



# Article Molecular Characterization and Pathogenicity of *Alternaria* spp. Associated with Black Rot of Sweet Cherries in Italy

Muhammad Waqas <sup>1</sup>, Simona Prencipe <sup>1</sup>, Vladimiro Guarnaccia <sup>1,2</sup>, and Davide Spadaro <sup>1,2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences (DISAFA), University of Torino, Largo Braccini 2, 10095 Grugliasco, TO, Italy; muhammad.waqas@unito.it (M.W.); simona.prencipe@unito.it (S.P.); vladimiro.guarnaccia@unito.it (V.G.)
- <sup>2</sup> AGROINNOVA—Interdepartmental Centre for Innovation in the Agro-environmental Sector, University of Torino, Largo Braccini 2, 10095 Grugliasco, TO, Italy
- \* Correspondence: davide.spadaro@unito.it; Tel.: +39-011-6708942

**Abstract:** Black rot is limiting the production of sweet cherries in Italy. Dark brown to black patches and sunken lesions on fruits are the most common symptoms of *Alternaria* black rot on sweet cherry fruits. We isolated 180 *Alternaria* spp. from symptomatic cherry fruits 'Kordia', 'Ferrovia', and 'Regina' harvested in Northern Italy, over three years, from 2020 to 2022. The aim was to identify and characterize a selection of forty isolates of *Alternaria* spp. based on morphology, pathogenicity, and combined analysis of rpb2, Alt-a1, endoPG and OPA10-2. The colonies were dark greyish in the center with white margins. Ellipsoidal or ovoid shaped conidia ranging from 19.8 to 21.7  $\mu$ m in length were observed under a microscope. Based on the concatenated session of four gene regions, thirty-three out of forty isolates were identified as *A. arborescens* species complex (AASC), and seven as *A. alternata*. Pathogenicity was evaluated on healthy 'Regina' sweet cherry fruits. All the tested strains were pathogenic on their host. This study represents the first characterization of *Alternaria* spp. associated with black rot of cherries in Italy and, to the best of our knowledge, it is also the first report of AASC as an agent of black rot of sweet cherries in Italy.

Keywords: Alternaria alternata; Alternaria arborescens species complex; Prunus avium; phylogeny

## 1. Introduction

Sweet cherry (*Prunus avium* L.) is an economically important stone fruit which belongs to the genus *Prunus* within the Rosaceae family. Italy is the seventh sweet cherry producer in the world after Turkey, United States of America, Chile, Iran, Uzbekistan, and Spain and the second in Europe [1]. In Italy, sweet cherry is cultivated on an area of 28,609 ha, with an annual production of approximately 107,905 t [2].

Sweet cherries are highly perishable fruit, with a shelf life of 7–14 days [3] and they are susceptible, during cold storage, to decay caused by postharvest pathogens, including *Monilinia* spp., agent of brown rot; *Botrytis cinerea*, agent of gray mold; *Penicillium expansum*, agent of blue mold; and *Rhizopus* spp., agent of soft rot [4–7]. Cherry production could be threatened also by black rot caused by *Alternaria* species, which is emerging as a major fungal disease [8–10]. Symptoms of black rot of *Alternaria* appear as dark brown to black patches on the outer surface of fruits. These patches gradually increase in size and surface and, under high-humidity conditions, may develop white to light brown, fluffy and moldy growth, and ultimately can cause complete fruit rot [8,11,12].

The genus *Alternaria* was originally described by Nees von Esenbeck in 1816; it is part of the *Pleosporaceae* family [13] and includes endophytic, pathogenic, and saprobic species [14–17]. *Alternaria* spp. are distributed all over the world and may infect over 4000 plant species [13,15,17], such as vegetables, cereals and fruit trees in field and during storage [18–23]. Black rot caused by *A. alternata* (Fr.) Keissl. was recently reported on cherries in Chile [9] and in China [8,10]. *A. alternata* is also associated with brown to black



Citation: Waqas, M.; Prencipe, S.; Guarnaccia, V.; Spadaro, D. Molecular Characterization and Pathogenicity of *Alternaria* spp. Associated with Black Rot of Sweet Cherries in Italy. *J. Fungi* **2023**, *9*, 992. https://doi.org/10.3390/jof9100992

Academic Editor: Katrina Maria Ramonell

Received: 4 September 2023 Revised: 30 September 2023 Accepted: 5 October 2023 Published: 7 October 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). spots on cherry leaves [24,25]. Alternaria rots and spots are becoming more and more frequent on cherry and on other fruit crops due to climate change, characterized by the increase of average temperature, high-humidity conditions and stressed plants, weakened by abiotic stresses. Biological and environmental factors are causing a shift in the fruit microbiological ecology, which is influencing the pre- and postharvest development of *Alternaria* spp. [26].

In the past, *Alternaria* spp. were identified based on macro- and micro-morphological characteristics [16,27]. Nowadays, DNA-based molecular techniques are used to identify *Alternaria* at species level to avoid morphological variations depending on the environmental conditions [13,15,28–32]. Different molecular approaches have been used for the identification of *Alternaria* section, resulting in several taxonomic revisions [13,16,28,33–37]. Most small-spored *Alternaria* species with concatenated conidia belong to the section Alternaria [16], and *A. alternata* (Fr.) Keissl. and *A. arborescens* Simmons are important representative plant pathogens of this section [16]. To the best of our knowledge, *Alternaria* spp. were not previously reported and characterized as agents of black rot of sweet cherries in Italy.

The aims of this study are to isolate and identify the *Alternaria* species associated with black rot of sweet cherries, based on morphological and phylogenetic analysis, and to confirm their pathogenicity and virulence on sweet cherries.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Sampling and Isolation

A monitoring of postharvest diseases was conducted in sweet cherry harvested from orchards located in Piedmont, Northern Italy, from 2020 to 2022. A total of 180 isolates were isolated from symptomatic cherry fruits belonging to the varieties 'Regina', 'Kordia', and 'Ferrovia' in the packinghouses of Piedmont (Figure 1A,B). Sweet cherry fruits were surface disinfected with 1% sodium hypochlorite for 1 min, rinsed in sterile water for 1 min, and dried on sterile filter paper. Then five pieces of black rotten fruits were cut at the margin between healthy and infected tissues and plated on potato dextrose agar (PDA, VWR international, Leuven, Belgium) [29] containing streptomycin (0.025 g/L). The PDA plates were incubated at  $22 \pm 1$  °C for 3 days. Pure cultures were obtained by transferring the mycelium plug from the edge of the colonies and placed in fresh PDA plates. Isolates used in this study were maintained and kept at -80 °C in the culture collection of the University of Turin, Torino, Italy (Table 1).



