



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

# Stay with the flow: How macroinvertebrate communities recover during the rewetting phase in Alpine streams affected by an exceptional drought

This is a pre print version of the following article:
Original Citation:
Availability:
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1718418 since 2021-12-23T12:36:55Z
Published version:
DOI:10.1002/rra.3563
Terms of use:
Open Access
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

**River Research and Applications** 



## Stay with the flow: how macroinvertebrate communities recover during the rewetting phase in Alpine streams affected by an exceptional drought

Journal:	River Research and Applications
Manuscript ID	Draft
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Doretto, Alberto; University of Piemonte Orientale; University of Turin; ALPSTREAM - Alpine Stream Research Center Bona, Francesca; University of Turin; ALPSTREAM - Alpine Stream Research Center Falasco, Elisa; University of Piemonte Orientale; ALPSTREAM - Alpine Stream Research Center Morandini, Daniele; University of Turin Piano, Elena; University of Piemonte Orientale; ALPSTREAM - Alpine Stream Research Center Fenoglio, Stefano; Università del Piemonte Orientale; ALPSTREAM - Alpine Stream Research Center
Keywords:	benthic invertebrates, Alpine streams, water scarcity, recolonization, biodiversity, resilience



1 2 3 4 5	1 2	Stay with the flow: how macroinvertebrate communities recover during the rewetting phase in Alpine streams affected by an exceptional drought					
5 6 7	3						
8 9	4	Short running title: Resilience of macroinvertebrates to droughts in Alpine streams					
10 11	5						
12 13 14	6 7	Alberto Doretto <sup>1,2,3,*</sup> , Francesca Bona <sup>2,3</sup> , Elisa Falasco <sup>1,3</sup> , Daniele Morandini <sup>2</sup> , Elena Piano <sup>1,3</sup> , Stefano Fenoglio <sup>1,3</sup>					
15 16 17 18	8 9						
19 20	10	<sup>1</sup> DISIT, University of Piemonte Orientale, Viale Teresa Michel 25, I-15121, Alessandria, Italy					
21 22	11	<sup>2</sup> DBIOS, University of Torino, Via Accademia Albertina 13, I-10123, Torino, Italy					
23 24	12	<sup>3</sup> ALPSTREAM – Alpine Stream Research Center, I-12030 Ostana, Italy					
25 26 27	13	*Corresponding author: alberto.doretto@unito.it; alberto.doretto@uniupo.it					
27 28 29	14						
30 31	15	Orcid ID					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16	Alberto Doretto: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4105-473X					

# 17 Acknowledgements

Authors are very grateful to Maria Cristina Bruno, Laura Gruppuso, Marco Baltieri (ATAAI – Associazione per la Tutela degli Ambienti Acquatici e dell'Ittiofauna) and the Monviso Natural Park for their assistance. This work was supported by the project PRIN NOACQUA "Risposte di comuNità e processi ecOsistemici in corsi d'ACQUA soggetti a intermittenza idrologica" - code 201572HW8F, funded by the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research.

# 23 Abstract

Drought occurrence is affecting an increasing number of lotic ecosystems worldwide due to the combined effects of climatic and anthropogenic pressures. Unlike naturally intermittent rivers, where the drying phase is a part of the annual flow regime, water scarcity in Alpine rivers represent a relatively recent phenomenon and, therefore, a major threat for the biodiversity of these lotic ecosystems, but the response of aquatic communities to this disturbance is still poorly investigated. Here, we present the results on the recovery of stream macroinvertebrates in two Alpine streams after a supra-seasonal drought. As water resumed, a total of ten sampling sessions were carried out and temporal patterns in diversity, density and taxonomic composition of benthic communities as well as in the percentage of functional feeding groups were investigated. 

We found that the resistance of invertebrate communities in Alpine streams is generally low: drought significantly reduced the diversity and density of macroinvertebrates. Conversely, our results suggest that the passive dispersal by drift from the upstream river sections seems the main mechanism that promotes the post-drought recovery. Nevertheless, this resilience ability appears to be stream-specific and influenced by intrinsic stream characteristics, including the flow permanence and distance from the nearest upstream perennial reach. This work sheds light on the impacts of climatic and human-induced droughts on benthic invertebrate communities and assumes a primary importance to predict their future composition in relation to the intensification of flow intermittency in Alpine areas under the current global change scenario.

Keywords: benthic invertebrates, Alpine streams, water scarcity, recolonization, biodiversity,
resilience

1. Introduction

Climate change is currently one of the most relevant challenges for habitat and species conservation worldwide because the raising in air temperature and alterations in the precipitation regimes are responsible for the habitat loss and fragmentation, changes in species phenology and enhanced rates of biodiversity loss (Dawson, Jackson, House, Prentice, & Mace, 2011; Mantyka-pringle, Martin, & Rhodes, 2012). The increased frequency and magnitude of hydrological extremes, such as floods and droughts, are among the main consequences of these phenomena for lotic ecosystems (Beniston, 2012; Heino, Virkkala, & Toivonen, 2009; Middelkoop et al., 2001; Ledger, & Milner, 2015; Whitehead, Wilby, Battarbee, Kernan, & Wade, 2009; Wu, & Johnson, 2019).

Alpine streams are expected to be extremely sensitive to the effects of droughts because the Alps are one of the most impacted areas by climate change and, at the same time, water abstraction is an increasing pressure (Fenoglio, Bo, Cucco, Mercalli, & Malacarne, 2010; Gorbach, Shoda, Burky, & Benbow, 2014; McKay, & King, 2006; López-Rodríguez, Márquez Muñoz, Ripoll-Martín, & Tierno de Figueroa, 2019). Under similar conditions, drought occurrence represents a major threat for stream macroinvertebrates, as documented by some authors (Bonada, Doledec, & Statzner, 2007; Calapez, Elias, Almeida, & Feio, 2014; Doretto et al., 2018b; Durance, & Ormerod, 2007; Fenoglio, Bo, Cucco, & Malacarne, 2007; Ledger, Brown, Edwards, Milner, & Woodward, 2013; Piano et al. 2019a; Pinna et al., 2016; Smith, McCormick, Covich, & Golladay, 2017; Storey, 2016). 

