



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

# A multifactorial evaluation of different reproductive rhythms and housing systems for improving welfare in rabbit does

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1768918

since 2021-01-25T14:40:42Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.applanim.2020.105047

Terms of use:

Open Accesss

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

# 1 A multifactorial evaluation of different reproductive rhythms and

# 2 housing systems for improving does welfare

# 3

# 4 Chiara Munari<sup>a\*</sup>, Patrizia Ponzio<sup>a</sup>, Elisabetta Macchi<sup>a</sup>, Ahmed R. Elkhawagah<sup>b</sup>, Martina

5 Tarantola<sup>a</sup>, Giovanna Ponti<sup>a,c</sup>, Cecilia Mugnai<sup>a,d</sup>

6 <sup>a</sup> Department of Veterinary Sciences, University of Turin, Largo Braccini 2, 10095, Grugliasco,

# 7 Turin, Italy

- 8 <sup>b</sup> Theriogenology Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Egypt
- 9 <sup>c</sup>Neuroscience Institute Cavalieri-Ottolenghi (NICO), Orbassano, Italy
- 10 d Member of "Istituto di Ricerche Interdisciplinari sulla Sostenibilità", Turin, Italy

#### 11

12 \* Corresponding author: chiara.munari@unito.it

## 13

# 14 ABSTRACT

The most widespread reproductive rhythm in rabbit does rearing is based on artificial insemination (AI) performed around 10 d after kindling, resulting in high production rates, but with a high yearly replacement of animals, that are unable to sustain the enormous energy demand. The consequence is an energy deficit causing a reduction of doe reproductive career. Moreover, housing systems currently applied do not allow animals to express the typical behavioural pattern of the species, with presence of abnormal behaviours that contribute to reduce welfare. Thus, the aim of the present study was to Formattato: Tipo di carattere: 14 pt

evaluate the best combination between two different housing systems (Standard vs Combi cage) and 21 22 three reproductive Rhythms (RRs), to improve does welfare through a multiple indicators (performance, behaviour and corticosterone assessments). A total of 75 nulliparous rabbit does of 23 Grimaud hybrid maternal line were randomly housed into 2 different systems, Standard cage (C) vs 24 Combi cage (CC), within which 3 RRs (Intensive, I, with AI 11 days postpartum, vs Alternating, IE, 25 26 with AI Alternated between 11 days postpartum and 30 days postpartum vs Extensive, E, with AI 30 days postpartum) were applied, for six consecutive reproductive cycles, following a multifactorial 27 28 balanced experimental plan (2x3), as follows: CI (5 does) vs CIE (5 does) vs CE (5 does) vs CCI (20 29 does) vs CCIE (20 does) vs CCE (20 does). At main critical phases (AI, kindling and lactation peak) reproductive and behavioural evaluations were carried out, as well as hormonal level assessment 30 (salivary corticosterone). RR strongly affected reproductive performances with the highest number 31 of live-born kits (P < 0.02) and weight of weaned litter (P < 0.01) in CIE group, together with the 32 lowest pre-weaning mortality (P < 0.04). The housing system also influenced behaviour: C does 33 showed the highest frequencies of self-grooming (P < 0.01), feeding (P < 0.001) as well as stereotypes 34 (sniffing and biting bars, P < 0.01), indicative of frustration for the lack of enough stimuli and 35 consequent boredom. Corticosterone level resulted to be 3 times higher (P < 0.01) in the CC system. 36 37 From these results, we can assume that AI after kits weaning was the best RR in Combi cage and the Alternating rhythm in Standard cage, permitting to restore fat deposits in time to sustain energy 38 requirements, resulting in high productive performances along consecutive cycles. Whereas, from an 39 40 ethological point of view, in C cage problems related to the small size of the housing system still remain. 41

42

# 43 Keywords:

44 Rabbit does, innovative housing system, reproductive rhythm, behaviour, welfare, corticosterone

#### 45 1. Introduction

In wildlife conditions, rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculi* L.) is a gregarious animal and the social unit consists of an average of 2-9 females, 2-3 bucks and their progeny (Surridge et al., 1999). In commercial farm conditions, breeding does are individually housed, in standard wire cages (50–60L × 35-40H cm) with an external nest, which the doe prepares with straw materials provided by the farmer and hair from her body (Canali et al., 1991; Hudson et al., 2000).

The commercial breeding system is well adapted to cycled production, but it does not take into account neither the welfare (EFSA, 2005) nor the reproductive physiology of the doe, with reduction of fertility along cycles and high annual replacement of females (Cervera et al., 1993). In fact, the most common reproductive rhythm is intensive, based on Artificial Insemination (AI) performed 11 days *postpartum* and on weaning of the litter at about 28–30 days of age.

Regarding housing system, the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) published a scientific 56 opinion on the impact of housing systems on health and welfare of farmed rabbits (EFSA, 2005), 57 highlighting several problems due to space restriction and housing practice. Several solutions have 58 been proposed for breeding group growing rabbits (Dal Bosco et al., 2000, 2002; Trocino et al., 2004; 59 60 Mugnai 2008, 2014); whereas only a few studies have been carried out on does and kits (breeding 61 group pen, Stauffacher, 1992; double height cage and two-floor cage, Finzi et al., 1996, Berthelsen, 2000, Mirabito, 2003, 2004; colony cage, Dal Bosco et al., 2004; Mugnai et al., 2009; Dal Bosco et 62 63 al., 2019) or on the semi-group housing where does are put together for a specified amount of time during the reproductive cycle (Andrist et al., 2012). Unfortunately, even if group-housing would 64 permit to better satisfy animals needs, some critical aspects (aggression and a high kit mortality due 65 to nest box competition among females) negatively affected productivity (Rommers et al., 2006). 66 Moreover, Dal Bosco et al. (2019) reported a lower fat depot in does housed in colony cages compared 67

to standard cage, due to a higher energetic output thanks to a greater possibility of movement thatfurther negatively affected reproductive performance of does.

