

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

**Effectiveness of occupational safety and health training for migrant farmworkers: a scoping review**

**This is a pre print version of the following article:**

*Original Citation:*

*Availability:*

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1668135> since 2019-06-17T18:51:05Z

*Published version:*

DOI:10.1016/j.puhe.2018.03.018

*Terms of use:*

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Manuscript Number:

Title: Effectiveness of Occupational Safety and Health Training for Migrant Farmworkers: A Scoping Review.

Article Type: Review Article

Keywords: Agriculture; Migrants; Occupational health; Safety; Training.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Federica Caffaro, PhD

Corresponding Author's Institution: National Research Council of Italy (CNR)

First Author: Federica Caffaro, PhD

Order of Authors: Federica Caffaro, PhD; Margherita Micheletti Cremasco, PhD; Giorgia Bagagiolo; Lucia Vigoroso; Eugenio Cavallo, PhD

Abstract: Objective: Migrant farmworkers report higher rates of work-related illnesses, injuries and fatalities compared to local workers. Language and cultural barriers represent a relevant source of risk which can be reduced by means of targeted training interventions. This review aims at identifying and synthesizing the results of currently available literature about the effectiveness - in terms of improvement in at least one of the following variables: safety knowledge, behaviors, attitudes & beliefs, and health outcomes - of occupational health and safety training programs and interventions addressing migrant workers in the agricultural sector.

Methods: Scoping review.

Results: Twenty-nine publications met the inclusion criteria. Of these, nine cross-section studies discussed the effectiveness of training activities in terms of whether having participated in any program, had or did not have a significant effect on the dependent variables, when training was considered together with other sociodemographic factors. In the majority of these studies, training appeared to have low or no effect on the dependent variables considered. Other twenty mainly within-subjects experimental studies addressed the effectiveness of specific training methods, reporting significant improvements especially for interventions based on a participatory approach.

Conclusion: Training could greatly contribute to an effective attainment of occupational safety and health information, but the present review shows that more evidence is needed to guide the future development of effective training activities.

Torino, Italy, October 20, 2017  
To the Editors-in-Chief of *Public Health*

Dear Editors,

Please find attached the manuscript “*Effectiveness of Occupational Safety and Health Training for Migrant Farmworkers: A Scoping Review.*” I am submitting to *Public Health*, also on behalf of the co-authors.

Migrant workers report higher rates of work-related illnesses, injuries and fatalities compared to local workers. This is particularly true for agricultural workers, since agriculture is among the most hazardous productive industries and many migrants are employed in this sector. Training is an important factor in protecting and promoting farmworkers’ occupational safety and health, but it may be ineffective for migrants, because of many language and cultural barriers. This scoping review aims at identifying and synthesizing the results of currently available literature about the effectiveness - in terms of improvement in safety knowledge, behaviors, attitudes & beliefs, and health outcomes - of occupational health and safety training programs and interventions addressing migrant workers in the agricultural sector. Twenty-nine publications met the inclusion criteria. Of these, nine cross-section studies discussed the effectiveness of training activities in terms of whether having participating in any program, had or did not have a significant effect on the dependent variables, when training was considered together with other sociodemographic factors. In the majority of these studies, training appeared to have low or no effect on the dependent variables considered. Other twenty mainly within-subjects experimental studies addressed the effectiveness of specific training methods, reporting significant improvements especially for interventions based on a participatory approach. The present review shows that more evidence is needed to guide the future development of effective training activities.

We believe that the paper is closely related to the topics *Public Health* deals with. Migrants are particularly employed in agriculture, and promoting these workers’ health and safety is a relevant issue for public health researchers and practitioners. To improve training effectiveness in preventing illnesses and injuries, more information is needed about the best and worst practices in the field.

The paper is the original product of our research, and it has not been submitted to any other journal. Please, do not hesitate to contact me at [f.caffaro@ima.to.cnr.it](mailto:f.caffaro@ima.to.cnr.it) if you, or the reviewers, have any questions.

Thank you for considering this manuscript.

Sincerely,

Federica Caffaro, PhD

Running head: Occupational Safety and Health training for migrant workers in agriculture

# **Effectiveness of Occupational Safety and Health Training and Intervention Programs for Migrant Farmworkers: A Scoping Review.**

Federica Caffaro<sup>a</sup>, Margherita Micheletti Cremasco<sup>b</sup>, Giorgia Bagagiolo<sup>a</sup>, Lucia Vigoroso<sup>a</sup>, Eugenio Cavallo<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute for Agricultural and Earthmoving Machines (IMAMOTER), Italian National Research Council (CNR), Strada delle Cacce, 73 – 10135 Torino, Italy; f.caffaro@ima.to.cnr.it, g.bagagiolo@ima.to.cnr.it; l.vigoroso@ima.to.cnr.it; e.cavallo@imamoter.cnr.it

<sup>b</sup>Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology, University of Turin, via Accademia Albertina, 13 – 10123, Torino, Italy; margherita.micheletti@unito.it

Corresponding author: Federica Caffaro, Institute for Agricultural and Earthmoving Machines (IMAMOTER), National Research Council of Italy (CNR), Strada delle Cacce 73, 10135 Torino, Italy, +39 011 3977720, f.caffaro@ima.to.cnr.it

# Effectiveness of Occupational Safety and Health Training and Intervention Programs for Migrant Farmworkers: A Scoping Review.

## Abstract

Objective: Migrant farmworkers report higher rates of work-related illnesses, injuries and fatalities compared to local workers. Language and cultural barriers represent a relevant source of risk which can be reduced by means of targeted training interventions. This review aims at identifying and synthesizing the results of currently available literature about the effectiveness - in terms of improvement in at least one of the following variables: safety knowledge, behaviors, attitudes & beliefs, and health outcomes - of occupational health and safety training programs and interventions addressing migrant workers in the agricultural sector.

Methods: Scoping review.

Results: Twenty-nine publications met the inclusion criteria. Of these, nine cross-section studies discussed the effectiveness of training activities in terms of whether having participating in any program, had or did not have a significant effect on the dependent variables, when training was considered together with other sociodemographic factors. In the majority of these studies, training appeared to have low or no effect on the dependent variables considered. Other twenty mainly within-subjects experimental studies addressed the effectiveness of specific training methods, reporting significant improvements especially for interventions based on a participatory approach.

Conclusion: Training could greatly contribute to an effective attainment of occupational safety and health information, but the present review shows that more evidence is needed to guide the future development of effective training activities.

Keywords: Agriculture; Migrants; Occupational health; Safety; Training.

## Introduction

1  
2  
3 International migrants accounts for an increasing share of agricultural labour workforce: in 2013  
4  
5 16.7 million migrants were engaged in agriculture worldwide, and the 74.1% of these concentrated  
6  
7 in high-income countries<sup>1</sup>. Agriculture is one of the most hazardous industries<sup>2-4</sup>, and migrant  
8  
9 workers are exposed to higher safety risks than local workers<sup>5</sup>, since they often work longer hours,  
10  
11 and in poor safety conditions<sup>6,7</sup>. Indeed, they experience higher rates of work-related illnesses,  
12  
13 injuries and fatalities compared to natives<sup>5</sup>.  
14  
15

16  
17 One of the major risk factor enhancing migrant farmworkers' vulnerability lies in language and  
18  
19 cultural barriers<sup>8-11</sup>. In most cases, limited knowledge of local language and low levels of literacy  
20  
21 make it more difficult for migrant workers to understand Occupational Safety and Health (OSH)  
22  
23 rules and may interfere with the report of health concerns and access to health care<sup>12</sup>.  
24  
25

26  
27 Training is widely acknowledged as a key factor in promoting OSH among both local and migrant  
28  
29 workers in many different sectors<sup>13-18</sup>. As regards agriculture, different studies<sup>19,20</sup>, and an  
30  
31 international convention<sup>21</sup>, stress the importance of developing targeted training strategies to  
32  
33 provide migrant farmworkers with effective preventive and protective measures. However, few  
34  
35 empirical studies have been conducted on this peculiar workforce<sup>11,22</sup> and very little evidence is  
36  
37 available about the effectiveness of training programs addressing migrant farmworkers.  
38  
39

40  
41 Considering the rising share of migrants among agricultural workforce in most of the developed  
42  
43 countries<sup>23</sup> and given the high rates of injuries and illnesses affecting these populations<sup>20,24-26</sup>, it  
44  
45 would be important to acquire a global view over the current status of training intervention  
46  
47 programs and their relative effectiveness. Mapping available literature on this particular topic would  
48  
49 provide the opportunity to identify key implications for targeted future research, practices and  
50  
51 policies.  
52  
53

54  
55 Based on these considerations, this scoping review aims at identifying and synthesizing the results  
56  
57 currently available in the literature about the effectiveness of OSH training programs for migrant  
58  
59 workers in the agricultural sector.  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Methods

The literature search for the scoping review was carried out in September 2017. Relevant articles were searched from four databases: PUBMED, PsycINFO, SCOPUS and WEB OF SCIENCE. The search terms ‘training’ AND ‘migrant’ AND ‘method’ OR ‘program’ OR ‘intervention’ were used to identify relevant articles. Publications from peer-reviewed journals and empirical studies, as well as conceptual papers have been included. The review included articles reporting investigations conducted in any geographical area and published in English.