**Figure 1.** (**A**,**B**) Symptoms of *Alternaria* black rot on naturally infected sweet cherry cv. Regina; (**C**) colony growth of AASC (strain T8) after 7 days on PDA; (**D**) colony growth of *A. alternata* (strain GR13) after 7 days on PDA; (**E**) conidia of AASC (strain T8) obtained after 15 days on PCA; (**F**) conidia of *A. alternata* (strain GR13) obtained after 15 days on PCA. Scale bar: (**E**,**F**) = 10 μm.

| Isolate Name    | Fungal Species | Year of<br>Isolation | Cherry<br>Cultivar | Colony Growth (cm $\pm$ SD) | Size of Conidia (Length $	imes$ Width; $\mu$ m) | Rot Diameter (mm $\pm$ SD) $^1$    |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| D4              | AASC           | 2020                 | Kordia             | $4.94\pm0.59$               | $21.73 \times 11.31$                            | $12.06 \ ^{\mathrm{b-d}} \pm 1.52$ |
| T2              | AASC           | 2020                 | Ferrovia           | $5.14\pm0.28$               | 20.13 	imes 11.19                               | $9.56^{\rm \ bc} \pm 1.22$         |
| T3              | AASC           | 2020                 | Ferrovia           | $4.98\pm0.55$               | $21.68\times11.23$                              | $12.21 \text{ bc} \pm 1.66$        |
| T6              | A. alternata   | 2020                 | Ferrovia           | $4.90\pm0.59$               | $20.64 \times 11.18$                            | $13.81 \ ^{\mathrm{b-d}} \pm 1.82$ |
| T8              | AASC           | 2020                 | Ferrovia           | $4.96\pm0.55$               | $20.57\times11.10$                              | $10.65 \ ^{\mathrm{b-d}} \pm 1.86$ |
| T9              | AASC           | 2020                 | Ferrovia           | $5.08\pm0.35$               | $21.53\times11.27$                              | $10.75 \text{ bc} \pm 2.31$        |
| GR1             | AASC           | 2020                 | Regina             | $4.90\pm0.58$               | $21.73\times11.24$                              | $11.39 \ ^{\mathrm{b-d}} \pm 1.88$ |
| GR3             | A. alternata   | 2020                 | Regina             | $4.70\pm0.51$               | $20.83\times11.12$                              | $9.06 \text{ bc} \pm 1.81$         |
| GR6             | AASC           | 2020                 | Regina             | $4.8~8\pm0.53$              | $20.89\times10.99$                              | $14.94 \ ^{\mathrm{b-d}} \pm 2.77$ |
| GR8             | AASC           | 2020                 | Regina             | $4.94\pm0.59$               | $20.94 \times 11.20$                            | $11.94 \ ^{\mathrm{b-d}} \pm 1.68$ |
| GR13            | A. alternata   | 2020                 | Regina             | $4.82\pm0.51$               | $20.93\times11.21$                              | $9.06$ <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ $1.45$  |
| W2              | AASC           | 2020                 | Kordia             | $5.02\pm0.33$               | $21.07\times11.16$                              | $11.06 \ ^{\mathrm{b-d}} \pm 1.53$ |
| W6              | AASC           | 2020                 | Kordia             | $5.30\pm0.41$               | $20.43\times11.12$                              | $11.40^{\text{ b-d}} \pm 2.29$     |
| Q1              | AASC           | 2020                 | Kordia             | $5.00\pm0.78$               | $19.76\times11.64$                              | $14.43 \ ^{\mathrm{b-d}} \pm 2.64$ |
| Х7              | AASC           | 2020                 | Kordia             | $4.96\pm0.62$               | $20.84\times11.31$                              | $15.25 \ ^{ m cd} \pm 2.94$        |
| Ch1             | AASC           | 2021                 | Regina             | $4.70\pm0.70$               | $21.25\times11.11$                              | $9.38 \text{ bc} \pm 1.98$         |
| Ch2             | AASC           | 2021                 | Regina             | $5.16\pm0.15$               | $20.59\times10.86$                              | $10.94 \ ^{ m bc} \pm 2.59$        |
| Ch4             | AASC           | 2021                 | Regina             | $4.94\pm0.55$               | $21.30\times11.21$                              | $8.43~^{ m ab}\pm2.03$             |
| Ch5             | AASC           | 2021                 | Regina             | $4.76\pm0.92$               | $21.32\times11.15$                              | $13.93 \ ^{\mathrm{b-d}} \pm 1.94$ |
| Ch11            | AASC           | 2021                 | Regina             | $5.12\pm0.13$               | $20.75\times11.18$                              | $9.11 \text{ bc} \pm 1.61$         |
| Ch12            | AASC           | 2021                 | Regina             | $4.96\pm0.55$               | $20.88\times11.02$                              | $10.71 \text{ bc} \pm 3.54$        |
| Ch13            | AASC           | 2021                 | Regina             | $4.94\pm0.59$               | $21.16\times11.17$                              | $9.12^{bc} \pm 1.66$               |
| Ch14            | AASC           | 2021                 | Regina             | $5.26\pm0.49$               | $20.20\times10.84$                              | $10.06 ^{\mathrm{b-d}} \pm 1.59$   |
| Ch16            | AASC           | 2021                 | Regina             | $5.12\pm0.77$               | $21.40\times11.31$                              | $11.75 \text{ b-d} \pm 1.88$       |
| Ch17            | AASC           | 2021                 | Regina             | $5.08\pm0.74$               | $21.32\times11.14$                              | $17.00^{\text{ d}} \pm 2.13$       |
| Ch18            | AASC           | 2021                 | Regina             | $4.94\pm0.47$               | $21.71 \times 11.23$                            | $14.25 ^{\mathrm{b-d}} \pm 1.89$   |
| Ch19            | AASC           | 2021                 | Regina             | $4.74\pm0.81$               | $21.10 \times 11.22$                            | $12.64^{b-d} \pm 1.61$             |
| Ch21            | A. alternata   | 2021                 | Regina             | $5.06\pm0.34$               | $21.02 \times 10.88$                            | $11.00 \text{ bc} \pm 1.98$        |
| Ch22            | AASC           | 2021                 | Regina             | $5.00\pm0.21$               | $20.87\times11.07$                              | $10.06 \text{ bc} \pm 1.66$        |
| Ch23            | A. alternata   | 2022                 | Regina             | $5.12\pm0.53$               | $20.90 \times 11.03$                            | $11.50 \text{ b-d} \pm 1.90$       |
| Ch26            | AASC           | 2022                 | Regina             | $5.18\pm0.48$               | $21.04\times11.12$                              | $11.94^{\text{b-d}} \pm 1.87$      |
| Ch27            | AASC           | 2022                 | Regina             | $4.86\pm0.77$               | 21.12 ×11.09                                    | $9.25 \frac{bc}{t} \pm 1.80$       |
| Ch35            | AASC           | 2022                 | Regina             | $4.98\pm0.41$               | $21.03 \times 11.16$                            | $10.78 ^{\text{b-d}} \pm 1.93$     |
| Ch37            | A. alternata   | 2022                 | Regina             | $4.52\pm0.78$               | $21.12 \times 11.19$                            | $9.17 \text{ bc} \pm 1.52$         |
| Ch39            | AASC           | 2022                 | Regina             | $4.74\pm0.57$               | $21.07 \times 10.98$                            | $11.50 \text{ bc} \pm 1.93$        |
| Ch40            | AASC           | 2022                 | Regina             | $5.18\pm0.26$               | $21.12 \times 11.11$                            | $10.50 \text{ bc} \pm 1.74$        |
| Ch42            | AASC           | 2022                 | Regina             | $5.04\pm0.27$               | $20.94\times11.03$                              | $12.06 = 0.05 \pm 1.52$            |
| Ch43            | A. alternata   | 2022                 | Regina             | $4.68\pm0.68$               | $21.05\times11.01$                              | $10.88 \text{ bc} \pm 1.23$        |
| Ch45            | AASC           | 2022                 | Regina             | $4.92\pm0.59$               | $21.20 \times 11.29$                            | $10.81^{bc} \pm 1.94$              |
| Ch48            | AASC           | 2022                 | Regina             | $4.88\pm0.50$               | $21.11\times11.05$                              | $9.33 \text{ bc} \pm 1.97$         |
| Healthy control | -              | -                    | Regina             | -                           | -                                               | 0 <sup>a</sup>                     |

