes of *A. parasiticus* cells after 4 days of exposure to 2500 μ l of bacterial culture filtrates were examined by electronic microscopes, SEM and TEM. The effects of CFC on the morphological structure of fungal hyphae observed by SEM are shown on Figure 2. In control sample (Fig. 2.a and b) the hypha maintain their normal and intact shape while in the samples treated by both culture filtrates drastic changes in the appearance of hypha were occurred. Massive collapse, depression, folding and wrinkling are observable in the photographs (Fig. 2.c, d, e and f).

TEM photographs of control samples showed normal hypha and intact outer cell wall. High electron density in control sample indicates a normal cytoplasm containing all organelles (Fig.3, a and b). In reverse, complete cytoplasm and internal organelles depletion, detachment of cell membrane and vacuolation are observed in cells treated by bacterial culture filtrates (Fig. 3, b, c, d and e). Slightly higher thickness of the wall in comparison to control by using culture filtrate of UTB2 is also observable (Fig. 3,b and c).

Discussion

Bacillus subtilis and *B. amyloliquefaciens* are of the most known bacterial antagonist. They are able to produce different antifungal metabolites. Some strains are also used as commercial biocontrol agents in the field and on food (Ongena et al. 2005; Vitullo et al. 2012; Siahmoshteh et al. 2017). Bacterial strains of the present study were able to produce antifungal substances in TSB medium. This media is easily accessible which consider an advantage in mass production and commercialization of the antagonist. Both bacterial culture filtrates showed growth inhibition in a dose-dependent manner. But applying the highest dose of the CFC of UTB2, showed a fungal growth resume. This phenomenon which is called paradoxical effect is a known phenomenon in using antifungal agents against pathogenic fungi especially from the genus *Candida* and *Aspergillus* (Hall, Myles, Pratt, & Washington, 1988 ;Kurtz, et al., 1994; Plummer, et al., 2007 ;Verwer, van Duijn, Tavakol, Bakker-Woudenberg, & van de Sande, 2011 ;Hadrich, et al., 2014 ;Rueda, Cuenca-Estrella, & Zaragoza, 2014; Loiko and Wagener, 2016). Paradoxical effect was firstly described by Hall et al (1988) in applying cilofungin against *Candida albicans* and *Candida tropicalis*. High doses of antifungals cause reverse effect and reactivate or increase the expression of some genes. It is a kind of defense mechanism for the fungus. It usually affect the expression of the cell wall genes, chitin synthase and β -1,3-glucan synthase. The results of this study also shows reume in β -1,3-glucan content. The same results obtained for using high doses of echinocandins. These antifungal agents target the biosynthesis of β -1,3-glucan. Surprisingly, to appear paradoxical effect, expression of the β -1,3-glucan synthase Fks1 is essential (Loiko and Wagener. 2016). Secreted antifungal metabolites of the strains of genus *Bacillus* (iturins and Fengycins) are structurally the same as echinocandins i.e both are of the

1
2
3
4 cLPs. This may decipher the results of cell wall components of this study while applying high
5
6 doses of culture filtrates. To indicate the proteinaceous nature of antifungal metabolites of
7
8 culture filtrates, they were treated by proteinase K. By measuring the inhibition zone, no
9
10 significant difference was observed between proteinase-treated and non-treated culture filtrate of
11
12 both strains. Such results could offer that the inhibitory components which present in both
13
14 bacterial culture filtrates are not necessarily of protein. Otherwise, they would be hydrolyzed by
15
16 the enzyme and lose their inhibitory effect.
17
18

19
20 Both strains showed high proteolytic activity. *Bacillus* strains are well-known for production of
21
22 proteases especially, alkaline protease (Takami et al. 1989; Degering et al. 2010). These
23
24 proteases are characterized by their activity and stability under high alkaline conditions. As the
25
26 pH of the both culture filtrates of this study are above 8, the proteolytic activity is related to
27
28 alkaline proteases which are secreted into culture medium.
29
30

31
32 As the enzymes are proteinaceous in features, proteolytic activity may lead to inactivation of
33
34 broad spectrum of enzymes which are the key components of the viable cells. This could partly
35
36 explain the antifungal activity of bacterial culture filtrate against our pathogenic fungus.
37
38

