Supplementary materials

Diagnostic accuracy of aldosterone and renin measurement by chemiluminescence for screening of patients with primary aldosteronism

Martina Tetti ¹, Jacopo Burrello ¹, Jessica Goi ¹, Mirko Parasiliti-Caprino ², Giulia Gioiello ³, Fabio Settanni³, Silvia Monticone ¹, Paolo Mulatero ^{1,*} and Giulio Mengozzi ³

1 - Division of Internal Medicine and Hypertension, Department of Medical Sciences, University of Torino, 10126, Torino, Italy.

2 - Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, Department of Medical Sciences, University of Torino, 10126 Torino, Italy.

3 - Clinical Biochemistry Laboratory, Department of Laboratory Medicine, University of Torino, 10126 Torino, Italy.

Contents

Table S1: Correlation analysis for DRC by CLIA vs PRA by RIA and aldosterone by CLIA vsRIA measurements

Table S2: Bland-Altman analysis: CLIA versus RIA measurement

Table S3: Diagnostic performance of ADRR vs ARR

Figure S1: Correlation sub-analyses for aldosterone and PRA/DRC

Figure S2: Bland-Altman sub-analyses for aldosterone and PRA/DRC

Figure S3: Correlation sub-analyses for PRA/DRC after normalization by Z-score

Table S1. Correlation analysis for DRC by CLIA *vs* PRA by RIA and aldosterone by CLIA *vs* RIA measurements. PRA and DRC are reported as international unit (SI) and after normalization by Z-score. The table reports sample size (N), Pearson's coefficient (R), and the equation of regression line. *Quadratic fit-line R value and equation line. Sub-analyses were performed for PRA lower and equal or higher than 1 ng x ml⁻¹ x h⁻¹, and for aldosterone (RIA) lower and equal or higher than 100 ng/L. *P*-value < 0.05 were considered significant. AC, aldosterone concentration; CLIA, chemiluminescence; DRC, direct renin concentration; RIA, radio-immuno assay.

Variable		Ν	R	<i>P</i> -value	Regression line				
All data									
DRC (CLIA) vs. PRA (RIA)	Z-score	018	+0.422	<0.001	Y = 0.42*X - 0.001				
	SI	918	+0.423	<0.001	Y = 6.97 * X + 25.88				
			+ 0.553*	<0.001	$Y = 6.9 + 16.6^*X - 0.07^*X^2$				
AC (CLIA) vs. AC (RIA) – ng/L		929	+ 0.945	<0.001	Y = 0.89 * X + 19.03				
PRA < 1 ng x ml ⁻¹ x h ⁻¹									
DRC (CLIA) vs. PRA (RIA)	Z-score	524	-0.018	0.677	Y = -0.58*X - 0.37				
	SI	324	-0.026	0.558	Y = -13.89*X + 20.33				
$\mathbf{PRA} \ge 1 \text{ ng x ml}^{-1} \text{ x h}^{-1}$									
DRC (CLIA) vs. PRA (RIA)	Z-score	30/	+0.668	<0.001	Y = 0.39 * X + 0.15				
	SI	394	+0.668	<0.001	Y = 6.35 * X + 47.29				
Aldosterone (RIA) < 100 ng/L									
AC (CLIA) vs. AC (RIA) – ng/L		410	+ 0.656	<0.001	Y = 0.96 * X + 22.01				
Aldosterone (RIA) \geq 100 ng/L									
AC (CLIA) vs. AC (RIA) – ng/L		519	+ 0.942	<0.001	Y = 0.91 * X + 9.68				

Table S2. Bland-Altman analysis for DRC by CLIA vs PRA by RIA and aldosterone by CLIA vs RIA measurements. PRA and DRC are reported after normalization by Z-score. The table reports sample size (N), mean value for the x-axis (Z-score for PRA/DRC, and mean of aldosterone measured by CLIA and RIA), mean difference (reported as absolute value, n, or as percentage, %). Sub-analyses were performed for PRA lower and equal or higher than 1 ng x ml⁻¹ x h⁻¹, for aldosterone (RIA) lower and equal or higher than 100 ng/L, and for quartiles of PRA/DRC and aldosterone.AC, aldosterone concentration; CLIA, chemiluminescence; DRC, direct renin concentration; N.A., not available; RIA, radio-immuno assay.

