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A B S T R A C T

A dynamic adjustment mechanism, based on replicator dynamics in discrete time, is used to study the time evolution of a population of players facing a binary choice game with social influence, characterized by payoff curves that intersect at two interior points, also denoted as thresholds. So, besides the boundary equilibria where all players make the same choice, there are two further steady states where agents playing different strategies coexist and get identical payoffs. Such binary game can be interpreted as a club good game, in which players have to choose either joining or not the club in the presence of cost sharing, so that they can enjoy a good or a service provided that a “participation” threshold is reached. At the same time congestion occurs beyond a second higher threshold. These binary choice models, can be used (and indeed have been used in the literature) to represent several social and economic decisions, such as technology adoption, joining a commercial club, R&D investments, production delocalization, programs for environmental protection. Existence and stability of equilibrium points are studied, as well as the creation of more complex attractors (periodic or chaotic) related with overshooting effects. The study of some local and global dynamic properties of the evolutionary model proposed reveals that the presence of the “participation” threshold causes the creation of complex topological structures of the basins of coexisting attracting sets, so that a strong path dependence is observed. The dynamic effects of memory, both in the form of convex combination of a finite number of previous observation (moving average) and in the form of memory with increasing length and exponentially fading weights are investigated as well.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Concepts like bounded rationality, social influence, evolution, imitation, underlay the recent developments of behavioral economics (for a review on behavioral economics the reader may consult Camerer and Loewenstein, 2004 and Thaler, 2016). However, well before the recent success of this discipline, Schelling (1973) studied situations in which choices between two alternatives are influenced by social interactions, and named such situations “binary choices with externalities”. Through lively and memorable examples (see e.g. Dixit, 2006) he qualitatively described stylized situations where social influence affects choices not by direct strategic interaction rather via externalities (Laffont, 2008). This way, although individuals are concerned about their own interest (unbounded selfishness, see Thaler and Mullainathan, 2001), others’ action have an impact on their payoffs. The consequences of these interactions are connected to complexity Arthur (2013) and it is interesting to study the patterns in collective behavior emerging from the interdependence generated by externalities.
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Collective behavior has been studied by several disciplines such as sociology (Granovetter and Soong, 1983), politics (Hovi, 1986), social psychology (Allport, 1924), industrial organization (Fazeli and Jadbabaie, 2012), marketing (Mahajan et al., 1990), and communication (van Ginneken, 2003) to name a few. In fact, according to Granovetter (1978), several processes such as residential segregation, voting, crowd behavior, diffusion of innovations and consumption choices can be considered as situations in which people adopt new norms and abandon existing ones.

When assuming that binary decisions can be repeatedly modified over time, such models are particularly meaningful in a dynamic setting, where an evolutive adaptive process is introduced to mimic how agents switch from one choice to another according to the observed payoff differences.

Dynamic binary games expressed in the form of piecewise differentiable discrete dynamical systems, have been studied in Bischi and Merlone (2009, 2010), where different kinds of long run behaviors have been evidenced, such as convergence to an equilibrium situation or endless self-sustained endogenous oscillations, either periodic or chaotic. However, as explained in Bischi and Merlone (2017), order to describe how groups of individuals within a population change their strategy over time based on payoff comparisons, it is more convenient to consider an evolutionary games approach. Therefore, a discrete-time evolutionary model, based on replicator dynamics in the form proposed by Cabrales and Sobel (1992) (see also Hofbauer and Sigmund, 2003) has been proposed in Bischi and Merlone (2017) to describe minority games, i.e. situations where payoff curves intersect at a given "congestion" threshold such that a higher payoff is gained by agents choosing the less selected strategy. In this paper the same evolutionary approach is followed to investigate the case of payoff curves with two intersections: an higher intersection representing a "congestion" threshold similar to the one existing in a minority game and a lower threshold representing a "participation" threshold that marks a level of selection of a strategy below which the same strategy is dominated, i.e. its payoff is lower, like in an economic system characterized by increasing returns, (Arthur, 1994). Such a situation may be referred as a club good game, in which players have to choose either joining or not the club in the presence of cost sharing, so that they can enjoy a good or a service provided that a participation threshold is reached. At the same time congestion occurs beyond a second higher threshold. These models are quite common in the economic and social literature, for example for the description of a population of economic agents that decide, at each time step, if they want to contribute or not to a public project which is launched if and only if a certain level of contributions is reached, as well as other similar situations including taxation, Research and Development (R&D) expenditures, etc. (see e.g. Bolle, 2014; Schelling, 1973; Granovetter, 1978 for several examples of such binary games). In order to model such a general dynamic framework, we consider the case of two different options, denoted by R and L, characterized by payoff functions \( R(x) \) and \( L(x) \) respectively, which depend on the fraction \( x \) of the population of players making a given choice, say \( R \) (L being chosen by the complementary fraction \( 1 - x \)) with just two intersection, or thresholds, to represent cases where \( L \) is more convenient (or dominant) for low and high values of \( x \) and \( R \) is preferred for intermediate values of \( x \). To give a general interpretation of this framework, let the option \( R \) represent the choice of an individual of getting a given club good or service, i.e. a good or service both excludable and rival\(^1\) (Mankiw and Taylor, 2014, p. 222). In fact, goods can be classified in terms of their exclusive use and how being used by more consumers may decrease their cost, due to sharing cost effects, see Buchanan (1965). Moreover, Buchanan (1965) when closing the gap between the purely public and the purely private goods, considers total cost and total benefit per person using the good and specifically introduces a point in which congestion sets in and the evaluation of the good falls.

Several cases of binary choices with these two threshold levels can be found in the literature. For example, Bolle (2014) considers the decision of limiting environmental pollution. On one hand, if nobody (or very few) will reduce pollution then the isolated decision of an agent to reduce pollution is useless. On the other hand, if most agents stick to the decision of not polluting then environment condition will improve enough even without all the agents joining this decision. In both cases the total cost is larger than the total benefit for the agents deciding to limit environmental pollution. By contrast, when the fraction of agents limiting environmental pollution lies between these two thresholds the benefit coming from lower environmental pollution is larger than the cost of reducing pollution.

Another meaningful example is given when considering the trade-off between R&D expenditures and knowledge spillovers among firms producing similar goods in an industrial district (see e.g. Bischi et al., 2003a; Bischi et al., 2003b; Bischi and Lamantia, 2002) In order to produce and sell a given product, R&D investments may be quite inefficient if nobody else produces the same good, because no know-how exists – for example it may be difficult to recruit workers with proper training. By contrast, it is well known that in the framework of an industrial district, where a few firms produce similar goods R&D expenditures are more effective given the positive cost externalities Marshall (1920). Finally, in an industrial districts where the majority of firms produce the same good and invest in R&D, a single firm may take advantage, for free, of the competitor’s R&D results, due to the difficulties to protect intellectual properties and to avoid spillovers of skilled workers among competing firms. As a final example, when assuming that social interactions are among the internal motives associated with attending events (Bencendorff and Pearce, 2012; Morgan, 2009) we can extend the El Farol bar minority game (Arthur, 1994) by introducing a lower threshold to mimic the fact that the presence of just a few people in the bar makes it unattractive.

\(^1\) We recall that a good is \textit{excludable} when a person can be prevented from using it when she does not pay for it” (Mankiw and Taylor, 2014, p. 222) and it is called \textit{rival} when a one person use diminishes other people use” (Mankiw and Taylor, 2014, p. 222).
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These are just a few among several economic and social examples that can be described by payoff functions with two internal intersections, so a study of the dynamic scenarios generated in this case may be useful. As it will become clearer in the following, an important consequence of the presence of the lower "club participation" threshold is the occurrence of a severe path dependence due to the creation of complicated structures of the basins of coexisting attractors. Such global dynamic effects of the dynamical systems we are going to consider are mathematically interesting since they give useful information on some typical nonlinear effects. In this paper we show that such complex behaviors are related to the folding properties of noninvertible dynamical systems that may cause the creation of particular structures of the basins of attraction leading to extreme forms of path dependence.

