



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

A chimeric human/dog-DNA vaccine against CSPG4 induces immunity with therapeutic potential in comparative preclinical models of osteosarcoma

This is a pre print version of the following article:					
Original Citation:					
Availability:					
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1955957 since 2024-02-16T12:34:537					
Published version:					
DOI:10.1016/j.ymthe.2023.06.004					
Terms of use:					
Open Access					
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.					

(Article begins on next page)

Manuscript (including text, tables and captions)

±

A chimeric human/dog-DNA vaccine against CSPG4 1 induces immunity with therapeutic potential in comparative 2 preclinical models of osteosarcoma 3 4 Lidia Tarone¹, Davide Giacobino², Mariateresa Camerino², Lorella Maniscalco², Selina Iussich², 5 6 Lorenza Parisi², Giuseppe Giovannini³, Alfredo Dentini³, Elisabetta Bolli¹, Elena Quaglino¹, Irene Fiore Merighi¹, Emanuela Morello², Paolo Buracco², Federica Riccardo^{1#}, Federica Cavallo^{1#}. 7 8 9 ¹Department of Molecular Biotechnology and Health Sciences, University of Turin, Turin, Italy 10 ²Department of Veterinary Sciences, University of Turin, Turin, Italy 11 ³Tyrus Veterinary Clinic, Terni, Italy [#]These Authors contributed equally 12 13 14 Correspondence should be addressed to: F. C. (federica.cavallo@unito.it) 15 F. R. (federica.riccardo@unito.it) 16 University of Torino, Department of Molecular Biotechnology and Health Sciences, Molecular 17 Biotechnology Center "Guido Tarone", Via Nizza, 52, 10126, Torino, Italy 18 19 Phone: +39 011 670 6457 20 Fax: +39 011 236 6457 21

22 **Short title.** CSPG4 DNA vaccine for osteosarcoma treatment

23 Abstract

24 The high mortality rate of osteosarcoma (OSA) patients highlights the requirement of alternative strategies. The young age of patients, the rarity and aggressiveness of the disease limits opportunities 25 for the robust testing of novel therapies, suggesting the need for valuable preclinical systems. Having 26 previously shown the overexpression of the chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan (CSPG)4 in OSA, herein 27 28 the functional consequences of its downmodulation in human OSA cells were evaluated in vitro, with 29 a significant impairment of cell proliferation, migration and osteosphere generation. The potential of 30 a chimeric human/dog (HuDo)-CSPG4 DNA vaccine was explored in translational comparative OSA 31 models, including human xenograft mouse models and canine patients affected by spontaneous OSA. 32 The adoptive transfer of HuDo-CSPG4-vaccine-induced CD8⁺ T cells and sera in immunodeficient 33 human-OSA-bearing mice delayed tumor growth and metastasis development. HuDo-CSPG4 34 vaccination resulted safe and effective in inducing anti-CSPG4 immunity in OSA-affected dogs, 35 which displayed prolonged survival as compared to controls. Finally, HuDo-CSPG4 was also able to induce a cytotoxic response in a human surrogate setting in vitro. On the basis of these results and 36 37 the high predictive value of spontaneous OSA in dogs, this study paves the way for a possible 38 translation of this approach to humans.

39 Introduction

40 Osteosarcoma (OSA) is a rare pediatric cancer of mesenchymal origin that accounts for 56% of bone tumors in the childhood population.¹ In the case of localized disease, the surgical excision of the 41 42 primary tumor and the adoption of multi-agent neoadjuvant/adjuvant chemotherapy is curative in up to 70% of patients.² However, OSA has a high tendency to recur and metastasize, mainly to the lungs, 43 44 despite these treatments.² Patients that bear undetectable micrometastases at diagnosis or develop 45 metastatic lesions after standard treatment are generally characterized by chemotherapy resistance 46 and treatment failure. Advanced disease is usually incurable in these cases, and the only therapeutic option that remains is palliation, with 5-year survival rates dropping to 20-30%.³⁻⁵ 47

Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI) have revolutionized the management of cancer patients affected by highly immunogenic solid tumors. Unfortunately, OSA is a cold tumor for which ICI have limited clinical activity.^{4,6} Therefore, additional therapeutic options to be used alone or in combinatorial approaches, must be urgently identified.

52 Both the rarity of the disease and the young age of patients limit opportunities to robustly test new therapeutic approaches, and comparative oncology has recently gained significant prominence in the 53 OSA panorama also for this reason.^{7,8} In dogs, OSA represents 85-90% of all primary malignant bone 54 tumors and, interestingly, has an incidence that is 27-times higher than in humans.^{9,10} Human and 55 56 canine OSA display common molecular alterations and signaling pathway dysregulations, resulting 57 in similar, aggressive clinical behavior with superimposable responses to the same conventional therapies (i.e. surgery and chemotherapy).^{8,11} It can therefore be stated that canine OSA is now widely 58 recognized as a good and reliable model for testing innovative therapeutic approaches, with a strong 59 60 translational perspective.

Of the tumor-associated antigens (TAA) that are shared by human and canine OSA, we herein focus on the chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan (CSPG)4, a cell surface proteoglycan with a demonstrated oncogenic role in several tumor histotypes^{12,13} and that has recently been proposed as a potential clinically relevant target in OSA.^{14,15} Indeed, we have recently demonstrated that CSPG4 is highly 65 expressed in OSA cell lines and in their derived osteospheres, which are enriched in cancer stem cells (CSC), while it is not expressed in osteoblasts or in other normal tissues.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Moreover, we found 66 that CSPG4 overexpression has been related to worse prognosis in human OSA patients.¹⁵ These 67 features and its cell-surface localization make CSPG4 an ideal target for anti-cancer immunotherapy, 68 69 as CSPG4⁺ cancer cells could be simultaneously targeted by CSPG4-specific humoral and cellular immunity.^{18,19} Moreover, we have previously shown that CSPG4 overexpression in spontaneous 70 canine OSA is correlated with a poor survival,¹⁵ laying the basis for advancing investigations on the 71 potential of anti-CSPG4 immunotherapy in OSA-bearing dogs, to finally establish the rational for its 72 73 translation to humans.

74 Herein, the safety and immunogenicity data concerning the evaluation of a chimeric human/dog CSPG4-targeting DNA vaccine (HuDo-CSPG4)¹⁹ in human OSA xenograft mouse models and in a 75 pilot veterinary trial enrolling client-owned OSA-affected dogs are reported. To the best of our 76 knowledge, this is the first study that investigates the effects of CSPG4 targeting for the treatment of 77 78 OSA *in vivo*. The results confirm that CSPG4 is an appealing comparative immunotherapeutic target 79 and that anti-CSPG4 vaccination might cooperate with standard chemotherapeutic treatments to 80 improve clinical outcomes of OSA patients. These positive outcomes might prompt a further 81 evaluation of HuDo-CSPG4 vaccination as a possible novel option for human OSA treatment.

82 **Results**

CSPG4 silencing in human OSA cell lines results in significant losses in proliferative, migratory, and osteosphere-generation capacity

CSPG4 was silenced in human U2OS OSA cells by means of human (h)CSPG4-siRNA to directly 85 86 demonstrate its involvement in sustaining several tumor-related processes in OSA. Silencing 87 efficiency was monitored at three different time points (24h, 48h and 72h) using RT-PCR and 88 Western Blot analyses. Decreased levels of CSPG4 mRNA (Figure 1A) and protein expression 89 (Figure 1B) were confirmed from 24h post transfection and were still constant at 72h. U2OS cells 90 silenced for CSPG4 expression showed significantly decreased proliferative ability (Figure 1C) and 91 migratory potential (Figure 1D), compared to cells treated with the scramble siRNA. CSC-enriched 92 osteospheres were then generated (Figure 1E). CSPG4-silenced U2OS cells displayed a lower ability 93 to generate osteospheres than cells transfected with the scramble siRNA (Figure 1F, G). These results 94 were validated in another human OSA cell line, SaOS2 (Figure S1). Overall, these data highlight the 95 functional role that CSPG4 plays in the biological behavior of human OSA cells, indicating that 96 CSPG4 targeting may be a relevant option for the treatment of CSPG4⁺ OSA.

97

HuDo-CSPG4 DNA vaccination controls OSA growth and metastatic spread in human xenograft mouse models

100 The anti-tumor potential of HuDo-CSPG4 vaccination was explored in a xenotransplant mouse model of human OSA. U2OS OSA cells were injected subcutaneously into NOD/SCID/yc^{-/-} (NSG) mice 101 and, once the tumors had reached a volume of 0.5 mm³, CD8⁺ T cells that had been isolated from the 102 103 spleens of either empty vector- or HuDo-CSPG4-immunized HLA-A2.1 matched transgenic (Tg) 104 mice were adoptively transferred intravenously, and tumor growth was monitored (Figure 2A). OSA-105 bearing NSG mice that received CD8⁺ T cells from HuDo-CSPG4 vaccinated animals displayed a 106 significant slowdown in tumor growth compared to those that received CD8⁺ T cells from controls. 107 which were immunized with the empty pCDNA3.1 plasmid (Figure 2B; Figure S2A, B). Only one

108 of the 6 (17%) mice in the HuDo-CSPG4 vaccinated group showed delayed, but however progressive, 109 tumor growth; the stabilization of tumor growth was observed in 4 mice (67%), while the last mouse 110 displayed consistent tumor regression (Figure S2B). Interestingly, the residual lesion collected from 111 this mouse revealed no CSPG4 expression when tested by Western Blot analyses (HuDo#1), while 112 the other tumors collected from mice that underwent HuDo-CSPG4-CD8⁺ T cell transfer displayed 113 lower CSPG4 expression, despite their growth, than the growing tumors collected from control mice 114 (Figure S2C), suggesting that CSPG4-expressing tumor cells were being killed. An IHC analysis of 115 explanted tumors revealed that transferred T lymphocytes derived from HuDo-CSPG4-vaccinated 116 mice infiltrated the tumor mass at different rates, with higher lymphocyte infiltration evident in the 117 more responsive tumors (Figure 2C and Figure S2D). On the other hand, T cells derived from the 118 control group resided in the periphery rather than in the tumor core (Figure 2C and Figure S2D), 119 while higher levels of circulating, rather than tumor-infiltrating, CD8⁺ T cells were observed in mice 120 with larger tumors (Figure S2D, E). Overall, these results suggest that CD8⁺ T cells can hamper tumor growth when they infiltrate the mass, even achieving tumor regression when massive 121 122 infiltration into the tumor core occurs.

To discover the potential mechanism by which some tumors may grow despite anti-CSPG4-CD8⁺ T cell adoptive transfer, the expression of PD-L1 and B7-H3 checkpoint molecules was analyzed. While PD-L1 expression was quite stable in the OSA of the control group, its levels were inversely related to tumor volumes in the HuDo-CSPG4 group, with higher PD-L1 expression in smaller and highly infiltrated tumors. Conversely, B7-H3 expression was higher in larger and less-infiltrated tumors, compared to smaller and highly infiltrated ones (**Figure S2C**), suggesting that B7-H3 may possibly play a prominent role in hampering T cell infiltration and tumor regression.

The lungs explanted from mice bearing a tumor of similar volume were then analyzed. Animals that received CD8⁺ T cells from HuDo-CSPG4 vaccinated mice were found to be free from metastases, while lungs collected from the controls showed CSPG4⁺ pre-metastatic/metastatic pulmonary lesions (**Figure 2C, D** and **Figure S2D**). This evidence suggests the possibility of HuDo-CSPG4 induced 134 CD8⁺ T cells playing a role in hindering the primary tumors, and in halting the metastatic spreading
135 of OSA cells.

