



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

# Impact of COVID-19 on healthcare waste generation: Correlations and trends from a tertiary hospital of a developed country

# This is the author's manuscript Original Citation: Availability: This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1863880 since 2022-06-09T23:13:32Z Published version: DOI:10.1177/0734242X221074195 Terms of use: Open Access Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use

of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright

(Article begins on next page)

protection by the applicable law.

# <u>NOTE</u>

- 2 This is a <u>non-final version</u> of a paper published in final form on *Waste*
- 3 Management and Research:

4 Garlasco J, Canepari A, Giacobone G, Funicelli G, Kozel D, Bernini L,

5 Cotroneo A. Impact of COVID-19 on healthcare waste generation:

6 correlations and trends from a tertiary hospital of a developed country.

7 Waste Manag Res. 2022 Jan 28:734242X221074195. doi:

8 10.1177/0734242X221074195

9 The paper has been accepted for publication and the article is available at the

10 following link: <u>http://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X221074195</u>

11

12 13 14	Jacopo Garlasco, M.D.ª; Alessandro Canepari, M.D. <sup>b</sup> ; Gilda Giacobone <sup>c</sup> ; Gemma Funicelli, M.D. <sup>b</sup> ; Daniela Kozel, M.D. <sup>b</sup> ; Luciano Bernini, M.D. <sup>b</sup> ; Alida Cotroneo, M.D. <sup>b</sup>
15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li><sup>a</sup> Department of Public Health Sciences and Paediatrics, University of Turin, Turin, Italy</li> <li><sup>b</sup> Medical Direction Department, "SS. Antonio e Biagio e Cesare Arrigo" Hospital, Alessandria, Italy</li> <li><sup>c</sup> Logistics and Purveying Office, "SS. Antonio e Biagio e Cesare Arrigo" Hospital, Alessandria, Italy</li> </ul>
20 21 22 23	Impact of COVID-19 on healthcare waste generation: correlations and trends from a tertiary hospital of a developed country
24 25	Abstract
26	The SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) Coronavirus pandemic has represented an
27	emergency not only from a clinical point of view, but also for the environment due
28	to the largely increased waste disposal. This study aimed at estimating, in the
29	context of current trends, the increase in healthcare waste (HW) generation
30	during the outbreak, based on data from a tertiary hospital. From the purveying

2

office statements of "SS Antonio e Biagio e Cesare Arrigo" Hospital of

Alessandria (Italy), monthly HW generation data from January 2015 to March

2021 were retrospectively retrieved. Trends and COVID's impact were evaluated

by Interrupted-Time Series design with linear regression models. Locally

Weighted Scatterplot Smoothing was used to model the relation between

infectious HW generation and proportion of COVID-related bed days. HW

31

32

33

34

35

generation rose from 35.9±3.8 tonnes month<sup>-1</sup> (2.4±0.2 kg per patient-day, kg PD<sup>-</sup> 37 <sup>1</sup>) in 2015-2019, to 46.3 $\pm$ 6.0 tonnes month<sup>-1</sup> (3.3 $\pm$ 0.7 kg PD<sup>-1</sup>) during the 38 outbreak. The increasing trend was not appreciably modified as for its slope 39 (p=0.363), while a significant level change was found between baseline and 40 41 outbreak (+0.72 kg PD<sup>-1</sup>, p<0.001). The proportion of COVID-related bed days non-linearly affected the infectious HW generated per patient-day, with steeper 42 increases for proportions above 20%. The study showed a significant rise in HW 43 generation in 2020-2021, reasonably due to the COVID outbreak; in addition, the 44 generally increasing trend was not affected. Therefore, urgent measures are 45 46 needed to conciliate safety requirements with HW generation issues.

47

48

Keywords: Healthcare waste, Waste generation, Infectious waste, COVID-19
 outbreak, Hospitalisation days, Trend analyses

63	
62	of a developed country
61	correlations and trends from a tertiary hospital
60	Impact of COVID-19 on healthcare waste generation:
59	
58	Alessandria, Italy
56 57	Clogistics and Purveving Office "SS Antonio e Biagio e Cesare Arrigo" Hospital
55	<sup>o</sup> Medical Direction Department, "SS. Antonio e Biagio e Cesare Arrigo" Hospital,
54	<sup>a</sup> Department of Public Health Sciences and Paediatrics, University of Turin, Turin, Italy
53	M.D. <sup>b</sup>
52	Funicelli, M.D. <sup>b</sup> ; Daniela Kozel, M.D. <sup>b</sup> ; Luciano Bernini, M.D. <sup>b</sup> ; Alida Cotroneo,
51	Jacopo Garlasco, M.D.ª; Alessandro Canepari, M.D. <sup>D</sup> ; Gilda Giacobone <sup>c</sup> ; Gemma

The increase in hospital waste generation has represented an emerging issue 65 over the last few decades, both in developing and developed countries, as a 66 product of population (Korkut, 2018) and income growths (Windfeld and Brooks, 67 2015), and therefore of the increase in health service provision. Moreover, the 68 greater attention paid to the prevention of healthcare-associated infections has 69 encouraged using disposable medical items, with consequently higher waste 70 disposal (Hicks et al., 2021; Tsai, 2021). 71 72 The SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) Coronavirus pandemic has represented a