Effects of nonlinearity in economic modelling have been extensively studied in the literature, one of the pioneering books in this field being Chiarella (1990), whereas recent surveys that give the latest trends of nonlinear economic dynamics, in particular with reference to global methods based on a continuous dialogue between analytic, graphical and numerical tools can be found in the volumes of collected papers (Bischi et al., 2010; Dieci et al., 2014). In the spirit of such a stream of literature, this paper tries to join a standard evolutionary model of binary choices with methods for the study of global dynamics, and we show that the presence of the lower "club participation" threshold may give rise to several kinds of long run dynamics, ranging from convergence to a pure strategy with all agents making the same choice to different mixed strategies situations, with stationary or oscillatory (periodic or chaotic) patterns. In this paper we show that such coexistence may be associated with the occurrence of global bifurcations leading to complex topological structures of the basins of attraction, hence a strong path dependence, that can be described in terms of critical sets, a tool for the analysis of global dynamics of noninvertible maps (Agliari et al., 2002; Mira et al., 1996).

In this paper we also address another intriguing topic, which is often studied in this context, related to the effects of memory or the presence of time lags in the decision process, i.e. the players’ decisions are not only based on the current payoffs observed, but they take account of payoffs observed in the past as well. Indeed, the effect of memory reveals to be not univocal, as several ambiguous conclusions can be found in the literature (a comparison of the titles of references Cavagna, 1999 and Challet and Marsili, 2000 is quite emblematic). In the context of minority games the problem of memory has been recently analyzed in Dindo (2005) and Bischi and Merlone (2017), whereas more references exist in oligopoly modelling, see e.g. Chiarella (1991), Chiarella and Szidarovszky (2003), Chiarella and Szidarovszky (2004). Following Bischi and Merlone (2017), besides the classical replicator dynamics with no memory, we also consider the case in which decisions are taken by using a convex combination of the current observation and some previous ones, i.e., a sort of moving average.

To sum up, the aim of this paper is threefold: we introduce a club participation (or sharing cost) threshold in minority games linking these kinds of interactions to the contributions on club goods; we analyze the dynamics of a binary choice model with two threshold values, both without and with memory, that represents several economic and social applications, compared with the analogous models analyzed in the case of a single threshold (namely, minority games, see Bischi and Merlone, 2017); and, finally, we provide an exemplary application of methods to study the global bifurcations leading to the creation of complex topological structures of the basins of attraction.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the basic setup of the model of repeated binary game with evolutionary dynamics based on exponential replicator, and results on local and global dynamic properties of such one-dimensional dynamic model are given, Section 3 proposes the generalization of the model by considering a finite memory of length 1, and analyzes the corresponding two-dimensional map to investigate the effects of memory on local stability of equilibrium points as well as the global analysis of the basins and the global (or contact) bifurcations that change their topological structure. Then, in Section 4, infinite and exponentially discounted memory is introduced and the corresponding dynamical system is again reduced to an equivalent two-dimensional map. Finally, the last section is devoted to some concluding remarks about the economic meaning of the results through a comparison with the economic and social literature.

2. The model of binary choice with replicator dynamics

2.1. Dynamic model setup

Let us consider a population of players, each facing a binary choice between strategies $R$ and $L$, and let $x(t) \in [0, 1]$ be the fraction of agents playing $R$ at time period $t$ (consequently the complementary fraction $1 - x(t)$ plays $L$ at the same time period). The individual payoff of an agent employing a given strategy at time $t$ is assumed to depend only on the number of agents making the same choice, say $R(t) = R(x(t))$ and $L(t) = L(x(t))$. According to the shape of the two payoff functions $R(x)$ and $L(x)$, defined in the unitary interval $x \in [0, 1]$, several situations can be considered, ranging from the well known $n$-players prisoner’s dilemma (with e.g. $R(x) > L(x)$ $\forall x \in [0, 1]$ and $R(1) < L(0)$) to minority games (with just one intersection and $R(0) > L(0) > R(1) < L(1)$ so that $R$ is dominant with small values of $x$ and dominated with high values) as well as many other cases characterized by several intersections between the two payoff curves, as described in Schelling (1973, 1978) or Granovetter (1978), Granovetter and Soong (1983).

Assuming that at each time period $t = 0, 1, \ldots$ the payoffs $R(x(t))$ and $L(x(t))$ obtained by agents that belong to both fractions of players are common knowledge, we describe the dynamic adaptive process that describes the time evolution of the number of agents choosing $R$ by using the same kind of exponential replicator dynamics as in Bischi and Merlone (2017),
based on the monotone selection dynamics proposed in Cabrales and Sobel (1992), see also Hofbauer and Sigmund (2003):

\[ x(t + 1) = f(x(t)) = \frac{x(t) \exp(\alpha R(x(t)))}{x(t) \exp(\alpha R(x(t))) + (1 - x(t)) \exp(\alpha L(x(t)))} \]

(1)

where the "gain" function

\[ g(x) = R(x) - L(x) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \]

(2)

has been introduced so that positive values of \( g(x) \) cause an increase of the fraction of agents choosing \( R \) whereas negative values of \( g \) cause an increase of the number of agents choosing \( L \), the intensity of transition at time \( t \) to the dominant choice being proportional to \( g(x(t)) \) through the proportionality coefficient \( \alpha > 0 \), called "speed of reaction", a parameter that expresses the propensity to switch to the opposite choice as a consequence of a payoff gain observed at the current time period. This form of discrete-time replicator equation has the crucial property that if \( x(0) \in [0, 1) \) then \( x(t) \in [0, 1) \) for each \( t \geq 0 \), as it follows from the evident inequality \( 0 \leq \frac{x}{x + (1 - x) \exp(-\alpha g(x))} \leq 1 \).

In Bischi and Merlone (2017) this dynamic setup has been applied to the description of minority games, characterized by a unique intersection between the payoff curves and the property that players gain higher payoff when they choose the strategy which is chosen by the minority of players, i.e. \( R(x) \) is higher than \( L(x) \) when \( x \) is small, whereas \( R(x) \) is less than \( L(x) \) for values of \( x \) close to 1. Instead, in this paper we consider the case of two interior intersections describing a situation in which one alternative, e.g. \( R \), is convenient for intermediate values of the fraction \( x \) of agents choosing it, whereas the opposite choice \( L \) has higher payoff when extreme polarization occurs, both for values of \( x \) close to 0 and values close to 1.

So, in the following we shall consider payoff functions according to the following assumption.

**Assumption on payoff functions.** \( R : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) and \( L : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) are differentiable functions such that \( R(0) < L(0) \), \( R(1) < L(1) \) and \( R(x) > L(x) \) if and only if \( q^* < x < p^* \) where the two thresholds \( q^* \) and \( p^* \) are such that \( 0 < q^* < p^* < 1 \), with the transversality condition \( R(q^*) > L(q^*) \) and \( R(p^*) < L(p^*) \).

2.2. Existence and stability of steady states

It is straightforward to see that \( x_0^* = 0 \) and \( x_1^* = 1 \), where all players play \( L^* \) and all players play \( R^* \) respectively, are boundary equilibrium points. Moreover, interior equilibria exist at any \( x^* \) such that \( g(x^*) = 0 \), i.e. characterized by identical payoffs \( L(x^*) = R(x^*) \). A consequence of the assumption on payoff functions given above is that \( R(q^*) = L(q^*) \) and \( R(p^*) = L(p^*) \), due to continuity of the payoff functions. Hence the two thresholds are also interior equilibrium points for the dynamic model 1, as \( g(q^*) = g(p^*) = 0 \), with slopes of the gain function characterized by \( g'(q^*) > 0 \) and \( g'(p^*) < 0 \) respectively.

The following result holds: a proof is given in the Appendix.

**Proposition 1.** Under the assumptions on payoff functions stated above, the equilibrium point \( x_0^* = 0 \) is always locally asymptotically stable, the equilibrium points \( q^* \) and \( x_1^* = 1 \) are always unstable, whereas \( p^* \) is locally asymptotically stable provided that

\[ \alpha < \alpha_f = \frac{2}{p^*(1 - p^*)g'(p^*)} \]

(3)

where \( g'(p^*) = R'(p^*) - L'(p^*) < 0 \) is the slope difference between two payoff curves at \( p^* \). If the parameter \( \alpha \) increases across the bifurcation value \( \alpha_f \) then \( p^* \) becomes unstable through a flip (or period doubling) bifurcation.