Currently, housing systems have to be consistent with animal welfare regulations proposing higher space allowance (EFSA, 2005), but considering that cycled production of rabbits is efficient thanks to low space availability that permits to save energy for performance. It appears obvious that improving space allowance and consequently motor activity, energy balance, that is already a critical point in doe cycled conduction (Feugier et al., 2005), will become even more the limiting factor of the reproductive performance of does if not properly supported with a new reproductive protocol.

For all these reasons, our research aimed at evaluating the best combination between housing system (innovative (Combi) *vs* conventional cage) and reproductive rhythm (intensive vs alternating *vs* extensive), through a multifactorial evaluation (physiological, behavioural, reproductive parameters), to determine the best management practice for breeding does.

80

# 81 2. Materials and Methods

#### 82 2.1. Animals and experimental design

The study was performed at Cascina Campora commercial farm in Buttigliera d'Asti, Italy,
from October 2018 to November 2019. All animals were handled in accordance with the Turin
University Bioethics Committee recommendations (Prot. N 256053 of 4/07/2017).

- A total of 109 77-days old rabbit does from Grimaud hybrid maternal line, homogenous for genetic
  strain and age, were randomly divided into two different housing systems:
- 60 nulliparous does in Combi cage (WRSA, Combi 6®, CC), bought from a commercial
  company (Meneghin S.r.l, Povegliano TV, Italy), where the main characteristics of the cage were:
  the dimensions (97.5L x 113H cm), the plastic platform and the absence of a top cover; mobile walls,

91	which can allow the group housing of 4 does with their kits. The CC, was considered as an
92	autonomous and independent production unit (Figure 1); in our trial we decided to test the CC with
93	mobile walls in.
94	- 15 nulliparous does in Conventional cage (C), with dimensions of 60L x 35H cm and an
95	external nest box. The single cage was considered as an autonomous and independent production unit.
96	- the remaining 34 were used as replacement.
97	Nulliparous does were weekly weighted, from their arrival until 133th day, to control weight gain to
98	the first artificial insemination (AI at live weight of $4060.61 \pm 334.15$ g at $19^{\text{th}}$ week).
99	At second AI, both CC and C does were divided into three different experimental subgroups,
100	according to 3 reproductive rhythms:
101	- Intensive (I): AI 11 days <i>postpartum;</i>
102	- Alternating (IE): AI 11 days postpartum, alternated with AI after weaning (30 days
103	postpartum);
104	- Extensive (E): AI performed after weaning (30 days <i>postpartum</i> ).
105	The corresponding balanced (2x3) experimental plan, was composed by:
106	- CCI group = 20 CC does submitted to an Intensive rhythm
107	- CCIE group = 20 CC does submitted to an Alternating rhythm
108	- CCE group = 20 CC does submitted to an Extensive rhythm
109	- CI = 5 C does with an Intensive rhythm
110	- CIE = 5 C does with an Alternating rhythm (second AI 11 days postpartum, third AI
111	insemination after weaning (30 days postpartum)
112	- $CE = 5 C$ does with an extensive rhythm.

All not pregnant animals were re-inseminated after manual palpation (12 days after AI) following thecorresponding initial rhythm.

Rabbits were raised with daily control of the environmental temperature (15-18 °C) and relative humidity (60-75%). The barn was artificially ventilated (0.3 m/sec) and animals were submitted to natural photoperiod. The animals received a commercial diet containing 16.9% crude protein, 14.2% crude fibre, and 3.5% ether extract.

119 The colony effect was not investigated because we decided to first compare the greater availability 120 of space of the Combi system, compared to the smaller control cage, focusing on the evaluation of 121 different reproductive rhythms combined with the two type of housing.

122

### 123 2.2. Reproductive and productive performances

124 AI was performed in the morning by inseminating 0,5 mL of diluted fresh semen, containing 125 about 10 million spermatozoa (Castellini and Lattaioli, 1999). No estrus synchronization was done. Ovulation was induced by inoculating 0,2 mL/doe of intramuscular lecirelin (Dalmarelin, Fatro®, 126 127 Italy). Manual palpation to assess pregnancy was performed 12 days after AI. The following reproductive/productive indexes were recorded: does weight at AI, sexual receptivity (SR: vulva color 128 129 and turgescence: a doe was deemed receptive when its vulva was red or purple and turgid), fertility rate (F: kindling/inseminations x 100); at kindling we recorded number of live born (LK) and dead 130 kits/litter (DK), weight of weaned litter and individual weight at weaning (weaned kits total weight 131 /total number of weaned kits) and pre-weaning mortality (dead kits/number of weaned kits). 132

The indexes of efficiency were calculated in terms of: overall productivity (number and weight of rabbits sold/year/doe), production losses (difference between actual and theoretical production considering fertility rate = 100, mortality of the young rabbits = 0 and kindling interval = 60) and
efficiency of the system (Castellini et al., 2005).

137 Controlled nursing was performed until 16 day after birth by permitting the does access to the nest138 only once a day for 15 min (Castellini et al., 2003).

