



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

The use of red lentil flour in bakery products: How do particle size and substitution level affect rheological properties of wheat bread dough?

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1765247

since 2021-09-09T18:30:07Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.lwt.2020.110299

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

1	The use of red lentils flour in bakery products: how do particle size and substitution level affect
2	rheological properties of wheat bread dough?
3	
4	Mia Marchini ^a , Eleonora Carini ^a , Nicolò Cataldi ^a , Fatma Boukid ^{a,b} , Massimo Blandino ^c , Tommaso Ganino ^{a,d} ,
5	Elena Vittadini ^{a,e*} , Nicoletta Pellegrini ^{a,f}
6	
7	^a Department of Food and Drug, University of Parma, Parco Area delle Scienze, 47/A, 43124 Parma (PR),
8	Italy
9	^b Institute of Agriculture and Food Research and Technology (IRTA), Food Safety Programme, Food Industry
10	Area, Finca Camps i Armet s/n, 17121 Monells, Catalonia, Spain
11	^c Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences, University of Torino, Largo Braccini 2, 10095,
12	Grugliasco (TO), Italy;
13	^d Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Institute of BioEconomy (IBE), via Madonna del Piano, 10 - 50019 Sesto
14	Fiorentino (FI), Italy
15	^e School of Biosciences and Veterinary Medicine, University of Camerino, via Gentile da Varano III, 62032
16	Camerino (MC), Italy
17	^f Department of Agricultural, Food, Environmental and Animal Sciences, University of Udine, via Sondrio 2/A,
18	Udine (UD), 33100, Italy
19	
20	*Corresponding author:
21	Elena Vittadini, School of Biosciences and Veterinary Medicine, University of Camerino, via Gentile da
22	Varano III, 62032 Camerino (MC), Italy
23	
24	e-mail of each author:
25	mia.marchini@unipr.it
26	eleonora.carini@unipr.it
27	nicolo.cataldi@studenti.unipr.it
28	fatma.boukid@irta.cat

29	massimo.blandino@unito.it							
30	tommaso.ganino@unipr.it							
31	elenagiovanna.vittadini@unicam.it							
32	nicoletta.pellegrini@uniud.it							
33								
34								
35	Highlights							
36	• Physico-chemical properties of red lentil flour dimensional fractions were studied							
37	• Rheological behavior of lentil-wheat flours blends was ascertained with Mixolab.							
38	• Particle size affected physico-chemical properties of flours.							
39	• Substitution level was the dominant factor affecting dough rheology.							
40	• Coarse fraction has lower impact on dough rheology than finer fractions.							
41								

42 Abstract

43 Inclusion of pulses flour in bread formulation has important nutritional effects but its successful 44 implementation is challenging and requires a good understanding of the effect of flour functionality, 45 granulometry and substitution level on bread quality. Accordingly, this work studied red lentil flour and its 46 dimensional fractions (coarse, medium, fine, extra-fine), considering compositional, morphological, 47 functional, and thermal properties. Additionally, the effect of substituting wheat flour with lentil flour and its 48 fractions at different levels (0, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30% [w/w] flour basis) on dough rheology was studied using 49 a Mixolab device, to predict bread quality. Although flour's properties were significantly affected by particle 50 size, multivariate statistics suggested that the substitution level was the major factor affecting rheological 51 properties of doughs made with blends of wheat and lentil flours. A 10% substitution level of wheat flour by 52 lentil flour provides optimum rheological properties regardless of lentil flour particle size, while at higher 53 substitution level (15-30%), a coarse fraction can provide higher performance compared to unfractionated flour 54 and finer fractions. The results of this study pose an important base to intelligently develop wheat-lentil bread 55 applications in the future.

57 Keywords: bread dough, red lentils flour, particle size, Mixolab, physico-chemical properties.

58

59 Abbreviations

PS, particle size; SL, substitution level; red lentil flours – L: unfractionated, EFL: extra-fine; FL: fine;
ML: medium; CL: coarse; STD, common wheat flour Type 00; R/T, room temperature; WHC, water
holding capacity; OHC, oil holding capacity; S_p, swelling power; DSC, differential scanning
calorimeter; ΔH, enthalpy; T_{on}, onset temperature; T_p, peak temperature; T_{off}, offset temperature;
WA, water absorption; ANOVA, analysis of variance.

65

66 1. Introduction

Pulses are common to culinary traditions worldwide. As a source of carbohydrate, protein, dietary fiber, vitamins, minerals, and phytochemicals, they are important for human nutrition and health, especially among low-income populations (Foschia, Horstmann, Arendt & Zannini, 2017; Boukid, Zannini, Carini & Vittadini, 2019b, Bresciani & Marti, 2019). Beside their environmental sustainability, interest in adding pulses to food products is rising, since consumers are increasingly health- and environment-conscious (Malcolmson, Boux, Bellido & Frohlich, 2013; FAO 2019).

73 Pulse flour has been used frequently to nutritionally enhance food products, including bread, as a functional 74 ingredient, to partially substitute wheat flour (Borsuk, Arntfield, Lukow, Swallow & Malcolmson, 2012; 75 Foschia et al., 2017; Melini, Melini, Luziatelli, & Ruzzi, 2017; Sozer, Holopainen-Mantila & Poutanen, 2017; 76 Bresciani & Marti, 2019). Among pulses, lentils (Lens culinaris Medik.) are widely used in baking because of 77 their mild taste and protein functionality (Joshi, Timilsena & Adhikari, 2017). Notwithstanding its nutritional 78 benefits, use of pulse flour in breadmaking is hampered by unavoidably poorer finished products' quality 79 (Monnet, Laleg, Michon and Micard, 2019; Bresciani & Marti, 2019), which may depend on the level of 80 inclusion in the product formulation as well as its functional characteristics, e.g., granulometry.

