



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

# Adrenal Venous Sampling-Guided Adrenalectomy Rates in Primary Aldosteronism: Results of an International Cohort (AVSTAT)

# This is the author's manuscript Original Citation: Availability: This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1796312 since 2021-08-10T10:22:58Z Published version: DOI:10.1210/clinem/dgaa706 Terms of use: Open Access Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

## Adrenal Venous Sampling–Guided Adrenalectomy Rates in Primary Aldosteronism: Results of an International Cohort (AVSTAT)

Youichi Ohno,<sup>1</sup> Mitsuhide Naruse,<sup>1,2,3</sup> Felix Beuschlein,<sup>4,5</sup> Florentine Schreiner,<sup>4</sup> Mirko Parasiliti-Caprino,<sup>6</sup> Jaap Deinum,<sup>7</sup> William M Drake,<sup>8</sup> Francesco Fallo,<sup>9</sup> Carmina T. Fuss,10 Marianne A. Grytaas,<sup>11</sup> Takamasa Ichijo,<sup>12</sup> Nobuya Inagaki,<sup>1</sup> Miki Kakutani,<sup>13</sup> Darko Kastelan,<sup>14</sup> Ivana Kraljevic,<sup>14</sup> Takuyuki Katabami,<sup>15</sup> Tomaz Kocjan,<sup>16,17</sup> Silvia Monticone,<sup>18</sup> Paolo Mulatero,<sup>18</sup> Sam O'Toole,<sup>8</sup> Hiroki Kobayashi,<sup>19</sup> Masakatsu Sone,<sup>20</sup> Mika Tsuiki,<sup>3</sup> Norio Wada,<sup>21</sup> Tracy Ann Williams,<sup>5,18</sup> Martin Reincke,<sup>5</sup> and Akiyo Tanabe<sup>22</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Diabetes, Endocrinology and Nutrition, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan; <sup>2</sup> Endocrine Center, Ijinkai Takeda General Hospital, Kyoto 601-1495, Japan; <sup>3</sup> Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, NHO Kyoto Medical Center, Kyoto 612-8555, Japan; <sup>4</sup>Klinik für Endokrinologie, Diabetologie und Klinische Ernährung, Universitätsspital Zürich, Zurich 8091, Switzerland; <sup>5</sup> Medizinische Klinik und Poliklinik IV, Klinikum der Universität München, LMU München, Ziemssenstr. München 80336, Germany; <sup>6</sup>Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, Department of Medical Sciences, University of Turin, Turin 10124, Italy; <sup>7</sup> Department of Medicine, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen 9101, Netherlands; <sup>8</sup> Department of Endocrinology, St Bartholomew's Hospital, London EC1A 7BE, UK; <sup>9</sup> Department of Medicine, University of Padova, Padova 35143, Italy; <sup>10</sup>Department of Medicine I, Division of Endocrinology and Diabetes, University Hospital Würzburg, Würzburg 97082, Germany; <sup>11</sup>Department of Medicine, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen 5021, Norway; <sup>12</sup>Department of Diabetes and Endocrinology, Saiseikai Yokohamashi Tobu Hospital, Yokohama 230-8765, Japan; <sup>13</sup>Department of Diabetes and Endocrinology, Hyogo Medical University, Hyogo 663-8501, Japan; <sup>14</sup>Division of Endocrinology, University Hospital Center Zagreb, Zagreb 10000, Croatia; <sup>15</sup>Division of Metabolism and Endocrinology, St. Marianna University Yokohama City Seibu Hospital, Yokohama 241-0811, Japan; <sup>16</sup>Department of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolic Diseases, University Medical Centre Ljubljana, Ljubljana 1000, Slovenia; <sup>17</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana 1501, Slovenia; <sup>18</sup>Division of Internal Medicine and Hypertension, Department of Medical Sciences, University of Torino, Torino 10138, Italy; <sup>19</sup>Division of Nephrology, Hypertension, and Endocrinology, Nihon University School of Medicine, Tokyo 173-8610, Japan; <sup>20</sup>Division of Metabolism and Endocrinology, Department of Internal Medicine, St. Marianna University School of Medicine, Kawasaki 216-8511, Japan; <sup>21</sup>Department of Diabetes and Endocrinology, Sapporo City General Hospital, Sapporo 060-8604, Japan; and <sup>22</sup>Division of Diabetes and Endocrinology, National International Center for Global Health and Medicine, Tokyo 162-8655, Japan.

