

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Sexually dimorphic phrase organization in the song of the indris (Indri indri)

(Article begins on next page)

American Journal of Primatology

Sexually dimorphic phrase organization in the song of the indris (Indri indri)

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

Abstract

rs responsible for the evolutionary change of inter-individual variation in the song st
dri indri). Indri groups emit duets and contrained the similar section in the song six
six) with slightly descending frequency
mag dif 37 Animal acoustic communication often takes the form of complex sequences, composed 38 of multiple distinct acoustic units, which can vary in their degree of stereotypy. Studies 39 of sequence variation may contribute to our understanding of the structural flexibility of 40 primates' songs, which can provide essential ecological and behavioral information about 41 variability at the individual, population, and specific level and provide insights into the 42 mechanisms and drivers responsible for the evolutionary change of communicative traits. 43 We studied intra and inter-individual variation in the song structuring of a singing 44 primate, the indri (*Indri indri*). Indri groups emit duets and choruses in which they 45 combine long notes, short single units, and phrases consisting of a variable number of units (from two to six) with slightly descending frequency. Males' and females' 47 contributions to the song differ in the temporal and frequency structure of song units and 48 repertoire size. We calculated the similarity of phrase organization across different 49 individual contributions using the Levenshtein distance, a logic distance that expressed 50 the minimum cost to convert a sequence into another and can measure differences 51 between two sequences of data. We then analyzed the degree of similarity within and 52 between individuals and found that: i) the phrase structure of songs varied between 53 reproductive males and females: female structuring of the song showed a higher number 54 of phrases if compared to males; ii) Male contributions to the song were overall more 55 similar to those of other males than were female contributions to the song of other 56 females; iii) male contributions were more stereotyped than female contributions, which 57 showed greater individual flexibility. The picture emerging from phrase combinatorics in 58 the indris is in agreement with previous findings of rhythmic features and song repertoire

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{3}$ $\overline{4}$ $\overline{7}$

catenate vocal emissions in phrases when
Beckers & Bolhuis, 2011), their vocal s
s and individual identity (e.g., starlings (St
ns (Tursiops truncatus), and rock hyraxes
ces may also encode information about
.g., food call Communication between conspecifics often involves the use of vocalizations because acoustic signals allow encoding a considerable amount of information in a short time (Bradbury & Vehrencamp, 2011). Animal vocal signals can be emitted in the form of short vocalizations or given in sequences of variable length (Catchpole & Slater, 2008). In addition to the well-known example of birdsong, other animals such as insects, amphibians, and mammals (including bats, rodents, primates, and cetaceans) also emit complex acoustic sequences (Kershenbaum et al., 2016). Although animals showed a limited ability to concatenate vocal emissions in phrases when compared to humans (Berwick, Okanoya, Beckers & Bolhuis, 2011), their vocal sequences may contain information on species and individual identity (e.g., starlings (*Sturnus vulgaris*), wolves (*Canis lupus*), dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*), and rock hyraxes (*Procavia capensis*)). Animal vocal sequences may also encode information about external cues such as resource availability, e.g., food calls in chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*), or predator threats in marmots (*Marmota* spp.; Kershenbaum et al., 2016).

93 The understanding of the role played by the acoustic sequences in a particular species' 94 repertoire often involves the comparison of sequences within and between individuals, as 95 well as within and between groups, so that it is possible to quantify the nature of the 96 variation and potentially correlate it to ecological and behavioral factors (Kershenbaum 97 et al., 2014).

98 So far, the studies of primate call organization focused on contact calls or alarm calls 99 (Clarke, Reichard & Zuberbühler, 2006) with scarce investigations of song structure 100 variation within contexts (Torti, Gamba, Rabemananjara & Giacoma, 2013). There is a 101 lack of information about whether primate males and females combine units in songs 102 using different phrase combinations. It is essential to examine the sex-dimorphic traits of

103 primate songs because knowledge of sex differences in song organization may be critical 104 in our understanding of what is biologically informative, especially in sexually 105 monomorphic species. Moreover, information available on the variability within a species 106 is very little (Honda & Okanoya, 1999; Takahasi, Yamada & Okanoya, 2010). Few 107 investigations on primate vocal sequences are currently available and none of them are 108 evaluating the stereotypy of song structure between sexes using a string metric (Gustison, 109 Semple, Ferrer-i-Cancho & Bergman, 2016). While traditional methods may not apply to 110 a wide array of questions, string metrics can be used to investigate different organizational 111 levels, are entirely objective, and their results are verifiable (Heeringa, 2004).

ns, string metrics can be used to investigate
ective, and their results are verifiable (Hee
melin, 1788) represent a distinctive spec
se of their rich repertoire (Maretti, Sorrent
lente et al., 2019) and the impressive lou 112 Indris (*Indri indri*, Gmelin, 1788) represent a distinctive species for studying vocal 113 communication because of their rich repertoire (Maretti, Sorrentino, Finomana, Gamba 114 & Giacoma, 2010; Valente et al., 2019) and the impressive loud songs, unique among 115 lemurs (Gamba et al., 2016; Torti et al., 2017), which can be heard at a distance up to 2 116 km (Pollock, 1986). Data on sound pressure levels revealed that the sound levels of the 117 indri's song reached 110 dB (estimated at 0.50 m; Torti, *pers. obs.*). This level can be 118 compared to ring-tailed lemurs (*Lemur catta*), which showed a call amplitude ranging 119 between 85 and 89 dB (at 1 m, Macedonia, 1993). The song of the indris, which lasts 40- 120 250 s, consists of a long series of modulated units, organized in phrases (Gamba, Favaro, 121 Torti, Sorrentino & Giacoma, 2011), uttered simultaneously by males and females, 122 including juveniles, of the same group (Maretti et al., 2010). These types of units are 123 emitted exclusively during the song (Valente et al., 2019).

124 Previous research showed that the indris can emit songs in different contexts and that the 125 song can elicit different behaviors depending on its acoustic structure. Songs given in 126 different contexts showed differences in their temporal structure that are distinguishable

x, age, and status of singing individuals). O
le contributions to the song differ, b
temporal structure of the units emitted
1, 2010; Sorrentino, Gamba & Giacoma
ssent in the modulation of the frequency of
and the rhythmi 127 by visual inspection of the spectrograms and by ear (Torti et al. 2013). Cohesion songs 128 were emitted when the individuals of a group were dispersed in their territory, while 129 advertisement songs were usually given when the animals of the same group were in 130 visual contact at the boundary of their territory (Torti et al., 2013). Cohesion songs were 131 followed by emitters traveling significantly further than following the advertisement 132 song, confirming the different functions of the song uttered in different contexts (i.e., 133 cohesion songs bring together the members of a group, and advertisement songs inform 134 neighbors about the sex, age, and status of singing individuals). Other studies have shown 135 that male and female contributions to the song differ, both quantitatively and 136 qualitatively, in the temporal structure of the units emitted (Giacoma, Sorrentino, 137 Rabarivola & Gamba, 2010; Sorrentino, Gamba & Giacoma, 2012). Vocal sexual 138 dimorphism is also present in the modulation of the frequency of vocal utterances, in the 139 duration of unit types and the rhythmic structure of a contribution (Gamba et al., 2016; 140 De Gregorio et al., 2018).

141 Since the indris' songs can be interpreted as a string of easily identifiable phrases (Gamba 142 et al., 2016), they represent an ideal case for the study of the variability of phrase 143 concatenation in primate songs. Among the methods for investigating different levels of 144 structural variation in acoustic displays, we chose the Levenshtein distance, which is a 145 quantitative method for measuring the similarity of sequences (hereafter LD; Margoliash, 146 Staicer & Inoue, 1991). The LD is a logical distance commonly used to quantify the 147 difference between two strings of data (e.g., human words, sequences of visual 148 movements or sequences of song themes; Gooskens & Heeringa, 2004). This technique 149 has often been used to measure similarity in human dialects (Wieling, Montemagni, 150 Nerbonne & Baayen, 2014), and it has been applied to animal vocal sequences, but for a

American Journal of Primatology

151 very limited number of species (Indigo bunting, *Passerina cyanea*, Margoliash et al., 152 1991; Willow warbler, *Phylloscopus trochilus*, Gil & Slater, 2000; Humpback whale, *Megaptera novaeangliae*: Helweg, Cato, Jenkins, Garrigue & McCauley, 1998; Tougaard 154 & Eriksen, 2006; Garland et al., 2012).