Flour granulometry has recently gained much attention as a mean to modulate flour functionality and control nutrients bioaccessibility, in respect to the relationship between degree of grinding and preservation of cell structural integrity. Fine particle size is generally associated with more cell rupture and release of cell components, while larger flour granulometry assures better preservation of cell integrity that hinders the action 85 of digestive enzymes (Rovalino-Córdova, Fogliano, & Capuano, 2019; Boukid et al., 2019a, Pellegrini, 86 Vittadini, & Fogliano, 2020; Lin et al., 2020). More extensive milling (500 µm flour granulometry) was 87 associated to greater starch damage, lower water absorption capacity, and higher peak and final viscosities in 88 lentil flour compared to coarser fractions (790, 1000, 1270 µm; Bourré et al., 2019). A general increase in total 89 starch and a decrease in protein content, bulk density and oil holding capacity with the decrease in particle size 90 (210, 149, 105 and 74 µm) were found by comparing two lentil flours (Indian cv. L-4076 and Turkish cv. 91 Ciftci), while the pasting and thermal properties were dependent on flour particle size and cultivar (Ahmed, 92 Taher, Mulla, Al-Hazza, & Luciano, 2016). In bakery applications, the use of 500 µm lentil flour (20% wheat 93 flour substitution) was found to produce a firmer bread compared to the one made with coarser fractions (790, 94 1000, 1270 µm; Bourré et al., 2019), while fine lentil flour (~17 µm, 75% wheat flour substitution) was 95 reported to yield to a softer wheat-based pita bread if compared to a coarser flour (~190 µm; Borsuk et al., 96 2012). Furthermore, from a nutritional perspective, a positive association between the use of rich-in-intact-97 cells lentil flour fractions (>200 µm) and reduced in vitro starch digestibility of derivatives has been reported 98 (Kathirvel, Yamazaki, Zhu, & Luhovyy, 2019).

To the authors' best knowledge, no reports are available in the literature on the effect of lentil flour substitution on wheat bread dough rheology, a basic knowledge that can greatly help predicting, improving, and understanding the bread making process. Consequently, the objective of the present study was to evaluate the effect of particle size (PS) on compositional, functional, and thermal properties of red lentils flour compared to common wheat flour, and to investigate the impact of PS and substitution level (SL) on wheat dough rheology using a Mixolab device to predict the product quality in the baking process.

105

106 **2.** Materials and methods

107 **2.1 Raw materials**

108 Unfractionated red lentil flour (L) was kindly provided by Molino Martino Rossi SpA (Gadesco Pieve
109 Delmona, Italy), and was produced by subjecting dehulled red lentils to roller milling.

110 Common wheat flour Type 00 [ashes \leq 0.55 dry basis (d. b.); protein \geq 9% d.b., moisture \leq 14.5% w.b.; Italian

111 legislation - Presidential Decree 187/2001] with an alveographic baking strength (W) of 376 10-4 J and a curve

112 configuration ratio (P/L) of 0.62 (Molino Agugiaro & Figna, Collecchio, PR, Italy) was used as a control113 (STD).

114 **2.2 Flour fractionation**

- 115 Lentil flour was fractionated using a Giuliani Tecnologie Sieve (IG-GLOBE 300rpm). In brief, 100g flour was
- sieved for 40min through certified 22-mesh (200 μm), 23-mesh (160 μm), and 25-mesh (100 μm) test sieves
- 117 (Giuliani Tecnologie, Italy). Wheat flour granulometry was also checked using the same method.
- 118 The resulting lentil flour fractions were extra-fine (EFL, <100 μm), fine (FL, 100-160 μm), medium (ML,
- 119 160-200 μm), and coarse (CL, >200 μm).
- 120 **2.3 Physicochemical characterization of flours**
- 121 **2.3.1 Proximate composition**

All flour samples were analyzed for total protein (%N x 5.70, AACCI method 46-12.01), lipid (%, AACCI Method 30-25.01), and ash (%, AACCI method 08-01.01) contents. Dry matter was determined by oven drying for 1 h to constant weight at 130°C (adapted from AACCI method 44-15.02). Percentages of carbohydrate were determined by difference, and compositional data expressed as % (g/100 g) of dry matter. Analyses were performed in duplicate and results were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation.

127

2.3.2 Water holding capacity (WHC) and oil holding capacity (OHC)

WHC and OHC were determined as described by Nguyen, Mounir, & Allaf (2015), with modifications. Briefly, 100.0 ± 0.5 mg of flour were mixed with 1.0 mL of distilled water (WHC) or sunflower oil (OHC), shaken with a vortex for 30 s, then left for 30min at R/T. Mixtures were centrifuged at 2061g for 20 min (Eppendorf 5810 R, Germany), and the supernatant decanted. WHC and OHC were calculated as the ratio between grams of water or oil retained per gram of solid. Results were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation of three replicates.

134

2.3.3 Swelling power (S_p)

135 S_p was measured using Yadav, Yadav & Dhull's method (2012), with modifications. Suspensions (2% w/v) 136 were heated in a water bath at selected temperature (60, 70, 80 and 90 °C) for 1 h and cooled at 30°C for 30 137 min. Samples were then centrifuged at 8243 g for 20 min and the weight of the resulting pellet was determined. 138 S_p was calculated as the ratio between sediment and fresh sample weights. Values were reported as mean \pm 139 standard deviation of three replicates.

140 **2.4 Thermal properties**

141 Thermal properties were measured using a differential scanning calorimeter (DSC, Q100 TA Instruments, 142 USA), calibrated with indium (melting point: 156.6 °C, melting enthalpy: 28.71 J/g) and mercury (melting 143 point: -38.83 °C, melting enthalpy: 11.44 J/g). Distilled water was added to the flour in a 3:1 ratio and left to 144 equilibrate overnight at R/T. Samples were prepared placing 5-10 mg of water-flour suspension in stainless 145 steel pans (Perkin Elmer, USA) hermetically sealed, quench-cooled to 30° C, then heated to 100 °C at 5 146 °C/min, using an empty pan as reference. Enthalpy (Δ H, J/g), onset (T_{on}, °C), peak (T_p), and offset (T_{off}, °C) 147 transition temperatures were obtained from heat flow curves using Universal Analysis Software, Version 4.5A 148 (TA Instruments, USA). Data were expressed as three replicate averages for each flour sample.

149 **2.5 Optical microscopy**

The size and distribution of single or grouped cells in lentils fraction were examined by optical microscopy (DM 4000B, Leica, Germany). Flour particles on a slide under a coverslip were stained with toluidine blue (0.1%). Three slides were analyzed for each flour. Multiple images of cells (5) and cell agglomerates (15) were observed at a magnification of $20 \times$ and $5 \times$ respectively and photographed (Leica DMC2900, Germany). Cell aggregate areas were measured using Leica Imaging software (IM50 Version 4.1).