**Abbreviations:** ACTH, adrenocorticotropic hormone; ADX, adrenalectomy; ARR, aldosterone-to-renin ratio; AVS, adrenal vein sampling; CR, contralateral ratio; LR, lateralization ratio; PA, primary aldosteronism; PAC, plasma aldosterone concentration.

Keywords: adrenalectomy, adrenal venous sampling, hyperaldosteronism, primary aldosteronism.

### Abstract

### Context

Adrenal venous sampling (AVS) is the current criterion standard lateralization technique in primary aldosteronism (PA). Japanese registry data found that 30% of patients with unilateral PA did not undergo adrenalectomy, but the reasons for this and whether the same pattern is seen internationally are unknown.

### Objective

To assess the rate of AVS-guided adrenalectomy across an international cohort and identify factors that resulted in adrenalectomy not being performed in otherwise eligible patients.

### Design, Setting, and Participants

Retrospective, multinational, multicenter questionnaire-based survey of management of PA patients from 16 centers between 2006 and 2018.

### **Main Outcome Measures**

Rates of AVS implementation, AVS success rate, diagnosis of unilateral PA, adrenalectomy rate, and reasons why adrenalectomy was not undertaken in patients with unilateral PA.

### Results

Rates of AVS implementation, successful AVS, and unilateral disease were 66.3%, 89.3% and 36.9% respectively in 4818 patients with PA. Unilateral PA and adrenalectomy rate in unilateral PA were lower in Japanese than in European centers (24.0% vs 47.6% and 78.2% vs 91.4% respectively). The clinical reasoning for not performing adrenalectomy in unilateral PA were more likely to be physician-derived in Japan and patient-derived in Europe. Physician-derived factors included non-AVS factors, such as good blood pressure control, normokalemia, and the absence of adrenal lesions on imaging, which were present before AVS.

### Conclusion

Considering the various unfavorable aspects of AVS, stricter implementation and consideration of surgical candidacy prior to AVS will increase its diagnostic efficiency and utility.

Primary aldosteronism (PA) is the most frequent cause of secondary hypertension and is caused by an excess production of aldosterone from one or both adrenal glands (1-3). The etiologies of PA consist of 2 main subtypes: (i) a unilateral aldosterone-producing adenoma and (ii) bilateral adrenal hyperplasia (idiopathic hyperaldosteronism). While the unilateral subtype has a higher risk of developing cardiovascular sequela relative to the bilateral subtype (4-7), surgical removal of unilateral disease is expected to bring various benefits, including biochemical cure of hyperaldosteronism, resolution of hypertension (8, 9), lowering the risk for developing cardiovascular and chronic kidney disease (10-12), and quality of life improvements (13), compared with targeted medical treatment. Adrenal venous sampling (AVS) (14-16) is the criterion standard procedure strongly recommended by current clinical practice guidelines (17) for subtype testing of PA, especially to detect unilateral PA as an indication for adrenalectomy (ADX). However, from the analysis of our PA registry in Japan, JPAS (Japan PA Study), 30% of patients with unilateral PA do not undergo ADX (18). Whether this same pattern is seen in other countries needs to be determined, as do the reasons for it. Given various unfavorable issues related to AVS, such as limited availability, technical demand, invasive nature, expense, and radiation exposure (19), AVS should be appropriately deployed so that its results are effectively utilized in detecting the unilateral subtype for ADX (16, 20, 21).

The primary objective of the International Multicenter Study on the Adrenal Venous Sampling Stats in Primary Aldosteronism (AVSTAT study) was to determine the rate of ADX in patients with PA following successful AVS, the reasons why ADX did not occur in patients with unilateral PA, and whether differences existed between Japanese and European centers.

### **Materials and Methods**

### Study design and patients

The AVSTAT study was conducted as a retrospective, multinational, multicenter questionnaire-based study. The AVSTAT registry was established at 16 centers, including 6 centers in Japan and 10 centers in Europe that were all part of the European Network for the Study of Adrenal Tumors (ENS@T). Patients with PA diagnosed in each center from 2006 to 2018 were included. Diagnosis of PA was confirmed according to the guidelines of the Japan Endocrine Society or the Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guideline (22, 23). The subtype of PA was diagnosed by AVS with

and/or without adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) stimulation. Adrenal vein cannulation was defined as successful if the selectivity index was >5 with ACTH stimulation or >2 without ACTH stimulation. Unilateral PA was defined by a lateralization ratio (LR) > 4 with ACTH stimulation or >2 without ACTH stimulation (15). The LR is calculated by dividing the aldosterone-to-cortisol ratio on the dominant side by that on the nondominant side. The contralateral ratio (CR) was calculated by dividing the aldosterone-to-cortisol ratio and the nondominant side by that in the inferior vena cava.