Subsequently, NSG mice carrying a U2OS-derived subcutaneous tumor of 0.5 mm³ in volume were 136 passively transferred with sera collected from either empty vector- or HuDo-CSPG4 immunized mice 137 138 (Figure 2E) to evaluate the anti-tumor potential of vaccine-induced antibodies. According to the literature.²⁰ flow cytometry analyses have revealed that Balb/c mice vaccinated with a HuDo-CSPG4 139 140 plasmid developed a higher antibody response against Hu-CSPG4 than C57/BL6 mice (Figure S2F); 141 Balb/c mice were therefore chosen for this experimental vaccination setting. Two weeks after the last 142 immunization, sera were collected and pooled together for intraperitoneal injection into tumor-143 bearing NSG mice (Figure 2E). Although no significant differences were observed, a trend of 144 reduced tumor growth was noted in mice that were treated with sera from HuDo-CSPG4-vaccinated, 145 rather than control mice (Figure 2F and Figure S2G, H). Lower CSPG4 expression was observed in 146 primary tumors after treatment with sera derived from HuDo-CSPG4 vaccinated mice, than in volume-matched control tumors (Figure S2I). Interestingly, mice that received sera from HuDo-147 148 CSPG4-vaccinated animals displayed metastasis-free lungs, while control mice displayed pulmonary 149 CSPG4-positive metastases (Figure 2G, H and Figure S2L). These results indicate that anti-CSPG4 150 antibodies contribute to a potential benefit against tumor growth and metastasis.

151

152 **Pilot veterinary study**

The enrolled population included 12 dogs affected by histological grade I-III CSPG4⁺ appendicular OSA. The main patient characteristics are listed in **Table 1**. All eligible dogs received standard of care treatment. Dogs free of metastasis at restaging (chest radiographs/abdominal ultrasound and/or total body CT scan) at the end of the chemotherapeutic protocol (4-6 cycles of adjuvant carboplatin) were enrolled in the study and underwent to the adjuvant vaccination protocol, with the written consent signed by the owners. Dogs were adjuvantly treated with the HuDo-CSPG4 vaccine (**Figure S3A**), as previously described^{19,21,22} and assessed for clinical signs of toxicity (i.e., temperature, body

- 160 weight, lethargy, lameness, etc.) and clinicopathologic parameters (i.e., complete blood count and/or
- 161 biochemistry panel and/or urinalysis, when clinically indicated). No toxicity, as defined by VCOG-
- 162 CTCAE V.2., was observed over the entire vaccination period.
- 163

164 HuDo-CSPG4 vaccination is immunogenic in canine OSA patients

165 Humoral response

The vaccine-induced antibody response was evaluated in the sera of vaccinated dogs, collected before the first (Pre-Vax) and after the fourth (Post-Vax) vaccination. Sera were tested by ELISA for the presence of IgG against three different domains (D1, D2, D3)¹⁹ of Do-CSPG4 (**Figure 3A; Table S1; Figure S4**). A spontaneous and detectable anti-CSPG4 antibody response was present in most CSPG4⁺ OSA patients even before vaccination, probably because of the chemotherapeutic treatment (**Figure S3B**).

172 An increase in IgG response following HuDo-CSPG4 vaccination against at least one of the Do-CSPG4 domains was observed in 5 out of 12 vaccinated dogs (41.66%) (Figure 3A, B; Table S1; 173 174 Figure S4). Of these 5 responding dogs, 2 (16.66% of the vaccinees) displayed an increased IgG 175 response against all the Do-CSPG4 domains in the Post-Vax as compared to Pre-Vax sera. In the 176 Post-Vax sera of the other 3 dogs (23.07%) increased IgG binding was detected only against the D2 177 domain (partial response, Figure 3A; Table S1; Figure S4). The sera from the 7 non-responding 178 dogs (Figure 3A; Table S1; Figure S4) were tested in a chaotropic ELISA assay against the Do-D2, 179 to evaluate their binding affinity. After treatment with the chaotropic agent at 5M, a higher percentage 180 (fold change>1) of IgG binding was detected in the Post-Vax than in the Pre-Vax sera of 4 out of 7 181 dogs (Figure 3C; Table S1; Figure S4A). Post-Vax sera were then tested for their ability to bind the 182 Do-CSPG4 as it is overexpressed in its natural conformation on canine OSA cells (Penny). In 6 out 183 of 12 (50%) vaccinated dogs, the Post-Vax sera showed a higher capacity to stain Penny than the 184 corresponding Pre-Vax sera (Figure 3D; Figure S5A). Moreover, the antibody level against Penny 185 was also persistent in sera collected at later time points (after the fifth and the sixth immunizations,

Figure S5A). A vaccine-induced anti-Hu-CSPG4 antibody response was detected in 9 out of 12 (75%) immunized dogs after the fourth vaccination (Figure S5B) and this modestly increased at sequential time points (Figure S5C), as demonstrated by the ability of sera to stain the human U2OS cell line, which naturally overexpresses CSPG4.

To explore the possible mechanisms of action of vaccine-induced antibodies against OSA, Pre-Vax and Post-Vax sera pools were generated and added to the Penny-cell culture medium. The Post-Vax pool displayed a higher ability to inhibit canine OSA-cell proliferation (**Figure 3E**) and migration (**Figure 3F**) *in vitro* than the Pre-Vax pool.

194

195 *Cellular response*

196 An immunophenotyping analysis of patient-derived PBMC collected before the first (Pre-Vax) and 197 after the fourth (Post-Vax) immunization was performed in 10 vaccinated dogs to evaluate any 198 possible variation in immune cells populations in the circulation. While no significant increase in the 199 number of B and CD4⁺ T cells was observed, a decrease in the number of myeloid-derived suppressor 200 cells (MDSC) and/or T regulatory cells (Treg) and/or an increase in CD8⁺ T cells was observed in 201 some of the analyzed dogs (Figure 4A; Table S1; Figure S4). In detail, 8 out of 10 (80%) dogs 202 displayed an increase in the number of CD8⁺ T cells after vaccination (Figure 4B; Table S1; Figure 203 S4). Three of these (30% of the analyzed dogs) also showed a decrease in MDSC and Treg percentage, 204 whereas another 3 (30%) only showed a decrease in MDSC, and 1 (10%) only showed a decrease in 205 Treg. Of the two dogs that did not display an increase in CD8⁺ T cell number, 1 (10%) displayed a 206 decrease in both MDSC and Treg cells, while the other (10%) only showed a reduction in the Treg population (Table S1; Figure S4). The release of IFN-γ was then analyzed in some patients-derived 207 208 PBMC, in which an increase of CD8⁺ T cells was observed, after *in vitro* re-stimulation with specific 209 Do-CSPG4 peptides (Figure 4C). In 3 out of 4 (75%) dogs an increase of IFN-y released in the 210 supernatant of re-stimulated Post-Vax PBMC as compared to Pre-Vax PBCM was observed.

211 Then, the anti-tumor effect potentially mediated by the vaccine-induced cellular response was

assessed by means of an *in vitro* cytotoxicity assay, for the 8 dogs in which an increase in CD8⁺ T
cells was observed. A canine OSA cell line that is naturally negative for CSPG4 expression (D22)
was stably transfected to overexpress the Do-CSPG4 (D22 Do-CSPG4; Figure S6A, B), which was
used as a target. The killing of CFSE-labeled D22 Do-CSPG4 cells was found to be significantly
higher after 48h of incubation with Post-Vax PBMC than with Pre-Vax ones (Figure 4D; Table S1;
Figure S4). The cytotoxic effect was mainly directed against CSPG4, as significantly fewer CSPG4negative D22 cells were killed (Figure 4E)).

219

220 Clinical outcome following HuDo-CSPG4 vaccination in canine OSA patients

The overall survival (OS) and disease-free interval (DFI) of HuDo-CSPG4-adjuvantly-immunized (Vax) dogs were evaluated for all patients. OS and DFI of a historical control (Ctrl) group of 13 dogs affected by CSPG4⁺ appendicular OSA, treated with conventional therapies alone, was retrospectively evaluated. Control dogs had undergone amputation and chemotherapy and were confirmed to be free of pulmonary metastasis at restaging, following the chemotherapy cycles. No statistical differences regarding age, weight, sex, CSPG4 expression and the histological grade of the disease were observed between the two groups.

228 The clinical information for each dog included in the study is reported in Figure 5A. A statistically 229 meaningful 7.8-month improvement in the median survival times (MST) was achieved in the 230 vaccinated group with respect to the control group (438 days versus 202 days, respectively, Figure 231 5B). In particular, a significantly longer OS was observed in the vaccinated dogs as compared to 232 historical Ctrl group one year after surgery (Figure 5B), with the OS rates being 66.66% and 30.76%, 233 respectively. Two years after surgery, the benefit of anti-CSPG4 vaccination became more modest, 234 with similar OS rates in the two groups (20% vs 15.38% for Vax and historical Ctrl dogs, 235 respectively). Moreover, the median time to disease progression increased of about 2.7 months in the 236 vaccinated arm as compared to the control arms (DFI of 242.5 versus 160 days, respectively, Figure 5C). At 6 months after surgery, only 25% of vaccinated dogs developed metastasis as compared to 237

238 75% of controls; the improvement of disease progression was observed also at 1 year from diagnosis,

with 61.53% versus 76.92% of vaccinated and historical control dogs who developed metastases,

240 respectively.

241 Overall, these results suggest that HuDo-CSPG4 vaccination may provide a clinical benefit in initially

242 prolonging OS and delaying metastasis development.

243

244 HuDo-CSPG4 is effective in a human surrogate setting

245 Pilot investigations in human surrogate models were carried out to assess the potential of translating 246 this chimeric vaccination strategy to a human setting. mDC generated from healthy donors were 247 transfected with either the chimeric HuDo-CSPG4 (HuDo-CSPG4-DC) or fully Hu-CSPG4 (Hu-248 CSPG4-DC) plasmid to evaluate and compare the potential of chimeric and homologous vaccines in 249 inducing an anti-CSPG4 response in a human system that simulates in vitro DNA vaccination (Figure 250 6A). mDC transfected with the empty plasmid (empty-DC) were used as a control. Autologous T cells that were pre-activated by HuDo-CSPG4-DC were more effective in killing the human HLA-251 252 A2-matched CSPG4⁺ U2OS OSA cell line than those pre-activated by Hu-CSPG4-DC and empty-DC in an *in-vitro* cytotoxicity assay (Figure 6B). These data suggest that the chimeric vaccine may 253 254 be able to break immune tolerance against the self CSPG4 antigen and induce a cytotoxic response 255 against CSPG4⁺ OSA cells.

