



# AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

# Microbiome and -omics application in food industry

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original Citation:		
Availability:		
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1864783	since	2024-12-13T11:39:30Z
Published version:		
DOI:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2022.109781		
Terms of use:		
Open Access  Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.		

(Article begins on next page)

# 1 Microbiome and -omics application in food industry

# 2 Ilario Ferrocino\*, Kalliopi Rantsiou and Luca Cocolin

- 3 DISAFA-Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences, University of Torino, Torino, Italy
- 4 \*Corresponding author: Ilario Ferrocino (Ilario.ferrocino@unito.it)

8 ABSTRACT

The enormous potential of multi-omics approaches to unravel microbiome-related links between food quality, sustainability and safety still requires experimental work and extensive data integration to increase knowledge and understand the biological and ecological processes involved in the assembly and dynamics of microbial communities along the production chains. Data spanning from DNA sequences to transcripts and metabolites need to be integrated in order to be translated at industrial level and literature showed several successful examples. The application of microbiome studies in food systems has shown the potential to improve food quality. Nevertheless, classical microbiological methods are still highly relevant even if isolation and characterization of strains in pure culture is often laborious and time-consuming and requires the use of several specific growth media that take into the account microbial growth characteristics as well as food characteristics. Studies on microbiomes has become a popular topic in the food industry since it can be used as a tool to improve quality and safety in the food chain.

#### 1. Introduction

27

28 As recently re-defined, the term microbiome refers to "the community of microorganisms and their 29 "theatre of activity" (structural elements, metabolites/signal molecules, and the surrounding 30 environmental conditions) in a defined habitat" (Berg et al., 2020). 31 Microbiome theme in food systems has been identified as a key priority research area due to its 32 potential to improve safety, sustainability, production yield or to discover new strains, probiotics or 33 mobile genetic elements. A better knowledge of the microbiome resource is helping in precision food 34 system management not only at research level but especially at industrial level. Several European 35 projects are currently active in microbiome research along the food chain: CIRCLES 36 (https://circlesproject.eu/), HoloFood (https://www.holofood.eu/), MASTER (https://www.master-37 h2020.eu/), **SIMBA** (https://simbaproject.eu) as well **MicrobiomeSupport** 38 (https://www.microbiomesupport.eu/). The latter, supports the set-up of an internationally agreed 39 microbiome definition (Berg et al., 2020), best practices and standards (Ryan et al., 2021) as well as 40 tutoring public and stakeholders about microbiomes and microbiome applications (Schelkle and 41 Galland, 2020). As currently reviewed, microbiome-based applications are expected to be important 42 contributors to the global economy in the coming years, however an effort is needed in food science 43 to transit from observational to mechanistic studies (Meisner et al., 2022). The rapid development of 44 high throughput techniques in the last 20 years has improved the ability to characterize microbiomes 45 from complex food matrices. It is now common to apply two or more omics techniques in parallel, referred to as multi-omics analysis (Dugourd et al., 2021) to decipher in depth the biological features 46 47 of the microbiome systems. In the last decades several authors successfully applied multi-omics 48 analysis in food microbiology. The application of two or even more omics techniques is needed to 49 move from theoretical conclusions to reliable and valuable results (Zapalska-Sozoniuk et al., 2019). 50 For example, the application of genomics and transcriptomics alone cannot fully depict the events 51 taking place within a cell; even when the information from DNA is transcribed to mRNA, proteins 52 may not be biologically active. In this light an appropriate study design plays a central role. Most of

the times, monetary resources are one of the determining factors that have an incidence on a successful experiment. As a consequence, study design suffers from low number of samples collected or biological replicates in favor of depth of information pursued (in terms of number of sequences/metabolites detected). However, it has been recently reported that collecting more samples with less depth (number of information obtained from each sample) enriches the value of a study (Tripathi et al., 2018). Sampling depth and collection procedure are critical points when an -omics platform is chosen since specific standard requirements characterize the different platforms. In this light comparing targeted and untargeted techniques generates different considerations. Targeted analysis includes the detection or quantification pre-defined analytical target that can be chemicals or biologicals while the application of the untargeted is referred to a detection of several unspecified analytes (Ballin and Laursen, 2019). It is obvious that an untargeted technique (like DNAseq, shotgun proteomics or GC-MS-based metabonomics approach) requires a lower number of samples but higher sampling depth (Pinu et al., 2019). The development of several platforms for data integration is helping researchers to move from a single -omics approach to applying different tools, since the basic requirements essential for genomics are fully compatible with metabolomics, transcriptomics and proteomics (Pinu et al., 2019). Simultaneous analysis of all aspects of a microbiome dataset must be generally considered a hopeless task. So far, multi-omics studies in food science have been primarily applied to study fermented dairy products followed by meat/meat products and vegetable-based foods.

- Most of the studies (targeted or untargeted) can be applied for different purpose in food, such as:
- 73 i) Map the microbiome along the food chain
  - ii) Discover low abundance taxa or new taxa and microbial adaptation strategies
- 75 iii) Connect specific microbiome assets with the final food quality and safety
- 76 iv) Extend microbiome applications to the industry for actionable results
- v) Microbial Risk assessment

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

## 2. Microbiome mapping

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

From a biological point of view, food microbiome can be considered part of the extended hologenome of a human individual (Dunn et al., 2021) and needs to be deeply studied and characterized. Metagenomics studies already confirmed the transmission of microbes from food products to gut environments (Pasolli et al., 2020) and also showed their persistence in the human gut (Milani et al., 2019) (Figure 1). Since thousands of microbes, microbes' metabolites and mobile genetic elements (MGEs) are daily ingested with foods it is important to deeply characterize them and discover how a particular biogeography can modify the autochthonous microbiome (Figure 1). Mapping the complex microbial communities in situ with high taxonomic and spatial resolution is a main challenge due to the high density and rich diversity of taxa (also at strain level) (Shi et al., 2020). Origins, diversification, biodiversity and biogeography of foods' microbiome are becoming very relevant in recent years. With high throughput techniques (metataxonomic amplicon sequencing as the most applied) researchers have discovered vast and previously unrecognized ecological niches. Environmental factors (microclimatic conditions, pH, a<sub>w</sub>, availability of nutrients) determine what kind of microbes can succeed in a particular place. This detailed analysis can also reveal how those microbes can interact and work together (Woo, 2018). Mapping exercises are still a new research area widely explored in humans (Huttenhower et al., 2012; Nash et al., 2017), soils (Thompson et al., 2017) and environment (Danko et al., 2021), however few studies reported an in-depth mapping or meta-analysis of foods and foodstuff. Food microbiota can originate from raw materials, environment, from the exposure to human manipulation and is influenced from the geographical area of cultivation/production (Figure 1). The mapping exercise to deeply characterize the food microbiome is one of the key fundamental actions that needs to be performed. Mapping can offer different possibilities in food microbiology, helps in microbe characterization and is essential in study design. Maps of molecules, MGEs and microbes across different food ecosystems will fundamentally transform the types of questions that can be asked of microbiome and metabolomics data. In this light the application of several biostatistics tools can help identify dynamic networks of species

interactions as well as relevant functions. Among them, ordination methods (principal coordinates analysis (PCoA)), gradient analysis (non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS)), dimensionality reduction, co-occurrence and network diagrams (Tripathi et al., 2018) are valuable tools to be used to resolve the degree of complexity of the microbiota. In food microbiology examples of extensive mapping and data integration methods are currently available. The mapping exercise approach has been recently applied to dairy products, where 184 cheese samples were analyzed in depth coupling DNA-seq and metabolome analysis (Walsh et al., 2020). By this mapping exercise the authors discovered new putative genomes (belonging to genera associated with the rind) that display highest correlation with unpleasant molecules. Findings of this type may help in designing strategies to control the microbiome during cheese production and obtain desired final products. However, it should be point out that culture independent high throughput techniques must be used with culture dependent in order to provided complementary information. Sequencing technique may lead to possible biases deriving from DNA extraction, RNA quality, PCR amplification steps, as well as the failure in discriminating between live or death cell. The presence of all this unmeasured confounding factors cannot be excluded but can be solved by culturomics. Several available online repository platforms offer the opportunity to collect high throughput information on microbiome in different research areas. The Earth Microbiome Project (Thompson et al., 2017) (available via QIITA website) contains a collection of more than 20.000 samples where microbial genomes as well as global metabolic models can be extracted and then re-analyzed and used in a comparative study or for meta-analysis purposes. In food microbiology, FoodMicrobionet 2019, (Parente et al., 2016) and its extension DairyFoodMicrobionet (https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/3cwf729p34/4) is one of the main examples of public repository. It includes 180 studies and 10.155 samples belonging to 8 major food groups and can be considered the largest database on bacteria communities based on amplicon sequencing dataset. The database contains also information including pH, a<sub>w</sub>, presence and/or concentration of preservatives and redox potential value (Eh). Collectively these databases have enormous potential and allow microbial