155 **2.6 Rheology**

The impact of lentil flour PS and SL on the rheological properties of wheat-flour-based dough was studied using a Mixolab (Chopin, Tripette et Renaud, France). STD was enriched with L or its fractions (CL, ML, FL, EFL) at levels of 0, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30% (w/w), resulting in 27 blends analyzed in duplicate. AACC 54-60.01 and Chopin+ protocol (Table 1) explored the rheological behavior of 75 g dough under mixing and temperature stress.

Water absorption (WA, %) was calculated using Mixolab software. Other parameters from Mixolab curves included (Table 1): initial target consistency C1 (Nm) used to determine WA; torque at the end of the holding time at 30°C (C1.2, Nm) to determine mechanical weakening; minimum torque C2 (Nm), to measure protein weakening based on mechanical work and temperature; peak torque C3 (Nm) associated with starch gelatinization; stability of hot-formed gel C4 (Nm); final torque C5 (Nm) measured after cooling at 50°C, showing starch retrogradation. Temperatures (Tp, °C) and time (min) upon the appearance of different types 167 of torque were also recorded. In addition, stability (resistance to kneading) and amplitude (elasticity) were 168 measured as software outputs. Analyses were in duplicate.

169 **2.7 Statistical analyses**

One-way ANOVA and Duncan's post-hoc test were performed to determine the effect of particle size on
physicochemical and rheological properties. Two-way ANOVA was used to determine the impact of PS and
SL on dough rheology. All statistical analyses were performed at 0.05 significance level using SPSS Statistical
Software (Version 25.0, IBM SPSS Inc., USA).

174 **3.** Results and discussion

175 **3.1 Characterization of lentil flour and its fractions**

176 Particle mass distribution (%) of STD, L and L fractions are reported in Table 2, and indicate that lentil flour 177 contained a higher amount of larger particles as compared to STD. STD had significantly higher carbohydrates 178 $(74.72 \pm 0.32 \%)$ and moisture content $(10.78 \pm 0.03\%)$, but lower protein $(12.62 \pm 0.37\%)$ and ash $(0.33 \pm 0.03\%)$ 179 0.01%) than L and its fractions (Table 2), as expected (Boukid et al, 2019b). STD fat content $(1.54 \pm 0.01\%)$ 180 was similar to CL. Proximate composition of all lentil flours are in concordance with the findings of Hall, 181 Hillen & Garden Robinson (2017). Among the different fractions, CL showed significantly lower protein and 182 higher carbohydrate content. Fat and protein content were inversely related to PS, while carbohydrate and 183 moisture content decreased slightly with PS decrease. Ash content decreased with PS reduction, conceivably 184 due to mineral association with starch granules of CL fractions, as postulated by Shafi, Baba & Masoodi 185 (2017).

186 **3.2 Optical microscopy**

Morphology of lentil flour fractions components (cell aggregates, cells, starch granules) was observed under optical microscopy (Fig. 1). Lentil starch granules were elliptical to round, with a central elongated or starred hilum (Fig. 1) in concordance with previous observations (Joshi et al., 2017). Fig. 1 shows fraction cells in different-sized aggregates depending on PS. Average cell aggregate areas decreased significantly with decreasing flour PS, as previously reported (Boukid et al., 2019a). Specifically, cell aggregate areas decreased as follows: CL (\approx 144,000 µm²) > ML (\approx 90,000µm²) > FL (\approx 50,000µm²) > EFL (\approx 7,000µm²). Cell aggregates prevalently consisted of intact rather than fractured cells in CL (Figs. 1a and 1b), both intact

and fractured cells in ML (Figs. 1c and 1d), free starch granules and cell wall fragments in FL (Figs. 1e and

195 1f), prevalently free starch granules and fragmented cell walls in EFL (Figs. 1g and 1h). This is particularly 196 significant because of the relationship between the structural attributes of flour and the response of its 197 constituents to processing (shear, temperature, and time) and the functional and nutritional properties of the 198 dough and final product (Boukid et al., 2019a, Pellegrini et al., 2020).

199

3.3 Water holding capacity, oil holding capacity, and swelling power

WHC defines ability to hold water against gravity and expresses the water absorbed per gram of sample under
experimental conditions (Jarpa-Parra, 2018). WHC is an important functionality in breadmaking, since high
WHC means high water incorporation in dough, improving the bread's technological properties (Ma et al.,
201
2011).

204 STD showed WHC (1.02 g/g, Table 3) within the range previously identified for wheat gluten (Wang, Zhao, 205 Yang, Jiang, 2006). WHC for L flours ranged between 1.18g/g and 1.85g/g (Table 3), concordantly with 206 previous studies with WHC ranging from 0.6 to 2.7g/g for pulse proteins (L'Hocine, Boye & Arcand, 2006; 207 Lee, Htoon, Uthayakumaran & Paterson, 2007; Boye, Zare & Pletch, 2010). For L samples, the highest WHC 208 were in L, CL, and ML, while FL was significantly lower (1.50 g/g) as was EFL (1.18 g/g). The WHC decrease 209 for finest particles may indicate lower amounts of soluble fiber, and/or increased starch damage during milling, 210 and/or low starch content (Robertson et al., 2000; Aguilera, Esteban, Benitez, Molla, & Martin-Cabrejas, 2009; 211 Luhovyy, Hamilton, Kathirvel & Mustafaalsaafin, 2017; Lin et al., 2020).

OHC is an important property in bakery products when fat absorption is desirable for flavor retention, palatability, and shelf-life extension (Adebowale & Lawal, 2004). Regarding OHC (Table 3), no significant differences were found between wheat and lentil flours, except for CL which had a lower OHC. This may be explained by its protein content and, therefore, lower lipophilic tendency (Walde, Tummala, Lakshminarayan & Balaraman, 2005; Bolade, Adeyemi & Ogunsua, 2009).