### Measurements

We assessed the numbers of AVS procedures, success rate of AVS, subtype of PA (unilateral or bilateral), and rate of ADX in each subtype. We made a comparison between Japan and European centers, and between the earlier study period (January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2011) and the later study period (January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2018). We also investigated why ADX did not occur in patients with unilateral PA. The reasons were divided into 3 categories: patient's decision, physician's decision, or both.

### **Statistical analysis**

Stata/SE ver. 14 software developed by LightStone was used for statistical analyses. All data are shown as number (%). The *P* values comparing 2 groups were evaluated by  $\chi^2$  test. The correlation between AVS success rate and the number of AVS implementations was evaluated by the Pearson correlation test.

### Ethics

The study was conducted according to the guidelines for clinical studies published by the Ministry of Health and Labor, Japan, and was approved by the ethics committee of the National Hospital Organization Kyoto Medical Center as the project lead center and by the institutional ethics committees of the participating centers in accordance with local ethical guidelines.

### Results

A total of 4818 patients with confirmed PA were enrolled in the AVSTAT study. AVS was performed in 3194 patients (66.3%) and was successful in 2852 patients (89.3%; range, 73.1% to 100%). Thus, appropriate AVS data for exact subtype diagnosis were not obtained in 10.7% of the patients. Subtype diagnosis of PA was unilateral in 1055 patients (37.0%). ADX was performed in 1039 patients (36.4%) of patients with successful AVS and in 924 patients (87.6%) of patients with unilateral PA (Fig. 1). Comparison of PA cases, AVS results, and rates of adrenalectomy among individual centers are shown in Table 1.

### Comparison of AVS performance between Japanese and European centers

Although the number of patients with PA were similar, the rate of AVS implementation was significantly lower in Japan than in European centers. The success rate of AVS was comparable between Japan and European centers. The rates of unilateral subtype, ADX in successful AVS, and ADX in unilateral patients with PA were all significantly lower in Japanese centers than those in European centers (Table 2).

### Time-dependent changes in AVS, unilateral subtype, and ADX

Table 3 shows the comparison between the earlier period (2006-2011) and the later period (2012-2018) in Japanese and European centers. The rate of AVS performance in Japan significantly decreased from 65.7% to 54.6%, while that in Europe significantly increased from 70.1% to 78.7% during the observation period. The success rate of AVS showed a significant improvement in both regions. The rates of unilateral subtype (34.9% vs 21.0%; P < 0.001) and ADX in successful AVS (40.9% vs 19.3%; P < 0.001) in Japan significantly decreased during the observation period, while these rates in European centers did not show significant changes.

### Reasons why ADX was not performed despite unilateral PA after successful AVS

We analyzed the reasons why some patients with unilateral PA did not undergo ADX. In this analysis, 108 out of 131 patients were included after excluding 23 patients without sufficient follow-up data (Table 4). The rate of ADX in confirmed unilateral PA was lower in Japan than in European centers (78.2% vs 91.4%; P < 0.001). Overall, the reasons why patients with confirmed unilateral PA did not undergo ADX were divided almost equally between decisions of the patients themselves, their caring physicians or jointly. The rate of patient's decision not to undergo ADX was significantly lower in Japan than that in European centers (20.7% vs 48.0%; P < 0.001). Although the rate of physician's decision and the rate of joint decisions were not significantly different between Japanese and European centers, these rates tended to be higher in Japan than those in European centers (37.9% vs 26.0%; P = 0.187 and 41.4% vs 26.0%; P = 0.093).

Next, we analyzed the main factors that contributed to physician decisions for patients with unilateral PA to not undergo ADX (Table 5). We identified both AVS-related and non–AVS-related factors. The non–AVS-related factors included advanced age, comorbidities, good blood pressure control, and investigational findings (eg, normokalaemia, no clear adrenal tumor or bilateral findings on computed tomography [CT], discrepancy of lateralization between adrenal scintigraphy and CT findings), many of which are known prior to AVS. The AVS-related factors included discrepancy between AVS and CT findings and lack of contralateral suppression and/or low plasma aldosterone concentration (PAC) on AVS. CT findings were diagnosed by radiologists in each center. Good blood pressure control was the most prevalent factor followed by a lack of contralateral suppression or low PAC on AVS and normokalemia. In Japan, lack of contralateral suppression or low PAC on AVS

were the most common factors, followed by normokalemia and good blood pressure control. In European centers, good blood pressure control was the main factor for the physician's decision.

