

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

## Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System Triple-A Analysis for the Screening of Primary Aldosteronism

### This is the author's manuscript

*Original Citation:*

*Availability:*

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1725959> since 2020-01-30T16:36:06Z

*Published version:*

DOI:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.119.13772

*Terms of use:*

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Disclaimer: The manuscript and its contents are confidential, intended for journal review purposes only, and not to be further disclosed.

URL: <http://hype-submit.aha-journals.org>

Title: <strong>Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system triple-A analysis for the screening of primary aldosteronism</strong>

Manuscript number: HYPE201913772

Author(s): Paolo Mulatero, University of Torino

Jacopo Burrello, University of Torino

Fabrizio Buffolo, University of Torino

Oliver Domenig, Medical University of Vienna

Martina Tetti, University of Torino

Alessio Pecori, University of Torino

Silvia Monticone, University of Torino

Marko Poglitsch, Attoquant Diagnostics

1     **Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system triple-A analysis for the screening of primary**  
2     **aldosteronism.**

3     Jacopo Burrello<sup>1</sup>, Fabrizio Buffolo<sup>1</sup>, Oliver Domenig<sup>2</sup>, Martina Tetti<sup>1</sup>, Alessio Pecori<sup>1</sup>,  
4     Silvia Monticone<sup>1</sup>, Marko Poglitsch<sup>2</sup>, Paolo Mulatero<sup>1</sup>.

5

6     1     Division of Internal Medicine and Hypertension Unit, Department of Medical  
7     Sciences, University of Torino, Torino, Italy

8     2     Attoquant Diagnostics, Vienna, Austria

9

10    Word count: abstract 250 words, manuscript: 7168 words including tables, references and  
11    figure captions. Number of Tables: 2; Number of Figures: 5; Supplemental file: 1.

12    **Short title:** AA2R as a screening for primary aldosteronism

13

14    **Key words:** primary aldosteronism, renin-angiotensin system, secondary hypertension,  
15    adrenal gland, angiotensin II

16

17    **Corresponding author and person to whom reprints should be addressed:**

18    Prof. Paolo Mulatero

19    Division of Internal Medicine and Hypertension Unit, Department of Medical Sciences,

20    University of Torino, Città della Salute e della Scienza di Torino, Via Genova 3, 10126

21    Torino, Italy

22

23

24

25

26

27 **Abstract**

28 Primary aldosteronism (PA) is recognized as the most frequent cause of secondary  
29 hypertension and its screening is expected to become a routine evaluation in most patients  
30 with hypertension. The interference of antihypertensive therapies with the Aldosterone-to-  
31 Renin-Ratio (ARR) during screening process is a major confounder. Renin-angiotensin-  
32 aldosterone system (RAAS) Triple-A analysis is a novel liquid chromatography/tandem mass  
33 spectrometry diagnostic assay that allows simultaneous quantification of aldosterone,  
34 equilibrium angiotensin I (eqAngI) and equilibrium angiotensin II (eqAngII) in a single  
35 sample of serum. We performed a comparative evaluation of the diagnostic performance of  
36 the Aldosterone-to-AngII-Ratio (AA2R) and four renin based diagnostic ratios, differing in  
37 methods to determine aldosterone levels and renin activity in a cohort of 110 patients with  
38 hypertension (33 patients with confirmed PA and 77 with essential hypertension). All ratios  
39 showed comparable areas under the curves ranging between 0,937 and 0,970 without  
40 significant differences between each other. The evaluation of the AngII-to-AngI ratio revealed  
41 persistent drug intake in some patients as cause for suppressed renin-based diagnostic ratios,  
42 while AA2R remained unaffected. The Youden-Index optimal cut-off value for the AA2R  
43 was 6.6 [(pmol/L)/(pmol/L)] with a sensitivity of 90% and a specificity of 93%, proving non-  
44 inferiority compared with the ARR while suggesting superiority over renin-based ratios in  
45 terms of interference with ACE-inhibitor therapy. This study shows for the first time the  
46 accuracy and reliability of RAAS triple-A analysis for the screening of PA, that can be  
47 applied in clinical routine without the need for drug withdraw periods that impose additional  
48 risks to the patients.

49 **ABBREVIATIONS:** PA, Primary Aldosteronism; EH, Essential Hypertension; PRA, Plasma  
50 Renin Activity; ARR, Aldosterone to Renin Ratio; AGT, Angiotensinogen; Ang,  
51 Angiotensin; RAAS, Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System; ACE, Angiotensin Converting  
52 Enzyme; LC-MS/MS (M), Liquid Chromatography-tandem Mass Spectrometry; eqAng I,  
53 equilibrium Ang I; eqAng II, equilibrium Ang II; PRA-S, PRA surrogate, as RAAS Triple-A  
54 derived marker; ACE-S, ACE surrogate, as RAAS Triple-A derived marker; AA2R,  
55 Aldosterone to Ang II Ratio; RIA (R), Radio-Immunoassay; ARR-S, Aldosterone to Renin  
56 Ratio using PRA-S as denominator; APA, Aldosterone Producing Adenoma; BAH, Bilateral  
57 Adrenal Hyperplasia; AUC, Area Under the Curve.

58

## 59 **INTRODUCTION**

60 Primary aldosteronism (PA) is a frequent form of secondary hypertension<sup>1,2</sup> that is caused by  
61 excessive secretion of aldosterone from the adrenal glands. Patients with PA undergo more  
62 often than patients with essential hypertension (EH) to cardiovascular and metabolic  
63 complications, such as stroke, myocardial infarction, heart failure, atrial fibrillation, metabolic  
64 syndrome and type 2 diabetes mellitus<sup>3</sup>. However, an early diagnosis and correct subtypes  
65 distinction allow establishing correct therapies that result in cure or control of the disease and  
66 thus revert the cardiovascular risk excess<sup>4</sup>. Therefore, current guideline suggests extensive  
67 screening for PA in all the categories of patients with increased risk of this disease<sup>1</sup>.  
68 Unfortunately, guidelines are often not applied by general practitioners, one of the reasons  
69 being the difficulty of performing the screening under not-interfering therapy<sup>5</sup>.

70 The suggested screening test is the Aldosterone-to-Renin (measured as direct renin or plasma  
71 renin activity, PRA) Ratio (ARR) that should be performed under controlled condition<sup>1</sup>.  
72 Ideally, patients should be tested before the beginning of anti-hypertensive therapy, but this is  
73 often unfeasible because high blood pressure levels require immediate treatment or because  
74 the patient is seen by a specialist when is already under interfering anti-hypertensive therapy.

75 Alpha<sub>1</sub>-adrenergic receptor blockers and non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blocker, which  
76 displayed minimal or no effect on the ARR, are used to controlled hypertension during the  
77 screening and subsequent diagnostic work-up<sup>1</sup>. However, in some patients the withdrawal of  
78 other anti-hypertensive drugs is considered unsafe, for example in patients with previous  
79 myocardial infarction<sup>6</sup>.