A growing attention is paid by river ecologists on the resistance and resilience mechanisms of benthic organisms to face the drying phase (Chester, & Robson, 2011; Fritz, & Dodds, 2004; Robson, Chester, & Austin, 2011; Aspin et al., 2019). However, the resistance ability of aquatic invertebrates to drought in Alpine streams is generally considered limited, compared to the aquatic biota of other geographical regions, such as the Mediterranean area, where the drying phase is a natural part of the annual flow regime (Leigh et al., 2016; Tierno de López-Rodríguez, Figueroa, Fenoglio, Sánchez-Castillo, & Fochetti, 2013). Benthic communities in Alpine streams, therefore, are generally considered more resilient than resistant (Doretto et al., 2018b), but scientific evidence on this is still limited. 

In a recent publication, Van Looy et al. (2019) developed a general framework to explain the resilience of aquatic communities to disturbance in streams, including droughts, based on the relative and combined role of three main drivers: resources competition and/or facilitation, recruitment and refugia. Firstly, the access or limitation to food resources affect the response of aquatic communities in terms of trophic and biotic relationships, acting as the major driver of the

post-disturbance recovery especially where the energetic inputs are pulsed- or patchy-distributed (Richardson & Sato, 2015). In this context, ameliorative effects of large amount of organic matter on macroinvertebrate communities have been reported also in relation to other physical disturbances, such as siltation (Doretto et al., 2017). Second, recruitment (i.e. the gain of individuals by the dispersal from adjacent habitat sources) is expected to play a primary contribution in highly connected river networks, resulting in a faster post-drought recovery (Flower, 2004; Ledger & Hildrew, 2001). Finally, habitat heterogeneity promotes the presence of in-stream refugia (mainly pools and the hyporheic zone) that can be exploited by the benthic taxa, according to their ecological traits, to survive under drying conditions (Boulton 2003; Chester & Robson, 2011; Fenoglio, Bo, & Bosi, 2006; Otermin, Basaguren, & Pozo, 2002; Verdonschot, Oosten-Siedlecka, Braak, & Verdonschot, 2015; Wood, Boulton, Little, & Stubbington, 2010). 

In this study we monitored the post-drought recovery of macroinvertebrate communities in two Alpine streams affected by a supra-seasonal drought (Lake, 2003) and discussed the results in relation to the contribution of food resources, recruitment and refugia. In particular, temporal patterns in composition and diversity of benthic invertebrate communities were evaluated during the water resumption (hereafter rewetting phase) and compared to the upstream permanent reaches. Our hypotheses were that: i) the invertebrate recruitment, especially in terms of recolonization by drift from the upstream sections would be the main mechanism of resilience in our streams, while ii) the resource availability would have a minor role. As the supra-seasonal drought was one of the most prolonged ever reported for the Italian Alps, lasting for more than 5 months, we expected a negligible effect of the in-stream refugia (i.e. pools and hyporheic zone). In addition, we postulated that the recovery process would be affected by stream-specific characteristics, especially in relation to hydrology stability and flow persistence. 

2. Materials and methods

## 2.1 Area of study

51 103 The sampling area is located in the Cottian Italian Alps (Northwestern Italy), where we examined the post-drought recovery in two lotic systems, namely the Po and Pellice rivers, which originate at 53 104 55 105 2,022 and 2,387 m.a.s.l. respectively. The former is the longest Italian watercourse: it runs for 652 57 106 Km until the Adriatic Sea with a drainage basin of approximatively 71,000 Km<sup>2</sup>. The latter is the 59 107 principal tributary of the Po river within the Alpine area and runs for 55 Km (drainage area: 974 Km<sup>2</sup>)

<sup>49</sup> 102

108 before its confluence (Fig. 1). They represent two good case studies as they have good water and 109 biological qualities but with stretches recently affected by drought.

On each river, two sampling sites were selected: a perennial stretch (P), with permanent flow 110 throughout the year, and an intermittent stretch (I) experiencing recurrent drought events since 111 10 2011 (ARPA, 2013; Piano et al., 2019a; 2019b), due to the joint effect of climate change and 112 11 12 113 consequent water abstraction to fulfill human needs. At this scale of investigation, the Po and Pellice 13 14 114 are 5-order rivers (Strahler, 1957), with the substrate dominated by coarse mineral elements and a 15 16 115 pluvio-nival hydrological regime. Also, the land use is very similar between these two streams: more 17 18 116 than 90% is represented by natural areas, while agricultural and urbanized areas on average account 19 for 8% and 1.5% (Table 1). <sub>20</sub> 117

## 2.2 Data collection

1 2 3

4 5

6

7

8

9

24 25

26

28

30

32

34

35

120 In 2017, Northwestern Italy experienced the most severe summer droughts ever reported. In the 27 121 lower sections of the Po and Pellice rivers, including the intermittent sites here considered, surface 29 122 water ceased in July and August 2017 respectively (Falasco, Piano, Doretto, Fenoglio, & Bona, 2018) and resumed only in January 2018, after conspicuous rainfalls (see Supplementary Materials Fig. 31 123 <sub>33</sub> 124 SM1). Although a marked reduction of the river discharge, the upstream perennial sites were 125 characterized by the continued permanence of running surface water during this period.

36 126 As water resumed, a total of ten sampling dates were carried out in the intermittent sites to monitor 37 38 127 the post-drought recovery of benthic communities, covering a 3-month period (Table 2). To better 39 describe the first phases of the recolonization process, samples were initially collected every 3 days, 40 128 41 42 129 while at the end of the sampling period benthic invertebrates were sampled every two weeks. 43 <sub>44</sub> 130 Moreover, since we expected that the upstream perennial stretches acted as sources of organisms 45 during the recolonization process, macroinvertebrates were sampled also in the perennial sites on 131 46 47 two selected occasions, namely on 19<sup>th</sup> January and 22<sup>th</sup> March 2018. These samplings allow to 132 48 <sup>49</sup> 133 obtain an overview of macroinvertebrate communities, at the beginning and at the end of the 50 studied period. 51 134 52

On each sampling occasion, dissolved oxygen concentration (mgL<sup>-1</sup>), oxygen saturation (%), pH, 53 135 54 <sub>55</sub> 136 water temperature (°C) and electrical conductivity (µScm<sup>-1</sup>) were measured with a multiparametric 56 137 probe (Hydrolab mod. Quanta). Water depth (cm) and water velocity (ms<sup>-1</sup>) were measured for each 57 58 138 sample using a flowmeter (Hydro-bios Kiel). Moreover, the composition of the substrate within the 59 60 139 area delimited by the Surber sampler was visually estimated. Based on the Wentworth's grain size Page 7 of 26

classification (1922), the percentages of boulders (>256 mm), cobbles (256-64 mm), gravel (64-2 141 mm) and fine sediment (<2 mm) were estimated by the same operator. Macroinvertebrates were collected using a Surber sampler (0.05 m<sup>2</sup>, 250 µm mesh-size) and three samples were taken on 142 each sampling occasion, with the only exception represented by 23<sup>th</sup> February, when no samples 143 were collected in the intermittent site of the Po river because the stream bed was completely dry 144 145 (Table 2). Samples were preserved in 70% ethanol and returned in laboratory for the sorting under 146 stereo-microscope. Specimens were counted and systematically identified to genus а (Ephemeroptera and Plecoptera) or family level using the taxonomic keys for the Italian macroinvertebrate fauna (Campaioli, Ghetti, Minelli, & Ruffo, 1994; 1999), and also classified into functional feeding groups (FFGs: collector-gatherers, filterers, predators, scrapers and shredders; 150 Merritt, Cummins, & Berg, 2008).