Variable	Ν	Mean (x-axis)	Mean Difference (n) (y-axis)	Mean Difference (%) (y-axis)					
All data									
DRC (CLIA) vs. PRA (RIA) – Z score	918	-0.22	N.A.	$+5.8 \pm 4.10$					
AC (CLIA) vs. AC (RIA) – ng/L	929	+ 157	+ 2.2 <u>+</u> 2.15	$+2.3 \pm 1.32$					
PRA < 1 ng/mL/min (mean Z-score < -0.19)									
DRC (CLIA) vs. PRA (RIA) – Z score	524	-0.26	N.A.	$+2.4 \pm 0.72$					
$PRA \ge 1 \text{ ng x ml}^{-1} \text{ x h}^{-1} \text{ (mean Z-score \ge -0.19)}$									
DRC (CLIA) vs. PRA (RIA) – Z score	394	+ 0.35	N.A.	+ 10.4 <u>±</u> 9.54					
PRA/DRC Quartile (mean Z-score)									
I Quartile (<-0.28)	202	-0.30	N.A.	$+ 4.7 \pm 0.31$					
II Quartile (-0.280.21)	232	-0.26	N.A.	$+ 3.0 \pm 0.99$					
III Quartile (-0.220.06)	250	-0.17	N.A.	$+0.2 \pm 3.85$					
IV Quartile (\geq -0.07)	234	+ 0.69	N.A.	+ 15.5 <u>+</u> 15.54					
Aldosterone < 100 ng/L (mean CLIA/RIA)									
AC (CLIA) vs. AC (RIA)	371	+ 65.2	+ 13.0 <u>±</u> 1.26	$+ 12.7 \pm 2.00$					
Aldosterone \geq 100 ng/L (mean CLIA/RIA)									
AC (CLIA) vs. AC (RIA)	558	+ 218.8	-4.9 ± 3.45	-4.5 ± 1.70					
Aldosterone Quartile (ng/L; mean CLIA/RIA)									
I Quartile (< 76.3)	232	+ 51.7	+ 11.1 ± 1.47	$+ 12.4 \pm 2.78$					
II Quartile (76.3 – 119.0)	232	+ 97.1	$+ 14.8 \pm 1.96$	$+ 10.5 \pm 2.04$					
III Quartile (120.0 – 185.0)	231	+ 149.0	$+ 10.4 \pm 3.05$	+ 1.7 ± 2.41					
IV Quartile (≥186.0)	234	+ 330.4	- 26.9 ± 7.30	- 15.1 <u>+</u> 2.89					

Table S3. Diagnostic performance of ADRR *vs* **ARR.** The table reports ROC curve analysis comparing ADRR calculated using aldosterone and DRC by CLIA and ARR calculated using aldosterone and PRA by RIA. Sample size (N; PA, UPA, and BiPA patients are reported for each analysis between square brackets), area under the curve (AUC), 95% confidence interval (95% CI) and asymptotical significance are shown together with cut-off corresponding to highest accuracy (according to Youden index*), highest sensitivity (#) and specificity (§). *P*-value < 0.05 were considered significant. ADRR, aldosterone-to-direct renin ratio; AC, aldosterone concentration; ARR, aldosterone-to-renin ratio; BiPA, bilateral primary aldosteronism; DRC, direct renin concentration; PA, primary aldosteronism; PRA, plasma renin activity; RIA, radio-immuno assay; UPA, unilateral primary aldosteronism.