A typical graph of the payoff curves \( R(x) \) and \( L(x) \) considered in this paper is shown in the left panel of Fig. 1, and the corresponding graph of the one-dimensional map \( f(x) \) defined in (1) is represented in the right panel, with its bimodal shape obtained with suitable values (not too small) of the parameter \( \alpha \), with relative maximum and minimum points at \( x_{\text{max}} < x_{\text{min}} \), respectively, and corresponding relative maximum and minimum values \( c_{\text{max}} = f(x_{\text{max}}) < c_{\text{min}} = f(x_{\text{min}}) \). The particular expressions of the payoff functions used to get this figure, as well as the numerical simulations performed in the following, are given by

\[ R(x) = ax(1 - x), \quad a > 0, \quad L(x) = cx + d \]

(4)

with parameters \( a = 8, \quad c = d = 1 \). This particular set of parameters is just fixed in order to have two interior intersections, namely \( q^* = 0.18 \) and \( p^* = 0.69 \), and the flip bifurcation of \( p^* \) obtained for increasing values of the speed of reaction \( \alpha \) occurs at \( \alpha_f \approx 2.29 \). So, in the dynamic scenario shown in the right panel of Fig. 1, obtained for \( \alpha = 2 \), two attracting equilibria coexist, \( x_0^* \) and \( p^* \), whose basins of attraction \( B(x_0^*) = (0, q^*) \) and \( B(p^*) = (q^*, 1) \), represented with different colors along the diagonal in the figure, are contiguous open intervals separated by the unstable equilibrium \( q^* \). If the initial share of population of agents that choose option \( R \) is less than the threshold value \( q^* \) then the endogenous evolutionary dynamics will lead to the extinction of such fraction, i.e. all agents will choose \( L \) in the long run, whereas initial share of agents choosing \( R \) above \( q^* \) will evolve towards the equilibrium \( p^* \) where both options are chosen, each by a positive population fraction.
Fig. 1. Left: Payoff functions $R(x)$ and $L(x)$ according to (4) with parameters $a = 8$, $c = d = 1$. Right: graph of the map $f(x)$ defined in (1) with payoff functions (4) and speed of reaction $\alpha = 2$. Different colors along the diagonal represent the basins of attraction of the two stable equilibrium points $x_0^* = 0$ and $p^* = 0.69$.

Fig. 2. Left: Bifurcation diagram obtained for increasing values of the speed of reaction $\alpha$ and the same payoff functions as in Fig. 1. Right: graph of the function $f(x)$ with $\alpha = 3.2$ after the contact bifurcation leading to non connected basins.

Similar results are also obtained with different choices of functions $R(x)$ and $L(x)$ satisfying the assumptions stated above. In fact, in any case (even if both $R(x)$ and $L(x)$ are monotonic functions with two interior intersections) the shape of the function $f(x)$ that governs the dynamic evolution is essentially the same, with critical points $x_{\min}^*$ and $x_{\max}^*$ existing for sufficiently high values of the speed of reaction $\alpha$.

2.3. Two different kinds of complexity

As the speed of reaction $\alpha$ is increased, two routes to dynamic complexity can be observed: one related to the creation of more complex attractors around $p^*$, say $A(p^*)$, nested inside the trapping region $[f(c_{\max})]$, the other one related to the creation of complex topological structures of the basins of attraction, which are transformed from connected intervals into the union of several (even infinitely many) disjoint portions.

The first kind of complexity is opened by the flip bifurcation at which $p^*$ loses stability, occurring for increasing values of $\alpha$ across the local bifurcation value $\alpha_f$ according to proposition 1, leading to the well known period doubling route to chaos (see the bifurcation diagram in the left panel of Fig. 2). It is worth noticing that the attractor $A(p^*)$ around the unstable fixed point $p^*$, which may be periodic or chaotic, is bounded above by the maximum value $c_{\max}$ and below by its image $f(c_{\max})$ (see the right panel of Fig. 2). Indeed, as a matter of fact it is quite evident that if we iterate the map $f$ starting from any initial condition in the basin $\mathcal{B}(A(p^*))$, then no values can be obtained above $c_{\max}$, and consequently no values can be mapped below its image $f(c_{\max})$. In other words, interval $[f(c_{\max}), c_{\max}]$ is trapping, i.e. any trajectory generated from an initial condition in $\mathcal{B}(A(p^*))$ enters it after a finite number of iterations and then never goes out of it.

However, as it can be seen in the figure, another remarkable (global) bifurcation occurred, causing the transformation of the basins into a non connected set. The outcome of this second route to complexity is caused by the presence of the threshold value $q^*$ and the fact that the iterated map $f$ is noninvertible, or $\ldots$ many to one”, i.e. distinct points exist that
are mapped into the same image, say $x_1 \neq x_2$ such that $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$. This can be equivalently stated by saying that in the range of the map $f$ there are points with several distinct preimages, so it can be divided into different portions, or zones, characterized by a different number of preimages. In the particular case of the map $f$ in (1), following the notation introduced in Mira et al. (1996), we can say that it is a $Z_1 - Z_3 - Z_3$ map, where $Z_k$ denotes the set of points that have $k$ rank-1 preimages, being $Z_1 = [0, c_{\min}) \cup (c_{\max}, 1]$ and $Z_3 = [c_{\min}, c_{\max}]$.

On the basis of this mathematical background, it is now easy to realize that in the situation shown in Fig. 1 the unstable fixed point $q^* \in Z_1$, hence it has only one preimage given by itself (being it a fixed point, $f(q^*) = q^*$). This is the reason why it is the unique boundary point that separates the two basins of attraction. This is true as far as $q^* < c_{\min}$. As the parameter $\alpha$ increases, the minimum value $c_{\min} = f(x_{\min})$ is shifted downwards and when it reaches $q^*$ and crosses it, so that $c_{\min} \geq q^*$, then $q^*$, as well as a portion of $\mathcal{B}(x_0^*)$, enters $Z_3$, as it can be seen in Fig. 2 where $q^* \in Z_3$. This implies that now two more preimages exist, say $q_{-1}^*(1)$ and $q_{-1}^*(2)$, both belonging to the basin boundary as well (see the right panel of Fig. 2). So, the contact of the threshold $q^*$ with the critical point $c_{\min}$ marks the occurrence of a global (or contact) bifurcation at which a portion of $\mathcal{B}(x_0^*)$, say $H_0$, enters $Z_3$ and its rank-1 preimages form a new disjoint portion of the basin of attraction $\mathcal{B}(x_0^*)$.

However this is not the end of the story, as the non connected portion of $\mathcal{B}(x_0^*)$, given by $H_{-1} = (q_{-1}^*(1), q_{-1}^*(2)) \in Z_1$, has further preimages, represented by smaller and smaller portions (really infinitely many, a countable set of preimages of higher rank) that accumulate in a left neighborhood of the unstable equilibrium $x_1^* = 1$ (not visible in Fig. 2 because too small).

Moreover, as the parameter $\alpha$ increases, the maximum value $c_{\max}$ moves upwards until it has a contact with $\mathcal{B}(x_0^*)$ at the point $q_{-1}^*(1)$. This marks another remarkable global bifurcation, at which $q_{-1}^*(1)$ (as well as a portion of $H_{-1}$) enters $Z_3$ so that infinitely many portions of $\mathcal{B}(x_0^*)$ (preimages of any rank of the portion of $H_{-1} \in Z_3$) are created inside the former trapping interval ($f(c_{\max})$, $c_{\max}$) (no longer trapping, of course) and densely distributed inside by the typical process that reduces the former basin $\mathcal{B}(A(p^*))$ to a zero measure Cantor set (see Fig. 3 where only the main holes of $\mathcal{B}(x_0^*)$ are shown). Indeed, after this contact between $c_{\max}$ and the basin boundary, the chaotic attractor $A(p^*)$ is transformed into a chaotic repellor through a global bifurcation called “final bifurcation” in Mira et al. (1996) (see also Abraham et al. (1997)) or “boundary crisis” in Grebogi et al. (1983). After this bifurcation the only attractor remains $x_0^* = 0$, as it is clearly seen in the bifurcation diagram shown in the left panel of Fig. 2 for $\alpha > 3.7$ approximately.