2.3 Statistical analyses

Significant differences in the environmental parameters between the perennial and intermittent sampling sites (i.e. Pellice I vs Pellice P and Po I vs Po P) as well as between rivers (i.e. Pellice and Po) over the monitored period were visualized by means of Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and tested with Permutational Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA). The water temperature, pH, electrical conductivity, dissolved oxygen and the mean value of the water velocity, depth and 157 158 percentage of the four substrate classes was calculated for each sampling site on each date and included in this analysis. To meet the assumptions of normality, percentage data were squareroot(arcsin) transformed prior performing the PCA, which was run using the "prcomp" function in the basic package of R. The "adonis" function in the vegan R package (Oksanen et al. 2015) was used, instead, to perform the PERMANOVA analysis, for which the Euclidean distance was applied. 163 Changes in the taxonomic composition of benthic communities between sampling occasions and 164 sites were initially visualized by means of a Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling (NMDS). This 165 multivariate analysis was performed using the function "metaMDS" in the vegan R package (Oksanen et al. 2015). Surber samples were used as separate replicates: raw data about the 52 53 167 abundance of macroinvertebrates were square-root transformed and then a Bray-Curtis 54 <sub>55</sub> 168 dissimilarity index was applied. PERMANOVA was run to test for significant differences in relation 56 57 169 to the "time" (as days from the water return) and "site" (Pellice P, Pellice I, Po P and Po I) factors. 58 170 Generalized Additive Models (GAMs) were used to assess the non-linear response of the community 59 <sup>60</sup> 171 metrics over the time, expressed in terms of days from the water return. Prior to perform the

3 172 statistical models, data exploration was carried out according to Zuur, leno & Elphick (2010) and 4 5 173 outliers were removed. Four taxonomical metrics were considered: the total taxa richness, total density of macroinvertebrate (number of individuals m<sup>-2</sup>) as well as EPT (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera 174 8 and Trichoptera) richness and density. In addition, the percentage of each functional feeding group 175 9 10 176 and the ratio between scrapers and total collectors were also taken into account. The latter 11 12 177 parameter has been proposed as an ecosystem indicator for the prevalence of autotrophy (i.e. 13 14 178 grazing) or heterotrophy (i.e. detritus chain) in rivers (Cummins, Merritt, & Andrade, 2005). Samples 15 16 179 collected in the perennial sites were not included in the regression models, but the mean value of 17 18 180 each metric was calculated to better interpret the observed patterns.

<sub>20</sub> 181 All the GAMs were carried out using the "gam" function in the mgcv R package (Wood & Wood, 21 182 2015): a Poisson distribution was used for count data, while the negative binomial distribution was 22 23 183 alternatively used in case of overdispersion. The binomial distribution was instead applied for the 24 <sup>25</sup> 184 percentage variables. All the analyses were performed with the statistical software R (R 26 27 185 Development Core Team, 2018). 28

#### 3. Results

1 2

6

7

19

32 188

33 34

35

37

39

41

42 43

44 45

46

48

50

52

57

#### 3.1 Environmental parameters

The first and the second axes of PCA accounted for 25.6% and 22.5% respectively of the variance 189 <sup>36</sup> 190 associated to the environmental parameters, for a cumulative percentage equal to 48.1% (Fig. 2). 38 191 The first axis (PC1) was positively correlated with the electrical conductivity and negatively correlated with the pH and water velocity. By contrast, the second axis (PC2) was positively 40 192 193 correlated with the percentage of cobbles and the dissolved oxygen, while it was negatively 194 correlated with the percentage of sand, water depth and water temperature.

195 In general, samples from the Pellice river were mainly oriented in the top-left part of the plot and <sup>47</sup> 196 showed a less pronounced dispersion, while samples from the Po river were oriented in the bottomright part of the graph and showed a higher dispersion. Nevertheless, PERMANOVA did not show 49 197 significant differences in the environmental parameters among sampling sites (P = 0.184) and rivers 51 198 <sub>53</sub> 199 (P = 0.056), despite the p-value in this latter case was close to the significant threshold.

### 3.2 Macroinvertebrates

<sup>58</sup> 202 A total of 12,570 macroinvertebrates were collected, belonging to 38 different taxa (Supplementary 59 Materials: Table SM1). Plecoptera, Ephemeroptera and Diptera were the orders with the highest 60 203

Page 9 of 26

#### **River Research and Applications**

number of taxa (8), followed by Trichoptera (6), Coleoptera and Oligochaeta (2), Odonata,
 Tricladida, Crustacea and Nematomorpha (1). In general, *Baetis* sp., *Rhithrogena* sp.,
 Hydropsychidae, Chironomiidae and Simuliidae were the dominant taxa in the perennial and
 intermittent sites of both rivers. The average number of taxa per sample was 10, while the mean
 number of individuals per sample was 182.

209 Results of the NMDS and PERMANOVA analyses showed a significant effect of the factors "time" 210 (F<sub>9,45</sub> = 3.311; P < 0.001) and "site" (F<sub>3,45</sub> = 7.302; P < 0.001) on the composition of macroinvertebrate 16 211 communities (Fig. 3). Invertebrate samples collected in the intermittent site of the Pellice river 18 212 (Pellice I) showed a similar taxonomical composition, as they clustered together in the central part <sub>20</sub> 213 of the plot. Moreover, a partial overlap with the composition of the upstream perennial site (Pellice 21 214 P) was observed. On the contrary, macroinvertebrate communities in the intermittent site of the Po 22 23 215 river (Po I) showed the highest dispersal indicating a significant variation in the taxonomic 24 <sup>25</sup> 216 composition over the time. Samples from this site were mostly oriented in the left-side of the plot 26 27 217 and did not overlap with samples collected in the upstream permanent site (Po P).