80 Angiotensinogen (AGT), the precursor hormone of angiotensins, is primarily secreted by the  
81 liver and serves as a pre-hormone for all angiotensin metabolites. Angiotensin I (Ang 1-10,  
82 Ang I) is produced from AGT by the enzymatic action of renin, which cleaves the  
83 decapeptide Ang I off the N-terminus of AGT in a highly regulated reaction. Both, the  
84 concentration of circulating active renin as well as the levels of AGT contribute to the Ang I  
85 formation rate, which is also referred to as PRA. Ang I serves as a substrate to multiple  
86 plasma enzymes converting it to N- or C-terminally truncated metabolites including  
87 angiotensin II (Ang 1-8, Ang II), the major effector molecule of the renin-angiotensin-  
88 aldosterone system (RAAS). These biochemical features build up the basis for a novel  
89 approach for the biochemical evaluation of the circulating RAAS in clinical samples, being  
90 RAAS equilibrium analysis. The underlying principle is based on the condition that all  
91 components required for *in vivo* angiotensin metabolite formation (angiotensinogen, renin,  
92 angiotensin converting enzyme, or ACE) are present in the plasma. Using a controlled *ex vivo*  
93 equilibration procedure followed by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-  
94 MS/MS) based angiotensin quantification, RAAS equilibrium analysis can be used to  
95 generate angiotensin metabolite profiles that reflect biochemical features of the circulating  
96 RAAS<sup>7-9</sup>.

97 The assessment of the RAAS has been claimed to be useful not only to diagnose secondary  
98 form of hypertension including PA and other rare genetic conditions<sup>10</sup>, but also to guide anti-  
99 hypertensive therapy in patients with essential hypertension<sup>11,12</sup>.

100 Here we introduce and validate a novel molecular diagnostic test for application in patients  
101 with hypertension: RAAS Triple-A testing is based on the LC-MS/MS quantification of  
102 equilibrium Ang I (eqAng I), equilibrium Ang II (eqAng II) and aldosterone in clinical  
103 samples and allows for multi-layer stratification of hypertensive patients in terms of RAAS  
104 activity (sum of Ang I and Ang II, PRA-S), ACE activity (Ang II-to-Ang I-Ratio, ACE-S) and  
105 PA screening that remains unaffected by ACE inhibition (Aldosterone-to-AngII Ratio,  
106 AA2R). RAAS Triple-A testing simultaneously provides molecular information on treatment  
107 adherence, RAAS activity and secondary hypertension in patients, which addresses multiple  
108 key issues in controlling hypertension in clinical practice.

109

## 110 **Methods**

111 **Patients selection.** We prospectively recruited 110 hypertensive patients with suspected PA  
112 referred to the Hypertension Unit of the University of Torino from April 2014 to January  
113 2015. Of the 110 patients, 79 were included in the previous “RENin and Aldosterone  
114 measurements in hypertensive patients in TORino” -RENATO study<sup>13</sup>. Patients underwent to  
115 screening and confirmatory/exclusion testing and subtype diagnosis (including adrenal vein  
116 sampling) according to the Endocrine Society Guideline<sup>1</sup>, as previously described<sup>13</sup>. Briefly,  
117 patients were screened for PA after withdrawal of interfering medications; when it was not  
118 possible to stop all antihypertensive drugs, patients received the  $\alpha$ -blocker doxazosin and/or  
119 the non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blocker verapamil. The cut-off levels for a positive  
120 screening test were an ARR of 30 (ng/dl/ng/ml/h) (832.2 pmol/l/ng/ml/h), together with an  
121 aldosterone concentration equal or greater than 10 ng/dL (277.4 pmol/l). Patients with a  
122 positive screening test underwent confirmatory testing. The confirmatory saline infusion test  
123 (N=33) consisted of an intravenous saline load (2 l of 0.9% NaCl infused over 4 h) that was  
124 carried in seated position<sup>14</sup> and was considered positive if post-test aldosterone levels were  
125 higher than 5 ng/dL (138.7 pmol/l). For patients undergoing captopril test (N=8), PA was

126 considered confirmed when the ARR was higher than 30 (ng/dl/ng/ml/h) (832.2 pmol/l/  
127 ng/ml/h) 120' after captopril 50 mg. The approval for the RENATO II study was obtained by  
128 the local Ethics Committees and fully informed written consent was signed by all patients.

129 **Biochemical measurements.** For the hypertensive cohort, a sample of serum for each  
130 screened patient was sent to Attoquant Diagnostics GmbH laboratory in Vienna for Ang I,  
131 Ang II, PRA and aldosterone measurements with LC-MS/MS. The samples were collected at  
132 the time of screening, in the morning after patients had been out of bed for at least 2 h and  
133 then been seated for at least 15 min before venipuncture; blood was collected at room  
134 temperature into anticoagulant-free tubes, centrifuged (3.000 rpm for 15 min at 27-28°C),  
135 frozen at – 20°C and never thawed before analysis..

136 PRA was determined based on Ang I formation capacity of serum samples in presence of Ang  
137 protease inhibitors. Samples were supplied with a mixture of Ang I stabilizing protease  
138 inhibitors containing EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid) and AEBSF (4-[2-  
139 Aminoethyl]-benzenesulfonyl fluoride hydrochloride) in phosphate buffer (pH=7). Following  
140 splitting the sample in 2 identical aliquots, one was incubated at 37°C for 1 hour, while the  
141 other aliquot was kept on ice during the incubation period. Following incubation, all samples  
142 were subjected to quantification of Ang I using LC-MS/MS, as described below. PRA was  
143 calculated by subtracting the Ang I value of the non-incubated sample from the Ang I value in  
144 the 37°C incubated sample and the Ang I formation rate was expressed in [(ng/ml)/h].

145 eqAng I and eqAng II were measured following ex vivo equilibration and subsequent  
146 stabilization of conditioned serum samples, as previously described<sup>7,8</sup>. Following  
147 equilibration and stabilization, samples were spiked with stable isotope-labeled internal  
148 standards for angiotensins and aldosterone at concentrations of 200 pg/ml and 500 pg/ml  
149 respectively. Following C18-based solid-phase-extraction, samples were subjected to LC-  
150 MS/MS analysis using a reversed-phase analytical column (Acquity UPLC® C18, Waters)  
151 operating in line with a XEVO TQ-S triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Waters Xevo

152 TQ/S, Milford, Massachusetts, USA) in multiple reaction monitoring mode. Internal standards  
153 were used to correct for analyte recovery across the sample preparation procedure in each  
154 individual sample. Analyte concentrations were calculated from integrated chromatograms  
155 considering the corresponding response factors determined in appropriate calibration curves  
156 in serum matrix, on condition that integrated signals exceeded a signal-to-noise ratio of 10.  
157 The lower limits of quantification (LLOQs) for eqAng I, eqAngII and aldosterone as defined  
158 as the lowest concentrations tested showing a coefficient of variation (CV) <20% according to  
159 FDA criteria, were 5 pmol/L, 2 pmol/L and 14 pmol/L, respectively. At 50 pmol/L, the inter-  
160 assay CVs for eqAng I, eqAngII and aldosterone were 10.2%, 6.1%, and 7.9%. The intra-  
161 assay CVs for eq Ang I, eqAngII and aldosterone were 8.6%, 4.4%, and 5.2%, respectively.