73 disruptive event: more than 180 million people have been diagnosed with COVID-

<sup>74</sup> 19 worldwide as of 1<sup>st</sup> July, and the number of confirmed cases is still increasing

(World Health Organization, 2021). Owing to the virus's infectiousness and the 75 consequent magnitude of the phenomenon, COVID-19 has represented an 76 emergency also from an environmental and operative perspective, since it has 77 enhanced medical waste production due to use of personal protective equipment 78 79 (PPE) (Liang et al., 2021) such as face masks, disposable gloves and gowns, overboots and single-use head coverings, mainly composed of plastic materials 80 (Henneberry, 2020; Klemeš et al., 2020). The amounts of face masks disposed 81 of during the pandemic, for instance, have been estimated to be at least 42 million 82 per week in the United Kingdom and 214 million per week in the United States 83 (Selvaranjan et al., 2021). 84

The impact of the pandemic on healthcare waste production has been widely acknowledged as alarming (De Aguiar Hugo and Da Silva Lima, 2021; Sarkodie and Owusu, 2021): beside the broad usage of the already mentioned PPE, the pandemic expanded the need for several items, such as respirators and other life-support devices, individual safety appliances and other disposable plasticbased tools (Rupani et al., 2020), such as gauzes, bandages, plasters, laboratory plates and vials.

As a result, waste production boomed all over the world after the COVID-19
 outbreak, especially in the most densely populated areas: for example, China
 recorded noticeable increases in medical waste from baseline (4902.8 tonnes)

day<sup>-1</sup>) to post-outbreak levels (6606.8 tonnes day<sup>-1</sup>) (Ma et al., 2020), while waste
in the United States is estimated to have increased from 5 million tons (i.e. 4.5
million tonnes) per year to 2.5 million tons (i.e. 2.3 million tonnes) per month (Ilyas
et al., 2020) and South Korea saw its daily generated medical waste increasing
from less than 10 tonnes before the pandemic to a peak of 50 tonnes in April
2020 (United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific,
2020).

Following the classification reported in the Supplementary Material (see §1, 102 Nomenclature caveats), in order to provide nomenclature consistency throughout 103 104 the paper, the term healthcare waste (HW) will be used to indicate all monitored hazardous waste (i.e. toxic, chemical, infectious waste), without including 105 municipal-like waste (not included in the hospital's routine surveillance: see 106 107 Materials and Methods below), while the term *infectious healthcare waste* (IHW) will be used to specifically address waste at high biological and infectious risk (a 108 subset of HW). 109

Many studies have been conducted to quantify the impact of the pandemic on medical waste production by healthcare facilities (Kalantary et al., 2021; Ma et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021). However, to our knowledge, no analyses of such impact of COVID-19 have been performed in the context of HW generation trends yet.

Hence, this study aimed at estimating the increase in HW production during the COVID-19 outbreak and performing an analysis of the impact of the pandemic in the context of current trends, based on a 6-year data framework from a tertiarycare hospital of a developed country.

119

### 120 Materials and methods

### 121 Data collection

Data on waste generation were retrospectively retrieved from the statements of the purveying office of "SS. Antonio e Biagio e Cesare Arrigo" Hospital of Alessandria (Italy), a hub hospital providing care to a 600,000-inhabitant area: monthly data were collected between January 2015 and March 2021. These statements included the net weight and the respective category of HW (see Supplementary Material, §1, for detailed explanation) generated, on aggregate, by the whole facility, including all hospital wards and departments.

This precise routine monitoring of waste generation included HW only, i.e. all waste with a different stream and management compared to regular municipal waste: hence, all that concerned the hospital's municipal-like waste (e.g. paper, plastic, wood, iron, glass etc., whose disposal happened after no contact with patients) was not included in this analysis due to the absence of reliable data.

Data concerning the total number of hospitalisation days and the number of those 134 135 related to COVID-19 were extracted by the Accounting and Management Department of the hospital. All these data were collected in an aggregate form 136 only, without any chance of identification of any individual, which ensured 137 138 conformity of the study with the Italian (Law 2003/196) and European (Regulation EC/2016/679) regulatory framework for data protection and privacy. Thus, a final 139 Microsoft Excel database was built, including all the information required for 140 statistical analyses. 141

### 142 Endpoints

The primary outcomes identified for the analyses were the amounts of HW generated each month by the hospital (taking into account both the total amount and IHW only), considered firstly in absolute form and secondly in relation to patient-days. The relative COVID-related hospital load was quantified through the percentage of hospitalisation days due to positive patients out of the total.

### 148 Statistical analysis

Segmented linear regression models were built following the Interrupted Time Series (ITS) method (Bernal et al., 2017), in order to detect trends and level changes in HW generation after the COVID outbreak, by allowing also for the presence of an interaction term to quantify the possible slope change (see

Supplementary Material for detail about statistical design, model building anddiagnostics).

155 Correlation between the amounts of HW generated (in relation to bed-days) and 156 the fraction of bed-days that could be ascribed to COVID-19 was investigated 157 through Pearson's correlation coefficient. Moreover, starting from the available 158 data, the Locally Weighted Scatterplot Smoothing (LOESS) regression (Wilcox, 159 2017) was used to attempt to estimate the general trend of HW generation in 160 relation to the percentage of bed-days related to COVID-19.