996b). Females may use songs for mate a
ven show a more elaborated song reperto
cown & Farabaugh, 1991). Like Eastern wl
nogamous (Torti et al., 2017; Bonadonna e
apping areas in the forest (Bonadonna et
boring groups abo 155 Although songs are often referred to as a male's prerogative, we have particular insights 156 showing that monogamous females may also use the song overlapping male song 157 functions (e.g., Eastern whip bird (*Psophodes olivaceus*), Rogers, Langmore, & Mulder, 158 2007; Levin, 1996a; 1996b). Females may use songs for mate attraction (Rogers et al., 159 2007), and they may even show a more elaborated song repertoire (Australian magpies 160 (*Gymnorhina tibice* Brown & Farabaugh, 1991). Like Eastern whip birds and Australian 161 magpies, indris are monogamous (Torti et al., 2017; Bonadonna et al., 2019), form groups 162 that occupy non-overlapping areas in the forest (Bonadonna et al., 2017), and use the 163 songs to inform neighboring groups about the occupation of a territory and to actively 164 defend resources during group encounters (Torti et al., 2013). Thus, we hypothesized that 165 the female contribution to the song would be structurally different from that of males. 166 Studies of song structure in bird duets also suggested that females' songs would be more 167 acoustically variable than that of males (Logue & Gammon, 2004), in line with the 168 territorial model of bird duet evolution (Farabaugh, 1982). In birds, duetting occurs most

169 commonly where birds hold year-round territories, and it is associated with sexually 170 monomorphic species that form long-term monogamous pair bonds (Riebel, Odom, 171 Langmore & Hall, 2019). Indri females showed significantly higher variation in the 172 rhythm of their contributions to the song and a higher potential to synchronize with males 173 (De Gregorio et al., 2018). Thus, we hypothesized that the adjustment in the rhythmic 174 structure of their contribution would also be reflected in a sexually dimorphic phrases

175 combination, where one should expect males to produce songs with a more stereotyped 176 structure. Similarly to pair living bird species (Rogers et al., 2007), indri females may use 177 songs for mate guarding and attraction, and song structural variability and complexity 178 may have evolved to provide conspecifics with information on females' fitness and 179 survival.

-
- **Methods**
	- **Observations and recordings**

fordings
N= 36 individuals) living in the Maromizity
ded the animals between 2011 and 2017.
Monday to Friday approximately from 6
resting and sleeping until the day after
d out without the use of playback stimuli, and the 185 We studied 8 groups (N= 36 individuals) living in the Maromizaha Forest (18°56'49''S, 186 48°27'53''E). We recorded the animals between 2011 and 2017. We observed one social 187 group per week, from Monday to Friday approximately from 6 AM to 1 PM, when the 188 animals usually start resting and sleeping until the day after (Pollock, 1975). All 189 recordings were carried out without the use of playback stimuli, and nothing was done to 190 modify the behavior of the indris. We recorded 142 songs, consisting of duets and 191 choruses with a maximum of five individuals singing in the same song. For the analysis, 192 we only considered the contribution of the reproductive individuals, for a total of 17 focal 193 animals from eight social groups: nine reproductive adult males, and eight reproductive 194 adult females. An example of an indri song and the singers' contributions is shown in 195 Figure 1. The different number of males and females is motivated by the fact that, during 196 the study period, the reproductive male of a group changed. All the songs were recorded 197 using solid-state recorders (Olympus LS05, Tascam DR-100, Tascam DR-05) with a 198 sampling rate of 44.1 kHz (16-bit depth) during all the recording sessions. When

199 recording the songs, we were always at a distance between 2 and 20 m from the animals, 200 with the microphone oriented toward the focal singing individuals. We always kept visual 201 contact with the vocalizing animals and maximized our efforts to face the focal animals 202 during the emission of the song. Since indri songs emitted in different contexts have 203 different acoustic structure, in order to avoid any bias due to these differences, we 204 considered, from multiple years, only those songs that were labeled as advertisement 205 songs and were recorded in the same context (Torti et al., 2013). Using the focal animal 206 sampling technique (Altmann, 1974), we were able to attribute each vocalization to its 207 signaler. We will refer to every individual uttered portion within a song or a chorus as an 208 'individual contribution'.

ed in the same context (Torti et al., 2013).

Iltmann, 1974), we were able to attribute

to every individual uttered portion within

n'.

lid not have any physical contact with the antited songs. We have received permits 209 During this study, we did not have any physical contact with the animals, and we recorded 210 only spontaneously emitted songs. We have received permits for this research, each year, 211 from "Direction des Eaux et Forêts" and "Madagascar National Parks": 2011 - N° 212 274/11/MEF/SG/D GF/DCB.SAP/SCB, 2012 N°245/12/MEF/SG/DGF/DCB.SAP/SCB, 213 2014 - N°066/14/MEF/SG/DGF/DCB.SAP/SCB, 2015 - N° 180/ 15/ MEEMF/ SG/ DGF/ 214 DAPT/ SCBT; 2016 - N° 98/ 16/ MEEMF/ SG/ DGF/ DAPT/ SCB.Re and N° 217/ 215 16/MEEMF/ SG/ DGF/ DSAP/ SCB.Re, 2017 - 73/17/MEEF/SG/DGF/DSAP/SCB.RE. 216 The data collection in 2013 did not require a permit because performed by our Malagasy 217 collaborators only.

218 We adhered to applicable international, national, and/or institutional guidelines for the 219 study on animals and nonhuman primates, including the American Society of 220 Primatologist (ASP) Principle for the Ethical Treatment of nonhuman Primates, and the

221 European Union directive guidelines for the study on animals and nonhuman primates 222 (Directive 2010/63/EU). The study did not require IACUC approval.

Acoustic and statistical analyses

O dB. We saved each song in a single aud
format). We saved the information related
tgrid. We then labeled all the vocal un
ated part of the song; Thalmann, Geissman
ir belonging to a song portion (long notes
for details) a 224 We edited segments containing indri songs using Praat 6.0.30 (Boersma & Weenink 225 2008) and BORIS 5.1 (Friard & Gamba 2016). For each recorded song, we created a 226 spectrogram with a view range between 0 and 5000 Hz, a window length of 0.09 s, and a 227 dynamic range of 65.0 dB. We saved each song in a single audio file in WAV format 228 (Waveform audio file format). We saved the information related to the identity of each 229 singer in a Praat textgrid. We then labeled all the vocal units (each single sound 230 constituting the modulated part of the song; Thalmann, Geissmann, Simona & Mutschler, 231 1993) according to their belonging to a song portion (long notes or descending phrases, 232 see Torti et al., 2013 for details) and to a descending phrase (hereafter, DP; see Torti et 233 al., 2017 for details). Songs given in different contexts showed differences in their 234 temporal structure that are distinguishable by visual inspection of the spectrograms and 235 by ear (Torti et al. 2013). We considered phrases consisting of two (DP2), three (DP3), 236 four (DP4), five (DP5), and six (DP6) units. This information was saved in Praat and 237 exported to a Microsoft© Excel spreadsheet (Gamba, Friard & Giacoma, 2012). Since all 238 the steps of the labeling process have been done by a single operator (A.Z.), we avoided 239 the possibility of encountering errors due to observer differences. To understand whether 240 there were differences in song structure between sexes, we investigated the DPs similarity 241 in each contribution. We transformed each contribution in a string of labels separated by 242 a break symbol (e.g., DP2|DP3|DP4|DP3). The resulting string represents the 243 concatenation of the phrases uttered within a contribution (and it is a measure of phrase