162 **Novel RAAS activity markers and diagnostic ratios.** For each patient, the determination of  
163 PRA on the basis of Ang I formation, as well as RAAS Triple-A testing (Angiotensin I,  
164 Angiotensin II and Aldosterone) was performed followed by calculation of the diagnostic  
165 ratios investigated. Recently described novel angiotensin derived markers for PRA (PRA-S,  
166 (eqAng I + eqAng II) and ACE activity (ACE-S: eqAng II/eqAng I)<sup>7</sup> were calculated and  
167 compared between cohorts. Different diagnostic ratios between aldosterone and RAAS  
168 activity markers were compared in terms of diagnostic performance in PA screening: three  
169 variants of the classic ARR using PRA as denominator were compared by combining  
170 aldosterone and PRA values either determined by radio-immunoassay (RIA, R) in the course  
171 of RENATO-I or by LC-MS/MS (M) following re-analysis of stored serum samples in the  
172 course of RENATO-II study. ARR (R/R) indicates the ratio initially determined on the basis  
173 of RIA measurements for PRA and aldosterone. As recent data suggested that LC-MS/MS  
174 based aldosterone quantification is more accurate than antibody-based detection methods, we  
175 further calculated an ARR form LC-MS/MS based aldosterone values and RIA PRA values,  
176 which was termed ARR (M/R). As a third ARR, we combined LC-MS/MS based aldosterone  
177 levels with LC-MS/MS based PRA measurements, which we termed ARR (M/M). Moreover,

178 two novel angiotensin based diagnostic ratios were introduced and compared in terms of  
179 diagnostic performance in the given cohort. The ARR-S using the angiotensin-based PRA  
180 marker PRA-S as denominator, and the AA2R, which uses eqAng II directly as the  
181 denominator of the diagnostic ratio for PA (Figure 1A).

182 We retrospectively analyzed screening samples from RENATO-I in a blinded manner and  
183 compared the results with historical data obtained from RIA based previous measurements of  
184 aldosterone and PRA. Moreover, different methods for aldosterone quantification and RAAS  
185 activity assessment were compared directly.

186 **Statistics.** Prism 6 was used as software tool for statistical analyses. Data were expressed as  
187 median (25th to 75th percentile) and analyzed by Mann–Whitney’s. Categorical variables  
188 were compared through a  $\chi^2$  test (Fisher exact test when sample size was  $\leq 5$ ). We compared  
189 PRA with Ang II and PRA-S (eqAng I + eqAng II) and ARR with AA2R through correlation  
190 analysis (Pearson’s ‘R’ correlation test) and assessment of the regression line. Bland-Altman  
191 plot was used to compare the within-patient relationship and to detect systematic error,  
192 proportional error, or a magnitude dependent bias. To assess the diagnostic accuracy of ARR,  
193 ARR-S and AA2R for PA diagnosis, we used receiver operator characteristics (ROC) curves.  
194 ROC curves were compared by the area under the curve: a value of  $z$  above the critical level  
195 of 1.96 was used to accept the hypothesis that two areas were different. The Younden-Index  
196 was used to determine the optimal diagnostic thresholds for PA diagnosis.

197

## 198 **RESULTS**

199 **Description of the population.** Characteristics of the hypertensive cohort of our study are  
200 reported in Table 1. The mean age of the 77 patients (43 males and 34 females) with EH and  
201 of the 33 patients with PA (17 males and 16 females) was not significantly different. Systolic  
202 blood pressure and aldosterone concentration were significantly higher, whereas potassium  
203 levels, PRA, PRA-S and eqAng II were significantly lower in patients with PA compared with

204 patients with EH ( $P$ -value  $< 0.001$  for all comparison). ACE-S revealed a significant increase  
205 in patients with PA compared with patients with EH. Across all patient sub-cohorts, RIA  
206 based measurements resulted in significantly higher median values for PRA as well as  
207 aldosterone when compared to data obtained from LC-MS/MS analysis. All five diagnostic  
208 ratios investigated (ARR (R/R), ARR (R/M), ARR (M/M), ARR-S and AA2R) were  
209 significantly higher in PA patients compared to EH patients ( $p < 0.001$ ). Among patients with  
210 PA, 23 were diagnosed with bilateral adrenal hyperplasia (BAH), whereas 10 had an  
211 aldosterone producing adenoma (APA). Except for blood pressure, potassium and RIA-based  
212 aldosterone levels, none of the molecular markers investigated was significantly different  
213 between patients with BAH vs. APA (Supplementary Table 1).

214 **Comparative analysis of PA screening performance for ARR, ARR-S and AA2R (ROC-**  
215 **Analysis).** Diagnostic ratios for PA were calculated using 4 different denominators (PRA  
216 (RIA), PRA (MS), PRA-S and eqAng II) and two different numerators (Aldosterone (RIA)  
217 and Aldosterone (MS)) in five combinations: ARR (R/R), ARR (M/R), ARR (M/M), ARR-S  
218 and AA2R, as shown in Figure 1A, together with corresponding units. ROC analysis was  
219 performed for each individual ratio considering the final diagnosis obtained in RENATO-I as  
220 gold standard. All five diagnostic ratios showed comparable areas under the curves (AUCs)  
221 ranging between 0,937 and 0,970 without significant differences between each other (Figure 1  
222 B-F). ARR ratios involving PRA values measured by RIA but differently measured  
223 aldosterone levels rapidly reached 100% sensitivity (Figure 1 B-C), while ratios involving  
224 RAAS denominators obtained in sample re-analysis revealed minimal differences in terms of  
225 false-negative and false-positive rates without significantly changing the AUCs (Figure 1 D-  
226 F). Seeking to get behind the cause of this observation, we decided to investigate the  
227 correlations between the ARR (R/R) and the AA2R as well as the correlation between  
228 different denominators and numerators in more detail.

229 **Correlation analysis of different RAAS markers (denominators) and diagnostic ratios.**

230 The correlation analysis between ARR and AA2R using RIA (Figure 2A) or LC-MS/MS  
231 based values (Figure 2D) for calculation of the ARR calculation revealed a good correlation.  
232 When correlating ARR (M/M) with the AA2R, a separate population of patients not fitting the  
233 otherwise very solid correlation (Spearman R = 0.93) was observed and highlighted in red  
234 (Figure 2D). Similar patients were highlighted in Figure 2A, revealing a similar pattern for  
235 AA2R which was relatively increased over the ARR in these patients. In order to reduce  
236 potential interferences of differences in RIA and MS aldosterone levels, we further compared  
237 the denominators PRA (RIA) and PRA (MS) with eqAng II directly (Figure 2B and 2E),  
238 which revealed a reduced recovery of eqAng II from renin in highlighted patient samples. In  
239 order to normalize for differences in absolute values ARR and AA2R, calculated individual  
240 values for the AA2R were adjusted to obtain a similar median compared to the ARR across  
241 the cohort, which allowed for a direct comparison of the two diagnostic ratios using a Bland-  
242 Altman analysis. ARR (R/R) showed less correlation between adjusted values for the AA2R  
243 when compared to ARR (M/M) (Figure 2C, F), while red labeled patient samples stayed  
244 clearly below the line of equality, indicating selective suppression of the ratios using the PRA  
245 as denominators. Correlation analysis and Bland-Altman analysis of PRA values (MS vs RIA)  
246 and aldosterone values (MS vs RIA) revealed an overestimation of RIA based measurements  
247 of 49.3% and 68.2% for PRA and aldosterone, respectively (Supplementary Figure 1A, B, D,  
248 E). PRA-S showed a very good correlation (Spearman R=0,71) with PRA (MS) across the  
249 whole patient cohort combining EH and PA (Supplementary Figure 1C, F) confirming the  
250 similarity between the ROC curves for ARR and ARR-S as shown in Figure 1D and 1E.