39
40 Mitochondria are organelles which play important roles in viable cells of higher organisms by
41
42 their enzymes activities. MD (lactate dehydrogenase, malate dehydrogenase, succinate
43
44 dehydrogenase) are key enzymes in respiratory chains of the cells which produce ATP. In our
45
46 study, activities of MDs are partly inhibited by both bacterial CFC, which may interfere with the
47
48 citric acid cycle and inhibit the synthesis of ATP in the mitochondria of *A. parasiticus*.
49
50

51
52 Cell membrane ergosterol is the target of some common antifungal drugs from azoles and
53
54 polyenes. Azoles could inhibit the biosynthesis of ergosterol by inhibiting 14a-demethylase leads
55
56 to depletion of ergosterol and accumulation of sterol precursors, 14a-methylated sterols. The
57
58
59
60
61

1
2
3
4 precursors include lanosterol, 4,14-dimethylzymosterol, and 24-methylenedihydrolanosterol.

5
6
7 This causes the loss of integrity in plasma membrane. The antifungal mechanisms of polyenes
8
9 are not fully understood but they are able to bind to sterol compounds, especially, ergosterol.

10
11 This caused the formation of the channels in the cell membrane (Valiante et al. 2015).

12
13
14 The results of the present study showed the effect of culture filtrates of our strains on both
15
16 internal and external structure of *A. parasiticus* cells. The results were confirmed by SEM and
17
18 TEM. A considerable morphological alteration of the hyphal internal and cell wall structure was
19
20 observed applying high doses of CFC against *A. parasiticus*. The photographs show collapse and
21
22 squashed due to the lack of cytoplasm. In fact, the antifungal compounds change the cell
23
24 permeability which results in an imbalance in intracellular osmotic pressure, subsequent
25
26 disruption of internal organelles, leakage of cytoplasm, and finally cell death (Tolouee et al.
27
28 2010; Li et al. 2012). TEM observation also indicated that the antagonistic metabolites
29
30 penetrated into the cytoplasm and damaged the organelles. A thicker cell wall was observable
31
32 around the cells of *A. parasiticus* treated by the highest dose of CFC of UTB2. Glucans are the
33
34 outer layer compounds of the cell wall. Thicker outer layer of the cell wall at applying high dose
35
36 of antagonist could confirm the resume of β -1,3-glucan synthesis. The TEM observations of *A.*
37
38 *flavus* cell wall reported by Kurtz et al (1994) are in harmony with our result. They reported a
39
40 thick cell wall with an extensive outer layer for *A. flavus* when the fungus treated by lipopeptide
41
42 antifungal agent.

43
44
45 Different classes of antifungals used to suppress fungal growth. These drugs usually target
46
47 specific organelles of the fungus. Chitin and β -glucan are the main constituents of fungal cell
48
49 walls which were not found in human cells. Therefore the antifungal drugs that target these
50
51 organelles are efficient in treating patients. But some fungal strains show resistance to these
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 drugs. For this reason, there is an increasing attention to discover a novel eco-friendly source of
5
6 antifungals to substitute the current drugs (Tian et al. 2012).
7

8
9 Altogether, results of the present study indicate both *B. subtilis* and *B. amyloliquefaciens* could
10
11 target mitochondrial dehydrogenase, cell membrane, ergosterol content, cell wall and produce
12
13 proteolytic compounds. Culture filtrates of these bacteria as the rich sources of antifungal
14
15 metabolites with the capability of targeting different organelles could be proposed as the
16
17 replacement for commercial antifungal agents but much more investigations are needed to make
18
19 it practical.
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 **References**