139

#### 140 2.3. Body Condition Score

Body Condition Score (BCS) was attributed at each AI. The scoring was based on feel by hand loin and rump regions. The loin was felt for vertical bone protrusions (spinous process) and fullness of muscle over and around the vertebrae, and the rump for bone protrusions and fullness of muscle. The loin was subjectively evaluated according to poor, intermediate or wide level, whereas the rump for poor or wide level. The body condition was scored "0" if loin was poor; "1" if loin was intermediate and rump was poor; "2" if loin was intermediate or wide and rump was wide (Bonanno et al., 2008).

148

## 149 2.5. Behavioral recordings

Behavioral patterns of 39 rabbit does (10/rhythm in CC system and 3/rhythm for C system) were recorded through direct observations by two experienced operators who had been previously trained together, in order to guarantee an accurate inter-observer agreement (Cohen,1960), following the Focal Animal Scan Sampling Method (Martin and Bateson, 1986) during all reproductive cycles, in three significant moments: 2 days after artificial inseminations, 2 days after birth and 2 days after lactation peak for a total of 6 days/cycle/group. Data were reported on a designed table. Before each observation, 5 minutes were allowed to the animals for adaptation to the presence of operators. To

establish the end of a performed behaviour, 10 sec were allowed to determine if the same behaviour 157 158 was repeated; after this time, a new behaviour was recorded (Bornett et al., 2000). 159 To develop the ethogram (Table 1), the following behaviours were recorded: static activities (crouching, staying, sitting up, lying down), moving features (running, exploring, jumping), self-160 161 grooming, alert (standing-up), presence of stereotypies (sniffing and biting bars), maternal attitude (quality of the nest), feeding, drinking, use of the elevated platform (only for Combi cages). 162 The inter-observer reliability index (Cohen's kappa) was assessed at 0.97. For all the experimental 163 164 groups, does were observed for a total of 4212 min (117 min/day x 6 days of observations x 6 165 reproductive cycles). For each animal the percentage of a particular behavior was calculated as the number of times it occurred divided by the total number of observations and multiplied by 100. 166 167 At kindling, the quality of the nest was evaluated, through a qualitative analysis (Blumetto et al., 2010), in which were assessed: level of mixing (1: no evidence of mixing between the material 168 and the doe's hair; 2: an important level of mixing between them; 3: almost the totality of the material 169 was mixed), hair (1: there is no hair in the nest; 2: it is observed that more than 50% of the nest has 170 171 the material visible; 3: it is observed that more than 50% of the nest has the material invisible; 4: only 172 hair can be seen) and material, in which the preservation of the original material was assessed (1: less than 30% of the original material is kept; 2: between 30% and 60% of the original material is kept; 173 174 3: more than 60% of the original material is kept).

175

## 176 2.6. Tonic immobility test

177 The tonic immobility test was performed on 39 rabbit does (10/rhythm in CC system and 178 3/rhythm for C system) and repeated on the same animals in three moments of each reproductive 179 cycle: insemination, kindling and peak of lactation. The operator took the rabbit out of the cage and 8 induced immobility by turning the animal on its back and onto his arms. The immobile rabbit was
laid down on a plastic support (Ferrante et al., 1992). A maximum of three attempts were carried out
to induce immobility and animals were left in the immobility condition for not more than 10 minutes.
The number of attempts necessary to induce immobility and the total duration of the condition were
recorded for each doe.

185

#### 186 2.7. Corticosterone samples collection and hormonal evaluation

To assess animal welfare, physiological indicators as saliva corticosterone level evaluation was performed. Before the start of the experimentation, two collection days were carried out to have baseline hormone levels. Saliva was collected using saliva collectors ® (Sarstedt SRL – Verona, Italy).

191 During the trial, samples were collected for 6 consecutive cycles in the same does that were submitted to TI, at three different phases: 1 day after AI, 1 day after kindling and 1 day after lactation peak (16 192 193 d after birth). After being collected, samples were immediately frozen and stored at -80 °C until laboratory analysis. Subsequently, stored samples were thawed and prepared for hormonal assays: 194 195 were centrifuged for 2 minutes at 1000 x g and, after centrifugation, recover saliva was diluited 1:4 with Assay Buffer (Arbor Assays, Ann Arbor, US) prior running in the assay. The corticosterone 196 197 present in saliva samples was determined using a multispecies commercial enzyme immunoassay kits 198 (K014; Arbor Assays, Ann Arbor, MI) validated for saliva and other biological substrates. Inter- and intra-assay coefficients of variation were <10%. According to the manufacturer, the corticosterone 199 200 kit presents the following cross reactivity: 100% with corticosterone, 18.9% with 1dehydrocorticosterone, 12.3% with Desoxycorticosterone and 0,38 with cortisol. Serial dilutions 201 202 (1:4,1:8,1:16, and 1:32) of saliva samples were assayed to test for parallelism against the standard curve (P<0.05 for all assays). The mean recovery rate of corticosterone added to saliva samples (n=6)</li>
was 96.8%. The results are reported as nanogram (ng) of corticosterone on ml of saliva.

205

#### 206

207 2.8. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis were conducted using SPSS 16.0 software package, using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), considering the effects of housing system, reproductive rhythms and their interactions. Since no differences were found among the three physiological phases and cycles, all the data were pooled to obtain a mean value. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SE. Multiple comparisons of the means were carried out with LSD using Duncan test. Statistical significance was assessed as significant when P < 0.05.