251 **ACE inhibition may cause ARR suppression in a subset of patients.** Given that the  
252 recovery of Ang II from renin was suppressed in a subset of patients, we further investigated  
253 the correlation between PRA values and ACE-S, a marker for ACE activity that is obtained by  
254 calculating the ratio between eqAng II and eqAng I<sup>7</sup>. We showed that patients dropping out

255 the correlation between the ARR and the AA2R displayed a significant suppression of ACE-  
256 S, therefore suggesting the presence of ACE inhibition (Figure 3A, C). Investigating patient  
257 records of these individuals revealed that 9 of the 10 patients with ACE-S < 1  
258 (pmol/L)/(pmol/L) received ACE inhibitors before drug withdrawal for PA screening. Ultra-  
259 high-performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC-MS/MS) based quantification of drug  
260 levels<sup>15</sup> further revealed that ramipril was present in these 9 samples at pharmacologically  
261 active concentrations ranging between 5.7 and 35 ng/mL, indicating non-compliance with the  
262 withdrawal procedure. The one remaining sample did not contain detectable concentrations of  
263 ramipril (<0,78 ng/ml = LLOQ). Both, eqAng I and eqAng II were below LLOQ in this  
264 sample, causing an artifactual suppression of ACE-S by calculation with indiscrete values  
265 eqAng I and eqAng II in this sample, indicating that ACE inhibition was correctly detected in  
266 100% patients with detectable angiotensin levels. When stratifying patients according to their  
267 ACE-S value in two groups of 100 and 10 patients respectively, a significant suppression of  
268 the ARR compared to the AA2R was observed in samples analyzed by LC-MS/MS (Figure  
269 3D). Although a similar pattern was also observed when investigating the relationship  
270 between RIA based ratio and the AA2R, these differences didn't reach significance (Figure  
271 3B), which might be explained by additional technical confounding factors associated with  
272 RIA based aldosterone values (Supplementary Figure 1B, E). As a result of these  
273 observations, we decided to repeat the ROC analysis after exclusion of these 10 patients with  
274 confounded ARR values potentially interfering with ROC analysis.

275 **Exclusion of low ACE patients from ROC evaluation results in improved curve shape**  
276 **for the AA2R.** We re-plotted the ROC curves for all five diagnostic ratios following  
277 elimination of patients with ACE-S < 1 [pM/pM] (Supplementary Figure 2). Removing low  
278 ACE patients resulted in a selective shift of the AA2R back towards the y-axis. The ROC  
279 curves for ARR-S and other renin-based ratios remained unaffected. As shown by the overlay  
280 graphs in Figure 4 comparing ROC curves before and after excluding low-ACE patients from

281 the ROC evaluation, the minor discrepancies observed for the AA2R disappear when  
282 excluding low-ACE patients. The optimal diagnostic cut-off values for PA screening were  
283 determined for all five ratios based on the Youden-Index analyzed in the cohort excluding low  
284 ACE patients, consisting of 71 EH and 29 PA patients (Supplementary Figure 3 A-E). The  
285 optimal cutoff for the AA2R was 6.6 [pM/pM], corresponding to a sensitivity of 90% and a  
286 specificity of 93% (Youden-Index: 0.83). Table 2 shows sensitivity and specificity for  
287 indicated cut-off values and number of false-positives and false-negative screening results are  
288 shown for each diagnostic ratio investigated. Ratios involving LC-MS/MS based  
289 denominators generally showed a lower number of false-positive screening results, and a  
290 higher number of false-negative screening results. Aiming to decrease the cut-off value for the  
291 AA2R until to reach 100% sensitivity resulted in a comparable number of false-positives  
292 between ARR (R/R) and AA2R (14 vs. 15), while one patient (ID: 80) remained negative  
293 with an AA2R clearly below the cut-off (1.4 pM/pM). Of note, all three ratios involving LC-  
294 MS/MS based numerators and denominators stayed clearly below the cut-off values, while the  
295 aldosterone (RIA) value appeared to more than 5-fold higher compared to the aldosterone  
296 (MS) value in this patient (Supplementary Table 2).

297 **ACE suppression potentially causes false-negative screening results when using the**  
298 **ARR.** We performed an in-depth analysis of all false-positive and false-negative cases  
299 observed for our 5 different diagnostic ratios when using the Youden-Index based cut-off  
300 values. Supplementary Table 3 summarizes cut-offs and patient IDs for all false-positive and  
301 false-negative test results. Screening results that were divergent to the ARR (R/R) as state-of  
302 the art test were highlighted in red. Two major groups of false-positives could be identified.  
303 One where all LC-MS/MS based ratios showed screening results that were comparable in  
304 terms of their distance to the Youden-Index based cut-offs (Patient IDs: 26, 29, 55), and one  
305 where only the AA2R showed a clear relative increase compared to other ratios involving LC-  
306 MS/MS based denominators (Patient IDs: 105, 106, 109). In depth analysis revealed that the

307 first group showed variations between initially measured RIA values and MS values obtained  
308 in re-analysis of samples, which might explain discrepancies in screening outcomes especially  
309 for patients being near the cut-off value (Borderline: IDs 29 and 55, Supplementary Table 2).  
310 The second group (Patient IDs: 105, 106, 109) showed a selective relative decrease of the  
311 AA2R when comparing to other ratios. Of note, these 3 patients were all on ACE inhibitor  
312 therapy before withdrawing drugs before screening and were also among the patients we  
313 could previously identify to be suppressed in ACE-S. Potentially false-negative screening  
314 results using the AA2R occur for borderline PA cases (IDs: 72, 80, 93) in the context of  
315 variations between MS and RIA test results for PRA and aldosterone, which could be avoided  
316 by reducing the screening cut-off value to 3.9 pM/pM, which still resulted in an acceptable  
317 number of false-positives compared to the ARR (R/R).

318 **Re-Evaluation of AA2R positive and ARR (R/R) negative patients revealed PA case that**  
319 **was missed due to in-effective drug withdrawal before screening.** Following the  
320 identification of patients with a clearly elevated AA2R in the presence of ACE inhibition, the  
321 SIT was repeated for 2 patients that agreed to re-assessing confirmation testing (IDs: 105 and  
322 106). Patient 105 indeed showed a positive SIT upon re-assessment, which identified him as  
323 false-negative under the gold-standard screening procedure, while being clearly positive using  
324 the ACEi independent AA2R for PA screening.

325 **RAAS Triple-A analysis can be used to screen patients for PA while providing insight in**  
326 **the efficacy of the drug withdrawal procedure.** The complete cohort of 110 patients was  
327 subjected to RAAS Triple-A analysis. Therefore, patients were classified in 4 quartiles based  
328 on their PRA-S values (Figure 5A-D). Data were illustrated in dot-plots showing data pairs  
329 for AA2R and ACE-S. Cut-Off values for PA (YI-based: 6.6 pM/pM, Sensitivity: 90%,  
330 Specificity: 93%) and ACE inhibition (1.0 pM/pM, 95% Sensitivity for ACEi within previous  
331 24h, data not shown) are indicated as dotted lines. Red dots indicate patients with confirmed  
332 PA, while black dots indicate patients diagnosed with EH. The majority of PA cases occur in

333 the lower 50% of PRA-S values, with a clear dominance of PA cases in the lower quartile for  
334 PRA-S being < 44 pM. Five of the 10 patients showing suppressed ACE-S belonged to the  
335 lowest PRA-S quartile. Among high renin patients, an accumulation of low AA2R individuals  
336 was observed, which could be explained by the presence of ARBs in these individuals, which  
337 has to be expected when assuming non-adherence to drug withdrawal to be the underlying  
338 cause for ACE inhibition under screening conditions.

