

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

**Croatian white grape variety Maraština: first taste of its indigenous mycobiota**

**This is a pre print version of the following article:**

*Original Citation:*

*Availability:*

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1874022> since 2022-09-14T14:59:51Z

*Published version:*

DOI:10.1016/j.foodres.2022.111917

*Terms of use:*

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**Croatian white grape variety Maraština: first taste of its indigenous mycobiota**

Vesna Milanović<sup>a</sup>, Federica Cardinali<sup>\*a</sup>, Ilario Ferocino<sup>b</sup>, Ana Boban<sup>c</sup>, Irene Franciosa<sup>b</sup>, Jasenka Gajdoš Kljusurić<sup>d</sup>, Ana Mucalo<sup>c</sup>, Andrea Osimani<sup>a</sup>, Lucia Aquilanti<sup>a</sup>, Cristiana Garofalo<sup>a</sup>, Irena Budić-Leto<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Alimentari ed Ambientali, Università Politecnica delle Marche, via Brecce Bianche, 60131, Ancona, Italy

<sup>b</sup> Department of Agricultural, Forest, and Food Science, University of Turin, Largo Paolo Braccini 2, 10095, Grugliasco, Turin, Italy

<sup>c</sup> Institute for Adriatic Crops and Karst Reclamation, Put Duilova 11, 21000 Split, Croatia

<sup>d</sup> Faculty of Food Technology and Biotechnology, University of Zagreb, Pierottijeva 6, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

\*Corresponding author: Federica Cardinali, Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Alimentari ed Ambientali, Università Politecnica delle Marche, via Brecce Bianche, 60131, Ancona, Italy.

29 Tel +39 071 2204988. e-mail: [f.cardinali@univpm.it](mailto:f.cardinali@univpm.it)

30 **Abstract**

31

32 The indigenous vineyard mycobiota contribute both to wine quality and vineyard sanitary status. Wines made  
33 from same grape variety but from different geographical locations are appreciated for their diversity. Because  
34 no information on indigenous mycobiota of Croatian grapevines is available, the aim of the present study was  
35 to start filling this knowledge gap by characterizing the indigenous mycobiota of Maraština variety. The use  
36 of metataxonomic approach has enabled the identification of 25 different fungal genera present on Maraština  
37 grape berries collected from 11 vineyards located within the Croatian coastal winegrowing region of Dalmatia  
38 (northern Dalmatia, Dalmatian hinterland, central and southern Dalmatia). The substantial regional and local  
39 scale differences in their distribution were observed, thus supporting the concept of microbial terroir. Overall,  
40 *Aureobasidium* was the dominant genus followed by *Cladosporium* and *Metschnikowia*. *Botrytis* and  
41 *Plenodomus* were associated with the vineyards located in central Dalmatia, whereas *Pichia* was associated  
42 with northern Dalmatia vineyards. The largest abundance of *Buckleyzyma*, *Cladosporium*, *Eremothecium*,  
43 *Fusarium*, *Papiliotrema*, and *Rhodotorula* was observed in Dalmatian hinterland. Moreover, data suggested  
44 that climate conditions and soil type partially influenced the distribution of fungal communities. The local-  
45 scale differences emerged also for the physicochemical characteristics of fresh musts. The high malic acid  
46 content supported the development of *Metschnikowia*, and inhibited *Fusarium* growth, whereas a positive  
47 correlation between *Erysiphe* and pH values was observed. *Sporobolomyces* and *Cystobasidium* were  
48 negatively associated with high glucose concentration. The revealing of Maraština indigenous mycobiota  
49 provided information on the members of fungal community negatively influencing the grapevine sanitary  
50 status as well as those which could be employed in disease biocontrol. The presence of autochthonous yeasts  
51 belonging to genera *Hanseniaspora*, *Metschnikowia*, *Lachancea*, *Pichia* and *Hyphopichia* could confer  
52 possible improvements to sensory characteristics of wine.

53

54 **Keywords:** Maraština, indigenous mycobiota, microbial terroir, metataxonomic approach, grapevine,  
55 Dalmatia, *Aureobasidium*

56

57

## 58 1. Introduction

59

60 *Vitis vinifera* L., native to southern Europe and western Asia, as well as other *Vitis* L. species are grown  
61 worldwide mostly for wine production (Pancher et al., 2012). Despite the fermentation of wine being strictly  
62 correlated to the conversion of sugar into ethanol, it is a complex procedure that starts in the vineyard and ends  
63 with the consumption (Bokulich et al., 2014). The indigenous vineyard mycobiota, including yeasts and other  
64 fungal communities, contribute both to wine quality and vineyard sanitary status. Yeast colonizing grape  
65 berries produce various compounds that can exert positive or even detrimental effects on the wine quality and  
66 aroma complexity (Capozzi et al., 2015). The grape berry surface is dominated by non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts,  
67 including basidiomycetous oxidative species from the genera *Filobasidium*, *Cryptococcus* and *Rhodotorula*;  
68 ascomycetous oxidative or weakly fermentative species from the genera *Aureobasidium* (yeast-like fungus),  
69 *Hanseniaspora*, *Candida*, *Metschnikowia*, *Debaryomyces*, *Pichia*, and *Lachancea* as well as fermentative  
70 species from the genera *Saccharomyces*, *Torulaspora*, *Zygosaccharomyces*, *Dekkera/Brettanomyces*,  
71 *Schizosaccharomyces*, and *Saccharomycodes* (Setati et al., 2015). The grapes mycobiota also include fungal  
72 obligate parasites such as *Plasmopara viticola* and *Erysiphe necator*, responsible for downy and powdery  
73 mildew, respectively, as well as saprophytic moulds including *Botrytis cinerea*, causing grey rot, and other  
74 ubiquitous genera such as *Aspergillus*, *Cladosporium* and *Penicillium*, responsible for various grape rots or  
75 ochratoxin production (Barata et al., 2012). However, the surface of grape berries is an unstable habitat for  
76 microorganisms whose composition and the abundance are mainly driven by grape variety, the vineyard  
77 geographical position, local and regional climate (temperature, precipitation, relative humidity), soil, growth  
78 stage of the berries, health status of the grapevine, and the viticultural management practices (organic or  
79 commercial vineyard) (Milanović et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2021). The vineyard mycobiota have been extensively  
80 studied using traditional culture-dependent methods that might miss up to 95% of the community due to low  
81 frequency or the presence of viable but non-culturable cells (Taylor et al., 2014). By contrast, metataxonomic  
82 methods can reveal larger microbial diversity than other fingerprinting methods, thus playing a fundamental  
83 role in the assessment of the grape microbiome (Rantsiou et al., 2020; Stefanini & Cavalieri, 2018).