28 When looking at the temporal variation of the diversity and density of macroinvertebrates during 29 218 30 <sub>31</sub> 219 the rewetting phase, we found significant differences between the two rivers (Table 3). Total 32 richness in the Pellice river significantly increased over the time, from 8 to 14 taxa (Fig. 4a, Table 3), 220 33 34 221 despite it was lower than that of the upstream perennial site (18 taxa). Conversely, the total richness 35 <sup>36</sup> 222 in the Po river slightly increased within the first 20 days of rewetting and then it markedly dropped 37 38 223 (Fig. 4a). The average number of taxa recorded in the intermittent and permanent sites of the Po 39 river at the end of the study were 5 and 20 respectively. 40 224

41 42 225 Similar results were obtained for the EPT richness, which showed opposite trends in the two rivers 43 226 (Fig. 4b, Table 3). The number of EPT taxa significantly increased since the water resumption and 44 45 227 completely approached the same value of the upstream permanent site (10 taxa). By contrast, EPT 46 47 228 richness progressively decreased in the Po river and at the end of the sampling period was quite 48 lower than that in the upstream permanent site (11 taxa). 49 229

50 Significant temporal variations in the density of macroinvertebrates were also observed in both 51 230 52 <sub>53</sub> 231 rivers (Table 3). In the Pellice river the total density of macroinvertebrates significantly increased 54 232 over time, from 2,000 to approximatively 5,000 individuals m<sup>-2</sup> after 73 days of rewetting (Fig. 4c). 55 <sup>56</sup> 233 However, it was still lower than the average density recorded in the perennial section (7,700 57 <sup>58</sup> 234 individuals m<sup>-2</sup>). Conversely, total density of macroinvertebrates in the Po river peaked around 25 59 days after the water resumption but then it collapsed (Fig. 4c). The numerical gap with the upstream 60 235

236 site (6,400 individuals m<sup>-2</sup>) was high, despite the increment on the last sampling occasion. As EPT 237 taxa were numerically dominant in this study, the temporal variation of EPT density closely resembled that observed for the total density, especially in the Po river (Fig. 4d, Table 3). The EPT 238 density in the Pellice river, instead, showed a sharp increase after 20 days from the water 239 10 resumption and then stabilized around a value of 3,500 individuals m<sup>-2</sup>, that was comparable to the 240 11 12 241 average EPT density in the perennial site (4,290 individuals m<sup>-2</sup>). 13

14 242 With exception of shredders, percentages of functional feeding groups significantly varied over the 15 16 243 time (Table 3). On average, collector-gatherers were the most abundant group in the Pellice river 17 18 244 (34%) followed by filterers (32%), despite these two groups showed some fluctuations (Fig. 5a). Also, 19 <sub>20</sub> 245 the percentage of scrapers was high (29%) and relatively constant over the time (Fig. 5a), while a 21 246 general increase was observed for predators during the rewetting phase but, on average, they 22 23 247 accounted for less than 4%. 24

<sup>25</sup> 248 Benthic communities in the Po river were almost exclusively dominated by collector-gatherers (50%) 26 27 249 and scrapers (40%): the former were more abundant on the first and last sampling occasions 28 respectively, while the latter were numerically abundant on the intermediate sampling occasions 29 250 30 <sub>31</sub> 251 (Fig. 5b). The percentage of filterers was generally low (8%), despite this functional group peaked 32 252 after 31 and 45 days from the water resumption (Fig. 5b). Predators were recorded only on few 33 34 253 sampling occasions in the Po river and no significant trends were observed for this group (Fig. 5b, 35 <sup>36</sup> 254 Table 3). Most representative taxa of each functional feeding group are listed in Table SM1 37 38 255 (Supplementary Materials).

Changes in the ratio between the scrapers and total collectors (i.e. shredders, collector-gatherers 40 256 42 257 and filterers) were observed only in the Po river, where this indicator rapidly increased during the 43 258 initial stages of the rewetting phase, peaked around 20 days, and then it decreased at the end of 44 45 259 the study (Fig. 5c, Table 3). 46

4. Discussion

1 2 3

4 5

6

7

8

9

39

41

51 <sub>52</sub> 262 In a review on the response of riverine communities to disturbance, Death (2010) pointed out that, 53 263 in general, benthic communities recover rapidly because they are more resilient rather than 54 55 264 resistant. In this study we monitored the post-drought recovery of macroinvertebrate communities 56 57 265 after a supra-seasonal drought in two Alpine streams and our findings corroborate this statement. 58 59 266 Drought significantly reduced the diversity and density of invertebrate communities, especially 60 267 regarding the most sensitive invertebrates, like EPT taxa, and confirmed our hypothesis for which Page 11 of 26

268 the resistance of Alpine macroinvertebrates to this disturbance is quite scarce, as demonstrated previously (Doretto et al., 2017; Fenoglio et al., 2007; Herbst, Cooper, Medhurst, Wiseman, & 269 Hunsaker, 2019; Piano et al., 2019a). Moreover, this limited resistance could be explained by the 270 negligible contribution provided by in-stream refugia because of the drought intensity and length. 271 Unlike other studies, where pools and the hyporheic zone have been recognized to be primary 272 273 drivers of the post-drought recovery of benthic organisms (Vander Vorste, Malard, & Datry, 2016; 274 Verdonschot et al., 2015), the prolonged drying conditions here observed probably nullified the 16 275 suitability of such refugia. Indeed, pools disappeared in our intermittent sites and also the survival 18 276 of macroinvertebrates in the moist interstitial spaces appears unlikely under similar circumstances. <sub>20</sub> 277 To confirm this, data acquired by a piezometer showed that, in the intermittent site of the Po river, 278 water was 2.5 m below the ground level for the majority of the time from July to December 2017 22 23 279 (unpublished data, see Supplementary Materials Fig. SM2). Our results showed that the passive 24 <sup>25</sup> 280 recolonization by drift from the upstream section was probably the main factor facilitating the 26 27 281 recovery of macroinvertebrates in Alpine streams, according to the results of other authors (Doretto 28 et al., 2018; Flower, 2004). 29 282 30