S_p defines the water absorbed and trapped in the gel network created by starch granule hydrogen bonds during heating and stirring in excess of water (Li et al., 2014). At low temperatures, thermal energy swells starch granules without disruptions; greater thermal energy with temperature increases induces crystalline structure breakdown and increased S_p (Li et al., 2014). In all samples, S_p increased with rising temperature until 80 °C, not changing further at higher temperatures consistently with previous studies on lentil and other pulse flours (Chung, Liu, Donner, Hoover, Warkentin, & Vandenberg, 2008; Boukid et al., 2019a). Among samples, STD showed a greater S_p increase with rising temperatures, reaching values notably higher than those of L and its fractions at 90 °C. Overall, despite higher free amylose content and lower lipid-amylose complexes in pulses compared to cereals, S_p is lower in pulses than in cereals. Wani, Sogi, Hamdani, Gani, Bhat, & Shah, (2016) related this behavior to a greater degree of amylose and amylopectin interactions which, in turn, prevent starch molecules from releasing amylose during melting. Overall, S_p depends on several factors, e.g., starch and cultivar sources, amylose/amylopectin ratio, size, morphology and ultrastructure of starch granules and cell wall intactness, temperature, and pH (Wani et al., 2016; Boukid et al., 2019a).

230 Considering PS, S_p of lower PS fractions (ML, FL, EFL) was significantly higher than the whole and coarser 231 fractions. The presence of fractured cells and free starch granules in ML, FL, and EFL, as discussed in the 232 optical microscopy section, may explain the higher S_p .

3.4 Thermal properties

234 Table 4 summarized thermal properties of the studied flours, while Fig. 2 illustrated representative DSC 235 thermograms of STD, L, and its fractions. Wheat flour showed a unique thermal transition at 53 - 75 °C related 236 to starch gelatinization. Instead, two endothermic peaks were evident for L flour and its fractions (Fig. 2). The 237 first peak (55 - 80 °C) was attributed to starch gelatinization, while the 80 - 96 °C transition was previously 238 related to amylose-lipid complexes melting or protein denaturation (Chung et al., 2008; Barbana & Boye, 239 2013; Zeng, Gao & Li, 2014; Ahmed et al., 2016). The starch gelatinization peak shifted to higher temperatures 240 in L than in STD, suggesting higher energy to initiate starch gelatinization in lentil flours. The different 241 gelatinization properties of cereals vs. pulses are likely attributable to several factors such as crystallinity, 242 starch granule size, intermolecular bonding, and others (Ai & Jane, 2018). Moreover, DSC thermograms 243 showed the gelatinization event starting with a minor peak in L samples, indicating that, although the majority 244 of lentil flour starch gelatinizes at higher temperature than STD, a small fraction of starch has a tendency to 245 gelatinize at a lower temperature.

Considering gelatinization peaks in L samples, CL showed the lowest T_{on} (\approx 55 °C) among all the samples which were comparable (\approx 57 °C), whereas T_p was lowest in L (\approx 69 °C) and highest in EFL (\approx 70 °C). T_{off} occurred at 79-81 °C in all L flours. Gelatinization enthalpy of STD (\approx 2.00 J g-1) and lentil flours was significantly different only in L (\approx 1.50 J g-1) and FL (\approx 1.40 J g-1). Thermal parameters of the second

endothermic peak (T_{on} , T_p , T_{off} and ΔH) were not significantly different as a function of lentil flour PS (Table

4). Overall, PS did not affect lentil flour endothermic events, as observed by Boukid et al. (2019a).

3.5 Rheology

To deem lentil flours suitable for breadmaking, composite wheat/lentil flour blends at different SLs were formulated, and dough rheology measured. The Mixolab protocol used (Table 1) simulated the breadmaking process and explored dough's thermo-mechanical behavior under mixing and temperature stress. Additionally, Mixolab data provide information on protein quality (strength), starch behavior (gelatinization, stability and retrogradation) during heating and cooling, enzymatic activity, and their combined effects (Dubat, 2010; AACC 54-60.01).

Table 5 shows the effect of PS, SL, and their interactions (PS x SL) on each Mixolab parameter using 2-way ANOVA. Based on statistical analyses (F significance level and sum square percent of factors studied), PS did not significantly affect C1_t (maximum torque at 30°C) nor the time to attain C2, C3, C4 and C5. In contrast, PS significantly ($P \le 0.05$) affected most torque [C1.2 (Nm, 5.07%), C2 (Nm, 8.84%); C3 (Nm, 10.97%); C5 (Nm, 5.62%)], but showed no significant effect on torque temperature and amplitude. Moreover, PS effects on stability (4.47%) and WA (0.95%) were low.

265 Investigating further using 2-way ANOVA, the results showed that almost all Mixolab parameters were 266 controlled by SL, which had the highest influence on torque times [C1 t (96.71%); C2 t (96.58%); C3 t 267 (53.86%); C4 t (52.28%); C5 t (28.40%)], torque [C1.2 (91.28%); C2 (83.29%); C3 (53.28%); C4 (72.58%); 268 C5 (68.62%)], and above all torque temperature [C1 (34.14%); C2 (89.12%); C5 (44.44%)]. Similarly, SL 269 greatly influenced the doughs' elasticity (77.66%), stability, (93.93%) and water absorption (97.99%) of the 270 doughs. Considering PS and SL simultaneously, a smaller synergic contribution was found in the Mixolab 271 data, compared to the two factors taken independently. Multivariate analyses confirmed PS and SL interactions 272 which significantly ($P \le 0.05$) affected C3 t (37.29%), torque values except for C4 [C1.2 (Nm, 3.65%); C2 273 (Nm, 7.87%); C3 (Nm, 35.75%); C5 (Nm, 26.76%)], stability (1.60%) and WA (1.06%), with a modest effect 274 on C3, C3 t and C5.

Such findings suggest that SL was the predominant factor affecting the dough's entire rheological and thermomechanical behavior when analyzed with the Mixolab to predict baking quality. These results can also be observed in Mixolab curves of L samples (Fig. 3a): the higher the SL, the greater the variance from the STD 278 curve, especially in the part referring to protein characteristics (i.e. stability during kneading and the protein 279 weakening illustrated in Table 1). In fact, as per Table S1, increasing L level addition caused a significant (P 280 \leq 0.001) increase in WA, reduction in C1.2 and C2 torques and dough stability, and delayed protein weakening 281 (C2 t increases with SL increase). Since this curve concerns a protein weakening due to kneading and 282 temperature effects, reduction in these parameters with an SL increase indicates worsening of wheat protein 283 functionality in breadmaking. Additionally, an increased SL significantly ($P \le 0.001$) worsened the pasting 284 consistency of the dough (C3 decrease with SL increase), which may be related to the lower S_p of pulses than 285 cereals, as above.