To sum up, the presence of the threshold point $q^*$ gives rise to the coexistence of two attractors, each with its own basin of attraction, the threshold being the boundary, or watershed, that separates the two basins. Moreover, the bimodal shape of the map $f$ that gives the time evolution of the adaptive process defined by the replicator dynamics, together with the presence of the threshold $q^*$, for increasing values of the speed of reaction $\alpha$ gives rise to remarkable global bifurcations leading to the creation of complex topological structures of the basins implying a strong path dependence.

In the following we shall investigate the effects, on the dynamic properties of the evolutionary model after the introduction of memory effects.
3. The model with finite memory

3.1. Dynamic model setup

The evolutionary model proposed in the previous section is based on current payoffs, that is, the players’ decisions about the next period strategy choice are based on the knowledge of current payoffs only. A generalization of this assumption consists in replacing the current payoff with a weighted average of it and some of the previously observed ones. That is, we consider a form of memory in the model (1) by assuming that players decide to switch their strategy according to an average of the payoffs observed during the more recent $M$ time periods (a sort of moving average, see e.g. Chiarella et al. (2006), see also Bischi and Merlone (2017))

$$\begin{align*}
U_R(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{M} \omega_k R(t-k); \quad U_L(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{M} \omega_k L(t-k)
\end{align*}$$

where $M$ is the length of memory and $\omega_k$ are the weights, normalized according to $\sum_{k=0}^{M} \omega_k = 1$. Of course, for $M = 0$ the case with no memory is obtained, and for $M > 0$ the distribution of weights can be used to modulate the “shape” of past memory. The model (1) with (5), given by

$$x(t+1) = \frac{x(t)}{x(t) + (1-x(t)) \exp[-\alpha(U_R(t)-U_L(t))]}$$

becomes a difference equation of order $M + 1$, equivalent to a $M + 1$ dimensional discrete dynamical system. In order to investigate the memory effects and, at the same time, maintain a low dimensionality so that the model is still analytically tractable, we consider the case $M = 1$ with weights $\omega_0 = \omega$ and $\omega_1 = (1-\omega)$, that is

$$\begin{align*}
U_R(t) &= (1-\omega)R(x(t)) + \omega R(x(t-1)) \quad \text{and} \\
U_L(t) &= (1-\omega)L(x(t)) + \omega L(x(t-1))
\end{align*}$$

where $\omega \in [0, 1]$, so that only the current payoff is considered, for $\omega = 0$, whereas agents only consider the payoff of the previous period (ignoring the current one) for $\omega = 1$, i.e. a situation of lagged information. An uniform average of the two payoffs is obtained when $\omega = \frac{1}{2}$, i.e. when considering the arithmetic mean. In the following we shall only consider weights in the range $\omega \in [0, 0.5]$ in order to get non increasing memory of past states, so that this finite memory case can be compared with the infinite discounted memory of Section 4.

The model can be expressed as a two-dimensional discrete dynamical system after the introduction of the auxiliary variable $y(t) = x(t-1)$.

$$\begin{align*}
x(t+1) &= \frac{x(t) \exp[\alpha((1-\omega)R(x(t)) + \omega R(y(t)))]}{x(t) \exp[\alpha((1-\omega)R(x(t)) + \omega R(y(t))) + (1-x(t)) \exp[\alpha((1-\omega)L(x(t)) + \omega L(y(t)))]} \\
y(t+1) &= x(t)
\end{align*}$$

3.2. Existence and stability of steady states

It is easy to check that the equilibria are the same as in the model without memory, i.e. $x^* = x^*$ with $x^*$ at the boundaries (0, 1) or at the interior intersections where $R(x^*) = L(x^*)$. However, the stability conditions are influenced by the “memory parameter” $\omega$, as stated by the following proposition.

Proposition 2. Let $O^* = (0, 0)$, $Q^* = (g^*, q^*)$, $P^* = (p^*, p^*)$ and $I^* = (1, 1)$ where $g^*$ and $p^*$ are the interior intersections of the payoff functions $R(x)$ and $L(x)$. Then $O^*$ is a stable node; $I^*$ is an unstable node; $Q^*$ is a saddle point; $P^*$ is locally asymptotically stable if $\omega_f < \omega < \omega_h$, with

$$\omega_f = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\alpha p^*(1-p^*)g'(p^*)}$$

and

$$\omega_h = -\frac{1}{\alpha p^*(1-p^*)g'(p^*)}$$

where $\omega_f < \omega_h$ provided that

$$\alpha x^*(1-x^*)g''(x^*) > -4.$$  

Under this latter assumption, if the memory parameter $\omega$ exits the stability interval $[\omega_f, \omega_h]$ decreasing through the lower bound $\omega_f$ then $P^*$ loses stability through a flip bifurcation. If $\omega$ exits the stability interval increasing through the upper bound $\omega_h$ then $P^*$ loses stability through a Neimark-Sacker bifurcation.
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A proof of this Proposition is given in the Appendix, where it is worth noticing that, as expected, the condition for the flip bifurcation of $P^*$, i.e. $P(-1) = 2 + \alpha (1 - 2 \omega) P'(1 - P^*) g'(P^*) = 0$ (see the Appendix) for $\omega = 0$ reduces to the one given in Proposition 1 for the model without memory. Moreover, starting from a value of the speed of reaction $\alpha$ such that $-4 < \alpha P'(1 - P^*) g'(P^*) < -2$, according to Proposition 2 the equilibrium $P^*$ is stable for intermediate values of the memory parameter $\omega$, i.e. when the weighted average is close to the uniform arithmetic mean, whereas $P^*$ is unstable for the model without memory, according to Proposition 1. Instead, both the asymmetric averages that give too much weight to the current value or to the previous value, generate oscillatory dynamics. A typical bifurcation diagram, obtained with the payday functions defined in (4) with $a = 8$, $c = d = 1$ and speed of reaction $\alpha = 3$ is shown in the left panel of Fig. 4.

As it can be seen, this bifurcation diagram not only confirms the existence of the stability range analytically computed in Proposition 2, but also provides numerical evidence for the supercritical nature of the two local bifurcations, as a stable oscillation of period 2 is obtained as the memory parameter $\omega$ decreases below $\omega_c$, and a stable quasi-periodic motion along a closed invariant curve is observed as $\omega$ increases above $\omega_c$. Moreover, a representation of the trajectories, the attractors and the basins of attraction in the phase space $(x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ clearly show that a unique interior attractor, say $A(P^*)$, exists for this set of parameters.

However, coexistence of several interior attractors can be easily observed with different parameters’ constellations. Indeed, the presence of coexisting attractors is not an exception, but rather becomes the rule, when memory is introduced in the model. As coexistence of attractors implies path dependence, agents’ memory makes the dynamics more complex, consistent to empirical examples as the ones analyzed in Schreyögg et al. (2011).

3.3. Coexistence of attractors and basins’ bifurcations

For $\alpha = 3.7$, the superposition of two bifurcation diagrams shown in the right panel of Fig. 4, one obtained with increasing values of the bifurcation parameter $\omega$ and the other one with decreasing values, reveals that in the range of intermediate values of the bifurcation parameter $\omega$, approximately with $\omega \in [0.1906, 0.3093]$, two interior attractors coexist: the stable equilibrium $P^*$ (or a different attractor $A(P^*)$ around it) for values of the memory parameter $\omega$ outside the stability range $[\omega_1, \omega_2]$) and a stable cycle of period 3. Together with the boundary stable equilibrium $O^*$ this gives rise to a dynamic situation characterized by the coexistence of three attractors, each with its own basin of attraction. Of course, this represents a more complex dynamic scenario, in particular a more complicated distribution of three basins of attraction that share the phase plane, thus determining a more crucial role of initial conditions, that may lead to three different kinds of long run behaviors: convergence to the equilibrium $O^*$ where all players play $L$, or to the equilibrium $P^*$ with fixed fractions of players playing $R$ and $L$, or to an oscillatory behaviour (periodic in this case, but slight chances of the parameters can easily transform the latter attractor into a chaotic one). In other words, the presence of memory may stabilize the interior equilibrium $P^*$ but at the same time may give a stronger path dependence.