The same analyses were also performed by considering the subset of HW that was classified as IHW, so as to detect possible differences in trends and impact of COVID-19 between various waste categories.

In all cases the significance level was set at  $\alpha$ =0.05. The statistical software R (version 4.0.5) was used for all computation and plotting (R Core Team, 2020): in particular, the "rms" (Harrell Jr, 2021) and "Imtest" (Zeileis and Hothorn, 2002) packages were used to build the models and to perform relevant diagnostics.

168

# 169 **Results**

### 170 **Descriptive statistics**

Seventy-five monthly observations were available for the analysis, all including 171 172 data regarding both bed-days and HW generation. Descriptive statistics for the hospital's bed occupancy and waste generation are reported in the 173 Supplementary Material. The proportion of COVID-related bed-days varied 174 175 according to the epidemic waves, ranging from 3-10% in lower-incidence months (from June to October 2020, and in February-March 2021) to 16-34% in acute 176 phases (in March-April 2020, and from November 2020 to January 2021), when 177 most of the hospital's activity was related to emergency treatments. 178

### 179 Trend analyses

180 Considering the whole pre-COVID period (from January 2015 to January 2020), an overall increasing trend was detected for the total generation of HW, with an 181 average increase in the total amount of monthly HW by 1.5 tonnes year<sup>-1</sup> (p < 1182 0.0001). The occurrence of the COVID-19 outbreak caused a level change in total 183 HW production, with an increase by 6.1 tonnes month<sup>-1</sup> compared to the baseline 184 185 level (p = 0.0001), but the slope of the rising trend was not apparently modified by the pandemic (Likelihood Ratio Test, LRT: p = 0.3628, Fig. 1). IHW was 186 responsible for the greatest part of the increasing trend (+1.23 tonnes month<sup>-1</sup> 187 188 per year, p = 0.0002), and its level change after the COVID outbreak was even higher compared to that of the total amount of monitored HW (+6.3 tonnes month-189 <sup>1</sup>, p < 0.0001; the trend is shown in Fig. 2a). 190



Fig. 1. HW generation trend in "SS. Antonio e Biagio e Cesare Arrigo"
Hospital, Alessandria, 2015-2021. All healthcare waste is included, as
defined in the Introduction.



Fig. 2. IHW and non-infectious HW generation trends in "SS. Antonio
e Biagio e Cesare Arrigo" Hospital, Alessandria, 2015-2021. Plot (a)
includes infectious waste only, as specified in the Supplementary File, while
(b) includes non-infectious (toxic and chemical) waste products.

202	This was compensated by a slight decrease (-0.19 tonnes month <sup>-1</sup> ), though not
203	statistically significant ( $p = 0.5848$ ), in the production of other kinds of HW in the
204	COVID period compared to baseline. However, even this waste category showed
205	an increasing trend over time (+274 kg month <sup>-1</sup> every year) and, after a trough in
206	the most acute phases of the pandemic (March-April 2020), monthly generation
207	rapidly tended to rise back to levels forecast by the pre-COVID trend (Fig. 2b).

208 These absolute figures corresponded to a rise in the total HW produced per patient-day (+0.72 kg PD<sup>-1</sup> in the pandemic compared to baseline, p < 0.0001), 209 of which almost the totality was represented by IHW (+0.7 kg PD<sup>-1</sup>, p < 0.0001). 210 211 Even in relation to bed days, the rising trend was not significantly affected by the outbreak (LRT: p = 0.532) since, both in the pre-COVID and the COVID period, 212 HW generation appeared to grow by around 0.08 kg PD<sup>-1</sup> per year (p = 0.0072). 213 Full detail of the coefficients of all models is reported in Table 1, while trends for 214 HW and IHW generation are depicted in the Supplementary Material (Fig. S1 and 215 S2, respectively). 216

217

**Table 1. Model coefficients of ITS regression analyses.** For all outcomes (first column), the model without interaction (no slope change) was chosen, according to the criteria specified in the Supplementary Files (see §2): the second column shows the *p*-values yielded by the corresponding LRTs (comparing models with/without interaction). The following columns represent the trend coefficients ( $\beta_1$  = monthly increase,  $\beta_{12}$  = annual increase) and the estimated COVID-related level change ( $\beta_{COVID}$ ), along with respective *p*-values.