e distance calculates the minimum numbe
and substitutions) to transform one string
squared matrix consisting of the distance
LDs and calculated within- and between-in
females and males differed in their degree
l tests (999 244 organization). From the 142 songs, we obtained 142 strings for females (with an average 245 of 17.88 songs per individual, $SD = 5.44$), and 119 strings for males (with an average of 246 13.22 songs per individual, $SD = 5.91$). Using R (R Core Team, 2015; version 3.3.3), we 247 calculated the Levenshtein distance (LD) for each pair of strings 248 (package *stringdist* 0.9.4.2 in R; van der Loo, 2014) because this methodology provides 249 a robust quantitative approach for the study of animal acoustic sequences (Kershenbaum 250 & Garland, 2015). The distance calculates the minimum number of necessary changes 251 (insertions, deletions, and substitutions) to transform one string into another (Kohonen, 252 1985). We obtained a squared matrix consisting of the distances between each pair of 253 strings, then averaged LDs and calculated within- and between-individual means (Fig. 2), 254 to investigate whether females and males differed in their degree of variation. For this 255 purpose, we ran Mantel tests (9999 randomizations) using a matrix featuring the average 256 individual means against a model matrix consisting of 0 when the corresponding 257 individuals were of the same sex (Krull et al., 2012), and 1 when they were opposite sexes 258 (package *vegan* in R; Oksanen et al., 2013). When investigating differences at the group 259 level or within-sex, we used the non-parametric paired samples Wilcoxon test to compare 260 the average individual LDs of each member of a pair or the within- versus between-261 individual LDs because, with such a small sample size, the Mantel test is not 262 recommended (Legendre & Fortin, 1989). Only for the Wilcoxon test, the group in which 263 the male changed was entered twice, considering the two pairs as different groups. We 264 obtained a lower number of male contributions because the reproductive females also 265 engaged in duets with immature male offspring $(N = 23)$.

Results

268 We analyzed 261 individual contributions consisting of a total of 2018 phrases. We 269 obtained 77 ± 21 (mean + standard deviation) phrases per male and 78 ± 23 phrases per 270 female. We found that average phrase duration was 1.285 s (range: 0.380 - 3.000 s). The 271 number of phrases in the individual song ranged between 2 and 27.

d higher average individual means than 1
2). In all groups, the females had higher LE
6 + 0.814), showing that female contributi
ilcoxon paired test: $V = 0$, df = 7; P = 0.00
which sang with three different males and
nowe 272 We found a significant difference between the LDs calculated for males and females, 273 where females showed higher average individual means than males (Mantel test: $r =$ 274 0.167, P = 0.002; Fig. 2). In all groups, the females had higher LDs (LD = $6.497 + 1.674$) 275 than males $(LD = 3.946 + 0.814)$, showing that female contribution to the song was less 276 stereotyped (Fig. 3, Wilcoxon paired test: $V = 0$, df = 7; P = 0.008). It is noticeable that 277 the individuals Eva, which sang with three different males and had a high number of 278 recordings (N=39), showed remarkable differences compared to other females. Both 279 females and males showed a higher variability at between-individuals $(LD_{\text{females}} = 7.386)$ 280 $+ 0.709$, $LD_{males} = 4.885 + 0.325$ than within-individual level (Fig. 3), except for the 281 females of groups 4 and 8. Overall, we found a significant difference between within- and 282 between-individual LDs (Wilcoxon paired test: $V = 0$, df = 7; P = 0.008).

Discussion

284 We examined differences in the order of phrases emitted during the songs by reproductive 285 male and female indris living in the same population. We hypothesized that female 286 contributions to the song may function differently in phrase concatenation from those of 287 males. We found support for our predictions. The phrase structure of songs indeed 288 differed between males and females, and female contributions were less stereotyped than

ompared to males, independently of the ph
result is in line with previous studies on
ird species (Deputte, 1982; Savage, Sno
; Riebel et al., 2019; Levin, 1996a; 1996
, monomorphic species which holds yea
output are freque 289 those of males. The LDs showed that the between-individual stereotypy of male 290 contributions was higher than females' one. Males, therefore, appeared to produce songs 291 that are overall more similar to those of other males. In agreement with previous studies 292 that reported sexual dimorphism in the overall timing and repertoire size (Giacoma et al., 293 2010), and in the frequency modulation, duration and rhythm (Gamba et al., 2016; Torti 294 et al., 2017, De Gregorio et al., 2018), we found that male and female indris also differed 295 in the phrase organization of their songs. Female structuring of the song showed a higher 296 number of phrases if compared to males, independently of the phrases being of the same 297 or different type. This result is in line with previous studies on family-living and pair-298 bonded primate and bird species (Deputte, 1982; Savage, Snowdon, Giraldo & Soto, 299 1996; Snowdon, 2017; Riebel et al., 2019; Levin, 1996a; 1996b), endorsing that in a 300 socially monogamous, monomorphic species which holds year-round territories, sex 301 differences in vocal output are frequent (Marshall & Marshall, 1976). Furthermore, 302 despite the fact that songs are considered to be males' peculiar features (Cowlishaw, 303 1996), our results confirm that also monogamous females use songs and that female song 304 can be more elaborate than those of males. Female song phrase concatenation is more 305 complex than males' because even if males are playing the primary role in territorial 306 defense, females' role in territorial disputes can be essential. Female songs may be critical 307 for advertising their identity as well as resources holding potential. For instance, vocal 308 fights, in which females and males are singing together, are often sufficient to resolve 309 group encounters, reducing the occurrence of physical fights (Bonadonna et al., 2020). 310 Future studies may investigate whether female dispersal distance and territorial changes 311 over the years may contribute to a deeper understanding of this sex-dimorphic variation. 312 Expanding previous findings that showed how indri female contribution to the song was

313 more varied in the rhythm (De Gregorio et al., 2018), we showed that the combination 314 and repertoire of the phrases are also more extensive than those shown by males. Females 315 not only have a broader repertoire of units, but they also emit descending phrases that we 316 did not observe in males (e.g., descending phrases of six units). Considering those 317 previous findings, our results may suggest that the differences in song structuring could 318 be used to convey information about the sex and the status of the singers that can be 319 assessed at a distance by conspecifics.

vious findings on the different role of males
2010), we found that female song is pote
se results are in agreement with previous fi
confirming that in those species in which
r repertoires are as large or larger than thos
s 320 In agreement with previous findings on the different role of males and females during the 321 song (Giacoma et al., 2010), we found that female song is potentially more distinctive 322 than the male one. These results are in agreement with previous findings on birds (Brown 323 & Farabaugh, 1991), confirming that in those species in which females are involved in 324 territorial defense, their repertoires are as large or larger than those of males, on the level 325 of both units and phrases. Territorial defense is crucial for survival and reproduction in 326 pair-bonding species that occupy stable territories, and even if female involvement in 327 territorial defense is different from that of the reproductive male, they participate in 328 joining with their partner. An increasing body of literature (e.g., Hall, Rittenbach, & 329 Vehrencamp, 2015) supported the view that same-sex competition is the primary driver 330 of female song elaboration. It can be the case of the indris, where females may benefit 331 from multiple mating partners to increase tolerance by neighboring males (Bonadonna et 332 al., 2014). As mentioned above, females can advertise the occupancy of an area as well 333 as their quality and resource-holding potential. In support of the higher variability in 334 female song structure, there is also the recent evidence that genetic relatedness may play 335 a critical role in determining the characteristics of DPs in males, whereas it may have a 336 lesser impact on female songs (Torti et al., 2017). A more variable song structure may

337 add up to a more flexible structuring of the phrase notes, but further investigations are 338 needed.

339 This work also expands on and complements previous studies on humpback whales 340 (Helweg et al., 1998; Tougaard & Eriksen, 2006; Garland et al., 2012), showing that the 341 Levenshtein distance is simple, efficiently computable and highly applicable to any 342 behavioral data that are produced in a sequence. Our results confirmed that the 343 Levenshtein distance method is a simple but powerful technique that can be applied to 344 assess stereotypy or divergence between sexes.