It is worth noticing that such a coexistence could not be predicted by any analytical local analysis of the dynamical system, and if our analysis were limited to the proof of Proposition 2, together with its immediate numerical confirmation given by the bifurcation diagram in the left panel of Fig. 4, then a quite incomplete, and even misleading, description of the dynamic properties of the evolutionary model considered would be given. Instead, when such multistability is numerically revealed, a study of the basins of attraction of the three coexisting attractors becomes crucial in order to manage path dependence, i.e. how the three attractors share the phase space on which initial conditions are taken.

In order to illustrate the occurrence of global bifurcations leading to complex topological structures of the basins numerical explorations are necessary, guided by the mathematical background on noninvertible maps and critical curves, the two-dimensional analogue of critical points already used in the previous section (see the Appendix for a general definition of critical curves and their particular expression for the model analyzed in this paper).

Let us start with a dynamic scenario characterized by the coexistence of two attractors, the equilibrium $O^*$ and the chaotic attractor $A(P^*)$ existing around the equilibrium $P^*$ obtained with the same set of parameters as in the bifurcation diagram in right panel of Figure 4 and memory parameter $\omega = 0.1$. This situation, shown in the left panel of Fig. 5, is similar to the one described for the one-dimensional model without memory, with a simply connected basin of $A(P^*)$ represented by the white region and the basin of $O^*$ represented by the green region. The basin boundary is formed by the stable set of the saddle point $Q$. The critical curves $L_{-1}$ and $LC$ are represented as well, whose analytical expression is given in the Appendix. $LC$ divides the phase plane into regions $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ whose points have one or three rank-1 preimages respectively. As it can be seen in the figure, a segment of $LC$ is close to a contact with the right boundary of the basin $B(O^*)$. Indeed, this contact occurs for increasing values of the speed of reaction $\alpha$, as shown in the central panel of Fig. 5 obtained with $\alpha = 3.9$. At this stage the attractor $A(P^*)$ is a cycle of period 4, a “periodic window”, but this has no influence on the global bifurcation: After the contact a new portion $H_0$ of the basin $B(O^*)$ enters $Z_2$ and the two extra preimages, located at opposite sides of $LC_{-1}$ and merging along it, form a hole of $B(O^*)$ nested inside $B(A(P^*))$, denoted by $H_{-1}$ in the figure. The mechanism leading to non connected basins is essentially the same as the one analyzed in Fig. 2 for the model without memory. Other non connected portions exist, preimages of higher rank of $H_0$, but they are not visible in the figure (one can be seen in the right panel). Also the final bifurcation, at which the attractor $A(P^*)$ is transformed into a chaotic repeller, occurs for higher values of $\alpha$, like in the one-dimensional model without memory. The contact that marks the occurrence of such global bifurcation is shown in the right panel of Fig. 5, obtained for $\alpha = 3.96$.
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Fig. 4. Model with finite memory (7). Left: Bifurcation diagram obtained for increasing values of the memory parameter $\omega$ and other parameters fixed at the values $a = 8$, $c = d = 1$, $\alpha = 3$. Right: For $\alpha = 3.7$ and other parameters as in the left panel, superposition of two bifurcation diagrams, one obtained with increasing values and the other one with decreasing values of the bifurcation parameter $\omega$, each trajectory being obtained by taking the last iterated point of the previous one as initial condition.

Fig. 5. Attractors and basins in the phase space of the model with finite memory (7). Left: With the same set of parameters as in the right panel of Fig. 4 and memory parameter $\omega = 0.1$. Critical curves $LC$ and $LC_\perp$ are represented as well Center: $\alpha = 3.9$. Right: $\alpha = 3.96$.

Similar contact bifurcations can be detected even in the case of three coexisting attractors, as it can be seen in the sequence of dynamic situations shown in Fig. 6. The three figures are obtained with the same set of parameters as in the bifurcation diagram of the right panel of Fig. 4 and different values of the memory parameter $\omega$ in the range of coexistence of three attractors. In the left panel, where $\omega = 0.23$, the equilibrium $P^*$ is a stable focus, whose basin of attraction $\mathfrak{B}(P^*)$ is represented by the yellow region, a stable cycle of period 3, say $C_3$, attracts the initial condition taken in $\mathfrak{B}(C_3)$ represented by the red region and, finally, the usual stable equilibrium $O^*$ exists, whose basin $\mathfrak{B}(O^*)$ is represented by the green region. In this dynamic scenario two segments of $LC$ are close to basin boundaries: a contact between $LC$ and $\mathfrak{B}(O^*)$ in the upper-left part of the figure and a possible contact between $LC$ and $\mathfrak{B}(C_3)$ indicated by the arrow. The effects of these two contacts are shown in the central panel of Fig. 6, obtained with $\omega = 0.25$: After the portion of $\mathfrak{B}(O^*)$ exits $Z_1$ to stay in $Z_2$ the strip of $\mathfrak{B}(O^*)$ on the right becomes disconnected, formed by two non connected tongues. As far as the other contact is concerned, a portion (say $K_0$) of $\mathfrak{B}(C_3)$ enters $Z_3$ and as a consequence new non connected portions of $\mathfrak{B}(C_3)$ are created on the left. Moreover, another contact is going to occur between $LC$ and the central portion of $\mathfrak{B}(C_3)$. The right panel of the figure, obtained with $\omega = 0.27$, reveals that such contact occurs, after which a portion of $\mathfrak{B}(C_3)$ passes from $Z_3$ to $Z_1$ thus causing the merging of the two holes of $\mathfrak{B}(C_3)$ on the left that are transformed from two non-connected holes into a unique hole of $\mathfrak{B}(C_3)$ inside $\mathfrak{B}(P^*)$.

To sum up, without further entering the details on global bifurcation of the basins of attraction (we refer the reader to Gumowski and Mira, 1980, Mira et al., 1996, Abraham et al., 1992, Agliari et al., 2002 for a deeper view) we can conclude that generally any contact between $LC$ and a basin boundary causes a qualitative change in the topological structure of the basins. As a last example we show in Fig. 7 the effect of a contact of $LC$ and the boundary of $\mathfrak{B}(P^*)$ occurring with $\alpha = 4$ and $\omega = 0.26$, leading to the creation of a small hole of $\mathfrak{B}(P^*)$ nested inside $\mathfrak{B}(C_3)$. Please cite this article as: G.I. Bischi et al., Evolutionary dynamics in club goods binary games, Journal of Economic Dynamics & Control (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jedc.2018.02.005
Fig. 6. Attractors and basins of attraction, represented by different colors, for the model with finite memory (7). All the parameters are the same as in the bifurcation diagram of the right panel of Fig. 4 and different values of the memory parameter $\omega$ are taken in the range of coexistence of three attractors. Left: $\omega = 0.23$. Center: $\omega = 0.25$. Right: $\omega = 0.27$.

Fig. 7. Attractors and basins for the model (7) with $a = 8$, $c = d = 1$, $\alpha = 4$, $\omega = 0.26$.

It is also worth remarking that we have not observed a so severe path dependence in the evolutionary model (1) without memory, where at most two coexisting attractors have been evidenced. We stress again that such dynamic scenarios, together with their economic consequences, clearly show the importance of a global analysis of nonlinear dynamical systems, which can often be performed only through by combining analytical, geometrical and numerical methods.