256 **Discussion**

High rates of recurrence, metastasis and poor prognosis mean that OSA is still a critical issue in pediatric oncology. The standard of care for OSA patients has remained mostly unchanged since the 1970s, and the introduction of neoadjuvant/adjuvant aggressive chemotherapy, which improves the outcomes of patients with localized tumors, is barely effective in cases of recurrent or advanced disease.²³ Therefore, the development of novel therapies is still an unmet clinical need. Significant limitations in the advancement of OSA management include the rarity of the disease, the very young age of patients and the lack of defined common targetable oncogenic drivers. The considerable and

recognized similarity between human and canine OSA^{7-9,24} has driven interest in using pet dogs with 264 265 spontaneously occurring OSA to test new therapeutic options, including immune-based therapies, with the final aim of informing human clinical trials^{8,25}. Indeed, human and canine OSA share many 266 biological and clinical similarities, as they are both highly metastatic and resistant to conventional 267 268 treatments. Recent examples of relevant comparative oncology studies in the field include a Listeria 269 monocytogenes (Lm)-based vaccine that expresses a chimeric human epidermal growth factor 270 receptor (HER)2 fusion protein; positive results in a phase I veterinary clinical trial led to the issuing 271 of a conditional license by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) in 2017 for the adjuvant treatment of dogs with OSA.^{26,27} The promising results obtained in canine patients led to a 272 273 clinical trial in adult patients with HER2⁺ tumors (NCT02386501) and to a license for its development in the pediatric OSA setting.²⁸ However, the occurrence of adverse effects, due to Listeria infections 274 reported in some dogs and the potential hazard of the zoonotic spread of the disease in humans, led 275 to some concerns regarding the safety of this strategy.^{29,30} Nevertheless, this study has highlighted 276 277 the potential value of comparative studies for the human clinic.

278 Of the different immunotherapeutic strategies available, DNA plasmid-based vaccines own the 279 advantages of being stable, easy to manufacture and cost-efficient, as well as safe and effective in 280 stimulating both immune arms of a patient's own immune system, as demonstrated in several clinical trials.³¹ In this line, here the immunogenicity and consequent potential clinical benefit of an 281 282 immunization strategy against CSPG4 by using a chimeric human/dog (HuDo)-CSPG4 DNA vaccine have been exploited.¹⁹ The use of a hybrid DNA plasmid, coding for chimeric CSPG4 protein, was 283 284 guided by the need of i) ensuring the specificity of the immune response through the homologous 285 domain; ii) breaking immune tolerance against the self-molecule though the heterologous domain; and iii) inducing a high-affinity immune response in vaccinated patients.^{19,32} Xenogeneic vaccines 286 287 have, indeed, demonstrated to induce a low-affinity immune response against the self-TAA, that might be translated in a limited clinical efficacy. CSPG4 has come to prominence as an appealing 288 immunotherapeutic target in the melanoma setting, as demonstrated in human^{33,34} and veterinary 289

clinical trials.^{19,21,22} As a co-receptor/plasma membrane scaffold, CSPG4 can enhance, when overexpressed, the signal intensity and duration of multiple oncogenic pathways that sustain the protumoral attitude of malignant cells.^{12,13,35,36}

293 We have previously demonstrated that human and canine OSA cells overexpress CSPG4 and that this overexpression negatively impacts the prognoses of both human and canine OSA patients.¹⁵ In 294 addition, we have shown that CSPG4 is overexpressed on OSA-derived CSC,15 considered 295 responsible for chemoresistance and favoring relapse and metastasis in OSA.³⁷ To functionally 296 297 support these findings, the present study has induced the transient silencing of CSPG4 in human OSA 298 cell lines, resulting in an impairment of their malignant behavior. The anti-tumor impact of CSPG4 299 down-regulation was independent of the mutational status of OSA cells, with similar effects observed 300 in U2OS and SaOS2 cells (i.e., p53 and Rb wild-type vs. p53 null and Rb mutated, respectively).

301 Before the in-dog studies, a preclinical evaluation of HuDo-CSPG4 vaccine anti-tumor potential in 302 adoptive-transfer experiments in a human xenotransplant model was performed. Given its recognized 303 higher metastatic potential compared to SaOS2 cells, the U2OS cell line was selected for these 304 experiments as we aimed to investigate the ability of HuDo-CSPG4 to counteract primary OSA growth and lung dissemination.^{38,39} For these experiments, HLA-A2.1 Tg mice, expressing the human 305 HLA-A2.1 allele, and matching with the human U2OS OSA cells were exploited. Adoptively 306 307 transferred HuDo-CSPG4-induced CD8⁺ T cells significantly hampered human CSPG4⁺ OSA growth 308 in NSG mice. However, some mice showed slowed, but continuous, tumor growth. In an attempt to 309 better characterize CD8⁺ T-cell activity against established U2OS tumors, the levels of infiltrating 310 lymphocytes and the expression of PD-L1 and B7-H3 checkpoint molecules in tumors were analyzed, in view of their suggested role in mediating immune evasion in several cancer models.^{40,41} Firstly, in 311 312 a comparison with controls, only HuDo-CSPG4-induced CD8⁺ T cells were able to infiltrate the 313 primary tumor core, and this infiltration seemed to be related to better tumor rejection (Figure 2C). 314 Furthermore, higher PD-L1 expression was found in smaller, highly infiltrated tumors. This result fits with previous findings in human patients in which PD-L1 expression was associated with the 315

316 presence of multiple tumor-infiltrating immune cells, with tumor size being larger in PD-L1-negative cases than in PD-L1-positive ones, and negatively correlating to outcomes.^{6,42,43} Conversely, 317 318 increased B7-H3 expression correlated with larger, low-infiltrated tumors, suggesting that the up-319 regulation of B7-H3 by human OSA cells may be a mechanism of tumor escape, in accordance with recent results in human OSA biopsies.⁴⁴ In line with these findings, the use of Atezolizumab (anti-320 PD-L1 mAb) as a single agent^{6,45} was ineffective in pediatric OSA patients, while Enoblituzumab 321 322 (anti-B7-H3) is promising (NCT02982941). These results suggest that anti-CSPG4 vaccination may 323 be used in combination with B7-H3 immune checkpoint blockade to prime T cells against CSPG4, 324 while increasing their activity and infiltration into tumors.

To investigate the contribution of vaccine-induced antibodies, U2OS-bearing NSG mice were 325 326 adoptively transferred with sera derived from HuDo-CSPG4 immunized mice. Although no 327 significant differences were observed, a trend of tumor-growth reduction was noted (Figure 2F). It 328 must be mentioned that NSG mice lack NK cells, meaning that antibody-dependent cellular 329 cytotoxicity, one of the relevant mechanisms by which the anti-CSPG4 antibody is effective in cancer cell elimination,¹⁹ is defective in these mice. This may lead to an underestimation of the efficacy of 330 331 anti-CSPG4 antibodies in an immune-competent system. However, a significant impairment of 332 metastasis development was observed compared to controls, both in mice adoptively transferred with 333 immune sera and those with anti-CSPG4 T cells (Figure 2D, H). This result supports the previously 334 hypothesized direct contribution of both the cellular and antibody immune responses in hindering tumor progression.^{15,19} 335

These promising data, together with the need to test HuDo-CSPG4 vaccination in OSA immunocompetent models, prompted us to exploit dogs that spontaneously develop CSPG4⁺ appendicular OSA and that have completed the standard therapeutic protocol. In these dogs, HuDo-CSPG4 vaccination was effective in overcoming immune tolerance to the self (canine) CSPG4 molecule. A significant increase in the antibody level against Do-CSPG4 was observed following vaccination in the sera of about 42% of dogs, where an increased IgG titer mainly against the Do-D2 342 domain was detected (Figure 3A, B). A high spontaneous humoral response against a CSPG4-343 overexpressing tumor could be present and amplified following tumor surgical resection and chemotherapy.^{19,46} This could lead to an underestimation of the percentage of dogs that respond to 344 HuDo-CSPG4 vaccination in evaluations based on a higher anti-CSPG4 antibody response in post-345 346 compared to pre-immunization sera. Indeed, dogs that were classified as non-responders in this way 347 showed an increase in the avidity of antibodies against Do-D2 following vaccination (Figure 3C). 348 The D2 domain constitutes the core of the CSPG4 protein extracellular portion and is the putative mediator of ligand/ECM binding, promoting pro-tumor signals.³⁵ Interestingly, vaccine-induced 349 antibodies in the sera of immunized dogs exerted a mechanistic effect on the canine CSPG4-350 351 expressing OSA cells, Penny, in terms of the increased inhibition of both tumor cell proliferation and 352 migration compared to sera collected before vaccination (Figure 3D, E). These results hint at a 353 possible mechanism of action for vaccine-induced antibodies in vivo, and highlight the low 354 effectiveness of spontaneous low-avidity autoantibodies in counteracting growing tumors, which is in line with our recent findings in a veterinary trial in which HuDo-CSPG4 vaccination was applied 355 for the adjuvant treatment of melanoma-affected dogs.¹⁹ High CSPG4 expression in tumors has been 356 found to be directly correlated with a lower tumor infiltration and enhanced immune-suppression.⁴⁷ 357 MDSC are the predominant cells observed within the tumor microenvironment in both human⁴⁸ and 358 canine⁴⁹ OSA, while high Treg levels have been correlated with worse prognosis,⁵⁰ with both these 359 360 issues contributing to immune evasion. Although variation in the percentage of immune cells within circulation is not necessarily correlated with the development of an antigen-specific immune 361 362 response, the evaluation of peripheral blood immune cells dynamics might reflect immunemodulation and response to therapy during treatment.^{51,52} Here, decreased levels of MDSC and Treg 363 364 were observed in most samples collected after the HuDo-CSPG4 vaccination, compared to those 365 collected before the immunization cycles (Figure 4A). In most cases, an increase in CD8⁺T cells, that were able to selectively exert an anti-CSPG4 response was also observed (Figure 4B-E). These results 366 suggest that anti-CSPG4 vaccination can hamper the immune suppressive mechanisms that persist in 367

368 canine patients with minimal residual disease, together with the induction of CSPG4-specific cellular369 immunity.

370 Even though this study was designed to explore the immunogenicity of HuDo-CSPG4 vaccination in dogs affected by a poorly immunogenic tumor, such as OSA,⁵³ also the clinical data were collected, 371 372 highlighting the potential therapeutic benefit of the adjuvant HuDo-CSPG4 vaccination on survival. 373 We examined the 1-year survival probability as the first clinically relevant time point and dogs who 374 received the adjuvant HuDo-CSPG4 vaccine experienced a significant OS advantage, as compared 375 to the historical control group. Indeed, we observed a meaningful separation of the Kaplan-Meier 376 curves from about three to twenty months from the surgical removal of the primary tumor. Two years 377 after surgery, the effect of adjuvant HuDo-CSPG4 became more modest, but still significantly 378 improved with respect to standard therapies alone (Figure 5B). The OS rate at 2 years is in line with 379 that previously reported for OSA-bearing dogs receiving standard therapies and is a consequence of the development of metastatic disease. The Authors understand that, because of how this study has 380 381 been designed, an analysis of DFI has intrinsic limits; it may be affected by timing and, potentially, 382 by the different diagnostic imaging procedures adopted in the two groups. It must be underlined, 383 however, that historical controls were treated when HuDo-CSPG4 vaccination was still not intended for OSA treatment; therefore, follow-up was assessed following standard diagnostic procedures⁵⁴ and 384 385 in these cases three-view radiographs scan would have given to a delay and an underestimation of the 386 metastasis in the controls.

A separation of the Kaplan-Meier curves from about two to ten months starting from the surgery can be noted, and this corresponds to median DFI of 242 days for vaccinated dogs and 160 days for the controls (Figure 5C). We can therefore speculate that vaccine-induced antibodies and T cells may be able to impair the beginning of the metastatic cascade, mirroring observations in preclinical mouse models.

However, the real potential of vaccination when metastases have already been established is stillunexplored. Canine patients with distant metastases should be included in a future trial. To further

improve the clinical potential of adjuvant vaccination, the immunization protocol should start earlier,
before or between chemotherapeutic cycles, to achieve a better curative effect in the first therapeutic
window.

