



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Functional response of the mirid predators Dicyphus bolivari and Dicyphus errans and their efficacy as biological control agents of Tuta absoluta on tomato

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1687801 since 2020-04-06T19:43:03Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1007/s10340-019-01079-8

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

| 1 | FUNCTIONAL RESPONSE OF THE MIRID PREDATORS DICYPHUS BOLIVARI AND |
|----|--|
| 2 | DICYPHUS ERRANS AND THEIR EFFICACY AS BIOLOGICAL CONTROL AGENTS |
| 3 | OF TUTA ABSOLUTA ON TOMATO |
| 4 | |
| 5 | B.L. Ingegno ^{1*} , G.J. Messelink ^{2*} , N. Bodino ¹ , A. Iliadou ¹ , L. Driss ² , J.B. Woelke ² , A. Leman ² , L. |
| 6 | Tavella ¹ |
| 7 | |
| 8 | ¹ Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Forestali e Alimentari (DISAFA), ULF Entomologia Generale e |
| 9 | Applicata, University of Torino, Italy |
| 10 | ² Wageningen University & Research, Business Unit Greenhouse Horticulture, Bleiswijk, The |
| 11 | Netherlands |
| 12 | |
| 13 | *these authors contributed equally to this research |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Corresponding author: Barbara L. INGEGNO |
| 16 | Phone +39 011 6708535, fax +39 011 6708535, email <u>barbara.ingegno@unito.it</u> |
| 17 | DISAFA, ULF Entomologia Generale e Applicata, University of Torino, Largo P. Braccini 2, |
| 18 | 10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy. Phone number: +390116708882 |
| 19 | https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7452-5377 |
| 20 | |
| 21 | Key words: |
| 22 | Hemiptera Miridae, Lepidoptera Gelechiidae, South American tomato pinworm, Numerical |
| 23 | response, Predator voracity |
| 24 | |
| 25 | Key message: |

| 26 | • The potential of <i>Dicyphus bolivari</i> and <i>Dicyphus errans</i> as biological control agents of <i>Tuta</i> |
|----|--|
| 27 | absoluta was evaluated |
| 28 | • In laboratory, females and 5 th -instar nymphs of both mirids showed a type II functional |
| 29 | response to eggs of T. absoluta |
| 30 | • In greenhouse, both predators were able to reduce the population of <i>T. absoluta</i> , but less |
| 31 | effectively than Macrolophus pygmaeus, which showed the strongest numerical response |
| 32 | • Functional response studies should be combined with population dynamics and multiple- |
| 33 | prey studies to evaluate omnivorous predator efficacy |
| 34 | |
| 35 | Author Contribution Statement |
| 36 | BLI and GM conceived, designed the trials and wrote the manuscript. NB analysed the functional |
| 37 | response data. BLI, AI, LD, JBW and AL conducted the experiments. LT supervised the trials and |
| 38 | contributed to manuscript writing. All authors read and approved the manuscript. |
| 39 | |
| 40 | Acknowledgements |
| 41 | The functional response and predation cage trials were partly supported by the Italian national |
| 42 | project "Insects and globalisation: sustainable control of exotic species in agro-forestry ecosystems |
| 43 | (GEISCA)" of the Italian Ministry of University and Research. The greenhouse trial of Wageningen |
| 44 | University & Research was funded by the Dutch top sector Horticulture and Starting Materials. The |
| 45 | research was partly carried out during a Short Term Scientific Mission of COST Action FA1105 |
| 46 | "Towards a sustainable and productive EU organic greenhouse horticulture". |
| 47 | |
| 48 | Conflict of Interest |
| 49 | The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. |
| 50 | |

51 ABSTRACT

52 Dicyphus bolivari Lindberg and Dicyphus errans (Wolff) (Hemiptera: Miridae) are naturally 53 widespread in many crops with low-pesticide pressure, where they prey upon several arthropods, 54 including the tomato pinworm *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). However, their efficacy as biological control agents (BCAs) of this pest needs further investigations. Therefore, in 55 56 this study the predatory efficacy of *D. bolivari* and of *D. errans* on *T. absoluta* was evaluated on 57 tomato in laboratory and greenhouse trials. Their functional response to different numbers of T. absoluta eggs (up to 350) offered to single females or 5th-instar nymphs for 24 h was assessed in 58 59 laboratory. Females and nymphs of both predators showed a high voracity and a type II functional response, with an estimated maximum predation rate per day of 189 and 194 eggs for D. bolivari 60 females and 5th-instar nymphs, respectively, and 197 and 179 eggs for *D. errans* females and 61 62 nymphs, respectively. The predators showed similar predation rates of *T. absoluta* eggs on plants in 63 cage trials. However, our greenhouse trial showed that the commonly used Macrolophus pygmaeus 64 (Rambur) (Hemiptera: Miridae), which has a lower individual predation capacity than D. bolivari 65 and D. errans, was more effective in controlling T. absoluta than D. errans and D. bolivari, because 66 of its stronger numerical response to densities of T. absoluta and supplemental food than the other 67 two predator species. This shows that long-term greenhouse trials, which include functional and 68 numerical responses to pest densities, are essential to evaluate the efficacy of an omnivorous 69 predator.