Flour samples at 10% SL (Figs. 3b and 3c) were more aligned to the STD curve than those at 30%. Addition of lentil flour at 10% SL significantly ($P \le 0.05$) influenced C1_t, C1.2 and C5 parameters (Table 1) and WA, while none of the remaining parameters were significantly different from those of STD (Table S2). These observations indicated that STD dough enriched with 10% lentil flour can provide a nutritional benefit (e.g. the use of L flour results in a 9% and 64% increase in protein and ash contents, respectively) without altering the rheological profile of the dough at any PS.

Predictably, the effect of adding lentil flour (whole or fractionated) became more significant with increased SLs. Indeed, besides the aforementioned parameters, a progressive significant ($P \le 0.05$) reduction in C2, C3 and stability was observed with 15% SL (Tables S3-S6). At the highest SL, the Mixolab curves were virtually halved compared to STD (Figure 3c), with almost all torques, times and temperatures significantly ($P \le 0.05$) affected by SL.

As reported previously (Erukainure et al., 2016; Dabija, Codiná & Fradinho, 2017), increasing lentil flour SL causes dough weakening, disruption of protein-starch complexes, and alteration of starch gelatinization, amylase activity, and retrogradation processes, implying worse dough handling and baking properties. Indeed, dough weakening as a consequence of pulse flour content is attributable to a decrease in wheat gluten proteins and various components vying for water such as non-gluten proteins and fiber (Hallén İbanoğlu & Ainsworth 2004; Rosell, Marco, García-Alvárez, & Salazar, 2011).

303 Interestingly, at SL \geq 15%, the effect on the dough's rheology was dependent on PS. The use of CL caused a 304 significantly (P \leq 0.001) lower deterioration in dough rheology than that caused by the finest particles (FL and 305 EFL). Indeed, at any SL, almost all Mixolab parameters for CL doughs resulted closer to the STD curve than
 306 those recorded with FL and EFL flours, especially those related to the flours' protein quality (Table 1).

307 Moreover, focusing on the three stages governed by modification of the physicochemical properties of starch

308 (Table 1), it can be seen that, at any SL, lentil flour addition significantly ($P \le 0.001$) affected gelatinization

309 and retrogradation (decrease in C3 and C5 compared to STD) without showing a trend as a function of PS.

310 Considering the contribution of starch retrogradation on bread staling phenomena, a reduction in C5 and its

311 variability as a function of SL x PS may suggest potential shelf-life improvements in finished bakery products

312 compared to STD, due to lower staling rates during storage (Erukainure, Okafor, Ogunji, Ukazu, Okafor, &

313 Eboagwu, 2016; Dabija, Codiná, & Fradinho, 2017).

314 4. Conclusions

This study explored the effect of PS on the compositional, functional, morphological, and thermal properties of whole red lentil flour. In addition, the impact of incorporating lentil flour PS and SL on the rheological properties of wheat-flour-based dough was investigated to predict dough quality in baking.

318 Fractionation significantly affected the WHC, OHC and S_p of whole red lentil flour, while microscopy 319 confirmed associations between PS and cell intactness. However, multivariate statistics suggest that these 320 factors only slightly affect the rheology of wheat-based dough enriched with lentil flour of different PS, 321 demonstrating that the major factor affecting the rheology is SL.

Besides the nutritional benefit derived by the enrichment in protein and ash contents at any SL, lentil/wheatflour blends up to 10% SL provide the best properties in baking at any PS, while at higher SLs, a general worsening effect on dough rheology may occur, which resulted also dependent upon flour PS. Indeed, with a rheological profile closer to STD, especially in stages governed by protein characteristics, coarser fractions $(>200 \ \mu m)$ can yield higher performance than unfractionated flour and finer fractions.

327 These findings advocate the use of lentil flour with a PS $\sim 200 \,\mu\text{m}$ for breadmaking, although further studies 328 are needed to confirm the effect of PS and SL on the quality of bread made from lentil/wheat flour blends, 329 especially in the case of high substitution level.

330

331 Formatting of funding sources

332	This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-
333	profit sectors.
334	
335	Declaration of Competing Interest
336	The authors declared that there is no conflict of interest.
337	
338	Acknowledgments
339	The authors thank Molino Martino Rossi SpA (Gadesco Pieve Delmona, CR, Italy) and Molino Agugiaro &
340	Figna (Collecchio, PR, Italy) for donating lentil and wheat flours used in the study.
341	
342	References
343	AACC International. Approved methods of analysis, 11th ed. AACC International. AACC International
344	Inc, St. Paul.
345	Adebowale, K.O., & Lawal, O.S. (2004). Comparative study of the functional properties of Bambara
346	groundnut (Voandzeia subterranean), jack bean (Canavalia ensiformis) and mucuna bean (Mucuna
347	pruriens) flours. Food Research International, 37(4), 355-365.
348	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2004.01.009
349	Aguilera, Y., Esteban, R.M., Benitez, V., Molla, E., & Martin-Cabrejas, M.A. (2009). Starch, functional
350	properties, and microstructural characteristics in chickpea and lentil as affected by thermal processing.
351	Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 57(22), 10,682–10,688.
352	https://doi.org/10.1021/jf902042r
353	Ahmed, J., Taher, A., Mulla, M.Z., Al-Hazza, A., & Luciano, G. (2016). Effect of sieve particle size on
354	functional, thermal, rheological, and pasting properties of Indian and Turkish lentil flour. Journal of
355	Food Engineering, 186, 34-41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2016.04.008
356	Ai, Y., & Jane, J.L. (2018). Understanding starch structure and functionality. In M. Sjöö, & L. Nilsson
357	(Eds.), Starch in Food (pp. 151-178). Woodhead Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-
358	100868-3.00003-2