Outcome [unit]	LRT <i>p</i> -value (interaction)	Trend coefficients and level change [95% CI]	p-value
Total monthly HW	0.3628 (interaction removed)	$\beta_1 = +0.125 [+0.071; +0.179]$ $\beta_{12} = +1.502 [+0.854; +2.150]$	< 0.0001*
[tonnes month <sup>-1</sup> ]		$\beta_{\text{COVID}} = +6.129 [+3.128; +9.129]$	0.0001*
Total HW per patient-day	0.532	$\beta_1 = +0.006 [+0.002; +0.011]$ $\beta_{12} = +0.076 [+0.021; +0.131]$	0.0072*
[kg PD <sup>-1</sup> ]	removed)	$\beta_{\text{COVID}} = +0.723 \ [+0.468; +0.978]$	< 0.0001*
Monthly infectious HW	0.2457 (interaction removed)	$\beta_1 = +0.102 [+0.051; +0.154]$ $\beta_{12} = +1.229 [+0.609; +1.849]$	0.0002*
[tonnes month <sup>-1</sup> ]		removed)	$\beta_{\text{COVID}} = +6.319 [+3.448; +9.189]$
Infectious HW per	0.326	$\beta_1 = +0.005 [+0.001; +0.009]$ $\beta_{12} = +0.061 [+0.008; +0.114]$	0.0254*
patient-day [kg PD <sup>-1</sup> ]	removed)	$\beta_{\text{COVID}} = +0.700 [+0.452; +0.949]$	< 0.0001*
Monthly non-infectious	0.3883 (interaction	$\beta_1 = +0.023 [+0.010; +0.035]$ $\beta_{12} = +0.274 [+0.124; +0.423]$	0.0005*
	removed)	$\beta_{\text{COVID}} = -0.190 [-0.881; +0.500]$	0.5848

226

### 228 Correlation between COVID-related load and amount of IHW

The amount of IHW generated per patient-day was positively correlated with the proportion of hospital load ascribable to COVID-19 disease (Pearson's r = 0.687, p = 0.0046). Moreover, with acceptable goodness of fit (Residual Standard Error: RSE = 0.4533), the LOESS smoothing suggested for the non-linearity of this association, with a greater increase of HW generation when the proportion of COVID-related hospitalisation days exceeded 20% (Fig. 3).



235

Fig. 3. Correlation between COVID-related hospital load and IHW generation per patient-day. For each month, the relative weight of COVID-19 is expressed as percentage of hospitalisation days ascribable to COVID-positive patients out of the total. The trend identified by the LOESS regression is shown by the red line (and its 95% confidence interval, shaded area).

# 243 **Discussion**

The first result emerging from trend analyses was a general increase in HW 244 production over time. Even in the pre-COVID era, the amounts of HW tended to 245 grow over time (+1.5 tonnes month<sup>-1</sup> every year, Fig. 1): this was consistent with 246 rising trends found in previous analyses of HW generation, where growths up to 247 +36.6% have been recorded over a 4-year time span (Maamari et al., 2015). 248 Moreover, also considering data in relation to patient-days, the growth estimated 249 250 by the model (+0.076 kg PD<sup>-1</sup> every year, Fig. S1) was absolutely comparable to the results obtained before the pandemic, when a 1.25-kg PD<sup>-1</sup> increase over 17 251 years (i.e. averagely around +0.074 kg PD<sup>-1</sup> every year) was demonstrated 252 (Korkut, 2018). 253

The SARS-CoV-2 outbreak disruptively enhanced this process, first of all 254 because of the systematic introduction of PPE for healthcare workers (Hantoko 255 256 et al., 2021). In our experience, median hospital waste production rose from 2.4 (pre-COVID) to 3.3 kg PD<sup>-1</sup> (during COVID), with a relative increase 257 258 (approximately +38%) that was consistent with data obtained for medical waste 259 both in similar contexts (e.g. France and the Netherlands) (Wei and Manyu, 2021) 260 and in different geographical areas such as China (Ma et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021). Furthermore, the absolute increase (+0.8 kg PD<sup>-1</sup>) was aligned with results 261

reported for medical waste generation in hospital settings: recent studies reported
increases up to +3.5 kg PD<sup>-1</sup> in hospital waste (Kalantary et al., 2021; ZambranoMonserrate et al., 2020), of which 15-25% is estimated to be represented by IHW
(Voudrias and Graikos, 2014; World Health Organization, 2018).

266 Beside the need to wear PPE for all healthcare and administrative personnel (Nzediegwu and Chang, 2020; Sangkham, 2020), patients' stay itself entailed a 267 268 higher amount of IHW generated in hospitals: for example, needles and swabs used for routine diagnostics, discarded diapers or disposable cutlery used by 269 COVID-positive patients for everyday meals (Das et al., 2021). Due to the 270 271 persistence of coronaviruses in the common environment (Kampf et al., 2020), all these kinds of waste were considered as potential vehicles of SARS-CoV-2 272 transmission (Torkashvand et al., 2021) and therefore treated as hazardous 273 274 waste according to international guidelines (European Commission, 2020; World Health Organization and United Nations Children's Fund, 2020), even though 275 more recent research has shown that the virus is unlikely to be effectively 276 transmitted through contaminated surfaces or waste (De-La-Torre et al., 2021; 277 Delfino Barboza et al., 2021). 278

Almost all the increase of HW generated per patient-day (+0.7 out of +0.72 kg PD<sup>-1</sup>) was represented by biologically hazardous waste. In fact, during the pandemic, the percentage of medical waste that could be ascribed to infectious

sanitary waste rose up to 89% in some settings (Kalantary et al., 2021), which
was definitely outstanding considering that, before the pandemic, the same
proportion did not usually exceed 51-63% in industrialised and developing
countries respectively (Aghapour et al., 2013; Diaz et al., 2008).