### Discussion

In the present study, we have investigated the results of AVS, including its success rate, subtype diagnosis, and rate of ADX across 16 international centers. We also investigated whether differences existed between Japanese and European centers over time. As summarized in Fig. 2, AVS findings did not result in ADX due to 3 major factors: (i) approximately 10% due to technically unsuccessful AVS, (ii) 50% due to bilateral subtype, and (iii) 4% due to a change in treatment decision after AVS. Thus, approximately 65% of patients underwent a time-consuming, expensive, and invasive AVS in view of its primary aim of identifying the unilateral subtype for ADX, although patients with bilateral PA benefited by avoiding unnecessary ADX from AVS. The success rate of AVS improved significantly over time, but it is still not 100% in many centers. It could be further improved by increasing experience, implementation in experienced centers, and introducing additional approaches such as the intraprocedural rapid cortisol assay (24).

In agreement with previous studies, unilateral PA accounts for only 30% of the total patients with PA who underwent AVS in the present study. The prevalence of bilateral PA was significantly higher in Japan than in European centers. The present results indicated that 4 AVS studies were needed to detect 1 unilateral patient with PA in Japan. Bilateral subtype, as the major subtype of PA (18), accounts for the high number of AVS procedures that need to be performed in order to identify patients with unilateral PA who stand to benefit from ADX. Lack of alternative established methods to distinguish bilateral from unilateral PA has been the fundamental reason for the application of AVS in a large number of patients with PA. Clinical prediction models of PA subtype (25-28), biochemical approaches such as urine steroid profile (29) and plasma microRNA analysis (30), and noninvasive positron emission tomography imaging (31-33) may improve the diagnostic efficiency of AVS in the subtype diagnosis of PA.

In addition, another factor which contributed to the inefficient use of the AVS results was the change in intended treatment decision after AVS. ADX was not performed in 12% of the patients with unilateral PA. One-third of those patients did not undergo surgery due to medical factors. The decision not to undergo ADX in unilateral PA was made predominantly by physicians in Japan, while it was more commonly the patient's decision in European centers. Reasons for physician decisions consisted of both non–AVS-related and AVS-related factors. In Japan, lack of CR suppression or low PAC on AVS as AVS-related factors were the most common factor, followed by normokalemia and good blood pressure control as the non–AVS-related factors. In European centers, however, good blood pressure control was the major factor for physician decision not to proceed to ADX. Besides the AVS-related factors, all of the non–AVS-related factors were highly likely to have been present before AVS. The decision to undergo AVS should therefore be carefully considered and take into account the various clinical, biochemical, and radiological findings to reserve AVS only for those patients who would be eligible and willing to undergo ADX if the AVS was supportive of unilateral disease.

We also investigated to see whether there was a difference between Japanese and European centers over time. While the number of patients with confirmed PA increased significantly, the rate of AVS use in patients with PA, the rate of unilateral disease, and the rate of ADX in patients with successful AVS significantly decreased over the study time period in Japan. These results were in contrast to those in European centers, where the rate of AVS use increased, whereas the rate of unilateral subtype, ADX in patients with successful AVS, and ADX in patients with unilateral subtype remained unchanged over time. The reasons responsible for the difference in the rate of AVS use between Japan and European centers remain unknown. However, the results clearly indicated that larger number of patients with bilateral PA have been diagnosed and subjected to AVS for subtype diagnosis in Japan than in European centers. The reason for the difference of the ratio of unilateral

and bilateral subtype between Japan and European centers also remains to be elucidated. The differential somatic mutation burdens in aldosterone-producing adenomas in different ethnicities may be related to the difference (34). More likely, however, the different cutoff value of aldosterone-to-renin ratio (ARR) for screening might explain the difference: the recommended ARR cutoff in Japan is 20ng/dL per ng/mL/h (22, 23), whereas the most commonly adopted cutoff of ARR is 30ng/dL per ng/mL/h in the widely used Endocrine Society guidelines (17). This lower ARR cutoff was recommended by the 2011 Japanese guidelines and might lead to a significant increase in diagnosing PA with milder phenotype. It has been well known that PAC is lower in patients with bilateral PA than in patients with unilateral PA (5). The use of a lower ARR cutoff for screening may be responsible for the significant increase in the numbers of PA with bilateral subtype in Japan (25-31). However, further studies are needed to verify this hypothesis, since the diagnostic ARR data are not available in the present study.

### Limitation

Our study has several limitations. The present study was retrospective and based on the questionnaire to each center, which could have the potential to bias all the estimates in the results (33, 34). Although the centers participated to this study are all referral centers in the clinical practice of PA in Japan and Europe, the numbers were limited and did not necessarily represent all the centers, which could bias the comparison between Japan and Europe.