<sub>31</sub> 283 However, marked differences were found among the two examined lotic systems, thus supporting 32 the role of recruitment in macroinvertebrate community resilience to exceptional droughts. In the 284 33 34 285 Pellice river we observed a progressive and significant increase in all the diversity metrics since the 35 36 286 water resumption and multivariate analysis indicated a partial overlap in the community 37 38 287 composition of permanent and intermittent sites. As water resumed in this river, no relevant 39 changes in flow and environmental conditions were observed among sampling occasions. This 40 288 41 42 289 aspect, combined with the shorter distance from the upstream nearest permanent site, probably 43 290 explains the recovery dynamics here documented, as pointed out by other authors (Bogan, 44 45 291 Boersma, & Lytle, 2015; Fritz & Doods, 2004). On the contrary, the rewetting process in the Po river 46 47 292 was strongly influenced by the precipitation amount (Supplementary Materials Fig. SM1): after a 48 49 293 steady raise in flow, the riverbed shrank over the time and dried completely around 45 days from 50 the water resumption with flowing water that re-established only on the last sampling occasions. 51 294 52 <sub>53</sub> 295 As a consequence, richness and density of macroinvertebrates generally peaked within the first 20 54 296 days and then collapsed, while even after 73 days the taxonomical composition of the intermittent 55 56 297 and permanent sites was still different. In addition, also the greater distance between these two 57 <sup>58</sup> 298 sampling stations probably explains why an appreciable recovery was not reached in this lotic 59 ecosystem. 60 299

300 Van Looy et al. (2018) indicated also that the resource competition/facilitation plays an important 301 role on the resilience after a disturbance of riverine communities. Although we did not assess directly the food availability and biotic interactions, temporal changes in the percentages of FFGs 302 and ratio between scrapers and total collectors were examined. FFGs have been widely invoked to 303 10 304 indirectly infer riverine ecosystem attributes and their use in biomonitoring is currently growing 11 12 305 (Cummins et al., 2005; Doretto, Piano, Bona & Fenoglio, 2018; Merritt, Fenoglio & Cummins, 2017). 13 <sup>14</sup> 306 Temporal patterns for FFGs were found for both rivers but, interestingly, significant variations in the 15 16 307 ratio between scrapers and total collectors were observed only in the Po river. This ratio was here 17 used as an indicator of the prevalence of autotrophy or heterotrophy, and our results suggest that 18 308 19 <sub>20</sub> 309 probably the availability and quality of periphyton and organic matter were not influential factors 21 310 in the Pellice river (Falasco et al., in preparation), while they affected, at least partially, the 22 23 311 recolonization process in the Po river. 24

<sup>25</sup> 312 To conclude, this work stresses the importance of the recolonization by drift as the main mechanism 26 27 313 for the post-drought recovery of macroinvertebrates in Alpine streams. This is in accordance with 28 conceptual framework proposed by Van Looy et al. (2018), for which the recruitment from adjacent 29 314 30 <sub>31</sub> 315 habitat sources is usually the main drivers of community resilience in connected river network. As 32 the intermittent and permanent sites in this study were located few kilometers aside, we assume 316 33 34 317 that this condition applied to our results. However, we also demonstrated that river-specific 35 <sup>36</sup> 318 attributes, such as local climate conditions, hydrology and the distance from the nearest upstream 37 perennial site can strongly influence the recovery process. Given the predicted increment in the 38 319 39 frequency and magnitude of anthropogenic and climate droughts in the mountain areas, the results 40 320 41 42 321 of this study offer important information for the management and conservation of Alpine streams 43 322 and their biota. 44

#### 47 324 Data Availability Statement (DAS) 48

49 325 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. 51 326

#### 54 328 References 55

57 329 Agenzia Regionale per la Protezione dell'Ambiente (ARPA) (2013) Idrologia in Piemonte nel 2012. Regione Piemonte, pp 23. http://www.arpa.piemonte.it 58 330

59 60

45 323 46

50

52 <sub>53</sub> 327

1 2 3

4 5

6

7

8

2 3 331 Aspin, T. W., Khamis, K., Matthews, T. J., Milner, A. M., O'callaghan, M. J., Trimmer, M., ... & Ledger, 4 M. E. (2019). Extreme drought pushes stream invertebrate communities over functional 332 5 333 thresholds. *Global change biology*, 25(1), 230-244. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14495</u> 6 7 Beniston, M. (2012). Impacts of climatic change on water and associated economic activities in the 334 8 9 335 Swiss Alps. Journal of Hydrology, 412, 291-296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.06.046 10 11 336 Bogan, M. T., Boersma, K. S., & Lytle, D. A. (2015). Resistance and resilience of invertebrate 12 337 communities to seasonal and supraseasonal drought in arid-land headwater streams. Freshwater 13 14 338 Biology, 60(12), 2547-2558. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12522 15 16 339 Bonada, N., Doledec, S., & Statzner, B. (2007). Taxonomic and biological trait differences of stream 17 340 macroinvertebrate communities between mediterranean and temperate regions: implications for 18 341 future climatic scenarios. Global Change Biology, 13(8), 1658-1671. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-19 <sub>20</sub> 342 2486.2007.01375.x 21 <sub>22</sub> 343 Boulton, A. J. (2003). Parallels and contrasts in the effects of drought on stream macroinvertebrate 23 344 Biology, 48(7), https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365assemblages. Freshwater 1173-1185. <sup>24</sup> 345 2427.2003.01084.x 25 <sup>26</sup> 346 Calapez, A. R., Elias, C. L., Almeida, S. F., & Feio, M. J. (2014). Extreme drought effects and recovery 27 28 <sup>347</sup> patterns in the benthic communities of temperate streams. Limnetica, 33(2), 281-296. 29 348 https://doi.org/10.23818/limn.33.22 30 Campaioli, S., Ghetti, P. F., Minelli, A., & Ruffo, S. (1994). Manuale per il riconoscimento dei 31 349 <sup>32</sup> 350 macroinvertebrati delle acque dolci italiane (Vol. I). Trento: Provincia Autonoma di Trento. 33 <sup>34</sup> 351 Campaioli, S., Ghetti, P. F., Minelli, A., & Ruffo, S. (1999). Manuale per il riconoscimento dei 35 36 352 macroinvertebrati delle acque dolci italiane (Vol. II). Trento: Provincia Autonoma di Trento. 37 38 353 Chester, E. T., & Robson, B. J. (2011). Drought refuges, spatial scale and recolonisation by 39 354 non-perennial streams. Freshwater Biology, 56(10), invertebrates in 2094-2104. 40 355 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2011.02644.x 41 <sup>42</sup> 356 Cummins, K. W., Merritt, R. W., & Andrade, P. C. (2005). The use of invertebrate functional groups 43 44 357 to characterize ecosystem attributes in selected streams and rivers in south Brazil. Studies on 45 358 Neotropical Fauna and Environment, 40(1), 69-89. https://doi.org/10.1080/01650520400025720 46 47 359 Dawson, T. P., Jackson, S. T., House, J. I., Prentice, I. C., & Mace, G. M. (2011). Beyond predictions: 48 360 biodiversity conservation in changing climate. Science, 332(6025), 53-58. а 49 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1200303 361 50 51 362 Death, R. G. (2010). Disturbance and riverine benthic communities: what has it contributed to 52 <sub>53</sub> 363 theory? River general ecological Research and Applications, 26(1), 15-25. 54 364 https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.1302 55 56 365 Doretto, A., Bona, F., Piano, E., Zanin, I., Eandi, A. C., & Fenoglio, S. (2017). Trophic availability buffers <sup>57</sup> 366 the detrimental effects of clogging in an alpine stream. Science of the Total Environment, 592, 503-58 <sub>59</sub> 367 511. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.03.108 60