After removing the duplicates, the remaining articles were screened in order of titles, abstracts and then full text. To be included in the literature review, the publications had to meet the following criteria: (i) the reported study had to focus on a working population; (ii) the occupational sector addressed in the study had to be agriculture; (iii) the topics of the training should deal with OSH issues; (iv) results had to concern the effectiveness of the training programs, including at least one of the following dependent variables (based on the categorization used by Burke et al.<sup>16</sup> and Robson et al.<sup>15</sup>): safety knowledge (SK), safety attitudes & beliefs (SAB), safety behaviors (SB), or safety and health outcomes (HO). Figure 1 illustrates the selection process of the review. The screening was performed independently by two authors and any disagreement was resolved through discussion until consensus was achieved. Once the papers eligible for inclusion were selected, the objectives, design, sample and setting, interventions, and findings of each study were recorded. No quality assessment tool for publications and researches considered by this study has been used, since a scoping review does not aim at critical appraisal<sup>27</sup>.

---Figure 1 about here---

## Results

The exploration on databases identified 2251 articles containing the search terms. After the removal of duplicates (n=506), articles were screened on the basis of inclusion and exclusion criteria applied to titles, abstracts and full-text. Finally, 29 publications were retained to be included in the final

review analysis (Figure 1).

### ***Contexts and Populations of Training***

The studies and the interventions described in the selected papers were mainly conducted in the United States (n= 28), apart from one study performed in Australia-Indonesia<sup>28</sup>. As regards the migrant population targeted by the studies, 24 papers include samples of Latino/Hispanic farmworkers, 1 refers to Indonesians and Australians, and 4 refer to a population of mixed ethnic origin (e.g. African American, Hispanic-white, Non Hispanic-white).

### ***Summary of findings according to the evaluation of training effectiveness***

All selected studies reported a quantitative and/or qualitative assessment<sup>27</sup> of training effectiveness in terms of changes in at least one of the dependent variables, or in some combination of the four. In particular, SB as well as SK were addressed in 21 articles. SAB and HO were considered in 11 and 3 articles, respectively. However, two different ways of investigating training effectiveness have been detected: a) 9 cross-section studies<sup>8,12,29-35</sup> investigated the effects of participation in any training program together with other factors, mainly sociodemographic characteristics and work history (Table 1); b) 20 mainly within-subjects experimental studies<sup>10,28,36-53</sup>, addressed the effectiveness of specific OSH training methods (Table 2). Results will be presented separately for the two different approaches to training effectiveness.

---Table 1 about here---

---Table 2 about here---

### ***Effectiveness of having participated in any training program***

The majority of the 9 studies concerning the participation in training programs (Table 1) report that training and education programs have low or no effect on the dependent variables considered<sup>12,29-35</sup>.

With respect to SK, one study<sup>12</sup> observed that a very poor knowledge of basic OSH concepts persisted also in trained groups of young migrants and advanced the use of alternative media (e.g. internet) as a future training mode specifically addressing youth. No consistent relationship between



1 training and SK was found in the study by Lam et al.<sup>33</sup> since farmworkers who had never received  
2 formal training, demonstrated to know the risks and symptoms of work-related illness. In 2  
3 studies<sup>31,34</sup>, self-reported knowledge on OSH issues resulted very low and the workers themselves  
4 revealed they were not well-trained in preventing occupational injuries. Interviewed workers  
5 reported a scarce efficacy of provided training also in the study by Farquhar et al.<sup>30</sup>, since the  
6 training material was in a non-native language.  
7

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14 As regards advances in SB, only one<sup>8</sup> of the reviewed studies detected a positive association  
15 between having received training and increased use of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE). On the  
16 other hand, Halfacre-Hitchcock et al.<sup>32</sup> concluded that even though the respondents appear to be  
17 knowledgeable about occupational risks, this did not appear to be related to engagement in  
18 protective behaviors. Interestingly, Walton et al.<sup>35</sup> reported the recency of training as an item that  
19 could most affect SB.  
20

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29 Most of researches<sup>8,29,33,34</sup> indicate that training did not affect SAB, and none of the reviewed  
30 studies considered HO as a dependent variable to assess the effects of having received training.  
31

### 32 33 34 *Effectiveness of specific training methods* 35

36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

As regards SB, a number of interventions envisaged the presence of a peer educator or similar figures (e.g. lay health educators, community health workers, health promoters). Five pilot

1 studies<sup>39,46-48,52</sup> assessed the efficacy of a model combining community-based participatory research  
2 with peer to peer education. At the end of these programs, an increased use of PPE compared to  
3  
4 baseline or control groups was observed in all studies. Another study<sup>41</sup> observed an improvement of  
5  
6 migrant workers' SB after they have been trained through a videotaped theatre program followed by  
7  
8 a practical demonstration of correct movements and postures and selected stretches for injury  
9  
10 prevention.

11  
12 As regards SAB, the majority of the studies reported significant positive effects of  
13  
14 training<sup>41,43,44,47,50,54</sup>; nevertheless, a follow-up study by Forst et al.<sup>40</sup> showed that even after  
15  
16 experiencing the intervention (health promoter and PPE distribution) for two seasons, some barriers  
17  
18 in the use of PPE persisted.

19  
20 Often, a significant positive effect of training has been reported for different dependent variables at  
21  
22 the same time, especially SK and SB. Two community-based interventions<sup>50,51</sup> based on discussions  
23  
24 and demonstrations supported by field safety promoters, videos and printed materials (flipchart and  
25  
26 brochures), resulted in SK and SB advancement. Similar results were reported in another pilot  
27  
28 study<sup>45</sup> envisaging a peer educator combined with a comic book translated into farmworkers' native  
29  
30 language. The same outcomes were observed in the study by Forst et al.<sup>39</sup> and by Juarez-Carrillo et  
31  
32 al.<sup>42</sup>. Another intervention<sup>53</sup> was characterized by the use of interactive flipchart and discussion of  
33  
34 real or simulated scenarios. Much emphasis was given to the bilingual format of the training, which  
35  
36 effectively increased farmworkers' SK and SB. With regard to this language issue, it should be  
37  
38 noticed that apart from two cases<sup>8,43</sup>, training and assessment materials were usually provided in  
39  
40 multi-languages including English, and the native language of learners (mostly Spanish).

41  
42 The training program described by Hamilton and Sidebottom<sup>10</sup> also allowed interaction and  
43  
44 questions at the end of the training. After the intervention, besides a noticeable improvement in the  
45  
46 use of safety equipment (SB), the knowledge about proper use of safety equipment and application  
47  
48 practices persisted even after one month (SK). Moreover, two studies by Elkind et al.<sup>38</sup> and  
49  
50

1 Napolitano et al.<sup>49</sup> proposed a participatory design of a community-based OSH program, consisting  
2 in theatre scripts and educational videos. For both methods, SK increased as much as SB.  
3

4 In some cases, the same study reported contrasting results as regards training effectiveness on the  
5 different dependent variables considered. Indeed, one study<sup>28</sup>, based on discussions after flipcharts  
6 and slideshow, reported an increase in SK, whereas SAB and SB did not significantly improve at  
7 follow-up evaluation. Another program<sup>37</sup> involved trained lay health educators who conducted  
8 training workshops and information sessions with workers and their families, using flipcharts and  
9 short conversations with participants. This program resulted in an increase in terms SK, but this did  
10 not lead to changes either in SAB or SB. Likewise, the intervention by Kilanowski et al.<sup>43</sup>, which  
11 combined classroom learning and instructional safety videos created by students, resulted in a slight  
12 increase of SK, while risk-taking behaviors (SB) were unchanged and injury experience even  
13 slightly increase (HO). However, the results differentiated after splitting data by gender and age.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## 29 **Discussion**