84 Vineyards in Croatia cover about 25,000 ha and include 197 cultivars, among which 103 are considered  
85 indigenous (Maletic et al, 2015). Croatian wine-growing zones are divided into continental (eastern and  
86 western) and coastal region. The latter, including Istria/Kvarner and Dalmatia (northern Dalmatia, Dalmatian  
87 hinterland, central and southern Dalmatia) is located along the coast of Adriatic Sea and is characterized by  
88 Mediterranean climate (Regulation EU No 1308/2013). In contrast to the continental region, where native  
89 cultivars represent only a small fraction, in the coastal region, especially in central and southern Dalmatia,  
90 native cultivars are grown in more than 90% of the vineyards. Although the most cultivated white variety in  
91 Dalmatia is Trbljan (9.5%, 495 ha), followed by Kujunduša (6.3%, 328 ha), Maraština (4.6%, 242 ha) and  
92 Pošip (4.3%, 227 ha) (Voncina et al., 2011), Maraština is the second (after Pošip) most important variety for  
93 wine sector due to its capacity for producing high quality wines. Maraština (synonyms Rukatac, Malvasia del  
94 Chianti, Malvasia binca lunga) is characterized by small- to medium-sized grapes of a golden yellow colour  
95 with small, brown spots, thick skin and the grapes tightly packed in bunches. Maraština is considered an  
96 autochthonous Croatian white variety, although Šimon et al. (2007) reported its high similarity with the Italian  
97 variety Malvasia del Chianti and the Greek variety Pavlos. By contrast, Crespan et al. (2009) reported just  
98 seven of the 11 simple sequence repeat loci of Maraština overlapping with Malvasia del Chianti.

99 Wines made from the same grape variety but from different geographical regions are appreciated for their  
100 differences in aroma, flavour, taste, and quality, thus leading to their higher price and market demand (van  
101 Leeuwen & Seguin, 2006). The fungal communities have been proposed as contributing to the concept of wine  
102 terroir; therefore, understanding fungal composition and dynamics among different vineyards or winegrowing  
103 regions is of great importance in the wine-making process (Alexandre, 2020). To the best of our knowledge,  
104 no report on indigenous mycobiota of Croatian grapevine cultivars is available. Hence, the aim of the present  
105 study was to employ a culture-independent metataxonomic approach to give the first insight into the fungal  
106 communities associated with Croatian white grapevine cultivar Maraština as influenced by geographical  
107 position of the vineyards located within the Croatian coastal winegrowing region of Dalmatia, including sub-  
108 regions of northern Dalmatia, Dalmatian hinterland, and central and southern Dalmatia. Correlations between  
109 the mycobiota composition and climate data, vineyard soil type and physicochemical characteristics of fresh  
110 musts were also calculated.

111

## 112 2. Materials and methods

113

114

### 115 2.1 Grape sampling

116

117 Healthy and undamaged vines were used for the collection of the grape berry samples from 10 commercial  
118 vineyards and the germplasm repository of native varieties cultivated at the Institute for Adriatic Crops and  
119 Karst Reclamation in Split as part of the Croatian National Collection. The vineyards were located along the  
120 Croatian coast in the winegrowing subregions of northern Dalmatia [Smilčić (S), Nadin (Polača) (N),  
121 Stankovci (Z), Vukšić (V)], Dalmatian hinterland [Okraj (O)], and central and southern Dalmatia [Institute for  
122 Adriatic Crops and Karst Reclamation in Split (IJK-RB), Kaštela (VP), Dračevica (DR), Prapatna 1 (P),  
123 Prapatna 2 (B), Kruševo (K)] as shown in Figure 1. The vineyards DR, P, B and K are situated in the island of  
124 Korčula. The air distance between the northernmost (S) and the southernmost vineyard (located on island  
125 Korčula) is 177 km. The detailed information, including the global positioning coordinates, altitude, the  
126 plantation year, soil type, row distance per vine and the trellis system for each vineyard, is reported in Table  
127 1.

128 On 11<sup>th</sup>, 12<sup>th</sup> and 16<sup>th</sup> September 2021, a total of 11 technologically mature samples of Maraština grapes were  
129 collected in biological triplicate. In detail, the experimental plan consisted of three randomized blocks in the  
130 middle of each vineyard. A block was formed by one row of vines. The sample for each block was composed  
131 of nine well-exposed bunches collected from three different vines from the beginning, middle and end of the  
132 row. Only healthy and undamaged grapes (around 3 kg per vineyard) were harvested using sterile scissors,  
133 placed in sterile bags, and transported to the laboratory in a cool bag. Once in the laboratory, 200 berries from  
134 different parts of the grape bunches (top, centre, and bottom) were aseptically cut off by scissors and  
135 immediately transferred in a refrigerator to the Polytechnic University of Marche (Ancona, Italy) for  
136 microbiological analyses. The remaining berries were pressed by hand and homogenized manually to obtain  
137 fresh must for physicochemical analyses.

138

### 139 2.2 Climate data

140

141 Climate data collected from the nearest meteorological station for each vineyard (Table 1) were obtained from  
142 the Croatian Meteorological and Hydrological Service. The average ( $T_{av}$ ), maximum ( $T_{max}$ ) and minimum  
143 ( $T_{min}$ ) temperature ( $^{\circ}C$ ) as well as the average daily precipitation ( $D_p$ ) (mm) for each winegrowing sub-region  
144 are reported in Supplementary Table 1.

145

### 146 *2.3 Physicochemical analyses of fresh must*

147

148 Standard physicochemical parameters were determined according to the International Organisation of Vine  
149 and Wine reference methods for wine analysis (OIV, 2021) in a laboratory accredited according to HRN EN  
150 ISO/IEC 17025 at Institute for Adriatic Crops and Karst Reclamation (Split, Croatia). The content of total  
151 soluble solids, TSS ( $^{\circ}Brix$ ), was measured using a refractometer (Hi 96814, Hanna Instruments, USA). The  
152 pH was measured using a pH meter Titrino 718 (Metrohm, Switzerland) and total acidity (TA) was determined  
153 by titrating the samples with 0.1 M sodium hydroxide solution to reach a pH end-point of 7. A FTIR Lyza  
154 5000 Wine analyser (Anton Paar GmbH, Austria) was used to determine the following oenological parameters  
155 of the fresh musts: glucose (g/L), fructose (g/L), malic acid (g/L), tartaric acid (g/L) and yeast assimilable  
156 nitrogen, YAN [mg/L (N)]. Concentrations of D-glucose and D-fructose were confirmed by using an  
157 enzymatic test K-FRUGL (Megazyme, Ireland). Also, concentrations of malic acid and tartaric were confirmed  
158 by using the enzymatic tests for L-malic acid and tartaric acid (Megazyme, Ireland).

159

### 160 *2.4 DNA extraction and sequencing*

161

162 A total number of 33 fresh grape berry samples (three biological replicates for each of 11 vineyards) were  
163 crushed at 260 rpm by a Stomacher 400 Circulator machine (VWR International PBI, Milan, Italy) for 5 min.  
164 The 1.5 mL aliquots of the obtained homogenates were centrifuged at 16 000 g for 10 minutes to pellet the  
165 microbial cells that were then used for the extraction of the total microbial DNA using an E.Z.N.A. soil DNA  
166 kit (Omega Bio-tek, GA, USA). The quantity and the purity of the extracted DNA were checked by a Nanodrop  
167 ND 1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA).

