



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Renal damage in primary aldosteronism: a systematic review and meta-analysis

This is a pre print version of the following article:
Original Citation:
Availability:
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1725903 since 2023-04-04T14:12:50Z
Published version:
DOI:10.1097/HJH.00000000002216
Terms of use:
Open Access
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Renal damage in primary aldosteronism: a sistematic review and meta-analysis

2	Silvia MONTICONE, Elisa SCONFIENZA, Fabrizio D'ASCENZO, Fabrizio BUFFOLO,
3	Fumitoshi SATOH, Leonardo A. SECHI, Franco VEGLIO, Paolo MULATERO.
4	Division of Internal Medicine and Hypertension Unit, Department of Medical Sciences, University
5	of Torino, Via Genova 3, 10126, Torino, Italy (S.M., E.S., F.B., F.V., P.M.).
6	Division of Cardiology, Department of Medical Sciences, University of Torino, Corso Dogliotti 14,
7	10126, Torino, Italy (F.D.A.)
8	Division of Internal Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Udine, Udine, Italy (L.A.S.)
9	Division of Clinical Hypertension, Endocrinology and Metabolism, Tohoku University Graduate
10	School of Medicine, Sendai, Japan (F.S.)
11	Corresponding author:
12	Silvia Monticone, MD, PhD, Division of Internal Medicine and Hypertension, Department of
13	Medical Sciences, University of Torino, Via Genova 3, 10126, Torino, Italy.
14	e-mail: <u>silvia.monticone@unito.it</u>
15	Phone: +390116336997 Fax: +390116336931
16	Word count: abstract: 250; manuscript: 2,587 (excluding title page, references, figure legends and
17	table)
18	Key words: aldosterone, primary aldosteronism, albuminuria, hyperfiltration, target organ damage

19 **Short title**: Renal damage in primary aldosteronism

1 Abstract

Objectives. In experimental animal models, exogenous aldosterone excess has been linked to the progression of renal disease. However, the evidence of an increased risk of renal damage in patients affected by primary aldosteronism (PA) remains controversial. We aimed at evaluating the association between PA and renal damage through a meta-analysis.

Methods.We performed a quantitative review of studies evaluating parameters of renal function in
patients affected by PA compared with patients affected by non-PA arterial hypertension and in
patients affected by PA before and after specific treatment. We searched MEDLINE, EMBASE,
and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials from January 1960 up to August 2017.

Results. 44 studies including 4,467 patients with PA and 8,234 patients affected by non-PA arterial 10 hypertension were included. After 8.5 years from hypertension diagnosis, patients with PA had an 11 increased glomerular filtration (GFR) rate compared with non-PA hypertensive patients (by 12 3.93ml/min IQR [0.60; 7.26]) and a more severe albuminuria (Std. mean difference 0.57 [0.11-13 1.03]), resulting into a significant association with microalbuminuria (OR 2.15 [1.21; 3.84]). 14 Following specific PA treatment, after a median follow-up of 12 months, a significant reduction in 15 GFR was observed (by -10.91ml/min [-13.61; -8.21]) that was consistent in both patients surgically 16 treated and patients treated with medical therapy. Similarly, a reduction in albumin excretion and an 17 increase in serum creatinine were observed after treatment. 18

19 Conclusions. Patients affected by PA, compared with patients affected by non-PA arterial 20 hypertension, display a more pronounced target organ damage, which can be mitigated by the 21 specific treatment.

- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

1 Introduction

Aldosterone is the principal mineralocorticoid hormone in humans and plays a key role in water and electrolytes homeostasis and blood pressure regulation. According to the classical view of aldosterone actions, the distal nephron is its main target site: through the binding to the mineralocorticoid receptor (MR) aldosterone can promote sodium and water reabsorption and potassium excretion¹.

A large body of evidence supports a pathophysiological link between aldosterone excess, vascular and perivascular inflammation², oxidative stress³ and fibrosis⁴ in different animal models. Seminal studies indicate that exogenous administration of mineralocorticoids can induce thrombotic micro- angiopathy of both the medium-sized arteries and the arterioles, associated with ischemic modifications of the glomerular tufts with hyalinization and subsequent development of proteinuria^{5,6}.

Primary aldosteronism (PA), affecting 6% of the general hypertensive population⁷, is the 13 most frequent, but often overlooked⁸ cause of secondary hypertension. Patients affected by PA (due 14 to either an aldosterone producing adenoma – APA – or a bilateral adrenal hyperplasia – BAH -) are 15 exposed to chronic aldosterone excess, which stimulates sodium reabsorption and volume 16 expansion, thereby increasing renal perfusion pressure and stimulating glomerular hyperfiltration. 17 Nonetheless, current evidence regarding a link between PA and an increased risk of renal damage is 18 19 limited and controversial. In fact, while some old reports indicate a low prevalence of renal abnormalities in patients affected by PA⁹ more recent studies point towards a partially reversible 20 renal dysfunction¹⁰. Moreover, individual reports are often inadequate to assess this issue due to 21 22 small sample size, to the different criteria to evaluate renal function and potential selection bias across studies. 23

Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis of observational epidemiological studies available
 in the literature, to ascertain the association between PA and renal damage and to evaluate the effect
 of a targeted treatment on the same parameters after an adequate follow-up.

4 Methods

The present meta-analysis was performed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for
Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) amendment¹¹ to the Quality of Reporting of
Meta-analyses (QUOROM) statement¹², and the Cochrane Collaboration and Meta-analysis Of
Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE)¹³.

9

Search strategy and selection criteria.

We sought MEDLINE, EMBASE, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials
with terms related to PA (e.g., "primary aldosteronism" "hyperaldosteronism", "primary
aldosteronism/hyperaldosteronism") and the following terms: "renal damage", "albuminuria",
"hyperfiltration" limited to human studies from January 1960 up to August 2017.