70

72 INTRODUCTION

73 Tomato crop [Solanum lycopersicum L. (Solanaceae)] is affected by several pests (e.g., aphids, leaf 74 miners, spider mites, thrips, whiteflies). Recently, in the Mediterranean area, the exotic tomato 75 pinworm Tuta absoluta (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) has become a serious threat for this 76 crop, and chemical control is often ineffective because of its cryptic habits and high pesticide 77 resistance (Desneux et al. 2010; Tropea Garzia et al. 2012; Campos et al. 2017; Biondi et al. 2018; 78 Roditakis et al., 2018). To reduce both pest infestations and pesticide use, sustainable control can be 79 achieved using effective biological control agents (BCAs). 80 Hemipteran predators, such as anthocorids, geocorids, mirids, nabids, and pentatomids, have been 81 identified as potential BCAs against T. absoluta. Omnivorous mirids were used soon after the pests' 82 arrival, through augmentative and inoculative releases in fields and plant nurseries, sometimes 83 supported by conservation strategies (e.g., using banker plants) (Biondi et al. 2018). Several species 84 of mirid predators belonging to the tribe Dicyphini (Heteroptera: Miridae) have been reported in 85 many crops with low-pesticide pressure preying upon various pests (Ingegno et al. 2008, Leman et 86 al. 2019). However, only a few dicyphine species [i.e., Macrolophus pygmaeus (Rambur) and 87 Nesidiocoris tenuis (Reuter)] are commercially produced and released, while many other species 88 belonging to the genus Dicyphus have been observed in several crops (Ingegno et al. 2017a; 89 Sanchez and Cassis 2018). Among them, the Mediterranean *Dicyphus bolivari* Lindberg [previously 90 named Dicyphus maroccanus Wagner (Sanchez and Cassis 2018)] and the Palaearctic Dicyphus 91 errans (Wolff) live omnivorously on various host plants and pests (Ingegno et al. 2008; Voigt et al. 92 2007; Abbas et al. 2014). Recently, both predators have been reported to prey upon T. absoluta in 93 open field and greenhouse crops (Zappalà et al. 2013; Abbas et al. 2014). Moreover, D. errans 94 showed potential as a BCA of this exotic pest under laboratory conditions (Ingegno et al. 2013, 95 2017a, b).

96 A fundamental aspect in multitrophic interactions and population dynamics in a predator-prey
97 system is the capability of a BCA to find, kill and consume prey (Nachman 2006). Predator's

98 feeding rate related to changes in prey density, the so-called functional response, is one of the key 99 components to assess its effectiveness in controlling pest populations and the stability of prey-100 predator dynamics (Abrams 1982; Fernández-Arhex and Corley 2003). The numerical response 101 (increase of densities) of the predator to prey densities is another key component for evaluating its 102 potential as BCA, and biological control eventually represents the combined results of functional 103 and numerical responses to pests (Coll and Ridgway 1995; Meiracker and Sabelis 1999). 104 The main aim of this study was to further evaluate, under laboratory and greenhouse conditions, the 105 potential of *D. bolivari* and *D. errans* as BCAs of *T. absoluta* by comparing their predatory traits 106 through assessment of: I) functional responses of females and nymphs to increasing egg densities in 107 arena trials; II) daily predation of eggs on infested tomato plants in cage trials; III) population 108 dynamics of T. absoluta and the predators in a greenhouse trial with a time-period long enough to 109 observe the numerical response of the released predators. The commonly used predator M. 110 pygmaeus was included as a reference in this greenhouse trial.

111

112 MATERIALS AND METHODS

113 Insect and plant rearing

114 Colonies of *D. bolivari* were started from individuals collected on tomato in the Valencia region,

115 Spain in 2015. They were reared on pods of the flat bean *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. (Fabaceae) in

116 transparent plastic cylinder cages (H 27 cm, Ø 25 cm; JET 107 PM, Jokey plastic GmbH, Sohland,

117 Germany) with the drilled lid covered with a fine net mesh. Colonies of *D. errans* were started from

118 individuals collected on the European black nightshade Solanum nigrum L. (Solanaceae) in

119 Piedmont region, NW Italy in 2015. They were reared on this plant species, as well as on tomato

- 120 and tobacco Nicotiana tabacum L. (Solanaceae) in insect cubic cages (47.5 cm edge; BugDorm,
- 121 MegaView, Taiwan). Both dicyphine species were supplied with eggs of *Ephestia kuehniella* Zeller
- 122 (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) mixed with dehydrated and decapsuled cysts of *Artemia* sp. (Anostraca:
- 123 Artemiidae) (Entofood, Koppert B.V. Berkel en Rodenrijs, The Netherlands). Colonies of M.

124 *pygmaeus* were established starting from individuals purchased from Koppert Biological Systems

125 (Berkel en Rodenrijs, The Netherlands) and reared on flat bean pods inside transparent plastic

126 cylinder boxes (H 27 cm, Ø 25 cm, JET 107 PM, Jokey Plastik GmbH, Sohland, Germany).

127 Ventilation was possible through a hole in the lid covered with insect gauze (mesh size 80 µm). All

128 cultures were kept in climatic chambers at 25±1°C, 70±5% RH, 16:8 L:D.

129 Colonies of *T. absoluta* were established from individuals provided by Bioplanet laboratories

130 (Bioplanet s.c.a., Cesena, Italy). A continuous rearing was maintained on tomato plants in net cages

131 (W $150 \times L 150 \times H 110$ cm) consisting of a stainless-steel frame structure supporting an insect-

proof net (mesh 0.23×0.23 mm). The rearing was carried out in an experimental heated greenhouse
at 27±3°C and 55±23% RH.

134 For plant growing, seeds from seed companies were used, except for seeds of S. nigrum, which

135 were collected from wild plants grown in Piedmont region. Seeds were sown in plastic pots (\emptyset 14

136 cm), watered daily, and fertilized. Plants were grown in an experimental heated greenhouse at

137 27±3°C and 55±23% RH.