- 27
28 Ahmad A, Khan A, Manzoor N, Khan LA (2010) Evolution of ergosterol biosynthesis inhibitors
29
30 as fungicidal against *Candida*. *Microb Pathog* 48 (1): 35-41
31
32
33 Ahmed ST, Islam M, Mun H, Sim H, Kim Y, Yang C (2014) Effects of *Bacillus*
34
35 *amyloliquefaciens* as a probiotic strain on growth performance, cecal microflora, and
36
37 fecal noxious gas emissions of broiler chickens. *Poult Sci* 93 (8): 1963-1971
38
39
40 Allameh A, Safamehr A, Mirhadi SA, Shivazad M, Razaghi-Abyaneh M, Afshar-Naderi A
41
42 (2005) Evaluation of biochemical and production parameters of broiler chicks fed
43
44 ammonia treated aflatoxin contaminated maize grains. *Anim Feed Sci Technol* 122 (3):
45
46 289–301
47
48
49
50 Antachopoulos C, Meletiadis J, Sein T, Roilides E, Walsh TJ (2007) Concentration-Dependent
51
52 Effects of Caspofungin on the Metabolic Activity of *Aspergillus* Species. *Antimicrob*
53
54 *Agents Chemother* 51 (3): 881–887
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4 Bradford M (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of
5
6 protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal Biochem* 72 (1-2): 248-254
7
8
9 Cawoy H, Debols D, Franzil L, De Pauw E, Thonart P, Ongena M (2015) Lipopeptides as main
10 ingredients for inhibition of fungal phytopathogens by *Bacillus*
11 *subtilis/amyloliquefaciens*. *Microb Biotech* 8 (2): 281–295
12
13
14 Degering C, Eggert T, Puls M, Bongarets J, Evers S, Maurer KH et al. (2010) Optimization of
15
16 Protease Secretion in *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus licheniformis* by Screening of
17
18 Homologous and Heterologous Signal Peptides. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 76 (19): 6370-
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 6376
26
27 Fortwendel JR, Juvvadi PR, Pinchai N, Perfect BZ, Alspaugh JA (2009) Differential effects of
28
29 inhibiting chitin and 1,3- β -D-glucan synthesis in RAS and calcineurin mutants of
30
31 *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 53 (2): 476-489
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- Goncalves SS, Stchigel AM, Cano J, Godoy-Martinez PC, Colombo AL, Guarro J (2012)
Aspergillus novaparasiticus: a new clinical species of the section. *Med Mycol* 50 (2):
152:160
- Goncalves SS, Stchigel AM, Cano J, Guarro J, Colombo A (2013) *In vitro* Antifungal
susceptibility of clinically relevant species belonging to *Aspergillus* section *Flavi*.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57 (4): 1944-1947
- Hadrich I, Neji S, Makni F, Ayadi A, Elloumi M, Ranque S (2014) Trailing or paradoxical
growth of *Aspergillus flavus* exposed to caspofungin is independent of genotype. *J Med*
Microbiol 63 (12): 1584–1589