- Barbana, C., & Boye, J. I. (2013). *In vitro* protein digestibility and physicochemical properties of flours
 and protein concentrates from two varieties of lentil (*Lens culinaris*). *Food & function*, 4(2), 310-321.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/C2FO30204G
- Bolade, M.K., Adeyemi, I.A., & Ogunsua, A.O. (2009). Influence of particle size fractions on the
 physicochemical properties of maize flour and textural characteristics of a maize-based nonfermented
- food gel. International Journal of Food Science and Technology, 44, 646-655.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2008.01903.x
- Borsuk, Y., Arntfield, S., Lukow, O.M., Swallow, K., & Malcolmson, L. (2012). Incorporation of pulse
 flours of different particle size in relation to pita bread quality. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 92(10), 2055-2061. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.5581
- Boukid, F., Vittadini, E., Lusuardi, F., Ganino, T., Carini, E., Morreale, F., & Pellegrini, N. (2019) a. Does
 cell wall integrity in legumes flours modulate physiochemical quality and in vitro starch hydrolysis of
 gluten-free bread? *Journal of Functional Foods*, 59, 110-118.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2019.05.034
- Boukid, F., Zannini, E., Carini, E., & Vittadini, E. (2019) b. Pulses for bread fortification: a necessity or a
 choice? *Trends in Food Science & Technology*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.04.007
- Bourré, L., Frohlich, P., Young, G., Borsuk, Y., Sopiwnyk, E., Sarkar, A., ... & Malcolmson, L. (2019).
 Influence of particle size on flour and baking properties of yellow pea, navy bean, and red lentil flours. *Cereal Chemistry*, 96(4), 655-667. https://doi.org/10.1002/cche.10161
- 378 Boye, J., Zare, F. & Pletch, A. (2010). Pulse proteins: processing, characterization, functional properties 379 feed. Food Research and applications in food and International, 43. 414-431. 380 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2009.09.003
- Bresciani, A., & Marti, A. (2019). Using pulses in baked products: Lights, shadows, and potential
 solutions. *Foods*, 8(10), 451. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods8100451
- Chung, H.J., Liu, Q., Donner, E., Hoover, R., Warkentin, T.D., & Vandenberg, B. (2008). Composition,
 molecular structure, properties, and in vitro digestibility of starches from newly released Canadian
 pulse cultivars. *Cereal Chemistry*, 85(4), 471-479. https://doi.org/10.1094/CCHEM-85-4-0471

- 386 Dabija, A., Codiná, G.G., & Fradinho, P. (2017). Effect of yellow pea flour addition on wheat flour dough
 387 and bread quality. *Romanian Biotechnological Letters*, 22(5), 12888. https://hrcak.srce.hr/47362
- 388 Dubat, A. 2010. A new AACC International approved method to measure rheological properties of a dough
 389 sample. *Cereal Foods World*, 55, 150-153. https://doi.org/10.1094/CFW-55-3-0150
- Erukainure, O.L., Okafor, J.N., Ogunji, A., Ukazu, H., Okafor, E.N., & Eboagwu, I. L. (2016). Bambara–
 wheat composite flour: rheological behavior of dough and functionality in bread. *Food science & nutrition*, 4(6), 852-857. https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.356
- FAO. 2016. Climate change and food security: risks and responses. Rome, UN Food and Agriculture
 Organization. http://www.fao.org/3/a-i5188e.pdf
- Foschia, M., Horstmann, S.W., Arendt, E.K., & Zannini, E. (2017). Legumes as functional ingredients in
 gluten-free bakery and pasta products. *Annual review of food science and technology*, 8, 75-96.
 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-food-030216-030045
- Hall, C., Hillen, C., & Garden Robinson, J. (2017). Composition, nutritional value, and health benefits of
 pulses. *Cereal chemistry*, 94(1), 11-31. https://doi.org/10.1094/CCHEM-03-16-0069-FI
- Hallén, E., İbanoğlu, Ş., & Ainsworth, P. (2004). Effect of fermented/germinated cowpea flour addition
 on the rheological and baking properties of wheat flour. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 63(2), 177-184.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0260-8774(03)00298-X
- Jarpa-Parra, M. (2018). Lentil protein: a review of functional properties and food application. An overview
 of lentil protein functionality. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 53(4), 892-903.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.13685
- Joshi, M., Timilsena, Y., & Adhikari, B. (2017). Global production, processing and utilization of lentil: A
 review. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 16(12), 2898-2913. https://doi.org/10.1016/S20953119(17)61793-3
- Kathirvel, P., Yamazaki, Y., Zhu, W., & Luhovyy, B.L. (2019). Glucose release from lentil flours digested
 in vitro: The role of particle size. *Cereal Chemistry*, 96(6), 1126-1136.
- 411 https://doi.org/10.1002/cche.10223

- L'Hocine, L., Boye, J.I., & Arcand, Y. (2006). Composition and functional properties of soy protein
 isolates prepared using alternative defatting and extraction procedures. *Journal of Food Science*, 71(3),
 137–145. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2006.tb15609.x
- Lee HC, Htoon AK, Uthayakumaran S, Paterson J.L. (2007). Chemical and functional quality of protein
 isolated from alkaline extraction of Australian lentil cultivars: Matilda and Digger. *Food Chemistry*,
- 417 102 (4), 1199–1207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.07.008
- Li, W., Xiao, X., Guo, S., Ouyang, S., Luo, Q., Zheng, J., & Zhang, G. (2014). Proximate composition of
 triangular pea, white pea, spotted colored pea, and small white kidney bean and their starch properties. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, 7(4), 1078-1087. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-013-1128-2
- Lin, S., Gao, J., Jin, X., Wang, Y., Dong, Z., Ying, J., & Zhou, W. (2020). Whole-wheat flour particle size
 influences dough properties, bread structure and in vitro starch digestibility. *Food & Function*, 11(4),
 3610-3620. https://doi.org/10.1039/C9FO02587A
- Luhovyy, B. L., Hamilton, A., Kathirvel, P., & Mustafaalsaafin, H. (2017). The effect of navy bean flour
 particle size on carbohydrate digestion rate measured in vitro. *Cereal Foods World*, 62(5), 208-213.
- Ma, Z., Boye, J.I., Simpson, B.K., Prasher, S.O., Monpetit, D. & Malcolmson, L. (2011). Thermal
 processing effects on the functional properties and microstructure of lentil, chickpea, and pea flours. *Food Research International*, 44, 2534–2544. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2010.12.017
- Malcolmson, L., Boux, G., Bellido, A.S., & Frohlich, P. (2013). Use of pulse ingredients to develop
 healthier baked products. *Cereal Foods World*, 58(1), 27-32. https://doi.org/10.1094/CFW-58-1-0027
- Melini, F., Melini, V., Luziatelli, F., & Ruzzi, M. (2017). Current and Forward-Looking Approaches to
 Technological and Nutritional Improvements of Gluten-Free Bread with Legume Flours: A Critical
 Review. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 16(5), 1101-1122.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12279
- Monnet, A.F., Laleg, K., Michon, C., & Micard, V. (2019). Legume enriched cereal products: A generic
 approach derived from material science to predict their structuring by the process and their final
 properties. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.02.027