Acknowledgements

vergence between sexes.

Superior Sexetion 347 We thank two anonymous Reviewers and the Editor for their careful reading of our 348 manuscript and their many insightful comments and suggestions. This research was 349 supported by Università degli Studi di Torino and by grants from the Parco Natura Viva— 350 Centro Tutela Specie Minacciate. We are grateful to GERP and Dr Jonah Ratsimbazafy. 351 We thank Dr, Cesare Avesani Zaborra and Dr Caterina Spiezio for helping us with the 352 organization of the field station in Maromizaha. We are grateful to the researchers and 353 the international guides, for their help and logistical support. We also thank San Diego 354 Zoo Global, LDVI, Dr Chia L. Tan.

 $\overline{2}$

References

359 Altmann, J. (1974). Observational study of behavior: sampling methods. *Behaviour*, 49, 360 227-267. DOI: 10.1163/156853974X00534

361 Berwick, R. C., Okanoya, K., Beckers, G. J. L., & Bolhuis, J. J. (2011). Songs to

362 syntax: the linguistics of birdsong. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 15, 113-121. DOI:

363 10.1016/j.tics.2011.01.002

nk, D. (2005). Praat: doing phonetics by contract COSE.

V., Sorrentino, V., Randrianarison, R. M.,

117). Territory exclusivity and intergroup of

Indridae: *Indri indri*) upon methodologica

4, 238-251. DOI: 10.1080/2475 364 Boersma, P., & Weenink, D. (2005). Praat: doing phonetics by computer [Computer 365 program].

366 Bonadonna, G., Torti, V., Sorrentino, V., Randrianarison, R. M., Zaccagno, M., Gamba,

367 M., & Giacoma, C. (2017). Territory exclusivity and intergroup encounters in the indris

368 (Mammalia: Primates: Indridae: *Indri indri*) upon methodological tuning. *The European*

Zoological Journal, 84, 238-251. DOI: 10.1080/24750263.2017.1318184

370 Bonadonna, G., Torti, V., De Gregorio, C., Valente, D., Randrianarison, R. M., Pozzi,

371 L., M., Gamba, M., & Giacoma, C. (2019). Evidence of genetic monogamy in the lemur

372 Indri (Indri indri). *American Journal of Primatology,* 81(6), e22993. DOI:

373 10.1002/ajp.22993

374 Bonadonna, G., Zaccagno, M., Torti, V., Valente, D., De Gregorio, C., Randrianarison,

375 R. M., ... & Giacoma, C. (2020). Intra-and Intergroup Spatial Dynamics of a Pair-Living

376 Singing Primate, Indri indri: A Multiannual Study of Three Indri Groups in

377 Maromizaha Forest, Madagascar. *International Journal of Primatology*, 1-22. DOI:

10.1007/s10764-019-00127-5

399 New York, USA: Academic Press.

441 Bengalese finch (*Lonchura striata* var. *domestica*). *Zoological Science*, 16, 319-326.

- 442 DOI: 10.2108/zsj.16.319
- 443 Kershenbaum, A., Blumstein, D. T., Roch, M. A., Akçay, Ç., Backus, G., Bee, M. A., ...
- 444 & Coen, M. (2016). Acoustic sequences in non-human animals: a tutorial review and

445 prospectus. *Biological Reviews*, *91*(1), 13-52. DOI: [10.1111/brv.12160](https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12160)

446 Kershenbaum, A. & Garland, E. C. (2015). Quantifying similarity in animal vocal

447 sequences: which metric performs best? *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 6, 1452-

- 448 1461. DOI: 10.1111/2041-210X.12433
- arland, E. C. (2015). Quantifying similarit

ic performs best? *Methods in Ecology and*

941-210X.12433

wles, A. E., Freeberg, T. M., Jin, D. Z., Lar

al sequences: not the Markov chains we th
 he Royal Society B: Biolog 449 Kershenbaum, A., Bowles, A. E., Freeberg, T. M., Jin, D. Z., Lameira, A. R., & Bohn,
- 450 K. (2014). Animal vocal sequences: not the Markov chains we thought they
- 451 were. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *281*(1792), 20141370.
- 452 DOI: 10.1098%2Frspb.2014.1370
- 453 Kohonen, T. (1985). Median strings. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 3, 309-313. DOI:
- 454 10.1016/0167- 8655(85)90061-3
- 455 Krull, C. R., Ranjard, L., Landers, T. J., Ismar, S. M., Matthews, J. L., & Hauber, M. E.
- 456 (2012). Analyses of sex and individual differences in vocalizations of Australasian
- 457 gannets using a dynamic time warping algorithm. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society*
- *of America*, 32, 1189- 98. DOI: 10.1121/1.4734237
- 459 Legendre, P., & Fortin, M. J. (1989). Spatial pattern and ecological
- 460 analysis. *Vegetatio*, *80*(2), 107-138.

- *Thryothorus nigricapillus*: I. Removal experiments. *Animal Behaviour*, 52, 1093-1106.
- 463 DOI: 10.1006/anbe.1996.0257
- 464 Levin, R. N. (1996b). Song behaviour and reproductive strategies in a duetting wren,
- *Thryothorus nigricapillus*: II Playback experiments. *Animal Behaviour*, 52, 1107-1117.
- 466 DOI: 10.1006/anbe.1996.0258
	- Logue, D. M., & Gammon, D. E. (2004). Duet song and sex roles during territory
	- defence in a tropical bird, the black-bellied wren, *Thryothorus fasciatoventris*. *Animal*
- 96.0258
mon, D. E. (2004). Duet song and sex roles
ird, the black-bellied wren, *Thryothorus fa.*
-731. DOI: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2003.10.026
3). The vocal repertoire of the ring-tailed l
gica, 61(4), 186-217.
, V., Finomana, *Behaviour*, 68(4), 721-731. DOI: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2003.10.026
	- 470 Macedonia, J. M. (1993). The vocal repertoire of the ring-tailed lemur (Lemur
	- 471 catta). *Folia primatologica*, *61*(4), 186-217.
- 472 Maretti, G., Sorrentino, V., Finomana, A., Gamba, M., & Giacoma, C. (2010). Not just
	- 473 a pretty song: an overview of the vocal repertoire of *Indri indri*. *Journal of*
	- *Anthropological Sciences*, 88, 151-165.
		- 475 Margoliash, D., Staicer, C. A., & Inoue, S. A. (1991). Stereotyped and plastic song in
	- 476 adult indigo buntings, *Passerina cyanea*. *Animal Behaviour*, 42, 367-388. DOI:
- 477 10.1016/S0003-3472(05)80036-3
	- 478 Marshall, J. T., & Marshall, E. R. (1976). Gibbons and their territorial songs. *Science*, 479 193, 235–237.

480 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P. R., O'hara, R. B., ...

$\overline{2}$ $\overline{3}$ $\overline{4}$ $\overline{7}$

Figure legend:

 Figure 1 : *Spectrographic and schematic representation of an indri song*. Spectrograms 543 of an indri song (a) showing a typical sequence of units given by one male and one 544 female. Schematic representation of the fundamental frequency of the descending 545 phrase units given by one male (b) and one female (c). Box fill patterns denote the 546 phrase type: black boxes mark single units (SU), horizontal lines boxes mark DP2, 547 diagonal lines boxes mark DP3, wavy lines boxes mark DP4, and checkered boxes mark 548 DP5. The spectrograms were generated in Praat with the following parameters: window 549 length: 0.05 s; dynamic range: 50 dB; frequency range: 0 to 10.000 Hz (a), 0 to 3.000 550 Hz (b,c).

s were generated in Praat with the followire

c range: 50 dB; frequency range: 0 to 10.0

tein Distances showing song structuring in

tein Distances showing song structuring in

coups. Individuals are shown on the vertica
 Figure 2: The Levenshtein Distances showing song structuring in male and female indris of the studied groups. Individuals are shown on the vertical axis; sexes are shown 553 on the horizontal axis. Dot size and color refer to the Levenshtein Distance: the darker 554 and bigger the dots, the higher are the distances between the individual contributions to 555 the song. This plot was generated using the R package *corrplot* (vers. 0.84; Wei & 556 Simko, 2017).