Two authors independently evaluated the titles and/or the abstracts (S.M., E.S.) with 14 divergences resolved after consensus and discussion with a third author (P.M.). If potentially 15 pertinent, they were evaluated, by the same authors, as full-text reports according to the following 16 criteria. Studies were included if a) investigating patients with PA compared with non-PA 17 hypertensive patients (as a control group) and/or b) patients with PA before and after targeted 18 treatment, and c) including any data on renal function; while exclusion criteria were (i) duplicate 19 20 reports (in which case the manuscript reporting the largest sample of patients was selected) (ii) missing control group and (iii) inappropriate report or missing outcome data. We considered for the 21 analysis the following parameters of renal function: i) serum creatinine, ii) estimated glomerular 22 filtration rate (eGFR) or creatinine clearance, iii) quantitative albuminuria, iv) urinary albumin 23 creatinine (uAC) ratio and v) presence of microalbuminuria and proteinuria. 24

Study selection

2

Data extraction and end-points

Two unblinded independent reviewers (S.M., and E.S.) extracted the following data on pre-3 4 specified reports: authors, journal, year of publication, location of the study group, design of the study and baseline features of included patients. 5

The two co-primary end-points were the eGFR difference between patients affected by PA 6 7 and non-PA hypertensive patients and the eGFR difference before and after specific treatment for 8 PA; difference in serum creatinine, quantitative evaluation of albuminuria, prevalence of 9 microalbuminuria, proteinuria and uACR ratio were evaluated as secondary end-points.

10

Internal validity and quality appraisal

Unblinded independent reviewers (S.M. and E.S.) evaluated the quality of the selected 11 studies on pre-specified data collection forms using modified MOOSE criteria to take into account 12 the specific features of included studies¹³. The independent reviewers separately appraised study 13 design, setting, data source, and statistical methods for multivariable analysis, as well as risk of 14 reporting, selection, and attrition bias (expressed as low, moderate, or high risk of bias). 15

Data analysis and synthesis 16

Continuous variables are reported as mean (standard deviation) or median (IQR). 17 Categorical variables are expressed as n/N (%). Considering the high likelihood of between-study 18 19 variance, statistical pooling was performed according to a random-effect model with generic inverse-variance weighting, computing risk estimates with 95% confidence intervals, using RevMan 20 5 (The Cochrane Collaboration, The Nordic Cochrane Centre, and Copenhagen, Denmark). For 21 albuminuria and uAC ratio, standard mean difference (SMD=mean difference between treatment 22 23 groups divided by the standard deviation) was computed to account for the different Units across

studies. For interpreting the SMD the following indication can be used: small effect, SMD = 0.2; 1 medium effect, SMD = 0.5; and large, SMD = 0.8^{14} . A SMD of zero means no differences between 2 the two evaluated treatments or groups. Publication bias was evaluated by graphical inspection of 3 funnel plots. Standard hypothesis testing was set at the two-tailed 0.05 level. Null hypothesis of 4 statistical homogeneity was refuted if p<0.10 at Cochran Q test, with I2 values of around 25%, 5 6 50%, and 75% representing, respectively, mild, moderate, and extensive statistical inconsistency. A 7 meta-regression analysis with random effect model was performed to appraise the impact of the proportion of the diabetes and the duration of hypertension in each study on the eGFR difference 8 between PA and non-PA hypertensive patients. This analysis was performed with Open meta 9 10 analyst software.

11 **Results**

12 The electronic search yielded 9,575 citations that were first evaluated for eligibility at title13 and/or abstract level (Figure 1).

Of the 105 full text reports assessed, 34 were excluded because of duplicate reports, 22 14 because not appropriate or with missing outcome data and 5 for lack of appropriate control group 15 and. Finally, 44 studies were included in the analysis (Figure 1 and Supplemental material for 16 complete references' list), to give a total of 4,467 patients with PA and 8,234 non-PA hypertensive 17 patients. Characteristics of the studies included in the final meta-analysis are provided in Table 1. 18 19 Thirty-five of the included studies investigated patients affected by PA compared with patients affected by non-PA hypertension, 16 investigated patients affected by PA (due to either APA or 20 21 BAH) before and after targeted treatment and in 7 studies both investigations were available. In 14 out of 44 studies patients with different degree of renal impairment were excluded (Table 1) and 22 two subgroups have been generated (i.e. "Renal disease excluded" and "Renal disease not 23

excluded"). Additionally, in 16 studies patients with PA and patients affected by non-PA arterial 1 2 hypertension were matched for potential confounding variables, as detailed in Table S1.

3 Clinical and biochemical parameters of patients affected by EH and patients affected by PA are detailed in Table S2. The median age of patients with PA and non-PA patients was 50.0 and 4 51.0 years respectively, while the proportion of females were 45.9% and 44.3% respectively; the 5 proportion of patients affected by diabetes mellitus was 15.7% and 10.5% and the median duration 6 7 of hypertension were 8.5 and 6.8 years among patients affected by PA and non-PA patients.

8

Primary aldosteronism and renal function

9 To evaluate renal function in patients affected by PA compared to non-PA hypertensive 10 patients we considered the following parameters: serum creatinine (µmol/L), creatinine clearance or eGFR (the different methods used to calculate/estimate the GFR across studies are detailed in Table 11 S3), albuminuria (mg/day) and uAC ratio (mg/g). 12

Twenty-four studies comparing 2,702 patients with PA and 6,683 non-PA hypertensive 13 patients showed no difference in mean creatinine values between the two diagnoses (mean 14 15 difference 0.17 µmol/L [-2.09; 2.44 IQR]), consistently across studies in which patients with renal disease have been excluded or not, as depicted in Figure S1. 16