138

139 Functional response trials

140 In laboratory, 1-week-old females of D. bolivari and D. errans were used to assess their functional response to T. absoluta egg densities. After starving them for 16 h, to standardize individual 141 142 behaviour, single females were exposed to definite prey densities for 24 h. Specifically, eight 143 treatments consisting of different amounts of T. absoluta eggs offered as prey on tomato leaflets 144 (i.e., 5, 10, 25, 50, 90, 150, 250 and 350 eggs) were set up to fit the functional response curve. The 145 experiments were carried out in artificial arenas with excised leaflets, which were shown to be 146 representative for functional response studies on a plant level for the related *M. pygmaeus* (Maselou 147 et al. 2014). Tuta absoluta eggs were offered on three tomato leaflets, with stalks placed into 2 ml plastic tubes containing water and sealed with Parafilm[®], inside a Petri dish (Ø 20 cm). After 24 h, 148

- 149 the female was removed, and the leaflets were inspected under a stereomicroscope to count
- predated eggs. Five replicates were performed for each treatment. Experiments were carried out in
 climatic chambers at 24±1°C, 65±5% RH and 16:8 L:D.
- The functional response of juvenile predators on *T. absoluta* eggs was assessed by using 5th-instar nymphs of *D. bolivari* and *D. errans* with the same experimental conditions and procedure above reported for females. Ten treatments consisting of different amounts of *T. absoluta* eggs offered as prey on tomato leaflets (i.e., 5, 10, 15, 20, 40, 50, 100, 150, 200, 300 eggs) were set up. Five replicates were performed for each treatment.
- 157

158 Predation trials on plants

159 In laboratory, the predatory efficacy of *D. bolivari* and *D. errans* on tomato plants infested with *T*.

160 *absoluta* was investigated through cage trials. Three treatments were compared: 1) tomato infested

- 161 with *T. absoluta*; 2) tomato infested with *T. absoluta* plus one female of *D. bolivari*, 3) tomato
- 162 infested with *T. absoluta* plus one female of *D. errans*. A tomato plant (H 40 cm, with 6-7 leaves)
- 163 was infested with a constant amount of 20 *T. absoluta* eggs(<24 h), gently transferred with a brush,
- 164 every 24 h per 3 days (for a total of 60 eggs) and isolated in a net insect tent cage
- 165 (W $60 \times L 60 \times H 60$ cm, BugDorm, MegaView, Taiwan). After 24 h from first egg insertion, in the
- 166 treatment 2 and in the treatment 3, one female of *D. bolivari* or of *D. errans* was introduced and
- 167 removed after 72 h, respectively, while the treatment 1 was kept as control without predators. The
- 168 number of *T. absoluta* eggs was checked at 24, 48 and 72 h from the predator introduction, and the
- 169 number of *T. absoluta* larvae was counted at the last egg checking (96 h from the first egg
- 170 introduction). Ten replicates were performed for each treatment. The experiment was carried out in
- 171 climatic chambers at $24\pm1^{\circ}$ C, $65\pm5\%$ RH and 16:8 L:D.
- 172

173 **Population dynamics greenhouse trials**

174 A greenhouse trial was set up to evaluate the effects of pre-established populations of D. bolivari 175 and *D. errans* on *T. absoluta* establishment and population increase. The commonly used *M*. 176 pygmaeus was included in this trial as a reference treatment. The experiment was conducted in a greenhouse compartment of 98 m² at Wageningen University & Research in Bleiswijk, The 177 178 Netherlands. Three-week-old tomato plants (with each 4 developed leaves), cv Brioso (Rijk Zwaan, 179 The Netherlands), were grown individually in rock wool blocks, and each block was placed on a 180 50 cm rock wool slab. The young plants were vaccinated with a mild isolate of the Pepino mosaic 181 virus (PepMV) (PMV®-01, DCM, Belgium), to offer protection against more aggressive isolates, 182 which is a common practice for Dutch tomato growers. Nutrients for the plants were provided 183 through drip irrigation. Each tomato plant was subsequently enclosed in a mesh cage 184 $(60 \times 60 \times 180 \text{ cm})$ made of fine gauze (mesh size 500 µm, Vermandel, The Netherlands) 185 representing an experimental unit. The experimental units were distributed within the greenhouse 186 using a randomized block design with the following treatments: A) no predators (control), B) D. 187 bolivari, C) D. errans, and D) M. pygmaeus. There were five replicates of each treatment, thus 20 188 experimental units were used in total. The predators were introduced 2, 3 and 4 weeks after placing the plants in the cages in densities of 189 190 respectively 3, 3 and 6 couples of one-week old adults (12 females and 12 males in total). The 191 establishment and population growth of the predators were supported by adding weekly 0.5 gr of 192 sterilized E. kuehniella eggs per cage (Koppert B.V. Berkel en Rodenrijs, The Netherlands). The 193 eggs were spread all over the plant with a fine brush and applied for 7 weeks, starting in the week of 194 the first predator introduction. Tuta absoluta was introduced as adults, starting in the same week of 195 the last predator introduction. The introduction was spread over 4 weeks to ensure that eggs and 196 first larval stages (vulnerable for predation) were present over a longer time-period. Young mated 197 couples of T. absoluta were weekly introduced in densities of 1, 2, 1 and 3 couples per cage (7 198 females and 7 males in total). Densities of predatory mirids and T. absoluta were monitored every 2

weeks, during a 6-week period, by counting the total number of each species per cage (nymphs andadults of predatory mirids and larvae, pupae and adults of *T. absoluta*).

201 Temperature and relative humidity in the greenhouse compartment were recorded every 5 min using

202 a climate recorder (Hoogendoorn Growth Management, Vlaardingen, The Netherlands) throughout

203 the experiment. The average temperature and relative humidity during the experiment (from the

time predators were introduced) was 21.9°C (range 14.9-39.1°C) and 64% (range 32–89%),

205 respectively.