 Figure 3: The average Levenshtein Distance among sexes and individuals, in the eight studied groups. Bar plot describing the individual and overall degree of stereotypy and 559 variability expressed by the average Levenshtein Distances (LDs). Within-individual 560 LDs are reported for females (white bars) and males (black bars), as well as between-561 individual LDs (grey bars for females, striped bars for males). Group 3 is reported twice 562 because the male of the reproductive pairs changed in 2014. Capped lines represent 563 Standard Deviation.

Research Highlights

- This study demonstrated that male and female adult indris differed in the phrase organization of their songs.
- Male contributions to the song were overall more similar to those of other

males and more stereotyped than females' ones.

For Per Review

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{4}$ $\overline{7}$

Figure 1: Spectrographic and schematic representation of an indri song. Spectrograms of an indri song (a) showing a typical sequence of units given by one male and one female. Schematic representation of the fundamental frequency of the descending phrase units given by one male (b) and one female (c). Box fill patterns denote the phrase type: black boxes mark single units (SU), horizontal lines boxes mark DP2, diagonal lines boxes mark DP3, wavy lines boxes mark DP4, and checkered boxes mark DP5. The spectrograms were generated in Praat with the following parameters: window length: 0.05 s; dynamic range: 50 dB; frequency range: 0 to 10.000 Hz (a), 0 to 3.000 Hz (b,c).

 $\overline{7}$

Study groups

The Male within-individual average

The Male between-individual average

The Shiftein Distance among sexes and individuals, in the Shiftein individual LDS are reported for females (

Shiftein-individual LDS a Figure 3: The average Levenshtein Distance among sexes and individuals, in the eight studied groups. Bar plot describing the individual and overall degree of stereotypy and variability expressed by the average Levenshtein Distances (LDs). Within-individual LDs are reported for females (white bars) and males (black bars), as well as between-individual LDs (grey bars for females, striped bars for males). Group 3 is reported twice because the male of the reproductive pairs changed in 2014. Capped lines represent Standard Deviation.

Abstract

For the used for investigating differem

Prece similarity in acoustic displays. We

the song structuring of a singing primate

portane rain forests of Madagasear. Indri

Theoretic porton is a single units, and

primate (fr 37 Animal acoustic communication often takes the form of complex sequences, composed 38 of multiple distinct acoustic units, which can vary in their degree of stereotypy. **Studies** 39 of sequence variation may contribute to our understanding of the structural flexibility of 40 primates' songs, which can provide essential ecological and behavioral information about 41 variability at the individual, population, and specific level and provide insights into the 42 mechanisms and drivers responsible for the evolutionary change of communicative traits. 43 Several methods have been used for investigating different levels of structural 44 information and sequence similarity in acoustic displays. We studied intra and inter-45 individual variation in the song structuring of a singing primate, the indri (*Indri indri*), 46 which inhabits the montane rain forests of Madagasear. Indri groups emit duets and 47 choruses in which they combine long notes, short single units, and phrases consisting of 48 a variable number of units (from two to six) with slightly descending frequency. Males' 49 and females' contributions to the song differ in the temporal and frequency structure of 50 song units and repertoire size. We calculated the similarity of phrase organization across 51 different individual contributions using the Levenshtein distance, a logic distance that 52 expressed the minimum cost to convert a sequence into another and can measure 53 differences between two sequences of data. We then analyzed the degree of similarity 54 within and between individuals and found that: i) the phrase structure of songs varied 55 between reproductive males and females: **female structuring of the song showed a higher** 56 number of phrases if compared to males; ii) Male contributions to the song were overall 57 more similar to those of other males than were female contributions to the song of other 58 females; iii) male contributions were more stereotyped than female contributions, which 59 showed greater individual flexibility. The picture emerging from phrase combinatorics in

Introduction

uences (*Kershenbaum et al., 2016*). Altho
catenate vocal emissions in phrases when
Beckers & Bolhuis, 2011), their vocal s
s and individual identity (e.g., starlings (*St*
ns (*Tursiops truncatus*), and rock hyraxes
ces m Communication between conspecifics often involves the use of vocalizations because acoustic signals allow encoding a considerable amount of information in a short time (Bradbury & Vehrencamp, 2011). Animal vocal signals can be emitted in the form of short vocalizations or given in sequences of variable length (Catchpole & Slater, 2008). In addition to the well-known example of birdsong, other animals such as insects, amphibians, and mammals (including bats, rodents, primates, and cetaceans) also emit 87 complex acoustic sequences (Kershenbaum et al., 2016). Although animals showed a limited ability to concatenate vocal emissions in phrases when compared to humans (Berwick, Okanoya, Beckers & Bolhuis, 2011), their vocal sequences may contain information on species and individual identity (e.g., starlings (*Sturnus vulgaris*), wolves (*Canis lupus*), dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*), and rock hyraxes (*Procavia capensis*)). Animal vocal sequences may also encode information about external cues such as resource availability, e.g., food calls in chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*), or predator threats 94 in marmots (*Marmota* spp.: Kershenbaum et al., 2016).

95 The understanding of the role played by the acoustic sequences in a particular species' 96 repertoire often involves the comparison of sequences within and between individuals, as 97 well as within and between groups, so that it is possible to quantify the nature of the 98 variation and potentially correlate it to ecological and behavioral factors (Kershenbaum 99 et al., 2014).

100 So far, the studies of primate call organization focused on contact calls or alarm calls 101 (Clarke, Reichard & Zuberbühler, 2006) with scarce investigations of song structure 102 variation within contexts (Torti, Gamba, Rabemananjara & Giacoma, 2013). There is a 103 lack of information about whether primate males and females combine units in songs

ho & Bergman, 2016). While traditional m
ns, string metrics can be used to investigate
ective, and their results are verifiable (Hee
melin, 1788) represent a distinctive spec
se of their rich repertoire (Maretti, Sorrent
l 114 Indris (*Indri indri*, Gmelin, 1788) represent a distinctive species for studying vocal 115 communication because of their rich repertoire (Maretti, Sorrentino, Finomana, Gamba 116 & Giacoma, 2010; Valente et al., 2019) and the impressive loud songs, unique among 117 lemurs (Gamba et al., 2016; Torti et al., 2017), which can be heard at a distance up to 2 118 km (Pollock, 1986). Data on sound pressure levels revealed that the sound levels of the 119 indri's song reached 110 dB (estimated at 0.50 m; Torti, *pers. obs.*). This level can be 120 compared to ring-tailed lemurs (*Lemur catta*), which showed a call amplitude ranging 121 between 85 and 89 dB (at 1 m, Macedonia, 1993). The song of the indris, which lasts 40- 122 250 s, consists of a long series of modulated units, organized in phrases (Gamba, Favaro, 123 Torti, Sorrentino & Giacoma, 2011), uttered simultaneously by males and females, 124 including juveniles, of the same group (Maretti et al., 2010). These types of units are 125 emitted exclusively during the song (Valente et al., 2019).