The proportion of unilateral and bilateral PA could be affected by the method of AVS, with or without ACTH infusion, and the criteria for successful AVS and lateralization. Because confirmatory testing of PA and AVS is not standardized internationally, the diagnosis of PA and lateralization might not be the same between Japan and Europe.

Another factor which might affect the indication of adrenal surgery was the availability of an appropriate endocrine surgeons. Details of this factor remain unknown, since it was not included in the present questionnaires, However, since all the centers participated into the present study were the regional referral center with sufficient experience of AVS and laparoscopic ADX, it is unlikely that it was a major factor affecting the rate of ADX.

### Conclusion

Despite significant increases in the number of diagnosed patients with PA, AVS implementation, and its technical development over the past decade, the overall rate of ADX corresponded only to one-third of the patients with PA subjected to AVS. The primary reason for patients not undergoing ADX was the bilateral subtype diagnosis, followed by unsuccessful AVS. In addition, some patients with unilateral PA did not undergo ADX due to the change of treatment policy by the doctors after successful AVS. Most of these reasons were non–AVS-related clinical and laboratory factors that were present even before AVS. Although AVS is strongly recommended as the criterion standard by the clinical guideline for subtype diagnosis of PA, its primary aim is to distinguish unilateral PA for ADX. Considering the various issues associated with AVS, such as its invasive and technically demanding nature, cost, and radiation exposure, there is a need for its diagnostic efficiency to be improved. The identification of those with unilateral PA who stand to benefit most from ADX remains essential and improvements in the noninvasive pre-AVS prediction of the bilateral subtype are required, especially in Japan.

### Abbreviations

**ACTH** adrenocorticotropic hormone

ADX adrenalectomy

ARR aldosterone-to-renin ratio

AVS adrenal vein sampling

**CR** contralateral ratio

LR lateralization ratio

PA primary aldosteronism

PAC plasma aldosterone concentration

### Acknowledgments

We thank the JPAS and JRAS members for collecting the clinical data. We also thank Maki Kashiwabara and Keiko Umegaki for their technical and secretarial assistance. *Financial Support:* This study was conducted as a part of the JPAS (Japan PA Study) and JRAS (Japan Rare Adrenal Diseases Study) by a Research Grant from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED) under Grant Number JP17ek0109122 (JPAS) and JP20ek0109352 (JRAS), the National Center for Global Health and Medicine, Japan (27–1402, 30–1008), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft within the CRC/Transregio 205/1 "The Adrenal: Central Relay in Health and Disease" (to F.B. T.A.W and M.R.), Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (UNION-CVD Clinician-Scientist Programme, CVD-08) and the Interdisciplinary Center for Clinical Research (IZKF) at the University of Würzburg (Z-2/77) to C.T.F., the Swiss National Science Foundation within the NCCR Kidney.ch (to F.B.) and the University of Zurich by Clinical Research Program HYRENE (to F.B.).

### References

1. Vaidya A, Mulatero P, Baudrand R, Adler GK. The expanding spectrum of primary aldosteronism: implications for diagnosis, pathogenesis, and treatment. Endocr Rev. 2018;39(6):1057-1088.

2. Williams TA, Reincke M. Management of endocrine disease: diagnosis and management of primary aldosteronism: the Endocrine Society guideline 2016 revisited. Eur J Endocrinol. 2018;179(1):R19-R29.

3. Young WF Jr. Diagnosis and treatment of primary aldosteronism: practical clinical perspectives. J Intern Med. 2019;285(2):126-148.

4. Mulatero P, Monticone S, Bertello C, et al. Long-term cardioand cerebrovascular events in patients with primary aldosteronism. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2013;98(12):4826-4833.

5. Ohno Y, Sone M, Inagaki N, et al.; Nagahama Study; JPAS Study Group. Prevalence of cardiovascular disease and its risk factors in primary aldosteronism: a multicenter study in Japan. Hypertension. 2018;71(3):530-537.

6. Monticone S, D'Ascenzo F, Moretti C, et al. Cardiovascular events and target organ damage in primary aldosteronism compared with essential hypertension: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2018;6(1):41-50.

7. Monticone S, Sconfienza E, D'Ascenzo F, et al. Renal damage in primary aldosteronism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Hypertens. 2020;38(1):3-12.

8. Benham JL, Eldoma M, Khokhar B, Roberts DJ, Rabi DM, Kline GA. Proportion of patients with hypertension resolution following adrenalectomy for primary aldosteronism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2016;18(12):1205-1212.