**Table 1.** Strain name, species identification, year of isolation, colony growth (cm), size of conidia (length-width) and mean rot diameter obtained with the pathogenicity test for the strains isolated from sweet cherry fruits.

<sup>1</sup> Values are mean of the rot diameter on nine cherry fruits. Values with the same letter are not different according to Tukey's test ( $p \le 0.05$ ).

## 2.2. Micro and Macro-Morphological Characteristics

*Alternaria* isolates were plated on PDA medium (in triplicate) and incubated at  $25 \pm 1$  °C for the macro-morphological characteristics (color, margin, diameter, and texture), according to Simmons et al. [27]. Mean radial growth was measured after 7 days of incubation (Table 1). For the microscopic features (conidia and conidiophore) the isolates were grown onto Potato Carrot Agar (PCA, HiMedia Laboratories, Mumbai, India) under

12 h light and 12 h dark cycle for 15 days [27]. Thirty conidia per isolate were examined using an Eclipse 55i microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) at  $40 \times$  magnification.

#### 2.3. DNA Extraction and PCR Amplification

Genomic DNA of 40 Alternaria isolates was extracted with an E.Z.N.A. Fungal DNA mini kit (Omega Bio-tek, Darmstadt, Germany) from 0.1 g mycelium of 7-day-old culture grown on PDA (VWR international) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The quality and concentration of extracted DNA was determined using NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). The primers Alt-for and Alt-rev [38] were used to amplify part of Alternaria major allergen gene (Alt-a1). The partial endopolygalacturonase gene (endoPG) was amplified using PG3 and PG2b primers [39,40]. The primer sets RPB2-5f2 and fRPB2-7cr [41,42] were used to amplify the part of RNA polymerase second largest subunit (rpb2). The primers OPA 10-2R and OPA 10-2L [28] were used to amplify part of an anonymous gene region (OPA10-2). The amplification of all four loci were performed according to PCR amplified conditions described by Prencipe et al. [43]. The PCR cycling conditions adopted for rpb2 and Alt-a1 were described by Woudenberg et al. [44], and for endoPG and OPA 10-2, by Andrew et al. [28]. The amplification products were analyzed on 1% agarose (VWR International, Milan, Italy) after staining with GelRedTM. PCR products were purified with the PCR Purification Kit (QIAquick<sup>®</sup>, Hilden, Germany) following manufacturer instructions, before sequencing by Macrogen Europe B. V. (Amsterdam, The Netherlands).

## 2.4. Phylogenetic Analysis

Phylogenetic analysis was performed using sequences generated in this study and reference sequences of *Alternaria* spp. [32] (Table S1). After cutting the trimmed regions in Geneious v. 11.1.5 program (Auckland, New Zealand) and manual correction in MEGA v. 7, a dataset 2229 bp of 281 bp for Alt-a1, 479 bp for endoPG, 634 bp for OPA10-2, and 835 bp for rpb2 was obtained. The sequences were aligned using CLUSTALW in MEGA v. 7 [45]. Phylogenetic analysis was performed using the concatenated dataset (rpb2, OPA 10-2, Alt-a1 and endoPG) for the identification of Alternaria isolates at species level. The phylogeny was based on maximum parsimony (MP) and Bayesian inference (BI) used in a concatenated analysis. For BI, the best fit evolutionary model for each partitioned locus was estimated using MrModeltest v. 2.3 [46] and incorporated into the analysis. MrBayes v. 3.2.5 [47] was used to generate phylogenetic trees under optimal criteria per partition. The Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) analysis used four chains and started from a random tree topology. The heating parameter was set at 0.2 and trees were sampled every 1000 generations. The analysis stopped when the average standard deviation of split frequencies was below 0.01. The MP analysis was performed using Phylogenetic Analysis using Parsimony (PAUP) v. 4.0b10 [48]. Phylogenetic relationships were estimated by heuristic searches with 100 random addition sequences. Tree bisection-reconnection was used, with the branch swapping option set on 'best trees', with all characters equally weighted and alignment gaps treated as fifth state. Tree length (TL), consistency index (CI), retention index (RI) and rescaled consistence index (RC) were calculated for parsimony, and the bootstrap analysis [49] was based on 1000 replications. Sequences generated in this study were deposited in GenBank (Table S1).