126 Previous research showed that the indris can emit songs in different contexts and that the 127 song can elicit different behaviors depending on its acoustic structure. Songs given in

reflective members of a group, and advestored as a group and status of singing individuals). Onle contributions to the song differ, between
temporal structure of the units emitted temporal structure of the units emitted
i, 128 different contexts showed differences in their temporal structure that are distinguishable 129 by visual inspection of the spectrograms and by ear (Torti et al. 2013). Cohesion songs 130 were emitted when the individuals of a group were dispersed in their territory, while 131 advertisement songs were usually given when the animals of the same group were in 132 visual contact at the boundary of their territory (Torti et al., 2013). Cohesion songs were 133 followed by emitters traveling significantly further than following the advertisement 134 song, confirming the different functions of the song uttered in different contexts (i.e., 135 cohesion songs bring together the members of a group, and advertisement songs inform 136 neighbors about the sex, age, and status of singing individuals). Other studies have shown 137 that male and female contributions to the song differ, both quantitatively and 138 qualitatively, in the temporal structure of the units emitted (Giacoma, Sorrentino, 139 Rabarivola & Gamba, 2010; Sorrentino, Gamba & Giacoma, 2012). Vocal sexual 140 dimorphism is also present in the modulation of the frequency of vocal utterances, in the 141 duration of unit types and the rhythmic structure of a contribution (Gamba et al., 2016; 142 De Gregorio et al., 2018).

143 Since the indris' songs can be interpreted as a string of easily identifiable phrases (Gamba 144 et al., 2016), they represent an ideal case for the study of the variability of phrase 145 concatenation in primate songs. Among the methods for investigating different levels of 146 structural variation in acoustic displays, we chose the Levenshtein distance, which is a 147 quantitative method for measuring the similarity of sequences (hereafter LD; Margoliash, 148 Staicer & Inoue, 1991). The LD is a logical distance commonly used to quantify the 149 difference between two strings of data (e.g., human words, sequences of visual 150 movements or sequences of song themes; Gooskens & Heeringa, 2004). This technique 151 has often been used to measure similarity in human dialects (Wieling, Montemagni,

152 Nerbonne & Baayen, 2014), and it has been applied to animal vocal sequences, but for a 153 very limited number of species (Indigo bunting, *Passerina cyanea*, Margoliash et al., 154 1991; Willow warbler, *Phylloscopus trochilus*, Gil & Slater, 2000; Humpback whale, *Megaptera novaeangliae*: Helweg, Cato, Jenkins, Garrigue & McCauley, 1998; Tougaard 156 & Eriksen, 2006; Garland et al., 2012).

1 whip bird (*Psophodes olivaceus*), Rogers
996b). Females may use songs for mate a
even show a more elaborated song reperto
cown & Farabaugh, 1991). Like Eastern wl
nogamous (Torti et al., 2017; Bonadonna e
apping areas i 157 Although songs are often referred to as a male's prerogative, we have particular insights 158 showing that monogamous females may also use the song overlapping male song 159 functions (e.g., Eastern whip bird (*Psophodes olivaceus*), Rogers, Langmore, & Mulder, 160 2007; Levin, 1996a; 1996b). Females may use songs for mate attraction (Rogers et al., 161 2007), and they may even show a more elaborated song repertoire (Australian magpies 162 (*Gymnorhina tibice* Brown & Farabaugh, 1991). Like Eastern whip birds and Australian 163 magpies, indris are monogamous (Torti et al., 2017; Bonadonna et al., 2019), form groups 164 that occupy non-overlapping areas in the forest (Bonadonna et al., 2017), and use the 165 songs to inform neighboring groups about the occupation of a territory and to actively 166 defend resources during group encounters (Torti et al., 2013). Thus, we hypothesized that 167 the female contribution to the song would be structurally different from that of males.

168 Studies of song structure in bird duets also suggested that females' songs would be more 169 acoustically variable than that of males (Logue & Gammon, 2004), in line with the 170 territorial model of bird duet evolution (Farabaugh, 1982). In birds, duetting occurs most 171 commonly where birds hold year-round territories, and it is associated with sexually 172 monomorphic species that form long-term monogamous pair bonds (Riebel, Odom, 173 Langmore & Hall, 2019). Indri females showed significantly higher variation in the 174 rhythm of their contributions to the song and a higher potential to synchronize with males 175 (De Gregorio et al., 2018). Thus, we hypothesized that the adjustment in the rhythmic

176 structure of their contribution would also be reflected in a sexually dimorphic phrases 177 combination, where one should expect males to produce songs with a more stereotyped 178 structure. Similarly to pair living bird species (Rogers et al., 2007), indri females may use 179 songs for mate guarding and attraction, and song structural variability and complexity 180 may have evolved to provide conspecifics with information on females' fitness and 181 survival.

TO B

-
-

Methods

Observations and recordings

187 We studied 8 groups (N= 36 individuals) living in the Maromizaha Forest (18°56'49''S, 188 48°27'53''E). We recorded the animals between 2011 and 2017. We observed one social 189 group per week, from Monday to Friday approximately from 6 AM to 1 PM, when the 190 animals usually start resting and sleeping until the day after (Pollock, 1975). All 191 recordings were carried out without the use of playback stimuli, and nothing was done to 192 modify the behavior of the indris. We recorded 142 songs, consisting of duets and 193 choruses with a maximum of five individuals singing in the same song. For the analysis, 194 we only considered the contribution of the reproductive individuals, for a total of 17 focal 195 animals from eight social groups: nine reproductive adult males, and eight reproductive 196 adult females. An example of an indri song and the singers' contributions is shown in 197 Figure 1. The different number of males and females is motivated by the fact that, during 198 the study period, the reproductive male of a group changed. All the songs were recorded 199 using solid-state recorders (Olympus LS05, Tascam DR-100, Tascam DR-05) with a 200 sampling rate of 44.1 kHz (16-bit depth) during all the recording sessions. When 201 recording the songs, we were always at a distance between 2 and 20 m from the animals, 202 with the microphone oriented toward the focal singing individuals. We always kept visual 203 contact with the vocalizing animals and maximized our efforts to face the focal animals 204 during the emission of the song. Since indri songs emitted in different contexts have 205 different acoustic structure, in order to avoid any bias due to these differences, we 206 considered, from multiple years, only those songs that were labeled as advertisement 207 songs and were recorded in the same context (Torti et al., 2013). Using the focal animal 208 sampling technique (Altmann, 1974), we were able to attribute each vocalization to its 209 signaler. We will refer to every individual uttered portion within a song or a chorus as an 210 'individual contribution'.

ed in the same context (Torti et al., 2013).

Iltmann, 1974), we were able to attribute

to every individual uttered portion within

n'.

lid not have any physical contact with the antited songs. We have received permits 211 During this study, we did not have any physical contact with the animals, and we recorded 212 only spontaneously emitted songs. We have received permits for this research, each year, 213 from "Direction des Eaux et Forêts" and "Madagascar National Parks": 2011 - N° 214 274/11/MEF/SG/D GF/DCB.SAP/SCB, 2012 N°245/12/MEF/SG/DGF/DCB.SAP/SCB, 215 2014 - N°066/14/MEF/SG/DGF/DCB.SAP/SCB, 2015 - N° 180/ 15/ MEEMF/ SG/ DGF/ 216 DAPT/ SCBT; 2016 - N° 98/ 16/ MEEMF/ SG/ DGF/ DAPT/ SCB.Re and N° 217/ 217 16/MEEMF/ SG/ DGF/ DSAP/ SCB.Re, 2017 - 73/17/MEEF/SG/DGF/DSAP/SCB.RE. 218 The data collection in 2013 did not require a permit because performed by our Malagasy 219 collaborators only.

220 We adhered to applicable international, national, and/or institutional guidelines for the 221 study on animals and nonhuman primates, including the American Society of 222 Primatologist (ASP) Principle for the Ethical Treatment of nonhuman Primates, and the

223 European Union directive guidelines for the study on animals and nonhuman primates 224 (Directive 2010/63/EU). The study did not require IACUC approval.