168 A metataxonomic approach was applied to study the mycobiota composition of Maraština grapes collected  
169 from 11 geographical locations within three Dalmatian winegrowing subregions. The 26S rRNA gene of the  
170 extracted DNA was amplified by using the primers NL4R (5'-GGTCCGTGTTTCAAGACGG-3') and LS2-  
171 MF (5'-GAGTCGAGTTGTTTGGGAAT-3') following the procedure previously described by Mota-Gutierrez  
172 et al. (2019). The PCR products were purified, tagged, and pooled following the Illumina Sequencing Library  
173 Preparation guidelines. An Illumina MiSeq platform with V2 chemistry was used to generate 250-bp paired-  
174 end reads. After sequencing, the obtained raw files (*fastq*) were processed by QIIME2 software as described  
175 by Bolyen et al. (2019). *Cutadapter* was used to trim the sequence adapters and primers, and DADA2 algorithm  
176 (Callahan et al., 2016) was used to eliminate low quality reads. The DADA2 denoise paired plug-in of QIIME2  
177 was implemented to remove chimeric sequences and join sequences shorter than 300 bp. The manually build  
178 database for the mycobiota was used for the taxonomy classification using the QIIME feature-classifier plugin  
179 against SILVA database implemented in Mota-Gutierrez et al. (2019). BLAST suite tools were used to confirm  
180 the taxonomic assignment. Data generated by sequencing were deposited in the National Center for  
181 Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive (SRA) and are available under the BioProject  
182 Accession Number PRJNA851272.

183

## 184 *2.5 Statistical analyses*

185

186 The diversity script of QIIME2 was used for alpha and beta diversity indices calculation. In R environment,  
187 the differences between alpha diversity parameters and Amplicon Sequence Variants (ASVs) relative  
188 abundance were evaluated by non-parametric Kruskal Wallis test. Bray–Curtis distance matrix was used  
189 to perform PERMANOVA by the “vegan” package in R environment.

190 Principal Component Analysis (PCA), using the function *dudi.pca* of R, was used to analyse the differences  
191 of ASVs. Spearman correlation analysis between fungal ASVs and physicochemical parameters of fresh  
192 Maraština must was performed through the package *psyc* of R, and only the significant associations ( $P < 0.05$ )  
193 are shown in the plots drawn by the *corr.plot* function of R.

194 One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to evaluate differences in physicochemical characteristics  
195 of the samples collected from different vineyards by Tukey-Kramer’s Honest Significant Difference (HSD)



196 test and the one-tailed t-test (level of significance 0.05) using the JMP software version 11.0.0 (SAS Institute  
197 Inc., Cary, NC). Furthermore, prior to PCA, the entire data set related to physicochemical characteristics of  
198 fresh musts was subjected to factor analysis to examine whether there was a need to include all the data. The  
199 decision on the data inclusion was based on factor loading of  $\geq 0.7$  (Topić Popović et al., 2021), and only the  
200 ratio glucose/fructose was considered a variable that would not greatly affect the qualitative distribution of  
201 harvest locations. This data set was used to perform the PCA using statistical software for Excel, XLStat 2014,  
202 using the Varimax rotation and presented in a form of a distance biplot.

203

### 204 **3. Results and discussion**

205

#### 206 *3.1 Characterization of indigenous mycobiota*

207

208 The indigenous grapevine microbial communities together with other biological and physical factors play a  
209 crucial role in shaping the organoleptic characteristics of wine. Consequently, wines produced from the same  
210 grapevine cultivar but in different geographical regions can be recognized for their different sensory  
211 characteristics, which in cases of specific regions may lead to increased consumer's acceptance and significant  
212 economic returns (Stefanini & Cavalieri, 2018). Because fungi are reported to have greater impact on wine  
213 sensory attributes than bacteria (Liu et al., 2020), the current study focused on indigenous mycobiota associated  
214 with the Croatian grapevine cultivar Maraština, thus laying a foundation for research on the composition of  
215 fungal communities of Croatian grapevine cultivars. The high-throughput sequencing methods revealed the  
216 local distribution patterns of microbial communities throughout different world winegrowing regions, showing  
217 a strong correlation between local microbial terroir and wine organoleptic characteristics (Li et al., 2022). To  
218 verify whether this pattern could be applied to Maraština, 33 grape berry samples collected from 11 vineyards  
219 located along the Croatian coastal area were subjected to metataxonomic analysis. A total of 14,007,462 paired  
220 reads were obtained by sequencing. After quality filtering, a total of 146,485,613 reads were used, with an  
221 average value of 44,389 reads/sample, and a mean sequence length of 375 bp. *Alternaria*, *Aureobasidium*,  
222 *Cladosporium*, *Filobasidium*, *Hanseniaspora* and *Metschnikowia* were ubiquitous and characterized by high  
223 relative abundance (Figure 2, Supplementary Table 2). *Aureobasidium* was the dominant ASV, with the

224 relative abundance ranging between 19.7% (vineyard O, Dalmatian hinterland) and 94.6% (vineyard VP,  
225 central and southern Dalmatia), followed by *Cladosporium* varying from 3.6% (vineyard VP) to 47.6%  
226 (vineyard O), and *Metschnikowia* with relative abundance between 0.03% (vineyards IJK-RB and B, central  
227 and southern Dalmatia) and 33.3% (vineyard Z, northern Dalmatia). *Aureobasidium* is commonly found on  
228 the surface of grape berries at all stages of maturation, probably due to its high tolerance to different  
229 environmental conditions and high antagonistic activity against plant pathogens due to production of volatile  
230 organic compounds and antimicrobials (Galli et al., 2021). Moreover, it has been reported to have a positive  
231 role on mycotoxin biocontrol and to produce valuable industrial enzymes such as amylases, proteases,  
232 pectinases,  $\beta$ -glucosidase, lipases, cellulases, xylanases and mannanases, with some of them very useful for  
233 the improvement of wine quality and aroma (Bozoudi & Tsaltas, 2018). In the present study, for most of the  
234 samples, the relative abundance of *Aureobasidium* was inversely proportional with the relative abundance of  
235 the *Cladosporium*. The latter genus is considered ubiquitous but particularly frequent in geographical zones  
236 with mild Mediterranean climates such as Dalmatia, exerting negative influence on wine quality by  
237 diminishing aroma, flavour, and colour (Briceno & Latorre, 2008). The highest relative abundance of ASVs  
238 ascribed to genus *Metschnikowia* were detected in vineyards Z, DR, and O, showing distribution of this genus  
239 within different winegrowing regions. *Metschnikowia* is one of the most explored genera in oenology,  
240 frequently used in mixed fermentations with the aim to improve the organoleptic profile of wines by  
241 modulating the synthesis of secondary metabolites. It has also been reported that *Metschnikowia pulcherrima*  
242 has the strong antimicrobial activity against spoilage yeasts and fungi as well the ability to decrease the  
243 concentration of ochratoxin, thus making this species useful in the winemaking (Vicente et al., 2020).  
244 Moreover, *M. pulcherrima* showed the ability to decrease the ethanol concentration, which is particularly  
245 important for wines produced in regions characterized by warm climate (Vaquero et al., 2021). The last genus  
246 commonly present in Maraština samples with the relative abundances >10% (vineyards N and O) was  
247 *Hanseniaspora*, comprising the most abundant yeasts found in vineyards able to increase the concentration of  
248 acetate esters contributing to positive fruity aroma, as well as sulfur-containing compounds and higher  
249 concentration of alcohols (Capozzi et al., 2015). Finally, samples collected from the vineyard IJK-RB were  
250 characterized by the highest relative abundance (23.7%) of *Quambalaria*, known as plant pathogenic fungal  
251 genus (Narmani & Arzanlou, 2019).