30  
31 The reviewed articles highlighted two main different approaches to address training effectiveness in  
32 terms of SK, SB, SAB, HO improvement, and some contrasting results emerged. A first group of  
33 articles investigated the effects of training when the participation in training programs was  
34 considered together with other variables. These studies mainly found no statistically significant  
35 differences in terms of either SK, or SB, or SAB between trained and untrained migrant  
36 farmworkers. The authors of many of these studies interpret this scarce effect of training as due to  
37 the lack of tailored programs addressing migrants' language and cultural peculiarities<sup>12,29,31-34</sup>, but  
38 since no information is given about the type of received training, no definite conclusions can be  
39 drawn. Furthermore, some authors pointed out that participants may already have some naïve SK<sup>54</sup>  
40 which should be detected and used as a basis to design effective educational programs targeting  
41 farmworkers' SB and SAB. This consideration encourages the adoption of an ergonomic user-  
42 centered approach<sup>55</sup>, which states the importance of an active involvement of the users, to point out  
43 their needs and capabilities and design tools and services accordingly. The usefulness of this  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 approach is mirrored by the evidence emerging from the second group of studies considered in this  
2 review, which demonstrated positive effects on the considered dependent variables for the majority  
3 of the cases. Indeed, these studies typically reported research-based interventions, pilot studies or  
4 field-experiment initiatives which involve learners also in the development of the training activities  
5 and materials. In some cases<sup>36,38,43,49-51</sup> migrant farmworkers' needs were identified by means of a  
6 participatory approach before developing the training program, and the program then focused on the  
7 main issues and barriers which may hinder training effectiveness. As a demonstration of this, since  
8 the language was cited by some studies<sup>8,30</sup> as one of the major barriers for training effectiveness, all  
9 reviewed training interventions involved interpreters and multi-language materials, in accordance  
10 with international conventions<sup>56,57</sup> and scientific literature suggestions<sup>5,11,58,59</sup>.

11 The review showed that the effectiveness of specific training methods differ in relation to the  
12 dependent variable considered and to the level of engagement of the proposed intervention. The  
13 most investigated variables were SK and SB, and positive effects of training were often reported for  
14 both these variables. However, in some cases<sup>28,37,43</sup> the improvement in SK was not associated to a  
15 corresponding enhancement of SB, consistent with previous studies performed within the field of  
16 behavioral sciences<sup>60</sup>.

17 With regard to SB and SAB, the review found strong evidence for effectiveness of those training  
18 methods classified by Burke<sup>16</sup> as high-engaging, such as the peer education. This finding is in  
19 accordance with previous reviews on OSH training<sup>11,61</sup> concluding that the higher the level of  
20 engagement in training activities is, the bigger the effects of training are<sup>11,28,29</sup>.

21 There is ample evidence in the training literature that active approaches to learning are superior to  
22 less active approaches<sup>62</sup>. However, the review showed that when written materials (eg. slideshows,  
23 pamphlets, comic book, handouts) -belonging to the so called low-engaging methods<sup>16</sup>- are used as  
24 a reinforcement for high-engaging methods, positive responses are observed as regards SK.

25 Moreover, medium-engaging interventions<sup>16</sup>, characterized by elements of interactivity and  
26 feedback such as lectures with discussion afterwards, interactive computer instruction and

1 flipcharts, gave positive results as well, both in terms of SK and SB.

2 Finally, interventions involving farmworkers' families and communities in training programs  
3 reported the higher effectiveness, particularly on SB<sup>37-39,45,47-52</sup>, but also on SK and SAB. As  
4 reported by Suratman<sup>28</sup> this result may be due to the role of 'cues to action'<sup>28</sup> played by people.  
5  
6 Indeed, according to the Health Belief Model theory<sup>63</sup>, others provide the individual with a  
7 motivational incentive, encouraging or triggering a behavioral change by using appropriate  
8 reminder systems, promoting awareness, or providing information.  
9

10 It should be noticed that only few studies presenting specific training methods<sup>41,47,52,53</sup> reported  
11 some comparisons between experimental (i.e. trained with the new materials) and control groups; in  
12 addition, only one study<sup>43</sup> checked for differences in training effectiveness when also  
13 sociodemographic variables (i.e. age and gender) were considered.  
14

15 Some limitations and unexplored areas of intervention were detected in the reviewed articles. First,  
16 most papers reported interventions conducted in the United States, and addressed communities of  
17 migrant farmworkers with a mainly Latino/Hispanic origin. This can be easily understood  
18 considering that Mexicans are the most represented country of birth among US immigrants  
19 (approximately 27% of total immigrant population in 2015<sup>64</sup>). However, the literature appears to  
20 overlook the European context, which nonetheless is characterized by a high heterogeneity of  
21 nationalities, cultures, and languages, and important migrations fluxes. Indeed, in the European  
22 Union, in 2016, there were 16.0 million persons with EU Member State citizenship living in another  
23 EU Member State, while non-EU citizens residing in a Member State were 20.7 million,  
24 representing 4.1 % of the EU-28 population<sup>65</sup>. Furthermore European countries have been the  
25 destination of almost three-quarter of the 1,6 million asylum requests attained in 2015-2016 OECD  
26 (Organization for Economic Cooperation) countries<sup>66</sup>. According to these figures, more attention  
27 should be paid to OSH interventions for migrants workers in the European countries.  
28

29 Secondly, the majority of reviewed training programs targeted SB while migrant farmworkers' SAB  
30 are less frequently considered. Farmers' perspectives on health, safety and work-related risks are  
31

1 known to be important sources of risk<sup>67</sup>, and usually they are difficult to modify<sup>40</sup>: therefore, more  
2 evidence on the effectiveness of training interventions for these variables is needed. Finally, some  
3  
4 limitations are related to the methods used in the reviewed studies. Indeed, the self-report evaluation  
5 typically adopted in these studies, may be subjected to bias toward socially desirable answers<sup>68-70</sup>.  
6  
7 Some more objective indicators of the effectiveness of training may be obtained by considering  
8  
9 health outcomes, which nonetheless are underinvestigated in the reviewed studies.  
10  
11  
12

### 13 *Limitations of the review*

14  
15  
16 Some limitations of the present review have to be mentioned. First, the search was limited to  
17  
18 English language publications. Second, unpublished studies, with limited distribution (the so-called  
19 “grey” literature<sup>71</sup>) were not included in the review because not indexed in bibliographic databases.  
20  
21 Finally, even though it was performed by two authors independently, the screening of the studies  
22 was characterized by a certain degree of subjectivity. This was particularly outstanding when  
23  
24 deciding whether to consider as ‘training-focused’ some studies in which training was only one of a  
25  
26 wider set of variables which may affect farmers’ SK, SB, SAB or HO.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

### 33 **Conclusion**

34  
35  
36 The results of the present review raised some considerations as regards the effectiveness of training  
37 in improving SK, SB, SAB and HO in migrant farmworkers:  
38

- 39 1) when the mere participation in training programs is considered, without having any control over  
40 the methods and materials used during the interventions, in most cases, training does not appear to  
41 have any significant effects on the dependent variables;  
42  
43
- 44 2) an improvement in SK is not always mirrored by an increased adoption of SB or by a change in  
45  
46 SAB;  
47  
48
- 49 3) some improvements in SK, SB, SAB are reported, especially for those programs based on  
50  
51 community participation, peer-educator and demonstrations, thus pointing out the fundamental role  
52  
53 of a participatory approach to the training process, in which the workers are proactively engaged in  
54  
55 the development of their own training.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Migrant farmworkers represent a vulnerable population worldwide<sup>2</sup> and a great amount of risk is  
2 related to language and cultural barriers which prevent an effective attainment of OSH information.  
3

4 Training could greatly contribute to overcome this issue, but more evidence is needed to guide the  
5 future development of effective training activities.  
6  
7  
8  
9

## 10 **References**

- 11 1. International Labour Organization - Labour Migration Branch. ILO: Global Estimates on  
12 Migrant Workers: Results and Methodology. 2015. Available from:  
13  
14 [http://www.ilo.org/global/topics/labour-migration/publications/WCMS\\_436343/lang--](http://www.ilo.org/global/topics/labour-migration/publications/WCMS_436343/lang--en/index.htm)  
15 [en/index.htm](http://www.ilo.org/global/topics/labour-migration/publications/WCMS_436343/lang--en/index.htm)  
16  
17
- 18 2. International Labour Organization. Agriculture: a hazardous work. International Labour  
19 Organization. 2015. p. 1–2. Available from:  
20  
21 [http://www.ilo.org/safework/areasofwork/hazardous-work/WCMS%7B\\_%7D110188/lang--](http://www.ilo.org/safework/areasofwork/hazardous-work/WCMS%7B_%7D110188/lang--en/index.htm)  
22 [en/index.htm](http://www.ilo.org/safework/areasofwork/hazardous-work/WCMS%7B_%7D110188/lang--en/index.htm)  
23  
24
- 25 3. Arcury TA, Estrada JM, Quandt SA. Overcoming Language and Literacy Barriers in Safety  
26 and Health Training of Agricultural Workers. *J Agromedicine* [Internet] 2010;**15**:236–48.  
27 Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/1059924X.2010.486958>  
28  
29
- 30 4. Cliff KS. Agriculture - The occupational hazards. *Public Health* 1981;**95**:15–27.  
31  
32
- 33 5. Trajkovski S, Loosemore M. Safety implications of low-English proficiency among migrant  
34 construction site operatives. *Int J Proj Manag* 2006;**24**:446–52.  
35  
36
- 37 6. Santos A, Ramos HM, Ramasamy G, Fernandes C. Prevalence of musculoskeletal pain in a  
38 sample of migrant workers in the manufacturing industry in Malaysia. *Public Health*  
39 [Internet] 2014;**128**:759–61. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2014.04.003>  
40  
41
- 42 7. Liebman AK, Juarez-Carrillo PM, Reyes IAC, Keifer MC. Immigrant dairy workers’  
43 perceptions of health and safety on the farm in America’s Heartland. *Am J Ind Med*  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

2016;**59**:227–35.