Acoustic and statistical analyses

O dB. We saved each song in a single aud
format). We saved the information related
tgrid. We then labeled all the vocal un
ated part of the song; Thalmann, Geissman
ir belonging to a song portion (long notes
for details) a 226 We edited segments containing indri songs using Praat 6.0.30 (Boersma & Weenink 227 2008) and BORIS 5.1 (Friard & Gamba 2016). For each recorded song, we created a 228 spectrogram with a view range between 0 and 5000 Hz, a window length of 0.09 s, and a 229 dynamic range of 65.0 dB. We saved each song in a single audio file in WAV format 230 (Waveform audio file format). We saved the information related to the identity of each 231 singer in a Praat textgrid. We then labeled all the vocal units (each single sound 232 constituting the modulated part of the song; Thalmann, Geissmann, Simona & Mutschler, 233 1993) according to their belonging to a song portion (long notes or descending phrases, 234 see Torti et al., 2013 for details) and to a descending phrase (hereafter, DP; see Torti et 235 al., 2017 for details). Songs given in different contexts showed differences in their 236 temporal structure that are distinguishable by visual inspection of the spectrograms and 237 by ear (Torti et al. 2013). We considered phrases consisting of two (DP2), three (DP3), 238 four (DP4), five (DP5), and six (DP6) units. This information was saved in Praat and 239 exported to a Microsoft© Excel spreadsheet (Gamba, Friard & Giacoma, 2012). Since all 240 the steps of the labeling process have been done by a single operator (A.Z.), we avoided 241 the possibility of encountering errors due to observer differences. To understand whether 242 there were differences in song structure between sexes, we investigated the DPs similarity 243 in each contribution. We transformed each contribution in a string of labels separated by 244 a break symbol (e.g., DP2|DP3|DP4|DP3). The resulting string represents the 245 concatenation of the phrases uttered within a contribution (and it is a measure of phrase

e distance calculates the minimum numbe
and substitutions) to transform one string
squared matrix consisting of the distance
LDs and calculated within- and between-in
females and males differed in their degree
l tests (999 246 organization). From the 142 songs, we obtained 142 strings for females (with an average 247 of 17.88 songs per individual, $SD = 5.44$), and 119 strings for males (with an average of 248 13.22 songs per individual, $SD = 5.91$). Using R (R Core Team, 2015; version 3.3.3), we 249 calculated the Levenshtein distance (LD) for each pair of strings 250 (package *stringdist* 0.9.4.2 in R; van der Loo, 2014) because this methodology provides 251 a robust quantitative approach for the study of animal acoustic sequences (Kershenbaum 252 & Garland, 2015). The distance calculates the minimum number of necessary changes 253 (insertions, deletions, and substitutions) to transform one string into another (Kohonen, 254 1985). We obtained a squared matrix consisting of the distances between each pair of 255 strings, then averaged LDs and calculated within- and between-individual means (Fig. 2), 256 to investigate whether females and males differed in their degree of variation. For this 257 purpose, we ran Mantel tests (9999 randomizations) using a matrix featuring the average 258 individual means against a model matrix consisting of 0 when the corresponding 259 individuals were of the same sex (Krull et al., 2012), and 1 when they were opposite sexes 260 (package *vegan* in R; Oksanen et al., 2013). When investigating differences at the group 261 level or within-sex, we used the non-parametric paired samples Wilcoxon test to compare 262 the average individual LDs of each member of a pair or the within- versus between-263 individual LDs because, with such a small sample size, the Mantel test is not 264 recommended (Legendre & Fortin, 1989). Only for the Wilcoxon test, the group in which 265 the male changed was entered twice, considering the two pairs as different groups. We 266 obtained a lower number of male contributions because the reproductive females also 267 engaged in duets with immature male offspring $(N = 23)$.

Results

270 We analyzed 261 individual contributions consisting of a total of 2018 phrases. We 271 obtained 77 ± 21 (mean + standard deviation) phrases per male and 78 ± 23 phrases per 272 female. We found that average phrase duration was 1.285 s (range: 0.380 - 3.000 s). The 273 number of phrases in the individual song ranged between 2 and 27.

d higher average individual means than 1
2). In all groups, the females had higher LE
6 + 0.814), showing that female contributi
ilcoxon paired test: $V = 0$, $df = 7$; $P = 0.00$
which sang with three different males and
now 274 We found a significant difference between the LDs calculated for males and females, 275 where females showed higher average individual means than males (Mantel test: $r =$ 276 0.167, P = 0.002; Fig. 2). In all groups, the females had higher LDs (LD = $6.497 + 1.674$) 277 than males $(LD = 3.946 + 0.814)$, showing that female contribution to the song was less 278 stereotyped (Fig. 3, Wilcoxon paired test: $V = 0$, df = 7; P = 0.008). It is noticeable that 279 the individuals Eva, which sang with three different males and had a high number of 280 recordings $(N=39)$, showed remarkable differences compared to other females. Both 281 females and males showed a higher variability at **between-individuals** (LD_{females} = 7.386 282 $+ 0.709$, $LD_{males} = 4.885 + 0.325$ than within-individual level (Fig. 3), except for the 283 females of groups 4 and 8. Overall, we found a significant difference between within- and 284 between-individual LDs (Wilcoxon paired test: $V = 0$, df = 7; P = 0.008).

Discussion

286 We examined differences in the order of phrases emitted during the songs by reproductive 287 male and female indris living in the same population. We hypothesized that female 288 contributions to the song may function differently in phrase concatenation from those of 289 males. We found support for our predictions. The phrase structure of songs indeed 290 differed between males and females, and female contributions were less stereotyped than

ompared to males, independently of the ph
result is in line with previous studies on
ird species (Deputte, 1982; Savage, Sno
; Riebel et al., 2019; Levin, 1996a; 1996
, monomorphic species which holds yea
output are freque 291 those of males. The LDs showed that the between-individual stereotypy of male 292 contributions was higher than females' one. Males, therefore, appeared to produce songs 293 that are overall more similar to those of other males. In agreement with previous studies 294 that reported sexual dimorphism in the overall timing and repertoire size (Giacoma et al., 295 2010), and in the frequency modulation, duration and rhythm (Gamba et al., 2016; Torti 296 et al., 2017, De Gregorio et al., 2018), we found that male and female indris also differed 297 in the phrase organization of their songs. Female structuring of the song showed a higher 298 number of phrases if compared to males, independently of the phrases being of the same 299 or different type. This result is in line with previous studies on family-living and pair-300 bonded primate and bird species (Deputte, 1982; Savage, Snowdon, Giraldo & Soto, 301 1996; Snowdon, 2017; Riebel et al., 2019; Levin, 1996a; 1996b), endorsing that in a 302 socially monogamous, monomorphic species which holds year-round territories, sex 303 differences in vocal output are frequent (Marshall & Marshall, 1976). Furthermore, 304 despite the fact that songs are considered to be males' peculiar features (Cowlishaw, 305 1996), our results confirm that also monogamous females use songs and that female song 306 can be more elaborate than those of males. Female song phrase concatenation is more 307 complex than males' because even if males are playing the primary role in territorial 308 defense, females' role in territorial disputes can be essential. Female songs may be critical 309 for advertising their identity as well as resources holding potential. For instance, vocal 310 fights, in which females and males are singing together, are often sufficient to resolve 311 group encounters, reducing the occurrence of physical fights (Bonadonna et al., 2020). 312 Future studies may investigate whether female dispersal distance and territorial changes 313 over the years may contribute to a deeper understanding of this sex-dimorphic variation. 314 Expanding previous findings that showed how indri female contribution to the song was 315 more varied in the rhythm (De Gregorio et al., 2018), we showed that the combination 316 and repertoire of the phrases are also more extensive than those shown by males. Females 317 not only have a broader repertoire of units, but they also emit descending phrases that we 318 did not observe in males (e.g., descending phrases of six units). Considering those 319 previous findings, our results may suggest that the differences in song structuring could 320 be used to convey information about the sex and the status of the singers that can be 321 assessed at a distance by conspecifics.

vious findings on the different role of males
2010), we found that female song is pote
se results are in agreement with previous fi
confirming that in those species in which
r repertoires are as large or larger than thos
s 322 In agreement with previous findings on the different role of males and females during the 323 song (Giacoma et al., 2010), we found that female song is potentially more distinctive 324 than the male one. These results are in agreement with previous findings on birds (Brown 325 & Farabaugh, 1991), confirming that in those species in which females are involved in 326 territorial defense, their repertoires are as large or larger than those of males, on the level 327 of both units and phrases. Territorial defense is crucial for survival and reproduction in 328 pair-bonding species that occupy stable territories, and even if female involvement in 329 territorial defense is different from that of the reproductive male, they participate in 330 joining with their partner. An increasing body of literature (e.g., Hall, Rittenbach, & 331 Vehrencamp, 2015) supported the view that same-sex competition is the primary driver 332 of female song elaboration. It can be the case of the indris, where females may benefit 333 from multiple mating partners to increase tolerance by neighboring males (Bonadonna et 334 al., 2014). As mentioned above, females can advertise the occupancy of an area as well 335 as their quality and resource-holding potential. In support of the higher variability in 336 female song structure, there is also the recent evidence that genetic relatedness may play 337 a critical role in determining the characteristics of DPs in males, whereas it may have a 338 lesser impact on female songs (Torti et al., 2017). A more variable song structure may

339 add up to a more flexible structuring of the phrase notes, but further investigations are 340 needed.