#### 2.5. Pathogenicity Assay

The same isolates used for molecular analysis were used for the pathogenicity test. Conidia of tested *Alternaria* isolates, produced on 21-day-old PDA cultures incubated at  $22 \pm 1$  °C under a 12 h photoperiod, were used to obtain conidial suspensions, which were prepared by scrapping off the conidia from the surface of PDA plates with sterile water and 10 µL of Tween 20, which were then filtered through four layers of sterile gauze, as described by Prencipe et al. [43]. The concentration of the collected spore suspension was adjusted to  $10^5$  conidia/mL. Pathogenicity tests were conducted on healthy fruits of

cherries 'Regina' using the method described by Ahmad et al. [8]. Fruit surfaces were disinfected with 1% sodium hypochlorite for 1 min, washed with distilled water and air dried for 5 min. The experiment was conducted using ten fruits per isolate. Each fruit was inoculated with 1  $\mu$ L of conidial suspension by creating one wound (1 mm<sup>2</sup>) with a sterile needle. Control fruits were treated with sterilized distilled water. Inoculated fruits were placed in plastic trays and covered with a plastic film and incubated at 20  $\pm$  2 °C until symptoms appeared. After 10 days of inoculation, rot diameters were measured. Re-isolations were conducted from the inoculated fruits as described above. Each isolate was tested twice.

## 2.6. Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (IBM SPSS Statistics v. 28.0.1.0). Rot diameters obtained in the pathogenicity test were subjected to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the mean values were separated by Tukey test ( $p \le 0.05$ ).

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Fungal Isolation, Identification, and Morphological Characterization

Typical symptoms of dark brown to black patches and sunken lesions were observed on sweet cherry fruits. Out of 180 isolates obtained from symptomatic sweet cherry fruits, 40 isolates were identified as *Alternaria* spp. based on micro and macro-morphological observations [27] (Table 1).

Isolates of *Alternaria* spp. isolated from infected sweet cherry fruits were identified based on their morphological characteristics [27]. All the *Alternaria* isolates formed aerial mycelium on PDA, and most of the colonies were dark greyish in the center with white margins after 7 days of incubation at  $25 \pm 1$  °C under 12 h light and dark cycle. The mycelium becomes dark brown after 10 to 14 days. The mean radial growth of colony was  $4.96 \pm 0.52$  cm (Table 1, Figure 1C,D). The conidiophores were light brown and the conidia were ellipsoidal or ovoid with 1–4 transverse septa, a mean of  $21.01 \pm 0.39$  µm in length and  $11.11 \pm 0.26$  µm in width (Table 1, Figure 1E,F).

#### 3.2. Phylogenetic Analysis

The sequences obtained in this study were subjected to a BLAST search in NCBI's Gen-Bank (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi; accessed on 10 January 2023) nucleotide database for preliminary identification. A multilocus phylogenetic analysis was conducted using forty isolates of Alternaria spp. based on the sequences from four genes (rpb2, OPA 10-2, Alt-a1 and endoPG) and sixty-two reference sequences [32], including the outgroup Alternaria nobilis. A total of 184 nucleotides were parsimony-informative, 276 were variable and parsimony-uninformative, and 1769 were constant. A maximum of 1000 equally maximum parsimony (MP) trees were saved (Tree length = 771, CI = 0.642, RI = 0.864and RC = 0.555). Bootstrap support values from the MP analysis are incorporated on the Bayesian tree in Figure 2. For the Bayesian analyses (BI), MrModeltest suggested that all partitions should be analyzed with Dirichlet state frequency distributions. The following models were recommended by MrModeltest and used: K80 for Alt-a1, SYM + G for endoPG and rpb2, and K80 + I + G for OPA 10-2. In the BI, the Alt-a1 partition had 80 unique site patterns, the endoPG partition had 92 unique site patterns, the OPA 10-2 partition had 136 unique site patterns, the *rpb2* partition had 155 unique site patterns and the analysis ran for 6,595,000 generations, resulting in 6596 trees, of which 4947 trees were samples used to calculate the posterior probabilities. Based on multilocus phylogenetic analysis, thirty-three out of forty strains clustered with AASC, whereas the remaining seven strains belonged to A. alternata (Figure 2 and Table 1).



**Figure 2.** Consensus phylogram of 4947 trees resulting from a Bayesian analysis of the combined rpb2, Alta-1, endoPG and OPA 10-2 sequence alignments of the *Alternaria* species. Bootstrap support values and Bayesian posterior probability values are indicated at the nodes. The isolates obtained in this study are in red. The tree was rooted with *Alternaria nobilis* AC1.

## 3.3. Pathogenicity Assay

The tested *Alternaria* strains were pathogenic on artificially inoculated sweet cherries. Symptoms appeared as the development of black rotted spots and sunken lesions all over the inoculation point after 7 days post inoculation (Figure 3). Lesions increased in diameter with disease progress and morphologically appeared similar to those observed in naturally infected fruits (Figure 3). In our pathogenicity, no significant differences were observed among the *Alternaria* strain/species tested combinations. Only two strains (Ch4 and Ch17) from AASC showed significant differences: the isolate Ch-17 showed the highest rot diameter (17.0  $\pm$  2.13 mm), whereas the isolate Ch-4 showed the lowest rot diameter (8.43  $\pm$  2.03 mm) (Table 1). To fulfill Koch's postulates, re-isolation was carried out on all the symptomatic inoculated fruits, and isolates were identified as *Alternaria* spp. using the rpb2 gene [41]. Healthy control fruits did not develop any symptoms.



**Figure 3.** Symptoms of *Alternaria* fruit rot on sweet cherries after 10 days post inoculation (**A**) AASC (strain X7); (**B**) *A. alternata* (strain Ch23); (**C**) healthy control.