4. Infinite discounted memory

The introduction of a finite memory of order greater than one, i.e. the inclusion of other previous states in the information set used to compute the fitness measure considered in the replicator dynamics, gives rise to higher dimensional dynamical systems which are difficult to be mathematically analyzed by analytical methods. Instead, following Dindo (2005) and Bischi and Merlone (2017), we can introduce in the evolutionary model (1) a form of memory that includes the previous states by considering a fitness measure given by a discounted sum of all the payoffs gained along the whole story of the repeated binary choice game. This is obtained by taking, at each time step, a convex combination of the current payoff and the fitness measure observed in the previous time period:

$$U_R(t) = (1-\omega)R(t) + \omega U_R(t-1)$$
$$U_L(t) = (1-\omega)L(t) + \omega U_L(t-1)$$
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with $\omega \in [0, 1]$, $U_R(0) = U_L(0) = 0$. By backward induction reasoning it is easy to get

$$U_R(t) = (1 - \omega) \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \omega^k R(t-k) + \omega^t U_R(0)$$

$$U_L(t) = (1 - \omega) \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \omega^k L(t-k) + \omega^t U_L(0)$$

which gives the discounted measure of fitness as a weighted sum with exponentially fading weights. Again, the parameter $\omega \in [0, 1]$ gives a measure of the memory, as $U_i(t) = R(t)$ for $\omega = 0$, whereas the uniform arithmetic mean of all the payoffs observed in the past is obtained in the other limiting case $\omega = 1$. Following Bischi and Merlone (2017), if the recursive scheme (11) is plugged into the evolutionary model (1) then we get

$$x(t+1) = x(t) + (1-x(t)) \exp(-\alpha (U_R(t) - U_L(t)))$$

$$U_R(t+1) = (1 - \omega) R(x(t+1)) + \omega U_R(t)$$

$$U_L(t+1) = (1 - \omega) L(x(t+1)) + \omega U_L(t)$$

(12)

and subtracting the third equation from the seconds we get

$$x(t+1) = x(t) + (1-x(t)) \exp(-\alpha \Delta U(t))$$

$$\Delta U(t+1) = (1 - \omega) g(x(t+1)) + \omega \Delta U(t)$$

(13)

where $g(x)$ is given by (2) and $\Delta U(t) = U_R(t) - U_L(t)$. So, despite the long memory represented, the model reduces to an equivalent 2-dimensional map. The fixed points of this map are given by $E_0 = (0, g(0))$, $E_1 = (1, g(1))$, $E_{\text{q}} = (q^*, 0)$ and $E_{\text{p}} = (p^*, 0)$ where $q^*$ and $p^*$ are the usual interior thresholds at which $R(x) = L(x)$.

The following proposition, that should be compared with Propositions 1 and 2, gives the local stability properties of the equilibrium points under the assumption of infinite weighted memory.

**Proposition 3.** If the interior equilibrium $p^*$ is stable under the model without memory (1), i.e. $\alpha < \alpha_f$, then it is also stable under the model with memory (13), whereas if the $p^*$ is unstable under the model without memory (1), i.e. $\alpha > \alpha_f$, then it becomes stable under the model with memory (13) provided that

$$\omega > \omega_{\text{c}} = \frac{\alpha p^*(1-p^*) g'(p^*) + 2}{\alpha p^*(1-p^*) g'(p^*) - 2}$$

(14)

Moreover, $E_0$ is always locally asymptotically stable (a stable node); $E_1$ and $E_{\text{q}}$ are always saddle points.

It is worth noticing that, again, an increase of the memory parameter $\omega$ has a stabilizing effect because if the equilibrium $p^*$ is stable under the evolutionary dynamics without memory then it remains stable with memory, whereas $p^*$ unstable under the evolutionary dynamics without memory may become stable with a sufficiently strong memory. The stability threshold $\omega_{\text{c}}$ is an increasing function of $\alpha$ with $\omega_{\text{c}}(\alpha_f) = 0$ and $\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \omega_{\text{c}} = 1$.

A typical bifurcation diagram for increasing values of the memory parameter $\omega$ is shown in the left panel of Fig. 8, obtained with the same payoff functions used in all the previous numerical simulations and $\alpha = 3.5$. According to Proposition 3, for $\omega > \omega_{\text{c}} \approx 0.209$, the equilibrium $E_0$ is locally asymptotically stable. For smaller values of the memory parameter $\omega$, oscillations around $p^*$ are obtained (periodic or chaotic) with decreasing amplitude as the memory strength increases until the equilibrium becomes stable through a supercritical flip bifurcation. The bifurcation diagram shown in the left panel of Fig. 8 also reveals that for low values of the memory parameter a case of multistability with three coexisting attractors occurs.

We do not investigate further such dynamic scenarios with details on the global dynamic properties necessary to analyze the structure of the basins of attraction, as such analysis essentially requires the same mathematical methods as those illustrated in the previous section, hence we leave such exercise to the reader.

We just show in Fig. 8 a typical dynamic scenario of the dynamic model (13) with $a = 8$, $c = d = 1$, $\alpha = 3.5$, $\omega = 0.04$, in a situation where three attractors coexist, namely $E_0$, with basin represented by the green region as usual, a chaotic attractor created after the period doubling route of $E_0$, whose basin is represented by the white region, and a periodic cycle of period 3 with red basin.

5. Conclusions

In this paper we have considered a dynamic binary choice game with several equilibrium points, driven by a replicator evolutionary mechanism, to study the different kinds of long run behaviors of a population of players facing two pure strategies that can be seen as joining or not a club in the presence of cost sharing. This implies that players can enjoy a club good or service provided that a given “participation” threshold is reached. An higher “congestion” threshold is considered as
well, similar to the one which characterizes minority games. Moreover, the presence of memory effects is also considered, represented by weighted averages of past states on the fitness measure on which the replicator equation is based. So, the model proposed in this paper can be seen as an extension of the minority game considered in Bischi and Merlone (2017) by the introduction of the lower “participation” threshold, an unstable equilibrium that implies the stability of the pure strategy “nobody choosing to join the club”. Moreover, the map that governs the dynamics is S-shaped around the “participation” threshold (see Figs. 2, 3). This reflects a dynamic behaviour which is consistent with increasing returns, related to decreasing participation costs (see e.g. Arthur, 1994; Liebowitz and Margolis, 1995; Pierson, 2000) because an upward sloping means that if more players join the club then joining it becomes more attractive. As it is well known, such a dynamic situation implies path dependence. In other words, when several attractors coexist (that may be stable steady states or more complex invariant sets, such as periodic cycles or chaotic sets) the attractor reached in the long run (i.e. the kind of asymptotic evolution) crucially depends on the initial condition. So, the study of the model proposed in this paper reveals two kinds of complexity, one related to complex attracting sets and the other one to the complex structure of the basins of attraction. In particular, the presence of the lower “participation” threshold that causes the stability of the “no participation” equilibrium is also responsible for the global bifurcations leading to complicated topological structures of its basin of attraction. Instead, the presence of the “congestion” threshold may lead to the creation of complex attractors, characterized by oscillations (periodic, quasi-periodic or chaotic) due to overshooting and over-reaction phenomena.

The former kind of complexity has been observed in many social and economic systems. For example, Arthur (1989) and Liebowitz and Margolis (1995) underline the presence of severe path dependence in the adoption of new technologies, a situation that can be described in terms of joining the club of adopters of a given technology, where the two thresholds are related, respectively, to increasing returns for early adopters and congestion effects when the technology saturates the market. Another clear description of path dependence in real world situations similar to the one modelled in this paper is given in Schreyögg et al. (2011), where a case from the German publishing industry is studied, given by the book club division of Bertelsmann AG, that became path-dependent and, finally, locked-in. Several other examples of weak and strong path dependence are given in Pierson (2000), both in economics and politics (e.g. in the location of production in space, environmental protection, technology adoption and national defence), together with a clear qualitative explanation of the underlying mechanisms. In particular, a weaker path-dependence is associated with deterministic chaos, due to sensitive dependence of time evolution on initial conditions, and a strong path dependence associated with quite different long run situations emerging from slight changes of initial conditions. In other words, the two kinds of dynamic complexity studied in this paper. Of course, a deep understanding of the mathematical properties leading to these two kinds of complexity in a simple binary choice model, like the one considered in this paper, may help researchers and decision makers to better understand the basic mechanisms leading to path dependence and consequently to simulate the effects of possible policies to manage it.