- 1  
2  
3 8. Strong LL, Thompson B, Koepsell TD, Meischke H. Factors associated with pesticide safety  
4 practices in farmworkers. *Am J Ind Med* 2008;**51**:69–81.  
5  
6
- 7  
8 9. Arcury TA, Quandt SA. Living and working safely: challenges for migrant and seasonal  
9 farmworkers. *N C Med J* [Internet] 2011;**72**:466–70. Available from:  
10  
11 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
12 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
13 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
14 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
15 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
16 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
17 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
18 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
19 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
20 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
21 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
22 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
23 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
24 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
25 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
26 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
27 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
28 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
29 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
30 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
31 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
32 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
33 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
34 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
35 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
36 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
37 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
38 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
39 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
40 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
41 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
42 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
43 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
44 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
45 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
46 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
47 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
48 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
49 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
50 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
51 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
52 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
53 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
54 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
55 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
56 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
57 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
58 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
59 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
60 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
61 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
62 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
63 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
64 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>  
65 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22523856>
10. Hamilton J, Sidebottom J. Mountain Pesticide Education and Safety Outreach Program: A  
Model for Community Collaboration to Enhance On-Farm Safety and Health. *N C Med J*  
2011;**72**:471–3.
11. Menger LM, Rosecrance J, Stallones L, Roman-Muniz IN. A Guide to the Design of  
Occupational Safety and Health Training for Immigrant, Latino/a Dairy Workers. *Front*  
*Public Heal* [Internet] 2016;**4**:1–11. Available from:  
<http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpubh.2016.00282/full>
12. Perla ME, Iman E, Campos L, Perkins A, Liebman AK, Miller ME, et al. Agricultural  
Occupational Health and Safety Perspectives Among Latino-American Youth. *J*  
*Agromedicine* [Internet] 2015;**20**:167–77. Available from:  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1059924X.2015.1010064>
13. Cohen A, Colligan MJ, Sinclair R, Newman J, Schuler R. Assessing occupational safety and  
health training: A literature review. *Cincinnati, OH Natl Institutes Heal* 1998;1–174.
14. Field W, Tormoehlen R. Education and Training as Intervention Strategies. In: *Agricultural*  
*Medicine* 2006. p. 42–52.
15. Robson L, Stephenson C, Schulte P, Amick B, Chan S, Bielecky A, et al. A systematic  
review of the effectiveness of training & education for the protection of workers. NIOSH.



Toronto; Cincinnati; 2010.

16. Burke MJ, Sarpy SA, Smith-Crowe K, Chan-Serafin S, Salvador RO, Islam G. Relative effectiveness of worker safety and health training methods. *Am J Public Health* 2006;**96**:315–24.
17. Burke MJ, Salvador RO, Smith-Crowe K, Chan-Serafin S, Smith A, Sonesh S. The dread factor: how hazards and safety training influence learning and performance. *J Appl Psychol* 2011;**96**:46–70.
18. Taylor MA, Wirth O, Olvina M, Alvero AM. Experimental analysis of using examples and non-examples in safety training. *J Safety Res* [Internet] 2016;**59**:97–104. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022437516303206>
19. Bust PD, Gibb AGF, Pink S. Managing construction health and safety: Migrant workers and communicating safety messages. *Saf Sci* 2008;**46**:585–602.
20. Schenker MB. A global perspective of migration and occupational health. *Am J Ind Med* 2010;**53**:329–37.
21. International Labour Organization. C184 - Safety and Health in Agriculture Convention (No. 184). 2001 p. 1–8. Available from: <http://www.ilo.org/public/english/standards/relm/ilc/ilc89/pdf/c184.pdf>
22. Reynolds SJS, Lundqvist P, Colosio C. International dairy health and safety. *J Agromedicine* [Internet] 2013;**18**:179–83. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23844785> <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/1059924X.2013.812771>
23. Martin PL. Migrant Workers in Commercial Agriculture. International Labour Office Sectoral Policies Department Conditions of Work and Equality Department, editor. Geneva; 2016.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
24. Spears CR, Summers PY, Spencer KM, Arcury TA. Informal occupational safety information exchange among Latino migrant and seasonal farmworkers. *J Agromedicine* [Internet] 2012;**17**:415–20. Available from: <http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?dbfrom=pubmed&id=22994643&mp;retmode=ref&cmd=prlinks>
  25. Guldenmund F, Cleal B, Mearns K. An exploratory study of migrant workers and safety in three European countries. *Saf Sci* [Internet] 2013 [cited 2017 Mar 3];**52**:92–9. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0925753512001245>
  26. Rathod JM. Danger and Dignity: Immigrant Day Laborers and Occupational Risk. *Seton Hall Law Rev* [Internet] 2016;**46**:813–82. Available from: <http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=medl&NEWS=N&AN=27066611>
  27. Hesse-Biber, Nagy S, Johnson RB. The Oxford handbook of multimethod and mixed methods research inquiry. Press OU, editor. 2015.
  28. Suratman S, Ross KE, Babina K, Edwards JW. The effectiveness of an educational intervention to improve knowledge and perceptions for reducing organophosphate pesticide exposure among Indonesian and South Australian migrant farmworkers. *Risk Manag Heal Policy* [Internet] 2016;**9**:1–12. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26855602>
  29. Austin C, Arcury TA, Quandt SA, Preisser JS, Saavedra RM, Cabrera LF. Training Farmworkers About Pesticide Safety : Issues of Control. *J Health Care Poor Underserved* 2001;**12**:236–49.
  30. Farquhar S, Shadbeh N, Samples J, Ventura S, Goff N. Occupational conditions and well-being of indigenous farmworkers. *Am J Public Health* 2008;**98**:1956–9.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
31. Fleischer NL, Tiesman HM, Sumitani J, Mize T, Amarnath KK, Bayakly AR, et al. Public health impact of heat-related illness among migrant farmworkers. *Am J Prev Med* [Internet] 2013;**44**:199–206. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2012.10.020>
  32. Halfacre-Hitchcock A, McCarthy D, Burkett T, Carvajal A. Latino Migrant Farmworkers in Lowcountry South Carolina: A Demographic Profile and an Examination of Pesticide Risk Perception and Protection in two Pilot Case Studies. *Hum Organ* [Internet] 2006;**65**:55–71. Available from: <http://sfaajournals.net/doi/10.17730/humo.65.1.6cc5wqtpw5xku4j>
  33. Lam M, Krenz J, Palmández P, Negrete M, Perla M, Murphy-Robinson H, et al. Identification of barriers to the prevention and treatment of heat-related illness in Latino farmworkers using activity-oriented, participatory rural appraisal focus group methods. *BMC Public Health* [Internet] 2013;**13**:1004. Available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2458/13/1004>
  34. Verma A, Schulz MR, Quandt SA, Robinson EN, Grzywacz JG, Chen H, et al. Eye Health and Safety Among Latino Farmworkers. *J Agromedicine* [Internet] 2011;**16**:143–52. Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/1059924X.2011.554772>
  35. Walton AL, LePrevost C, Wong B, Linnan L, Sanchez-Birkhead A, Mooney K. Pesticides: Perceived Threat and Protective Behaviors Among Latino Farmworkers. *J Agromedicine* [Internet] 2017;**22**:140–7. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/1059924X.2017.1283278>
  36. Anger WK, Patterson L, Fuchs M, Will LL, Rohlman DS. Learning and recall of Worker Protection Standard (WPS) training in vineyard workers. *J Agromedicine* [Internet] 2009;**14**:336–44. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19657883>
  37. Bush DE, Wilmsen C, Sasaki T, Barton-Antonio D, Steege AL, Chang C. Evaluation of a pilot promotora program for Latino forest workers in Southern Oregon. *Am J Ind Med* 2014;**57**:788–99.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
38. Elkind PD, Pitts K. Theater as a Mechanism for Increasing Farm Health and Safety Knowledge training to farm workers and their families living in a three county region of Eastern. *Am J Ind Med* 2002;**35**:28–35.
  39. Forst L, Lacey S, Hua YC, Jimenez R, Bauer S, Skinner S, et al. Effectiveness of community health workers for promoting use of safety eyewear by Latino farm workers. *Am J Ind Med* 2004;**46**:607–13.
  40. Forst L, Martinez Noth I, Lacey S, Bauer S, Skinner S, Petrea R, et al. Barriers and Benefits of Protective Eyewear Use by Latino Farm Workers. *J Agromedicine* [Internet] 2006;**11**:81–8. Available from:  
<http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med5&NEWS=N&AN=17135145>
  41. Holmes W, Lam P-Y, Elkind P, Pitts K. The effect of body mechanics education on the work performance of fruit warehouse workers. *J Prev Assess Rehabil* [Internet] 2008;**31**:461–71. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19127017>
  42. Juárez-Carrillo PM, Liebman AK, Reyes IAC, Ninco Sánchez Y V., Keifer MC. Applying Learning Theory to Safety and Health Training for Hispanic Immigrant Dairy Workers. *Health Promot Pract* [Internet] 2017;**18**:505–15. Available from:  
<http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1524839916683668>
  43. Kilanowski JF. Latino migrant farmworker student development of safety instructional videos for peer education. *J Agromedicine* [Internet] 2014;**19**:150–61. Available from:  
<http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=emed13&NEWS=N&AN=24911690%5Cnhttp://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=med1&NEWS=N&AN=24911690>
  44. LePrevost CE, Storm JF, Asuaje CR, Arellano C, Cope WG. Assessing the Effectiveness of the *Pesticides and Farmworker Health Toolkit* : A Curriculum for Enhancing Farmworkers’