## 4. Discussion

In our study, isolates of Alternaria spp. associated with black rot of sweet cherries in Italy were identified as A. alternata and A. arborescens species complex (AASC) based on morphological and phylogenetic analysis at species level. During three years of investigation, all orchards showed the presence of A. alternata and AASC. In the present study, AASC was the predominant fungus isolated from rotted cherries. Along with *Alternaria* spp., we found the co-occurrence of other secondary fungal pathogens associated with rotten fruits, including *Cladosporium* spp., *Monilinia* spp., *Penicillium* spp. and *Botrytis cinerea*. In several studies, AASC has been reported as a causal agent of black rot of mandarin [50], blueberry [51], pomegranate fruit [52], and Japanese plum [53]; core rot of apple [54], leaf blotch and fruit spot diseases of apple [55]; black spots on fruit and leaves of pear [40]; and brown spots on sweet orange and lemon in Italy [56]. No information is reported about the association of AASC with sweet cherries. In contrast, A. alternata has been reported as being associated with postharvest rot of sweet cherry [15], black spot of cherry fruits in China [10], and leaf spot disease of sweet cherry in Greece [25] and Turkey [24]. Furthermore, A. alternata has been reported as the main causal agent of black rot of cherry tomatoes [57], and fruit rot on strawberry [51,58], mandarin [50], pomegranate fruit [52,59], Japanese plum [53], Solanum muricatum [60], and opium poppy [61]. Most studies did not report Alternaria spp. as a main pathogen, but in our study, we isolated Alternaria spp. as a major pathogen from sweet cherry fruits. In our study, all isolates of *Alternaria* spp. were identified based on cultural and morphological characteristics, as they all formed conidia, similar to the observations of Prencipe et al. [43] and Şimşek et al. [24]. Our Alternaria isolates were dark grayish with white margins and formed aerial mycelium on PDA, with an average size of conidia (19.7–21.7  $\times$  10.8–11.6) on PCA. These morphological and cultural

characteristics were similar to previous studies [40,62], but different from those described by Şimşek et al. [24]. This phenomenon could be due to the morphological plasticity of *Alternaria* spp. [17] and the fact that the morphology of conidia is dependent on conidial age and culture conditions [37].

The taxonomy of small-spored Alternaria spp. suffered from controversies because Al*ternaria* spp. shared similar morphological characteristics and the size of conidia [33,50,63]. Molecular-based assays could be used for the correct identification of *Alternaria* spp. along with morphological characteristics [32,33,64]. Molecular analysis also had some challenges to overcome, as Alternaria section Alternaria cannot be recognized using standard genetic loci due to the little or no variation in molecular markers [13,28,36,43]. Previous studies suggested that the identification criteria for low resolution of species delimitation in smallspored Alternaria spp. are only significant when employing the combination of different genes together [14,17,27]. To overcome the issues, the phylogeny of Alternaria sections was solved by using nine gene regions (Alt a 1, endoPG, gapdh, ITS, LSU, OPA10-2, rpb2, SSU and tef1) by Woudenberg et al. [32]. The concatenated session of six gene regions of Alt a 1, endoPG, ITS, OPA10-2, rpb2, and tef1 was able to separate AASC from A. alternata [14]. In our study, we excluded the slowly evolving gene tef 1 and used the genes proposed by Prencipe et al. [43]. Moreover, previous studies confirmed that alt a1 [65] and OPA10-2 [43] were sufficient to separate the A. alternata from AASC. The four loci (Alt-a1, endoPG, opa10-2 and rpb2) used for the phylogenetic analysis performed in this research allowed us to identify thirty-three isolates as members of AASC, and seven isolates as A. alternata. The combined analysis of phylogenetic trees showed similarity with previous studies [14,43]. However, the phylogeny of our study obtained from the concatenated session of four genes has low bootstrap value in agreement with previous studies [35,53]. Considering our phylogenetic analysis results, the inclusion of more genes, such as gaphd, LSU and SSU, could increase the discrimination power, as previously proposed by Zhang et al. [17].

In our pathogenicity test, sweet cherry fruits were wounded and inoculated with conidial suspension of *Alternaria* strains. Pathogenicity results showed that all the *Alternaria* strains were pathogenic, had high virulence, and produced irregular lesions when fruits were inoculated by conidial suspension. According to previous studies, *A. alternata* species were pathogenic on sweet cherry fruits inoculated with conidial suspension and lesions were observed [8]. Additionally, Prencipe et al. [43] reported that isolates of *A. alternata* and AASC were pathogenic when inoculated with conidial suspension on wounded European pear. In previous studies, *Alternaria* spp. was confirmed to be an opportunistic, saprophytic and weak pathogen that enters the plant tissues through natural openings and wounds [24,66,67], when the plant becomes more susceptible to diseases [68]. AASC strains caused lesions ranging from 8.43 to 17.0 mm in size while the lesion size of *A. alternata* strains ranged from 9.06 to 13.81 mm. According to previous studies [55,69], pathogenicity may be isolate-dependent instead of species-dependent. Our results showed that there was little difference among the tested *Alternaria* spp.