397 One of the limitations of this pilot veterinary study is the use of historical controls and the small 398 sample size. As the safety and the induction of an anti-CSPG4 immune response were the main 399 objectives of the present study, a larger randomized controlled study will be necessary to draw 400 conclusions regarding the effective clinical response to the adjuvant vaccine in OSA canine patients 401 (only envisaged here). However, it is also to be considered that veterinary medicine is still running 402 behind the human counterpart, with most of randomized trials conducted thanks to substantial funding. Especially in the veterinary field, many non-randomized studies have been published with 403 relevant informative results.^{25,55-57} Non-randomized studies are designed to evaluate the feasibility 404 405 and the worth to establish the rational for future randomized clinical trials. Indeed, pilot nonrandomized studies might play an important role in the preliminary planning of a proposed full-size 406 407 randomized clinical trial, clarifying potential issues that could be encountered.⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰

Finally, we have demonstrated that differentiated DC from healthy human donors that were electroporated with the chimeric HuDo-CSPG4 plasmid were better able to activate autologous T cells towards the killing of human CSPG4⁺ OSA cells than DC transfected with fully xenogeneic Hu-CSPG4²¹ or the empty vector (Figure 6B). These results may predict the ability of the chimeric vaccine to break immune tolerance against the (human) self-antigen and to also mount an effective *in vivo* cytotoxic response in a human setting.

414 Overall, this study demonstrates that CSPG4 could be a relevant comparative target for OSA 415 treatment through chimeric DNA vaccination. Considering the high translational value of 416 spontaneous canine tumors, these promising results will likely promote the translation of this novel 417 immunotherapeutic approach to a human setting, eventually improving the life expectancy of OSA 418 patients that cannot benefit from present therapies.

420 Material and Methods

421 Cell lines

422 Human OSA cell lines (U2OS and SaOS2) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; HTB-96, RRID:CVCL 0042, and HTB-85, RRID:CVCL 0548, respectively, Manassas, 423 424 Virginia, USA) and were cultured in RPMI (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, USA) supplemented 425 with 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Sigma Aldrich). Penny cells, derived from a biopsy of a primary grade III canine OSA tumor,^{15,61} were grown in ISCOVE Modified Dulbecco's Medium (Sigma-426 427 Aldrich) supplemented with 10% FBS. The canine OSA cell line D22 was obtained from ATCC 428 (ATCC; CRL-6250, RRID:CVCL 3458) and cultured in DMEM F12 (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented 429 with 20% FBS. The D22 cell line overexpressing dog CSPG4 (D22 Do-CSPG4) was generated by 430 transfecting D22 cells (ATCC; CRL-6250, RRID:CVCL 3458), which are naturally negative for 431 CSPG4 expression, with the canine CSPG4-coding plasmid (obtained from GenScript, Piscataway, 432 New Jersey, USA) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo-Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Stable transfected clones were maintained in 433 434 DMEM F12 supplemented with 20% FBS and 1.5 mg/ml Geneticin (G418, Gibco, Thermo-Fisher 435 Scientific). CSPG4 expression was confirmed by western blot analysis and flow cytometry using an anti-CSPG4 mAb (TP-49; generated and provided by Prof. S. Ferrone¹⁵). Total IgG binding was 436 evaluated using a PE-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch Labs, West Grove, 437 438 Pennsylvania, USA, Cat# 115-116-072, RRID:AB 2338627) secondary antibody, while samples were acquired using a BD FACSVerse (BD BioScience, Franklin lakes, New Jersey, USA) and 439 440 analyzed using FlowJO V.10.5.3 (RRID:SCR 008520). All cell lines were grown in medium that 441 was supplemented with penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich) and maintained at 37°C and 5% 442 carbon dioxide (CO₂) in a humidified incubator. The cell lines were regularly monitored for 443 mycoplasma contamination using a commercially available assay kit (Mycoalert from Lonza Inc., 444 Basel, Switzerland).

446 siRNA transfection

447 Small interfering RNA (siRNA), specific for human CSPG4 (hCSPG4-siRNA), and the negative 448 control siRNA (Scramble) were purchased from Ambion (Austin, Texas, USA). Human OSA cells 449 were transfected with siRNA using Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent (Thermo-Fisher 450 Scientific), in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were harvested 24h, 48h and 451 72h post-transfection to verify CSPG4 down-regulation by Real-Time PCR and Western Blot 452 analyses. For functional studies, cells were detached 24h post-transfection for plating in proliferation, 453 migration, osteosphere-formation and viability assays.

454

455 Real-Time (RT) PCR

456 Total RNA was isolated from human OSA cells and treated with either hCSPG4-siRNA or the 457 Scramble negative control using the TriZol reagent (Thermo-Fisher Scientific), according to the 458 manufacturer's instructions. Genomic DNA contamination was removed from the RNA samples 459 using the Ambion[®] DNA-free kit (Thermo-Fisher Scientific). RNA concentration and quality were 460 estimated using a NanoDROP 2000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo-Fisher Scientific) and an Agilent 461 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California, USA), respectively. DNase-treated 462 RNA (1 µg) was retrotranscribed with RETROscript reagents (Ambion), and RT-PCR was carried 463 out using gene-specific primers (QuantiTect Primer Assay), SYBR green and a 7900HT RT-PCR 464 system (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). Applied Biosystems SDS Software 465 Version 1.3.1 was used to analyze data. Quantitative normalization was performed on the expression 466 of the housekeeping glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) gene. Relative gene expression levels were calculated using the comparative Δ Ct method.⁶² 467

468

469 MTT cell-proliferation assay

470 3-(4,5-dimetiltiazol-2-il)-2,5-difeniltetrazol (MTT; Merck Millipore, Burlington, Massachusetts,
471 USA) was used to assess the proliferation of human OSA cells following siRNA transfection. Briefly,

24h post-transfection, the cells were seeded in triplicate in 96-well plates (5 x 10³ cells/100µl well)
in serum-free medium and allowed to adhere overnight. MTT solution (5 mg/ml) was added to each
well, in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions, at different time points (24h, 48h, and 72h).
After 4h incubation at 37°C, 100µl of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Sigma Aldrich) were added to
dissolve formazan crystals, and absorbance was measured using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent
assay (ELISA) plate reader (Bio-Rad, Hercules, California, USA) at a wavelength of 570 nm.
Canine OSA Penny cells (5 x 10³ cells/well) were starved for 4h in 96-well plates. Pooled canine sera

479 (1:50) from vaccinated dogs were then added and incubation was continued for 24, 48 and 72 h. Cell
480 viability was then evaluated using the MTT assay, as previously described.

481

482 Migration assay

Scramble- and hCSPG4-siRNA-transfected human OSA cells (2 x 10⁴ cells/100µl well) were both 483 added to the top chamber of a Transwell insert (8 µm, Corning, Corning, New York, USA) in serum-484 485 free medium, while the bottom chambers were filled with complete medium. Penny cells were pre-486 incubated with a pool of canine sera, collected before the first immunization (Pre-Vax) and after the 487 fourth (Post-Vax), for 1h at 37 °C before being transferred to the top chamber of a Transwell insert. Cells were cultured for 48h at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. The non-migrating cells in the upper 488 489 chamber were removed using a cotton swab and the migrated cells on the bottom side of the insert 490 were fixed with 2.5% Glutaraldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) and stained with 0.2% Crystal Violet (Sigma-491 Aldrich). The migrated cells of four randomly selected fields per well were imaged using an Olympus 492 BX41 microscope (Olympus Corp., Tokyo, Japan) and analyzed using Fiji (RRID:SCR 002285) and 493 ImageJ (RRID:SCR 003070; Rasband, W.S., ImageJ, US National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, 494 Marvland, USA) softwares.

495

496 Sphere-generation assay

Human osteospheres were generated according to the protocol previously described.^{15,63} Briefly, 497 498 human OSA cells, 24h post-transfection with Scramble- or hCSPG4-siRNA, were harvested and plated (6 x 10⁴ cells/ml) in ultra-low-attachment 75 cm² flasks (Sigma Aldrich) in serum-free DMEM 499 500 F12, supplemented with 0.4% bovine serum albumin (BSA), 20 ng/ml basic fibroblast growth factor 501 (bFGF), 20 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (EGF) and 5 µg/ml insulin, all from Sigma-Aldrich. Non-502 adherent spherical-cell clusters, named osteospheres, were collected after 5 days for further analysis. 503 Photographs of osteospheres were taken using a CCD-300-RC camera, and images were processed 504 using Fiji Software (RRID:SCR 002285; Rasband, W.S., ImageJ, US National Institutes of Health,) 505 and PowerPoint (Microsoft, Redmond, Washington, USA).

To assess osteospheres viability, MTT solution was added to each well and incubated overnight at 37°C, and formazan crystals were dissolved by adding 100µl isopropanol with HCl 0.04N to each well. Optical density was measured using an ELISA plate reader with a test wavelength of 570 nm and a reference wavelength of 655 nm. The difference between the 570 nm and 630 nm readings represents the output value.

511

512 Mice and DNA immunization

513 Female C57BL/6 HLA-A2.1 Transgenic (Tg) mice (RRID:IMSR JAX:003475; from The Jackson 514 Laboratory, Bar Harbor, Maine, USA) and Balb/c mice (RRID:IMSR APB:4790; from Charles River 515 Laboratory, Wilmington, Massachusetts, USA) were maintained at the Molecular Biotechnology 516 Center, University of Turin (Turin, Italy) and treated in accordance with the University's Ethical 517 Committee and European guidelines under Directive 2010/63. All animal studies were approved by the Italian Ministry of Health (Authorization N° 29/2021-PR). Six-weeks-old C57BL/6 HLA-A2.1 518 Tg and Balb/c mice were vaccinated with HuDo-CSPG4 plasmids, generated as previously 519 described.¹⁹ Briefly, the plasmid is a pcDNA3.1 (Cat# V79020; Invitrogen, Waltham, Massachusetts, 520 521 USA) backbone and includes the cDNA sequence covering the N-terminal portion of Hu- and the Cterminal portion of Do-CSPG4.¹⁹ Large-scale plasmid preparation was carried out using EndoFree 522

Plasmid Giga kits (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), according to Good Laboratory Practice. Mice were anesthetized and then immunized intramuscularly with either 50 μ g of the pcDNA3.1 empty vector or the HuDo-CSPG4 plasmid diluted in 20 μ L of saline solution. Immediately after injection, two 25ms transcutaneous low-voltage electric pulses (amplitude 150 V; interval 300 ms) were administered at the injection site via a multiple-needle electrode connected to a CliniporatorTM (IGEA, Carpi, Italy).⁶⁴ Mice were immunized twice, at a two-week interval, and were sacrificed two weeks after the second immunization to collect their spleens and blood for the adoptive-transfer experiment.

Female NOD/SCID/yc^{-/-} (NSG; RRID:BCBC 1262) mice (Charles River Laboratory) were used for 530 the adoptive transfer experiment. Mice were injected subcutaneously with 1×10^6 of the HLA-A2.01-531 532 positive U2OS cell line in the right flank, and tumor growth was monitored twice a week using a caliper. NSG mice were adoptively transferred, with either CD8⁺ T cells or sera derived from 533 previously vaccinated immunocompetent mice, when a tumor volume of 0.5 mm³ was reached. Mice 534 535 were assigned to treatment groups by simple random sampling and adoptively transferred with CD8⁺ T cells or sera derived from previously vaccinated immunocompetent mice. Tumor volume was 536 calculated using the following formula: $V = \frac{1}{2}$ (Length × Width²). 537

538

539 Adoptive transfer

540 CD8⁺ T cells were isolated, under sterile conditions, from the spleens of C57BL/6 HLA-A2.1 Tg 541 mice (RRID:IMSR JAX:003475; from The Jackson Laboratory) that were either vaccinated with the pcDNA3.1 empty vector or HuDo-CSPG4. Individual spleens were homogenized in RPMI medium 542 543 supplemented with 10% FBS. Cell suspensions were centrifuged for 10 min at 1100 rpm and room temperature (RT), and were resuspended in red blood cell lysis buffer for 10 min RT. The single-cell 544 545 splenocyte suspensions were pooled and CD8⁺ T cells were isolated using magnetic cell sorting via 546 negative selection (CD8a⁺ T Cell Isolation Kit, Teterow, Germany), according to manufacturer's 547 instructions. Three x 10⁶ CD8⁺ T cells were adoptively transferred via tail-vein injection into OSA-548 bearing NSG mice.