	Variable	Ν	AUC	95% CI	<i>P</i> -value	Cut-off	Sens (%)	Spec (%)
PA Patients	ADRR (DRC – CLIA) (AC \ge 100 ng/L)	423 [87]	0.928	0.904-0.954	<0.001	9#	100.0	53.6
						20	93.3	81.1
						25*	91.1	85.3
						27	86.5	87.1
						436 [§]	3.4	100.0
	ARR (PRA – RIA) (AC $\ge 100 \text{ ng/L}$)	359 [89]	0.943	0.920-0.966	<0.001	200#	100.0	66.5
						300	93.1	78.7
						436*	89.7	87.1
						2600 [§]	13.8	100.0
UPA Patients	ADRR (DRC – CLIA) (AC \ge 100 ng/L)	423 [23]	0.884	0.837-0.932	<0.001	$11^{\#}$	100	55.3
						20	95.7	69.3
						27	87.0	75.0
						37*	86.9	78.8
						483 [§]	4.3	100.0
	ARR (PRA – RIA) (AC \ge 100 ng/L)	359 [23]	0.885	0.835-0.934	<0.001	200#	100.0	53.9
						300	95.7	65.2
						460*	95.7	74.1
						8000 [§]	8.7	100.0
BiPA Patients	ADRR (DRC – CLIA) (AC \ge 100 ng/L)	400 [33]	0.866	0.820-0.913	<0.001	8.5#	100.0	48.9
						17.5*	93.4	71.0
						20	87.9	74.3
						27	78.8	80.1
						436 [§]	6.1	99.7
	ARR (PRA – RIA) (AC $\ge 100 \text{ ng/L}$)	336 [33]	0.906	0.869-0.944	<0.001	230#	100.0	65.4
						300	93.9	71.6
						472*	87.9	81.2
						2645 [§]	15.2	99.7

Figure S1. Correlation sub-analyses for aldosterone and PRA/DRC assessed by Pearson's R test. Green dot: patients with EH; red dot: patients with PA; grey dot: patients without a defined diagnosis; dashed lines: 95% confidence interval; continuous line: regression curve. *P*-value < 0.05 was considered significant. (A) Aldosterone concentration by CLIA *vs* RIA in patients with an AC < 100 ng/L. (B) Aldosterone concentration by CLIA *vs* RIA in patients with an AC \geq 100 ng/L. (C) DRC *vs* PRA in patients with a PRA < 1 ng x ml⁻¹ x h⁻¹. (D) DRC *vs* PRA in patients with a PRA \geq 1 ng x ml⁻¹ x h⁻¹. AC, aldosterone concentration; CLIA, chemiluminescence; DRC, direct renin concentration; EH, essential hypertension; PA, primary aldosteronism; PRA, plasma renin activity; RIA, radio-immuno assay; Und, undefined diagnosis.



Figure S2. Bland-Altman sub-analyses for aldosterone and PRA/DRC. Green dot: patients with EH; red dot: patients with PA; grey dot: patients without a defined diagnosis. Continuous red line represents mean difference between measurement of DRC by CLIA and PRA by RIA; blue dashed lines represent 95% confidence interval. (A) On x-axis mean AC measurement by CLIA and RIA assays; on y-axis difference between AC measurement by CLIA and RIA assays. Aldosterone is reported in ng/L. (**B**) On *x*-axis mean measurement of DRC by CLIA and PRA by RIA assays; on *y*-axis difference between measurement of DRC by CLIA and PRA by RIA assays; on *y*-axis difference between measurement of DRC by CLIA and PRA by RIA assays; on *y*-axis difference between measurement of DRC by CLIA and PRA by RIA assays; on *y*-axis difference between measurement of DRC by CLIA and PRA by RIA assays; on *y*-axis difference between measurement of DRC by CLIA and PRA by RIA assays; DRC and PRA are reported after normalization by Z-score. AC, aldosterone concentration; CLIA, chemiluminescence; DRC, direct renin concentration; EH, essential hypertension; PA, primary aldosteronism; PRA, plasma renin activity; RIA, radio-immuno assay; Und, undefined diagnosis.



Figure S3. Correlation sub-analyses for PRA/DRC after normalization by Z-score assessed by Pearson's R test. Green dot: patients with EH; red dot: patients with PA; grey dot: patients without a defined diagnosis; dashed lines: 95% confidence interval; continuous line: regression curve. *P*value < 0.05 was considered significant. (A) DRC *vs* PRA after normalization by Z-score. (B) DRC *vs* PRA in patients with a PRA < 1 ng x ml⁻¹ x h⁻¹ after normalization by Z-score. (C) DRC *vs* PRA in patients with a PRA \ge 1 ng x ml⁻¹ x h⁻¹ after normalization by Z-score. CLIA, chemiluminescence; DRC, direct renin concentration; EH, essential hypertension; PA, primary

aldosteronism; PRA, plasma renin activity; RIA, radio-immuno assay; Und, undefined diagnosis.