- Nguyen, D.Q., Mounir, S., & Allaf, K. (2015). Functional properties of water holding capacity, oil holding
 capacity, wettability, and sedimentation of swell-dried soybean powder. *Scholars Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 3(4B), 402-412.
- Pellegrini, N., Vittadini, E., & Fogliano, V. (2020). Designing food structure to slow down digestion in
 starch-rich products. *Current Opinion in Food Science*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2020.01.010
- Robertson, J.A., Monredon, D.F., Dysler, P., Guillon, F., Amado, R., & Thibault, J.F. (2000). Hydration
 properties of dietary fiber and resistant starch: A European Collaborative study. *Food Science & Technology*, 33, 72–79. https://doi.org/10.1006/fstl.1999.0595
- Rosell, C.M., Marco, C., García-Alvárez, J., & Salazar, J. (2011). Rheological properties of rice–soybean
 protein composite flours assessed by Mixolab and ultrasound. *Journal of Food Process Engineering*,
 34(6), 1838-1859. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4530.2009.00501.x
- Rovalino-Córdova, A. M., Fogliano, V., & Capuano, E. (2019). The effect of cell wall encapsulation on
 macronutrients digestion: A case study in kidney beans. *Food chemistry*, 286, 557-566.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.02.057
- Shafi, M., Baba, W.N., & Masoodi, F. A. (2017). Composite flour blends: Influence of particle size of
 water chestnut flour on nutraceutical potential and quality of Indian flat breads. *Journal of Food Measurement and Characterization*, 11(3), 1094-1105. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11694-017-9486-5
- Sozer, N., Holopainen-Mantila, U., & Poutanen, K. (2017). Traditional and new food uses of pulses. *Cereal Chemistry*, 94(1), 66–73. https://doi.org/10.1094/CCHEM-04-16-0082-FI
- Walde, S.G., Tummala, J., Lakshminarayan, S.M., & Balaraman, M. (2005). The effect of rice flour on
 pasting and particle size distribution of green gram (*Phaseolus radiata*, L. Wilczek) dried batter. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 40, 935-942. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.13652621.2005.01027.x
- Wang, J., Zhao, M., Yang, X., & Jiang, Y. (2006). Improvement on functional properties of wheat gluten
 by enzymatic hydrolysis and ultrafiltration. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 44(1), 93-100.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcs.2006.04.002

- Wani, I.A., Sogi, D.S., Hamdani, A. M., Gani, A., Bhat, N.A., & Shah, A. (2016). Isolation, composition,
 and physicochemical properties of starch from legumes: A review. *Starch-Stärke*, 68 (9-10), 834-845.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/star.201600007
- Yadav, R.B., Yadav, B.S., & Dhull, N. (2012). Effect of incorporation of plantain and chickpea flours on
 the quality characteristics of biscuits. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 49(2), 207–213.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-011-0271-x
- 470 Zeng, J., Gao, H., & Li, G. (2014). Functional properties of wheat starch with different particle size 471 distribution. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 94(1), 57-62. 472 https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.6186



474 475 476 477 Fig. 1. Cell aggregates morphology (a, c, e, g; magnified 5x) and cells morphology (b, d, f, h; magnified 20x) in red lentils flour fractions using optical microscope. CL, coarse lentils flour; ML, medium lentils flour; FL,

fine lentils flour; EFL, extra-fine lentils flour.



481 Fig. 1. Representative DSC thermograms of STD, L flour and its fractions (LC, LM, LF and LEF) in the range
482 40-100 °C. STD, common wheat flour; L, unfractionated red lentil flour; CL, coarse lentil flour; ML, medium
483 lentil flour; FL, fine lentil flour; EFL, extra-fine lentil flour.







Fig. 3. Mixolab profile of wheat-based dough of STD and a) unfractionated red lentil flour samples (L) at all
the substitution levels (SLs) tested; b) L and its fractions (LC, LM, LF and LEF) at 10% SL; c) L and its
fractions (LC, LM, LF and LEF) at 30% SL. STD, common wheat flour; L, unfractionated red lentil flour;
CL, coarse lentil flour; ML, medium lentil flour; FL, fine lentil flour; EFL, extra-fine lentil flour.

- 510 Table 1: Settings used in Mixolab Chopin + protocol and Mixolab recorded curve.



517	Table 2. Particle size	distribution (%)	nd provimate com	nosition (a/1	100 a)	of analyzed flour	complex
517	Table 2. Faithere Size (1150110001011 (70) a	nu proximate com	iposition (g/ i	100 g)	of analyzed flour	samples.

		Particle ma	uss distribution (%	6)	Proximate composition (g/100 g)				
	<100 µm	160-100 μm	200-160 µm	>200 µm	Carbohydrates	Protein	Fat	Moisture	Ash
L	19%	19%	20%	42%	$62.43\pm0.27c$	$24.13\pm0.38a$	$1.10\pm0.02e$	$9.96\pm0.12c$	$2.39\pm0.01c$
CL				100%	$64.53{\pm}0.24b$	$21.21\pm0.23b$	$1.54\pm0.01d$	$10.18\pm0.03\text{b}$	$2.44\pm0.00b$
ML			100%		$62.07\pm0.24 cd$	$23.64\pm0.25a$	$1.83\pm0.01c$	$10.01\pm0.00\text{c}$	$2.46\pm0.00a$
FL		100%			$61.95\pm0.08d$	$24.03\pm0.01\text{a}$	$1.87\pm0.01b$	$9.71\pm0.11\text{d}$	$2.44\pm0.00b$
EFL	100%				$61.91\pm0.02d$	$24.06\pm0.00a$	$2.09\pm0.03a$	$9.57\pm0.02e$	$2.33\pm0.00d$
STD	72%	22%	5%	1%	$74.72\pm\!\!0.32a$	$12.62\pm0.37c$	$1.54\pm0.01d$	$10.78\pm0.03a$	$0.33\pm0.01e$

519 L, unfractionated red lentil flour; CL, coarse lentil flour; ML, medium lentil flour; FL, fine lentil flour; EFL, 520 extra-fine lentil flour; STD, common wheat flour. Proximate composition values are expressed as mean \pm SD 521 (n=2). Values followed by different letters in each column are significantly different (P \leq 0.05).