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

information from a particular food/condition to be extracted and used for comparative, statistical and graphical analysis. An example of the potential of this information is the analysis of spoilageassociated core microbiota in meats, seafoods and their production environment that highlights a common core shared between different food types and their environment in relation to the degree of spoilage (De Filippis et al., 2018). It should be highlighted that researchers need to understand that sharing datasets as well as associated metadata is fundamental for the progress of food microbiology in the era of big data. By the power size effect, all this information collectively can help in discovering new potential ecological niches or uncommon microbial associations that are often disregarded when using only few samples. Importantly, efforts are needed in updating information and that those databases are constantly updated in terms of taxonomy and nomenclature (Zheng et al., 2020). However, many of the largest microbiome mapping studies have been performed with the costeffective 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing that provides genus-level assignments as highest level of taxonomic resolution. The global sourdough project (http://robdunnlab.com/projects/sourdough/) is a multi-omics, intercontinental scale study with the aim to collect metadata, taxonomic and metabolomic information over 500 bread bakers in North America, Europe and Australasia. By using such extensive sampling procedure coupled with integration tools it was possible to demonstrate that geographic location does not determine changes in structural sourdough microbial composition, even if previous studies on few samples revealed the opposite and indicated that variations in acetic acid bacteria (AAB) abundance are the key driver during fermentation and boost the development of volatile compounds (Landis et al., 2021). This finding clearly implies that a large number of samples is needed for a better overview of the structure-function linkages. Co-occurrence/co-exclusion network analysis reveals the complementarity or competitiveness of inter-species interactions and for example allowed to observe that Levilactobacillus brevis is able to persist in a community while Fructilactobacillus sanfranciscensis displays the ability to persist only when grown with the yeast Kazachstania humilis (Landis et al., 2021). The ability to predict from a set of known species what community will be

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

formed is crucial in designing, predicting and controlling new microbial communities for food fermentations, probiotic therapeutic developments, bioremediation or biomanufacturing and offers valuable insight into biotechnologically important processes (Friedman et al., 2017).

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

157

158

159

#### 3. Discovering low abundance taxa or new taxa and microbial adaptation strategies

Currently, there is no real consensus regarding which next-generation sequencing platforms or techniques are most suitable for low-complexity microbial communities, such as those in foods. Metagenomic shotgun sequencing or amplicon-based ones are widely used by researchers in food microbial ecology. 16S amplicon sequencing is still preferred due to the apparently lower cost per sample but is biased by the number of 16S rRNA encoding genes per genome and by the lower power in discriminating at species level. On the opposite, deep shotgun sequencing allows to reach the species level since most of the tools are based on the alignments with species-specific marker gene sequences like MetaPhlAn (Beghini et al., 2021) however suffers from the size of the reference genome. Despite this bias, the advantages of DNAseq is the ability to detect also genes and mobile genetic elements important in food microbiology (Walsh et al., 2018). Recently, it was proposed to use shallow shotgun sequencing as an alternative to 16S amplicon based sequencing at the same cost/sample of the 16S, with the advantages of retrieving also microbial functional profiles, more precise taxonomic resolution than 16S (Hillmann et al., 2018) and obtain informations on novel putative bacterial taxa (Lugli et al., 2022). Rare or low abundance taxa often play an important role in the overall metabolic flux and the differential functions of the rare species remain poorly understood (Ranjan et al., 2016). Individual samples may harbor thousands of rare taxa that are often discarded from the analysis but can have a high transcription/abundance ratio. The functions of rare microbes are still unknown; they may however be relevant in total microbial community stability if rapidly respond to environmental changes (Shade et al., 2014). In foods several examples showed that rare members of a microbiome, especially those that are not expected to be present in the food, have a possible role in ripening and determining final product characteristics. Processing environment

is one of the main sources of rare microbes or uncommon ones that can easily affect the final structure of the microbiota in foods and are responsible for microbial food spoilage. Dairy (Sun and D'Amico, 2021), raw meat processing environments (Stellato et al., 2016) or facilities for ready-to-eat meal (Pothakos et al., 2015) including fish and fruit preparations (Bokulich et al., 2015; Einson et al., 2018) are currently the main sources of uncommon food microbes. In the dairy production chain, brine tanks and ripening rooms are the main microbial sources and their distribution is strictly connected with cheese variety and layer (crust or core) (Calasso et al., 2016; Montel et al., 2014). Not only equipment but also human, extrinsic factors [air flow, temperature and humidity] and antagonistic microbial adaptations take part in the distribution of microbes in the environment (Doyle et al., 2017). Microbiomes distribution in processing plants could increase food safety through improved hygiene related SOPs. Novel disinfection interventions can be selected based on the occurrence in a particular environmental niches from which they were disseminated (Botta et al., 2020; Zwirzitz et al., 2020). Network analysis based on correlation methods is often used to identify significantly concomitant or co-exclusion relationships. Spearman's or Pearson's correlation are the most straightforward approaches for multi-omics data integration (Zhang et al., 2019) and for detecting interactions in meta-communities. However, the relative frequency or abundance (from OTUs or ASVs) used in the metataxonomic datasets instead of the absolute abundance can reduce the sensitivity of the methods. As suggested, including as many samples as possible (Berry and Widder, 2014) needs to be taken in consideration when assessing effectiveness and reliability. Studying and understanding structure, interaction and function of environmental microbes is helping increase food safety since bacteria from the environment may also harbor antimicrobial resistance genes (ARGs). Monitoring resistomes in the environment can provide essential information to better understand whether ARGs transfer actually occurs (Lopez et al., 2020) (Figure 1). DNA extracted from environmental samples can be directly sequenced without any prior PCR steps. In this way the global microbial community are sequenced. Data processing then helps obtain the structure of the microbial ecosystem, including detection of mobile genetic elements but also

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

information about all the microbial categories including fungi, yeast and viruses/phages. Obtaining information about virus is crucially important especially in a dairy environment since the viral communities especially phages can likely act as vectors for horizontal gene transfer (Somerville et al., 2019) and are involved in the mobilization of antimicrobial resistance genes or CRISPR defense mechanisms among bacterial populations (Colombo et al., 2018).

In order to characterize microbial transmission along the process chain, several integration tools are adopted. This is crucial in order to obtain precise information about microbial structure. Among the statistical tools, the source attribution analyses are able to qualitatively determine possible microbial sources but also quantitatively estimate the proportion of source contributions to a sample community. SourceTracker utilizes a Bayesian classification model to map not only microbial populations but also gene flows in a variety of ecosystems (Bokulich et al., 2015; Zwirzitz et al., 2020) and also for monitoring microbial transmission and gene dispersal (Figure 1). However, to reduce the effect of false predictions, a high number of samples should be analyzed (Chen et al., 2019).