For the adoptive transfer of sera, blood was collected, via intracardiac sampling, from Balb/c mice two weeks after the second immunization. Blood was centrifuged (3000 g for 10 min at 4°C) and the serum was isolated. Sera were pooled and stored at -20°C until use. At the time of adoptive-serum transfer, the recipient OSA-bearing NSG mice received 150 μ l of serum intraperitoneally once a week for 5 weeks. The mice were sacrificed at the end of the experiment, and the tumors and lungs were explanted for further analysis.

555

556 Western Blot analysis

557 Human and murine OSA cell lines were incubated in RIPA Lysis buffer (150 mM sodium chloride, 558 1.0% NP-40, 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM Na₃VO₄, 1 mM NaF, and protease inhibitors, all from Sigma 559 Aldrich). All samples were placed on ice for 30 min and then centrifuged at 12,000 g for 5 min at 560 4°C, and the supernatant was collected. The total protein concentration was quantified using the 561 Pierce[™] BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo-Fisher Scientific). Equal amounts of protein (50 µg) were 562 separated by electrophoresis in a 4-20% Mini-Protean TGX precast gel (Bio-Rad). Western blotting for the detection of CSPG4,¹⁵ Stro-1 (diluted 1:250; Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# 39-8401, 563 RRID:AB 2533437) and CD133 (diluted 1:1000; Proteintech, Rosemont, Illinois, USA, Cat# 18470-564 1-AP, RRID:AB 2172859) was performed as previously described.¹⁵ β-Actin (diluted 1:500; Santa 565 Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, Texas, USA, Cat# sc-69879, RRID:AB 1119529) and GAPDH (diluted 566 567 1:500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Cat# sc-32233, RRID:AB 627679) were used as the loading control. Images were acquired using a BioRad ChemiDoc imaging system. 568

569 Canine-patient enrolment, eligibility criteria and clinical procedures

According to the study protocol approved by the Italian Ministry of Health (0026167-14/10/2019-DGSAF-MDS-P), canine patients that had been diagnosed with appendicular OSA at the Veterinary Teaching Hospital, University of Turin, Grugliasco (Italy) and at the Tyrus Veterinary Clinic, Terni (Italy), over the period from 2011 to 2021, were considered eligible; the study was performed in accordance with CONSORT guidelines. Inclusion criteria were: (1) confirmed histological grade I- 575 III, CSPG4 overexpressing appendicular OSA; (2) absence of detectable distant metastasis at 576 presentation and restaging after standard treatments; (3) local tumor control via limb amputation; (4) 577 completion of standard chemotherapy based on 4-6 cycles of adjuvant carboplatin i.v. administrations 578 at 3-week intervals (300 mg/m²); (5) absence of concurrent life-threatening diseases; and (6) written 579 informed consent signed by the owners.

Full pre-treatment tumor staging included a thorough clinical examination, laboratory tests (complete blood count, extensive biochemical profile and urinalysis), and cardiac function examination, clinically assessed by auscultation and electrocardiography. Either cytology or histology after fineneedle aspiration or the incisional biopsy of the primary tumor, fine-needle aspiration of any enlarged regional lymph node, and an examination for metastasis using total body Computed Tomography (CT) scan were performed.

586 Postoperative tumor samples were immunohistochemically tested for CSPG4 expression as 587 previously described. Only OSA with a CSPG4 expression score \geq 3 were included.^{15,19}

588 After completing the chemotherapy cycles, all dogs were subjected to tumor restaging using CT scans 589 to confirm absence of distant metastatic lesions. Twelve client-owned dogs were enrolled in the 590 vaccination protocol. Dogs were adjuvantly immunized with the HuDo-CSPG4 plasmid as previously described,¹⁹ starting from 2 weeks after the last chemotherapy cycle. Briefly, 500 µg of HuDo-CSPG4 591 592 plasmid in 200 µl of 0.03% NaCl solution were injected into the muscle of the healthy leg. Two 593 minutes after plasmid injection, 1 high voltage pulse (amplitude 450 V, length 50 us, frequency 3 HZ) 594 and, after 1 s of pause, 8 low-voltage pulses (amplitude 110 V, length 20 ms, pause 300 ms) were 595 applied to the injection site using the Cliniporator (Igea).

596 Immunization was repeated monthly, for a minimum of 4 and a maximum of 24 cycles. At each 597 vaccination cycle full clinical examinations and CT scans were performed, and, in addition, sera and 598 peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were collected, whenever possible. Blood workup 599 and/or urinalysis was performed if clinically indicated. 600 The primary objectives of this study were safety and immunogenicity. The Veterinary Cooperative Oncology Group Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events V.1.1²⁴ was used to classify the 601 adverse events. The secondary objective was the clinical monitoring of disease progression, with 602 603 overall survival and disease-free interval being considered. A historical group of CSPG4⁺ OSA-604 affected dogs (n = 13) fulfilling the inclusion criteria was retrospectively evaluated and used as 605 control. Historical controls received standard treatments only (limb-sparing and 4-6 chemotherapy 606 cycles). Following the completion of chemotherapy, dogs were monitored via three-view chest 607 radiographs and/or CT scans, and abdominal ultrasound if clinically indicated, every 3 months for 608 the first post-operative year, every 6 months in the second year post-surgery, and then once a year, as 609 indicated by standard guidelines.

610

611 Histological and immunohistochemical analyses

612 The tumors and lungs collected from NSG mice that were challenged with U2OS cells (ATCC; HTB-613 96, RRID:CVCL 0042), and tissue samples from the 25 cases of spontaneous canine appendicular 614 OSA, collected via routine care at the Veterinary Teaching Hospital and the Tyrus Veterinary Clinic, 615 were examined in this study. Sections from mouse primary tumors and lungs were stained with 616 hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) for histological tumor evaluation and the presence of metastatic 617 lesions. Sections from canine primary tumors were stained with H&E for histological tumor 618 evaluation. The histological diagnosis and grading of canine appendicular OSA were defined 619 according to Loukopoulos and Robinson (2007). Canine tumors were histologically classified as 620 grade I (low), II (intermediate) and III (high). Immunohistochemical (IHC) analyses were performed 621 on collected samples, which were fixed in 4% formalin and embedded in paraffin, and then sectioned at 4 µm, as described previously.^{15,19} IHC staining for CSPG4 was performed on paraffin sections 622 with a primary anti-CSPG4 antibody (MBS716314, diluted 1:40, MyBiosource, San Diego, 623 624 California, USA), and the CSPG4 semi-quantitative scoring system was adopted as previously described.^{15,19} A CSPG4 total score, ranging from 0 to 8, was assigned to each OSA sample in 625

accordance with the value assigned to the proportion of CSPG4-positively-stained tumor cells (score

from 0 to 5) and the average staining intensity of CSPG4-positive tumor cells (score from 0 to 3).

628 IHC staining for T lymphocytes in mouse tumors was performed using a primary anti-CD3 antibody

629 (SP7, diluted 1:500; Abcam, Cambridge, UK, Cat# ab16669, RRID:AB_443425).

630

631 ELISA assay

632 Sera from healthy dogs were obtained from the blood withdrawn for routine blood tests required for 633 anesthesia before a spaying procedure. The sera collected from vaccinated dogs before the first (Pre-634 Vax) and after the fourth, fifth and sixth (Post-Vax) immunizations were used for ELISA tests, which were performed as described previously.¹⁹ Briefly, thawed sera (dilution 1:100) were incubated in 635 636 96-well plates that were previously coated with different recombinant canine-CSPG4 domains (D1, 637 D2, D3; 50 ng/well; GenScript). An anti-CSPG4 IgG response requires activation of CSPG4-specific 638 helper T cells to promote isotype switching from IgM to IgG, therefore this is an indirect measurement of T cell immunity. An anti-CSPG4 IgG ratio > 1 (Pre-Vax/Post-Vax) was defined as an immune 639 640 response.

In order to test the avidity of the anti-CSPG4 antibodies, a chaotropic ELISA assay was performed by adding the chaotropic Sodium Thiocyanate agent (Sigma Aldrich) to incubated sera at 5M for 15 min at RT, as previously described.¹⁹ Antibody binding was detected using horseradish peroxidase– conjugated goat anti-dog-IgG (diluted 1:10000; Abcam Cat# ab112852; RRID:AB_2927648) on an ELISA Microplate Reader at a wavelength of 470 nm.

646 For IFN- γ release ELISA assay, 5x10⁵ PBMC collected before (PRE-Vax) and after (POST-Vax) 647 the IV immunization were plated in a 48-well plate in RPMI 10% FBS in the presence of either 648 Concavalin A (ConA; Cat# 11028-71-0, Sigma Aldrich), dog (Do)-CSPG4 peptides (6 pools of 15-649 mer, with 11 aa overlapping, covering the entire protein), or without any stimulus, and incubated for 650 72h. Canine IFN- γ release was measured using Canine-IFN- γ ELISA development kit (HRP, 3113-651 1H-6, Mabtech, Nacka Strand, Sweden).

652

653 Flow-cytometry analysis

For the flow cytometric analysis of the vaccine-induced antibodies, human U2OS (ATCC; HTB-96, RRID:CVCL_0042) and Penny cells were incubated with sera (1:40 dilution), collected from immunized dogs, for 30 min at 4°C in PBS (Sigma-Aldrich). Total IgG binding was evaluated as previously described.¹⁹ Samples were acquired using a BD FACSVerse (BD BioScience) and analyzed using FlowJO V.10.5.3 (RRID:SCR 008520).

659 The PBMC that were separated from vaccinated dogs before the first (Pre-Vax) and after the fourth (Post-Vax) immunization were used for cytofluorimetric analyses.¹⁹ For Treg cell detection, thawed 660 661 PBMC were incubated with dog IgG (Thermo-Fisher Scientific) to block the Fc receptor, and were 662 then stained with LIVE/DEAD Fixable Red Dye (Invitrogen) for 30 min at 4°C. After washing, cells 663 were stained with the following mAbs: rat anti-canine CD4-RPE-cy7 (YKIX302.9, MCA1038GA, 664 AbD Serotec, Kidlington, UK) and mouse anti-dog CD25-FITC (P4A10, 11-0250-042, Thermo-Fisher Scientific). Cells were then fixed/permeabilized and stained with anti-mouse FoxP3-APC 665 666 (FJK-16s, 14-5773-82, eBiosciences, San Diego, California, USA). Samples were acquired using a 667 BD FACSVerse (BD BioScience) and analyzed with FlowJO V.10.5.3 (RRID:SCR 008520).