In 22 reports, including 2,740 patients with PA and 6,975 non-PA hypertensive patients, 17 GFR data were available. When considering the clinical studies in which patients with renal disease 18 were excluded, the mean GFR was not different between patients affected by PA and non-PA 19 hypertensive patients (mean difference 1.22 mL/min [-3.37; 5.80]). However, when studies 20 including patients with renal disease were considered, patients with PA showed a significantly 21 higher mean GFR compared with non-PA hypertensive patients (by 5.69 ml/min [1.15; 10.22]) 22 23 (Figure 2), resulting in a significantly higher values in the overall cohort of PA patients (by 3.93) ml/min [0.60; 7.26]). 24

Notably, urinary albumin excretion, was increased in patients with PA (Std. mean difference
0.57 [0.11;1.03] compared to patients with non-PA arterial hypertension (Figure S2), resulting into
an increased risk of albuminuria (OR 2.15 [1.21-3.84]) (Figure S3) but not proteinuria (OR 1.66
[0.63; 4.38]) (Figure S4). Similarly, the uAC ratio did not differ significantly between patients
affected by PA and non-PA hypertensive patients (Std. mean difference 0.13 [-0.22; 0.48] (Figure S5), possibly for the relatively low number of studies included in these analyses.

7

At funnel plot analysis (Figures S6-S9) no small study bias was graphically assessed.

8 Proportion of patients affected by diabetes across the studies and the duration of 9 hypertension, which might represent important confounding factors while evaluating renal function, 10 did not have a significant impact on the observed effect on GFR as demonstrated by meta-11 regression analysis (beta -0.011 [-0.163; 0.142] and -0.154 [-1.224; 0.916] respectively) (Figure S10 12 and S11).

13

Renal function before and after PA treatment

Given the increase in GFR and urinary albumin excretion, we aimed at evaluating the reversibility of the aldosterone-induced renal damage after institution of a targeted treatment for PA.

In an overall population of 1,504 PA patients, after a median follow-up of 12 months [6-24],
we could observe a significant increase in serum creatinine (by 9.85 μmol/L [4.26; 15.44]) (Figure
S12) and reduction in both the eGFR (by -10.91 ml/min [-13.61; -8.21]) (Figure 3) and the urinary
albumin excretion (by -45.10 mg/day [-85.71;-4.50] (Figure S13).

- 21 Of note, as indicated by subgroup analysis, the observed effect on GFR was consistent in 22 both surgically and medically treated patients (Figure 3).
- 23
- 24
- 25

1 Assessment of publication bias and quality of the studies

None of the included studies was randomized; risk of selection bias is judged to be high in
17% of the studies and moderate in 15%, while the risk of reporting bias was low in 100% of the
studies, as reported in Figure S14.

5 **Discussion**

The present meta-analysis, aimed at investigating the renal damage in patients affected by
PA, extends our previous findings of a significant association between hyperaldosteronism, cardiac
target organ damage, cardio- and cerebrovascular events and metabolic comorbidities¹⁵, further
expanding the evidence of a detrimental role of aldosterone excess on the cardio-vascular system.

10 The main evidence gained from the present analysis is that patients with PA, compared with 11 non-PA hypertensive patients, display a higher GFR (suggestive of glomerular hyperfiltration), 12 which is significantly reduced by the specific treatment, either unilateral adrenalectomy or medical 13 therapy with mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists.

Seminal studies reported that, in patients affected by PA, the renal function curve (pressurenatriuresis relationship) was shifted rightward, with a decrease in the slope¹⁶, changes comparable to those reported in animal models¹⁷. Patients with PA also showed glomerular hyperfiltration and glomerular capillary hypertension¹⁸, which might depend on a hemodynamic renal adaptation, based on the vasodilation of both afferent and efferent arterioles, as it has been described in renal micro puncture studies on experimental models of DOCA-salt hypertension rats¹⁹.

20 Consistently with experimental data, patients with PA exhibit a decreased intrarenal vascular 21 resistance and this alteration can be reverted by the introduction of a specific treatment²⁰. Sechi et 22 al. suggested that the increased urinary albumin excretion observed in patients affected by PA is 23 ascribable to the glomerular hyperfiltration induced by aldosterone excess; therefore, either

unilateral adrenalectomy in case of an adenoma or treatment with mineralocorticoid receptor 1 antagonists in bilateral PA, might represent the strategy to correct these hemodynamic alterations²⁰.

2

The hypothesis that the increased urinary albumin excretion in PA might be a consequence 3 of the relative hyperfiltration, has been debated by some authors. Particularly, Rossi et al. suggested 4 that the increased albuminuria might depend on endothelial dysfunction and the aldosterone-5 induced renal damage²¹, as previously described in experimental and clinical studies^{22,23}. In the 6 kidney, aldosterone promotes inflammation², fibrosis⁴, mesangial cell proliferation²⁴, podocyte 7 injury²⁵ and endothelial dysfunction, which might be involved in the development of 8 microalbuminuria²⁶. Additionally, these data from experimental studies correlate with the findings 9 10 from renal biopsies of glomerular damage in kidneys of patients with PA, associated with progressive glomerulosclerosis, tubulointerstitial inflammation and scarring, leading to proteinuria 11 and progressive loss of renal function²⁷. 12

In our study, subgroup analysis showed that the increase in GFR and urinary albumin 13 excretion observed in patients with PA is limited to those studies in which patients with a certain 14 degree of renal impairment were not excluded. This finding indicates that relative hyperfiltration in 15 PA might represent a functional adaptive mechanism secondary to the nephron loss, similarly to 16 what occurs in focal segmental glomerulosclerosis²⁸. Therefore, a plausible explanation for the 17 18 increased albuminuria in PA patients can be, in our view, a synergic action of both mechanisms (glomerular hyperfiltration and aldosterone-induced renal damage). 19

According with the currently available guidelines^{29,30}, unilateral adrenalectomy and medical 20 therapy with mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists are the recommended treatments for PA. The 21 current study also aimed at evaluating the changes in renal function in patients with PA before and 22 after the introduction of a specific treatment, showing a decrease in glomerular filtration, together 23 with an increase in serum creatinine. On the basis of previous independent studies with similar 24 results^{10,31}, in the latest years it has been postulated that the treatment of PA could worsen renal 25

function. However, the most accepted explanation is that PA treatment, abolishing the relative hyperfiltration, might unveil a previously deteriorated renal function^{31,33}. Furthermore, our analysis showed a decrease in urinary albumin excretion following specific PA treatment, indicating that patients undergoing an appropriate treatment for PA could witness a slower progression of aldosterone-induced renal damage.