286 The absolute increase in IHW even exceeded the overall HW increase, while the production of non-infectious waste tended to be reduced during the pandemic. 287 This was linked to the decrease in routine medical services provided by the 288 hospital, similarly to what happened in most hospitals during the re-organisation 289 of their healthcare activities (Panteli, 2020): all diagnostic and therapeutic 290 291 procedures not strictly related to SARS-CoV-2 management were reduced to a 292 minimum (Amador et al., 2021; Rodríguez-Leor et al., 2020) and, consequently, also the related use of reagents and cytotoxic liquids remarkably dropped. 293 294 However, after a trough in non-infectious HW production in the very first months of the pandemic, this apparent decrease was rapidly followed by a rebound (Fig. 295 296 2b), plausibly due to the attempt to restart services previously suspended owing to COVID-19 (Webb et al., 2020). Moreover, infectious and non-infectious HW 297 generation trends might have been also affected by the fact that, in an initial 298 299 stage, the potential transmission of the virus through waste was still unclear, and thus some items could have been precautionarily classified as hazardous rather 300 301 than non-hazardous.

The exceptionality of the COVID-related production of IHW is still more evident 302 303 since IHW generation followed a non-linear pattern according to the relative COVID-related occupancy (Fig. 3). Studies conducted before the pandemic had 304 generally found linear correlations between bed occupancy and daily produced 305 306 waste at a given time point (Maamari et al., 2015; Sanida et al., 2010): on the 307 contrary, in our experience, higher rates of COVID-positive patients seemed to shove the amount of IHW, particularly when more than 20% of all hospitalisation 308 309 days were related to COVID. This was probably due to the saturation of the hospital's capacity to provide health care, and to the subsequent adoption of 310 311 emergency solutions (e.g. patient allocation to other wards and, consequently, further safety measures and disposable items required), which resulted into even 312 313 higher HW production.

314 However, the most significant (and worrying) output of this analysis is the apparently unmodified rising trend: this means that the increase in HW is currently 315 being confirmed and COVID-19 has simply shifted HW generation to still higher 316 levels. Such considerations acquire greater relevance as future scenarios are 317 uncertain (Skegg et al., 2021) and the occurrence of variants possibly escaping 318 319 vaccine-induced immunity (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021; Kustin et al., 2021) is suggestive of an extension of the COVID period, with 320 potentially unbearable environmental impacts (Wei et al., 2021). In fact, the 321

demand for PPE is estimated to rise at a 6-9% rate up to 2025, even though higher increases are not to be ruled out due to variants' potential impact (International Finance Corporation - World Bank Group, 2020).

This study has some limitations. First of all, HW data could be only collected on 325 326 a monthly basis, with the possibility of a slight time lag (1-5 days) between waste generation, collection and recording; however, these possible fluctuations are 327 likely to be only small and not systematic. Secondly, data were available in an 328 aggregate form only, as this surveillance is not routinely performed at a ward 329 level, which made it impossible to perform between-ward comparisons and 330 331 correlations that might have offered further research ideas. Eventually, as explained above, our data did not include monitoring of municipal-like waste 332 produced by the hospital, which accounts for an allegedly high proportion of the 333 waste generated by the hospital. Further investigation would be needed by 334 including also this category of waste: nevertheless, our analysis already provides 335 an interesting insight of current trends in HW generation, particularly concerning 336 hazardous medical waste, which is the category of medical waste requiring the 337 most challenging and expensive management (Mol and Caldas, 2020; Windfeld 338 339 and Brooks, 2015).

340

# 341 Conclusions

Waste generation in healthcare settings has represented an increasingly critical issue in the last decades: the COVID-19 pandemic has still enhanced this unenviable rising trends, by requiring additional precautions in clinical care at the expense of a sudden steep increase in HW generation and, consequently, of high environmental costs (Patrício Silva et al., 2020).

This study confirms that, in addition to the already critical burst due to COVID-19, 347 the trend is still heading towards an increase in HW generation, which urges that 348 349 measures be taken to conciliate patient safety requirements with the need to lower the impact of HW. As the definition and management of infectious waste 350 widely differ between diverse contexts (Mühlich et al., 2003), it would be 351 interesting to perform a similar surveillance in some other facilities both in the 352 same geographical region (Italy) and in different countries, to spot possible 353 354 differences between various hospitals and to achieve a global perception of hospital waste generation dynamics before and after the outbreak. 355

### 357 Acknowledgements

We gratefully thank Roberta Bellini, Corrado Gualco and Cristian Zanelli (Accounting and Management Department, "SS. Antonio e Biagio e Cesare Arrigo" Hospital, Alessandria) for providing aggregate data on hospitalisation days, both related and not related to COVID-19.

362

363	Fu	ndiı	na:	None

364

### 365 **Data Statement**

- All data collected and analysed for this study, and the relevant computing code,
- are available upon request to the Corresponding Author of this paper.