206

207 Statistical analyses

208 Functional response type and parameters of attack rate and handling time showed by D. bolivari and D. errans females and 5th-instar nymphs were estimated using the general approach proposed by 209 210 Okuyama (2012a). This approach includes the application of a model selection index (i.e., Akaike 211 information criterion, AIC) directly to candidate models. We used the maximum likelihood 212 approach to obtain parameter estimates of the Holling's type II (Eq. 1), Rogers random-predator 213 equation (Eq. 2) and Holling's type III (Eq. 3) (Bolker 2008; Okuyama 2012b). The maximum 214 likelihood method is less used than the typical estimation method of least squares, but it has been 215 recently been accounted for better performances in estimating functional response parameters than 216 the estimation method of least squares (Okuyama 2012b). The three functional response models 217 were then used to fit the data regarding the consumption of *T. absoluta* eggs, using the R package 218 bbmle for maximum likelihood estimation (*mle*); since N_a is on both left and right side of the 219 equation, the Eq. 2 has been modified using *LambertW* function (Bolker 2008; Haddaway et al. 220 2012). Rogers random-predator equation describes a type II functional response but accounts for 221 prey depletion, that is the case of our experiments, since mirid predators completely consume 222 preyed eggs. The best model for each mirid species and life stage was selected using the AIC test 223 (lower AIC means a better model fit), from which the coefficients *a* (attack rate) and *Th* (handling time) were obtained and compared using confidence intervals (95%). Mean values of Th were used 224

| 225 | to calculate the maximum attack rate as T/Th (Hassell 2000), representing the maximal number of |
|-----|---|
| 226 | <i>T. absoluta</i> eggs that could be attacked during the considered time interval (in our case $T = 1$ day). |
| 227 | Statistical analyses were performed using the statistical software R (R Core Team 2018). |
| 228 | Data on cage trials were analysed by performing one-way ANOVA since data satisfied normality |
| 229 | and homogeneity criteria (Shapiro Wilk and Levene tests). When significantly different, means |
| 230 | were separated by Tukey's test (P< 0.05). A Student <i>t</i> test was performed for comparing the two |
| 231 | tested species. Statistical analyses were performed using the statistical software SPSS, 25 th edition |
| 232 | (IBM Corp., NY, USA). |
| 233 | Population dynamics of predators and <i>T. absoluta</i> in the greenhouse trial were analysed with |
| 234 | repeated measures ANOVA. Predator densities were based on the cumulative numbers of nymphs |
| 235 | and adults, T. absoluta densities were based on the cumulative numbers of larvae, pupae and adults |
| 236 | Data were prior to the analyses log(+1) transformed to fit a normal distribution. Differences among |
| 237 | treatments were tested with Fisher's Least Significance Difference (LSD) test (P<0.05). These |
| | |

analyses were performed using the software package Genstat, 18th edition.

239

240 **RESULTS**

241 Functional response trials

242 The two mirids D. bolivari and D. errans presented very similar functional response curves 243 showing a type II functional response, which is inverse density dependent, both for the adult and 244 nymphal stage (Fig. 1). The model fits were compared and are reported in Table 1. Rogers randompredator function presented lower values for females and 5th-instar nymphs of both predator species, 245 246 which indicates that accounting for prey depletion results in models of better fit. Parameters 247 estimated by Rogers random-predator equation were all significantly different from zero, showing a 248 marked increase in consumed eggs with an increasing number of offered eggs. Comparing the 249 confidence intervals of functional response parameters, D. bolivari and D. errans did not differ 250 significantly in attack rate of T. absoluta eggs (Table 2), although D. bolivari showed a trend

towards a greater attack rate compared to *D. errans*, for both females and 5th-nymphs. The two
mirids also did not differ in handling time of *T. absoluta* eggs (Table 2), regardless of the
considered life stage. These results lead to similar maximum attack rates: 188.52 and 194.18 eggs
day⁻¹ for *D. bolivari* females and 5th-instar nymphs, respectively, and 197.24 and 178.58 eggs day⁻¹
for *D. errans* females and 5th-instar nymphs, respectively. In our experiment, when exposed to the
highest density of 350 *T. absoluta* eggs, predator females consumed on average more than 130 eggs
per day.

258

259 **Predation trials on plants**

260 No differences in the number of daily preyed eggs of *T. absoluta* were found between the two

261 predators *D. bolivari* and *D. errans* (Student t test; df=18; after 24 h: F=0.109, P=0.745; after 48 h:

F=1.580, P=0.225; after 72 h: F=0.027, P=0.871). Except for the first 24 h, both predators were able

to prey around 12-14 eggs per day with a continuous daily offer of 20 eggs (Table 3). The total

264 number of *T. absoluta* eggs consumed over 3 days of exposure by both predators was also similar,

265 namely 29.0±2.1 for *D. bolivari* and 33.5±2.6 for *D. errans* (Student t test; df=18; F=0.732;

266 P=0.404). The total number of viable *T. absoluta* eggs after 3 days of exposure to both predators

267 was significantly different from that one of the control without predators (ANOVA; df=2;

F=36.634; P<0.0001) (Table 3). Also the number of emerged larvae at the end of the trial (i.e., 96 h

after the first egg insertion) was significant different between treatments with predators and without

270 predators (ANOVA; df=2; F=5.601; P<0.01) (Table 3).

271

272 **Population dynamics greenhouse trials**

273 Densities of *T. absoluta* were significantly different among treatments through time (F_{3,12}=19.12,

P<0.001). The predators *D. bolivari* and *D. errans* both equally reduced the population increase in

275 T. absoluta compared to the treatment without predators, but M. pygmaeus clearly better suppressed

276 *T. absoluta* (Fig. 2A). Densities of predators were also significantly different among species

(F_{2,8}=10.41, P=0.006), with the highest densities observed for *M. pygmaeus* and comparable lower
densities for *D. bolivari* and *D. errans* (Fig. 2B).

279

280 **DISCUSSION**

281 The functional responses of *D. bolivari* and *D. errans* to *T. absoluta* eggs, which were compared 282 here for the first time, show that these mirids can consume large quantities of T. absoluta eggs. The high estimated maximum attack rate (i.e., 180-200 eggs per day) for both predators and the short 283 284 handling time highlight the voracity of these predator species. The predatory response of females and 5th-instar nymphs of *D. bolivari* and *D. errans* to increasing densities of *T. absoluta* eggs was 285 286 described by a type II functional response, consistent with the results reported in most studies 287 involving dicyphine species (Alvarado et al. 1997; Foglar et al. 1990; Montserrat et al. 2000; 288 Fantinou et al. 2008; Maselou et al. 2014, 2015). The type II functional response is often 289 characteristic of invertebrate predators that provide efficient pest control, even though it is often 290 associated with unstable predator-prey population dynamics (Juliano 2001; Briggs and Hoopes 291 2004; Nachman 2006). However, these fluctuating dynamics are less crucial for omnivores that 292 stabilize their densities by feeding on plants and/or on provided alternative food sources (Messelink 293 et al. 2014).