The evolutionary binary-choice model proposed in this paper, characterized by the presence of several stable equilibria (as well as other kinds of attractors) is very general, and according to the different application considered one kind of long run evolution may be economically or socially desirable. Under such conditions, the possibility of a detailed analysis of the basins of attraction of the model, as well as the global bifurcations leading to the creation of non-connected basins, may suggest a more proper calibration of the parameters, and related policy implications, in order to avoid these bifurcations that makes forecasting about long run equilibrium selection more difficult.

Both kinds of complexity described above are consequences of the discrete time scale considered in the model, following the explicit suggestions given by Schelling (1973, 1978), see also the discussion on this point in Bischi and Merlone (2009).
However, the complex topological structure of the basins is only observed when the map whose iteration gives the dynamic evolution of the simulated binary game is a noninvertible map (as always occurs in the case of discrete-time replicator dynamics with several equilibrium points). The study of this kind of dynamical systems requires global methods involving the concepts of critical sets, as described in Mira et al. (1996), see also Agliari et al. (2002).

By using the method of critical curves we have shown peculiar global bifurcations that cause the transition from simple to complex topological structures of the basins. In particular, the creation of non-connected basins of attraction, with disjoint components that are quite far from the corresponding attractor, introduces a counterintuitive effect if compared with the concept of "corridor stability" often used to describe the effects of multistability and path dependence in economic problems. This stream of literature, see e.g. Leijonhufvud (1973) or Dohtani et al. (2007), stresses the fact that nonlinear dynamic models may have the property that small perturbations are recovered as far as they are confined inside the basin of attraction of a locally stable equilibrium, whereas larger perturbations lead to time evolutions that further depart from the equilibrium and go to the coexisting attractor in the long run, a situation that has been called "corridor stability". Instead, the results given in this paper show a quite different situation when non-connected basins of attraction, formed by disjoint (and sometimes far) portions of the basin, exist. In fact, the presence of non-connected basins can be described by saying that a small perturbation can be recovered by the endogenous dynamics of the evolutive model, a medium-size perturbation may lead to a different attractor, i.e., a different long run evolution, whereas a larger perturbation may be recovered leading back the system to the original attractor.

Of course, the global dynamic methods used to reveal and explain such dynamic scenarios, together with their economic consequences, clearly show the importance of a global analysis of nonlinear dynamical systems, which can often be performed only through an heuristic methods obtained by a combination of analytical, geometrical and numerical methods. And even if the results of this paper are obtained for a particular dynamic model, the mathematical methods used to obtain these results are quite general.

As the effect of memory on binary choice games is concerned, following the approach suggested in Dindo (2005) and Bischi and Merlone (2017), we have proposed some tractable low-dimensional discrete dynamical system, based on exponential replicator dynamics, in order to describe the time evolution of an economic or social system characterized by the interaction of a large number of agents who are facing binary choices. In particular we used such a framework to analyze the effects of memory on the long run outcomes of a repeatedly played binary game, with two kinds of memory. The first one—which is more innovative—considers only two states and, in some broad sense, is more similar to the finite states memory considered in Cavagna (1999) and Challet and Marsili (2000), while the second is similar to the one presented in Dindo (2005). The results of our analysis confirm the stabilizing effects when an uniform memory is introduced, however an unexpected effect of memory has been stressed, related to the creation of more simultaneous attracting sets, i.e. a more severe case of multistability with respect to the model without memory. This result has consequences which go beyond the specific model we were considering. In fact, it shows once more that when considering nonlinear systems both local and global analysis are necessary to have better understanding of the system. Indeed, our numerical simulations provide further insight into nonlinear phenomena and the related effects of the presence of memory.

In particular, the model studied in this paper gives us the opportunity to learn an important lesson, because in some ranges on the parameters such that the equilibrium is locally stable, coexisting periodic and chaotic attractors have been numerically observed, thus giving a strong path dependence. These dynamic scenarios clearly show the importance of a global analysis of nonlinear dynamical systems, as it was suggested by Chiarella (1990), because a study limited to local stability and bifurcations, based on the linear approximation of the equilibrium points, sometimes may be quite incomplete and even misleading.
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Appendix A. Proofs of propositions and other mathematical stuff

Proof of Proposition 1. The first derivative of the map $f$ defined in (1) is

$$ f'(x) = \frac{\exp(-\alpha g(x))[1 + \alpha x(1-x)g'(x)]}{[x + (1-x)\exp(\alpha g(x))]^2} $$

(15)

From the assumption on the payoff functions we have: $f'(0) = \exp(\alpha g(0)) \in (0, 1)$ as $g(0) = R(0) - L(0) < 0$, hence $x^*_0$ is stable; $f'(1) = \exp(-\alpha g(1)) > 1$ as $g(1) = R(1) - L(1) < 0$, hence $x^*_1$ is unstable; being $g(q^*) = 0$ we get $f'(q^*) = 1 + \alpha q^*(1-q^*)g'(q^*) > 1$ being $g'(q^*)$, hence also $q^*$ is an unstable equilibrium. Finally, from $f'(p^*) = 1 + \alpha p^*(1 - p^*)g'(p^*)$ the stability condition $-1 < f'(p^*) < 1$ is always satisfied on the right and is also satisfied on the left provided that $\alpha p^*(1 - p^*)g'(p^*) > -2$, equivalent to $\alpha < -\frac{2}{p^*(1 - p^*)g'(p^*)}$ being $g'(p^*) < 0$. \hfill $\square$
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**Proof of Proposition 2.** The Jacobian matrix of (7) is given by

\[
J(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\exp(-\alpha \cdot (1 - \omega)x)}{[x + (1 - x) \exp(-\alpha \cdot (1 - x))]} & \frac{\exp(-\alpha \cdot (1 - \omega)x)g(y)}{[x + (1 - x) \exp(-\alpha \cdot (1 - x))]} \\
0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( \cdot = (1 - \omega)x + \omega y \), and since the fixed points are located on the diagonal \( y = x \) the expression \( \cdot \) becomes \( \cdot = g(x) \) at any equilibrium point. When computed at the equilibrium \( O^* \) it becomes

\[
J(0, 0) = \begin{bmatrix}
\exp(\alpha g(0)) & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

whose eigenvalues are \( z_1 = \exp(\alpha g(0)) \in (0, 1) \) as \( g(0) < 0 \) and \( z_2 = 0 \). Hence \( O^* \) is a stable node. Analogously

\[
J(1, 1) = \begin{bmatrix}
\exp(-\alpha g(1)) & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

whose eigenvalues are \( z_1 = e^{-\alpha g(1)} > 1 \) as \( g(1) < 0 \) and \( z_2 = 0 \), hence \( I^* \) is a saddle point.

Concerning \( Q^* \) from

\[
J(Q^*) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 + \alpha(1 - \omega)q^2(1 - q^2)g'(q^*) & -\alpha \omega q^* (1 - q^2)g'(q^*) \\
0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

we get the characteristic equation \( P(z) = z^2 - Tr \cdot z + Det = 0 \) with \( Tr = 1 + \alpha(1 - \omega)q^2(1 - q^2)g'(q^*) \) and \( Det = -\alpha \omega q^* (1 - q^2)g'(q^*) \), from which the Schur (or Jury's) stability conditions (see e.g. Gandolfo, 2010; Elaydi, 1995; Medio and Lines, 2001) become

\[
P(1) = 1 - Tr + Det = -\alpha q^* (1 - q^2)g'(q^*) > 0
\]

\[
P(-1) = 1 + Tr + Det = 2 + \alpha q^* (1 - q^2)g'(q^*)(1 - 2\omega) > 0
\]

\[
1 - Det = 1 + \alpha \omega q^* (1 - q^2)g'(q^*) > 0
\]

hence the first one is never verified and the third one is always verified, from which \( Q^* \) is a saddle point.