368

### 369 **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

# **References**

374	Aghapour P, Nabizadeh R, Nouri J, Monavari M and Yaghmaeian K (2013) Analysis of
375	hospital waste using a healthcare waste management index. <i>Toxicological &amp;</i>
376	<i>Environmental Chemistry</i> 95(4): 579–589.
377	https://doi.org/10.1080/02772248.2013.802792
378	Amador M, Matias-Guiu X, Sancho-Pardo G, Contreras Martinez J, de la Torre-
379	Montero JC, Peñuelas Saiz A, Garrido P, García-Sanz R, Rodríguez-Lescure Á,
380	and Paz-Ares L (2021) Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the care of cancer
381	patients in Spain. <i>ESMO Open</i> 6: 100157.
382	<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esmoop.2021.100157</u>
383	Bernal JL, Cummins S and Gasparrini A (2017) Interrupted time series regression for
384	the evaluation of public health interventions: A tutorial. <i>International Journal of</i>
385	<i>Epidemiology</i> 46(1): 348–355. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyw098</u>
386	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2021) COVID-19 Vaccine Breakthrough
387	Infections Reported to CDC. <i>Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report</i> . Available at:
388	<u>https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/70/wr/mm7021e3.htm</u> (accessed 25 July
389	2021)
390 391 392	Das AK, Islam N, Billah M and Sarker A (2021) COVID-19 pandemic and healthcare solid waste management strategy – A mini-review. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> 778: 146220. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146220</u>
393	De Aguiar Hugo A and Da Silva Lima R (2021) Healthcare waste management
394	assessment: Challenges for hospitals in COVID-19 pandemic times. <i>Waste</i>
395	<i>Management &amp; Research</i> 39(1): Supplement 56-63.
396	<u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X211010362</u>
397 398 399 400	De-La-Torre GE, Pizarro-Ortega CI, Dioses-Salinas DC, Ammendolia J, Okoffo ED (2021) Investigating the current status of COVID-19 related plastics and their potential impact on human health. <i>Current Opinion in Toxicology</i> 27: 47–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cotox.2021.08.002
401	Delfino Barboza S, Torres KJ, Vipond J, Lee J, Hallborg O, Guillaumie L, Radu R,
402	Zaller N, Luby S, Fuller T, Sattler B, English P, Klimen SL, Bergen M, Lee K <i>et al</i>
403	(2021) Health Expert Statement Addressing Safety of Reusables and COVID-19.
404	Available at: <u>https://www.greenpeace.org/usa/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Health-</u>
405	Expert-Statement 125-experts.pdf (accessed 26 December 2021)
406	Diaz LF, Eggerth LL, Enkhtsetseg SH and Savage GM (2008) Characteristics of
407	healthcare wastes. <i>Waste Management</i> 28(7): 1219–1226.
408	<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2007.04.010</u>
409 410	European Commission (2020) Waste management in the context of the coronavirus crisis. Available at:
411	nitps://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/default/files/waste_management_guidance_dg-
412	env.pdf (accessed 25 July 2021).

413	Greenberg RS and Kleinbaum DG (1985) Mathematical Modeling Strategies for the
414	Analysis of Epidemiologic Research. <i>Annual Review of Public Health</i> 6(1): 223–
415	245. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pu.06.050185.001255
416	Hantoko D, Li X, Pariatamby A, Yoshikawa K, Horttanainen M and Yan M (2021)
417	Challenges and practices on waste management and disposal during COVID-19
418	pandemic. <i>Journal of Environmental Management</i> 286: 112140.
419	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112140
420	Harrell Jr FE (2021) rms: Regression Modeling Strategies. <i>R Package version 6.2-0</i> .
421	Available at: <u>https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/rms/rms.pdf</u> (accessed 25
422	July 2021).
423	Henneberry B (2020) How Surgical Masks Are Made. <i>THOMASnet</i> . Available at:
424	<u>https://www.thomasnet.com/articles/other/how-surgical-masks-are-made</u>
425	(accessed 25 July 2021).
426	Hicks A, Temizel-Sekeryan S, Kontar W, Ghamkhar R and Rodríguez Morris M (2021)
427	Personal respiratory protection and resiliency in a pandemic, the evolving
428	disposable versus reusable debate and its effect on waste generation. <i>Resources,</i>
429	<i>Conservation and Recycling</i> 168: 105262.
430	<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105262</u>
431 432 433	Ilyas S, Srivastava RR and Kim H (2020) Disinfection technology and strategies for COVID-19 hospital and bio-medical waste management. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> 749: 141652. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141652</u>
434	International Finance Corporation - World Bank Group (2020) Covid-19 – PPE demand
435	& supply perspectives: Final Report. <i>Foreign, Commonwealth and Development</i>
436	<i>Office</i> . Available at: <u>https://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/1d32e536-76cc-4023-</u>
437	<u>9430-</u>
438	<u>1333d6b92cc6/210402_FCDO_GlobalPPE_Final+report_v14updated_gja.pdf?MO</u>
439	<u>D=AJPERES&amp;CVID=nyiUnTU</u> (accessed 25 July 2021)
440 441 442 443 444	Kalantary RR, Jamshidi A, Golbini Mofrad MM, Jonidi Jafari A, Heidari N, Fallahizadeh S, Hesami Arani M and Torkashvand J (2021) Effect of COVID-19 pandemic on medical waste management: a case study. <i>Journal of Environmental Health Science and Engineering</i> 19: 831–836. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40201-021-00650-9</u>
445	Kampf G, Todt D, Pfaender S, and Steinmann E (2020) Persistence of coronaviruses
446	on inanimate surfaces and their inactivation with biocidal agents. <i>Journal of</i>
447	<i>Hospital Infection</i> 104(3): 246–251. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.01.022</u>
448	Klemeš JJ, Fan YV, Tan RR and Jiang P (2020) Minimising the present and future
449	plastic waste, energy and environmental footprints related to COVID-19.
450	<i>Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews</i> 127: 109883.
451	<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.109883</u>
452	Korkut EN (2018) Estimations and analysis of medical waste amounts in the city of
453	Istanbul and proposing a new approach for the estimation of future medical waste