339

## 340 **Discussion**

341 In the current study, RAAS Triple-A testing was performed in a cohort of 110 patients, of  
342 which 33 have been previously diagnosed with PA<sup>13</sup>. RAAS Triple-A analysis is based on the  
343 quantification of serum equilibrium levels of Ang I, Ang II and aldosterone, followed by  
344 calculation of angiotensin derived markers for PRA (PRA-S: eqAng I + eqAng II), ACE  
345 activity (ACE-S: eqAng II/eqAng I) and adrenal function (AA2-R: Aldosterone/eqAng II).  
346 Our study aimed to investigate the diagnostic performance of the AA2-Ratio in detecting PA  
347 cases among hypertensive patients as one aspect of RAAS Triple-A analysis. We  
348 demonstrated a similar accuracy of this new analysis for the screening test for PA in patients  
349 with hypertension, compared with the reference analysis in which the diagnosis was  
350 performed by RIA; it is also important to underline that all patients with unilateral PA were  
351 correctly detected by a positive screening with the AA2R. This is of particular interest since  
352 this method applies LC-MS/MS technology that is considered the gold standard for steroid  
353 hormones and peptides measurement. PA is a frequent condition in patients with hypertension  
354 and is associated with high risk of cardio-, cerebro-vascular and renal complications. The  
355 detection of this condition is reduced by the difficulty of testing patients with hypertension  
356 when they are already treated with medications with a potential interference with the RAAS  
357 activity. In a recent study, only 3% of the patients with hypertension underwent RAAS  
358 assessment before the beginning of the anti-hypertensive therapy<sup>5</sup>. Not only physicians, but

359 also patients are reluctant to withdraw anti-hypertensive medications as shown in the present  
360 study: 9 of the patients in which ramipril was stopped had still suppressed ACE activity and  
361 measurable quantity of the drug in plasma, displaying low compliance to drug withdrawal  
362 probably for concerns for potential risk of hypertensive crisis. In the next future it is expected  
363 that the demand of robust and accurate methods to screen for PA will increase to involve up  
364 to 50% of the hypertensive population<sup>1</sup>. We determined herein, the AA2R (3.9 pM/pM) with  
365 the highest sensitivity and acceptable specificity to be tested in future prospective  
366 confirmatory studies. Moreover, PRA-S and ACE-S were determined and statistically  
367 analyzed, revealing a strong correlation between classical PRA assays and the novel  
368 angiotensin-based renin activity marker PRA-S, and unmasking a portion of patients showing  
369 suppressed ACE activity despite previous instructions to withdraw their ACE inhibitor  
370 therapy to assure compatibility with classical PA screening procedures.

371 As expected, PA patients showed significantly suppressed activity of renin, as indicated by  
372 PRA (MS or RIA), PRA-S (eqAng I + eqAng II) and Ang II (Table 1). As a consequence, all  
373 investigated diagnostic ratios for PA, relating aldosterone secretion to RAAS activity were  
374 significantly increased in PA patients. Aldosterone levels determined by RIA were  
375 significantly higher compared to levels obtained by LC-MS/MS based quantification, which is  
376 in line with previous findings<sup>16,17</sup> and underlines that RIA based quantification of aldosterone  
377 is prone to artifacts and typically delivers much higher absolute quantification results  
378 compared to direct and highly specific quantification methods like LC-MS/MS.

379 RIA based measurement of PRA and LC-MS/MS based determination of PRA delivered  
380 comparable results. While analysis of PRA by RIA has been performed in freshly collected  
381 plasma samples, PRA-S has been determined in samples undergoing one freeze/thaw cycle.  
382 However, no significant difference was detected between differently obtained PRA values.  
383 With a median PRA value of 0.9 (ng/ml)/h in RIA based analysis compared to an LC-MS/MS  
384 based median value of 0.5 (ng/ml)/h, previous observations relating to cryo-activation of renin

385 induced by freezing and thawing of samples could not be confirmed<sup>18</sup>. This could be  
386 explained by the very short time (< 1 hour) the thawed samples have been exposed to low  
387 temperatures in a thawed state before analysis, which seems to be a prerequisite for  
388 cryoactivation, although the effect has been controversially discussed in the literature<sup>19-21</sup>.

389 Using the ARR to screen for autonomous adrenal aldosterone secretion was reasonable so far,  
390 as no appropriate methods to evaluate Ang II physiologic activity were available at the time  
391 of introducing ARR as a diagnostic value. However, it should be noted that in contrast to Ang  
392 II, renin is not directly affecting aldosterone secretion, and in contrast to Ang II, renin is up-  
393 regulated by ACE inhibitors, which can result in false-negative screening results for PA<sup>1</sup>. The  
394 use of renin as a surrogate marker for Ang II therefore seems to be a technical trade-off,  
395 knowing that its correlation with Ang II levels is strongly affected by the therapeutic use of  
396 ACE inhibitors.

397 In the current paper, we show non-inferiority of the AA2R to screen for PA in hypertensive  
398 patients being off interfering therapies. We observed potential indicators of superiority of the  
399 AA2R when screening patients on ACE inhibitor therapy that require to be confirmed in  
400 larger prospective studies. Under these conditions, the use of renin based diagnostic ratios  
401 may lead to false-negative test results in the presence of ACE inhibition<sup>1</sup>. Although ROC  
402 analysis did not reveal significant differences between all five diagnostic ratios investigated,  
403 correlation analysis between AA2R and renin-based ratios revealed a subset of samples  
404 showing an underestimation of renin-based ratios compared to the AA2R (Figure 2, red dots).

405 Further analysis revealed that all patients with a suppressed ARR also had a suppressed Ang  
406 II/Ang I-ratio (ACE-S), indicating the presence of pharmacologic ACE inhibition, which  
407 could later be confirmed by quantification of drug levels. Taken together, despite intended  
408 withdrawal of ACE inhibitors, 9 of 110 patients were still on ACEi therapy at the time of PA  
409 screening, which resulted in suppression of the ARR and subsequently in one false-negative  
410 test result, while a significant suppression of the ARR compared to the AA2R was observed

411 for the 9 patients on ACE inhibitor (Figure 3). Therefore, the distortion of the correlation  
412 between the ARR and the AA2R as well as observed slight differences between the AA2R  
413 and renin-based ratios in terms of diagnostic performance (Figure 4) appear to be caused by  
414 unexpected ACE inhibition in a subset of patients of the investigated cohort.

415 The AA2R remained elevated with a clearly positive test result for one of the patients being  
416 on ACE inhibitor therapy. PA could be confirmed in this patient following re-assessment and  
417 confirmation testing by saline infusion. Although ACE inhibition only affected a minor  
418 portion of the RENATO-II cohort at the time of PA screening, our findings implicate that  
419 screening hypertensive patients while being on ACE inhibitor therapy could be effective using  
420 the AA2R instead of renin based diagnostic ratios. ACE-S may further be used to monitor the  
421 in vivo efficacy of ACE inhibition (compliance, dosing) simultaneously with PA screening,  
422 making the approach particularly attractive for stratification of first-line non-responders in  
423 hypertension.