In conclusion, the present work describes for the first time the presence of AASC as an agent of black rot on sweet cherry fruits in Italy. Investigation should verify if other species of *Alternaria* could be involved in black rot of cherry fruit. Moreover, more isolates from different geographical areas should be included to explore the genetic diversity of the causal agents of black rot of cherry. Future studies will focus on developing and testing effective disease management strategies both in field and during postharvest. Furthermore, future studies will focus on characterizing the mycotoxin production potential of *Alternaria* species on sweet cherries.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/jof9100992/s1, Table S1: Collection details and GenBank accession numbers of strains isolated from sweet cherries in Italy and reference strains included in this study for the phylogenetic analysis.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, M.W. and D.S.; methodology, M.W., D.S. and S.P.; software, M.W. and V.G.; validation, D.S., V.G. and M.W.; formal analysis, M.W.; investigation, M.W.; resources, D.S.; data curation, M.W.; writing—original draft preparation, M.W.; writing—review and editing, V.G., S.P. and D.S; supervision, D.S.; project administration, D.S.; funding acquisition, D.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was conducted within the framework of the PRIMA StopMedWaste project, which is funded by PRIMA, a program supported by the European Union.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- 1. FAOSTAT. Available online: https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QCL/visualize (accessed on 27 February 2023).
- 2. ISTAT. Available online: http://dati.istat.it/Index.aspx?QueryId=33705&lang=en (accessed on 14 March 2023).
- 3. Padilla-Zakour, O.I.; Ryona, I.; Cooley, H.J.; Robinson, T.L.; Osborne, J.; Freer, J. Shelf-Life Extension of Sweet Cherries by Field Management, Post-Harvest Treatments and Modified Atmosphere Packaging. *N. Y. Fruit. Q.* 2007, *15*, 3–6.
- 4. Chiabrando, V.; Garavaglia, L.; Giacalone, G. The Postharvest Quality of Fresh Sweet Cherries and Strawberries with an Active Packaging System. *Foods* **2019**, *8*, 335. [CrossRef]
- 5. Sehirli, S.; Karabulut, O.A.; Ilhan, K.; Sehirli, A. Use and Efficiency of Disinfectants within a Hydrocooler System for Postharvest Disease Control in Sweet Cherry. *Int. J. Fruit. Sci.* 2020, 20, S1590–S1606. [CrossRef]
- 6. Maghenzani, M.; Chiabrando, V.; Santoro, K.; Spadaro, D.; Giacalone, G. Essential oil vapour treatment (*Thymus vulgaris* and *Satureja montana*) on postharvest quality of sweet cherry (cv Ferrovia). *J. Food Nutr. Res.* **2018**, *57*, 161–169.
- Mari, M.; Spadaro, D.; Casals, C.; Collina, M.; De Cal, A.; Usall, J. Postharvest Diseases of Stone Fruits. In *Postharvest Pathology of Fresh Horticultural Produce*; Palou, L., Smilanick, J.L., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2019; pp. 111–140, ISBN 9781138630833.
- Ahmad, T.; Liu, Y.; Shujian, H.; Moosa, A. First Record of *Alternaria alternata* Causing Postharvest Fruit Rot of Sweet Cherry (*Prunus avium*) in China. *Plant Dis.* 2020, 104, 2030. [CrossRef]
- Cancino, S.; Lolas, M.A.; Galdós, L.; Hernández, Y.; Ferrada, E.; Riveros, P.; Blanco-Ulate, B.; Díaz, G.A. Occurrence of *Alternaria alternata* and *A. tenuissima* Causing Black Rot in Cherry Fruits (*Prunus avium*) in Central Chile. *Plant Dis.* 2023. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhao, Y.Z.; Liu, Z.H. First Report of Black Spot Disease Caused by *Alternaria alternata* on Cherry Fruits in China. *Plant Dis.* 2012, 96, 1580. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Latinović, N.; Radišek, S.; Latinović, J. First Report of *Alternaria alternata* Causing Fruit Rot on Fig (*Ficus carica*) in Montenegro. *Plant Dis.* **2014**, *98*, 424. [CrossRef]
- 12. Xiang, M.L.; Li, S.C.; Wu, F.; Zhao, X.Y.; Wang, Y.B.; An, X.X.; Zhang, Y.N.; Chen, M. First Report of *Alternaria alternata* Causing Fruit Rot on *Tetradium ruticarpum* in China. *Plant Dis.* **2021**, *105*, 1194. [CrossRef]
- Lawrence, D.P.; Gannibal, P.B.; Peever, T.L.; Pryor, B.M. The Sections of Alternaria: Formalizing Species-Group Concepts. Mycologia 2013, 105, 530–546. [CrossRef]
- 14. Matić, S.; Tabone, G.; Garibaldi, A.; Gullino, M.L. Alternaria Leaf Spot Caused by Alternaria Species: An Emerging Problem on Ornamental Plants in Italy. *Plant Dis.* **2020**, *104*, 2275–2287. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Thomma, B.P.H.J. AlternariasSpp.: From General Saprophyte to Specific Parasite. Mol. Plant Pathol. 2003, 4, 225–236. [CrossRef]
- 16. Woudenberg, J.H.C.; Groenewald, J.Z.; Binder, M.; Crous, P.W. Alternaria Redefined. *Stud. Mycol.* 2013, 75, 171–212. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Zhang, M.-J.; Zheng, X.-R.; Li, H.; Chen, F.-M. Alternaria alternata, the Causal Agent of a New Needle Blight Disease on Pinus Bungeana. *J. Fungi* **2023**, *9*, 71. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Abata, L.K.; Paz, I.A.; Viera, W.; Flores, F.J. First Report of Alternaria Rot Caused by *Alternaria alternata* on Peach in Ecuador. *Plant Dis.* 2016, 100, 2323. [CrossRef]
- 19. Dogan, A.; Cat, A.; Catal, M.; Erkan, M. First Report of *Alternaria alternata* Causing Postharvest Decay in Fig (*Ficus carica* L. Cv. Bursa Siyahi) Fruit in Turkey. *J. Biotechnol.* 2018, 280, S84. [CrossRef]
- 20. Gur, L.; Reuveni, M.; Cohen, Y. Occurrence and Etiology of Alternaria Leaf Blotch and Fruit Spot of Apple Caused by *Alternaria alternata* f. sp. mali on cv. Pink Lady in Israel. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **2017**, 147, 695–708. [CrossRef]
- 21. Moslemi, A.; Ades, P.K.; Groom, T.; Nicolas, M.E.; Taylor, P.W.J. Alternaria infectoria and Stemphylium herbarum, Two New Pathogens of Pyrethrum (*Tanacetum cinerariifolium*) in Australia. Australas. Plant Pathol. **2017**, 46, 91–101. [CrossRef]
- 22. Munhuweyi, K.; Lennox, C.L.; Meitz-Hopkins, J.C.; Caleb, O.J.; Opara, U.L. Major Diseases of Pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.), Their Causes and Management—A Review. *Sci. Hortic.* **2016**, *211*, 126–139. [CrossRef]