214

215

#### 216 **3. Results**

217 3.1. Reproductive performances

No significant differences were recorded regarding animals' weight, BCS and sexual receptivity (Table 2). Alternating and Extensive C cage showed the highest fertility rate, as well as Extensive CC (P < 0.04). The number of weaned kits resulted to be better (P < 0.04) in does reared in conventional cages with Intensive and Alternating reproductive rhythms, which reflected in a higher (P < 0.01) weight of the litter at weaning and consequently better (P < 0.03) individual weight of each kit. Moreover, regarding pre-weaning mortality, CC group showed the worst (P < 0.04) results. The indexes of global productivity (Table 3) showed that C group under the intensive reproductive rhythm produced the highest number and weight of rabbits sold/year/doe (P < 0.05), with the lowest production losses (kg) among groups, but with the highest doe replacement rate (P < 0.05). On contrary, CC does submitted to an extensive rhythm showed high productive performances with the lower incidence of animals replaced but less kindling/year as a consequence of greater kindling interval due to the applied reproductive rhythm (P < 0.05).

#### 230 3.2. Behavioural patterns

231 In Table 4 are presented behavioural welfare results. Static activities were the most frequent behaviors 232 performed, with higher values in CC does for crouching (P < 0.04) with erect ears, while lying down 233 (P < 0.03) with ears down was more performed in C housing. CI does showed the higher percentages 234 in terms of feeding (P < 0.001) and drinking (P < 0.002) as well as the worst results in terms of biting 235 (P < 0.01) and sniffing bars (P < 0.01). Standing up with ears down resulted to be performed only in 236 conventional cages (P < 0.02), with higher values in intensive standard cage. Moving features were not affected neither by housing system nor by reproductive rhythm, except for jumping (P < 0.04) 237 only performed in alternative cages due to presence of the platform. Self-grooming was performed 238 239 significantly more in CI group (P < 0.01).

- The tonic immobility test resulted not significant between CC and C groups. Behaviours on platform were only performed in CC cages, due to the absence of this structure in conventional housing.
- The quality of the nest resulted to be significant only for the level of mixing between the hair and the material supplied by the farmer for both group (P < 0.006) and rhythm effect (P < 0.05), resulting in greater values within the CCIE does.

245

#### 247 3.3. Salivary corticosterone

Effects of housing system, reproductive rhythm and their interactions are displayed in Table 5. No significant differences were recorded in corticosterone level (ng/ml) regarding the rhythm effect and interactions, while the group strongly affected (P < 0.007) hormone levels with values approximately 3 times higher in does caged in CC housing.

252

#### 253 4. Discussion

254 Reproductive performances of females are influenced by sexual receptivity, lactation stage and order 255 of birth (Theau-Clément, 2007). Lactation requires a great amount of disposable energy and it's 256 closely dependent from some variables, such as fecundity. In particular, nulliparous does seems to be more affected by energy deficit than multiparous, as they have to support also growth till adult age 257 (Pascal et al., 2013). In our trial, reproductive performances were not affected by cage type and 258 reproductive rhythm concerning body weight, Body Condition Score and sexual receptivity. While, 259 260 as expected, the extensive rhythm improved fertility rate of rabbit does, resulting in higher values for both housing systems, as reported in a previous work of Theau-Clément et al. (2016), where females 261 262 subjected to a less intensive rhythm showed the best results in terms of fertility, litter size and number of live born kits, so inseminating after kits weaning can be a possibility to improve the percentage of 263 264 pregnancies, thanks to an improvement of the body status with a consequent longer reproductive 265 career and also to a peak of sexual receptivity physiologically showed after weaning of the litter 266 (Theau-Clément et al. (1990). Inseminating does after weaning seems to eliminate the hormonal and 267 energetic antagonism between lactation and pregnancy and animals compensate for the lower production efficiency with a higher fertility rate than conventional intensive rhythm (79.0% vs. 268 269 60.2%) (Castellini et al., 2003). Body conditions of does has a fundamental role, being them

responsible for their reproductive efficiency especially in terms of fertility and productivity (Naturil-270 271 Alfonso et al., 2017). This statement is also supported by the higher productivity (rabbit/sold/year/ doe and live weight sold/year/doe) reached in CCE rabbit does, with the minor replacement of animals 272 among groups (20% vs 130% of intensive rhythm in C group), without however reaching values of 273 the intensive rhythm in conventional cage. The CE group showed worst productive performances, 274 275 probably due to an increase of stressors for the smaller space available in the cage. The only disadvantage of inseminating after weaning could be that the prolongation of the fertilization-276 277 conception interval could cause an excessive increase in weight, with a consequent lower conception rate with the progression of reproductive career (Cerolini et al., 2015). CC cages showed the worst 278 results in terms of pre-weaning mortality and weight of weaned litter, in accordance with Mikó et al. 279 280 (2012) positive correlation of cage enlargement and greater height with mortality of rabbits weaned 281 at around 30 days of age. CC cages showed different reproductive performances, but all the three 282 groups analyzed did not reach the productivity of intensive rabbit housing. Regarding the 283 reproductive rhythm effect on reproductive performances among groups, it should be noted that the 284 alternate type of *postpartum* in C cage, in comparison with the fixed intensive insemination at 11 285 days after kindling, seems to be more adapted to the doe physiology, with a fertility rate close to 90% 286 and reflection in the highest number of live born kits and weight of weaned litter, as well as the lowest pre-weaning mortality compared to all the experimental groups. This evidence is supported by a 287 previous work of Castellini et al. (2003) where an improvement of reproductive parameters were 288 289 recorded with a semi-intensive reproductive rhythm (alternating AI at 1 day postpartum with AI performed after kits weaning), reducing energy deficit of females of 6,7% compared to standard 11 290 291 days post kindling AI and the replacement rate of about 10%. Extensive rhythm in both housing systems showed intermediate results, with higher fertility rates but lower number of weaned litters. 292 The combination intensive/alternating plus CC housing resulted in the lowest reproductive 293 performances. 294