## 4. Connecting specific microbiome asset with the final food quality and safety

Microbes present in viable but not cultivable (VBNC) state or microbes not expected to be present in the food are then difficult to be detected by classical methods and this leads to losing several important pieces of information about the whole microbiome. A meta-omics approach can offer the possibility to deeply study the composition of the food microbiome by detecting also few cells in a sample brought the food industry closer to the theme of microbiome. An 'omic-based analysis can include metagenomics (all the genetic repertoire in a community), meta-transcriptomics (the expressed genes), metabolomics, proteomics or lipidomics. In every biological system phenotype informations are transferred from nucleic acids to proteins and metabolites (Santiago-Rodriguez and Hollister, 2021). From a quantitative point of view, proteins and metabolites abundances are the result of gene abundance and transcriptional activity. However, the use of a single omics technology cannot

guarantee an overview of what really happens in food systems. Metagenomics (amplicons or shotgun sequencing) reflects only the global view since DNA molecules, as the most stable, can be originated from live as well as dead cells and the absolute abundance of a gene is not necessarily associated with molecules/proteins synthesis. mRNA sequencing (a less stable molecule), if used to confirm (meta)genomic-data, does not necessarily predict the translation of the genetic information into functional/active protein/metabolites and does not provide taxonomic information. Metabolites or proteins on the other hand can be of mixed nature since they can originate from host or food ingredients, are highly labile and need specific collection, handling or preservation methods to maintain integrity. All these considerations highlight that at least two -omics techniques are required to have a more comprehensive overview of what happens in food system. Based on the initial biological questions and taking into account sample issues (e.g. host molecules/sequences) an appropriate study design based on combinations of two or more omics tools should be chosen in order to overcome those limitations (Ferrocino et al., 2022). The microbiome asset shapes the final characteristics of the product and by coupling RNA-seq with metabolomics it is possible to see that perturbations during the food process chain modify the function of the microbiome. Examples of this multi-omics approach showed that ripening temperature during cheese (De Filippis et al., 2016), fruit (Li et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2019), plant based fermentation (An et al., 2021; Kim et al., 2020) and vinegar production (Wu et al., 2021) modifies the gene expression of the microbiome with important changes in volatilome profile of the final products. Several examples of data integration between two or more omics in food-based systems are already available. DNA is most frequently the primary target molecule since it is easier to manipulate if compared to RNA and scientific literature in food-omics is mostly oriented to DNA based approaches. The advantages of using DNA are the simultaneous detection of bacteria, fungi, virus (Beghini et al., 2021; Manni et al., 2021), mobile genetic elements (ARGs, bacteriocins etc..) (Raymond et al., 2019) as well as the ability to reconstruct genome at strain level (De Filippis et al., 2019; Franciosa et al., 2021; Walsh et al., 2018). To decipher the interaction among microbes in order

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

to shape the final characteristic of the product, DNA-seq with metabolomics can be considered the optimal combination of omics techniques. The most common data integration step is based on correlation-based network analysis in order to generate and easily visualize metabolic microbiome networks/models. Microbiome-scale metabolic reconstruction is now the most straightforward approach in order to discover how microbes shape the final characteristic of the products. In food microbiology several examples showed how this tool can be applied to detail for examples how color modification, variation of pH and flavor development are associated with shifts in microbiome composition and function in cheese (Bertuzzi et al., 2018), soy sauce (Sulaiman et al., 2014), fermented meat (Ferrocino et al., 2018; Franciosa et al., 2021), fermented cocoa (Mota-Gutierrez et al., 2021), fermented fish (Zhao and Eun, 2020), Dagu, Baijiu and Xiaogu jiu chinese liquor (Huang et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2021) or kefir (Verce et al., 2019). Correlation analysis seems to be the most common tool to decipher microbial putative functions or new co-abundance and interaction strategies. In food microbiology this statistical tool was successfully applied to discover interactions at sub-species level in *Lactobacillus* populations highlighting that *L. helveticus* and *L.* delbrueckii specifically co-evolved and in the same way also L. plantarum and L. paracasei (Milani et al., 2020). The correlation among bacteria and fungi is also of great interest because several bacteria are inhibited by the presence of certain fungi or are not able to grow without the synergic effect of fungi (Wolfe et al., 2014). By using correlation analysis it was observed that Geotrichum candidum if present at high relative abundance can release growth factors that support bacterial growth, which in turn allows for the biosynthesis of some volatile compounds (Bertuzzi et al., 2018). Based on the correlation network analysis between microbes, metabolites and functional genes the role of several Lactobacillus during food fermentations was elucidated in different systems. For example the correlation between *Lactobacillus acetotolerans* and a high abundance of genes encoding alcohol dehydrogenases could explain why it was predominant at the late stage during grain

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

fermentation (Huang et al., 2020) or how Pediococcus pentosaceous contributes to flavor development in fermented meat by D-lactate dehydrogenase activity responsible for the formation of ethanol and ethyl lactate (Franciosa et al., 2021). In dairy industry it was observed that co-abundance and inter-species interactions are responsible for resilience toward colonization by spoilage or pathogenic microbes with detrimental effects on the final products for example in terms of safety, stability, organoleptic characteristics or colour (Milani et al., 2020). It was observed that the concomitance of Streptococcus thermophilus and Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus determines an increase in the occurrence of *Clostridium tyrobutyricum* responsible for spoilage phenomena (Bassi et al., 2015), while with natural whey starter strains (formed by Lactobacillus delbrueckii, Lactobacillus helveticus and Lacticaseibacillus casei) the prevalence of spoilage microbial taxa is reduced (Alessandria et al., 2016). The univariate correlations used in those examples are relatively straightforward but lack context for interpretation in terms of biological plausibility and mechanistic insight (Chong and Xia, 2017). Studying interactions, functions and diversity of each of the microbial species harbored in this complex system is a key factor towards effective monitoring and easy manipulation of a food system with the aim to increase quality and safety. However, the numerical relationships identified by Pearson or Sperman correlation may not reflect biological significance, nor do they specifically account for complex interactions (Santiago-Rodriguez and Hollister, 2021). In the authors' point of view, a more complete study of the microbiome of food products requires sequencing coupled with an extensive culture-based approach, in order to confirm the presence of particular microbes/consortia. In this light the use of synthetic microbial communities (SynComs) is receiving great interest as a validations tool of the mapping exercise as well as to confirm the results of the mathematical models. Its principle is to design a small groups or consortia of microbes in order to mimic functions and structure of the natural microbiome. By using this scale reduction of the microbiome the role and the interactions among each microbes can be detailed investigated (De Souza et al., 2020; Karkaria et al., 2021). SynComs were successfully used in food fermentations in order

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

to modulate the production of organic acids and several microbial metabolites to increase yield and final taste of Kombucha and Baijiu (Wang et al., 2020; Du et al., 2021; Li et al., 2022).

Applications of synthetic microbial communities can be expanded to food industry helping in design new microbiome community to confer specific characteristic to the products in term of quality and safety.