252 *Botrytis*, *Buckleyzyma*, *Cryptococcus*, *Cystobasidium*, *Didymella*, *Eremothecium*, *Hyphopichia*, *Penicillium*,  
253 *Pichia*, *Plenodomus* and *Sporobolomyces* were detected in less than 50% of the samples with the low relative  
254 abundance (<1%). *Eremothecium* and *Plenodomus* were identified only in O and IJK-RB vineyards,  
255 respectively, whereas *Botrytis*, causing grey rot, was present only in vineyards located in central and southern  
256 Dalmatia (IJK-RB, DR, B, and K).

257 Samples collected from the vineyards IJK-RB, DR and Z showed the highest Shannon diversity index ( $P < 0.05$ ,  
258 Figure 3). Bray–Curtis distance matrix showed a significant separation between samples according to  
259 vineyards (PERMANOVA,  $p = 0.001$ ).

260 The PCA analysis confirmed a separation of the samples based on their mycobiota composition (Figure 4). In  
261 detail, the samples collected from IJK-RB and DR vineyards, both from central and southern Dalmatia  
262 subregion, were well separated from the other samples. The samples from VP and N vineyards, although from  
263 different winegrowing regions, clustered together. These findings suggest a local-scale effect of the  
264 distribution of fungal ASVs, confirming the concept of microbial terroir. Indeed, several ASVs were associated  
265 with different locations; *Aspergillus*, *Cryptococcus*, *Cystobasidium*, *Erysiphe*, *Filobasidium* and *Plenodomus*  
266 showed higher relative abundance in samples collected from IJK-RB vineyard ( $P < 0.05$ ), whereas  
267 *Cladosporium*, *Fusarium* and *Rhodotorula* showed the highest relative abundance in samples collected from  
268 the O vineyard located in Dalmatian hinterland ( $P < 0.05$ , Supplementary Figure 1). Even though the genus  
269 *Fusarium* comprises numerous harmless species of filamentous fungi, some of them can cause grapevine wilt  
270 disease or even produce the mycotoxins (Desjardins, 2006; Gonzalez & Tello 2011). The *Rhodotorula* genus  
271 is frequently detected and isolated from grape berries, probably due to its ability to produce biofilms on berry  
272 surfaces (Lederer et al., 2013). Although some species from this genus can enhance the wine aroma complexity  
273 due to  $\beta$ -glucosidase and  $\alpha$ -L-arabinofuranosidase activity, they are rarely used in wine production (Hu et al.,  
274 2016; Martínez et al., 2006). Samples belonging to vineyard Z located in northern Dalmatia winegrowing  
275 subregion were characterized by the highest relative abundance of *Metschnikowia* and *Pichia* ( $P < 0.05$ ,  
276 Supplementary Figure 1). Different wine related species of the latter genus are reported to produce enzymes  
277 that positively influence wine organoleptic characteristics. Moreover, they can produce antimicrobial  
278 compounds, thus showing high potential for reducing the growth of wine spoilage microorganisms. However,  
279 only *Pichia kluyveri* strains are commercially available as a starter culture (Vicente et al., 2021). Finally, the

280 highest abundance of the *Lachancea* was detected in the vineyard V from the same winegrowing subregion  
281 ( $P < 0.05$ , Supplementary Figure 1). Members of this genus have been found in various habitats, with *Lachancea*  
282 *thermotolerans* as a key species in wine fermentation processes principally due to its ability to reduce pH  
283 through lactic acid production, thus giving pleasant acidity to wine (Porter et al., 2019).

284 Considering the winegrowing subregions of northern Dalmatia, central and southern Dalmatia, and Dalmatian  
285 hinterland as the main factor influencing the distribution of the ASVs, the significant separation of the samples  
286 was observed ( $P < 0.05$ , Figure 5). Indeed, the one tailed t-test (Supplementary Table 3) indicated that the  
287 highest relative abundance of ASVs ascribed to *Botrytis* and *Plenodomus* was detected in the vineyards located  
288 in central and southern Dalmatia, whereas the ASVs ascribed to *Pichia* were characteristic for the samples  
289 collected from northern Dalmatia. Finally, Dalmatian hinterland subregion was characterized by the highest  
290 relative abundance of *Buckleyzyma*, *Cladosporium*, *Eremothecium*, *Fusarium*, *Papiliotrema* and *Rhodotorula*,  
291 whereas the abundance of *Hanseniaspora* and *Metschnikowia* was the lowest in central and southern Dalmatia.

292 It has been suggested that the structure of grapevine microbial communities partly depends on climate  
293 conditions both inside and between vineyards, but it remains unclear which climate factor has the greatest  
294 impact (Liu et al., 2019). Here, due to lack of meteorological data for each single vineyard, the average values  
295 of air temperatures (average, maximum and minimum) ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and daily precipitations (mm) (Supplementary  
296 Table 1) were estimated only for the winegrowing subregion and were correlated with metataxonomic analysis  
297 results. The highest average ( $T_{av}$ ) and minimum ( $T_{min}$ ) air temperatures as well as daily precipitations ( $D_p$ )  
298 were correlated with *Aspergillus*, *Aureobasidium*, *Botrytis*, *Cryptococcus*, *Cystobasidium*, *Didymella*,  
299 *Eremothecium*, *Erysiphe*, *Penicillium*, *Plenodomus*, *Sporobolomyces* and *Quambalaria*, all associated with  
300 central and southern Dalmatia (Figure 6). Regarding *Aureobasidium*, Chalvantzi et al. (2021) reported its  
301 positive correlation with net precipitation amounts in different Greek vineyards. Furthermore, *Filobasidium*  
302 and *Alternaria* were well correlated with the maximum temperature values ( $T_{max}$ ).

303 Soil has been proposed to be a possible natural source of microbial communities associated with grapevines,  
304 thus making the wind-blown soil dust the principal vector for their distribution (Zarraonaindia et al., 2015). In  
305 the current study, the correlation between the vineyard soil type and fungal ASVs present on grape berries was  
306 calculated. As reported in Table 1, the vineyards were planted on different soil types including brown soil on  
307 limestone, red soil, loam, sand, reclaimed karst and brown soil. Samples collected from grapevines planted on

308 brown soil on limestone were well separated from the other samples (Figure 7) principally due to the highest  
309 relative abundance of *Alternaria*, *Aspergillus*, *Botrytis*, *Didymella*, *Erysiphe*, *Plenodomus* and *Quambalaria*  
310 ( $P < 0.05$ , Supplementary Figure 2), all known for their negative influence on the grapevine sanitary status.  
311 Moreover, samples collected from grapevines grown on brown soil were characterized by the presence of  
312 *Metschnikowia* and *Cladosporium* ( $P < 0.05$ , Supplementary Figure 2).