- 1
2
3
4 Hall GS, Myles C, Pratt KJ, Washington JA (1988) Cilofungin (LY121019), an antifungal agent
5
6 with specific activity against *Candida albicans* and *Candida tropicalis*. Antimicrob
7
8 Agents Chemother 32 (9): 1331-1335
9
10
11 Holmes R., Boston RS, Payne GA (2008) Diverse inhibitors of aflatoxin biosynthesis. Appl
12
13 Microbiol Biotechnol 78 (4): 559-572
14
15
16 Kurtz MB, Heath IB, Marrinan J, Dreikorn S, Onishi J, Douglas C (1994) Morphological Effects
17
18 of Lipopeptides against *Aspergillus fumigatus* Correlate with Activities against (1,3)-1-D-
19
20 Glucan Synthase. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 38 (7): 1480-1489
21
22
23
24 Li W, Shi Q, Ouyang Y, Chen Y, Duan S (2012) Antifungal effects of citronella oil against
25
26 *Aspergillus niger* ATCC 16404. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 97(16): 7483-7492
27
28
29
30 Loiko V, Wagener J (2016) The paradoxical effect of echinocandins in *Aspergillus fumigatus*
31
32 relies on recovery of the β -1,3-glucan synthase Fks1. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 61
33
34 (2) doi:10.1128/AAC.01690-16
35
36
37 Lopes G, Pinto E, Andrade PB, Valentao P (2013) Antifungal activity of phlorotannins against
38
39 dermatophytes and yeasts: approaches to the mechanism of action and influence on
40
41 *Candida albicans* virulence factor. PloS One 8 (8) doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072203
42
43
44 Nagpure A, Choudhari B, Gupta R (2014) Mycolytic enzymes produced by *Streptomyces*
45
46 *violaceusniger* and their role in antagonism toward wood-rotting fungi. Basic Microbiol
47
48 54 (5): 397-407
49
50
51 Ongena M, Duby F, Jourdan E, Beaudry T, Jadin V, Dommes J, Thonart P (2004) *Bacillus*
52
53 *subtilis* M4 decreases plant susceptibility towards fungal pathogens by increasing host
54
55 resistance associated with differential gene expression. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol DOI
56
57 10.1007/s00253-004-1741-0
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4 Onga M, Jacques P, Toure Y, Destain J, Jabrane A, Thonart P (2005) Involvement of
5
6 fengycin-type lipopeptides in the multifaceted biocontrol potential of *Bacillus subtilis*.
7
8 Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 69 (1): 29-38
9
10
11 Onga M and Jaques P (2008) *Bacillus* lipopeptides: versatile weapons for plant disease
12
13 biocontrol. Trends Microbiol 16 (3) 115-125
14
15
16 Plummer R, Bodkin J, Power D, Pantarat N, Bubb WA, Kuchel PW, Sorell TC (2007) Effect of
17
18 caspofungin on metabolite profiles of *Aspergillus* species determined by nuclear
19
20 magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 51 (11): 4077–4084
21
22
23 Rasooli I, Razzaghi-Abyaneh M (2004) Inhibitory effects of Thyme oils on growth and aflatoxin
24
25 production by *Aspergillus parasiticus*. Food Control 15 (6): 479–483
26
27
28 Razzaghi-Abyaneh M, Yoshinari T, Shams-Ghahfarokhi M, Rezaee MB, Nagasawa H, Sakuda S
29
30 (2007). Dillapiol and apiol as specific inhibitors for the biosynthesis of aflatoxin G1 in
31
32 *Aspergillus parasiticus*. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 71 (9): 2329–2332
33
34
35 Razzaghi-Abyaneh M (2013) Aflatoxin-Recent advances and future prospect. InTech, Rijeka
36
37
38
39 Razzaghi-Abyaneh M, Shams-Ghahfarokhi M, Rezaee MB, Jaimand K (2007) Chemical
40
41 composition and antiaflatoxigenic activity of *Carum carvi* L., *Thymus vulgaris* and *Citrus*
42
43 *aurantifolia* essential oils. Food control 20 (11): 1018-1024
44
45
46 Razzaghi-Abyaneh, M., Shams-Ghahfarokhi, M., Chang, P.-K., 2011. Aflatoxins: mechanisms of
47
48 inhibition by antagonistic plants and microorganisms, In: Aflatoxins - Biochemistry and
49
50 Molecular Biology. InTech, Rijeka
51
52
53 Razzaghi-Abyaneh M, Saberi R, Sharifan A, Rezaee M, Seifili R, Hosseini S, et al. (2013)
54
55 Effects of *Heracleum persicum* ethyl acetate extract on the growth, hyphal ultrastructure
56
57 and aflatoxin biosynthesis in *Aspergillus parasiticus*. Mycotoxin Res 29 (4): 261-269
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4 Rueda C, Cuenca-Estrella M, Zaragoza O (2014) Paradoxical growth of *Candida albicans* in the
5
6 presence of caspofungin is associated with multiple cell wall rearrangements and
7
8 decreased virulence. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 58 (2): 1071–1083
9
10
11 Schuster E, Dunn-Coleman N, Frisvad JC, van Dijck PWM (2002) On the safety of *Aspergillus*
12
13 *niger*—a review. *Appl Microbiol Biotech* 59 (4-5): 426–435
14
15
16 Siahmoshteh F, Hamidi-Esfahani Z, Razzaghi-Abyaneh M (2016) Antifungal Activity,
17
18 Biodegradation and Production Inhibition of Aflatoxins B1 and G1 by a Soil Isolate of
19
20 *Bacillus subtilis* against *Aspergillus parasiticus* NRRL 2999. *J Pure Appl Microbiol* 10
21
22 (4): 2541-2549
23
24
25
26 Siahmoshteh F, Siciliano I, Banani H, Hamidi-Esfahani Z, Razzaghi-Abyaneh M, Gullino ML,
27
28 Spadaro D (2017) Efficacy of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* in the
29
30 control of *Aspergillus parasiticus* growth and aflatoxins production on pistachio. *Int J*
31
32 *Food Microbiol* 254: 47-53
33
34
35
36 Steinbach WJ, Lamoth F, Juvvadi PR (2015) Potential microbiological effects of higher dosing
37
38 of echinocandins. *Clin Infect Dis* doi:10.1093/cid/civ725
39
40
41 Takami H, Akiba T, Horikshi K (1989) Production of extremely thermostable alkaline protease
42
43 from *Bacillus* sp. no. AH-101. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 30 (2): 120-124
44
45
46 Tian J, Ban X, Zeng H, He J, Chen Y, Wang Y (2012) The mechanism of antifungal action of
47
48 essential oil from Dill (*Anethum graveolens* L.) on *Aspergillus flavus*. *PloS One* 7(1),
49
50 e30147
51
52
53
54 Tolouee M, Alinezhad S, Saberi R, Eslamifar A, Zad SJ, Jaimand K, Taeb J, Rezaee MB,
55
56 Kawachi M, Shams-Ghahfarokhi M, Razzaghi-Abyaneh M (2010) Effect of *Matricaria*
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4 *chamomilla* L. flower essential oil on the growth and ultrastructure of *Aspergillus niger*
5
6 van Tieghem. Int J Food Microbiol 139 (3):127–133
7
8
9 Valiante V, Macheleidt J, Föge M, Brakhage AA (2015) The *Aspergillus fumigatus* cell wall
10 integrity signaling pathway: drug target, compensatory pathways, and virulence. Front
11 Microbiol 6. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.00325
12
13
14
15
16 Verwer PE, van Duijn ML, Tavakol M, Bakker-Woudenberg IA, van de Sande WW (2011)
17 Reshuffling of *Aspergillus fumigatus* cell wall components chitin and β -glucan under the
18 influence of caspofungin or nikkomycin z alone or in combination. Antimicrob Agents
19 Chemother 56 (3) 1595–1598
20
21
22
23
24
25
26 Vitullo D, Di Pietro A, Romano A, Lanzotti V, Lima G (2012) Role of new bacterial surfactins
27 in the antifungal interaction between *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* and *Fusarium*
28 *oxysporum*. Plant Pathol 61(4): 689-699
29
30
31
32
33
34 Zhao Y, Zhang W, Xu W, Mai K, Zhang Y, Liufu Z (2012) Effects of potential probiotic
35 *Bacillus subtilis* T13 on growth, immunity and disease resistance against *Vibrio*
36 *splendidus* infection in juvenile sea cucumber *Apostichopus japonicus*. Fish Shellfish
37 Immunol 32 (5): 750-755
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 **Figure legends:**