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

317

313

314

315

316

# 5. Extending microbiome applications to the industry for actionable results

Observation studies in food microbiology are currently widely used for artisanal niche products made without the use of commercial starter cultures (Belleggia et al., 2020; Maoloni et al., 2020; Mota-Gutierrez et al., 2021). All these studies highlight that natural and autochthonous microbes display a large interaction network that confers particular characteristics to the products if compared with samples obtained with selected starter cultures (Ferrocino et al., 2018). A single strain used as a starter culture is often not able to confer to the product all the desired characteristics, which are obtained by a mixture of different microbial genetic repertoires. For example, in the meat sector it is recognized that L. sakei has strain-dependent properties, distinct ecotypes but also intra-species, strain-level biodiversity and its large diversity represents a valuable and exploitable asset in the development of a variety of industrial applications (Chaillou et al., 2013; Franciosa et al., 2021). In fact one of the main challenges in improving and controlling industrial fermentation processes is the revealing microbial adaptation strategies also at strain level (Janßen et al., 2020). Multi omics network analysis clearly showed that autochthonous microbiome (AM) displays a higher number of genes involved in fatty acid biosynthesis and amino acid metabolism, that in turn boosts the formation of medium- and long-chain fatty esters enhancing the sensory profile of sausages. As a result, consumers preferred the spontaneously fermented sausages because of the flavour and aroma characteristics (Ferrocino et al., 2018). Selection of an autochthonous microbiome starter culture can be one of the new potential exploration areas of food microbiology. The use of an AM can not only guarantee quality but can also offer the possibility to cover safety issues. Selection of a correct AM can help control pathogens

and reduce the use of nitrites/nitrates and reduce the prevalence of antimicrobial resistance genes (ARGs), mycotoxins or biogenic amines. The application of AM reveals also its importance in relation to the accumulation of mycotoxins especially in fermented meat due to the presence of indigenous fungi. For example Ochratoxin A (OTA) has negative effects including nephrotoxicity, immunotoxicity and neurotoxicity (Álvarez et al., 2020) and AM can be selected in order to obtain the same degree of protection as synthetic antifungal compounds. A mixture of autochthonous Debaryomyces hansenii and Penicillium chrysogenum was successfully used in dry cured meat in order to reduce the expression of genes involved in the production of OTA with a considerable reduction of contamination (Cebrián et al., 2019). Other example showed that AM strains possess the ability to reduce OTA accumulation by acting on the transcriptional level of the genes involved in OTA production (Peromingo et al., 2018). AM can also be selected with respect to the presence of enzymes like  $\beta$ -1,3 glucanases, lytic proteases, and chitinases able to hydrolyze microorganisms cell wall components (Cence et al., 2019). A reduction of nitrites and nitrates can be obtained by using an AM since several Debaryomyces hansenii strains possess antioxidant and antimicrobial properties as well as positive effects on aroma (Perea-Sanz et al., 2020). In addition risks often linked with indigenous Staphylococcus or Lactobacillus, Carnobacterium and Enterococcus are due also to the production of decarboxylases that can cause biogenic amine production like tyramine, putrescine, cadaverine and histamine (Van der Veken et al., 2020). In this light an accurate and extensive use of the meta-omics approach is helping in studying the AM and can be considered as the first step in the selection of a microbiome starter culture able to maintain safety and the desired characteristics of products.

360

361

362

363

364

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

#### 6. Risk assessment

Monitoring microbial hazards along the food process chain still requires extensive sampling procedures based on classical microbial methodology, several types of growth media, sample pretreatments, specific incubation temperatures as well as several confirmation tests for pathogens or for

assessing the presence of genetic elements involved in virulence. Next generation sequencing can help in this context to obtain a quite rapid overview of potential microbial hazards along the chain. Literature reports several examples of recent applications of these technologies to identify pathogenic strains especially in low abundance. Compared to classical methods, the sensitivity of these approaches can help in identifying the persistence of low abundance pathogens or spore-forming bacteria strains in processing facilities to mitigate the risks associated with the development of those microbial groups along the chain (McHugh et al., 2018). Risk assessment could benefit from a more precise characterization of the populations and their surroundings, as it can identify risk factors or even mitigation strategies. The analysis of the microbiome along the food production and processing environments can also play a role in pathogen persistence and survival since interspecies interactions can increase pathogens surviving and colonization. It was shown that *Pseudomonas* can help *Listeria* monocytogenes attach to stainless steel surfaces, while Staphylococcus sciuri reduces the ability of L. monocytogenes to form biofilm due to competition phenomena mediated by metabolites production (Tan et al., 2019). The synergistic interactions between foodborne pathogens with resident microbiota associated with food processing environments have also been demonstrated by several authors. AM showed the potential to influence the growth survival and/or inactivation of pathogens It appears thus relevant to characterize the influence of the resident microbiome on both the pathogen survival and growth (Den Besten et al., 2018). Data analysis identify that Veillonella can be a possible indicator of the contamination of food processing surfaces by Listeria monocytogenes (Shedleur-Bourguignon et al., 2021), while the initial adhesion of Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis (S. Enteritidis) was significantly enhanced in presence of *Bacillus paramycoides* (Xu et al., 2022). Longitudinal analysis in a meat processing revealed the co-occurrence of *Listeria* spp. with biofilm producing microbes like *Pseudomonas*, *Acinetobacter*, and *Janthinobacterium* (Zwirzitz et al., 2022). The analysis of the huge amount of data obtained after sequencing requires significant time and computational power to perform genome assembly, however several tools have been developed in order to perform a comparison of single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) profiles without the need

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

of the assembly step, resulting in those methods being faster and less intensive computationally. Free software like MetaMLST (Zolfo et al., 2017), PanPhlAn and StrainPhlAn (Beghini et al., 2021) have the capability to perform SNP comparison of outbreak strain genomes versus non outbreak strains in a faster way (Figure 2). These approaches are more useful in the food industry that requires rapid testing (Martin et al., 2017). After this preliminary screening the application of more powerful computational tools can be used to reconstruct genomes directly from shotgun data. In particular SNP profiles have been used to obtain information about strains that can be transmitted from production plant to food and then to human with possible implications on human health (Milani et al., 2019). Several limitations of genome reconstruction should be highlighted since Metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) can be contaminated with sequences from phylogenetically close microbes or can share genes with prophages, plasmids or genomic islands. In this way, the determination of the pangenome may result in false genomes and data can be confirmed only by an extensive culturebased approach (Ferrocino et al., 2022) (Figure 2). Culturomics may take the advantage of the high throughput rapid identification of the colonies by Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization/Time Of Flight Mass Spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS) a promising tool that can open new horizons by speeding up the procedure replicating microbiome reconstruction in vitro. MALDI-TOF-MS coupled with metataxonomic analysis was used in order to provided complementary information by producing a more comprehensive view of the microbial ecology in food fermentations. Since culture-dependent method identify at species level and culture-independent identify non-lactic acid bacteria and yeasts (Kim et al., 2021). In addition, MALDI-TOF-MS is a promising screening tool for the rapid identification of foodborne pathogens like Campylobacter jejuni and Listeria spp. (Bowen et al., 2020, Campos Araújo et al., 2020). In this context, combining -omics techniques to obtained information on the microbiome with data obtained by culture base approach on presence/absence of a pathogen can help to develop more realistic models for risk assessment (Cocolin et al., 2018).

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

The advent of software and sequencing platforms for on-site analysis (like MinION) can move forward the research in order to improve the industrial risk assessment and management procedure.

## 7. Conclusion

We are now able to collect Gbytes of data spanning from DNA sequences, transcripts and metabolites from a single sample and the integration of this information is helping in deciphering the composition and function of the microbiome. However, a lack in standardization of procedures and databases, or the absence of explicit legal requirements in food law regarding the concept of microbiome analysis, especially in the context of risk assessment (Merten et al., 2020), make it difficult to define standards in the analysis along the food chain. Food industry and related stakeholders have now grown closer to the microbiome theme and researchers need to push the use of multi-omics tools to improve product quality and safety. However, it is important to remember that all these powerful tools require also implementing culture-based approaches to help in data interpretation. Several researchers report the discovery of new putative genomes from sequencing data, however a lack of confirmation due to the absence of a cultivation step puts in doubt the newly discovered strains/function.

FIGURE 1. Graphical representations of the food microbiome mapping analysis workflow. Processing environment, season, type of farm, temperature, operators and food chain parameters can be transmitted from production plant to food and then to human with possible implications on human health. Created with BioRender.com FIGURE 2. Graphical representations of the culture based and culture independent for strains characterization. Created with BioRender.com **Conflict of interest statement** Nothing declared. **CRediT** authorship contribution statement Ilario Ferrocino: Conceptualization, Writing - original draft. Kalliopi Rantsiou: Writing - review & editing. Luca Cocolin: Conceptualization, Supervision. **Declaration of Competing Interest** The authors report no declarations of interest. Acknowledgement None. 