424 The RAAS plays a central role in the therapeutic management as well as the diagnostic  
425 evaluation of hypertension in clinical practice. RAAS blockade has been evolved to a  
426 hallmark of anti-hypertensive therapy reflected by the recently amended guidelines for  
427 treatment of hypertension, recommending dual drug combinations with RAAS blockers as  
428 first-line therapies for hypertension<sup>6</sup>. The concept to stratify hypertensive patients on the basis  
429 of renin activity aiming to develop more effective treatment schemes for anti-hypertensive  
430 patients is not new and has been extensively studied by Laragh and colleagues<sup>22</sup>.

431 The determination of the causes of uncontrolled hypertension, which accounts for 50% of  
432 pharmacologically-treated patients, are critical targets for improving treatment efficacy in  
433 hypertension. Among causes of uncontrolled hypertension, lack of treatment adherence, drug  
434 under-dosing and secondary forms of hypertension, including PA, are the most common<sup>23</sup>.

435 Although diagnostic tools to monitor patient compliance and to screen for PA are available,  
436 technologies are hardly used in primary care due to their complex interpretation under therapy

437 and high costs<sup>5,24</sup>. The possibility to screen for PA while simultaneously gaining information  
438 on pharmacologic drug efficacy on a functional level is a unique feature of RAAS Triple-A  
439 testing.

440 As a consequence of the findings generated in this paper, a comprehensive approach of using  
441 RAAS Triple-A testing for molecular stratification of hypertension to improve treatment  
442 efficacy appears reasonable. In contrast to previous stratification approaches employing solely  
443 plasma renin activity or plasma renin concentration as stratification markers, RAAS Triple-A  
444 testing delivers simultaneous read outs on drug dose efficacy and treatment adherence, plasma  
445 renin activity and allows for PA screening even in the presence of ACE inhibitor treatment.  
446 Although cut-offs for drug efficacy and detection of PA have to be clearly defined in further  
447 studies, RAAS Triple-A analysis may be a versatile tool to simplify primary care of  
448 hypertension by providing multiple layers of information based on a simple blood test being  
449 compatible for a broad implementation in primary care of hypertension.

450

#### 451 **Perspectives**

452 Uncontrolled hypertension currently affects up to 50% of patients being on therapy and  
453 remains a major challenge for physicians to improve this poor treatment performance, as  
454 underlying causes are manifold. Patient adherence, drug under-dosing and secondary  
455 hypertension including PA are the most frequent causes for inefficient first-line treatment of  
456 hypertension and remain difficult to be addressed as convenient diagnostic tools to clearly  
457 identify these causes have not been available so far. PA screening in the presence of ACE  
458 inhibitor therapy is one aspect of RAAS Triple-A testing that has the potential to widely  
459 implement PA screening in hypertension care. Importantly, ACE inhibitor and ARB efficacy  
460 and compliance can simultaneously be evaluated and on the basis of PRA-S, the  
461 discrimination between low and high renin hypertension can be made, together building the  
462 basis for an advanced stratification scheme for first-line non-responders in hypertension.

463 However, prospective randomized approaches are required to study the overall benefits of  
464 RAAS Triple-A profiling in hypertension.

465

#### 466 **Acknowledgments**

467 We are most grateful to our team of nurses and in particular to Silvano Bertinetti, Cristina  
468 Bertone and Sonia Zamburru, for their valuable help in patient management.

469

#### 470 **Source(s) of Funding**

471 RENATO-I, where samples have been collected and banked, was partially supported by an  
472 unrestricted grant from DIASORIN to the Department of Medical Sciences, University of  
473 Torino, Italy. The organization, sample logistics and evaluation of measurements results has  
474 been supported by funding specifically dedicated to the Department of Medical Sciences from  
475 Italian Ministry for Education, University and Research (Ministero dell'Istruzione,  
476 dell'Università e della Ricerca - MIUR) under the programme "Dipartimenti di Eccellenza  
477 2018 – 2022", Progetto Strategico di Eccellenza Dipartimentale, DSM, UNITO - Project n.  
478 D15D18000410001. Attoquant Diagnostics GmbH is a privately funded company and  
479 covered the costs for the analysis of samples in the course of RENATO-II as an in-kind  
480 contribution.

#### 481 **Conflict(s) of Interest/Disclosure(s)**

482 Marko Poglitsch is an employee at Attoquant Diagnostics, a company developing  
483 angiotensin-based biomarkers for hypertension. Paolo Mulatero received fees for educational  
484 speech from DIASORIN. The other authors declared no conflict of interest.

485 **References**

- 486 1. Funder JW, Carey RM, Mantero F, Murad MH, Reincke M, Shibata H, Stowasser M,  
487 Young WF Jr. The Management of Primary Aldosteronism: Case Detection, Diagnosis,  
488 and Treatment: An Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol*  
489 *Metab.* 2016;101:1889-1916.
- 490 2. Monticone S, Burrello J, Tizzani D, Bertello C, Viola A, Buffolo F, Gabetti L, Mengozzi  
491 G, Williams TA, Rabbia F, Veglio F, Mulatero P. Prevalence and Clinical Manifestations  
492 of Primary Aldosteronism Encountered in Primary Care Practice. *J Am Coll Cardiol.*  
493 2017;69:1811-1820.
- 494 3. Monticone S, D'Ascenzo F, Moretti C, Williams TA, Veglio F, Gaita F, Mulatero P.  
495 Cardiovascular events and target organ damage in primary aldosteronism compared with  
496 essential hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Diabetes*  
497 *Endocrinol.* 2018;6:41-50.
- 498 4. Hundemer GL, Curhan GC, Yozamp N, Wang M, Vaidya A. Cardiometabolic outcomes  
499 and mortality in medically treated primary aldosteronism: a retrospective cohort study.  
500 *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2018;6:51-59.
- 501 5. Mulatero P, Monticone S, Burrello J, Veglio F, Williams TA, Funder J. Guidelines for  
502 primary aldosteronism: uptake by primary care physicians in Europe. *J Hypertens.*  
503 2016;34:2253-7.
- 504 6. Williams B, Mancia G, Spiering W, Agabiti Rosei E, Azizi M, Burnier M, Clement DL,  
505 Coca A, de Simone G, Dominiczak A, Kahan T, Mahfoud F, Redon J, Ruilope L,  
506 Zanchetti A, Kerins M, Kjeldsen SE, Kreutz R, Laurent S, Lip GYH, McManus R,  
507 Narkiewicz K, Ruschitzka F, Schmieder RE, Shlyakhto E, Tsioufis C, Aboyans V,  
508 Desormais I. 2018 ESC/ESH Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension:  
509 The Task Force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of  
510 Cardiology and the European Society of Hypertension. *J Hypertens.* 2018;36:1953-2041.