294 Several studies have also reported that dicyphine predators show a type III functional responses 295 (Enkegaard et al. 2001; Hamdan 2006). A recent study on three Neotropical dicyphine species 296 showed a type II functional response for one species and type III for the other two species to egg 297 densities of T. absoluta (van Lenteren et al. 2016). The different outcomes achieved by these studies 298 may be due to difficulties in discriminating readily between type II and III functional response 299 curves or to biological differences in both prey and predator species investigated. At relatively low 300 prey densities, it may be difficult to distinguish between different types of functional responses 301 (Fantinou et al. 2008). In the present study, we provided a large range of densities of eggs to females (from 5 to 350 eggs) and to 5th-instar nymphs (from 5 to 300 eggs) of both predator species. 302

303 This enabled us to clearly define the response type as type II, with the average proportion of 304 consumed eggs steadily declining as the number of offered eggs increased.

305 Previous studies showed a preference by the mirid predators D. errans, M. pygmaeus and N. tenuis 306 for *T. absoluta* eggs rather than larvae (Ingegno et al. 2013; Jaworski et al. 2013; Urbaneja et al. 307 2009), probably because of the concealing behaviour of the larvae. Van Lenteren et al. (2016) 308 reported Macrolophus basicornis (Stål) as the best candidate for control of the tomato pinworm in 309 Brazil, compared to other two tested species. At the highest provided egg density (256), this species 310 consumed 100 eggs per day, whereas the other two predator species Campyloneuropsis infumatus 311 (Carvalho) and Engytatus varians (Distant) consumed 51 and 91 eggs per day, respectively. In our study, D. bolivari and D. errans were able to prey around 115-125 eggs at similar prey density (250 312 313 offered eggs), respectively, showing even better performances compared to their Neotropical 314 relatives. These two predator species show also higher maximum predation rates than that one reported for the commonly used predator *M. pygmaeus* (ca. 90 eggs day⁻¹, Michaelides et al. 2018). 315 316 Mirid predator preference towards eggs was especially evident for nymphal stages of *M. pygmaeus* 317 and N. tenuis, probably due to their small size (Jaworski et al. 2013; Urbaneja et al. 2009). In our study, 5th-instar nymphs of *D. bolivari* and *D. errans* were able to predate more than 100 eggs per 318 319 day when exposed to the highest density of T. absoluta eggs (i.e., 300). Comparing the maximum 320 attack rates, females and nymphs showed to prey almost the same amount of T. absoluta eggs, even 321 though females are larger than 5th-instar nymphs and have different feeding requirements, also 322 related to the biomass needed for egg development (Fellowes et al. 2007). Nevertheless, 5th-instar 323 nymphs of both predator species consistently preved *T. absoluta* eggs at each offered density, thus 324 highlighting the strong predatory capabilities of the late nymphal instar of these mirids on the pest. 325 Dicyphus bolivari and D. errans showed their predation capability on T. absoluta also in cage and 326 in greenhouse trials, being able to reduce the population of T. absoluta. On plants in cage, after a 327 period of adaptation of 24 h, both predators preved on average 12-14 eggs of the tomato pinworm over the 20 fresh eggs daily offered, as estimated by the trend of the functional response curves 328

329 (~15) (Fig. 1). Tuta absoluta usually has an oviposition peak in the first 3 days after adult 330 emergence, during which each female can lay up to 12-14 eggs per day (Lee et al. 2014), although 331 higher peaks were also recorded (Perevra and Sánchez 2006). Therefore, at the beginning of 332 infestation, a 1:1 predator: prey ratio could lead to a successful control of the pest. However, since 333 the viable eggs were not daily removed, the number of available eggs per day per female raised the 334 quote of 20 with a consequent higher hypothetic expected number of consumed eggs. This 335 discrepancy between real and predicted values of preyed eggs can be attributed to the different 336 experimental scales. The presence of an entire plant could have influenced several aspects, such as 337 phytophagy and searching time, compared to arena scale with excised leaflets, in contrast with what 338 observed in Maselou et al. (2014).

339 The high predation rates of *T. absoluta* eggs we observed for *D. bolivari* and *D. errans* suggests 340 that these predators can potentially be more effective in controlling *T. absoluta* than the commonly 341 used *M. pygmaeus*. However, the greenhouse trial showed the opposite effect: *M. pygmaeus* was 342 clearly more effective in controlling the tomato pinworm than D. bolivari and D. errans. At the 343 same time, we observed a much faster population increase for this predator compared to D. bolivari 344 and *D. errans*, which is a likely explanation for the difference in suppression of *T. absoluta*. This 345 shows that lower predation capacity per individual can soon be compensated by a stronger 346 population increase, which increases the predation capacity of the total predator population. The 347 predation capacity per individual probably had a stronger influence at the start of the experiment 348 when equal numbers of predators were released, but in a longer time-period the numerical response 349 of the predators was eventually a more defining factor. Yet, it needs to be considered that these 350 results were achieved in the summer period with high temperature peaks. The numerical response of 351 the predators may have been different under other climatic conditions, for example at lower 352 temperatures, which would have changed the outcome of the experiment. Also a longer time period 353 to build up predator densities prior to the introduction of T. absoluta could have resulted in a more 354 effective control of *T. absoluta* by *D. bolivari* and *D. errans* than achieved in the trial of this study.