Understanding of Pesticide Safety Concepts. *J Agromedicine* [Internet] 2014;**19**:96–102.

Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/1059924X.2014.886538>

45. Liebman AK, Corona A. A Pilot Program Using Promotoras de Salud to Educate Farmworker Families About the Risks from Pesticide Exposure A Pilot Program Using Promotoras de Salud to Educate Farmworker Families About the Risks from Pesticide Exposure. *J Agromedicine* 2007;**12**.
46. Luque JS, Monaghan P, Contreras RB, August E, Baldwin JA, Bryant CA, et al. Implementation Evaluation of a Culturally Competent Eye Injury Prevention Program for Citrus Workers in a Florida Migrant Community. *Prog community Heal partnerships Res Educ action* 2007;**1**:359–69.
47. Monaghan PF, Forst LS, Tovar-Aguilar JA, Bryant CA, Israel GD, Galindo-Gonzalez S, et al. Preventing eye injuries among citrus harvesters: The community health worker model. *Am J Public Health* 2011;**101**:2269–74.
48. Monaghan PF, Bryant CA, McDermott RJ, Forst LS, Luque JS, Contreras RB. Adoption of safety eyewear among citrus harvesters in rural Florida. *J Immigr Minor Heal* 2012;**14**:460–6.
49. Napolitano M, Lasarev M, Beltran M, Philips J, Bryan C, Mccauley L. Un Lugar Seguro Para Sus Ninos : Development and Evaluation of a Pesticide Education Video. *J Immigr Health* 2002;**4**.
50. Quandt SA, Arcury TA, Austin CK, Cabrera LF. Latino Immigrants: Preventing Occupational Exposure to Pesticides: Using Participatory Research with Latino Farmworkers to Develop an Intervention. *J Immigr Health* [Internet] 2001;**3**:85–96. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1023/A:1009513916713>
51. Quandt SA, Grzywacz JG, Talton JW, Trejo G, Tapia J, Jr. RBD, et al. Evaluating the

1 Effectiveness of a Lay Health Promoter-Led, Community-Based Participatory Pesticide  
2 Safety Intervention With Farmworker Families. *Heal Promtion Pract* 2013;**14**:425–32.  
3

- 4  
5 52. Tovar-Aguilar JA, Monaghan PF, Bryant CA, Esposito A, Wade M, Ruiz O, et al. Improving  
6 Eye Safety in Citrus Harvest Crews Through the Acceptance of Personal Protective  
7 Equipment, Community-Based Participatory Research, Social Marketing, and Community  
8 Health Workers. *J Agromedicine* [Internet] 2014;**19**:107–16. Available from:  
9  
10 <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/1059924X.2014.884397>  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17 53. Vela Acosta MS, Chapman P, Bigelow PL, Kennedy C, Buchan RM. Measuring success in a  
18 pesticide risk reduction program among migrant farmworkers in Colorado. *Am J Ind Med*  
19  
20 2005;**47**:237–45.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25 54. Stoskopf CH, Venn J. Farm Accidents and Injuries: A Review and Ideas for Prevention. *J*  
26  
27 *Environ Health* 1985;**47**:250–2.  
28  
29  
30  
31 55. Karwowski W. Ergonomics and human factors: the paradigms for science, engineering,  
32 design, technology and management of human-compatible systems. *Ergonomics*  
33  
34 2005;**48**:436–63.  
35  
36  
37  
38 56. International Labour Organization. Safety and Health in Agriculture Convention, 2001 (No.  
39  
40 184). 2001.  
41  
42  
43  
44 57. International Labour Organization (ILO). Promoting fair migration. General Survey  
45 concerning the migrant workers instruments [Internet]. In: INTERNATIONAL LABOUR  
46 CONFERENCE 105th SESSION, 2016 [Internet] 2016. p. 274. Available from:  
47  
48 [http://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---ed\\_norm/---](http://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---ed_norm/---relconf/documents/meetingdocument/wcms_453898.pdf)  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56 58. Viveros-Guzmán A, Gertler M. Latino Farmworkers in Saskatchewan: Language Barriers  
57 and Health and Safety. *J Agromedicine* [Internet] 2015;**20**:341–8. Available from:  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/1059924X.2015.1048400>

- 1  
2  
3 59. Arcury TA, Estrada JM, Quandt SA. Overcoming Language and Literacy Barriers in Safety  
4  
5 and Health Training of Agricultural Workers. *J Agromedicine* [Internet] 2010;**15**:236–48.  
6  
7 Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/1059924X.2010.486958>  
8  
9
- 10  
11 60. Kelly M, Barker M. Why is changing health-related behaviour so difficult? *Public Health*  
12  
13 [Internet] 2016 [cited 2017 Oct 13];**136**:109–16. Available from:  
14  
15 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0033350616300178>  
16  
17
- 18  
19 61. DeRoo LA, Rautiainen RH. A systematic review of farm safety interventions. *Am J Prev*  
20  
21 *Med* [Internet] 2000;**18**:51–62. Available from:  
22  
23 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10793281>  
24  
25
- 26  
27 62. Frese M, Zapf D. Action as the Core of Work Psychology - A German Approach. In:  
28  
29 Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology 1994. p. 271–340.  
30
- 31  
32 63. Rosenstock IM, Strecher VJ, Becker MH. Social learning theory and the health belief model.  
33  
34 Health education quarterly. *Health Educ Q* 1988;**15**:175–83.  
35
- 36  
37 64. Zong J, Batalova J. Frequently requested statistics on immigrants and immigration in the  
38  
39 United States. 2015.  
40
- 41  
42 65. Eurostat. Migration and migrant population statistics. 2017. Available from:  
43  
44 [http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-](http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=Migration_and_migrant_population_statistics&oldid=327524)  
45  
46 [explained/index.php?title=Migration\\_and\\_migrant\\_population\\_statistics&oldid=327524](http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=Migration_and_migrant_population_statistics&oldid=327524)  
47  
48
- 49  
50 66. OECD. International Migration Outlook 2017. Paris; 2017.  
51
- 52  
53 67. Caffaro F, Lundqvist P, Cremasco MM, Nilsson K, Pinzke S, Cavallo E. Machinery-related  
54  
55 perceived risks and safety attitudes in senior Swedish farmers. *J agromedicine Haworth*  
56  
57 *Press* 2017.  
58
- 59  
60 68. Couper MP, Baker RP, Bethlehem JG, Clark CZF, Martin J, Nicholls II WL, et al. Computer  
61  
62

Assisted Survey Information Collection [Internet]. Wiley seri. Wiley; 1998 [cited 2017 Jul

14]. Available from:

<https://www.narcis.nl/publication/RecordID/oai:dare.uva.nl:publications%2F6617507e-ea3c-4a97-baf8-a853c6b0c15c>

69. Tourangeau R, Smith TW. Asking Sensitive Questions: The Impact of Data Collection Mode, Question Format, and Question Context. *Public Opin Q* [Internet] 1996 [cited 2017 Jul 14];**60**:275. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/poq/article-lookup/doi/10.1086/297751>
70. Stone A, Bachrach CA, Jobe JB, Kurtzman HS, Kurtzman HS. The science of self-report: Implications for research and practice. Psychology Press; 1999.
71. Mcauley L, Pham B, Tugwell P, Moher D. Does the inclusion of grey literature influence estimates of intervention effectiveness reported in meta-analyses ? *Lancet* 2000;**356**:1228–31.