6 A recent large cohort study showed that PA patients treated with MR antagonists, compared 7 with patients affected by essential hypertension, remain at increased risk for annual decline in eGFR and hence incident chronic kidney disease, while unilateral adrenalectomy effectively mitigate this 8 risk³⁴. Similarly, patients with PA on MRA therapy had an augmented risk of cardiovascular events 9 10 and incident mortality, compared to those with EH, that was circumscribed to the patients whose plasma renin activity remained suppressed on MR antagonists therapy³⁵. In our analysis, both 11 unilateral adrenalectomy and medical therapy with MR antagonists showed a similar short-term 12 13 effect on GFR reduction, however the lack of long-term follow-up data did not allow to compare the effect of adrenalectomy to a long-term therapy with MR antagonists on renal outcomes. We 14 15 acknowledge that the overall number of studies included for the evaluation of some end-points, such as the risk of proteinuria and albuminuria, was small, making it difficult to draw robust 16 conclusions for these variables. 17

18 In conclusion, the results of this meta-analysis show that patients with PA, compared with non-PA hypertensive patients, display a more prominent renal damage, which can be mitigated by 19 20 the specific treatment (either surgical or medical) through a reduction in glomerular hyperfiltration and urinary albumin excretion. These data provide further strong evidence in favor of the 21 22 detrimental role of aldosterone excess on the cardio-vascular system, corroborating the results of 23 previous studies and demonstrating that patients affected by PA display less favorable outcomes than patients affected by essential hypertension^{15,34,35}. Additionally, long term follow-up studies on 24 large number of patients, aimed at directly compared the impact of medical and surgical approaches 25

- 1 on specific outcomes, including renal function, would be important to further improve the clinical
- 2 management of patients affected by PA.

1 Author contribution

All the authors significantly contributed to the study. S.M. and P.M. devised the study concept; S.M., E.S. and F.D.A. extracted the data; F.B. and F.D.A. performed the statistical analysis, S.M. and E.S. drafted the manuscript; P.M., F.V., L.A.S. and F.S. contributed to the interpretation of the results and critically revised the manuscript. Each author contributed important intellectual content during manuscript drafting or revision and accepts ac-countability for the overall work by ensuring that questions pertaining to the accuracy or integrity of any portion of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

9 Source of funding

10 There was no funding source for this study.

11 **Conflict of interest**

12 The authors declare no competing interests.

13 **References**

- 14 1. Booth RE, Johnson JP, Stockand JD. Aldosterone. *Adv Physiol Educ*. 2002; **26**(1-4):8-20.
- 15 2. Rocha R, Rudolph AE, Frierdich GE et al. Aldosterone induces a vascular inflammatory
 16 phenotype in the rat heart. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2002; 283(5):H1802-810.
- Kuster GM, Kotlyar E, Rude MK et al. Mineralocorticoid receptor inhibition ameliorates the
 transition to myocardial failure and decreases oxidative stress and inflammation in mice with
 chronic pressure overload. *Circulation*. 2005; **111**(4):420-427.
- Blasi ER, Rocha R, Rudolph AE, Blomme EA, Polly ML, McMahon EG. Aldosterone/salt
 induces renal inflammation and fibrosis in hypertensive rats. *Kidney Int.* 2003; 63(5):1791 1800.

1	5.	Selye H, Hall CE, Rowley EM. Malignant hypertension produced by treatment with
2		deoxycorticosterone acetate and sodium chloride. Can Men Assoc J. 1943; 49(2):88-92.
3	6.	Greene EL, Kren S, Hostetter TH. Role of aldosterone in the remnant kidney model in the rat. J
4		<i>Clin Invest.</i> 1996; 98 (4):1063-1068.
5	7.	Monticone S, Burrello J, Tizzani D et al. Prevalence and Clinical Manifestations of Primary
6		Aldosteronism Encountered in Primary Care Practice. J Am Coll Cardiol 2017; 69(14):1811-
7		1820.
8	8.	Mulatero P, Monticone S, Burrello J, Veglio F, Williams TA, Funder J. Guidelines for primary
9		aldosteronism: uptake by primary care physicians in Europe. J Hypertens. 2016; 34(11):2253-
10		2257.
11	9.	Beevers DG, Brown JJ, Ferriss JB, Fraser R, Lever AF, Robertson JI, Tree M. Renal
12		abnormalities and vascular complications in primary hyperaldosteronism. Evidence on tertiary
13		hyperaldosteronism. Q J Med. 1976; 45 (179):401-410.
14	10	. Sechi LA, Novello M, Lapenna R et al. Long-term renal outcomes in patients with primary
15		aldosteronism. JAMA 2006; 295(2):2638-2645.
16	11	Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic
17		reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and
18		elaboration. <i>BMJ</i> 2009; 339 :b2700.
19	12	. Moher D, Cook DJ, Eastwood S, Olkin I, Rennie D, Stroup DF. Improving the quality of reports
20		of meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials: the QUOROM statement. Quality of Reporting
21		of Meta-analyses. Lancet 1999; 354 (9193):1896–1900.
22	13	. Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC et al. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology:
23		a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE)
24		group. JAMA 2000; 283 (15):2008–2012.

14. Cohen J. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences, 2nd ed. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum;
 1988

15. Monticone S, D'Ascenzo F, Moretti C et al. Cardiovascular events and target organ damage in
primary aldosteronism compared with essential hypertension: a systematic review and metaanalysis. hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*.
2018; 6(1):41-50.