Finally, at the equilibrium \( P^* \) the Jacobian matrix becomes

\[
J(p^*, p^*) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 + \alpha(1 - \omega)p^2(1 - p^2)g'(p^*) & -\alpha \omega p^* (1 - p^2)g'(p^*) \\
0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

and following the same arguments with \( Tr = 1 + \alpha(1 - \omega)p^2(1 - p^2)g'(p^*) \) and \( Det = -\alpha \omega p^* (1 - p^2)g'(p^*) \) we get the following sufficient conditions for the local asymptotic stability of \( P \): \( P(1) = -\alpha x^*(1 - x^*)g'(x^*) > 0 \) for each set of parameters, \( P(-1) > 0 \) for \( \omega > \frac{2\alpha x^*(1 - x^*)g'(x^*)}{\alpha x^*(1 - x^*)g''(x^*)} = \omega_f \) and \( 1 - Det(E) > 0 \) for \( \omega < \frac{1}{\alpha x^*(1 - x^*)g'(x^*)} = \omega_h \), where the condition (10) ensures that \( \omega_f < \omega_h \), so that the stability range is not empty. The value of \( \omega \) at which \( P(-1) \) becomes negative represents a flip (or period doubling) bifurcation value at which an eigenvalue exits the unit circle through the value \( -1 \), and the one at which becomes negative represents a Neimark-Sacker bifurcation at which a couple of complex and conjugate eigenvalues exit the unit circle of the complex plane (see e.g. Guckenheimer and Holmes, 1983 or Lorenz, 1993).

**Proof of proposition 3.** Let us rename, just for simplifying notations, \( \Delta U(t) = y(t) \). Then the map (13) becomes

\[
x(t + 1) = \frac{x(t)}{x(t) + (1 - x(t)) \exp(-\alpha y(t))}
\]

\[
y(t + 1) = (1 - \omega)g\left(\frac{x(t)}{x(t) + (1 - x(t)) \exp(-\alpha y(t))}\right) + \omega y(t)
\]

The Jacobian matrix is

\[
J(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{e^{-\alpha y}}{(x + (1 - x) \exp(-\alpha y))^2} & \frac{\alpha x(1 - x) \exp(-\alpha y)}{(x + (1 - x) \exp(-\alpha y))^2} \\
(1 - \omega)g'(\cdot) \frac{d(\cdot)}{dx} & (1 - \omega)g'(\cdot) + \omega
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( \cdot = x(t)/(x(t) + (1 - x(t)) \exp(-\alpha y(t))) \) so that \( \frac{d(\cdot)}{dx} = \frac{\exp(-\alpha y)}{(x + (1 - x) \exp(-\alpha y))^2} \) and \( \frac{d(\cdot)}{dy} = \frac{\alpha x(1 - x) \exp(-\alpha y)}{(x + (1 - x) \exp(-\alpha y))^2} \).

At the equilibrium \( E_p \) it becomes

\[
J(E_p) = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{(1 - \omega)g'(p^*)} & \alpha p^* (1 - p^*) \\
(1 - \omega)g'(p^*) & (1 - \omega) p^* g'(p^*) + \omega
\end{bmatrix}
\]

hence \( Tr = 1 + \alpha(1 - \omega)p^2(1 - p^2)g'(p^*) + \omega \) and \( Det = \omega \) are, respectively, the trace and the determinant of the matrix (23). The sufficient conditions for the stability of \( E_p \) become \( P(1) = -\alpha(1 - \omega)p^2(1 - x^*)g'(p^*) > 0 \) and \( 1 - Det = 1 - \omega > 0 \).
0 for each set of parameters with \( \omega \in [0, 1) \), whereas the condition \( P(-1) > 0 \) becomes \( 2 + \omega(2 - \alpha p^*(1 - p^*) g'(p^*)) + \alpha p^*(1 - p^*) g'(p^*) > 0 \), from which (14) follows. The value of \( \omega \) at which \( P(-1) \) becomes negative represent a flip (or period doubling) bifurcation value.

At the other equilibrium points the stability conditions are quite trivial. In fact

\[
J(E_0) = \begin{bmatrix}
\exp(\alpha g(0)) \\
(1 - \omega)g'(0) \exp(\alpha g(0))
\end{bmatrix}
\]

hence both eigenvalues are real and included in the stability range being \( \omega \in [0, 1) \) and \( g(0) < 0 \).

\[
J(E_1) = \begin{bmatrix}
[c]c \exp(-\alpha g(1)) \\
(1 - \omega)g'(0) \exp(\alpha g(0))
\end{bmatrix}
\]

hence \( E_1 \) is a saddle being \( g(1) < 0 \).

\[
J(E_q) = \begin{bmatrix}
[c]c[\alpha q(1 - q^*)] \\
(1 - \omega)g'(q^*) \alpha(1 - \omega)q(1 - q^*)g'(q^*) + \omega
\end{bmatrix}
\]

hence the stability condition \( P(1) = -\alpha(1 - \omega)q^*(1 - q^*)g'(q^*) > 0 \) is never satisfied being \( g'(q^*) > 0 \).

### Critical curves

Given a two-dimensional map \( T: (x, y) \to (x', y') \), the point \( (x', y') \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) is called rank-1 image under \( T \) of the point \( (x, y) \in \mathbb{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \). A map is noninvertible if it is \( \text{many-to-one} \), that is, distinct points may have the same image. Geometrically, the action of a noninvertible map can be expressed by saying that it \( \text{folds and pleats} \) \( S \), so that distinct points are mapped into the same point. This is equivalently stated by saying that several inverses are defined in some points of \( S \), and these inverses \( \text{unfold} \) \( S \).

For a noninvertive map, \( S \) can be subdivided into regions \( Z_k \), whose points have \( k \) distinct rank-1 preimages. Generally, for a continuous map, as the point \( (x', y') \) varies, pairs of preimages appear or disappear as it crosses the boundaries separating different regions. Hence, such boundaries are characterized by the presence of at least two coincident (merging) preimages. This leads us to the definition of critical curves, one of the distinguishing features of noninvertible maps. The critical curve of rank-1, denoted by \( LC \) (from the French “Ligne Critique”) is defined as the locus of points having two, or more, coincident rank-1 preimages. These preimages are located in a set called critical curve of rank-0, denoted by \( LC_{-1} \).

The curve \( LC \) is the two-dimensional generalization of the notion of critical value (local minimum or maximum value) of a one-dimensional map, and \( LC_{-1} \) is the generalization of the notion of critical point (local extremum point). As in the case of differentiable one-dimensional maps, where the derivative necessarily vanishes at the local extremum points, for a two-dimensional continuously differentiable map the set \( LC_{-1} \) belongs to the set of points in which the Jacobian determinant vanishes

\[
LC_{-1} \subseteq \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \det(J(x)) = 0 \}
\]

and \( LC \) is the image of \( LC_{-1} \), i.e., \( LC = T(LC_{-1}) \).

In the case of the map (7) the Jacobian matrix is

\[
J(x) = \begin{bmatrix}
\exp(-\alpha(x)) \frac{1 + \alpha (1 - \omega)x(1 - x)}{[x + (1 - x) \exp(-\alpha(x))]^2} \\
\exp(-\alpha(x)) \frac{\alpha \omega x(1 - x)G'(y)}{[x + (1 - x) \exp(-\alpha(x))]^2}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( \omega = (1 - \omega)R(x) + \omega R(y) - (1 - \omega)L(x) - \omega L(y) \). So, \( det(J) = 0 \Rightarrow \omega \alpha x(1 - x)G'(y) = 0 \) and if we consider the expressions of payoff functions given in (4) then we have \( g(x) = R(x) - L(x) = -\alpha x^2 + (a - c)x - d \), hence \( g'(x) = -2ax + a - c \). So, \( LC_{-1} : \alpha \omega x(1 - x)(-2ay + a - c) = 0 \), i.e., \( y = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{\omega}) \), and \( LC = T(LC_{-1}) \) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
y(t + 1) &= x(t) \\
x(t + 1) &= \frac{y(t + 1)}{y(t + 1) + (1 - y(t + 1)) \exp[-\alpha((1 - \omega)g(y(t + 1)) + \omega g(y(t))]}\end{align*}
\]

with \( y(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{\omega}) \), hence the analytic expression of \( LC \) is given by

\[
LC : x = \frac{y}{y + (1 - y) \exp[-\alpha((1 - \omega)g(y) + \omega g(\frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{\omega}))]}.
\]
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