Regarding the behavioural repertoire expressed, in accordance with Gunn and Morton (1993), static 295 296 activities were the most common activities performed in all 6 experimental groups, probably because 297 direct observations were recorded only during the light period, between 8.00 a.m. and 16.00 p.m., during which they are usually less active being crepuscular animals (Jilge and Hudson, 2001). No 298 significant differences were observed for behavioural pattern between the different reproductive 299 300 rhythms of the CC system, while regarding the 3 C groups, the intensive one showed higher 301 frequencies in terms of standing up, self-grooming, stereotypies, feeding and drinking. Regarding 302 stereotypies, CI cage showed the highest percentages in terms of biting and sniffing bars, instead in 303 CC system there was a lower incidence of abnormal behaviours, confirmed by Verga et al. (2007) which observed more stereotypes in individually housed rabbit does. These disorders are indicators 304 of anxiety (Poderscek et al., 1991) and usually substitute the normal behaviours which are inhibited 305 by the lack of eliciting stimuli and enough space (Gunn-Dore and Morton, 1993). According to Gunn-306 307 Dore (1997), the extreme boredom induces animals to eat a greater amount of feed as observed in our 308 trial for the intensive control cage. The use of enrichment material, such as the platform available in 309 the innovative system, can lead to distraction from this abnormal behaviour. Trocino et al. (2019) 310 showed that the use of an elevated platform allows rabbits to move more, to rest in a more comfortable 311 position and to increase the exploratory behavior, without modifying the production performances. However, there is often a simultaneous increase in injured rabbits which may discourage their use. 312 Similarly, an improvement of rabbit welfare conditions was found using enrichment materials such 313 314 as wooden bars to gnaw or PVC tubes (Maria et al., 2005). Our results showed that when a platform is available, rabbit does jumped on it and spent around 20% of their time resting there. Additionally, 315 in a previous work, Hansen and Berthelsen (2000), demonstrated that rabbit does in conventional 316 cages are more bored, showing higher comfort activities and bar biting percentages compared to does 317 reared in innovative cages with presence of an elevated platform. 318

Jumping was visible only in CC cages due to a greater availability of space, while in the C system it 319 320 was not impossible due to the lack of proper dimensions: this situation usually leads to a frustration state, confirmed by the higher presence of sniffing and bars biting in this type of housing (Gunn-321 Dore, 1994). As reported by Morton et al. (1993), it is fundamental to use sufficiently high cages (75 322 cm) to allow the rabbit to sit upright without its ears touching the top of the cage. In our trial, high 323 324 frequencies of standing up with ears down due to an insufficient cage height (35 cm) were observed 325 in C cages. However, the relevance of this behaviour as indicator of wide or poor welfare can be 326 questionable in commercial farm conditions, due to the lack of predators (Princz et al. 2008). 327 According to the same author, the commonly height utilized in rearing fattening rabbits (35-40 cm) is satisfactory. In our study, animals caged in C system and CC system (113 cm) spent a percentage 328 of time in standing alert significantly different, with rabbit does housed in conventional housing most 329 frequently (P < 0.02) observed in this position. The evaluation of the nest showed significant 330 331 differences (P < 0.05) as regards the level of mixing. This maternal behavior was observed with a 332 greater frequency in CC rabbit does, especially in those subjected to an alternating reproductive 333 rhythm: this result is in agreement with several studies that state that high levels of stress cause 334 alterations of the maternal behavior with consequent acts of cannibalism or, as in the present study, 335 poor or non-construction and care of the nest (Verga et al., 1978; Gonzáles-Redondo and Zamora-Lozano, 2008). All behavioral results were found to be extremely accurate, confirmed by Cohen's 336 kappa reliability index that reached a value of 0.97, in a range where 0 indicates no accuracy and 100 337 338 the maximum.

The tonic immobility test showed no significant differences among groups. This could be due to the usual manipulation of rabbits by the farmer during the management "routine", in accordance with several studies where the state of fear resulted strongly decreased when the animals got used to the presence and contact with human beings, thus improving their general welfare with positive effects on production performances and health status (Kersten et al., 1989; Jiezierski and Koneca, 1996).

15

Corticosterone salivary concentration was strongly affected by the housing system, with much higher 344 345 values (almost 3 times more) in CC cages. Although this results are in disagreement with most of the previous literature (Koolhaas et al., 1993; Koob and Heinrichs, 1999; Cornale et al., 2016), this could 346 347 be explained by the presence of a greater quantity of stimuli in the innovative system: the greater space available in CC housing allows a higher body exposure of animals due to the lower stocking 348 349 density and this can lead breeding does to react with an anti-predator reaction (physiological stress 350 response), feeling themselves more vulnerable than in smaller conventional cages (Monclus et al., 351 2006), with a consequent raising of corticosterone concentrations. Under stress, the activation of the 352 HPA axis, together with the activation of the sympathetic-adrenomedullary system, contributes to the mobilization of energy necessary to cope with the stressful situation (Boissy 1995; von Holst 1998), 353 with negative consequences on the ability of supporting lactation and pregnancies requirements. 354 Moreover, Szendro et al. (2012) reported results similar to ours with higher faecal corticosterone in 355 356 rabbit does housed in colony with wider space available compared to does in single housing. In our 357 trial, the greater levels of salivary corticosterone measured in females reared in CC cages may signal 358 the possibility of more stressful conditions for rabbits housed in wider systems, with observation of 359 lower reproductive performances. Another factor to take into consideration is that saliva samples 360 collection was performed only during the daylight following behavioural recordings, so values may not completely reflect the behaviour of the entire 24h. Anyway, whether this stress condition comes 361 362 from the housing system per se (Combi system vs conventional cages) or could be attributed to other 363 external factors needs to be further elucidated.