- Kimura G, Saito F, Kojima S, Yoshimi H, Abe H, Kawano Y, Yoshida K, Ashida T, Kawamura
 M, Kuramochi M, Ito K, Omae E. Renal function curve in patients with secondary forms of
 hypertension. *Hypertension*. 1987; 10(1):11-15.
- 17. Sugai T, Nakagawa Y, Takeda K, Imai S. Arterial pressure-urinary output relationship in
 DOCA-saline hypertensive rats. *Am J Physiol.* 1983; 245(5 Pt 1):R633-636.
- 18. Kimura G, Uzu T, Nakamura S, Inenaga T, Fujii T. High sodium sensitivity and glomerular
 hypertension/hyperfiltration in primary aldosteronism. *J Hypertens*. 1996; 14(12):1463-1468.
- 14 19. Dworkin LD, Hostetter TH, Rennke HG, Brenner BM. Hemodynamic basis for glomerular
- 15 injury in rats with desoxycorticosterone-salt hypertension. *J Clin Invest.* 1984; **73**(5):1448-1461.
- 16 20. Sechi LA, Di Fabio A, Bazzocchi M, Uzzau A, Catena C. Intrarenal hemodynamics in primary
- aldosteronism before and after treatment. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2009; **94**(4):1191-1197.
- 18 21. Rossi GP, Bernini G, Desideri G et al. PAPY Study Participants. Renal damage in primary
- aldosteronism: results of the PAPY Study. *Hypertension*. 2006; **48**(2):232-238.
- 20 22. Farquharson CA, Struthers AD. Aldosterone induces acute endothelial dysfunction in vivo in
- humans: evidence for an aldosterone-induced vasculopathy. *Clin Sci (Lond)*. 2002; **103**(4):425-

22 431.

23. Nishizaka MK, Zaman MA, Green SA, Renfroe KY, Calhoun DA. Impaired endothelium dependent flow-mediated vasodilation in hypertensive subjects with hyperaldosteronism.
 Circulation 2004; **109**(23):2857-61.

1	24. Huang S, Zhang A, Ding G, Chen R. Aldosterone-induced mesangial cell proliferation is
2	mediated by EGF receptor transactivation. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2009; 296(6):F1323-
3	1333.

- 4 25. Chen C, Liang W, Jia J, van Goor H, Singhal PC, Ding G. Aldosterone induces apoptosis in rat
 podocytes: role of PI3-K/Akt and p38MAPK signaling pathways. *Nephron Exp Nephrol*. 2009;
 6 113(1):e26-34.
- 7 26. Campese VM, Bianchi S, Bigazzi R. Is microalbuminuria a predictor of cardiovascular and
 8 renal disease in patients with essential hypertension? *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens*. 2000;
 9 9(2):143-147.
- 27. Grady RW, Kaylor WM, Lee JC, Bravo EL, Gephardt GN, Novick AC. Renal pathology in
 patients with primary hyperaldosteronism secondary to an adrenal cortical adenoma. *Urology*.
 1996; 48(3):369-372.
- 28. Helal I, Fick-Brosnahan GM, Reed-Gitomer B, Schrier RW. Glomerular hyperfiltration:
 definitions, mechanisms and clinical implications. *Nat Rev Nephrol*. 2012; 8(5):293-300.
- 15 29. Funder JW, Carey RM, Mantero F et al. The management of primary aldosteronism: case
 16 detection, diagnosis, and treatment: an endocrine society clinical practice guideline. *J Clin* 17 *Endocrinol Metab.* 2016; **101**(5):1889-1916.
- 30. Nishikawa T, Omura M, Satoh F et al. Task Force Committee on Primary Aldosteronism, The
 Japan Endocrine Society. Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of primary aldosteronism--
- 20 the Japan Endocrine Society 2009. *Endocr J.* 2011; **58**(9):711-721.
- 31. Ribstein J, Du Cailar G, Fesler P, Mimran A. Relative glomerular hyperfiltration in primary
 aldosteronism. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2005; 16(5):1320-1325.
- 23 32. Catena C, Colussi G, Nadalini E et al. Relationships of plasma renin levels with renal function
- in patients with primary aldosteronism. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2007; **2**(4):722-731.

1	33. Fourkiotis V, Vonend O, Diederich S et al. Mephisto Study Group. Effectiveness of eplerenone
2	or spironolactone treatment in preserving renal function in primary aldosteronism. Eur J
3	Endocrinol. 2012; 168 (1):75-81.
4	34. Hundemer GL, Curhan GC, Yozamp N, Wang M, Vaidya A. Renal Outcomes in Medically and
5	Surgically Treated Primary Aldosteronism. Hypertension. 2018; 72(3):658-666.
6	35. Hundemer GL, Curhan GC, Yozamp N, Wang M, Vaidya A. Cardiometabolic outcomes and
7	mortality in medically treated primary aldosteronism: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet
8	<i>Diabetes Endocrinol.</i> 2018; 6 (1):51-59.
9	36. Rossi GP, Bernini G, Caliumi C, et al; PAPY Study Investigators. A prospective study of the
10	prevalence of primary aldosteronism in 1,125 hypertensive patients. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2006;
11	48 (11):2293-2300.
12	37. Rossi E, Perazzoli F, Negro A, Magnani A. Diagnostic rate of primary aldosteronism in Emilia-
13	Romagna, Northern Italy, during 16 years (2000-2015). J Hypertens. 2017; 35(8):1691-1697.
14	38. Olsen MH, Angell SY, Asma S, et al. A call to action and a lifecourse strategy to address the
15	global burden of raised blood pressure on current and future generations: the Lancet
16	Commission on hypertension. <i>Lancet</i> . 2016; 388 (1006):2665-2712.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Table 1. Characteristics of the included studies.