Fig. 1. Flow diagram outlining the search and study selection process.

Table 1

**Table 1 – Summary of cross-section studies investigating participation in OSH training as a determinant of safety knowledge (SK), behaviors (SB), attitudes & beliefs (SAB) and health outcomes (HO).**

Reference	Study objective	Study design	Setting and participants	Dependent variable	Findings
Austin et al. (2001) <sup>29</sup>	To examine perceptions of control over pesticide exposure among farm workers in North Carolina in order to investigate how it is related to self-protective behavior	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Survey interviews (fixed-response questionnaire in English and Spanish);</li> <li>• In-depth interviews and focus groups</li> </ul>	<p>Sample: Hispanic migrants (n= 348) 98% from Mexico</p> <p>Setting: USA (North Carolina)</p>	SB SAB	<p>Survey interview: farmworkers perceived a lack of control over pesticide exposure in the workplace even after receiving training.</p> <p>In-depth-interviews identified 4 themes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) difficulty in communicating with employer</li> <li>ii) difficulty in using protective equipment</li> <li>iii) time pressure on the job</li> <li>iv) lack of reliance on benefit from increased work safety</li> </ol>
Farquhar et al. (2008) <sup>30</sup>	To assess farmworkers' understanding of the hazards associated with agricultural work in relation with the language of training materials	Baseline survey questions (107 items) in English and Spanish	<p>Sample: indigenous (n=76) and Latino nonindigenous (n=74) farmworkers.</p> <p>Indigenous: from Mexico and Guatemala</p> <p>Setting: USA (Oregon)</p>	SK	Respondents reported to receive inadequate training about how to protect themselves. No training was conducted in any indigenous language. 87% of indigenous workers understood Spanish well enough to understand oral information, 40% written information. Workers much preferred to receive materials and understood information when presented in their indigenous languages
Fleischer et al. (2013) <sup>31</sup>	To determine which factors could potentially reduce the prevalence of heat-related illness (HRI) symptoms among migrant farmworkers	In-person survey interviews in Spanish, English or Haitian Creole	<p>Sample: migrant farmworkers (n = 405), 80% Hispanic</p> <p>Settings: USA (South Georgia)</p>	SK SB	71% of participants reported experiencing at least one HRI symptom in previous week. These were primarily associated with working conditions and hydration techniques. A lack or inadequacy of prevention behaviors were recorded. Only 24% of the participants reported receiving some type of HRI prevention training during the year
Halfacre-Hitchcock et al. (2006) <sup>32</sup>	To assess farmworkers' healthcare access and status and perception of pesticide exposure risk	Close-ended questionnaires conducted verbally in Spanish	<p>Sample: Latino farmworkers (n = 109)</p> <p>Settings: USA (South Carolina)</p>	SK SAB	40% farmworkers reported received training on pesticides. No significant association was observed between either training and perception of exposure to pesticides or training and knowledge of risk reduction activities or training and use of PPE
Lam et al. (2013) <sup>33</sup>	To identify potential barriers to HRI prevention and treatment, including culturally-grounded beliefs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3 focus groups (11-12 people each) in Spanish using semi-structured interviewing techniques;</li> <li>• Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) methods: participant-</li> </ul>	<p>Sample: Latino farmworkers (n=35) 97% from Mexico</p> <p>Settings: USA (Central WA)</p>	SK SB SAB	<p>The majority of participants reported never receiving formal HRI training, most participants were aware that extreme heat can cause illness</p> <p>Most participants accurately described HRI symptoms and causes but reported practices were not necessarily consistent with reported knowledge.</p>

		generated visual diagrams and maps, direct observations and journaling of local conditions, analytic games, storytelling, and seasonal calendars			
Perla et al. (2015) <sup>12</sup>	To conduct a survey among Latino-American Youth and to identify and address occupational and environmental health concerns	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 45-items survey (in English or Spanish);</li> <li>• Bilingual RCAT (Rapid Clinical Assessment Tool) containing 20 illustrations of distinct agricultural tasks associable to occupational hazard</li> </ul>	Sample: young farmworkers (n = 140). 76,4% Hispanic, 20,7% Non-Hispanic, 2,9% N.A Settings: USA (Washington)	SK	Despite 53% of respondents reported having received OSH training or perceiving information from family, their knowledge of basic OSH concepts, appeared very poor. Internet emerged as a key mode for future OSH education and injury prevention activities
Strong et al. (2008) <sup>8</sup>	To raise awareness about pesticide exposure in agricultural communities and reduce the pesticide exposure in farmworkers households	Community-based participatory research approach. 73-items questionnaire administered by locally hired and trained bilingual staff	Sample: Hispanic and non-Hispanic white farmworkers (n = 554). 89% Hispanic and 91% lived in the Yakima Valley year-round Settings: USA (Washington)	SB SAB	65% non-Hispanic Whites and 24% Hispanic reported having been trained in the last 5 years. Receipt of training was associated with increased use of Pesticide Protective Equipment (PPE)
Verma et al. (2011) <sup>34</sup>	To describe eye protection use among migrant farmworkers and to determine the knowledge, perceptions, and risk beliefs of farmworkers about eye health and safety	Interviewer-administered questionnaire in Spanish	Sample: Latino farmworkers located in three eastern North Carolina counties (n = 300) Settings: USA (North Carolina)	SK SAB	Approximately 70% farmworkers reported that they do not use eye protection and are not well trained in preventing eye injuries. Wrong beliefs and perception of risks are the main causes of farmworkers' eye injuries
Walton et al. (2017) <sup>35</sup>	To assess the knowledge and beliefs of Latino farmworkers in North Carolina about the threat of health effects of pesticides	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A work-day observational checklist;</li> <li>• 2 questionnaires verbally administered in Spanish</li> </ul>	Sample: Latino farmworkers (n = 72), 97% from Mexico Settings: USA (North Carolina)	SK SB SAB	97% farmworkers reported receiving pesticide training. Nearly all farmworkers agreed pesticides cause health problems, can increase the cancer risk and PPE was efficacious in minimizing exposure but during in-fields observations they result less adherent to using protective washing. Recency of pesticide training was the only variable related to observed washing scores

Table 2

**Table 2 – Summary of mainly within-subjects experimental studies in which the development of specific OSH training methods was described and their effectiveness assessed in terms of safety knowledge (SK), behaviors (SB), attitudes & beliefs (SAB) and health outcomes (HO)**

Reference	Study objective	Intervention	Study design	Setting and participants	Dependent variable	Findings
Anger et al. (2009) <sup>36</sup>	To assess farmworkers' knowledge after Worker Protection Standard (WPS) training using a computer-based system	10 infosets and questionnaire (15 quiz questions) using a computer-based training software characterized by: i) self-pacing and interactivity; ii) clear user instructions; iii) icon-based navigation; iv) pictures and/or a movie on all screens; v) spoken-language option selectable	Pre-test, post-test and re-test with the same 38 questions in a different order	Sample: Hispanics (n = 61) born in Mexico. Settings: USA (Northwest Oregon)	SK	Pre-test: high level of baseline knowledge (75% of correct answers). Post-test: training increased significantly the knowledge (up to 85% of correct answers). Re-test after 5 months: performance loss (78% of correct answers)
Bush et al. (2014) <sup>37</sup>	To determine whether the "Promotora" program contributes in increasing community capacity to protect workers' health and led to any changes in workers behavior. To identify strengths and weaknesses of the program	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The program consisted of three parts: i) to train <i>promotoras</i> (lay health educators); ii) to develop educational tools for the <i>promotoras</i> to use; iii) to provide training workshops and information sessions;</li> <li>Promotoras used flipchart containing worker injury stories and short guide lines to engage workers in conversations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Focus group;</li> <li>CAC (Community advisory committee) evaluation meeting;</li> <li>Promotora debriefing;</li> <li>Post test: administered by the "<i>promotoras</i>" reading questions aloud and explaining the cues, regarding knowledge, attitudes and motivation to make change</li> </ul>	Sample: Latino forest workers (n = 7 for focus groups and n = 263 for post-test), community members (n = 9), <i>promotoras</i> (n = 3) Settings: USA (Southern Oregon)	SK SB SAB	Capacity to address working conditions increased among both <i>promotoras</i> and workers. Increase of : community capacity to address working conditions, workers' awareness of safety and health issues, leadership and access to information. Post-test: majority of participants answered questions correctly (over 90% in some questions)
Elkind et al. (2002) <sup>38</sup>	To test the effectiveness of theater as an educational tool to provide health education	4 Spanish one-act plays (40 minutes each) scripted with participatory approach	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pre/post questionnaires containing 7-8 questions ;</li> <li>Follow-up telephone interviews with open-ended questions</li> </ul>	Sample: Hispanic farmworkers and their family (n=301 for questionnaires; n=30 for interviews) Settings: USA (WA)	SK SB SAB	Questionnaire: from pre-test to post-test knowledge increased of 13,6%. Follow-up: participants demonstrated to retain some of the health and safety messages
Forst et al. (2004) <sup>39</sup>	To evaluate the Community	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>16 CHWs were recruited from farmworkers and trained (20</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>20-item questionnaire before and after the</li> </ul>	Sample: Latino farmworkers (n =	SK SB	Block A showed the greatest improvement in knowledge on questions related to