313

### 314 3.2 Physicochemical characteristics of fresh musts

315

316 The physicochemical analyses were performed to characterize the fresh grape musts obtained from the  
317 collected samples. The results, expressed as the average values coupled with standard deviations are reported  
318 in Table 2. The pH values ranged between 3.3 (vineyards Z and O) and 3.6 (vineyards IJK-RB, P, K, S), which  
319 is comparable with the results commonly reported in the literature for fresh grape must samples (Unluturk &  
320 Atilgan, 2015). Grape musts are mainly composed of water (70-80%), carbohydrates (15-25%) with glucose  
321 and fructose commonly present in equal amounts (1:1 ratio), plus several organic acids (Granato et al., 2016).  
322 Glucose/fructose ratio in Maraština samples was about 1, with glucose concentration ranging from 92.3 g/L  
323 (vineyard DR) to 118.1 g/L (vineyard K) and fructose concentration between 77.4 g/L (vineyard DR) and  
324 115.7 g/L (vineyard O). The TSS (°Brix) content in Maraština samples was between 18.1 (vineyard DR) and  
325 23.4 (vineyard V), which, according to OIV (1990) indicated the stage of technical maturity (14–25 °Brix).  
326 Even if the TSS level usually determines the grape price, it does not always correspond to the best overall  
327 maturity. Indeed, it has been reported that in cultivars such as Merlot and Chardonnay a concentration of 24 to  
328 25 °Brix possibly establishes the upper limit beyond which an additional increase of TSS is associated mainly  
329 with deterioration and dehydration of the berries (Bondada et al., 2017; Tillbrook & Tyerman, 2008). After  
330 sugars, organic acids such as malic, tartaric, acetic, citric, succinic, and lactic acid are the most abundant solids  
331 in grape musts directly impacting the flavour, colour and wine stability (Eyduran et al., 2015). The  
332 concentration of organic acids is directly linked to TA which commonly ranges between 0.40 and 7.0 g/L in  
333 fresh grape musts (Granato et al., 2016). The Maraština samples were characterized by TA values ranging  
334 from 3.7 g/L (vineyard K) to 6.4 g/L (vineyard IJK-RB), which is in line with the results previously reported  
335 for Maraština sampled and analysed during three consecutive years (2009-2011) (Preiner et al., 2013). Malic

336 and tartaric acids account for 70-90% of the total acids. The concentration of tartaric acid, responsible for taste  
337 and the wine biological stability, is relatively constant during ripening and independent of climate conditions,  
338 thus making it characteristic of a grape cultivar (Ribereau-Gayon et al., 2006). Conversely, the concentration  
339 of malic acid is variable depending on several factors, including climate conditions, soil type, sunlight exposure  
340 and grape variety (Granato et al., 2016). Here, the concentration of tartaric acid in fresh Maraština musts ranged  
341 from 2.3 g/L (vineyard K) to 4.0 g/L (vineyard O), and that of malic acid from 0.2 g/L (vineyards B and VP)  
342 to 0.9 g/L (vineyard DR). These values are lower than those previously reported for the same cultivar during  
343 the 2009-2011 triennial (average concentration of 4.92 g/L for tartaric and 1.21 g/L for malic acid, Preiner et  
344 al., 2013). A negative correlation between malic acid and high air temperatures has been reported previously  
345 (Conde et al., 2007).

346 One of the most important parameters for wine fermentation is nitrogen (N) availability because it is essential  
347 for the metabolism of yeast cells. Different N sources such as amino acids, ammonium, and small peptides are  
348 present in must, but not all of them can be used by yeasts. The content of YAN in grape musts is commonly  
349 between 50 and 450 mg/L, although a minimum of 140 mg/L has been established as crucial to prevent stuck  
350 or sluggish fermentations (Verdenal et al., 2021). Only samples collected from VP vineyard (142 mg/L)  
351 satisfied the minimum acceptable YAN concentration, whereas the lowest YAN value was registered for the  
352 samples collected from DR vineyard (90.7 mg/L) (Table 2).

353 The PCA was used to assess the distribution of samples collected from different vineyards based on the results  
354 of physicochemical analysis, including primary parameters such as acidity (TA, malic and tartaric acids), sugar  
355 concentration (glucose, fructose), and analytical data such as TSS (°Brix) and pH, as well as secondary  
356 parameters such as YAN (Figure 8). The samples collected from IJK-RB, VP and O vineyards grouped  
357 together due to their high YAN and tartaric acid concentration, similar to the samples collected from vineyard  
358 Z. Even though the concentration of tartaric acid in fresh musts obtained from the samples collected in vineyard  
359 DR was not significantly lower than in the samples from the IJK-RB, VP and O vineyards, the high  
360 concentration of malic acid in the DR samples caused a separation into the second quadrant, opposite to sugar-  
361 related parameters (glucose and fructose) that were low at 92.3 g/L and 77.4 g/L, respectively. The P samples  
362 were distinguished from all the other samples mainly due to their relatively high concentration of malic acid  
363 and low YAN concentration. The last group containing samples collected from vineyards V, B, S, N and K

364 was positively correlated with pH and fructose concentration. Interestingly, samples from the same  
365 winegrowing region were scattered among different PCA quadrants, thus indicating that local conditions such  
366 as climate, soil and vineyard practices may impact the physicochemical characteristics of fresh grape musts  
367 and consequently organoleptic characteristics of resulting wines. This was further confirmed by the fact that  
368 the samples DR, K, B and P, geographically close to each other (all on Korčula island) were distributed in all  
369 four quadrants.

370 During ripening, grapes undertake various physiological and biochemical modifications that may influence the  
371 mycobiota of grape berries (Conde et al., 2007). The availability of nutrients such as sugars, organic and amino  
372 acids is undoubtedly an important factor shaping the fungal ecology on grapes. Prakitchaiwattana et al. (2020)  
373 have recently demonstrated the presence of nutrients on grape surfaces, with their concentration increasing  
374 during ripening, which was associated with more abundant fungal population. Given these premises, the  
375 correlation analysis between mycobiota and principal physicochemical parameters of fresh Maraština musts  
376 was performed. As shown in Figure 9, concentration of malic acid was associated positively with the relative  
377 abundance of *Metschnikowia* and negatively with that of *Fusarium*. Indeed, the relative abundance of  
378 *Fusarium* in the analysed samples followed the opposite trend compared to *Metschnikowia*. Some non-  
379 *Saccharomyces* wine yeasts are assumed to metabolize malic acid, especially *M. pulcherrima*, decomposing  
380 around 10% of malic acid during fermentation (Vicente et al., 2020). Regarding negative correlation between  
381 *Fusarium* and malic acid, a similar result was recently reported by Lv et al. (2021), whereby malic acid had a  
382 significant inhibitory effect on the occurrence of *Fusarium* wilt in faba bean. Finally, the relative abundance  
383 of *Erysiphe* showed a positive correlation ( $P < 0.05$ ) with pH, whereas the relative abundance of  
384 *Sporobolomyces* and *Cystobasidium* was negatively ( $P < 0.05$ ) associated with glucose concentration (g/L)  
385 (Figure 9). The species from the latter two genera may represent a source of biocontrol agents effective in  
386 regulation of different grapevine diseases (Patanita et al., 2022).