668

669 Human specimens and lymphocyte activation

Human peripheral blood leukocytes (PBL) were isolated via Ficoll-Hypaque (Lonza) gradient centrifugation from the heparinized venous blood of healthy subjects (n = 8) that was provided by the local Blood Bank (Turin, Italy). To determine human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-A2 positivity, the PBL were incubated with anti-HLA-A2-PE mAb (clone BB7.2, BD Pharmingen, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, USA), and expression was evaluated by flow cytometry. Four healthy donors were found to be HLA-A2 positive and were used for further assays.

676 The generation of monocyte-derived dendritic cells (DC) was conducted as previously described.⁶⁵
677 Briefly, monocytes were isolated from PBL using CD14 MicroBeads (Miltenyi) and were

subsequently cultured with IL-4 and GM-CSF, both from PeproTech (Cranbury, New Jersey, USA), to generate immature DC. TNF- α (50 ng/mL) and IL-1 β (50 ng/mL), both from PeproTech, were added for the final 24 h to induce DC maturation. CD14-depleted PBL were stored in liquid nitrogen until use.

Mature DC (mDC) were resuspended in 100 μ L of electroporation buffer (DC transfection kit, Amaxa, Lonza) and mixed with either 5 μ g of HuDo-CSPG4, Hu-CSPG4 or empty pCDNA3.1 DNA plasmids. Electroporation was performed using a Nucleofector program U-002 (Amaxa, Lonza). After electroporation, cells were transferred into complete media and co-cultured with thawed lymphocytes for 7 days in RPMI-1640 medium with 10% heat-inactivated human serum AB (Lonza) at 2 x 10⁶/mL. Pre-activated lymphocytes were then collected for the cytotoxicity assays using U2OS as the target cells, as previously described.¹⁹

689

690 Cytotoxicity assay

691 Canine D22, canine D22 Do-CSPG4 and human U2OS target cells were labeled with 2µM of 692 carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE, Molecular Probes, Eugene, Oregon, USA). Canine 693 OSA cells were then cultured with thawed Pre-Vax and Post-Vax PBMC from dogs included in the 694 vaccination group, based on sample accessibility. Human OSA cells were incubated with pre-695 activated lymphocytes from healthy donors at an effector:target (E:T) ratio of either 50:1 or 25:1 for 696 48h at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. After staining with 1µg/mL 7-Amino-ActinomycinD (7-AAD, 697 RRID:AB 2869266; BD BioSciences), cells were acquired using a BD FACSVerse and analyzed 698 with FlowJO V.10.5.3 (RRID:SCR 008520). The percentage of killing was obtained by back-gating 699 the CFSE⁺ targets and measuring the percentage of dead 7-AAD⁺ cells, as previously described.¹⁹ 700 Spontaneous death was obtained by culturing target cells without PBMC, and maximal cell death was 701 obtained after treatment with 1% saponin. The percentage of specific lysis was calculated using the following formula: ((dead targets in sample (%) – spontaneously dead targets (%))/(dead target 702 703 maximum (%) – spontaneously dead targets (%)) \times 100.

704

705 Statistical analysis

706 Normal distribution was calculated through the Shapiro-Wilk test. Two-tailed paired and unpaired, 707 parametric and non-parametric. Student's t-tests and one-way ANOVA were used to perform the 708 statistical analyses for normally and not normally distributed data, respectively. The Kaplan-Meier 709 method was used to estimate the overall survival (OS) and disease-free interval (DFI) of dogs enrolled 710 in the study. The OS of dogs was calculated as the number of days from surgery to death. The DFI 711 was calculated as the number of days from the date of surgery to the date in which metastases were 712 first detected. Differences in survival times were analyzed using the Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon test 713 and the Log-Rank test. Statistical significance was evaluated using GraphPad V.9 software 714 (GraphPad; RRID:SCR 002798) and values of $p \le 0.05$ were considered significant.

715

716 Data Availability Statement: The data generated in this study are available upon request from the
 717 corresponding authors.

718

719 Acknowledgments: We thank Dale Lawson for his revision and editing of the manuscript. F. 720 Riccardo is supported by the Fondazione Umberto Veronesi. We thank Prof. Soldano Ferrone 721 (Department of Surgery, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA) for providing anti-722 CSPG4 monoclonal antibodies. This work was supported by the Fondazione Umberto Veronesi (334-723 2020; 4298-2021; F.R.); Fondazione Ricerca Molinette Onlus Torino, Italy (8893/5; F.C.); the Italian 724 Ministry of Health, as part of the "Progetti ordinari di Ricerca Finalizzata" (grant number RF2013-725 02359216; P.B., F.C.); Faculty Resources Grant, University of Turin (RILO 2020 and RILO 2022; 726 F.C.); and Proof of Concept Instrument Grant, Fondazione Compagnia di San Paolo (POC-727 INSTRUMENT D17H21000640007; F.C.).

728

729 Author Contributions

730 L Tarone: Conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, validation, 731 visualization, writing-original draft, writing-review & editing; D Giacobino: Data curation, formal 732 analysis, investigation, writing-review & editing; MT Camerino: Data curation, investigation; L 733 Maniscalco: Formal analysis, methodology, writing-review & editing; S Iussich: Formal analysis, 734 methodology, writing-review & editing; L Parisi: Data curation, formal analysis; G Giovannini: 735 Data curation, investigation; A Dentini: Data curation, investigation; E Bolli: Methodology; E 736 Quaglino: Methodology; IF Merighi: Methodology; E Morello: Conceptualization, data curation, 737 investigation, writing-review & editing; P Buracco: Conceptualization, data curation, funding 738 acquisition, investigation, project administration, resources, writing-review & editing; F Riccardo: 739 Conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, validation, 740 visualization, project administration, resources, writing-original draft, writing-review & editing; F Cavallo: Conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, funding acquisition, investigation, 741 742 methodology, validation, visualization, project administration, resources, writing-original draft, 743 writing-review & editing.

- 744
- 745 **Conflicts of Interest:** None of the authors have a conflict of interest to declare.
- 746

747 Keywords. Osteosarcoma, CSPG4, DNA Vaccination, Comparative Oncology, Metastasis

748 **References**

- Mirabello, L., Troisi, R.J., and Savage, S.A. (2009). Osteosarcoma incidence and survival rates from 1973 to 2004: data from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program. Cancer 115, 1531-1543. 10.1002/cncr.24121.
- Tirtei, E., Campello, A., Asaftei, S.D., Mareschi, K., Cereda, M., and Fagioli, F. (2021).
 Precision Medicine in Osteosarcoma: MATCH Trial and Beyond. Cells *10*.
 10.3390/cells10020281.
- Chen, Y., Liu, R., Wang, W., Wang, C., Zhang, N., Shao, X., He, Q., and Ying, M. (2021).
 Advances in targeted therapy for osteosarcoma based on molecular classification. Pharmacol Res *169*, 105684. 10.1016/j.phrs.2021.105684.
- Lu, Y., Zhang, J., Chen, Y., Kang, Y., Liao, Z., He, Y., and Zhang, C. (2022). Novel
 Immunotherapies for Osteosarcoma. Front Oncol *12*, 830546. 10.3389/fonc.2022.830546.
- Marko, T.A., Diessner, B.J., and Spector, L.G. (2016). Prevalence of Metastasis at
 Diagnosis of Osteosarcoma: An International Comparison. Pediatr Blood Cancer 63, 10061011. 10.1002/pbc.25963.
- 763 6. Zhang, Z., Tan, X., Jiang, Z., Wang, H., and Yuan, H. (2022). Immune checkpoint inhibitors
 764 in osteosarcoma: A hopeful and challenging future. Front Pharmacol *13*, 1031527.
 765 10.3389/fphar.2022.1031527.
- 766
 7. Paoloni, M.C., and Khanna, C. (2007). Comparative oncology today. Vet Clin North Am
 767
 768
 769
 769
 760
 760
 760
 761
 761
 761
 762
 763
 764
 764
 765
 765
 766
 766
 766
 766
 766
 766
 767
 766
 768
 768
 769
 769
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760
 760<
- Tarone, L., Mareschi, K., Tirtei, E., Giacobino, D., Camerino, M., Buracco, P., Morello, E.,
 Cavallo, F., and Riccardo, F. (2022). Improving Osteosarcoma Treatment: Comparative
 Oncology in Action. Life (Basel) *12*. 10.3390/life12122099.
- Simpson, S., Dunning, M.D., de Brot, S., Grau-Roma, L., Mongan, N.P., and Rutland, C.S.
 (2017). Comparative review of human and canine osteosarcoma: morphology,
 epidemiology, prognosis, treatment and genetics. Acta Vet Scand *59*, 71. 10.1186/s13028 017-0341-9.
- 77510.LeBlanc, A.K., and Mazcko, C.N. (2020). Improving human cancer therapy through the776evaluation of pet dogs. Nat Rev Cancer 20, 727-742. 10.1038/s41568-020-0297-3.
- Tawa, G.J., Braisted, J., Gerhold, D., Grewal, G., Mazcko, C., Breen, M., Sittampalam, G.,
 and LeBlanc, A.K. (2021). Transcriptomic profiling in canines and humans reveals cancer
 specific gene modules and biological mechanisms common to both species. PLoS Comput
 Biol *17*, e1009450. 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1009450.
- Rolih, V., Barutello, G., Iussich, S., De Maria, R., Quaglino, E., Buracco, P., Cavallo, F.,
 and Riccardo, F. (2017). CSPG4: a prototype oncoantigen for translational immunotherapy
 studies. J Transl Med *15*, 151. 10.1186/s12967-017-1250-4.
- Nicolosi, P.A., Dallatomasina, A., and Perris, R. (2015). Theranostic impact of NG2/CSPG4
 proteoglycan in cancer. Theranostics 5, 530-544. 10.7150/thno.10824.
- Beard, R.E., Zheng, Z., Lagisetty, K.H., Burns, W.R., Tran, E., Hewitt, S.M., Abate-Daga,
 D., Rosati, S.F., Fine, H.A., Ferrone, S., Rosenberg, S.A., et al. (2014). Multiple chimeric
 antigen receptors successfully target chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan 4 in several different
 cancer histologies and cancer stem cells. J Immunother Cancer 2, 25. 10.1186/2051-1426-225.
- Riccardo, F., Tarone, L., Iussich, S., Giacobino, D., Arigoni, M., Sammartano, F., Morello,
 E., Martano, M., Gattino, F., Maria, R., Ferrone, S., et al. (2019). Identification of CSPG4 as
 a promising target for translational combinatorial approaches in osteosarcoma. Ther Adv
 Med Oncol 11, 1758835919855491. 10.1177/1758835919855491.
- Beard, R.E., Abate-Daga, D., Rosati, S.F., Zheng, Z., Wunderlich, J.R., Rosenberg, S.A.,
 and Morgan, R.A. (2013). Gene expression profiling using nanostring digital RNA counting
 to identify potential target antigens for melanoma immunotherapy. Clin Cancer Res *19*,
 4941-4950. 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-1253.