Study ID	Country /	Design of the	Number of patients	Exclusion of patients	Diabetes
	years	study	Type of comparison	with impaired renal function	prevalence (%, PA/non-PA)
Catena C., 2007	Italy	Observational	56 PA compared with	Yes; if creatinine	0/0 (excluded)
	1994-2004	prospective	323 matched non-PA	clearance <30	
			hypertensive patients;	mL/min/1./3m ² and/or	
			50 PA before and after	proteinuria > 1 g	
Chiang W F 2013	Taiwan	Retrospective	55 APA before and after	No	16
Ciliang W.1 ., 2015	2002-2011	Redospective	treatment	110	10
Florczak E., 2013	Poland	Prospective	32 PA compared with	Yes; if GFR < 60 mL/min	18/10
	2009-2011	_	155 RHT		
Fourkiotis V., 2013	Germany	Prospective	29 PA before and after	No	n.a.
	2008-2011	multicenter	treatment		
Freel M 2012	Scotland	na	27 PA compared with 53	No	na
11001101, 2012	n.a.	11.00.	matched non-PA	110	11
			hypertensive patients		
Galetta F., 2009	Italy	n.a.	23 PA compared with 24	No	0/0
	n.a.		matched non-PA		
			hypertensive patients		
Halimi J.M., 1995	France	Retrospective	23 PA compared with	No. Patients were matched	n.a.
	n.a.		46 matched non-PA	for renal function	
Hung C S 2013	Taiwan	Cross-	106 PA compared with	No	na
11ung C.S., 2015	2006-2010	sectional	31 non-PA hypertensive	110	in.u.
			patients		
Iacobellis G., 2010	Italy	Prospective	75 PA compared with 192	Yes; patients with renal	0/0 (excluded)
	2002-2008		non-PA hypertensive	disease were excluded	
L 1 11: C 2016	T. 1		patients	XZ C	
lacobellis G., 2016	1taly 2010 2014	Prospective	79 PA compared with 30	Yes; If serum creatinine > 133 µmol/L (1.5 mg/dl) or	0/0 (excluded)
	2010-2014		non-FA hypertensive	albuminuria $> 300 \text{ mg/24}$	
			parents	h)	
Iwakura Y., 2014	Japan	Prospective	102 APA and 111 BAH	Yes; if primary renal	33
	2007-2010		before and after treatment	diseases, diabetes with	
				evident proteinuria, renal	
				artery stenosis, renal cell	
				findings such as hometuria	
				and urinary tract infection	
Iwakura Y., 2016	Japan	Prospective	94 APA compared with	See Iwakura Y., 2014	14.9/7
	2009-2012	_	100 non-PA hypertensive		
			patients		
			94 APA before and after		
Liong V 2016	Chine	Drognasting	treatment	No	n 0
Jialig 1., 2010	2009-2012	Prospective	120 matched non-PA	INO	11.a.
	2007-2012		hypertensive patients		
Kato J., 2005	Japan	n.a.	11 APA before and after	No	n.a.
	_		treatment		
Kimura G., 1987	Japan	n.a.	6 APA before and after	No	n.a.
	n.a.		adrenalectomy		
K1mura G., 1996	Japan	n.a.	6 APA compared with 18	No	n.a.
	n.a.		non-PA nypertensive		

			patients		
Kobayashi H., 2017	Japan	Retrospective	73 PA compared with 24	No	n.a.
,	2006-2016		non-PA hypertensive		
			patients		
Kramers B.J., 2017	Netherlands	Retrospective	113 PA before and after	No	n.a.
·····, ···,	1972-2013		treatment		
Liu G., 2014	China	n.a.	50 PA compared with 51	Yes: if with moderate	0/0 (excluded)
	2008-2013		matched non-PA	severe renal disease	
			hypertensive patients		
Luo O., 2015	China	Prospective	216 PA compared with	Yes: if GFR < 60 mL/min	n.a.
	2009-2011	F	657 non-PA hypertensive		
			patients		
Matsumura K., 2006	Japan	n.a.	25 APA compared with	No	n.a.
	n.a.		29 non-PA hypertensive		
			patients		
Monticone S., 2017	Italv	Prospective	99 PA compared with	No	5.4/3.9
	2009-2014	r	1573 non-PA hypertensive		
			patients		
Morillas P., 2008	Spain	Prospective	11 PA compared with 172	No	27.3/9.4
10101111u5 1 ., 2000	2005-2006	riospective	non-PA hypertensive	110	27.379.1
	2000 2000		patients		
Muiesan M L 2008	Italy	Retrospective	125 PA compared with	No	na
1014105411 10121, 2 000	1988-2002	riedospecare	125 matched non-PA	110	
	1900 2002		hypertensive patients		
Mulatero P 2013	Italy	Retrospective	270 PA compared with	No	4 1/4 1 matching
Widdelo 1 ., 2015	1992-2009	Redospective	810 matched non-PA	110	criterion
	1772 2007		hypertensive patients		enterion
			270 PA before and after		
			treatment		
Murase K 2013	Ianan	Retrospective	14 PA compared with 110	No	100/100
11111111111111111111111111111111111111	2009-2012	reaspective	non-PA hypertensive	110	100/100
	2009 2012		patients		
Murata M 2017	Ianan	Retrospective	292 PA compared with	No	25 7/31 7
Warata Wi., 2017	2004-2015	Multicenter	498 non-PA hypertensive	110	23.1731.7
	2001 2015	manicontor	patients		
Park K S 2017	Korea	Retrospective	48 BAH and	na	na
1 unk 18.5., 2017	2000-2015	Multicenter	221 APA before and after	11.0.	11.u.
	2000 2015	manicontor	treatment		
Pilz S 2014	Austria	Prospective	9 PA compared with 151	No	na
1 112 5., 2011	2009-2011	Trospective	non-PA hypertensive	110	11.u.
	2009 2011		natients		
Pimenta E 2011 (1)	Australia	Prospective	21 PA compared with 21	No	na
1 Intentu E., 2011 (1)	na	(?)	matched non-PA	110	11.u.
	11	(.)	hypertensive patients		
Pimenta E 2011 (2)	Australia	na	24 APA before and after	No	0 (excluded)
1 Intenta E., 2011 (2)	na	11.u.	treatment	110	o (exeruded)
Reincke M 2009	Germany	Multicenter	408 PA compared with	No	20/15
Remerce 101., 2007	Germany	Retrospective	408 matched non-PA	110	20/13
		reaspective	hypertensive patients		
Ribstein I 2005	France	Prospective	25 PA compared with	Yes: if serum creatinine	0/0 (excluded)
Riostenii 5., 2005	n a	Trospective	25 matched non-PA	>115 mol/L (1.3 mg/dl)	0/0 (excluded)
			hypertensive patients	and/or estimated creatinine	
				clearance $< 90 \text{ mJ/min}$	
Rosa L. 2012	Czech	n.a.	49 PA compared with 49	No	n.a.
1.004 01, 2012	Republic		non-PA hypertensive		
	na		patients		
Rossi G.P. 2006	n a -2004	Prospective	31 APA and	Yes: if serum creatinine	0/0 (excluded)
10001 0.1 ., 2000	I.u. 2004	Multicenter	33 BAH compared with	>115 µmol/L (1.3 mg/d)	oro (cherudeu)
	1		ce brin compared with	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1