9. Williams TA, Lenders JWM, Mulatero P, et al.; Primary Aldosteronism Surgery Outcome (PASO) investigators. Outcomes after adrenalectomy for unilateral primary aldosteronism: an international consensus on outcome measures and analysis of remission rates in an international cohort. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2017;5(9):689-699.

10. Hundemer GL, Curhan GC, Yozamp N, Wang M, Vaidya A. Cardiometabolic outcomes and mortality in medically treated primary aldosteronism: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2018;6(1):51-59.

11. Hundemer GL, Curhan GC, Yozamp N, Wang M, Vaidya A. Renal outcomes in medically and surgically treated primary aldosteronism. Hypertension. 2018;72(3):658-666.

12. Kobayashi H, Abe M, Nakamura Y, et al.; JPAS/JRAS Study Group. Association between acute fall in estimated glomerular filtration rate after treatment for primary aldosteronism and long-term decline in renal function. Hypertension. 2019;74(3):630-638.

13. Velema M, Dekkers T, Hermus A, et al.; SPARTACUS investigators. Quality of life in primary aldosteronism: a comparative effectiveness study of adrenalectomy and medical treatment. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2018;103(1):16-24.

14. Young WF. Primary aldosteronism: renaissance of a syndrome. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf). 2007;66(5):607-618.

15. Young WF, Stanson AW, Thompson GB, Grant CS, Farley DR, van Heerden JA. Role for adrenal venous sampling in primary aldosteronism. Surgery. 2004;136(6):1227-1235.

16. Rossi GP, Barisa M, Allolio B, et al. The Adrenal Vein Sampling International Study (AVIS) for identifying the major subtypes of primary aldosteronism. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2012;97(5):1606-1614.

17. Funder JW, Carey RM, Mantero F, et al. The management of primary aldosteronism: case detection, diagnosis, and treatment: an endocrine society clinical practice guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2016;101(5):1889-1916.

18. Fujii Y, Takeda Y, Kurihara I, et al. Historical changes and between-facility differences in adrenal venous sampling for primary aldosteronism in Japan. J Hum Hypertens. 2020;34(1):34-42.

19. Fuss CT, Treitl M, Rayes N, et al. Radiation exposure of adrenal vein sampling: a German Multicenter Study. Eur J Endocrinol. 2018;179(4):261-267.

20. Lubitz CC, Economopoulos KP, Sy S, et al. Cost-effectiveness of screening for primary aldosteronism and subtype diagnosis in the resistant hypertensive patients. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2015;8(6):621-630.

21. Monticone S, Satoh F, Dietz AS, et al. Clinical management and outcomes of adrenal hemorrhage following adrenal vein sampling in primary aldosteronism. Hypertension. 2016;67(1):146-152.

22. Nishikawa T, Omura M, Satoh F, et al.; Task Force Committee on Primary Aldosteronism, The Japan Endocrine Society. Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of primary aldosteronism–the Japan Endocrine Society 2009. Endocr J. 2011;58(9):711-721.

23. Umemura S, Arima H, Arima S, et al. The Japanese Society of hypertension guidelines for the management of hypertension (JSH 2019). Hypertens Res. 2019;42(9):1235-1481.

24. Cesari M, Ceolotto G, Rossitto G, Maiolino G, Seccia TM, Rossi GP. The intra-procedural cortisol assay during adrenal vein sampling: rationale and design of a randomized study (I-Padua). High Blood Press Cardiovasc Prev. 2017;24(2):167-170.

25. Kobayashi H, Abe M, Soma M, et al.; JPAS Study Group. Development and validation of subtype prediction scores for the workup of primary aldosteronism. J Hypertens. 2018;36(11):2269-2276.

26. Umakoshi H, Tsuiki M, Takeda Y, et al.; JPAS Study Group. Significance of computed tomography and serum potassium in predicting subtype diagnosis of primary aldosteronism. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2018;103(3):900-908.

27. Kocjan T, Janez A, Stankovic M, Vidmar G, Jensterle M. A new clinical prediction criterion accurately determines a subset of patients with bilateral primary aldosteronism before adrenal venous sampling. Endocr Pract. 2016;22(5):587-594.

28. Nanba K, Tsuiki M, Nakao K, et al. A subtype prediction score for primary aldosteronism. J Hum Hypertens. 2014;28(12):716-720.

Holler F, Heinrich DA, Adolf C, et al. Steroid profiling and immunohistochemistry for subtyping and outcome prediction in primary aldosteronism-a review. Curr Hypertens Rep. 2019;21(10):77.
 Decmann A, Nyírö G, Darvasi O, et al. Circulating miRNA expression profiling in primary aldosteronism. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2019;10:739.