- Ilieva, K.M., Cheung, A., Mele, S., Chiaruttini, G., Crescioli, S., Griffin, M., Nakamura, M.,
 Spicer, J.F., Tsoka, S., Lacy, K.E., Tutt, A.N.J., et al. (2017). Chondroitin Sulfate
 Proteoglycan 4 and Its Potential As an Antibody Immunotherapy Target across Different
 Tumor Types. Front Immunol *8*, 1911. 10.3389/fimmu.2017.01911.
- 18. Tarone, L., Buracco, P., Cavallo, F., and Riccardo, F. (2021). Canine Melanoma and
 Osteosarcoma Immunotherapy by Means of In Vivo DNA Electroporation. In
 Electroporation in Veterinary Oncology Practice: Electrochemotherapy and Gene
 Electrotransfer for Immunotherapy, J.A. Impellizeri, ed. (Cham: Springer International
 Publishing), pp. 277-304. 10.1007/978-3-030-80668-2 12.
- Riccardo, F., Tarone, L., Camerino, M., Giacobino, D., Iussich, S., Barutello, G., Arigoni,
 M., Conti, L., Bolli, E., Quaglino, E., Merighi, I.F., et al. (2022). Antigen mimicry as an
 effective strategy to induce CSPG4-targeted immunity in dogs with oral melanoma: a
 veterinary trial. J Immunother Cancer *10*. 10.1136/jitc-2021-004007.
- Johansson, S., Engstrom, G., Winberg, G., Hinkula, J., and Wahren, B. (2006). Responses of
 mice immunized with a DNA vaccine encoding carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA). Vaccine
 24, 4572-4575. 10.1016/j.vaccine.2005.08.044.
- Riccardo, F., Iussich, S., Maniscalco, L., Lorda Mayayo, S., La Rosa, G., Arigoni, M., De
 Maria, R., Gattino, F., Lanzardo, S., Lardone, E., Martano, M., et al. (2014). CSPG4specific immunity and survival prolongation in dogs with oral malignant melanoma
 immunized with human CSPG4 DNA. Clin Cancer Res 20, 3753-3762. 10.1158/10780432.CCR-13-3042.
- Piras, L.A., Riccardo, F., Iussich, S., Maniscalco, L., Gattino, F., Martano, M., Morello, E.,
 Lorda Mayayo, S., Rolih, V., Garavaglia, F., De Maria, R., et al. (2017). Prolongation of
 survival of dogs with oral malignant melanoma treated by en bloc surgical resection and
 adjuvant CSPG4-antigen electrovaccination. Vet Comp Oncol *15*, 996-1013.
 10.1111/vco.12239.
- Zhao, X., Wu, Q., Gong, X., Liu, J., and Ma, Y. (2021). Osteosarcoma: a review of current and future therapeutic approaches. Biomed Eng Online 20, 24. 10.1186/s12938-021-00860-0.
- LeBlanc, A.K., Atherton, M., Bentley, R.T., Boudreau, C.E., Burton, J.H., Curran, K.M.,
 Dow, S., Giuffrida, M.A., Kellihan, H.B., Mason, N.J., Oblak, M., et al. (2021). Veterinary
 Cooperative Oncology Group-Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (VCOGCTCAE v2) following investigational therapy in dogs and cats. Vet Comp Oncol *19*, 311352. 10.1111/vco.12677.
- Marconato, L., Melacarne, A., Aralla, M., Sabattini, S., Tiraboschi, L., Ferrari, V., Zeira, O.,
 Balboni, A., Faroni, E., Guerra, D., Pisoni, L., et al. (2022). A Target Animal Effectiveness
 Study on Adjuvant Peptide-Based Vaccination in Dogs with Non-Metastatic Appendicular
 Osteosarcoma Undergoing Amputation and Chemotherapy. Cancers (Basel) *14*.
 10.3390/cancers14051347.
- Mason, N.J., Gnanandarajah, J.S., Engiles, J.B., Gray, F., Laughlin, D., Gaurnier-Hausser,
 A., Wallecha, A., Huebner, M., and Paterson, Y. (2016). Immunotherapy with a HER2Targeting Listeria Induces HER2-Specific Immunity and Demonstrates Potential
 Therapeutic Effects in a Phase I Trial in Canine Osteosarcoma. Clin Cancer Res 22, 43804390. 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-16-0088.
- Flickinger, J.C., Jr., Rodeck, U., and Snook, A.E. (2018). Listeria monocytogenes as a
 Vector for Cancer Immunotherapy: Current Understanding and Progress. Vaccines (Basel)
 6. 10.3390/vaccines6030048.
- 846 28. Oladejo, M., Paterson, Y., and Wood, L.M. (2021). Clinical Experience and Recent
 847 Advances in the Development of Listeria-Based Tumor Immunotherapies. Front Immunol
 848 *12*, 642316. 10.3389/fimmu.2021.642316.

- 849 29. Musser, M.L., Berger, E.P., Tripp, C.D., Clifford, C.A., Bergman, P.J., and Johannes, C.M.
 (2021). Safety evaluation of the canine osteosarcoma vaccine, live Listeria vector. Vet
 851 Comp Oncol 19, 92-98. 10.1111/vco.12642.
- Musser, M.L., Berger, E.P., Parsons, C., Kathariou, S., and Johannes, C.M. (2019). Vaccine
 strain Listeria monocytogenes abscess in a dog: a case report. BMC Vet Res 15, 467.
 10.1186/s12917-019-2216-y.
- 855 31. Hobernik, D., and Bros, M. (2018). DNA Vaccines-How Far From Clinical Use? Int J Mol Sci 19. 10.3390/ijms19113605.
- 857 32. Riccardo, F., Bolli, E., Macagno, M., Arigoni, M., Cavallo, F., and Quaglino, E. (2017).
 858 Chimeric DNA Vaccines: An Effective Way to Overcome Immune Tolerance. Curr Top
 859 Microbiol Immunol 405, 99-122. 10.1007/82_2014_426.
- Mittelman, A., Chen, Z.J., Yang, H., Wong, G.Y., and Ferrone, S. (1992). Human high
 molecular weight melanoma-associated antigen (HMW-MAA) mimicry by mouse antiidiotypic monoclonal antibody MK2-23: induction of humoral anti-HMW-MAA immunity
 and prolongation of survival in patients with stage IV melanoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
 864 89, 466-470. 10.1073/pnas.89.2.466.
- Wang, X., Ko, E.C., Peng, L., Gillies, S.D., and Ferrone, S. (2005). Human high molecular
 weight melanoma-associated antigen mimicry by mouse anti-idiotypic monoclonal antibody
 MK2-23: enhancement of immunogenicity of anti-idiotypic monoclonal antibody MK2-23
 by fusion with interleukin 2. Cancer Res *65*, 6976-6983. 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-2328.
- Price, M.A., Colvin Wanshura, L.E., Yang, J., Carlson, J., Xiang, B., Li, G., Ferrone, S.,
 Dudek, A.Z., Turley, E.A., and McCarthy, J.B. (2011). CSPG4, a potential therapeutic
 target, facilitates malignant progression of melanoma. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res 24,
 1148-1157. 10.1111/j.1755-148X.2011.00929.x.
- Yang, J., Liao, Q., Price, M., Moriarity, B., Wolf, N., Felices, M., Miller, J.S., Geller, M.A.,
 Bendzick, L., Hopps, R., Starr, T.K., et al. (2022). Chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan 4, a
 targetable oncoantigen that promotes ovarian cancer growth, invasion, cisplatin resistance
 and spheroid formation. Transl Oncol *16*, 101318. 10.1016/j.tranon.2021.101318.
- 877 37. Menendez, S.T., Gallego, B., Murillo, D., Rodriguez, A., and Rodriguez, R. (2021). Cancer
 878 Stem Cells as a Source of Drug Resistance in Bone Sarcomas. J Clin Med 10.
 879 10.3390/jcm10122621.
- 38. Lauvrak, S.U., Munthe, E., Kresse, S.H., Stratford, E.W., Namlos, H.M., Meza-Zepeda,
 L.A., and Myklebost, O. (2013). Functional characterisation of osteosarcoma cell lines and
 identification of mRNAs and miRNAs associated with aggressive cancer phenotypes. Br J
 Cancer 109, 2228-2236. 10.1038/bjc.2013.549.
- 39. Gvozdenovic, A., Arlt, M.J., Campanile, C., Brennecke, P., Husmann, K., Li, Y., Born, W.,
 Muff, R., and Fuchs, B. (2013). CD44 enhances tumor formation and lung metastasis in
 experimental osteosarcoma and is an additional predictor for poor patient outcome. J Bone
 Miner Res 28, 838-847. 10.1002/jbmr.1817.
- Kai, D., Li, J., Liu, D., Hong, S., Qiao, Q., Sun, Q., Li, P., Lyu, N., Sun, T., Xie, S., Guo, L.,
 et al. (2020). Tumor-expressed B7-H3 mediates the inhibition of antitumor T-cell functions
 in ovarian cancer insensitive to PD-1 blockade therapy. Cell Mol Immunol *17*, 227-236.
 10.1038/s41423-019-0305-2.
- 41. Liu, Z., Yu, X., Xu, L., Li, Y., and Zeng, C. (2022). Current insight into the regulation of
 PD-L1 in cancer. Exp Hematol Oncol *11*, 44. 10.1186/s40164-022-00297-8.
- Koirala, P., Roth, M.E., Gill, J., Piperdi, S., Chinai, J.M., Geller, D.S., Hoang, B.H., Park,
 A., Fremed, M.A., Zang, X., and Gorlick, R. (2016). Immune infiltration and PD-L1
 expression in the tumor microenvironment are prognostic in osteosarcoma. Sci Rep *6*,
 30093. 10.1038/srep30093.
- 43. Yoshida, K., Okamoto, M., Sasaki, J., Kuroda, C., Ishida, H., Ueda, K., Okano, S., Ideta, H.,
 Kamanaka, T., Sobajima, A., Takizawa, T., et al. (2019). Clinical outcome of osteosarcoma