387

#### 388 4. Conclusions

389

390 The current study aimed to fill a knowledge gap on indigenous mycobiota associated with the Croatian  
391 grapevine cultivar Maraština, hence laying a foundation for further research on the composition of microbial

392 communities related to Croatian grapevines. The high-throughput metataxonomic analysis revealed a  
393 significant regional as well as local scale differences in fungi distribution, thus further supporting the concept  
394 of microbial terroir. The climate conditions and the vineyard soil type as well as the physicochemical  
395 characteristics of fresh musts (such as pH and the concentrations of malic acid and glucose) partly contributed  
396 to local distribution patterns of fungal communities. *Aureobasidium* dominated the surface of Maraština grapes  
397 followed by *Cladosporium*, *Metschnikowia*, *Hanseniaspora*, *Alternaria* and *Filobasidium*. The knowledge of  
398 Maraština indigenous mycobiota provided a basis for examining the role of *Aureobasidium*, *Cryptococcus*,  
399 *Cystobasidium*, *Metschnikowia*, *Pichia*, *Sporobolomyces* and *Vishniacozyma* in grapevine disease biocontrol  
400 and wine quality. Of special interest are the yeasts from the genera *Hanseniaspora*, *Metschnikowia*,  
401 *Lachancea*, *Pichia* and *Hyphopichia* because they are known for their potential positive contributions to  
402 organoleptic characteristics of wine. To preserve the role of the microbial terroir, future research will be  
403 oriented toward isolation and oenological characterization of indigenous Maraština non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts  
404 for their potential as wine starter cultures.

405

#### 406 **CRedit authorship contribution statement**

407

408 **Vesna Milanović:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing - Original Draft; **Federica**  
409 **Cardinali:** Investigation, Formal analysis; **Ilario Ferocino:** Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing - Review  
410 & Editing; **Ana Boban:** Investigation, Formal analysis; **Irene Franciosa:** Investigation, Formal analysis;  
411 **Jasenka Gajdoš Kljusurić:** Formal analysis; Writing - Review & Editing; **Ana Mucalo:** Investigation;  
412 **Andrea Osimani:** Validation, Visualization, Resources; **Lucia Aquilanti:** Visualisation, Resources;  
413 **Cristiana Garofalo:** Resources; Writing - Review & Editing; **Irena Budić-Leto:** Conceptualization,  
414 Resources, Writing - Review & Editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

415

#### 416 **Declaration of Competing Interest**

417

418 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could  
419 have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.



420

421 **Acknowledgments**

422

423 This study was supported by Croatian Science Foundation under the Research project: IP-2020-02-1872  
424 Impact of native non-*Saccharomyces* wine yeast on wine aromas (WINE AROMAS).

425

426 **References**

427

428 Alexandre, H. (2020). Wine Yeast Terroir: Separating the Wheat from the Chaff—for an Open Debate.  
429 *Microorganisms*, 8, 787. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8050787>

430 Barata, A., Malfeito-Ferreira, M., & Loureiro, V. (2012). The microbial ecology of wine grape berries.  
431 *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 153, 243–259. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.11.025

432 Bokulich, N. A., Thorngate, J. H., Richardson, P. M., & Mills, D. A. (2014). Microbial biogeography of wine  
433 grapes is conditioned by cultivar, vintage, and climate. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*  
434 *of the United States of America*, 111, E139–E148. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1317377110>

435 Bolyen, E., Rideout, J. R., Dillon, M. R., Bokulich, N. A., Abnet, C. C., Al-Ghalith, G. A., ... Caporaso, J. G.  
436 (2019). Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2.  
437 *Nature Biotechnology*, 37(8), 852–857. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9>

438 Bondada, B., Harbertson, E., Shrestha, P.M., & Keller M. (2017). Temporal extension of ripening beyond its  
439 physiological limits imposes physical and osmotic challenges perturbing metabolism in grape (*Vitis*  
440 *vinifera* L.) berries. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 219, 135-143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2017.03.002>

441 Bozoudi, D., & Tsaltas, D. (2018). The multiple and versatile roles of *Aureobasidium pullulans* in the  
442 vitivinicultural sector. *Fermentation*, 4 (85), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation4040085>

443 Briceno, E.X., & Latorre, B.A. (2008). Characterization of *Cladosporium* rot in grapevines, a problem of  
444 growing importance in Chile. *Plant Disease*, 92, 1635–1642. <https://doi.org/10.1094/pdis-92-12-1635>

445 Callahan, B. J., McMurdie, P. J., Rosen, M. J., Han, A. W., Johnson, A. J. A., & Holmes, S. P. (2016). DADA2:  
446 High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nature Methods*, 13(7), 581–583.  
447 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869>

- 448 Capozzi, V., Garofalo, C., Chiriatti, M.A., Grieco, F., & Spano, G. (2015). Microbial terroir and food  
449 innovation: The case of yeast biodiversity in wine. *Microbiology Research*, *181*, 75–83.  
450 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2015.10.005>
- 451 Chalvantzi, I., Banilas, G., Tassou, C., & Nisiotou, A. (2021). Biogeographical Regionalization of Wine Yeast  
452 Communities in Greece and Environmental Drivers of Species Distribution at a Local Scale. *Frontiers*  
453 *in Microbiology*, *12*, Article 705001. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.705001>
- 454 Conde, C., Silva, P., Fontes, N., Dias, A., & Gerós, H. (2007). Biochemical changes throughout grape berry  
455 development and fruit and wine quality. *Food - Global Science Books*, *1*, 1–22
- 456 Crespan, M., Cancellier, S., Chies, R., Giannetto, S., Meneghetti, S., & Costacurta, A. (2009). Molecular  
457 contribution to the knowledge of two ancient varietal populations: ‘Rabosi’ and ‘Glere’. *Acta*  
458 *Horticulturae ISHS* *827*, 217 – 220. <https://doi.org/10.17660/actahortic.2009.827.36>
- 459 Desjardins, A. E. (2006). *Fusarium Mycotoxins. Chemistry, Genetics, and Biology*. St. Paul. The American  
460 Phytopathological Society, 260 p. ISBN 0-89054-335-6.
- 461 Eydurán, S. P., Akin, M., Ercisli, S., Eydurán, E., & Maghradze, D. (2015). Sugars, organic acids, and phenolic  
462 compounds of ancient grape cultivars (*Vitis vinifera* L.) from Iğdir province of Eastern Turkey.  
463 *Biological Research*, *48*(1), 2–2. <https://doi.org/10.1186/0717-6287-48-2>
- 464 Galli, V., Romboli, Y., Barbato, D., Mari, E., Venturi, M., Guerrini, S., & Granchi, L. (2021). Indigenous  
465 *Aureobasidium pullulans* Strains as Biocontrol Agents of *Botrytis cinerea* on Grape Berries.  
466 *Sustainability*, *13*, Article 9389. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13169389>
- 467 Gonzalez, V., & Tello, M.L. (2011). The endophytic mycota associated with *Vitis vinifera* in central Spain.  
468 *Fungal Diver*, *47*, 29–42. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-010-0073-x>
- 469 Granato, D., Carrapeiro, M.M., Fogliano, V., & van Ruth, S.M. (2016). Effects of geographical origin, varietal  
470 and farming system on the chemical composition and functional properties of purple grape juices. *A*  
471 *review Trends in Food Science and Technology*, *52*, 31-48 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2016.03.013>.
- 472 Hu, K., Zhu, X.L., Mu, H., Ma, Y., Ullah, N., & Tao, Y.S. (2016). A novel extracellular glycosidase activity  
473 from *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa*: its application potential in wine aroma enhancement. *Letters in Applied*  
474 *Microbiology*, *62*, 169–176. <https://doi.org/10.1111/lam.12527>
- 475 Lederer, M. A., Nielsen, D. S., Toldam-Andersen, T. B., Herrmann, J. V. & Arneborg, N. (2013). Yeast species