- 511 7. Pavo N, Goliash G, Wurm R, Novak J, Strunk G, Gyöngyösi M, Poglitsch M, Säemann  
512 MD, Hülsmann M. Low- and High-renin Heart Failure Phenotypes with Clinical  
513 Implications. *Clin Chem*. 2018;64:597-608.
- 514 8. Basu R, Poglitsch M, Yogasundaram H, Thomas J, Rowe BH, Oudit GY. Roles of  
515 Angiotensin Peptides and Recombinant Human ACE2 in Heart Failure. *J Am Coll*  
516 *Cardiol*. 2017;69:805-819.
- 517 9. Binder C, Poglitsch M, Agibetov A, Duca F, Zotter-Tufaro C, Nitsche C, Aschauer S,  
518 Kammerlander AA, Oeztuerk B, Hengstenberg C, Mascherbauer J, Bonderman D. Angs  
519 (Angiotensins) of the Alternative Renin-Angiotensin System Predict Outcome in Patients  
520 With Heart Failure and Preserved Ejection Fraction. *Hypertension*. 2019 [Epub ahead of  
521 print].
- 522 10. Monticone S, Losano I, Tetti M, Buffolo F, Veglio F, Mulatero P. Diagnostic approach to  
523 low-renin hypertension. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2018;89:385-396.
- 524 11. Viola A, Monticone S, Burrello J, Buffolo F, Lucchiari M, Rabbia F, Williams TA,  
525 Veglio F, Mengozzi G, Mulatero P. Renin and aldosterone measurements in the  
526 management of arterial hypertension. *Horm Metab Res*. 2015;47:418-426.
- 527 12. Laragh JH, Sealey JE. The plasma renin test reveals the contribution of body sodium-  
528 volume content (V) and renin-angiotensin (R) vasoconstriction to long-term blood  
529 pressure. *Am J Hypertens*. 2011;24:1164-1180.
- 530 13. Burrello J, Monticone S, Buffolo F, Lucchiari M, Tetti M, Rabbia F, Mengozzi G,  
531 Williams TA, Veglio F, Mulatero P. Diagnostic accuracy of aldosterone and renin  
532 measurement by chemiluminescent immunoassay and radioimmunoassay in primary  
533 aldosteronism. *J Hypertens*. 2016;34:920-7.
- 534 14. Ahmed AH, Cowley D, Wolley M, Gordon RD, Xu S, Taylor PJ, Stowasser M. Seated  
535 saline suppression testing for the diagnosis of primary aldosteronism: a preliminary  
536 study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2014;99:2745-2753.

- 537 15. De Nicolò A, Avataneo V, Rabbia F, Bonifacio G, Cusato J, Tomasello C, Perlo E,  
538 Mulatero P, Veglio F, Di Perri G, D'Avolio A. UHPLC-MS/MS method with protein  
539 precipitation extraction for the simultaneous quantification of ten antihypertensive drugs  
540 in human plasma from resistant hypertensive patients. *J Pharm Biomed Anal.*  
541 2016;129:535-541.
- 542 16. Baron S, Amar L, Faucon AL, Blanchard A, Baffalieu L, Faucard C, Travers S, Pagny JY,  
543 Azizi M, Houillier P. Criteria for diagnosing primary aldosteronism on the basis of liquid  
544 chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry determinations of plasma aldosterone  
545 concentration. *J Hypertens.* 2018;36:1592-1601.
- 546 17. Guo Z, Poglitsch M, McWhinney BC, Ungerer JPJ, Ahmed AH, Gordon RD, Wolley M,  
547 Stowasser M. Aldosterone LC-MS/MS Assay-Specific Threshold Values in Screening  
548 and Confirmatory Testing for Primary Aldosteronism. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.*  
549 2018;103:3965-3973.
- 550 18. Campbell DJ, Nussberger J, Stowasser M, Danser AH, Morganti A, Frandsen E, Ménard  
551 J. Activity assays and immunoassays for plasma Renin and prorenin: information  
552 provided and precautions necessary for accurate measurement. *Clin Chem.* 2009;55:867-  
553 877.
- 554 19. Fyhrquist F, Puutula L. Effect of temperature on plasma renin samples. *Clin Chem.*  
555 1978;24:1202-1204.
- 556 20. Emanuel RL, Williams GH. Should blood samples for assay of plasma renin activity be  
557 chilled? *Clin Chem.* 1978;24:2042-2043.
- 558 21. Sealey JE, Moon C, Laragh JH, Alderman M. Plasma prorenin: cryoactivation and  
559 relationship to renin substrate in normal subjects. *Am J Med.* 1976;61:731-738.
- 560 22. Laragh JH. Renin profiling for diagnosis, risk assessment, and treatment of hypertension.  
561 *Kidney Int.* 1993;44:1163-1175.

- 562 23. Olsen MH, Angell SY, Asma S, Boutouyrie P, Burger D, Chirinos JA, Damasceno A,  
563 Delles C, Gimenez-Roqueplo AP, Hering D, López-Jaramillo P, Martinez F, Perkovic V,  
564 Rietzschel ER, Schillaci G, Schutte AE, Scuteri A, Sharman JE, Wachtell K, Wang JG. A  
565 call to action and a lifecourse strategy to address the global burden of raised blood  
566 pressure on current and future generations: the Lancet Commission on hypertension.  
567 *Lancet*. 2016;388:2665-2712.
- 568 24. Berra E, Azizi M, Capron A, Høieggen A, Rabbia F, Kjeldsen SE, Staessen JA,  
569 Wallemacq P, Persu A. Evaluation of Adherence Should Become an Integral Part of  
570 Assessment of Patients With Apparently Treatment-Resistant Hypertension.  
571 *Hypertension*. 2016;68:297-306.

572 **Novelty and Significance:**

573 **What is New?**

- 574 • We investigated for the first time the AA2R in a cohort of 110 patients with  
575 hypertension consisting of 77 patients with EH and 33 with confirmed PA;
- 576 • The AA2R remains unaffected in the presence of ACE inhibition, while renin-based  
577 ratios were suppressed;
- 578 • We defined the cut-off value for the AA2-Ratio in PA screening;

579 **What is Relevant?**

- 580 • The AA2R showed similar diagnostic performance to the reference ARR screening  
581 tests;
- 582 • The AA2R-based screening for PA might be possible in the presence of ACE inhibitor  
583 therapy, providing a major advantage over currently available screening tests;
- 584 • Triple-A analysis provides information on therapy compliance;
- 585 • Combining drug efficacy or compliance monitoring with PA screening might have a  
586 significant impact on the overall performance of PA screening procedures;

587 **Summary:**

- 588 • Triple-A analysis is a novel and reliable method to screen hypertensive patients for  
589 PA, even in the presence of therapy with ACE-inhibitors;
- 590 • This method may also allow evaluation of therapy compliance and efficacy;

591 **Figure Legends**

592

593 **Figure 1: ROC analysis for different diagnostic ratios.** (A) Numerators and denominators  
594 for investigated diagnostic ratios are shown together with corresponding units. (B-F) ROC  
595 curves for individual diagnostic ratios are shown (ARR, Aldosterone-to-Renin-Ratio; -S,  
596 RAAS Triple-A derived marker; R, RIA; M, LC-MS/MS; AA2-R, Aldosterone-to-Ang II-  
597 Ratio; AUC, Area under the curve; CI, Confidence interval).