- 23. Ustun, R.; Cat, A.; Uzun, B.; Catal, M. First Report of Alternaria Alternata Causing Leaf Spot Disease on Soybean (*Glycine max*) in Antalya Province of Turkey. *Plant Dis.* **2019**, *103*, 3284. [CrossRef]
- 24. Şimşek, A.; Dinler, H.; Uysal Morca, A. Identification and Pathogenicity of Alternaria Alternata Causing Leaf Spot Disease on Sweet Cherry in Province of Turkey. J. Plant Dis. Prot. 2022, 129, 1355–1366. [CrossRef]
- 25. Thomidis, T.; Tsipouridis, C. First Report of Alternaria Leaf Spot on Cherry Trees in Greece. Plant Dis. 2006, 90, 680. [CrossRef]
- 26. Van de Perre, E.; Jacxsens, L.; Liu, C.; Devlieghere, F.; De Meulenaer, B. Climate impact on Alternaria moulds and their mycotoxins in fresh produce: The case of the tomato chain. *Food Res. Int.* **2015**, *68*, 41–46. [CrossRef]
- Simmons, E.G. Alternaria: An Identification Manual; CBS Fungal Biodiversity Centre: Utrecht, The Netherlands, 2007; ISBN 9070351684.
- Andrew, M.; Peever, T.L.; Pryor, B.M. An Expanded Multilocus Phylogeny Does Not Resolve Morphological Species within the Small-Spored Alternaria Species Complex. *Mycologia* 2009, 101, 95–109. [CrossRef]
- Ma, G.; Bao, S.; Zhao, J.; Sui, Y.; Wu, X. Morphological and Molecular Characterization of Alternaria Species Causing Leaf Blight on Watermelon in China. *Plant Dis.* 2021, 105, 60–70. [CrossRef]
- Somma, S.; Pose, G.; Pardo, A.; Mulè, G.; Pinto, V.F.; Moretti, A.; Logrieco, A.F. AFLP Variability, Toxin Production, and Pathogenicity of Alternaria Species from Argentinean Tomato Fruits and Puree. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 2011, 145, 414–419. [CrossRef]
- Stewart, J.E.; Andrew, M.; Bao, X.; Chilvers, M.I.; Carris, L.M.; Peever, T.L. Development of Sequence Characterized Amplified Genomic Regions (SCAR) for Fungal Systematics: Proof of Principle Using *Alternaria, Ascochyta* and *Tilletia*. *Mycologia* 2013, 105, 1077–1086. [CrossRef]
- 32. Woudenberg, J.H.C.; Seidl, M.F.; Groenewald, J.Z.; de Vries, M.; Stielow, J.B.; Thomma, B.P.H.J.; Crous, P.W. Alternaria Section Alternaria: Species, Formae Speciales or Pathotypes? *Stud. Mycol.* **2015**, *82*, 1–21. [CrossRef]
- Lawrence, D.P.; Rotondo, F.; Gannibal, P.B. Biodiversity and Taxonomy of the Pleomorphic Genus Alternaria. *Mycol. Prog.* 2016, 15, 3. [CrossRef]
- Ozkilinc, H.; Rotondo, F.; Pryor, B.M.; Peever, T.L. Contrasting Species Boundaries between Sections Alternaria and Porri of the Genus Alternaria. Plant Pathol. 2018, 67, 303–314. [CrossRef]
- Peever, T.L.; Ibañez, A.; Akimitsu, K.; Timmer, L.W. Worldwide Phylogeography of the Citrus Brown Spot Pathogen, *Alternaria* alternata. Phytopathology 2002, 92, 794–802. [CrossRef]
- 36. Peever, T.L.; Su, G.; Carpenter-Boggs, L.; Timmer, L.W. Molecular Systematics of Citrus-Associated Alternaria Species. *Mycologia* 2004, *96*, 119. [CrossRef]
- 37. Pryor, B.M.; Michailides, T.J. Morphological, Pathogenic, and Molecular Characterization of *Alternaria* Isolates Associated with Alternaria Late Blight of Pistachio. *Phytopathology* **2002**, *92*, 406–416. [CrossRef]
- Hong, S.G.; Cramer, R.A.; Lawrence, C.B.; Pryor, B.M. Alt a 1 Allergen Homologs from Alternaria and Related Taxa: Analysis of Phylogenetic Content and Secondary Structure. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 2005, 42, 119–129. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Isshiki, A.; Akimitsu, K.; Yamamoto, M.; Yamamoto, H. Endopolygalacturonase Is Essential for Citrus Black Rot Caused by Alternaria Citri but Not Brown Spot Caused by *Alternaria alternata*. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 2001, 14, 749–757. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Isshiki, A.; Akimitsu, K.; Nishio, K.; Tsukamoto, M.; Yamamoto, H. Purification and Characterization of an Endopolygalacturonase from the Rough Lemon Pathotype of *Alternaria alternata*, the Cause of Citrus Brown Spot Disease. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* 1997, 51, 155–167. [CrossRef]
- Sung, G.H.; Sung, J.M.; Hywel-Jones, N.L.; Spatafora, J.W. A Multi-Gene Phylogeny of Clavicipitaceae (Ascomycota, Fungi): Identification of Localized Incongruence Using a Combinational Bootstrap Approach. *Mol. Phylogenet Evol.* 2007, 44, 1204–1223. [CrossRef]
- 42. Liu, Y.J.; Whelen, S.; Hall, B.D. Phylogenetic Relationships among Ascomycetes: Evidence from an RNA Polymerse II Subunit. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **1999**, *16*, 1799–1808. [CrossRef]
- Prencipe, S.; Meloni, G.R.; Nari, L.; Schiavon, G.; Spadaro, D. Pathogenicity, Molecular Characterization and Mycotoxigenic Potential of *Alternaria* Spp. Agents of Black Spots on Fruit and Leaves of Pyrus Communis in Italy. *Phytopathology* 2022, 113, 309–320. [CrossRef]
- 44. Woudenberg, J.H.C.; Truter, M.; Groenewald, J.Z.; Crous, P.W. Large-Spored Alternaria Pathogens in Section Porri Disentangled. *Stud. Mycol.* **2014**, *79*, 1–47. [CrossRef]
- Kumar, S.; Stecher, G.; Tamura, K. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2016, 33, 1870–1874. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Nylander, J.A.A. MrModeltest Version 2. Program Distributed by the Author. Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University, Uppsala.-References-Scientific Research Publishing. 2004. Available online: https://www.scirp.org/(S(i43dyn45teexjx455qlt3d2 q))/reference/ReferencesPapers.aspx?ReferenceID=1276217 (accessed on 29 March 2023).