341 This work also expands on and complements previous studies on humpback whales 342 (Helweg et al., 1998; Tougaard & Eriksen, 2006; Garland et al., 2012), showing that the 343 Levenshtein distance is simple, efficiently computable and highly applicable to any 344 behavioral data that are produced in a sequence. Our results confirmed that the 345 Levenshtein distance method is a simple but powerful technique that can be applied to 346 assess stereotypy or divergence between sexes.

Acknowledgements

vergence between sexes.

Superior Sexetion 349 We thank two anonymous Reviewers and the Editor for their careful reading of our 350 manuscript and their many insightful comments and suggestions. This research was 351 supported by Università degli Studi di Torino and by grants from the Parco Natura Viva— 352 Centro Tutela Specie Minacciate. We are grateful to GERP and Dr Jonah Ratsimbazafy. 353 We thank Dr, Cesare Avesani Zaborra and Dr Caterina Spiezio for helping us with the 354 organization of the field station in Maromizaha. We are grateful to the researchers and 355 the international guides, for their help and logistical support. We also thank San Diego 356 Zoo Global, LDVI, Dr Chia L. Tan.

-
-

References

- *Thryothorus nigricapillus*: I. Removal experiments. *Animal Behaviour*, 52, 1093-1106.
- 465 DOI: 10.1006/anbe.1996.0257
- 466 Levin, R. N. (1996b). Song behaviour and reproductive strategies in a duetting wren,
- *Thryothorus nigricapillus*: II Playback experiments. *Animal Behaviour*, 52, 1107-1117.
- 468 DOI: 10.1006/anbe.1996.0258
- 96.0258
mon, D. E. (2004). Duet song and sex roles
ird, the black-bellied wren, *Thryothorus fa.*
-731. DOI: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2003.10.026
3). The vocal repertoire of the ring-tailed l
gica, 61(4), 186-217.
, V., Finomana, Logue, D. M., & Gammon, D. E. (2004). Duet song and sex roles during territory
- defence in a tropical bird, the black-bellied wren, *Thryothorus fasciatoventris*. *Animal*
- *Behaviour*, 68(4), 721-731. DOI: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2003.10.026
- 472 Macedonia, J. M. (1993). The vocal repertoire of the ring-tailed lemur (Lemur
- 473 catta). *Folia primatologica*, *61*(4), 186-217.
- 474 Maretti, G., Sorrentino, V., Finomana, A., Gamba, M., & Giacoma, C. (2010). Not just
	- 475 a pretty song: an overview of the vocal repertoire of *Indri indri*. *Journal of*
- *Anthropological Sciences*, 88, 151-165.
	- 477 Margoliash, D., Staicer, C. A., & Inoue, S. A. (1991). Stereotyped and plastic song in
- 478 adult indigo buntings, *Passerina cyanea*. *Animal Behaviour*, 42, 367-388. DOI:
- 479 10.1016/S0003-3472(05)80036-3
	- 480 Marshall, J. T., & Marshall, E. R. (1976). Gibbons and their territorial songs. *Science*, 481 193, 235–237.

503 Snowdon, C. T. (2017). Vocal communication in family-living and pair-bonded

504 primates. In *Primate Hearing and Communication* (pp. 141-174). Springer, Cham.

505 Sorrentino, V., Gamba, M., & Giacoma, C. (2012). A quantitative description of the

506 vocal types emitted in the indri's song. *Leaping ahead: advances in prosimian biology*,

- 507 315-322. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4614-4511-1_35
- 508 Takahasi, M., Yamada, H., & Okanoya, K. (2010). Statistical and Prosodic Cues for

509 Song Segmentation Learning by Bengalese Finches (*Lonchura striata* var. *domestica*).

earning by Bengalese Finches (*Lonchura st*

19. DOI: 10.1111/j.1439-0310.2010.01772.

19. ann, T., Simona, A., & Mutschler, T. (199

19. theastern Madagascar. *International Jourr*

17-381.

Rabemananjara, Z. H., & Giacom *Ethology*, 116, 481–489. DOI: 10.1111/j.1439-0310.2010.01772.x

511 Thalmann, U., Geissmann, T., Simona, A., & Mutschler, T. (1993). The indris of

512 Anjanaharibe-Sud, northeastern Madagascar. *International Journal of*

Primatology, *14*(3), 357-381.

514 Torti, V., Gamba, M., Rabemananjara, Z. H., & Giacoma, C. (2013). The songs of the

515 indris (Mammalia: Primates: Indridae): contextual variation in the long-distance calls of

516 a lemur. *Italian Journal of Zoology*, 80, 596-607. DOI: 10.1080/11250003.2013.845261

517 Torti, V., Bonadonna, G., De Gregorio, C., Valente, D., Randrianarison, R. M., Friard,

518 O., … Giacoma, C. (2017). An intra-population analysis of the indris' song dissimilarity

519 in the light of genetic distance. *Scientific reports*, 7, 10140. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-

520 10656-9

521 Tougaard, J., & Eriksen, N. (2006) Analysing differences among animal songs

522 quantitatively by means of the Levenshtein distance measure. *Behaviour*, 143, 239-252.

523 DOI: 10.1163/156853906775900685

Figure legend:

 Figure 1: *Spectrographic and schematic representation of an indri song*. Spectrograms 545 of an indri song (a) showing a typical sequence of units given by one male and one 546 female. Schematic representation of the fundamental frequency of the descending 547 phrase units given by one male (b) and one female (c). Box fill patterns denote the 548 phrase type: black boxes mark single units (SU), horizontal lines boxes mark DP2, 549 diagonal lines boxes mark DP3, wavy lines boxes mark DP4, and checkered boxes mark 550 DP5. The spectrograms were generated in Praat with the following parameters: window 551 length: 0.05 s; dynamic range: 50 dB; frequency range: 0 to 10.000 Hz (a), 0 to 3.000 552 Hz (b,c).

s were generated in Praat with the followire

c range: 50 dB; frequency range: 0 to 10.0

tein Distances showing song structuring in

tein Distances showing song structuring in

coups. Individuals are shown on the vertica
 Figure 2: The Levenshtein Distances showing song structuring in male and female indris of the studied groups. Individuals are shown on the vertical axis; sexes are shown 555 on the horizontal axis. Dot size and color refer to the Levenshtein Distance: the darker 556 and bigger the dots, the higher are the distances between the individual contributions to 557 the song. This plot was generated using the R package *corrplot* (vers. 0.84; Wei & 558 Simko, 2017).

 Figure 3: The average Levenshtein Distance among sexes and individuals, in the eight studied groups. Bar plot describing the individual and overall degree of stereotypy and 561 variability expressed by the average Levenshtein Distances (LDs). Within-individual 562 LDs are reported for females (white bars) and males (black bars), as well as between-563 individual LDs (grey bars for females, striped bars for males). Group 3 is reported twice 564 because the male of the reproductive pairs changed in 2014. Capped lines represent 565 Standard Deviation.