#### 364 Conclusions

Given the results, we can indicate that AI after kits weaning resulted to be the best reproductive protocol in Combi cages and the Alternating rhythm in standard cage. In fact, these reproductive 367 protocols, thanks to an improvement of the body status, better match the compromise between the

- 368 productivity and the physiological welfare of the rabbit doe.
- 369 From an ethological point of view, standard housing reduces animal behavioural freedom and also
- 370 constantly leads to stereotypes, but despite this animal physiological stress seems to be lower.
- 371 Further studies are required to understand properly what combination of reproductive rhythm and
- housing system can completely satisfy both ethological and physiological needs, in any case keeping
- the farm remunerative in terms of production and efficiency.
- 374

# 375 Acknowledgments

- 376 We would like to thank Dr. Paolo Novara for providing us with his own farm to realize the
- 377 experiment, for his technical assistance and for taking care of the animals.
- 378 The research was supported by Turin University Research Project: "Rabbit Alternative Breeding
- 379 System: well-being and productivity" (MUGC RILO 17 01).
- 380

#### 381 References

- Andrist, C.A., Bigler, L.M., Würbel, H., Roth, B.A., 2012. Effects of group stability on aggression,
  stress and injuries in breeding rabbits. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 142 (3-4), 182–188.
- Boissy A., 1995. Fear and fearfulness in animals. The Quarterly Review of Biology 70, 165–191.
- Bonanno, A., Mazza, F., Di Grigioli, A., Alicata, M.L., 2008. Body condition score and related
  productive responses in rabbit does. In: 9<sup>th</sup> World Rabbit Congress, June 10–13, Verona, Italy.
- Blumetto O., Olivas I., Torres A.G., Villagrà A., 2010. Use of straw and wood shavings as nest
  material in primiparous does. World Rabbit Sci. 18, 237–242.
- Bornett, H.L.I., Morgan, C.A., Lawrence, A.B., Mann, J., 2000. The effect of group housing on
  feeding patterns and social behaviour of previously individually housed growing pigs. Appl. Anim.
  Behav. Sci. 70, 127–141.

- Canali, E., Ferrante, V., Todeschini, R., Verga, M., Carenzi C., 1991. Rabbit nest construction and
  its relationship with litter development. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 31, 259–266.
- Castellini, C., Lattaioli, P., 1999. Effect of number of motile sperms inseminated on reproductive
   performance of rabbit does. Anim. Reprod. Sci. 57, 111–120.
- Castellini, C., Dal Bosco, A., Mugnai, C., 2003. Comparison of different reproductive protocols for
   rabbit doe: effect of litter size and re-mating interval. Livest. Prod. Sci. 83, 131–139.
- Castellini, C., Dal Bosco, A., Cardinali, R., 2005. Long term effect of post-weaning rhythm on the
   body fat and performance of rabbit does. Reprod. Nutr. Dev. 46, 195–204.
- 400 Castellini C., 2007. Reproductive activity and welfare of rabbit does. Ital. J. Anim. Sci. 6: 743-747.
- 401 Cerolini S., Marzoni Fecia di Cossato M., Romboli I., Schiavone A., Zaniboni L. (2015). Avicoltura
  402 e coniglicoltura. Point Veterinaire Italie, 17-20: 395-470.
- Cervera, C.J., Fernandez-Carmona, J., Viudes, P., Blas, E., 1993. Effect of remating interval and diet
   on the performance of female rabbits and their litters. Anim. Prod. 56, 399–405.
- Cohen J., 1960. A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. Educational and PsychologicalMeasurement, 20, 37–46.
- 407 Cornale P., Macchi E., Renna M., Prola L., Perona G., Mimosi A., 2016. Effect of cage type on fecal
- 408 corticosterone concentration in buck rabbits during the reproductive cycle. Journal of Applied Animal
  409 Welfare Science 19, 90-96.
- Dal Bosco A., Castellini C., Bernardini M., 2000. Productive performance and carcass and meat
  characteristics of cage- or pen-raised rabbits. World Rabbit Sci., 8, Suppl. 1: 579-583.
- Dal Bosco A., Castellini C., Mugnai C., 2002. Rearing rabbits on a wire net floor or straw litter:
  behaviour, growth and meat qualitative traits. Livest. Prod. Sci., 75: 149-156.
- Dal Bosco, A., Castellini, C., Mugnai, C., 2003. Evaluation of body condition score in pregnant rabbit
  does by ultrasound scanner. Atti XV Congresso ASPA, Parma, p. 480–482.
- Dal Bosco, A., Mugnai, C., Castellini, C., Laudazi, S., 2004. A prototype of colony cage for
  improving the welfare of rabbit does: preliminary results. In: Proceedings of the 8th Congress of the
  World Rabbit Science Association, Puebla, Mexico, pp. 1229–1234.
- Dal Bosco A., Mugnai C., Martino M., Szendro Z., Mattioli S., Cambiotti V., Cartoni Mancinelli A.,
  Moscati L., Castellini C., 2019. Housing Rabbit Does in a Combi System with Removable Walls:
  Effect on Behaviour and Reproductive Performance. Animals, 9(8) 528.
- 422 EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2005. The impact of the current housing and husbandry
  423 systems on the health and welfare of farmed domestic rabbits. EFSA journal, 267, 1–31.
- Ferrante, V., Verga, M., Canali, E., Mattiello, S., 1992. Rabbits kept in cages and in floor pens:
  reaction in the open field test. J. Appl. Rabbit Res. 15, 700–707.