355 The results of the greenhouse study show that functional response studies for omnivorous predators are relevant to assess their behaviour at different prey densities and their potential predation 356 357 capacity, but they are not completely defining their predation efficacy to evaluate them as BCAs. 358 Since they are omnivores, their functional response to a specific pest will also be strongly affected 359 by the presence of other prey. For example, increases in the numbers of one prey often decrease the 360 predator's functional response to other prey, either due to satiation or switching (Murdoch 1969). Additionally, increases in the density of one prey increase predator numbers (numerical response), 361 362 which can reduce the numbers of a second prey species (Holt 1977). For these reasons, the 363 functional response to a single pest can be considered as a starting point to evaluate omnivorous 364 predators but it should go together with studies on population dynamics, which allow assessing 365 numerical response during the experimental time-period, and multiple-prey studies. Moreover, the nutritional quality of their host plant will also affect predation rates by changing their extent of plant 366 367 feeding and flexible feeding behaviour (Castañé et al. 2011; Biondi et al. 2016). Plant quality may 368 also indirectly affect the functional response to pests through the accumulation of secondary 369 metabolites in prey (Koller et al. 2007). 370 In conclusion, our study shows the potential of *D. bolivari* and *D. errans* as BCAs of *T. absoluta*, 371 but further investigations are still needed to evaluate their ability of establishment, reproduction 372 rate, developmental time and survival in different environmental and agronomic conditions, and

behaviour in multiple-prey communities that are common in tomato crops. Moreover, also their
degree of phytophagy and potential crop damage should be studied as a part of their evaluation for
use in biological control.

376

377 **REFERENCES**

Abbas S, Pérez-Hedo M, Colazza S, Urbaneja A (2014) The predatory mirid *Dicyphus maroccanus*as a new potential biological control agent in tomato crops. BioControl 59:565-574.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-014-9587-6

381 Abrams PA (1982) Functional responses of optimal foragers. Am Nat 120:382-390.

382 https://doi.org/10.1086/283996

- Abrams PA, Ginzburg LR (2000) The nature of predation: prey dependent, ratio dependent or
 neither? Trends Ecol Evol 15:337-341. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(00)01908-X
- 385 Alvarado P, Baltà O, Alomar O (1997) Efficiency of four Heteroptera as predators of Aphis gossypii
- and *Macrosiphum euphorbiae* (Hom.: Aphididae). Entomophaga 42:215-226.

387 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02769899

- 388 Biondi A, Guedes RNC, Wan F-H, Desneux N (2018) Ecology, worldwide spread, and management
- 389 of the invasive South American tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta*: past, present, and future.
- 390 Annu Rev Entomol 63:239-258. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-031616-034933
- 391 Bolker BM (2008) Ecological models and data in R. Princeton University Press, pp 351-356. ISBN

392 0691125228

- 393 Briggs CJ, Hoopes MF (2004) Stabilizing effects in spatial parasitoid-host and predator-prey
- 394 models: a review. Theor Popul Biol 65:299-315. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tpb.2003.11.001
- 395 Campos MR, Biondi A, Adiga A, Guedes RNC, Desneux N (2017) From the Western Palaearctic
- region to beyond: *Tuta absoluta* 10 years after invading Europe. J Pest Sci 90:787-796.

397 https://doi: 10.1007/s10340-017-0867-7

398 Castañé C, Arnó J, Gabarra R, Alomar Ò (2011) Plant damage to vegetable crops by

399 zoophytophagous mirid predators. Biological Control 59:22-29. https://doi:

- 400 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2011.03.007
- 401 Coll M, Ridgway RL (1995) Functional and numerical responses of Orius insidiosus (Heteroptera:
- 402 Anthocoridae) to its prey in different vegetable crops. Ann Entomol Soc Am 88:732-738.
- 403 https://doi: 10.1093/aesa/88.6.732
- 404 Desneux N, Wajnberg E, Wyckhuys KAG, Burgio G, Arpaia S, Narvaez-Vasquez CA, Gonzalez-
- 405 Cabrera J, Catalán Ruescas D, Tabone E, Frandon J, Pizzol J, Poncet C, Cabello T, Urbaneja
- 406 A (2010) Biological invasion of European tomato crops by *Tuta absoluta*: ecology,

- 407 geographic expansion and prospects for biological control. J Pest Sci 83:197-215.
- 408 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-010-0321-6
- 409 Enkegaard A, Brødsgaard HF, Hansen DL (2001) *Macrolophus caliginosus*: functional response to
- 410 whiteflies and preference and switching capacity between whiteflies and spider mites.
- 411 Entomol Exp Appl 101:81-88. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1570-7458.2001.00893.x
- 412 Fantinou AA, Perdikis DC, Maselou DA, Lambropoulos PD (2008) Prey killing without
- 413 consumption: Does *Macrolophus pygmaeus* show adaptive foraging behaviour? Biol
- 414 Control 47:187-193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2008.08.004
- 415 Fellowes MDE, Van Alphen JJM, Jervis MA (2007) Foraging Behaviour. In: Jervis MA (ed)
- 416 Insects as Natural Enemies. A Practical Perspective, Springer Netherlands, pp 1-72. ISBN
 417 978-1-4020-2625-6
- 418 Fernández-Arhex V, Corley JC (2003) The functional response of parasitoids and its implications
 419 for biological control. Biocontrol Sci Tech 13:403-413.
- 420 https://doi.org/10.1080/0958315031000104523
- Foglar H, Malausa JC, Wajnberg E (1990) The functional response and preference of *Macrolophus caliginosus* [Heteroptera: Miridae] for two of its prey: *Myzus persicae* and *Tetranychus urticae*. Entomophaga 35:465-474. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02375272
- 424 Haddaway NR, Wilcox RH, Heptonstall REA Griffiths HM, Mortimer RJG, Christmas M, Dunn
- 425 AM (2012) Predatory functional response and prey choice identify predation differences
- 426 between native/invasive and parasitised/unparasitised crayfish. PLoS ONE 7, e32229.
- 427 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0032229
- 428 Hamdan A-JS (2006) Functional and numerical responses of the predatory bug Macrolophus
- 429 *caliginosus* Wagner fed on different densities of eggs of the greenhouse whitefly,
- 430 *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* (Westwood). J Biol Res 6:147-154
- 431 Hassell MP (2000) Host-parasitoid population dynamics. J Anim Ecol 69:543-566.
- 432 https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2656.2000.00445.x