	Health Worker (CHW), “ <i>promotor de salud</i> ” model as a tool for reducing eye injuries and illnesses in Latino migrant and seasonal farm workers	hours in English and Spanish). Trainings tools included: photographs, fotonovelas, hands-on tools to demonstrate eye injuries and hazards; • 3 intervention blocks: a) CHWs provided protective eyewear and training, b) CHWs provided eyewear but no training; c) eyewear was distributed with no CHW present and no training	intervention; • Observation at the beginning and at the end of the season on each farm	786) Settings: USA (Michigan and Northern Illinois)	HO	training content. After intervention, participants in every block were more likely to wear protective eyewear. Block A workers had a greater increase in eyewear use than Block B. Block C had the lowest increase. Surveillance of eye injuries by <i>promotores</i> was inadequate to demonstrate a reduction of injuries
Forst et. al. (2006) <sup>40</sup>	To determine the perceived benefits and barriers to use of protective eyewear during agricultural work among LFWs (Latino Farmworkers)	Recruitment of <i>promotores de salud</i> by a community-based farm worker organization. Safety glasses distribution and training sessions regarding use of safety glasses and eye protection	Observation once per week (from 12 to 16 weeks) on each farm, and questions on fields	Sample: Latino farmworkers (n = 55) Settings: USA (Illinois and Michigan)	SAB	LFWs reasons for use and non-use of safety eyewear were categorized in: i) perception of risks and effectiveness of eye protection; ii) eyewear provided iii) impact on visual acuity; iv) comfort; v) appearance; vi) nuisance of carrying them
Hamilton and Sidebottom (2011) <sup>10</sup>	To provide workers with more-appropriate pesticide safety training and to help members Spanish-speaking staffs to develop regular pest scouting schedules on farms	1 hour tailgate training (in Spanish) followed by Q&A session. At the end of each training each worker was provided of free safety clothes to encourage their proper use in the field and handouts in Spanish were distributed	• Pre-workshop survey; • Survey immediately after workshop day; • Follow-up interviews	Sample: Latino workers (n = 100 approx.) Settings: USA (North Carolina)	SK SB	Use of safety equipment was noticeably improved from past years; After one month workers felt more knowledgeable and conscientious about proper use of safety equipment and application practices
Holmes et al. (2008) <sup>41</sup>	To promote correct ergonomic behavior among migrant and seasonal fruit	• Warehouse workers: videotaped Spanish “fotonovela” play with English subtitles; demonstration and practice of correct lifting techniques;	Pre-test questionnaire Post-test questionnaire Lifting evaluation tool: field observation after program and 2 weeks	Sample: Latino warehouse workers (n = 178) and undergraduate latino students familiar to	SB	Warehouse workers’ correct answer regarding the prevention of back injuries: 72% before training and 85.3% after the training. Average total number of correct lifting

	warehouse workers	distribution of pamphlets; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Students: 2 experimental groups: 1) observation and practice of proper body mechanics for lifting; 2) control group, observation only</li> </ul>	later	farmworker (n = 15) Settings: USA (Washington)		behaviors increased from before training to post-training for both warehouse workers and students experimental group
Juárez-Carrillo et al. (2017) <sup>42</sup>	To design a safety and health curriculum for dairy immigrant workers aiming to increase knowledge, encourage safe behavior and reduce worker communication inequalities	Five 1-hour lesson modules in English and Spanish including: learning objectives, background information, facilitator's guide, slide presentation and supplemental materials (flyers, comic books, posters)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 focus groups to understand context and recognize common hazards;</li> <li>• 5 brief multiple-choice questions pre- and post-training;</li> <li>• 3 open-ended questions including satisfaction evaluation</li> </ul>	Sample: Hispanic immigrant dairy workers (n = 338) Settings: USA (Wisconsin)	SK	Significant difference in mean scores between pre- and post-training tests for each lesson. Pre-training: limited knowledge especially about rights, responsibilities, animal handling, risk with machinery. Post-training: overall significant knowledge gain of 25%. Open ended questions: nearly 100% of the trainings met the learning objectives.
Kilanowski (2014) <sup>43</sup>	To test the effectiveness of a middle school student-participatory safety intervention before (T1) and after (T2) training and creation of instructional safety videos for peer education	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lower school students: five 30-minutes sessions. Students were given 1 week to take photos to reflect places they liked to play;</li> <li>• Middle school students: 45 minutes daily instructional sessions. Meetings were weighted more on safety knowledge at the start of the program and on video production near the conclusion</li> </ul>	Pre- and post-intervention survey	Sample: Latino farmworker student attending lower school in grades 3 to 8 (n = 80), and middle school in grade 6 to 8 (n = 37) Settings: USA	SK HO	Data by gender: tests showed statistical difference in females over males in T2 scores; males had lower safety consciousness, increased dangerous risk-taking and slightly increased injury experience. Data by grade school showed a statistical difference in middle school students over lower school students in safety knowledge. Older students showed lower safety consciousness at both T1 and T2.
LePrevost et al. (2014) <sup>44</sup>	To test increasing knowledge among farmworkers. To assess the effectiveness of a <i>Toolkit</i> to	A comprehensive educational resource ( <i>Toolkit</i> ) consisting of a training flipchart with a trainer's guide and visual materials for the audience; hands-on learning activities; and a one-page, take-home handout	Pre- (17 questions) and Post- (18 questions) intervention instrument orally administered	Sample: trainers (n = 4) farmworkers (n = 20) of Hispanic/Latino ethnicity or from Mexico or Central America	SK	The <i>Toolkit</i> is an effective, research-based pesticide safety and health intervention. Farmworkers demonstrated significant increases in knowledge of the training content from 64.4% on the pre-lesson assessment to 77.5% post-lesson.

	improve farmworkers' knowledge of Worker Protection Standard (WPS)	for learners (in English and Spanish)		Settings: USA (North Carolina)		
Liebman et al. (2007) <sup>45</sup>	To promote health messages based on the tradition of "educación popular" using "promotoras de salud"	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Farmworkers trained by <i>promotoras</i> during home visits and small group workshops. Within four weeks, the <i>promotoras</i> returned to the home for a second visit;</li> <li>• Each family received a 16-page comic book (in Spanish) to educate parents about pesticide risks</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A simple one-page pre-assessment prior the educational intervention;</li> <li>• Post-assessment instrument;</li> <li>• Monitoring visits with <i>promotoras</i></li> </ul>	<p>Sample: Latino/Hispanic farmworkers and their families (n = 273)</p> <p>Settings: USA (New Mexico)</p>	SK SB	The project resulted in a significant increase in knowledge about the routes of exposure, the vulnerability of children, the signs and symptoms of pesticide poisonings and the ways to minimize pesticide exposures. Also behaviors aimed at minimizing pesticide exposure through accidental poisonings in the home improved
Luque et al. (2007) <sup>46</sup>	Implementation evaluation of an eye safety curriculum using the Camp Health Aide (CHA) model and a peer-educator to increase positive perceptions toward the use of safety eyewear and reduce eye injuries	Trained CHAs trained workers employing flipcharts and <i>fotonovelas</i> , and they were supported by educational training posters	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pre-training: brief survey. Focus groups at home and in the yards;</li> <li>• Evaluation: i) 3 focus groups sessions with CHAs; ii) 2 focus groups with farmworkers; iii) questionnaire (n = 74 1<sup>st</sup> year of project and n = 76 2<sup>nd</sup> year of project); iv) 18 days of field observations of farmworkers (n = 277)</li> </ul>	<p>Sample: Mexican migrant citrus farmworkers (n=55 for pre-training; evaluation: n=427)</p> <p>Settings: USA (Southwest Florida)</p>	SB SAB	The CHAs helped their fellow workers with eye washings and other injury-related activities. In 2005, a higher percentage of CHAs helped with eye drops (74%) than in 2004 (64%). Changes in workers' perceptions about the effect of wearing safety glasses on harvesting productivity, speed of harvesting, harvesting without worry, and experience with eye irritation and eye fatigue.
Monaghan et al. (2011) <sup>47</sup>	To evaluate the use of safety glasses with and without the presence and activities of trained peer-worker role models on harvesting crews	Trained Community Health Workers (CHWs), selected within the harvesters most respected by their peers. Comparison of safety eyewear adoption among 9 crews with a CHW to 4 control crews receiving safety glasses but having no CHW.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Baseline observation (repeatedly on 3 separate days over an 8-week period);</li> <li>• Observation during intervention (monitored from 4 to 15 weeks);</li> <li>• Face-to-face surveys</li> </ul>	<p>Sample: Hispanics, primarily of Mexican origin (n = 278)</p> <p>Settings: USA (Southwest Florida)</p>	SB	Intervention crews reported significantly higher rates of eyewear use than control crews. Intervention exposure time and level of worker use were strongly correlated.