- Feugier, A., Fortun-Lamothe, L., Lamothe, E., Juin, H., 2005. Une réduction du rythme de
  reproduction et de la durée de la lactation améliore l'état corporel et la fertilité des lapines. Mem.
  11èmes Jour. Rech. Cunicole, 107–110.
- Finzi A., Margarit R., Calabrese A., 1996. A two-floor cage for rabbit welfare. In: Proceedings of the
  6th World Rabbit Congress, Toulouse, 2, pp. 423–426.
- 431 González-Redondo, P., Zamora-Lozano, M., 2008. Neonatal cannibalism in cage-bred wild rabbits
  432 (Oryctolagus cuniculus). Archivos de Medicina Veterinaria, 40(3), 281-287.
- Gunn-Dore, D., 1994. Evaluation on Welfare in the Husbandry of Laboratory rabbits. DoctoralDissertation, University of Birmingham, UK.
- Gunn-Dore, D., Morton, D.B., 1993. The behaviour of single-caged and group-housed laboratory
  rabbits. In: Proc. Fifth Fed. Europ. Lab. Anim. Sci. Ass., 80–84.
- Gunn-Dore, D., 1997. Comfortable quarters for laboratory rabbits. In Comfortable Quarters for
  Laboratory Animals, Eighth Edition Reinhardt V (ed), 46-54. Animal Welfare Institute, Washington,
  DC.
- Hansen, L.T., Berthelsen, H., 2000. The effect of environmental enrichment on the behaviour of
  caged rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus). Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 68, 163–178.
- Hudson, R., Shaal, B., Martinez-Gomez, M., Distel, H., 2000. Mother-young relations in the
  European rabbit: physiological and behavioural locks and keys. World Rabbit Sci. 8, 85–90.
- Jiezierski, T.A., Konecka, A.M., 1996. Handling results in young rabbits. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.24, 157-167.
- Jilge, B., Hudson, R., 2001. Diversity and development of circadian rhythms in the European rabbit.
  Chronobiol. Int. 18, 1–26.
- 448 Kalle, G., 1994. Kaninchen in Gruppenhaltung. DGS. 25, 16–20.
- Kersten, A.M.P., Meijsser, F.M., Metz, J.H.M., 1989. Effect of early handling on later open-field
  behaviour of rabbits. App. Anim. Behav. Sci. 24,157-167.
- Koob, G.F., Heinrichs, S.C., 1999. A role for corticotropin releasing factor and urocortin in behavioral
  responses to stressors. Brain Research 848, 141-152.
- Koolhaas, J.M., Baumans, V., Blom, H.J.M., Von Holst, D., Timmermans P.J.A., Wiepkema P.R.
  (1993). Behaviour, stress and well-being. In: Van Zutphen L.F.M., Baumans V., Beynen A.C., (eds)
  Principles of Laboratory Animal Science. (1th Edition). Elsevier Science Publisher, Amsterdam, The
- 455 The pictor and the picto
- 457 Principles of Laboratory Animal Science. (1th Edition). Elsevier Science Publisher, Amsterdam, The458 Netherlands, 75-99.

- Lane, J., 2006. Can non-invasive glucocorticoid measures be used as reliable indicators of stress inanimals? Animal Welfare. 15, 331–342.
- Lebas F., Coudert P., Rochambeau H., Thebault R.G., 1997. Rabbit Husbandry, Health andProduction. FAO Animal Production and Health Series No. 21.
- Lehman, M., 1991. Social behaviour in young domestic rabbit and semi-natural conditions. Appl.
  Anim. Behav. Sci. 32, 269–292.
- Maertens, L., Moermans, R., Groote, G., 1988. Prediction of the apparent digestible energy content
  of commercial pelleted feeds for rabbits. J. Appl. Rabbit Res. 11, 60–67.
- María G.A., Salduendo D., López M., Buil T., Alierta S. 2005. Enriquecimiento ambiental en conejas
  reproductoras alojadas en jaulas individuales. In Proc.: XXX Symposium de Cunicultura, May 1920, 2005. Valladolid, Spain, 71-76.
- 470 Martin P.R., Bateson P.P.G., 1986. Measuring behaviour: an introductory guide. Cambridge471 University Press.
- Mikó A., Szendrő Zs., Gerencsér Zs., Radnai I., Odermatt M., Nagy I., Matics Zs., 2012. Performance
  of rabbit does in cage with or without elevated platform or plastic footrest. In. Proc.: 10<sup>th</sup> World
  Rabbit Congress, Sharm El-Sheikh, Egypt, 441-445.
- Mirabito, L., 2003. Logement et bien-être du lapin: les nouveaux enjeux. In: Proc. 10èmes Jour. Rech.
  Cun., Paris, pp. 163-172.
- 477 Mirabito L., 2004. Sistemi di stabulazione e benessere del coniglio, le novita` del settore. Riv.
  478 Coniglicoltura 41, 8–12.
- Mirabito L., Galliot P., Souchet C., Dumont F., Thomeret F., 2005a. Logement collectif des lapines
  reproductrices: conséquences zootechniques11émes Journées de la Recherche Cunicole, Paris,
  France, November 29–30, pp. 53–56.
- Monclus R., Rodel H.G., Palme R., Von Holst D., de Miguel J., 2006. Non-invasive measurement of
  the physiological stress response of wild rabbits to the odour of a predator. Chemoecology, 16, 2529.
- Morisse, J. P., Maurice, R., 1994. Welfare and the intensive production of rabbits. Revue Scientifique
  et Technique de l'office International des Epizooties. 13, 143–152.
- Morton D.B., Jennings M., Batchelor G.R., Bell D., Birke L., Davies K., Eveleigh J.R., Gunn D.,
  Heath M., Howard B., Koder P., Phillips J., Poole T., Sainsbury A.W., Sales G.D., Smith D.J.A.,
  Stauffacher M., Turner R.J. 1993. Refinements in rabbit husbandry: Second report of the
  BVAAWF/FRAME/RSPCA/UFAW joint working group on refinement. Lab. Anim., 27: 301-329.
- Mugnai C., Dal Bosco A., Moscati L., Battistacci L., Mourvaki E., Cardinali R., Castellini C., 2008.
  Pasture availability and genotype effects on rabbits: 1. Health and welfare. In Proc: 9th World Rabbit
  Congress, June 10-13 2008, Verona, Italy.