454 455	amounts. <i>Waste Management</i> 81: 168–176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2018.10.004
456 457 458 459 460 461 462	Kustin T, Harel N, Finkel U, Perchik S, Harari S, Tahor M, Caspi I, Levy R, Leshchinsky M, Ken Dror S, Bergerzon G, Gadban H, Gadban F, Eliassian E, Shimron O, Saleh L, Ben-Zvi H, Keren Taraday E, Amichay D, Ben-Dor A, Sagas D, Strauss M, Shemer Avni Y, Huppert A, Kepten E, Balicer RD, Netzer D, Ben-Shachar S and Stern A (2021) Evidence for increased breakthrough rates of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern in BNT162b2-mRNA-vaccinated individuals. <i>Nature Medicine</i> . Epub ahead of print 14 June 2021. DOI: <u>10.1038/s41591-021-01413-7</u>
463 464 465 466	Liang Y, Song Q, Wu N, Li J, Zhong Y and Zeng W (2021). Repercussions of COVID- 19 pandemic on solid waste generation and management strategies. <i>Frontiers of</i> <i>Environmental Science and Engineering</i> 15(6): 115–132. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11783-021-1407-5</u>
467 468 469 470	Ma Y, Lin X, Wu A, Huang Q, Li X and Yan J (2020) Suggested guidelines for emergency treatment of medical waste during COVID-19: Chinese experience. Waste Disposal & Sustainable Energy 2(2): 81–84. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s42768-020-00039-8</u>
471 472 473	Maamari O, Brandam C, Lteif R and Salameh D (2015) Health Care Waste generation rates and patterns: The case of Lebanon. <i>Waste Management</i> 43: 550–554. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2015.05.005</u>
474 475 476	Mol MPG and Caldas S (2020) Can the human coronavirus epidemic also spread through solid waste? <i>Waste Management &amp; Research</i> 38(5): 485–486. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X20918312</u>
477 478 479	Mühlich M, Scherrer M and Daschner FD (2003) Comparison of infectious waste management in European hospitals. <i>Journal of Hospital Infection</i> 55(4): 260–268. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2003.08.017</u>
480 481 482	Nzediegwu C and Chang SX (2020) Improper solid waste management increases potential for COVID-19 spread in developing countries. <i>Resources, Conservation</i> <i>and Recycling</i> 161: 104947. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104947</u>
483 484 485 486	Panteli D (2020) How are countries reorganizing non-COVID-19 health service delivery? <i>The COVID-19 Health Systems Response Monitor (HSRM)</i> . Available at: <u>https://analysis.covid19healthsystem.org/index.php/2020/05/06/how-are-countries-reorganizing-non-covid-19-health-care-service-delivery</u> (accessed 25 July 2021).
487 488 489 490 491	Patrício Silva AL, Prata JC, Walker TR, Campos D, Duarte AC, Soares AMVM, Barcelò D and Rocha-Santos T (2020). Rethinking and optimising plastic waste management under COVID-19 pandemic: Policy solutions based on redesign and reduction of single-use plastics and personal protective equipment. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> 742: 140565. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140565
492 493 494	R Core Team (2020) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. <i>R</i> <i>Foundation for Statistical Computing</i> . Available at: <u>https://www.r-project.org</u> (accessed 25 July 2021).