**Figure Legends** 

### 467 **REFERENCES**

- Alessandria, V., Ferrocino, I., De Filippis, F., Fontana, M., Rantsiou, K., Ercolini, D., Cocolin, L.,
- 469 2016. Microbiota of an Italian Grana like cheese during manufacture and ripening unraveled
- by 16S rRNA-based approaches. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 82, 3988–3995.
- Álvarez, M., Rodríguez, A., Núñez, F., Silva, A., Andrade, M.J., 2020. In vitro antifungal effects of
- spices on ochratoxin A production and related gene expression in *Penicillium nordicum* on a
- dry-cured fermented sausage medium. Food Control 114, 107222.
- 474 An, F., Li, M., Zhao, Y., Zhang, Y., Mu, D., Hu, X., You, S., Wu, J., Wu, R., 2021.
- 475 Metatranscriptome-based investigation of flavor-producing core microbiota in different
- fermentation stages of dajiang, a traditional fermented soybean paste of Northeast China. Food
- 477 Chem. 343.
- 478 Araújo, T.M.C., Pereira, R. de C.L., Freitag, I.G.R., Rusak, L.A., Botelho, L.A.B., Hofer, E., Hofer,
- 479 C.B., Vallim, D.C., 2020. Evaluation of MALDI-TOF MS as a tool for detection of *Listeria*
- spp. directly from selective enrichment broth from food and stool samples. J. Microbiol.
- 481 Methods 173, 105936.
- 482 Ballin, N.Z., Laursen, K.H., 2019. To target or not to target? Definitions and nomenclature for
- targeted versus non-targeted analytical food authentication. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 86,
- 484 537–543.
- Bassi, D., Puglisi, E., Cocconcelli, P.S., 2015. Understanding the bacterial communities of hard
- cheese with blowing defect. Food Microbiol. 52, 106–118.
- Beghini, F., McIver, L.J., Blanco-Míguez, A., Dubois, L., Asnicar, F., Maharjan, S., Mailyan, A.,
- 488 Manghi, P., Scholz, M., Thomas, A.M., Valles-Colomer, M., Weingart, G., Zhang, Y., Zolfo,
- M., Huttenhower, C., Franzosa, E.A., Segata, N., 2021. Integrating taxonomic, functional, and
- strain-level profiling of diverse microbial communities with biobakery 3. Elife 10, 1–42.
- 491 Belleggia, L., Milanović, V., Ferrocino, I., Cocolin, L., Haouet, M.N., Scuota, S., Maoloni, A.,
- Garofalo, C., Cardinali, F., Aquilanti, L., Mozzon, M., Foligni, R., Pasquini, M., Federica, M.,

- Clementi, F., Osimani, A., 2020. Is there any still undisclosed biodiversity in Ciauscolo
- salami? A new glance into the microbiota of an artisan production as revealed by high-
- throughput sequencing. Meat Sci. 165, 108128.
- 496 Berg, G., Rybakova, D., Fischer, D., Cernava, T., Vergès, M.-C.C., Charles, T., Chen, X., Cocolin,
- L., Eversole, K., Corral, G.H., Kazou, M., Kinkel, L., Lange, L., Lima, N., Loy, A., Macklin,
- J.A., Maguin, E., Mauchline, T., McClure, R., Mitter, B., Ryan, M., Sarand, I., Smidt, H.,
- Schelkle, B., Roume, H., Kiran, G.S., Selvin, J., Souza, R.S.C. de, van Overbeek, L., Singh,
- B.K., Wagner, M., Walsh, A., Sessitsch, A., Schloter, M., 2020. Microbiome definition re-
- visited: old concepts and new challenges. Microbiome 8, 103.
- Berry, D., Widder, S., 2014. Deciphering microbial interactions and detecting keystone species with
- 503 co-occurrence networks. Front. Microbiol. 5, 1–14.
- Bertuzzi, A.S., Walsh, A.M., Sheehan, J.J., Cotter, P.D., Crispie, F., McSweeney, P.L.H.,
- Kilcawley, K.N., Rea, M.C., 2018. Omics-based insights into flavor development and
- microbial succession within surface-ripened cheese. mSystems 3, 1–15.
- Bokulich, N.A., Bergsveinson, J., Ziola, B., Mills, D.A., 2015. Mapping microbial ecosystems and
- spoilage-gene flow in breweries highlights patterns of contamination and resistance. Elife
- 509 2015, e04634.
- Bowen, T., Yingang, X., Xue, J., Qiang, D., Junhong, L., Hongbing, T., Xiaodong, H., 2020. Rapid
- detection of microbial mass spectra VITEK-MS for Campylobacter jejuni and Listeria
- 512 *monocytogenes*. Food Anal. Methods 13, 412–419.
- Botta, C., Ferrocino, I., Pessione, A., Cocolin, L., Rantsiou, K., 2020. Spatiotemporal distribution
- of the environmental microbiota in food processing plants as impacted by cleaning and
- sanitizing procedures: the case of slaughterhouses and gaseous ozone. Appl. Environ.
- 516 Microbiol. 86, 1–15.
- Calasso, M., Ercolini, D., Mancini, L., Stellato, G., Minervini, F., Di Cagno, R., De Angelis, M.,
- Gobbetti, M., 2016. Relationships among house, rind and core microbiotas during manufacture

- of traditional Italian cheeses at the same dairy plant. Food Microbiol. 54, 115–126.
- 520 Cebrián, E., Rodríguez, M., Peromingo, B., Bermúdez, E., Núñez, F., 2019. Efficacy of the
- combined protective cultures of *Penicillium chrysogenum* and *Debaryomyces hansenii* for the
- 522 control of ochratoxin a hazard in dry-cured ham. Toxins 2019, Vol. 11, Page 710 11, 710.
- 523 Cence, K., Santos, P. dos, Garcia, M.V., Copetti, M.V., Valduga, E., Cansian, R.L., Zeni, J.,
- Backes, G.T., 2019. Enzymatic biocontrol of spoilage fungi from salami. LWT 115, 108457.
- 525 Chong, J., Xia, J., 2017. Computational approaches for integrative analysis of the metabolome and
- microbiome. Metabolites 7, 62.
- 527 Chaillou, S., Lucquin, I., Najjari, A., Zagorec, M., Champomier-Vergès, M.C., 2013. Population
- genetics of *Lactobacillus sakei* reveals three lineages with distinct evolutionary histories. PLoS
- 529 One 8 e73253...
- Chen, H., Bai, X., Li, Y., Jing, L., Chen, R., Teng, Y., 2019. Source identification of antibiotic
- resistance genes in a periurban river using novel crAssphage marker genes and metagenomic
- signatures. Water Res. 167, 115098.
- Cocolin, L., Mataragas, M., Bourdichon, F., Doulgeraki, A., Pilet, M.F., Jagadeesan, B., Rantsiou,
- K., Phister, T., 2018. Next generation microbiological risk assessment meta-omics: The next
- need for integration. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 287, 10–17.
- Colombo, S., Arioli, S., Gargari, G., Neri, E., Della Scala, G., Mora, D., 2018. Characterization of
- airborne viromes in cheese production plants. J. Appl. Microbiol. 125, 1444–1454.
- Danko, D., Bezdan, D., Afshin, E.E., Ahsanuddin, S., Bhattacharya, C., et al., 2021. A global
- metagenomic map of urban microbiomes and antimicrobial resistance. Cell 184, 3376-
- 540 3393.e17.
- Den Besten, H.M.W., Amézquita, A., Bover-Cid, S., Dagnas, S., Ellouze, M., Guillou, S., Nychas,
- G., O'Mahony, C., Pérez-Rodriguez, F., Membré, J.M., 2018. Next generation of
- microbiological risk assessment: Potential of omics data for exposure assessment. Int. J. Food
- 544 Microbiol. 287, 18–27