			426 non-PA hypertensive patients	and estimated creatinine clearance < 61 mL/min	
Savard S., 2013	France 2001-2006	Retrospective	459 PA compared 1290 matched non-PA hypertensive patients	No	17.1/14.3
Sechi L.A., JAMA 2006	Italy		50 PA compared with 100 non-PA hypertensive patients	Yes; if creatinine clearance <30 mL/min/1.73m ² and/or proteinuria > 1 g/24h	0/0 (excluded)
Sechi L.A., JCEM 2009	Italy n.a.	Prospective	54 PA (24 APA, 30 BAH) compared with 100 matched non-PA hypertensive patients	Yes; if creatinine clearance <30 mL/min/1.73m ² and/or proteinuria > 1 g/24h	0/0 (excluded)
Somloova Z., 2010	Czech Republic 2002-2009	Retrospective	100 PA compared with 90 matched non-PA hypertensive patients	Yes; patients with renal failure were excluded	20/22.2
Takeda R., 1995	Japan 1984-1987	Prospective	224 PA compared with 224 matched non-PA hypertensive patients	No	0.4/0
Tanase-Nakao K., 2014	Japan 2006-2013	n.a.	45 PA compared with 31 non-PA hypertensive patients	Yes; if pre-operative eGFR < 60 ml/min/1.73 m ²	11.1/n.a.
Turchi F., 2014	Italy 2003-2011	Prospective	66 PA compared with 132 matched non-PA hypertensive patients	No	15/15
Utsumi T., 2017	Japan 1995-2014	Retrospective	130 APA before and after treatment	Yes; patients with primary renal disease were excluded	15.4
Wu V.C., 2011 Clin Chim Acta	Taiwan 2003-2007	Prospective Multicenter	330 PA compared with 246 non-PA hypertensive patients	No	12.1/7.3

1 PA = patients with primary aldosteronism; APA = patients with aldosterone producing adenoma;

2 RHT = patients with resistant hypertension; uACR = urinary albumin creatinine ratio; eGFR =

3 estimated glomerular filtration rate; n.app. = not applicable; n.a. = not available; see Supplemental

4 file for complete reference list.

1 Figure Legends

2 **Figure 1.** Flow-chart of literature search and study selection.

Figure 2. Forest plot of GFR (ml/min) in patients affected by PA and patients affected by non-PA arterial hypertension. Central squares of each horizontal line represent the mean difference for each study. Horizontal lines indicate the range of the 95% confidence interval and the vertical line at zero indicates no difference between groups.

Figure 3. Forest plot of GFR (ml/min) in patients affected by PA before and after treatment,
distinguishing between unilateral adrenalectomy and medical treatment. Central squares of each
horizontal line represent the mean difference for each study. Horizontal lines indicate the range of
the 95% confidence interval and the vertical line at zero indicates no difference between groups.