<sup>3</sup> 368 Doretto, A., Piano, E., Bona, F., & Fenoglio, S. (2018a). How to assess the impact of fine sediments
 <sup>4</sup> 369 on the macroinvertebrate communities of alpine streams? A selection of the best metrics. *Ecological* 370 *Indicators*, 84, 60-69. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.08.041</u>

Doretto, A., Piano, E., Falasco, E., Fenoglio, S., Bruno, M. C., & Bona, F. (2018b). Investigating the
 role of refuges and drift on the resilience of macroinvertebrate communities to drying conditions:
 An experiment in artificial streams. *River Research and Applications*, 34(7), 777-785.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.3294

- Durance, I., & Ormerod, S. J. (2007). Climate change effects on upland stream macroinvertebrates
   over a 25-year period. *Global Change Biology*, 13(5), 942-957. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-</u>
   <u>2486.2007.01340.x</u>
- Falasco, E., Piano, E., Doretto, A., Fenoglio, S., & Bona, F. (2018). Lentification in Alpine rivers: patterns of diatom assemblages and functional traits. *Aquatic sciences*, 80(4), 36.
   Anterna A
- Fenoglio, S., Bo, T., & Bosi, G. (2006). Deep interstitial habitat as a refuge for Agabus paludosus
   (Fabricius)(Coleoptera: Dytiscidae) during summer droughts. *The Coleopterists Bulletin*, 60(1), 37 42. <u>https://doi.org/10.1649/842.1</u>
- Fenoglio, S., Bo, T., Cucco, M., & Malacarne, G. (2007). Response of benthic invertebrate assemblages to varying drought conditions in the Po river (NW Italy). *Italian Journal of Zoology*, 74(2), 191-201. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/11250000701286696</u>
- Fenoglio, S., Bo, T., Cucco, M., Mercalli, L., & Malacarne, G. (2010). Effects of global climate change on freshwater biota: A review with special emphasis on the Italian situation. *Italian Journal of Zoology*, 77(4), 374-383. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/11250000903176497</u>.
- 3637 390Fowler, R. T. (2004). The Recovery of Benthic Invertebrate Communities Following Dewatering in38 391TwoBraided39ABraided39AA40392https://doi.org/10.1023/B:HYDR.0000033077.13139.7f
- <sup>41</sup> 393
   <sup>42</sup> 393
   <sup>43</sup> 394
   <sup>44</sup> 395
   <sup>44</sup> 395
   <sup>45</sup>
- Gorbach, K. R., Shoda, M. E., Burky, A. J., & Benbow, M. E. (2014). Benthic community responses to
   water removal in tropical mountain streams. *River research and applications*, 30(6), 791-803.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.2679</u>
- Heino, J., Virkkala, R., & Toivonen, H. (2009) Climate change and freshwater biodiversity: detected
   patterns, future trends and adaptations in northern regions. *Biological Reviews*, 84(1), 39-54.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2008.00060.x</u>
- Herbst, D. B., Cooper, S. D., Medhurst, R. B., Wiseman, S. W., & Hunsaker, C. T. (2019). Drought
   ecohydrology alters the structure and function of benthic invertebrate communities in mountain
   streams. *Freshwater Biology*, 1-17. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13270</u>
- 59 60

- <sup>3</sup> 405 Lake, P. S. (2003). Ecological effects of perturbation by drought in flowing waters. *Freshwater* 406 *biology*, 48(7), 1161-1172. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2427.2003.01086.x</u>
- Ledger, M. E., & Hildrew, A. G. (2001). Recolonization by the benthos of an acid stream following a
  drought. Archiv für Hydrobiologie, 1-17. <u>https://doi.org/10.1127/archiv-hydrobiol/152/2001/1</u>
- Ledger, M. E., Brown, L. E., Edwards, F. K. F. K., Milner, A. M., & Woodward, G. (2013). Drought alters
   the structure and functioning of complex food webs. *Nature Climate Change*, 3(3), 223-227.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1684</u>
- Ledger, M. E., & Milner, A. M. (2015). Extreme events in running waters. *Freshwater Biology*, 60(12),
   2455-2460. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12673</u>.
- Leigh, C., Bonada, N., Boulton, A. J., Hugueny, B., Larned, S. T., Vander Vorste, R., & Datry, T. (2016).
   Invertebrate assemblage responses and the dual roles of resistance and resilience to drying in
   intermittent rivers. *Aquatic Sciences*, 78(2), 291-301. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-015-0427-2</u>.
- López-Rodríguez, M. J., Muñoz, C. M., Ripoll-Martín, E., & de Figueroa, J. M. T. (2019). Effect of shifts in habitats and flow regime associated to water diversion for agriculture on the macroinvertebrate community of a small watershed. *Aquatic Ecology*, 1-13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10452-019-</u> 09703-6
- Mantyka-pringle, C. S., Martin, T. G., & Rhodes, J. R. (2012). Interactions between climate and habitat loss effects on biodiversity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology*, *18*(4), 1239-1252. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02593.x
- McKay, S. F., & King, A. J. (2006). Potential ecological effects of water extraction in small, unregulated streams. *River Research and Applications*, 22(9), 1023-1037. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.958</u>
- Merritt, R.W., Cummins, K.W. and Berg, M.B. (2008) An Introduction to Aquatic Insects of North America. 4th Edition, Kendall Hunt Publishers, Dubuque.
- Merritt, R. W., Fenoglio, S., & Cummins, K. W. (2017). Promoting a functional macroinvertebrate
  approach in the biomonitoring of Italian lotic systems. *Journal of Limnology*, 76(s1), 5-8.
  https://doi.org/10.4081/jlimnol.2016.1502
- <sup>45</sup> 432 Middelkoop, H., Daamen, K., Gellens, D., Grabs, W., Kwadijk, J. C., Lang, H., ... & Wilke, K. (2001).
  <sup>47</sup> 433 Impact of climate change on hydrological regimes and water resources management in the Rhine
  <sup>48</sup> 434 basin. *Climatic Change*, 49(1), 105-128. <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010784727448</u>
- <sup>50</sup> 435 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., Minchin, P. R., O'Hara,
   <sup>51</sup> 436 R.B., Simpson, G. L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M. H. H., Szoecs, E., Wagner, H. (2015). Vegan: community
   <sup>53</sup> 437 ecology package. R Package Version 2.2–1.
- Otermin, A., Basaguren, A., & Pozo, J. (2002). Re-colonization by the macroinvertebrate community
   after a drought period in a first-order stream (Agüera Basin, Northern Spain). *Limnetica*, 21(1-2),
   117-128.
- 59