- De Filippis, F., Genovese, A., Ferranti, P., Gilbert, J.A., Ercolini, D., 2016. Metatranscriptomics
- reveals temperature-driven functional changes in microbiome impacting cheese maturation
- 547 rate. Sci. Rep. 6, 1–12.
- De Filippis, F., La Storia, A., Villani, F., Ercolini, D., 2019. Strain-level diversity analysis of
- pseudomonas fragi after in situ pangenome reconstruction shows distinctive spoilage-
- associated metabolic traits clearly selected by different storage conditions. Appl. Envir.
- 551 Microbiol. 85, 5–7.
- De Filippis, F., Parente, E., Ercolini, D., 2018. Recent past, present, and future of the food
- microbiome. Annu. Rev. Food Sci. Technol. 9, 25.1-25.20.
- De Souza, R.S.C., Armanhi, J.S.L., Arruda, P., 2020. From Microbiome to Traits: Designing
- 555 Synthetic Microbial Communities for Improved Crop Resiliency. Front. Plant Sci. 11, 1–7.
- Doyle, C.J., O'Toole, P.W., Cotter, P.D., 2017. Metagenome-based surveillance and diagnostic
- approaches to studying the microbial ecology of food production and processing environments.
- 558 Environ. Microbiol. 19, 4382–4391.
- 559 Du, R., Liu, J., Jiang, J., Wang, Y., Ji, X., Yang, N., Wu, Q., Xu, Y., 2021. Construction of a
- synthetic microbial community for the biosynthesis of volatile sulfur compound by multi-
- module division of labor. Food Chem. 347, 129036.
- Dugourd, A., Kuppe, C., Sciacovelli, M., Gjerga, E., Gabor, A., Emdal, K.B., Vieira, V., Bekker-
- Jensen, D.B., Kranz, J., Bindels, E.M.J., Costa, A.S.H., Sousa, A., Beltrao, P., Rocha, M.,
- Olsen, J. V., Frezza, C., Kramann, R., Saez-Rodriguez, J., 2021. Causal integration of multi-
- omics data with prior knowledge to generate mechanistic hypotheses. Mol. Syst. Biol. 17, 1–
- 566 17.
- 567 Dunn, R.R., Wilson, J., Nichols, L.M., Gavin, M.C., 2021. Toward a global ecology of fermented
- 568 foods. Curr. Anthropol. 62, S220–S232.
- Einson, J.E., Rani, A., You, X., Rodriguez, A.A., Randell, C.L., Barnaba, T., Mammel, M.K.,
- Kotewicz, M.L., Elkins, C.A., Sela, D.A., 2018. A vegetable fermentation facility hosts

- distinct microbiomes reflecting the production environment. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 84,
- 572 e01680-18.
- 573 Ferrocino, I., Bellio, A., Giordano, M., Macori, G., Romano, A., Rantsiou, K., Decastelli, L.,
- Cocolin, L., 2018. Shotgun Metagenomics and Volatilome Profile of the Microbiota of
- Fermented Sausages. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 84, 1–15.
- Ferrocino, I., Rantsiou, K., Cocolin, L., 2022. Investigating dairy microbiome: an opportunity to
- ensure quality, safety and typicity. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 73, 164–170.
- 578 Franciosa, I., Ferrocino, I., Giordano, M., Mounier, J., Rantsiou, K., Cocolin, L., 2021. Specific
- metagenomic asset drives the spontaneous fermentation of Italian sausages. Food Res. Int. 144,
- 580 110379.
- Friedman, J., Higgins, L.M., Gore, J., 2017. Community structure follows simple assembly rules in
- 582 microbial microcosms. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 1, 1–7.
- Hillmann, B., Al-ghalith, G.A., Shields-cutler, R.R., Zhu, Q., Gohl, D.M., Beckman, K.B., Knight,
- R., Knights, D., 2018. Evaluating the information content of shallow shotgun metagenomics.
- 585 mSystems 3, 1–12.
- Huang, X., Fan, Y., Lu, T., Kang, J., Pang, X., Han, B., Chen, J., 2020. Composition and metabolic
- functions of the microbiome in fermented grain during light-flavor baijiu fermentation.
- 588 Microorganisms 8, 1–15.
- Huttenhower, C., Fah Sathirapongsasuti, J., Segata, N., Gevers, D., Earl, A.M., et al., 2012.
- Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. Nature 486, 207–214.
- Janßen, D., Dworschak, L., Ludwig, C., Ehrmann, M.A., Vogel, R.F., 2020. Interspecies
- assertiveness of *Lactobacillus curvatus* and *Lactobacillus sakei* in sausage fermentations. Int.
- 593 J. Food Microbiol. 331, 108689.
- Karkaria, B.D., Fedorec, A.J.H., Barnes, C.P., 2021. Automated design of synthetic microbial
- 595 communities. Nat. Commun. 12, 1–12.
- Kim, E., Cho, E.J., Yang, S.M., Kim, M.J., Kim, H.Y., 2021. Novel approaches for the

- identification of microbial communities in kimchi: MALDI-TOF MS analysis and high-
- throughput sequencing. Food Microbiol. 94, 103641
- 599 Kim, K.H., Chun, B.H., Baek, J.H., Roh, S.W., Lee, S.H., Jeon, C.O., 2020. Genomic and
- metabolic features of *Lactobacillus sakei* as revealed by its pan-genome and the
- metatranscriptome of kimchi fermentation. Food Microbiol. 86, 103341.
- Landis, E.A., Oliverio, A.M., McKenney, E.A., Nichols, L.M., Kfoury, N., Biango-Daniels, M.,
- Shell, L.K., Madden, A.A., Shapiro, L., Sakunala, S., Drake, K., Robbat, A., Booker, M.,
- Dunn, R.R., Fierer, N., Wolfe, B.E., 2021. The diversity and function of sourdough starter
- 605 microbiomes. Elife 10, 1–24.
- 606 Li, R., Xu, Y., Chen, J., Wang, F., Zou, C., Yin, J., 2022. Enhancing the proportion of gluconic acid
- with a microbial community reconstruction method to improve the taste quality of Kombucha.
- 608 LWT 155, 112937.
- 609 Li, C., Xin, M., Li, L., He, X., Yi, P., Tang, Y., Li, J., Zheng, F., Liu, G., Sheng, J., Li, Z., Sun, J.,
- 2021. Characterization of the aromatic profile of purple passion fruit (Passiflora edulis Sims)
- during ripening by HS-SPME-GC/MS and RNA sequencing. Food Chem. 355, 129685.
- 612 Lopez, C.M., Callegari, M.L., Patrone, V., Rebecchi, A., 2020. Assessment of antibiotic resistance
- in staphylococci involved in fermented meat product processing. Curr. Opin. Food Sci. 31, 17–
- 614 23.
- 615 Lugli, G.A., Longhi, G., Mancabelli, L., Alessandri, G., Tarracchini, C., Fontana, F., Turroni, F.,
- Milani, C., Sinderen, D. Van, Ventura, M., 2022. Tap water as a natural vehicle for
- microorganisms shaping the human gut microbiome. Env. Microbiol. doi:10.1111/1462-
- 618 2920.15988
- Manni, M., Berkeley, M.R., Seppey, M., Simão, F.A., Zdobnov, E.M., 2021. BUSCO Update:
- Novel and Streamlined Workflows along with Broader and Deeper Phylogenetic Coverage for
- Scoring of Eukaryotic, Prokaryotic, and Viral Genomes. Mol. Biol. Evol. 38, 4647–4654.
- Maoloni, A., Blaiotta, G., Ferrocino, I., Mangia, N.P., Osimani, A., Milanovi, V., Cardinali, F.,