Figure 1

	Primary	aldostero	nism	Non-prima	ry aldoster	onism		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Renal disease excl	luded								
Catena C., 2007	106	31	56	89	34	323	4.3%	17.00 [8.07, 25.93]	——
Florczak E., 2013	92.1	25.4	32	91	19.5	155	4.2%	1.10 [-8.22, 10.42]	_ _
lwakura Y., 2016	78	19.39	94	83	20	100	5.3%	-5.00 [-10.54, 0.54]	
Liu G., 2014	97.3	14.8	50	94.1	15.4	51	5.2%	3.20 [-2.69, 9.09]	+
Luo Q., 2015	109.5	29.2	216	110.8	24.3	657	5.6%	-1.30 [-5.61, 3.01]	+
Ribstein J., 2005	102	15	25	95	15	25	4.5%	7.00 [-1.32, 15.32]	
Rossi G.P., 2006	85.52	16.83	64	92	20.64	426	5.5%	-6.48 [-11.05, -1.91]	
Tanase-Nakao K., 2014	85.1	21.3	45	85.4	15.7	31	4.5%	-0.30 [-8.62, 8.02]	- -
Subtotal (95% CI)			582			1768	38.9%	1.22 [-3.37, 5.80]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 31.	49; Chi² = 2	8.77, df =	7 (P = 0.0	0002); l ² = 76	%				
Test for overall effect: Z =	0.52 (P = 0	.60)							
Renal disease not	excluded								
Freel M., 2012	110.1	26.8	27	116.1	33.9	53	3.0%	-6.00 [-19.62, 7.62]	+-
Kimura G., 1996	128	24.5	6	84	12.7	18	1.9%	44.00 [23.54, 64.46]	
Kobayashi H., 2017	79.4	18	73	80.5	19.3	24	4.3%	-1.10 [-9.86, 7.66]	-+-
Monticone S., 2017	90.35	19.24	99	90.82	18.7	1482	5.7%	-0.47 [-4.38, 3.44]	+
Muiesan M.L., 2008	84	25	125	80	19	125	5.3%	4.00 [-1.50, 9.50]	
Mulatero P., 2013	105.38	32.79	246	84.46	29.49	737	5.5%	20.92 [16.30, 25.54]	-
Murase K., 2013	65.26	17.47	14	61.6	27.69	110	3.8%	3.66 [-6.85, 14.17]	- -
Murata M., 2017	74.84	23.38	292	70.8	15.5	498	5.9%	4.04 [1.03, 7.05]	-
Pilz S., 2014	84	15.2	9	82.1	19.1	151	3.9%	1.90 [-8.49, 12.29]	_ _
Pimenta E., 2011	136.4	44.8	21	98.8	40.1	21	1.3%	37.60 [11.88, 63.32]	
Reincke M., 2009	65	16	408	68	15	408	6.0%	-3.00 [-5.13, -0.87]	-
Rosa J., 2012	132	36	49	126	36	49	2.9%	6.00 [-8.26, 20.26]	-
Savard S., 2013	85.1	24.1	459	86.7	20.7	1285	6.0%	-1.60 [-4.08, 0.88]	+
Wu V.C., 2011	83.5	27.3	330	72.42	26.8	246	5.6%	11.08 [6.62, 15.54]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			2158			5207	61.1%	5.69 [1.15, 10.22]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 54.	.56; Chi² = 1	39.22, df =	= 13 (P <	0.00001); l ² =	= 91%				
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 2.46 (P = 0	.01)							
Total (95% CI)			2740			6975	100.0%	3.93 [0.60, 7.26]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 46.	72; Chi² = 1	71.81, df =	: 21 (P <	0.00001); l ² =	= 88%			-	
Test for overall effect: Z =	2.31 (P = 0	.02)	-	-					-50 -25 0 25 50 Higher in non-PA Higher in PA
Test for subgroup differen	nces: Chi ² =	1.85, df =	1 (P = 0.1	17), l ² = 45.89	6				Figher II Holl-FA Figher II FA

Figure 2

	After	r treatm	ent	Before treatment				Mean Difference	Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI	
Adrenalectomy	/									
Catena C., 2007	87	30	25	105	30	25	2.1%	-18.00 [-34.63, -1.37]		
Chiang W.F., 2013	78.98	33.27	55	93	30	55	3.5%	-14.02 [-25.86, -2.18]		
Fourkiotis V., 2013	78.9	19.52	18	93	15.27	18	3.7%	-14.10 [-25.55, -2.65]		
lwakura Y., 2014	65	20.2	102	81	20.2	102	7.5%	-16.00 [-21.54, -10.46]		
Kimura G., 1987	80	26.94	6	101	24.49	6	0.8%	-21.00 [-50.13, 8.13]		
Kramers B.J., 2017	72.4	18.7	67	87.8	17.4	67	7.0%	-15.40 [-21.52, -9.28]		
Mulatero P., 2013	86.24	26.64	20	104.57	37.46	32	2.0%	-18.33 [-35.79, -0.87]		
Park K.S., 2017	70.5	21.3	206	81.3	22.9	206	8.5%	-10.80 [-15.07, -6.53]		
Pimenta E., 2011(2)	124.4	47.6	24	138.9	51.3	24	0.9%	-14.50 [-42.50, 13.50]		
Reincke M., 2009	64	18	51	73	22	51	5.7%	-9.00 [-16.80, -1.20]		
Utsumi T., 2017	64.7	17.3	130	81.5	20.5	130	8.3%	-16.80 [-21.41, -12.19]		
Wu V.C., 2011	82.6	26.7	185	85.2	26.6	185	7.5%	-2.60 [-8.03, 2.83]	+	
Subtotal (95% CI)			889			901	57.4%	-12.70 [-16.00, -9.40]	◆	
Medical treatm	ent									
Catena C., 2007	88	38.97	31	108	27.84	31	2.1%	-20.00 [-36.86, -3.14]		
Fourkiotis V., 2013	86.6	21.89	11	95.5	12.93	11	2.5%	-8.90 [-23.92, 6.12]		
lwakura Y., 2014	69	21	111	79	21	111	7.5%	-10.00 [-15.52, -4.48]		
Kramers B.J., 2017	78.6	17.9	56	89.8	17.9	56	6.6%	-11.20 [-17.83, -4.57]		
Mulatero P., 2013	92.23	33.18	101	105.5	32.13	214	5.7%	-13.27 [-21.04, -5.50]		
Park K.S., 2017	71.6	20.9	63	79.7	23.6	63	5.7%	-8.10 [-15.88, -0.32]		
Reincke M., 2009	66	19	69	64	20	69	6.7%	2.00 [-4.51, 8.51]		
Wu V.C., 2011	81	26.6	101	87.4	27.4	101	5.9%	-6.40 [-13.85, 1.05]		
Subtotal (95% CI)			543			656	42.6%	-8.40 [-12.47, -4.33]	\bullet	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 16.52; C	Chi² = 14	4.37, df	= 7 (P =	0.05); l²	= 51%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.04	4 (P < 0.	0001)							
Total (95% CI)			1432			1557	100.0%	-10.91 [-13.61, -8.21]	◆	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 17.43; C	Chi² = 4'	1.94, df	= 19 (P =	= 0.002)	; I² = 55	%	-		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 7.93	B (P < 0.	00001)						Lower after treatment Higher after treatment	
Test for subaroup diff	erences:	Chi ² = 2	2.58, df	= 1 (P =	0.11), l ²	= 61.39	%		201101 and a dument - Fighter and a dument	

Figure 3