49

32

<sup>3</sup> 441 Piano, E., Doretto, A., Falasco, E., Fenoglio, S., Gruppuso, L., Nizzoli, D., ... & Bona, F. (2019a). If
 <sup>4</sup> 442 Alpine streams run dry: the drought memory of benthic communities. *Aquatic Sciences*, *81*(2), 32.
 <sup>6</sup> 443 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-019-0629-0</u>

1 2

30

47

Piano, E., Doretto, A., Falasco, E., Gruppuso, L., Fenoglio, S., & Bona, F. (2019b). The role of recurrent
 dewatering events in shaping ecological niches of scrapers in intermittent Alpine streams.
 Hydrobiologia, 1-13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-019-04021-2</u>

 Pinna, M., Marini, G., Cristiano, G., Mazzotta, L., Vignini, P., Cicolani, B., & Di Sabatino, A. (2016).
 Influence of aperiodic summer droughts on leaf litter breakdown and macroinvertebrate
 assemblages: testing the drying memory in a Central Apennines River (Aterno River, Italy). *Hydrobiologia*, 782(1), 111-126.

- R Development Core Team. (2018). R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna:
   R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Richardson, J. S., & Sato, T. (2015). Resource subsidy flows across freshwater-terrestrial boundaries
   and influence on processes linking adjacent ecosystems. *Ecohydrology*, 8(3), 406-415.
   https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1488
- Robson, B. J., Chester, E. T., & Austin, C. M. (2011). Why life history information matters: drought refuges and macroinvertebrate persistence in non-perennial streams subject to a drier climate. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 62(7), 801-810. <u>https://doi.org/10.1071/MF10062</u>
- Smith, C. R., McCormick, P. V., Covich, A. P., & Golladay, S. W. (2017). Comparison of
   macroinvertebrate assemblages across a gradient of flow permanence in an agricultural
   watershed. *River Research and Applications*, 33(9), 1428-1438. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.3211">https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.3211</a>
- Storey, R. (2016). Macroinvertebrate community responses to duration, intensity and timing of annual dry events in intermittent forested and pasture streams. *Aquatic Sciences*, 78(2), 395-414.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-015-0443-2</u>
- 40 465 Strahler, A. N. (1957). Quantitative analysis of watershed geomorphology. *Eos Transactions* 41 466 *American Geophysical Union*, 38: 913-920. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/TR038i006p00913</u>
- <sup>43</sup> 467 Tierno de Figueroa, J. M., López-Rodríguez, M. J., Fenoglio, S., Sánchez-Castillo, P., & Fochetti, R.
  <sup>45</sup> 468 (2013). Freshwater biodiversity in the rivers of the Mediterranean Basin. *Hydrobiologia*, 719, 137<sup>46</sup> 469 186. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-012-1281-z
- Vander Vorste, R., Malard, F., & Datry, T. (2016). Is drift the primary process promoting the resilience
   of river invertebrate communities? A manipulative field experiment in an intermittent alluvial
   river. Freshwater Biology, 61(8), 1276-1292. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12658</u>
- Van Looy, K., Tonkin, J. D., Floury, M., Leigh, C., Soininen, J., Larsen, S., ... & Datry, T. (2019). The three Rs of river ecosystem resilience: Resources, recruitment, and refugia. *River Research and Applications*, 35(2), 107-120. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.3396</u>
- Verdonschot, R., Oosten-Siedlecka, A. M., Braak, C. J., & Verdonschot, P. F. (2015).
   Macroinvertebrate survival during cessation of flow and streambed drying in a lowland
   stream. *Freshwater Biology*, 60(2), 282-296. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12479</u>

<sup>3</sup> 479 Wentworth, C. K. (1922). A scale of grade and class terms for clastic sediments. *The journal of* <sup>4</sup> 480 *geology*, *30*(5), 377-392.

Whitehead, P. G., Wilby, R. L., Battarbee, R. W., Kernan, M., & Wade, A. J. (2009). A review of the
 potential impacts of climate change on surface water quality. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 54(1),
 101-123. <u>https://doi.org/10.1623/hysj.54.1.101</u>

Wood, P. J., Boulton, A. J., Little, S., & Stubbington, R. (2010). Is the hyporheic zone a refugium for
 aquatic macroinvertebrates during severe low flow conditions? *Fundamental and Applied Limnology/Archiv für Hydrobiologie*, 176(4), 377-390. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1127/1863-15487">https://doi.org/10.1127/1863-15487</a>

<sup>17</sup> 488 Wood, S., & Wood, M. S. (2015). Package 'mgcv'. R package version, 1-7.

Wu, H., & Johnson, B. R. 2019. Climate change will both exacerbate and attenuate urbanization
 impacts on streamflow regimes in southern Willamette Valley, Oregon. *River Research and Applications*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.3454</u>

Zuur, A. F., Ieno, E. N., & Elphick, C. S. (2010). A protocol for data exploration to avoid common
 statistical problems. *Methods in ecology and evolution*, 1(1), 3-14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-</u>
 210X.2009.00001.x

ee perie

#### **Tables**

#### 

Table 1. Geographical information of the sampling sites. 