598

599 **Figure 2: Correlation analysis of RAAS markers and diagnostic ratios.** (A) Correlation  
600 analysis of the AA2-Ratio with the ARR (R/R). (D) Correlation analysis of the AA2-Ratio  
601 with the ARR (M/M). The correlation analysis between PRA (RIA or MS) and eqAng II, the  
602 denominators for ratios compared in panels (A) and (D) are shown in panels (B) and (E).  
603 Panels (C) and (F) show Bland-Altman analysis comparing the ARR (R/R) or the ARR  
604 (M/M) and the AA2-Ratio as indicated (R/R, Aldosterone and PRA determined by RIA  
605 analysis; M/M, Aldosterone and PRA determined by LC-MS/MS analysis). Samples showing  
606 a significant deviation between ARR (M/M) and the AA2-Ratio were highlighted in red.

607

608 **Figure 3: Selective interference of ACE inhibition with the ARR.** Dot plots in panels (A)  
609 and (C) show the correlation between PRA (RIA or MS) and ACE-S. The dotted line  
610 indicates a potential cut-off for suppressed ACE-S (ACE-S, angiotensin-based marker for  
611 ACE activity). The ratios between ARR (R/R) or ARR (M/M) and the AA2-Ratio are shown  
612 in panels (B) and (D), respectively. Data points highlighted in red are the same as in panels A-  
613 D and Figure 2 (R/R, Aldosterone and PRA determined by RIA analysis; M/M, Aldosterone  
614 and PRA determined by LC-MS/MS analysis).

615

616 **Figure 4: ROC analysis of ARR-S and AA2-R after ACE inhibitor exclusion.** (A)  
617 Overlay graph of ROC curves for the ARR-S are shown before (filled symbols) and after  
618 (open symbols) excluding patients with confirmed presence of ACE inhibition. (B) Overlay  
619 graph of ROC curves for the AA2-R are shown before (filled symbols) and after (open  
620 symbols) excluding patients with confirmed presence of ACE inhibition (AUC, Area under  
621 the curve; CI, Confidence interval).

622

623 **Figure 5: RAAS Triple-A Analysis.** Patients were stratified based on PRA-S and the  
624 resulting quartiles were plotted in RAAS Triple-A plots analyzing the AA2-Ratio versus  
625 ACE-S (A-D). Dotted lines indicate the cut-off of 1.0 pM/pM used to detect ACE inhibition  
626 (ACEi) and the YI-based AA2-R cut-off of 6.6 pM/pM used for PA screening. Data pairs  
627 highlighted in red relate to confirmed PA patients. (E) Schematic representation of the RAAS  
628 and explanation of angiotensin-based biomarkers used in RAAS Triple-A analysis (ACE,  
629 Angiotensin-Converting-Enzyme; AT1R, Angiotensin II type 1 receptor; PRA, Plasma-  
630 Renin-Activity; ALDO, Aldosterone; -S, RAAS Triple-A derived marker).

631 **Table 1: Cohort characteristics**

<b>Variable</b>	<b>EH</b> (n=77)	<b>PA</b> (n=33)	<b>P-value</b>
Sex (Male/Female)	43 / 34	17 / 16	0.68
Age [Years]	49.0 (44.0-54.0)	50.0 (45.0-60.0)	0.07
SBP [mmHg]	145 (135-150)	155 (140-170)	<0.001
DBP [mmHg]	90 (85-100)	95 (85-100)	0.17
K [mEq/L]	4.1 (3.9-4.4)	3.6 (3.0-3.8)	<0.001
PRA (RIA) [(ng Ang I/ml)/h]	0.9 (0.4-2.0)	0.1 (0.1-0.3)	<0.001
PRA (MS) [(ng Ang I/ml)/h]	0.5 (0.2-1.2)	0.1 (0.1-0.2)	<0.001
PRA-S (eqAng I + eqAng II) [pM]	165.6 (80.6-328.3)	40.5 (18.2-57.5)	<0.001
eqAng II [pM]	100.9 (56.3-227.0)	24.2 (13.3-42.0)	<0.001
Aldosterone (RIA) [pM]	385.6 (246.9-590.9)	693.6 (507.7-885.0)	<0.001
Aldosterone (MS) [pM]	186.2 (102.7-293.2)	391.5 (331.1-486.3)	<0.001
ACE-S [pM/pM]	2.6 (1.9-3.4)	3.5 (2.7-5.3)	<0.001
ARR (R/R) [ng/dl]/[(ng/ml)/h]	17.4 (7.6-31.5)	178.5 (96.5-239.0)	<0.001
ARR (M/R) [ng/dl]/[(ng/ml)/h]	8.7 (3.5-16.8)	98.3 (46.8-136.5)	<0.001
ARR (M/M) [ng/dl]/[(ng/ml)/h]	12.4 (5.8-23.4)	126.3 (81.2-281.5)	<0.001
ARR-S [(pM)/(pM)]	1.2 (0.5-2.0)	10.7 (7.0-22.9)	<0.001
AA2-Ratio [pM/pM]	1.8 (0.7-3.1)	15.6 (9.5-43.1)	<0.001

632  
633 *Legend to Table 1* – Medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) are given for indicated variables.  
634 Corresponding units are shown in as [unit]. Essential hypertensive (N=77) and PA (N=33)  
635 patients are statistically compared. *P*-values are shown on the right (ARR, Aldosterone-to-

636 Renin-Ratio; AA2-R, Aldosterone-to-Ang II-Ratio; ACE, Angiotensin-Converting-Enzyme;  
 637 PRA, Plasma-Renin-Activity; -S, RAAS Triple-A derived marker).

638

639 **Table 2: Diagnostic performance and cut-off values.**

	Diagnostic Ratio		Cut-Off	YI	FP	FN	Sens [%]	Spec [%]
<b>ARR (R/R) =</b>	Aldosterone (RIA)	[ng/dl]	62.1	0.82	11	1	97	86
	PRA (RIA)	[(ng/ml)/h]	48.0	0.81	14	0	100	81
<b>ARR (M/R) =</b>	Aldosterone (MS)	[ng/dl]	28.1	0.86	11	1	100	86
	PRA (RIA)	[(ng/ml)/h]	26.0	0.83	12	0	100	83
<b>ARR (M/M) =</b>	Aldosterone (MS)	[ng/dl]	61.1	0.87	3	4	90	97
	PRA (MS)	[(ng/ml)/h]	30.0	0.73	15	2	93	80
<b>ARR-S =</b>	Aldosterone (MS)	[pM]	5.1	0.86	4	4	90	96
	Ang I + Ang II (MS)	[pM]	3.0	0.81	13	2	97	84
<b>AA2-R =</b>	Aldosterone (MS)	[pM]	6.6	0.83	8	4	90	93
	Ang II (MS)	[pM]	3.9	0.81	15	1	97	84

640

641 *Legend to Table 2 – Youden-Indices (YI), number of false-positives (FP), number of false-*  
 642 *negatives (FN), sensitivity and specificity for five diagnostic ratios for PA are shown for*  
 643 *indicated cut-off values obtained from ROC analysis of patients with ACE-S > 1.0 pM/pM*  
 644 *(N= 100). The higher cut-off value for each diagnostic ratio indicates the value corresponding*  
 645 *to the maximum of the YI curve shown in Supplementary Figure 3. The lower cut-off value*  
 646 *was obtained by minimizing the number of false-negatives for each ratio (ARR, Aldosterone-*  
 647 *to-Renin-Ratio; AA2-R, Aldosterone-to-Ang II-Ratio; -S, RAAS Triple-A derived marker).*