- 623 Cesaro, C., Garofalo, C., Clementi, F., Pasquini, M., Federica, M., Cocolin, L., Aquilanti, L.,
- 624 2020. Microbiological characterization of Gioddu, an Italian fermented milk. Int. J. Food
- 625 Microbiol. 323, 1–9.
- Martin, J.C.J., Arthur, C.T.C., Claesson, M.M.J., Scott, K.K.P., Walsh, A., Crispie, F., Daari, K.,
- O'Sullivan, O., Martin, J.C.J., Arthur, C.T.C., Claesson, M.M.J., Scott, K.K.P., Cotter, P.,
- 628 2017. Strain-level metagenomic analysis of the fermented dairy beverage nunu highlights
- potential food safety risks. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 83, 1–13.
- 630 Meisner, A., Wepner, B., Kostic, T., van Overbeek, L.S., Bunthof, C.J., de Souza, R.S.C., Olivares,
- M., Sanz, Y., Lange, L., Fischer, D., Sessitsch, A., Smidt, H., 2022. Calling for a systems
- approach in microbiome research and innovation. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 73, 171–178.
- 633 Merten, C., Schoonjans, R., Di Gioia, D., Peláez, C., Sanz, Y., Maurici, D., Robinson, T., 2020.
- Editorial: Exploring the need to include microbiomes into EFSA's scientific assessments.
- 635 EFSA J. 18.
- 636 Milani, C., Duranti, S., Napoli, S., Alessandri, G., Mancabelli, L., Anzalone, R., Longhi, G.,
- Viappiani, A., Mangifesta, M., Lugli, G.A., Bernasconi, S., Ossiprandi, M.C., Sinderen, D.
- Van, Ventura, M., Turroni, F., 2019. Colonization of the human gut by bovine bacteria present
- in Parmesan cheese. Nat. Commun. 10, 1–12.
- Milani, C., Fontana, F., Alessandri, G., Mancabelli, L., Lugli, G.A., Longhi, G., Anzalone, R.,
- Viappiani, A., Duranti, S., Turroni, F., Ossiprandi, M.C., van Sinderen, D., Ventura, M., 2020.
- Ecology of lactobacilli present in italian cheeses produced from raw milk. Appl. Environ.
- 643 Microbiol. 86, 1–15.
- Montel, M.-C., Buchin, S., Mallet, A., Delbes-Paus, C., Vuitton, D. a, Desmasures, N., Berthier, F.,
- 645 2014. Traditional cheeses: Rich and diverse microbiota with associated benefits. Int. J. Food
- 646 Microbiol. 177, 136–154.
- Mota-Gutierrez, J., Ferrocino, I., Giordano, M., Suarez-Quiroz, M.L., Gonzalez-Ríos, O., Cocolin,
- 648 L., 2021. Influence of taxonomic and functional content of microbial communities on the

- quality of fermented cocoa pulp-bean mass. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 87, e0042521.
- Nash, A.K., Auchtung, T.A., Wong, M.C., Smith, D.P., Gesell, J.R., Ross, M.C., Stewart, C.J.,
- Metcalf, G.A., Muzny, D.M., Gibbs, R.A., Ajami, N.J., Petrosino, J.F., 2017. The gut
- mycobiome of the Human Microbiome Project healthy cohort. Microbiome 5, 153.
- Parente, E., Cocolin, L., De Filippis, F., Zotta, T., Ferrocino, I., O'Sullivan, O., Neviani, E., De
- Angelis, M., Cotter, P.D.D.P.D., Ercolini, D., 2016. FoodMicrobionet: A database for the
- visualisation and exploration of food bacterial communities based on network analysis. Int. J.
- 656 Food Microbiol. 219, 28–37.
- Parente, E., De Filippis, F., Ercolini, D., Ricciardi, A., Zotta, T., 2019. Advancing integration of
- data on food microbiome studies: FoodMicrobionet 3.1, a major upgrade of the
- FoodMicrobionet database. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 305, 108249.
- Pasolli, E., De Filippis, F., Mauriello, I.E., Cumbo, F., Walsh, A.M., Leech, J., Cotter, P.D., Segata,
- N., Ercolini, D., 2020. Large-scale genome-wide analysis links lactic acid bacteria from food
- with the gut microbiome. Nat. Commun. 11, 1–12.
- Perea-Sanz, L., López-Díez, J.J., Belloch, C., Flores, M., 2020. Counteracting the effect of reducing
- nitrate/nitrite levels on dry fermented sausage aroma by *Debaryomyces hansenii* inoculation.
- Meat Sci. 164, 108103.
- Peromingo, B., Núñez, F., Rodríguez, A., Alía, A., Andrade, M.J., 2018. Potential of yeasts isolated
- from dry-cured ham to control Ochratoxin A production in meat models. Int. J. Food
- 668 Microbiol. 268, 73–80.
- Pinu, F.R., Beale, D.J., Paten, A.M., Kouremenos, K., Swarup, S., Schirra, H.J., Wishart, D., 2019.
- Systems biology and multi-omics integration: Viewpoints from the metabolomics research
- 671 community. Metabolites 9, 1–31.
- Pothakos, V., Stellato, G., Ercolini, D., Devlieghere, F., 2015. Processing environment and
- 673 ingredients are both sources of *Leuconostoc gelidum*, which emerges as a major spoiler in
- 674 ready-to-eat meals. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 81, 3529–3541.

- Ranjan, R., Rani, A., Metwally, A., McGee, H.S., Perkins, D.L., 2016. Analysis of the microbiome:
- Advantages of whole genome shotgun versus 16S amplicon sequencing. Biochem. Biophys.
- Res. Commun. 469, 967–977.
- Raymond, F., Boissinot, M., Ouameur, A.A., Déraspe, M., Plante, P.L., Kpanou, S.R., Bérubé, È.,
- Huletsky, A., Roy, P.H., Ouellette, M., Bergeron, M.G., Corbeil, J., 2019. Culture-enriched
- human gut microbiomes reveal core and accessory resistance genes. Microbiome 7, 1–13.
- Ryan, M.J., Schloter, M., Berg, G., Kostic, T., Kinkel, L.L., Eversole, K., Macklin, J.A., Schelkle,
- B., Kazou, M., Sarand, I., Singh, B.K., Fischer, D., Maguin, E., Ferrocino, I., Lima, N.,
- McClure, R.S., Charles, T.C., de Souza, R.S.C., Kiran, G.S., Krug, H.L., Taffner, J., Roume,
- H., Selvin, J., Smith, D., Rybakova, D., Sessitsch, A., 2021. Development of microbiome
- biobanks challenges and opportunities. Trends Microbiol. 29, 89–92.
- Santiago-Rodriguez, T.M., Hollister, E.B., 2021. Multi 'omic data integration: A review of
- concepts, considerations, and approaches. Semin. Perinatol. 45, 151456.
- Schelkle, B., Galland, Q., 2020. Microbiome research: Open communication today, microbiome
- applications in the future. Microorganisms 8, 1–10.
- 690 Shade, A., Jones, S.E., Gregory Caporaso, J., Handelsman, J., Knight, R., Fierer, N., Gilbert, J.A.,
- 691 2014. Conditionally rare taxa disproportionately contribute to temporal changes in microbial
- diversity. MBio 5:e01371-14.
- 693 Shedleur-Bourguignon, F., Thériault, W.P., Longpré, J., Thibodeau, A., Fravalo, P., 2021. Use of an
- ecosystem-based approach to shed light on the heterogeneity of the contamination pattern of
- 695 Listeria monocytogenes on conveyor belt surfaces in a swine slaughterhouse in the province of
- 696 Quebec, Canada. Pathogens 10, 1368.
- 697 Shi, H., Shi, Q., Grodner, B., Lenz, J.S., Zipfel, W.R., Brito, I.L., De Vlaminck, I., 2020. Highly
- multiplexed spatial mapping of microbial communities. Nature 588, 676–681.
- 699 Somerville, V., Lutz, S., Schmid, M., Frei, D., Moser, A., Irmler, S., Frey, J.E., Ahrens, C.H., 2019.
- Long-read based de novo assembly of low-complexity metagenome samples results in finished