- Mugnai C., Dal Bosco A., Castellini C., 2009. Effect of different rearing systems and pre-kindling
  handling on behaviour and performance of rabbit does. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 2009, 118, 91–100.
- Mugnai C., Dal Bosco A., Cardinali R., Rebollar P.G., Moscati L., Castellini C., 2014. Effect of
  pasture availability and genotype on welfare, immune function, performance and meat characteristics
  of growing rabbits. World Rabbit Sci. 22, 29-39.
- Naturil- Alfonso C., Marco-Jiménez F., Pascual J.J., Vecente J.S.,2017. Effect of restricted feeding
  under rearing on reproduction, body condition and blood metabolites of rabbit does selected for
  growth rate. World Rabbit Sci. 25, 303-312.
- Pascual J.J., Savietto D., Cervera C., Baselga M., 2013. Resources allocation in reproductive rabbit
  does: a review of feeding and genetic strategies for suitable performance. World Rabbit Sci. 21, 123144.
- Poderscek, A.L., Blackshaw, J.K., Beattie, A.W., 1991. The behaviour of group penned and
  individually caged laboratory rabbits. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 28, 353–363.
- Princz Z., Radnai I., Biró-Németh E., Matics Zs., Gerencsér Zs., Nagy I., Szendrő Zs. 2008. Effect
  of cage height on the welfare of growing rabbits. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci., 114: 284-295.
- Rommers, J.M., Boiti C., De Jong, I., Brecchia, G., 2006. Performance and behaviour of rabbit does
  in a group-housing system with natural mating or artificial insemination. Reprod. Nutr. Dev. 46, 677–
  687.
- Stauffacher, M., 1992. Group housing and enrichment cages for breeding, fattening and laboratory
   rabbits. Animal Welfare. 1, 105–125.
- Surridge, A.K., Bell, D.J., Hewitt, G.M., 1999. From population structure to individual behaviour:
  genetic analysis of social structure in the European wild rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*). Biol. J.
  Linn. Soc. 68, 57–71.
- Szendro, Zs., 2006. Single housing of breeding does. In: Maertens L., Coudert P. (Eds.), Recent
  advances in Rabbit Sciences, ILVO, Melle, Belgium, pp. 107-111.
- Theau-Clément, M., Bolet, G., Roustan, A., Mercier, P., 1990. Comparison of different methods
  employed to induce ovulation in multiparous rabbit does, relations with the physiological status and
  the mating receptivity at the moment of the reproduction attempt. Mem. 5èmes Jour. Rech. Cunicole,
  Paris, Comm, 6.
- Theau-Clément M., 2007. Preparation of the rabbit doe to insemination: a re-view. World Rabbit Sci.,
  15 (2), 61 80.
- Theau-Clément M., Guardia S., Davoust C., Galliot P., Souchet C., Bignon L., Fortun-Lamothe L.
  2016. Performance and sustainability of two alternative rabbit breeding systems. World Rabbit Sci.,
  24 (4), 253-265.

- Tosi M.V., Ferrante V., Mattiello S., Canali E., Verga M., 2006. Comparison of video and direct
  observation methods for measuring oral behaviour in veal calves. ItaL. J. Anim. Sci. 5, 19-27.
- 530 Trocino A., Xiccato G., Queaque P.I., Sartori A., 2004. Group housing of growing rabbits: effect of
- 531 stocking density and cage floor on performance, welfare and meat quality. In: Proceedings of the 8th
- 532 Congress of the World Rabbit Science Association, Puebla, Mexico, pp. 1277–1282.
- Trocino A., Zomeño C., Filiou E., Birolo M., White P., Xiccato G. (2019). The Use of Environmental
  Enrichments Affects Performance and Behavior of Growing Rabbits Housed in Collective Pens.
  Animals, 9 (8): 537.
- Vastrade, F.M., 1986. The social behaviour of free-ranging domestic rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus
  L.) Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 16, 165–177.
- Verga, M., Dell'Orto, V., Carenzi, C., 1978. A general review and survey of maternal behaviour in
   the rabbit. Applied Animal Ethology, 4(3), 235-252.
- Verga, M., Luzi, F., Carenzi, C., 2007. Effects of husbandry and management systems on physiology
  and behavior of farmed and laboratory rabbits. Horm. Behav. 52, 122–129.
- von Holst D., 1998. The concept of stress and its relevance for animal behavior. Advances in the
  Study of Behavior 27, 1–131.
- 544 Wiepkema, P.R., Koolhaas, J. M., 1993. Stress and animal welfare. Animal Welfare. 2, 195–218.