Monaghan et al. (2012) <sup>48</sup>	To determine whether behavioral change increase the acceptance and use of safety glasses among citrus harvesters who have traditionally disdained use of protective eyewear	Community-based prevention marketing (CBPM) planning framework including CHW training sessions and observations by community members and university-based researchers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Participant-observer techniques;</li> <li>•Direct observations;</li> <li>•Individual and focus group interviews</li> </ul>	Sample: Most Mexican migrant workers (observed n = 108, interviewed n = 74) Settings: USA (Southwest Florida)	SB SAB HO	Access to safety glasses equipped with worker-designed features reduced the perceived barriers of using them; deployment of trained peer-leaders helped promote adoption. Workers' use of safety glasses increased from less than 2% to between 28% and 37% in less than two full harvesting seasons. Less eye irritation from dust, sand, insects, and chemicals were reported
Napolitano et al. (2002) <sup>49</sup>	To develop and evaluate a culturally appropriate and effective video aimed at teaching Latino farmworker families how to protect their children from pesticide exposure	Study divided into 4 steps: i) identifying the optimal training method and content; ii) evaluating existing educational materials on pesticides; iii) developing the selected method; iv) assessing the effectiveness of the video as an intervention	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Step I: Focus groups (in Spanish). Questionnaire to determine their preference of method</li> <li>•Step II: Pre/post test questionnaire on the knowledge;</li> <li>•Step III: Development of the video;</li> <li>•Step IV: pre-post questionnaire with a subset of participants (n = 12) 7-items satisfaction questionnaire. 2 self-reported behavior questions Follow up 1 week later</li> </ul>	Sample: parents from farmworker families born in Mexico (n = 59) Settings: USA (Oregon)	SK SB	Step I-II: parents had not consistently received pesticide education. Videos cited as the preferred method for pesticide education (52%). Step IV: pre and post-test performance did not differ for age, sex, previous training, language. Males and females of similar age and education had similar pr- test performance. Older participants scored lower than younger participants of similar education and gender. Follow up: no significant decreases in the test on self-reported knowledge 1 week later. Satisfaction: 69% of participants evaluate duration and information of the video good or excellent. Self-reported behavior: significant increase of correct behavior at home but not at work
Quandt et al. (2001) <sup>50</sup>	To design a community-based and community driven intervention using different theoretical frameworks brought together (the Precede-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recruitment and training of interested individuals from each worksite</li> <li>• Training (in Spanish) including discussion and demonstration videos and printed materials (flipchart and brochures)</li> <li>• Support of the field safety promoter with follow-up visits and educational materials.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In-depth interviews conducted in Spanish, focus groups, notes and observation from community forums Follow-up visits to worksites during the summer</li> </ul>	Sample: Total farmworkers (n = 84): African American (n = 12), Hispanic-white (n = 57), Non Hispanic-white (n = 1) Settings: USA (North Carolina)	SB	Training did actively encourage farmworkers to report infractions and become involved with the activities of the community-based organization.



	Proceed planning framework)					
Quandt et al. (2013) <sup>51</sup>	To evaluate a lay health promoter program to improve pesticide-related knowledge and practices of Worker Protection Standard (WPS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Community-based participatory research</li> <li>• Six lessons one-on-one in a minimum of five home visits (30-60 minutes)</li> <li>• Eighteen learning objectives defined</li> </ul>	Pre- and post- test questionnaires (68 items)	Sample: Latin or Hispanic (n = 658; n = 610 completed both pre/post test). 92.3% born in Mexico. Settings: USA (North Carolina)	SK SB	Ways to reduce exposure to pesticide at home: pre-test knowledge ranged from 5.4% to 55.7%; post-test knowledge ranged from 53.7% to 97.1%. WPS recommendation: pre test knowledge ranged from 18,9% to 96,6%; post test knowledge ranged from 23,2% to 99,7%. The percentage of appropriate residential practices showed significant increases ( $p=.0026$ to $p < .0001$ )
Suratman et al. (2016) <sup>28</sup>	To examine the effectiveness of an educational intervention to improve knowledge and perceptions for reducing OP (Organophosphorus pesticides) exposure among Indonesian and SA (South Australian) migrant farmworkers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A power point presentation used for Indonesian farmworkers, followed by a discussion;</li> <li>• A flipchart used to SA farmworkers with the same content followed by a discussion</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pre-test (baseline);</li> <li>• Post-test after 3 months;</li> <li>• Questionnaire (in English and translated in Indonesian language)</li> </ul>	Sample: Indonesian migrant farmworkers (n = 30) and South Australian migrant farmworkers (n =7) Settings: Indonesia Australia	SK SAB	Improvement in scores of knowledge about adverse effects of OPs and knowledge about self-protection at follow up time ( $P \leq 0.05$ ). Scores of perceived severity, perceived benefits, and cues to action did not statistically improve at follow-up time ( $P > 0.05$ ). From baseline to follow-up, scores increased by 3 points more in Indonesian farmworkers than SA migrant farmworkers.
Tovar-Aguilar et al. (2014) <sup>52</sup>	To evaluate the Community-Based Prevention Marketing (CBPM) program, to improve citrus worker health	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Select CHWs (community health workers) as trainers of their peer;</li> <li>• 20-hour training of CHWs Florida Prevention Research Center (FPRC) personnel conducting research;</li> <li>• Workers were divided in intervention crew and control crew (receive eyewear but had</li> </ul>	Focus group, face-to-face interviews, survey, participant observation	Sample: Citrus farmworkers (n = 300), 77% from Mexico, 3% from central America, and 20% N.A. Settings: USA (Florida)	SB	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Workers recognized the importance of safety glasses but few workers wore them</li> <li>• Participation of CHW increases usage of PPE from 2% to 37%. Crews with CHWs had significantly higher rates of eyewear use than control crews (<math>t = -3.070</math>; <math>p = .012</math>).</li> <li>• Strong correlation between use of glasses and contact with CHW</li> </ul>

Vela Acosta et al. (2005) <sup>53</sup>	To evaluate a pesticide risk reduction program developed in a bilingual format	no CHW) A 60 min. pesticide bilingual program training. Flipchart was used interactively, and farmworkers were asked to respond to real or simulated case scenarios (Spanish)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pre-test (within 2 weeks);</li> <li>• Post-test 1 week after pesticide program;</li> <li>• Safety Risk Perception (SRP) 13 questions (Likert scale);</li> <li>• Health Locus of Control (HLC) 18 items each (Likert scale) ;</li> <li>• Transtheoretical model (TTM) 20 questions safety behaviors;</li> <li>• All translated from English to Spanish</li> </ul>	Sample: Hispanic farmworkers (n = 152) divided into experimental group (n =77) and control group (n = 75) Settings: USA (Colorado)	SK SB	The bilingual pesticide program effectively increased farmworkers' pesticide knowledge (p=0.0001), SRP (p=0.0001), and two (out of four) behavioral outcomes. Workers with external health locus of control were less likely to adopt safety behaviors (P=0.0001).
---	--	--	---	---	----------	--

## HIGHLIGHTS.

- Training can contribute to reduce migrant farmworkers' risk exposure.
- A scoping review of the available literature on migrant farmworkers' training effectiveness was conducted.
- Improvements in safety knowledge were not always mirrored by an increased adoption of safety behaviors.
- Training programs based on a participatory community approach were the most effective.