476 associated with different wine grape varieties in Denmark. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B —*  
477 *Soil & Plant Science*, 63, 1, 89-96. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09064710.2012.723738>

478 Li, R., Yang, S., Lin, M., Guo, S., Han, X., Ren, M., Du, L., Song, Y., You, Y., Zhan, J., & Huang, W. (2022).  
479 The biogeography of fungal communities across different chinese wine-producing regions associated  
480 with environmental factors and spontaneous fermentation performance. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12,  
481 Article 636639. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.22.351585>

482 Liu, D., Chen, Q., Zhang, P., Chen, D., & Howell, K. S. (2020). The fungal microbiome is an important  
483 component of vineyard ecosystems and correlates with regional distinctiveness of wine. *Msphere*, 5, 4.  
484 doi: 10.1128/mSphere.00534-20. <https://doi.org/10.1128/msphere.00534-20>.

485 Liu, D., Zhang, P., Chen, D., & Howell, K. (2019). From the vineyard to the winery: how microbial ecology  
486 drives regional distinctiveness of wine. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 10, Article 2679.  
487 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02679>

488 Lv, J., Xiao, J., Guo, Z., Dong, K., & Dong, Y. (2021). Nitrogen supply and intercropping control of Fusarium  
489 wilt in faba bean depend on organic acids exuded from the roots. *Scientific Reports*, 11, 9589.  
490 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-89109-3>

491 Maletić, E., Pejić, I., Karoglan Kontić, J. Zdunić, G., Preiner, D., Šimon, S., Andabaka, Ž., Mihaljević Žulj,  
492 M., Bubola, M., Marković, Z., Stupić, D., & Mucalo, A. (2015). Ampelographic and genetic  
493 characterization of Croatian grapevine varieties. *Vitis*, 54, 93-98.

494 Martínez, C., Gertosio, C., Labbe, A., Pérez, R. & Ganga, M. A. (2006). Production of Rhodotorula glutinis:  
495 A yeast that secretes  $\alpha$ -L-arabinofuranosidase. *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology*, 9, 407–413.  
496 <https://doi.org/10.2225/vol9-issue4-fulltext->.

497 Milanović, V., Comitini, F., & Ciani, M. (2013). Grape berry yeast communities: influence of fungicide  
498 treatments. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 161, 240– 246.  
499 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2012.12.019>

500 Mota-Gutierrez, J., Ferrocino, I., Rantsiou, K., & Cocolin, L. (2019). Metataxonomic comparison between  
501 internal transcribed spacer and 26S ribosomal large subunit (LSU) rDNA gene. *International Journal of*  
502 *Food Microbiology*, 290, 132–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2018.10.010>

503 Narmani, A., & Arzanlou, M. (2019). Quambalaria cyanescens, a new fungal trunk pathogen associated with

504 grapevine decline in Iran. *Crop Protection*, 124, Article 104875.  
505 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2019.104875>.

506 OIV. 1990. Récueil des méthodes internationales d'analyse des vins et des moûts. Office International de la  
507 Vigne et du Vin, Paris, France.

508 OIV. 2021. Compendium of International Methods of Wine and Must Analysis; International, Organisation of  
509 Vine and Wine: Paris, France.

510 Pancher, M., Ceol, M., Corneo, P.E., Longa, C.M.O., Yousaf, S., Pertot, I., & Campisano, A. (2012). Fungal  
511 endophytic communities in grapevines (*Vitis vinifera* L.) respond to crop management. *Applied and*  
512 *Environmental Microbiology*, 78, 4308–4317. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.07655-11>

513 Patanita, M., Albuquerque, A., Campos, M.D., Materatski, P., Varanda, C.M.R., Ribeiro, J.A., & Félix, M.d.R.  
514 (2022). Metagenomic assessment unravels fungal microbiota associated to grapevine trunk diseases.  
515 *Horticulturae*, 8(4), 288. <https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae8040288>

516 Porter, T.J., Divol, B., & Setati, M.E. (2019). Lachancea yeast species: Origin, biochemical characteristics and  
517 oenological significance. *Food Research International*, 119, 378-389. doi:  
518 10.1016/j.foodres.2019.02.003

519 Prakitchaiwattana, C., Fleet, G. H., & Heard, G. M. (2020). Nutrients for yeast growth on grape berry exudates.  
520 *Science Technology and Engineering Journal (STEJ)*, 6(2), 1-11

521 Preiner, D., Tupajić, P., Karoglan Kontić, J., Andabaka, Ž., Marković, Z., & Maletić, E. (2013). Organic acids  
522 profiles of the most important Dalmatian native grapevine (*V. vinifera* L.) cultivars. *Journal of Food*  
523 *Composition and Analysis*, 32, 162-168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2013.09.005>

524 Rantsiou, K., Giacosa, S., Pugliese, M., Englezos, V., Ferrocino, I., Río Segade, S., Monchiero, M., Gribaudo,  
525 I., Gambino, G., Gullino, M.L. & Rolle, L. (2020). Impact of chemical and alternative fungicides applied  
526 to grapevine cv Nebbiolo on microbial ecology and chemical-physical grape characteristics at harvest.  
527 *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 11, Article 700. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00700>

528 Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council. (2013). Establishing a  
529 Common Organisation of the Markets in Agricultural Products and Repealing Council Regulations  
530 (EEC) No 922/72, (EEC) No 234/79, (EC) No 1037/2001 and (EC) No 1234/2007. Official Journal of  
531 the European Communities, L 347/671. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781786435477.00028>

532 Ribereau-Gayon, P., Glories, Y., Maujean, A., & Dubourdieu, D. (2006). The microbiology of wine and  
533 vinifications. *Handbook of Enology*, vol. 1. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., West Sussex.