- Holt RD (1977) Predation, apparent competition, and the structure of prey communities. Theor
 Popul Biol 12:197-229. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-5809(77)90042-9
- Ingegno BL, Goula M, Navone P, Tavella L (2008) Distribution and host plants of the genus *Dicyphus* in the Alpine valleys of NW Italy. Bull Insectol 61:139-140. ISSN 1721-8861
- 437 Ingegno BL, Ferracini C, Gallinotti D, Alma A, Tavella L (2013) Evaluation of the effectiveness of
- 438 *Dicyphus errans* (Wolff) as predator of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick). Biol Control 67:246-252.

439 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2013.08.002

- 440 Ingegno BL, Candian V, Psomadelis I, Bodino N, Tavella L (2017a) The potential of host plants for
- 441 biological control of *Tuta absoluta* by the predator *Dicyphus errans*. Bull Entomol Res

442 107:340-348. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485316001036

- Ingegno BL, Bodino N, Leman A, et al (2017b) Predatory efficacy of *Dicyphus errans* on different
 prey. Acta Hortic 1164:425-430. https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2017.1164.55
- 445 Jaworski CC, Bompard A., Genies L., Amiens-Desneux, E, Desneux N (2013) Preference and prey

switching in a generalist predator attacking local and invasive alien pests. PLoS ONE 8,

447 e82231. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0082231

- 448 Juliano SA (2001) Nonlinear curve fitting: predation and functional response curves, p.159-182. In:
- 449 Scheiner SM and Gurevitch J (eds.) Design and analysis of ecological experiments, 2nd. ed.,
- 450 New York, Chapman and Hall, p. 373
- Koller M, Knapp M and Schausberger P (2007) Direct and indirect adverse effects of tomato on the
 predatory mite *Neoseiulus californicus* feeding on the spider mite *Tetranychus evansi*
- 453 Entomol Exp Appl 125: 297–305. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.2007.00625.x
- 454 Lee MS, Albajes R, Eizaguirre M (2014) Mating behaviour of female *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera:
- 455 Gelechiidae): polyandry increases reproductive output. J Pest Sci 87:429-439.
- 456 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-014-0576-4

Maselou DA, Perdikis DC, Sabelis MW, Fantinou AA (2014) Use of plant resources by an
 omnivorous predator and the consequences for effective predation. Biol Control 79:92-100.

459 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2014.09.002

- Maselou DA, Perdikis DC, Fantinou A (2015) Effect of hunger level on prey consumption and
 functional response of the predator *Macrolophus pygmaeus*. Bull Insectol 68:211-218. ISSN
 1721-8861
- Messelink GJ, Bennison J, Alomar O, Ingegno BL, Tavella L, Shipp L, Palevsky E, Wäckers FL
 (2014) Approaches to conserving natural enemy populations in greenhouse crops: current
 methods and future prospects. BioControl 59:377-393. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-0149579-6
- Michaelides G, Sfenthourakis S, Pitsillou, Seraphides N (2018) Functional response and multiple
 predator effects of two generalist predators preying on *Tuta absoluta* eggs. Pest Manag Sci
 74:332-339. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4703
- 470 Montserrat M, Albajes R, Castañé C (2000) Functional response of four Heteropteran predators
- 471 preying on greenhouse whitefly (Homoptera : Aleyrodidae) and western flower thrips
- 472 (Thysanoptera : Thripidae). Environ Entomol 29:1075-1082. https://doi.org/10.1603/0046473 225X-29.5.1075
- 474 Murdoch WW (1969) Switching in general predators: experiments on predator specificity and
 475 stability of prey populations. Ecol Monographs 39:335-354.
- 476 https://doi.org/10.2307/1942352
- 477 Nachman G (2006) A functional response model of a predator population foraging in a patchy
- 478 habitat: Functional response in a patchy environment. J Animal Ecol 75:948-958.
- 479 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2006.01114.x
- 480 Okuyama T (2012a) On selection of functional response models: Holling's models and more.
- 481 BioControl 58:293-298. https//doi.org/10.1007/s10526-012-9492-9

482 Okuyama T (2012b) A likelihood approach for functional response models. Biol Control 60:103483 107

- 484 Pereyra PC, Sánchez NE (2006) Effect of two solanaceous plants on developmental and population 485 parameters of the tomato leaf miner, Tuta absoluta (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). 486 Neotrop Entomol 35:671-676. https//doi: 10.1590/S1519-566X2006000500016 487 R Development Core Team (2017) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R foundation for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria. ISBN: 3-900051-07-0 488 489 Roditakis E, Vasakis E, García-Vidal L, del Rosario Martínez-Aguirre M, Rison JL, Haxaire-Lutun 490 MO, Nauen R, Tsagkarakou A, Bielza P (2018) A four-year survey on insecticide resistance 491 and likelihood of chemical control failure for tomato leaf miner Tuta absoluta in the 492 European/Asian region. J Pest Sci 91:421-435. https://doi: 10.1007/s10340-017-0900-x 493 Sanchez JA, Cassis G (2018) Towards solving the taxonomic impasse of the biocontrol plant bug 494 subgenus Dicyphus (Dicyphus) (Insecta: Heteroptera: Miridae) using molecular, 495 morphometric and morphological partitions. Zool J Linn Soc. 496 https://doi.org/10.1093/zoolinnean/zly005 (epub ahead of print) 497 Tropea Garzia G, Siscaro G, Biondi A, Zappalà L (2012) Tuta absoluta, a South American pest of 498 tomato now in the EPPO region: biology, distribution and damage. EPPO Bull 42:205-210. 499 https://doi.org/10.1111/epp.2556 500 Urbaneja A, Monton H, Mollá O (2009) Suitability of the tomato borer Tuta absoluta as prey for 501 Macrolophus pygmaeus and Nesidiocoris tenuis. J Appl Entomol 133:292-296. 502 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.2008.01319.x 503 Van Den Meiracker RAF, Sabelis MW (1999) Do functional responses of predatory arthropods 504 reach a plateau? A case study of Orius insidiosus with western flower thrips as prey.
- 505 Entomol Exp Appl 90:323-329. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1570-7458.1999.00452.x