47
48 **Fig. 1** Inhibitory effect of different doses of culture filtrates (CFC) of UTB2 and UTB3 on
49 mitochondrial dehydrogenases activities.
50
51

52
53 **Fig. 2** effects of Bacterial culture filtrates on the morphological structure of *A. parasiticus*
54 NRRL2999 hyphae observed by SEM. **a,b** Untreated hyphae of *A. parasiticus*. **c,d** The
55 morphology of *A. parasiticus* hyphae after treatment with culture filtrate of UTB2 at different
56
57
58
59
60
61

1
2
3
4 magnitudes. **e,f** The morphology of *A. parasiticus* hyphae after treatment with culture filtrate of
5
6
7 UTB3 at different magnitudes.
8
9

10 **Fig. 3** The internal morphology of *A. parasiticus* hyphae observed by TEM. **a,b** The internal
11 morphology of untreated control hyphae of *A. parasiticus*. **c,d** The internal morphology of *A.*
12 *parasiticus* hyphae after treatment with culture filtrate of UTB2 at different magnitudes. **e,f** The
13 internal morphology of *A. parasiticus* hyphae after treatment with culture filtrate of UTB3 at
14 different magnitudes.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table 1

Table 1 Inhibition of *A. parasiticus* growth by different doses of bacterial cell culture filtrate (CFC)

	CFC (μl)	Fungal growth (mg)	
		Mean ± SD	Inhibition (%)
UTB2	0	27.5 ± 1.1	0
	250	26.3 ± 0.6	4
	500	16.3 ± 2.8	41
	750	12.0 ± 2.0	77.1
	1500	2.8 ± 0.4	90.0
	2500	4.1 ± 0.3	85
UTB3	0	27.5 ± 1.1	0
	250	26.1 ± 0.8	5
	500	16.9 ± 2.0	38
	750	6.3 ± 1.2	56.2
	1500	5.0 ± 1.0	82.1
	2500	2.2 ± 0.7	92

Table 2

Table 2 Ergosterol content of cell membrane of *A. parasiticus* after exposure to bacterial cell culture filtrate (CFC)

CFC dose (μl)	Ergosterol inhibition (%)	
	<i>Bacillus</i> species	
	UTB2	UTB3
250	11.0 ± 3.5 ^d	9.1 ± 1.4 ^d
500	24.6 ± 4.8 ^c	22.6 ± 5.0 ^c
750	45.5 ± 5.9 ^b	39.7 ± 4.5 ^b
1500	80.7 ± 7.1 ^a	75.0 ± 5.4 ^a

Figure 1