534 Setati, M. E., Jacobson, D., & Bauer, F. F. (2015). Sequence-based analysis of the *Vitis vinifera* L. cv Cabernet  
535 sauvignon grape must mycobiome in three South African vineyards employing distinct agronomic  
536 systems. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 6, Article 1358. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01358>

537 Šimon, S., Maletic, E., Karoglan-Kontic, J., Crespan, M., Schneider, A., & Pejic, I. (2007). Cv. Maraština – a  
538 new member of Malvasia group / II Simposio Internazionale “Malvasie del Mediterraneo”. Available  
539 online at: <https://www.bib.irb.hr/350268>

540 Stefanini, I., & Cavalieri, D. (2018). Metagenomic approaches to investigate the contribution of the vineyard  
541 environment to the quality of wine fermentation: potentials and difficulties. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9,  
542 Article 991. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00991>

543 Taylor, M.W., Tsai, P., Anfang, N., Ross, H.A., & Goddard, M.R. (2014). Pyrosequencing reveals regional  
544 differences in fruit-associated fungal communities. *Environmental Microbiology*, 16, 2848–2858.  
545 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12456>

546 Tillbrook, J., & Tyerman, S.D. (2008). Cell death in grape berries: varietal differences linked to xylem pressure  
547 and berry weight loss. *Functional Plant Biology*, 35, 173-184. <https://doi.org/10.1071/fp07278>

548 Topić Popović, N., Gajdos Kljusurić, J., Strunjak-Perović, I., Barišić, J., Palić, D., Beer Ljubić, B., & Čož-  
549 Rakovac, R. (2021). Association of wastewater determinants with fish hematological and plasma  
550 biochemical responses: Multivariate analysis approach. *Aquaculture Reports*, 21, Article 100877.  
551 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aqrep.2021.100877>

552 Unluturk, S., & Atilgan, M.R. (2015). Microbial safety and shelf life of UV-C treated freshly squeezed white  
553 grape juice. *Journal of Food Science*, 80 (8), M1831-M1841. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.12952>.

554 van Leeuwen, C., & Seguin, G. (2006). The concept of terroir in viticulture. *Journal of Wine Research*, 17, 1–  
555 10. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09571260600633135>

556 Vaquero, C., Loira, I., Heras, J.M., Carrau, F., González, C., & Morata, A. (2021). Biocompatibility in ternary  
557 fermentations with *Lachancea thermotolerans*, other non-*Saccharomyces* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*  
558 to control pH and improve the sensory profile of wines from warm areas. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12,  
559 656262. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.656262>

560 Verdenal, T., Dienes-Nagy, Á., Spangenberg, J.E., Zufferey, V., Spring, J.-L., Viret, O., Marin-Carbonne, J.,  
561 & van Leeuwen, C. (2021). Understanding and managing nitrogen nutrition in grapevine: A review.  
562 *OENO One*, 55, 1–43. <https://doi.org/10.20870/oeno-one.2021.55.1.3866>

563 Vicente, J., Ruiz, J., Belda, I., Benito-Vázquez, I., Marquina, D., Calderón, F., Santos, A., & Benito, S. (2020).  
564 The genus *Metschnikowia* in enology. *Microorganisms*, 8(7), Article 1038.  
565 <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8071038>

566 Vicente, J., Calderón, F., Santos, A., Marquina, D., & Benito, S. (2021). High potential of *Pichia kluyveri* and  
567 other *Pichia* species in wine technology. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 22, Article 1196.  
568 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22031196>

569 Voncina, D., Simon, S., Dermic, E., Cvjetkovic, B., Pejic, I., Maletic, E., & Kontic, J.K. (2011). Differential  
570 properties of Grapevine virus B isolates from Croatian autochthonous grapevine cultivars. *Journal of*  
571 *Plant Pathology*, 93, 283-289. <https://doi.org/10.1094/pdis-10-16-1543-re>

572 Zarraonaindia, I., Owens, S.M., Weisenhorn, P., West, K., Hampton-Marcell, J., Lax, Nicholas, S., Bokulich,  
573 A., Mills D. A., Martin, G., Taghavi, S., van der Lelie, D., & Jack, A. (2015). The soil microbiome  
574 influences grapevine-associated microbiota. *MBio*, 6 (2). <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02527-14>

575 Zhu, L., Li, T., Xu, X., Shi, X., & Wang, B. (2021). Succession of fungal communities at different  
576 developmental stages of cabernet sauvignon grapes from an organic vineyard in Xinjiang. *Frontiers in*  
577 *Microbiology*, 12, Article 718261. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.718261>

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615

**Figure captions**

**Figure 1.** Position of the vineyards located along the Croatian coastal area in the winegrowing subregions of central and southern Dalmatia [Institute for Adriatic Crops and Karst Reclamation at Split (IJK-RB), Kaštela (VP), Dračevica (DR), Prapatna 1 (P), Prapatna 2 (B), Kruševo (K)], Dalmatian hinterland [Oklaj (O)], and northern Dalmatia [Smilčić (S), Nadin (Polača) (N), Stankovci (Z), Vukšić (V)].

**Figure 2.** Relative abundance (%) of fungal genera detected in Maraština grape samples collected from 11 different vineyards located along the Croatian coastal area in the winegrowing subregions of central and southern Dalmatia [Institute for Adriatic Crops and Karst Reclamation at Split (IJK-RB), Kaštela (VP), Dračevica (DR), Prapatna 1 (P), Prapatna 2 (B), Kruševo (K)], Dalmatian hinterland [Oklaj (O)], and northern Dalmatia [Smilčić (S), Nadin (Polača) (N), Stankovci (Z), Vukšić (V)].

**Figure 3.** Boxplot showing the alpha diversity index (Shannon index and observed ASVs) for Maraština grape samples.

The samples are labelled as indicated in Figure 1.

**Figure 4.** Principal component analysis (PCA) showing a separation of the samples collected from 11 vineyards located along Croatian winegrowing region of Dalmatia based on their mycobiota composition.

PC1 = 15.52%; PC2 = 13.47%; Significance = 0.001.

The samples are labelled as indicated in Figure 1.

616 **Figure 5.** Principal component analysis (PCA) showing grouping of the samples based on their mycobiota  
617 composition according to winegrowing subregions of northern Dalmatia, Dalmatian hinterland, and central  
618 and southern Dalmatia.

619 PC1 = 15.52%; PC2 = 13.47%; Significance = 0.002.

620

621 **Figure 6.** Principal component analysis (PCA) showing distribution of the samples based on their mycobiota  
622 composition according to winegrowing subregion and climate data.

623

624 **Figure 7.** Principal component analysis (PCA) showing grouping of the samples based on their mycobiota  
625 composition according to vineyard soil type.

626 PC1 = 15.52%; PC2 = 13.47%; Significance = 0.001.

627

628 **Figure 8.** Principal component analysis (PCA) showing grouping of the samples based on Yeast Assimilable  
629 Nitrogen (YAN) vs measured parameters indicated as significant after Factor analysis.

630

631 **Figure 9.** Correlation analysis between fungal ASVs and physicochemical parameters of fresh Maraština must  
632 (only significant associations are shown,  $P < 0.05$ ). The colour intensity and the circle dimension represent the  
633 degree of correlation where red dots represent a negative degree of correlation and blue dots a positive degree  
634 of correlation.