495 496 497 498 499	Rodríguez-Leor O, Cid-Álvarez B, Ojeda S, Martín-Moreiras J, Ramón Rumoroso J, López-Palop R, Serrador A, Cequier Á, Romaguera R, Cruz I, de Prado AP and Moreno R (2020) Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on interventional cardiology activity in Spain. <i>REC: Interventional Cardiology</i> 2: 82–89. <u>https://doi.org/10.24875/RECICE.M20000123</u>
500 501 502 503	Rupani PF, Nilashi M, Abumalloh RA, Asadi S, Samad S and Wang S (2020) Coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19) and its natural environmental impacts. <i>International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology</i> 17(11): 4655– 4666. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-020-02910-x</u>
504 505	Rutala WA and Mayhall CG (1992) Medical waste. <i>Infection Control &amp; Hospital Epidemiology</i> 13(1): 38–48. <u>https://doi.org/10.1086/646421</u>
506 507 508	Sangkham S (2020) Face mask and medical waste disposal during the novel COVID- 19 pandemic in Asia. <i>Case Studies in Chemical and Environmental Engineering</i> 2: 100052. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cscee.2020.100052</u>
509 510 511 512 513	Sanida G, Karagiannidis A, Mavidou F, Vartzopoulos D, Moussiopoulos N and Chatzopoulos S (2010) Assessing generated quantities of infectious medical wastes: A case study for a health region administration in Central Macedonia, Greece. <i>Waste Management</i> 30: 532–538. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2008.11.019
514 515 516	Sarkodie SA and Owusu PA (2021) Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on waste management. <i>Environment, Development and Sustainability</i> 23(5): 7951–7960. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-020-00956-y
517 518 519 520	Selvaranjan K, Navaratnam S, Rajeev P, Ravintherakumaran N (2021) Environmental challenges induced by extensive use of face masks during COVID-19: A review and potential solutions. <i>Environmental Challenges</i> 3: 100039. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envc.2021.100039
521 522 523	Skegg D, Gluckman P, Boulton G, Hackmann H, Karim SSA, Piot P and Woopen C (2021) Future scenarios for the COVID-19 pandemic. <i>Lancet</i> 397(10276): 777–778. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00424-4</u>
524 525 526 527 528	Torkashvand J, Jonidi Jafari A, Godini K, Kazemi Zahra, Kazemi Zohre and Farzadkia M (2021) Municipal solid waste management during COVID-19 pandemic: a comparison between the current activities and guidelines. <i>Journal of Environmental Health Science and Engineering</i> 19(1): 173–179. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40201-020-00591-9
529 530 531	Tsai W-T (2021) Analysis of medical waste management and impact analysis of COVID-19 on its generation in Taiwan. <i>Waste Management &amp; Research</i> 39(1): Supplement 27–33. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X21996803</u>
532 533 534 535	United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (2020) The safe waste treatment for COVID-19 - Lessons from the Republic of Korea. <i>ESCAP Report.</i> Available at: <u>https://www.unescap.org/sites/default/d8files/knowledge-products/200514%20waste%20management%20for%20COVID-</u>

536	<u>19%28edited%29%20FINAL.pdf</u> (accessed 25 July 2021)
537	Voudrias E and Graikos A (2014) Infectious Medical Waste Management System at the
538	Regional Level. <i>Journal of Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste</i> 18(4): 1–9.
539	<u>https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)hz.2153-5515.0000225</u>
540	<ul> <li>Wang J, Chen Zhuqi, Lang X, Wang S, Yang L, Wu X, Zhou X and Chen Zhulei (2021)</li></ul>
541	Quantitative evaluation of infectious health care wastes from numbers of
542	confirmed, suspected and out-patients during COVID-19 pandemic: A case study
543	of Wuhan. <i>Waste Management</i> 126: 323–330.
544	<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2021.03.026</u>
545 546 547 548 549 550 551	<ul> <li>Webb E, Hernández-Quevedo C, Scarpetti G, Edwards N, Reed S, Gandré C, Or Z, Cascini F, Winkelmann J, Kroneman M, De Jong J, Bernal-Delgado E, Angulo-Pueyo E, Estupiñán-Romero F, Rajan S and Chandran S (2020) Restarting More Routine Hospital Activities During Covid-19: Approaches From Six Countries. <i>Eurohealth</i> 26(2): 68–73.</li> <li><u>https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/336300/Eurohealth-26-2-68-72-eng.pdf</u></li> </ul>
552 553 554 555	Wei G and Manyu L (2021) The Hidden Risks of Medical Waste and the COVID-19 Pandemic. <i>Waste360</i> . Available at: <u>https://www.waste360.com/medical-</u> <u>waste/hidden-risks-medical-waste-and-covid-19-pandemic</u> (accessed 25 July 2021)
556 557 558	Wei Y, Cui M, Ye Z and Guo Q (2021) Environmental challenges from the increasing medical waste since SARS outbreak. <i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i> 291: 125246. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125246</u>
559	Wilcox R (2017) The regression smoother LOWESS: A confidence band that allows
560	heteroscedasticity and has some specified simultaneous probability coverage.
561	<i>Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods</i> 16(2): 29–38.
562	<u>https://doi.org/10.22237/jmasm/1509494580</u>
563	Windfeld ES and Brooks MSL (2015) Medical waste management - A review. <i>Journal</i>
564	of Environmental Management 163: 98–108.
565	<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.08.013</u>
566 567	World Health Organization (2021) WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard. Available at: <u>https://covid19.who.int</u> (accessed 02 July 2021)
568	World Health Organization (2018) Health-care waste. <i>Fact Sheets</i> . Available at:
569	<u>https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/health-care-waste</u> (accessed 25
570	July 2021)
571	World Health Organization and United Nations Children's Fund (2020) Water,
572	sanitation, hygiene, and waste management for SARS-CoV-2, the virus that
573	causes COVID-19: Interim guidance WHO Publication Office. Available at:
574	<u>https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/water-sanitation-hygiene-and-waste-</u>
575	management-for-the-covid-19-virus-interim-guidance (accessed 25. July 2021)
576	Zambrano-Monserrate MA, Ruano MA and Sanchez-Alcalde L (2020) Indirect effects of

- 577 COVID-19 on the environment. *Science of the Total Environment* 728: 138813. 578 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138813</u>
- Zeileis A and Hothorn T (2002) Diagnostic Checking in Regression Relationships. *R News* 2: 7–10. <u>https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/Imtest/vignettes/Imtest-</u>
   <u>intro.pdf</u>