31. O'Shea PM, O'Donoghue D, Bashari W, et al. 11C-Metomidate PET/CT is a useful adjunct for lateralization of primary aldosteronism in routine clinical practice. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf). 2019;90(5):670-679.

 Abe T, Naruse M, Young WF Jr, et al. A Novel CYP11B2- specific imaging agent for detection of unilateral subtypes of primary aldosteronism. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2016;101(3):1008-1015.
 Heinze B, Fuss CT, Mulatero P, et al. Targeting CXCR4 (CXC chemokine receptor type 4) for molecular imaging of aldosteroneproducing adenoma. Hypertension. 2018;71(2):317-325.
 Meyer LS, Reincke M, Williams TA. Timeline of Advances in Genetics of Primary Aldosteronism.

Exp Suppl. 2019;111: 213-243

Center numbe r	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1 0	1 1	1 2	1 3	1 4	1 5	1 6
Confir med PA	6 8 2	5 7 7	5 7 0	4 7 6	4 3 5	4 3 3	3 3 7	3 0 6	2 4 1	2 0 5	1 9 0	1 6 6	7 6	5 8	3 9	2 7
AVS implem entatio n (%)	3 8. 3	5 8. 9	4 0. 0	8 2. 8	7 9. 5	6 0. 0	1 0 0	9 8. 7	9 5. 9	5 1. 7	6 5. 3	8 1. 9	6 5. 8	7 2. 4	6 6. 7	4 0. 7
Success ful AVS (%)	9 1. 2	9 1. 2	8 6. 0	9 0. 6	8 4. 1	9 5. 0	9 2. 9	9 8. 7	8 3. 1	8 2. 1	8 7. 9	7 8. 7	8 2. 0	8 8. 1	7 3. 1	9 0. 9
Unilate ral PA (LR > 4) (%)	3 4. 5	1 5. 8	3 9. 8	3 1. 9	8. 6	2 7. 1	4 4. 7	6 2. 4	5 3. 6	2 8. 7	5 5. 0	6 3. 6	5 8. 5	5 4. 1	4 2. 1	6 0. 0
Bilatera I PA (LR ≤ 4) (%)	6 5. 5	8 4. 2	6 0. 2	6 8. 1	9 1. 4	7 2. 9	5 5. 3	3 7. 6	4 6. 4	7 1. 3	4 5. 0	3 6. 4	4 1. 5	4 5. 9	5 7. 9	4 0. 0
ADX in success ful AVS (%)	3 0. 3	1 6. 1	3 7. 8	2 9. 1	1 2. 0	3 3. 2	4 3. 1	6 0. 7	6 1. 5	2 1. 8	4 5. 9	5 8. 9	5 1. 2	6 2. 2	2 6. 3	7 0. 0
ADX in unilate ral PA (%)	7 0. 7	9 3. 9	8 9. 7	8 6. 8	6 8. 0	8 6. 6	9 5. 7	9 7. 3	8 4. 5	5 6. 0	8 0. 0	8 8. 2	8 7. 5	1 0 0	6 2. 5	1 0 0
ADX in bilatera I PA (%)	9. 0	1. 5	3. 4	2. 1	6. 8	1 3. 3	0. 6	0	3 4. 8	8. 1	4. 1	7. 7	0	1 7. 6	0	2 5. 0

 Table 1. Comparison of PA Cases, AVS Results, and Adrenalectomy Rates Among Each Center

AVS implementation rate was the number of AVS procedures divided by the number of confirmed PA. Successful AVS rate was the number of successful AVS divided by AVS implementation. ADX in successful AVS was the number of ADX in successful AVS divided by the number of successful AVS. Unilateral PA rate was the number of unilateral PA divided by the number of successful AVS. Abbreviations: ADX, adrenalectomy; AVS, adrenal venous sampling; LR, lateralization ratio; and PA, primary aldosteronism.

Parameters	Japanese centers	European centers	Р
No. of centers	6	10	
Confirmed PA	2522	2296	
AVS implementation (%)	1437 (57.0)	1757 (76.5)	<0.001*
Successful AVS (%)	1282 (89.2)	1570 (89.4)	0.936
Unilateral PA (LR > 4) (%)	308 (24.0)	747 (47.6)	<0.001*
Bilateral PA (LR $\leq$ 4) (%)	974 (76.0)	823 (52.4)	<0.001*
ADX in successful AVS (%)	308 (24.0)	731 (46.6)	<0.001*
ADX in unilateral PA (%)	241 (78.2)	683 (91.4)	<0.001*
ADX in bilateral PA (%)	67 (6.9)	48 (5.8)	0.367

**Table 2.** Comparison of PA Cases, AVS Results, and Adrenalectomy Rates Between Japanese and

 European Centers

Data are shown as number (%).