Table 3: Effects of particle size on water holding capacity, oil holding capacity and swelling power of red lentil

	WHC (g/g)	OHC (g/g)		S _p (g/g)				
	25°C	25°C	60°C	70°C	80°C	90°C		
L	1.68 ± 0.04a	0.71 ± 0.04a	5.87 ± 0.17abC	6.87 ± 0.59bcB	8.56 ± 0.38abA	8.13 ± 0.29dA		
CL	1.73 ± 0.12a	0.63 ± 0.04b	5.17 ± 0.3bC	6.73 ± 0.72cB	8.39 ± 0.41abA	8.29 ± 0.27cdA		
ML	1.85 ± 0.07a	0.71 ± 0.07a	5.23 ± 0.08abC	7.24± 0.43abcB	8.93 ± 0.23abA	9.08 ± 0.14bcA		
FL	1.50 ± 0.18b	0.77 ± 0.04a	6.01 ± 0.41aC	7.69 ± 0.43abcB	9.09 ± 0.38aA	9.38 ± 0.57bA		
EFL	1.18 ± 0.03c	0.76 ± 0.04a	5.40 ± 0.43abC	7.85 ± 0.32abB	8.77 ± 0.64abAB	9.65 ± 0.29bA		
STD	1.02 ± 0.02c	0.79 ± 0.03a	5.89 ± 0.63abC	8.19 ± 0.57aB	8.32 ± 0.27bB	10.80 ± 0.63aA		

flour, its fractions and wheat flour.

WHC, water holding capacity; OHC, oil holding capacity; Sp, Swelling Power; L, unfractionated red lentil flour; CL, coarse lentil flour; ML, medium lentil flour; FL, fine lentil flour; EFL, extra-fine lentil flour; STD, common wheat flour. Values are expressed as mean \pm SD (n=3). For S_p, values followed by different lowercase letters in each column are significantly different ($P \le 0.05$). Values followed by different capital letter in each row are significantly different ($P \le 0.05$)

Table 4: Thermal properties of unfractionated red lentil flour and fractions compared to wheat flour.

		First endot	hermic peak		Second endothermic peak				
	T _{on} (C°)	T _p (C°)	T _{off} (C°)	ΔH (J g ⁻¹)	T _{on} (C°)	Т _р (С°)	T _{off} (C°)	ΔH (j g ⁻¹)	
L	57.76 ± 0.39a	69.22 ± 0.12b	79.12 ± 0.67b	1.51 ± 0.32b	80.35 ± 0.47b	87.02 ± 1.14a	95.70 ± 0.82a	0.38 ± 0.14a	
CL	55.49 ± 0.41b	69.75 ± 0.17ab	80.01 ± 0.74ab	1.77 ± 0.38ab	80.80 ± 0.47b	86.32 ± 0.39a	95.10 ± 0.62a	0.25 ± 0.04a	
ML	57.07 ± 0.16a	69.47 ± 0.2ab	81.01 ± 0.74a	2.19 ± 0.12a	82.22 ± 1.06a	86.71 ± 1.94a	95.89 ± 0.91a	0.23 ± 0.04a	
FL	57.3 ± 0.79a	69.58 ± 0.22ab	79.30 ± 1.88ab	1.4 ± 0.19b	80.70 ± 0.26b	87.01 ± 0.98a	94.81 ± 2.27a	0.28 ± 12a	
EFL	57.41 ± 0.57a	70.04 ± 0.71a	79.64 ± 0.37ab	1.75 ± 0.29ab	81.57 ± 0.67ab	86.83 ± 0.64a	93.71 ± 0.54a	0.23 ± 0.04a	
STD	52.99 ± 1.07c	65.83 ± 0.28c	75.05 ± 0.54c	2.02 ± 0.14a	-	-	-	-	

L, unfractionated red lentil flour; CL, coarse lentil flour; ML, medium lentil flour; FL, fine lentil flour; EFL, extra-fine lentil flour; STD, common wheat flour. Values are expressed as mean \pm SD (n=3). Values followed by different lowercase letters in each column are significantly different (P ≤ 0.05).

561
562 Table 5: F significance level and sum square percent of the studied factors (i.e., particle size and substitution
563 level) and their combinations on Mixolab parameters.

Factors	Particle size (PS)		Substit	Substitution level (SL)		PS x SL	
	SS%	Significance	SS%	Significance	SS%	Significance	
C1_t (min)	1.56	ns	96.71	***	1.73	ns	
C2_t (min)	0.50	ns	96.58	***	2.92	ns	
C3_t (min)	8.85	ns	53.86	***	37.29	*	
C4_t (min)	4.66	ns	52.28	***	43.06	ns	
C5_t (min)	11.11	ns	28.40	*	60.49	ns	
C1.2 (Nm)	5.07	***	91.28	***	3.65	***	
C2 (Nm)	8.84	***	83.29	***	7.87	***	
C3 (Nm)	10.97	*	53.28	***	35.75	*	
C4 (Nm)	9.34	ns	72.58	*	18.08	ns	
C5 (Nm)	5.62	***	68.62	***	25.76	***	
C1_tp (°C)	8.49	ns	34.17	*	57.34	ns	
C2_tp (°C)	1.22	ns	89.12	***	9.66	ns	
C3_tp (°C)	5.87	ns	24.47	ns	69.66	ns	
C4 _tp (°C)	11.87	ns	15.28	ns	72.85	ns	
C5_tp (°C)	5.78	ns	44.44	*	49.78	ns	
Amplitude (Nm)	3.11	ns	77.66	***	19.23	ns	
Stability (min)	4.47	***	93.93	***	1.60	***	
WA (%)	0.95	***	97.99	***	1.06	***	

566 WA, water absorption; ns not significant; SS sum of square. $*P \le 0.05$, $**P \le 0.01$, $***P \le 0.001$.