- genomes and reveals insights into strain diversity and an active phage system. BMC Microbiol.
- 702 19, 1–18.
- Stellato, G., La Storia, A., De Filippis, F., Borriello, G., Villani, F., Ercolini, D., 2016. Overlap of
- spoilage-associated microbiota between meat and the meat processing environment in small-
- scale and large-scale retail. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 82, 4045–4054.
- Sulaiman, J., Gan, H.M., Yin, W.F., Chan, K.G., 2014. Microbial succession and the functional
- potential during the fermentation of Chinese soy sauce brine. Front. Microbiol. 5, 1–9.
- Sun, L., D'Amico, D.J., 2021. Composition, Succession, and Source Tracking of Microbial
- Communities throughout the Traditional Production of a Farmstead Cheese. mSystems 6,
- 710 e00830-21.
- 711 Tan, X., Chung, T., Chen, Y., Macarisin, D., Laborde, L., Kovac, J., 2019. The occurrence of
- 712 Listeria monocytogenes is associated with built environment microbiota in three tree fruit
- 713 processing facilities. Microbiome 7, 1–18.
- 714 Thompson, L.R., Sanders, J.G., McDonald, D., Amir, A., Ladau, J., et al., 2017. A communal
- catalogue reveals Earth's multiscale microbial diversity. Nature 551, 457–463.
- 716 Tripathi, A., Marotz, C., Gonzalez, A., Vázquez-Baeza, Y., Song, S.J., Bouslimani, A., McDonald,
- D., Zhu, Q., Sanders, J.G., Smarr, L., Dorrestein, P.C., Knight, R., 2018. Are microbiome
- studies ready for hypothesis-driven research? Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 44, 61–69.
- Van der Veken, D., Benhachemi, R., Charmpi, C., Ockerman, L., Poortmans, M., Van Reckem, E.,
- Michiels, C., Leroy, F., 2020. Exploring the ambiguous status of coagulase-negative
- staphylococci in the biosafety of fermented meats: The case of antibacterial activity versus
- biogenic amine formation. Microorganisms 8, 1–17.
- Verce, M., De Vuyst, L., Weckx, S., 2019. Shotgun metagenomics of a water kefir fermentation
- ecosystem reveals a novel *Oenococcus species*. Front. Microbiol. 10, 1–16.
- Walsh, A.M., Crispie, F., O'Sullivan, O., Finnegan, L., Claesson, M.J., Cotter, P.D., 2018. Species
- classifier choice is a key consideration when analysing low-complexity food microbiome data.

- 727 Microbiome 6, 2–15.
- Walsh, A.M., Macori, G., Kilcawley, K.N., Cotter, P.D., 2020. Meta-analysis of cheese
- microbiomes highlights contributions to multiple aspects of quality. Nat. Food 1, 500–510.
- Wang, S., Zhang, L., Qi, L., Liang, H., Lin, X., Li, S., Yu, C., Ji, C., 2020. Effect of synthetic
- microbial community on nutraceutical and sensory qualities of kombucha. Int. J. Food Sci.
- 732 Technol. 55, 3327–3333.
- Wolfe, B.E., Button, J.E., Santarelli, M., Dutton, R.J., 2014. Cheese rind communities provide
- tractable systems for In situ and In vitro studies of microbial diversity. Cell 158, 422–433.
- Woo, M., 2018. Mapping the microbiome location helps elucidate its role. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.
- 736 S. A. 115, 12078–12080.
- 737 Wu, Y., Xia, M., Zhao, N., Tu, L., Xue, D., Zhang, X., Zhao, C., Cheng, Y., Zheng, Y., Wang, M.,
- 738 2021. Metabolic profile of main organic acids and its regulatory mechanism in solid-state
- fermentation of Chinese cereal vinegar. Food Res. Int. 145, 110400.
- Xu, J.G., Hu, H.X., Han, B.Z., Chen, J.Y., 2022. Interactions between Salmonella enteritidis and
- food processing facility isolate *Bacillus paramycoides* B5 in dual-species biofilms. LWT 156,
- 742 113053.
- Xu, Y., Tong, Z., Zhang, X., Wang, Y., Fang, W., Li, L., Luo, Z., 2019. Unveiling the mechanisms
- for the plant volatile organic compound linalool to control gray mold on strawberry fruits. J.
- 745 Agric. Food Chem. 67, 9265–9276.
- 746 Yang, Y., Wang, S.T., Lu, Z.M., Zhang, X.J., Chai, L.J., Shen, C.H., Shi, J.S., Xu, Z.H., 2021.
- Metagenomics unveils microbial roles involved in metabolic network of flavor development in
- medium-temperature dagu starter. Food Res. Int. 140, 110037.
- 749 Zapalska-Sozoniuk, M., Chrobak, L., Kowalczyk, K., Kankofer, M., 2019. Is it useful to use several
- "omics" for obtaining valuable results? Mol. Biol. Rep. 46, 3597–3606.
- 751 Zhang, X., Li, L., Butcher, J., Stintzi, A., Figeys, D., 2019. Advancing functional and translational
- microbiome research using meta-omics approaches. Microbiome 7, 1–12.

- 753 Zhao, C., Su, W., Mu, Yu, Mu, Yingchun, Jiang, L., 2021. Integrative Metagenomics-
- Metabolomics for analyzing the relationship between microorganisms and non-volatile profiles
- of traditional xiaoqu. Front. Microbiol. 11, 1–17.
- 756 Zhao, C.C., Eun, J.B., 2020. Shotgun metagenomics approach reveals the bacterial community and
- metabolic pathways in commercial hongeo product, a traditional Korean fermented skate
- 758 product. Food Res. Int. 131, 109030.
- 759 Zheng, J., Wittouck, S., Salvetti, E., Franz, C.M.A.B., Harris, H.M.B., Mattarelli, P., O'Toole,
- P.W., Pot, B., Vandamme, P., Walter, J., Watanabe, K., Wuyts, S., Felis, G.E., Gänzle, M.G.,
- Lebeer, S., 2020. A taxonomic note on the genus *Lactobacillus*: Description of 23 novel
- genera, emended description of the genus *Lactobacillus Beijerinck* 1901, and union of
- *Lactobacillaceae* and *Leuconostocaceae*. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 70, 2782–2858.
- Zolfo, M., Tett, A., Jousson, O., Donati, C., Segata, N., 2017. MetaMLST: Multi-locus strain-level
- bacterial typing from metagenomic samples. Nucleic Acids Res. 45, 1–10.
- Zwirzitz, B., Wetzels, S.U., Dixon, E.D., Stessl, B., Zaiser, A., Rabanser, I., Thalguter, S., Pinior,
- B., Roch, F.F., Strachan, C., Zanghellini, J., Dzieciol, M., Wagner, M., Selberherr, E., 2020.
- The sources and transmission routes of microbial populations throughout a meat processing
- facility. npj Biofilms Microbiomes 6, 1–12.
- 770 Zwirzitz, B., Wetzels, S.U., Dixon, E.D., Fleischmann, S., Selberherr, E., Thalguter, S., Quijada,
- N.M., Dzieciol, M., Wagner, M., Stessl, B., 2021. Co-Occurrence of *Listeria* spp. and spoilage
- associated microbiota during meat processing due to cross-contamination events. Front.
- 773 Microbiol. 12:632935.

774