- Ronquist, F.; Teslenko, M.; van der Mark, P.; Ayres, D.L.; Darling, A.; Höhna, S.; Larget, B.; Liu, L.; Suchard, M.A.; Huelsenbeck, J.P. MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian Phylogenetic Inference and Model Choice Across a Large Model Space. *Syst. Biol.* 2012, *61*, 539–542. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Swofford, D.L. PAUP. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (and Other Methods). Version 4. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland.-References-Scientific Research Publishing. 2003. Available online: https://www.scirp.org/(S(czeh2tfqyw2orz553k1w0r45))/ reference/ReferencesPapers.aspx?ReferenceID=1085917 (accessed on 29 March 2023).
- 49. Hillis, D.M.; Bull, J.J. An Empirical Test of Bootstrapping as a Method for Assessing Confidence in Phylogenetic Analysis. *Syst. Biol.* **1993**, *42*, 182–192. [CrossRef]
- Wang, F.; Saito, S.; Michailides, T.J.; Xiao, C.L. Phylogenetic, Morphological, and Pathogenic Characterization of Alternaria Species Associated with Fruit Rot of Mandarin in California. *Plant Dis.* 2021, 105, 1555–1567. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, X.Q.; Xiao, C.L. Phylogenetic, Morphological, and Pathogenic Characterization of Alternaria Species Associated with Fruit Rot of Blueberry in California. *Phytopathology* 2015, 105, 1555–1567. [CrossRef]
- Kanetis, L.; Testempasis, S.; Goulas, V.; Samuel, S.; Myresiotis, C.; Karaoglanidis, G.S. Identification and Mycotoxigenic Capacity of Fungi Associated with Pre- and Postharvest Fruit Rots of Pomegranates in Greece and Cyprus. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 2015, 208, 84–92. [CrossRef]
- 53. Riquelme, D.; Zuniga, C.; Tapia, E. First Report of Fruit Rot of Sweet Cultivars of Japanese Plum Caused by *Alternaria alternata*, *A. arborescens*, and *A. tenuissima* in Chile. *Plant Dis.* **2021**, *105*, 4167. [CrossRef]
- Ntasiou, P.; Myresiotis, C.; Konstantinou, S.; Papadopoulou-Mourkidou, E.; Karaoglanidis, G.S. Identification, Characterization and Mycotoxigenic Ability of *Alternaria* spp. Causing Core Rot of Apple Fruit in Greece. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 2015, 197, 22–29. [CrossRef]
- Harteveld, D.O.C.; Akinsanmi, O.A.; Drenth, A. Multiple Alternaria Species Groups Are Associated with Leaf Blotch and Fruit Spot Diseases of Apple in Australia. *Plant Pathol.* 2013, 62, 289–297. [CrossRef]
- 56. Aiello, D.; Guarnaccia, V.; Azzaro, A.; Polizzi, G. Alternaria Brown Spot on New Clones of Sweet Orange and Lemon in Italy. *Phytopathol. Mediterr.* 2020, 59, 131–145. [CrossRef]
- Yang, J.; Chen, Y.Z.; Yu-Xuan, W.; Tao, L.; Zhang, Y.D.; Wang, S.R.; Zhang, G.C.; Zhang, J. Inhibitory Effects and Mechanisms of Vanillin on Gray Mold and Black Rot of Cherry Tomatoes. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 2021, 175, 104859. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Al-Rahbi, B.A.A.; Al-Sadi, A.M.; Al-Mahmooli, I.H.; Al-Maawali, S.S.; Al-Mahruqi, N.M.T.; Velazhahan, R. Meyerozyma guilliermondii SQUCC-33Y Suppresses Postharvest Fruit Rot of Strawberry Caused by Alternaria Alternata. *Australas. Plant Pathol.* 2021, 50, 349–352. [CrossRef]
- 59. Ezra, D.; Shulhani, R.; Bar Ya'Akov, I.; Harel-Beja, R.; Holland, D.; Shtienberg, D. Factors Affecting the Response of Pomegranate Fruit to *Alternaria alternata*, the Causal Agent of Heart Rot. *Plant Dis.* **2019**, *103*, 315–323. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. Chen, M.; Jia, M.S.; Li, S.C.; Xiao, L.H.; Wang, Y.B.; Peng, W.W.; Chen, J.Y.; Xiang, M.L. First Report of Postharvest Fruit Rot in *Solanum muricatum* Caused by *Alternaria alternata* in Southwest China. *Plant Dis.* **2022**, *106*, 2520. [CrossRef]
- 61. Guo, L.W.; He, S.H.; Gao, Z.R.; Wu, Z.T.; Duan, R.Q.; Yang, K.Z.; Wei, Y.J.; He, X.H. First Report of Fruit Rot in Opium Poppy (*Papaver somniferum*) Caused by *Alternaria alternata* in China. *Plant Dis.* **2020**, *104*, 3264. [CrossRef]
- Basım, E.; Basım, H.; Abdulai, M.; Baki, D.; Öztürk, N. Identification and Characterization of *Alternaria alternata* Causing Leaf Spot of Olive Tree (*Olea europaea*) in Turkey. *Crop Prot.* 2017, 92, 79–88. [CrossRef]
- Serdani, M.; Kang, J.C.; Andersen, B.; Crous, P.W. Characterisation of Alternaria Species-Groups Associated with Core Rot of Apples in South Africa. *Mycol. Res.* 2002, 106, 561–569. [CrossRef]
- 64. Pryor, B.M.; Gilbertson, R.L. Molecular Phylogenetic Relationships amongst Alternaria Species and Related Fungi Based upon Analysis of Nuclear ITS and Mt SSU RDNA Sequences. *Mycol. Res.* **2000**, *104*, 1312–1321. [CrossRef]
- 65. Elfar, K.; Zoffoli, J.P.; Latorre, B.A. Identification and Characterization of Alternaria Species Associated with Moldy Core of Apple in Chile. *Plant Dis.* **2018**, *102*, 2158–2169. [CrossRef]
- 66. Prusky, D. Pathogen Quiescence in Postharvest Diseases. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 1996, 34, 413–434. [CrossRef]
- 67. Rotem, J. *The Genus Alternaria: Biology, Epidemiology, and Pathogenicity;* The American Phytopathological Society: Saint Paul, MN, USA, 1994; ISBN 978-0-89054-152-4.
- Mmbaga, M.T.; Shi, A.; Kim, M.-S. Identification of Alternaria alternata as a Causal Agent for Leaf Blight in Syringa Species. *Plant Pathol J* 2011, 27, 120–127. [CrossRef]
- Fontaine, K.; Fourrier-Jeandel, C.; Armitage, A.D.; Boutigny, A.L.; Crépet, M.; Caffier, V.; Gnide, D.C.; Shiller, J.; Le Cam, B.; Giraud, M.; et al. Identification and Pathogenicity of Alternaria Species Associated with Leaf Blotch Disease and Premature Defoliation in French Apple Orchards. *PeerJ* 2021, 9, e12496. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.