- 506 Van Lenteren JH, Hemerik L, Lins JC Jr, Bueno VHP (2016) Functional responses of three
- 507 neotropical mirid predators to eggs of *Tuta absoluta* on tomato. Insects 7, 34.
- 508 https://doi.org/10.3390/insects7030034
- 509 Voigt D, Gorb E, Gorb S (2007) Plant surface–bug interactions: *Dicyphus errans* stalking along
- 510 trichomes. Arthropod-Plant Interact 1:221-243. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11829-007-9021-4

| 512 | EQUATIONS |
|-----|--|
| 513 | |
| 514 | $N_a = \frac{aN_0}{1 + aThN_0}$ |
| 515 | |
| 516 | Eq. 1 Holling's type II functional response model |
| 517 | |
| 518 | $N_a = N_0 (1 - e^{\alpha(NTh)})$ |
| 519 | |
| 520 | Eq. 2 Rogers random-predator equation |
| 521 | |
| 522 | $N_a = \frac{aN_0^2}{1 + aThN_0^2}$ |
| 523 | Eq. 3 Holling's type III functional response model |

525 FIGURES



526

Fig. 1 Type II functional response of (a) *Dicyphus bolivari* female adults, (b) *D. bolivari* 5th-instar
nymphs, (c) *Dicyphus errans* female adults, and (d) *D. errans* 5th-instar nymphs when exposed to
increasing densities of *Tuta absoluta* eggs during a 24 h period





533 Fig. 2 Population growth in greenhouse trials of (A) *Tuta absoluta* in treatments with no predators 534 (untreated) and three species of mirid predators, and (B) the three species of the mirid predators. 535 Data shown are the mean $(\pm SE)$ densities of (A) larvae, pupae and adults of *T. absoluta* and (B) nymphs and adults of mirid predators per experimental unit. Predators were released in week 1, 2 536

- and 3 and *T. absoluta* in week 3, 4, 5 and 6. Different letters indicate significant differences among
- 538 treatments through time (Fisher's LSD test, P<0.05)

540 TABLES

- 541 **Table 1** Functional response trials: Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) of three functional response
- 542 models fitted for females and 5th-instar nymphs of *Dicyphus bolivari* and *Dicyphus errans*. A lower
- 543 AIC value indicates a better fit

| males | 5th-instar nymphs | Females | 5th- |
|-------|----------------------|---|--|
| | | | instar nymphs |
| 5.38 | 603.75 | 302.83 | 452.91 |
| 1.57 | 601.07 | 300.15 | 451.20 |
| 3.50 | 667.53 | 403.46 | 519.20 |
| | 5.38 4.57 3.50 | 6.38 603.75 4.57 601.07 3.50 667.53 | 5.38 603.75 302.83 4.57 601.07 300.15 8.50 667.53 403.46 |

544

- **Table 2** Functional response trials: functional response estimates of a =attack rate, Th = handling
- 547 time during the considered time interval (T = 1 day) of females and 5th-instar nymphs of *Dicyphus*

| 548 | bolivari and Dicypl | us errans; CI | = confidence | interval |
|-----|---------------------|---------------|--------------|----------|
|-----|---------------------|---------------|--------------|----------|

| | | a | CI | Th | CI |
|-------------------|----------------------|-------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Dicyphus bolivari | Females | 2.187 | 1.724 - 2.662 | 5.31 × 10 ⁻³ | $(4.49 - 5.91) \times 10^{-3}$ |
| | 5th-instar nymphs | 1.155 | 0.972 - 1.351 | 5.15 × 10 ⁻³ | $(3.94 - 6.18) \times 10^{-3}$ |
| Dicyphus errans | Females | 1.603 | 1.314 - 1.916 | 5.07×10^{-3} | $(4.18 - 5.74) \times 10^{-3}$ |
| | 5th-instar nymphs | 0.956 | 0.814 - 1.116 | 5.60×10^{-3} | $(4.30 - 6.75) \times 10^{-3}$ |

| 551 | Table 3 Predation trials of | on plants: number | of daily preyed | eggs of Tuta | absoluta exposed to | a |
|-----|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------------|---|
|-----|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------------|---|

- 552 female of *Dicyphus bolivari* or *Dicyphus errans* checked starting from 24 h after the predator
- 553 introduction (20 eggs day⁻¹ per 3 days); number of viable eggs and of larvae of *Tuta absoluta* at the
- end of the trials (i.e., 72 h and 96 h after inserting predator and first eggs, respectively). In column,
- 555 values followed by different letters are significantly different (ANOVA, Tukey test, P<0.05)
- 556

| Treatment | Daily no. of preyed eggs | | | No of viable eggs | No. of larvae |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | After 24 h | after 48 h | after 72 h | | |
| + Dicyphus bolivari | 5.0±1.3 | 11.2±2.3 | 12.8±1.9 | 25.9±1.7 a | 5.1±1.0 a |
| + Dicyphus errans | 5.7±1.2 | 13.7±1.7 | 14.1±2.2 | 20.8±2.3 a | 5.7±1.2 a |
| Without predator (control) | - | - | - | 47.4±2.5 b | 12.6±2.5 b |