River	Site	Coordinates	% Natural areas	% Agricultural areas	% Urbanized areas	Elevation (m.a.s.l.)	Distance between stations (Km)
Ро	Perennial	367119E; 4945951N	93	6	1	474	5.5
	Intermittent	372959E; 4943103N	89	10	1	246	
Pellice	Perennial	364293E; 4963123N	92	6	2	422	3.1
	Intermittent	366638N; 4964043E	92	6	2	378	

<sub>24</sub> 498 

- 26 <sup>499</sup>

500	Table 2. Scheme of the sampling activity. Date = sampling date, Days = days from the water return	
501	in the intermittent sites.	

Date	Days	Pelli	Pellice sites		sites
		Perennial	Intermittent	Perennial	Intermittent
12 <sup>th</sup> January	2018 3	-	Sampling	-	Sampling
16 <sup>th</sup> January	2018 7	-	Sampling	-	Sampling
19 <sup>th</sup> January	2018 10	Sampling	Sampling	Sampling	Sampling
23 <sup>th</sup> January	2018 14	-	Sampling	-	Sampling
30 <sup>th</sup> January	2018 21	-	Sampling	-	Sampling
1 <sup>st</sup> February	2018 23	-	Sampling	-	Sampling
9 <sup>th</sup> February	2018 31	-	Sampling	-	Sampling
23 <sup>th</sup> February	2018 45	-	Sampling	-	Dry
9 <sup>th</sup> March 2	018 59	-	Sampling	-	Sampling
22 <sup>th</sup> March 2	2018 73	Sampling	Sampling	Sampling	Sampling

- 22 24 503

Table 3. Statistics of the GAMs for the macroinvertebrate community. Int = intercept, SE = standard error, z = z-value, t= t-value, River = studied rivers (i.e. Pellice, Po),  $\chi^2$  = Chi-square, F = F-value, P = p-value. Significant values are in bold. 

tric	Int	SE	Z	River	χ <sup>2</sup>	Р
a richness	2.214	0.046	48.370	Pellice	3.895	0.048
				Ро	5.208	0.129
al density	7.661	0.062	123.000	Pellice	10.520	0.020
				Ро	111.730	<0.00
richness	1.791	0.057	31.650	Pellice	3.827	0.050
				Ро	3.319	0.238
density	7.171	0.062	115.000	Pellice	21.700	<0.00
·				Ро	121.500	<0.00
ollector-	-0.735	0.035	-21.010	Pellice	347.500	<0.00
herers				Ро	152.200	<0.00
ilterers	-0.637	0.036	-17.500	Pellice	549.700	<0.00
				Ро	261.000	<0.00
redators	-4.064	0.119	-34.150	Pellice	48.078	<0.00
				Ро	4.839	0.188
crapers	-1.076	0.036	-30.010	Pellice	61.030	<0.00
				Ро	215.950	<0.00
hredders	-4.335	0.121	-35.940	Pellice	5.986	0.055
				Ро	2.214	0.137
tric	Int	SE	t N	River	F	P
apers/Total	0.605	0.061	9.905	Pellice	0.406	0.527
ectors				Ро	4.193	0.013
ectors				Ро	4.193	

2		
3 <u>ı</u> ⊿	509	Figure captions
יד 5 נ 6	510	Fig. 1. Sampling sites and elevational range of the sub-basins of the Pellice and Po rivers.
7 <u>i</u>	511	
9 10 11 12	512 513 514	Fig 2. PCA ordination plot. Labels indicate: river (Pe = Pellice, Po = Po), type of site (P = Permanent, I = Intermittent) and sampling occasion expressed as days from the water return. Ellipses represent standard deviations around the centroids of sampling sites of the two rivers (solid line = Pellice river,
ាវ ច 14	515	dashed line = Po river).
15 ម្ន 16	516	
י 17 18 .	517	Fig 3. NMDS ordination plot. Symbols represent the type of site ( $I =$ intermittent, $P =$ perennial) for
19	518 510	each river. Colors represent the sampling occasions, indicated as number of days since the water
20 - 21 g 22	520	rivers (solid line = Pellice river, dashed line = Po river).
23 g	521	
24 25 g	522	Fig 4. Generalized Additive Models (GAMs) for (a) total taxa richness, (b) EPT richness, (c) total
26 g	523	density of macroinvertebrates and (d) EPT density. Black lines represent the predicted values of the
27 28 <sup>5</sup>	524	models, while the dashed lines represent 95% confidence interval.
29 30 5	525	
31	576	Fig E. Pars indicate the persentage of functional feeding groups in the (a) Pollice and (b) Policy on
32 33 1	520 527	each sampling occasion expressed as days from the water return GAMs for the ratio between
34 g	528	scrapers and total collectors during the rewetting phase (c): black lines represent the predicted
35 36	529	values of the models, while the dashed lines represent 95% confidence interval.
37		
38 39		
40		
41 42		
43		
44 45		
46		
47 48		
49		
50 51		
52		
53 54		
55		
50 57		
58		
60		



Po\_P10

Po\_l31

Po\_l23

0.4

Temperature

0.2

Po\_159

Po\_I10

DO

Po\_l21

Conductivity



Fig 2. PCA ordination plot. Labels indicate: river (Pe = Pellice, Po = Po), type of site (P = Permanent, I = Intermittent) and sampling occasion expressed as days from the water return. Ellipses represent standard deviations around the centroids of sampling sites of the two rivers (solid line = Pellice river, dashed line = Po river).

169x169mm (300 x 300 DPI)



60



Fig 3. NMDS ordination plot. Symbols represent the type of site (I = intermittent, P = perennial) for each river. Colors represent the sampling occasions, indicated as number of days since the water return. Ellipses represent standard deviations around the centroids of sampling sites of the two rivers (solid line = Pellice river, dashed line = Po river).

169x109mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Fig 4. Generalized Additive Models (GAMs) for (a) total taxa richness, (b) EPT richness, (c) total density of macroinvertebrates and (d) EPT density. Black lines represent the predicted values of the models, while the dashed lines represent 95% confidence interval.

169x114mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Fig 5. Bars indicate the percentage of functional feeding groups in the (a) Pellice and (b) Po rivers on each sampling occasion, expressed as days from the water return. GAMs for the ratio between scrapers and total collectors during the rewetting phase (c): black lines represent the predicted values of the models, while the dashed lines represent 95% confidence interval.

169x129mm (300 x 300 DPI)