*P* value comparing Japan and Europe, which are evaluated by  $\chi^2$  test.

AVS implementation rate was the number of AVS procedures divided by the number of confirmed PA. Successful AVS rate was the number of successful AVS divided by AVS implementation. ADX in successful AVS was the number of ADX in successful AVS divided by the number of successful AVS. Unilateral PA rate was the number of unilateral PA divided by the number of successful AVS. Abbreviations: ADX, adrenalectomy; AVS, adrenal venous sampling; LR, lateralization ratio; PA, primary aldosteronism.

Table 3. Historical Changes in AVS Re	esults in Japanese and	<b>European Centers</b>
---------------------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------

Parameters	Japanese centers			European centers		
	2006-2011	2012- 2018	Ρ	2006-2011	2012- 2018	P
Confirmed PA	542	1980		519	1738	
Performed AVS	356 (65.7)	1081 (54.6)	<0.001*	364 (70.1)	1367 (78.7)	<0.001*
Successful AVS	281 (78.9)	1001 (92.6)	<0.001*	288 (79.1)	1263 (92.4)	<0.001*
Unilateral PA (LR >4)	98 (34.9)	210 (21.0)	<0.001*	125 (43.4)	619 (49.0)	0.086
ADX in successful AVS	115 (40.9)	193 (19.3)	<0.001*	130 (45.1)	614 (48.6)	0.287
ADX in unilateral PA	81 (82.7)	160 (76.2)	0.204	113 (90.4)	570 (92.1)	0.531

Data are shown as number (%). *P* value comparing 2006-2011 and 2012-2018, which are evaluated by  $\chi^2$  test. The data of Center 1 were unavailable (missing). AVS implementation rate was the number of performed AVS procedures divided by confirmed PA. Successful AVS rate was the number of successful AVS procedures divided by AVS implementation. Unilateral PA rate was the number of unilateral PA divided by successful AVS procedures. Adrenalectomy rate was the number of adrenalectomy (ADX) divided by the number of successful AVS procedures.

Abbreviations: AVS, adrenal venous sampling; LR, lateralized ratio; PA, primary aldosteronism.

 Table 4. Reasons for Not Undergoing Adrenalectomy in Patients With Unilateral PA

Parameters	All	Japanese centers	European centers	Р
No. of centers	16	6	10	
Total number of patients	108	58	50	
Patient's decision only (%)	36 (33.3)	12 (20.7)	24 (48.0)	0.003*
Physician's decision only (%)	35 (32.4)	22 (37.9)	13 (26.0)	0.187
Joint decision (%)	37 (34.3)	24 (41.4)	13 (26.0)	0.093

Data are shown as number (%). *P* value comparing Japan and ENS@T, evaluated by  $\chi^2$  test.

**Table 5.** Factors Contributing to Physician's Decisions for Patients With Unilateral PA Not to Undergo

 Adrenalectomy

Parameters	All	Japanese centers	European centers
No. of centers	16	6	10
Total number of patients	108	58	50
Non–AVS-related factors			
Elderly (%)	6 (5.6)	2 (3.4)	4 (8.0)
Comorbidities (%)	14 (13.0)	7 (12.1)	7 (14.0)
Good blood pressure control (%)	32 (29.6)	14 (24.1)	18 (36.0)
Normokalemia (%)	23 (21.3)	17 (29.3)	6 (12.0)

Parameters	All	Japanese centers	European centers
Bilateral or no clear adrenal tumor on CT (%)	18 (16.7)	11 (19.0)	7 (14.0)
Discrepancy of lateralization between scintigraphy and CT findings (%)	3 (2.8)	1 (1.7)	2 (4.0)
AVS-related factors			
Discrepancy between AVS and CT findings (%)	9 (8.3)	8 (13.8)	1 (2.0)
Lack of CR suppression or low PAC on AVS (%)	27 (25.0)	22 (37.9)	5 (10.0)

Figure 1. Investigation and management of PA cases in the AVSTAT study.



**Figure 2.** AVS outcomes based on subtype diagnosis and adrenalectomy in all patients, at Japanese centers and at European centers. a.) unsuccessful AVS, b.) unilateral subtype with ADX, c.) unilateral subtype without ADX, d.) bilateral subtype with ADX, e.) bilateral subtype without ADX.