- 900and its correlation with programmed death-ligand 1 and T cell activation markers. Onco901Targets Ther 12, 2513-2518. 10.2147/OTT.S198421.
- 44. Wang, L., Zhang, Q., Chen, W., Shan, B., Ding, Y., Zhang, G., Cao, N., Liu, L., and Zhang,
 Y. (2013). B7-H3 is overexpressed in patients suffering osteosarcoma and associated with
 tumor aggressiveness and metastasis. PLoS One *8*, e70689. 10.1371/journal.pone.0070689.
- Geoerger, B., Karski, E.E., Zwaan, M., Casanova, M., Marshall, L.V., DuBois, S.G.,
 Kowgier, M., Tagen, M., Kwan, A., Das-Thakur, M., and Trippett, T.M. (2017). A phase
 I/II study of atezolizumab in pediatric and young adult patients with refractory/relapsed
 solid tumors (iMATRIX-Atezolizumab). Journal of Clinical Oncology *35*, 10524-10524.
 10.1200/JCO.2017.35.15 suppl.10524.
- 46. de Jonge, H., Iamele, L., Maggi, M., Pessino, G., and Scotti, C. (2021). Anti-Cancer AutoAntibodies: Roles, Applications and Open Issues. Cancers (Basel) 13.
 10.3390/cancers13040813.
- 47. Boudin, L., de Nonneville, A., Finetti, P., Mescam, L., Le Cesne, A., Italiano, A., Blay, J.Y.,
 Birnbaum, D., Mamessier, E., and Bertucci, F. (2022). CSPG4 expression in soft tissue
 sarcomas is associated with poor prognosis and low cytotoxic immune response. J Transl
 Med 20, 464. 10.1186/s12967-022-03679-y.
- 48. Zhu, T., Han, J., Yang, L., Cai, Z., Sun, W., Hua, Y., and Xu, J. (2022). Immune
 Microenvironment in Osteosarcoma: Components, Therapeutic Strategies and Clinical
 Applications. Front Immunol *13*, 907550. 10.3389/fimmu.2022.907550.
- 49. Goulart, M.R., Pluhar, G.E., and Ohlfest, J.R. (2012). Identification of myeloid derived
 suppressor cells in dogs with naturally occurring cancer. PLoS One 7, e33274.
 922 10.1371/journal.pone.0033274.
- 50. Matsuda, K., Miyoshi, H., Moritsubo, M., Hiraoka, K., Hamada, T., Shiba, N., and
 Ohshima, K. (2018). Clinicopathological and immunohistochemical analysis of autoimmune
 regulator expression in patients with osteosarcoma. Clin Exp Metastasis 35, 641-648.
 10.1007/s10585-018-9928-4.
- 51. Tada, H., Takahashi, H., Yamada, K., Masuda, K., Nagata, Y., Uchida, M., Shino, M., Ida,
 S., Mito, I., Matsuyama, T., Oyama, T., et al. (2022). Dynamic alterations of circulating T
 lymphocytes and the clinical response in patients with head and neck squamous cell
 carcinoma treated with nivolumab. Cancer Immunol Immunother *71*, 851-863.
 10.1007/s00262-021-03042-y.
- 52. Griffiths, J.I., Wallet, P., Pflieger, L.T., Stenehjem, D., Liu, X., Cosgrove, P.A., Leggett,
 N.A., McQuerry, J.A., Shrestha, G., Rossetti, M., Sunga, G., et al. (2020). Circulating
 immune cell phenotype dynamics reflect the strength of tumor-immune cell interactions in
 patients during immunotherapy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *117*, 16072-16082.
 10.1073/pnas.1918937117.
- 53. Wu, C.C., Beird, H.C., Andrew Livingston, J., Advani, S., Mitra, A., Cao, S., Reuben, A.,
 Ingram, D., Wang, W.L., Ju, Z., Hong Leung, C., et al. (2020). Immuno-genomic landscape
 of osteosarcoma. Nat Commun 11, 1008. 10.1038/s41467-020-14646-w.
- 94054.Poon, A.C., Matsuyama, A., and Mutsaers, A.J. (2020). Recent and current clinical trials in
canine appendicular osteosarcoma. Can Vet J *61*, 301-308.
- 55. Grosenbaugh, D.A., Leard, A.T., Bergman, P.J., Klein, M.K., Meleo, K., Susaneck, S.,
 Hess, P.R., Jankowski, M.K., Jones, P.D., Leibman, N.F., Johnson, M.H., et al. (2011).
 Safety and efficacy of a xenogenetic DNA vaccine encoding for human tyrosinase as
- adjunctive treatment for oral malignant melanoma in dogs following surgical excision of the
 primary tumor. Am J Vet Res *72*, 1631-1638. 10.2460/ajvr.72.12.1631.
- Bergman, P.J., Camps-Palau, M.A., McKnight, J.A., Leibman, N.F., Craft, D.M., Leung, C.,
 Liao, J., Riviere, I., Sadelain, M., Hohenhaus, A.E., Gregor, P., et al. (2006). Development
 of a xenogeneic DNA vaccine program for canine malignant melanoma at the Animal
- 950 Medical Center. Vaccine *24*, 4582-4585. 10.1016/j.vaccine.2005.08.027.

- 57. Manley, C.A., Leibman, N.F., Wolchok, J.D., Riviere, I.C., Bartido, S., Craft, D.M., and
 Bergman, P.J. (2011). Xenogeneic murine tyrosinase DNA vaccine for malignant melanoma of the digit of dogs. J Vet Intern Med 25, 94-99. 10.1111/j.1939-1676.2010.0627.x.
- 58. Eldridge, S.M., Lancaster, G.A., Campbell, M.J., Thabane, L., Hopewell, S., Coleman, C.L.,
 and Bond, C.M. (2016). Defining Feasibility and Pilot Studies in Preparation for
 Randomised Controlled Trials: Development of a Conceptual Framework. PLoS One 11,
- 957 e0150205. 10.1371/journal.pone.0150205.
- 958 59. Oyama, M.A., Ellenberg, S.S., and Shaw, P.A. (2017). Clinical Trials in Veterinary
 959 Medicine: A New Era Brings New Challenges. J Vet Intern Med *31*, 970-978.
 960 10.1111/jvim.14744.
- 60. Lancaster, G.A., and Thabane, L. (2019). Guidelines for reporting non-randomised pilot and
 feasibility studies. Pilot Feasibility Stud 5, 114. 10.1186/s40814-019-0499-1.
- Maniscalco, L., Iussich, S., Morello, E., Martano, M., Biolatti, B., Riondato, F., Della Salda,
 L., Romanucci, M., Malatesta, D., Bongiovanni, L., Tirrito, F., et al. (2013). PDGFs and
 PDGFRs in canine osteosarcoma: new targets for innovative therapeutic strategies in
 comparative oncology. Vet J *195*, 41-47. 10.1016/j.tvjl.2012.05.003.
- 967 62. Bookout, A.L., and Mangelsdorf, D.J. (2003). Quantitative real-time PCR protocol for
 968 analysis of nuclear receptor signaling pathways. Nucl Recept Signal *1*, e012.
 969 10.1621/nrs.01012.
- 63. Conti, L., Lanzardo, S., Arigoni, M., Antonazzo, R., Radaelli, E., Cantarella, D., Calogero,
 R.A., and Cavallo, F. (2013). The noninflammatory role of high mobility group box 1/Tolllike receptor 2 axis in the self-renewal of mammary cancer stem cells. FASEB J 27, 47314744. 10.1096/fj.13-230201.
- Riccardo, F., Barutello, G., Petito, A., Tarone, L., Conti, L., Arigoni, M., Musiu, C., Izzo,
 S., Volante, M., Longo, D.L., Merighi, I.F., et al. (2020). Immunization against ROS1 by
 DNA Electroporation Impairs K-Ras-Driven Lung Adenocarcinomas . Vaccines (Basel) 8.
 10.3390/vaccines8020166.
- 978 65. Occhipinti, S., Sponton, L., Rolla, S., Caorsi, C., Novarino, A., Donadio, M., Bustreo, S.,
 979 Satolli, M.A., Pecchioni, C., Marchini, C., Amici, A., et al. (2014). Chimeric rat/human
 980 HER2 efficiently circumvents HER2 tolerance in cancer patients. Clin Cancer Res 20, 2910981 2921. 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-2663.
- 982

#Dog	Breed	Age	Weight	Histological CSPG4		Chemotherapy	Vaccination
		(years)	(kg)	Grade	score	cycles	cycles
#1	Leonberger	6	50	III	8	5	7
#2	Corso	9	60	II	4	6	30
#3	Mixed Breed	9	32.5	II	7	4	10
#4	Leonberger	6	68.5	II	7	6	8
#5	Mixed Breed	13	33.6	III	8	4	12
#6	Rottweiler	8	44	Ι	3	5	9
#7	Mixed Breed	11	33	Ι	7	6	5
#8	Shnauzer	6	17	II	4	4	6
#9	Mixed Breed	11	22	Ι	6	4	4
#10	Mixed Breed	9	40	Ι	6	4	13
#11	Great dane	5.5	60	Ι	5	4	7
#12	Mixed Breed	10	6	Ι	3	4	10
#13	Mixed Breed	9	22	III	8	4	-
#14	Mixed Breed	9	32	Π	6	4	-
#15	German	7	30	II	5	5	-
	Sheperd						
#16	Czechoslovakian	3	29	II	5	4	-
	Wolfdog						
#17	Mixed Breed	7	55	II	4	5	-
#18	Mixed Breed	13	28	II	6	5	-
#19	Mixed Breed	8	40	Ι	5	6	-
#20	Rottweiler	10	45	III	5	5	-
#21	Mixed Breed	6	32	II	6	6	-
#22	Rottweiler	9	29	Ι	4	6	-

Table 1. Characteristics of OSA-bearing dogs included in the study in the period June 2011-November-2021

#23	Czechoslovakian	9	32	II	8	6	-
	Wolfdog						
#24	Mixed Breed	13	34	II	7	4	-
#25	Mixed Breed	n/a	8	Ι	7	6	-

986 List of Figure Captions

987 Figure 1. Functional consequences of CSPG4 silencing in human the U2OS OSA cell line. (A) Semi-quantitative RT-PCR of CSPG4 mRNA expression in U2OS OSA cells. Results are calculated 988 using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method and by considering the difference between the Ct of CSPG4 mRNA and the 989 990 matched Ct of the internal control gene GADPH mRNA, and by then comparing cells treated with 991 scrambled (Scrmbl) siRNA (black) with hCSPG4-siRNA (red). (B) Immunoblot of CSPG4 protein 992 expression in U2OS OSA cells, comparing lysates from cells treated with the scramble (Scrmbl) 993 siRNA with those from cells treated with hCSPG4-siRNA. β-actin was used as the protein-loading 994 control. CSPG4 mRNA (A) and protein (B) expression levels were evaluated 24, 48 and 72h after 995 siRNA transfection. (C) U2OS cell proliferation was assessed using the MTT assay, and the results 996 of three biological replicates are expressed as the percentage (mean value \pm SEM) of cell viability at 997 different time points, using the optical density, measured at 570 nm, of cells treated with scramble (Scrmbl) siRNA as 100%. Student's t test, **** p< 0.0001. (D) U2OS cell migratory ability was 998 999 assessed using the Transwell migration assay. OSA cells treated with either scramble (Scrmbl) or 1000 hCSPG4-siRNA were placed in the upper chamber and incubated for the indicated time points. Cells 1001 that migrated to the lower surface of the membrane were stained with crystal violet for microscopic 1002 observation. Representative images of one of at least three experiments, showing Scrmbl- and 1003 hCSPG4-siRNA-migrating cells at 24h, 48h and 72h (upper panels). The percentage (mean \pm SEM) of the area covered by migrated cells in five different fields are reported in the graphs (lower panels, 1004 1005 one of at least three experiments). Student's t test, **** p < 0.0001. (E) Western blot analysis of 1006 CD133 and Stro-1 CSC markers in U2OS epithelial cells (left panel) and derived osteospheres (right 1007 panel), comparing lysates from cells treated with scrambled (Scrmbl) siRNA with those treated with 1008 hCSPG4-siRNA. GAPDH was used as the protein-loading control. (F) Representative images 1009 showing osteospheres derived from scramble (Scrmbl)- and hCSPG4-siRNA-treated U2OS OSA 1010 cells. (G) Number of osteospheres derived from U2OS cells that were previously treated with 1011 scramble (Scrmbl)- or hCSPG4-siRNA, counted in five random fields/well. Results are expressed as

1012 the number of spheres/1000 plated cells and are representative of three replicate experiments. 1013 Student's t-test, * p < 0.0238.

1014 Figure 2. HuDo-CSPG4 vaccination delays human OSA tumor growth and impairs metastatic 1015 **dissemination** *in vivo*. (A, E) Experimental protocols of adoptive transfer of isolated CD8⁺ T cells 1016 (A) and passive transfer of sera (E) in OSA-bearing NSG mice. HLA-A2.1 Tg mice (A) and Balb/c 1017 mice (E) were immunized twice, at a two-week interval, with either the pcDNA3.1 empty vector or 1018 HuDo-CSPG4 coding plasmids. Two weeks after the last administration, spleens were harvested and 1019 CD8⁺ T cells were isolated (A) and sera were collected (E). CD8⁺ T cells and sera were injected into the tail vein of NSG mice previously challenged with 1 x 10⁶ U2OS OSA cell line that displayed a 1020 1021 palpable tumor. Illustrations were created using BioRender.com. (B, F) Tumor growth curves 1022 following the adoptive transfer of $CD8^+$ T cells (**B**) and passive transfer of sera (**F**) collected from 1023 pcDNA3.1-empty-vector- (black line) and HuDo-CSPG4- (blue line) vaccinated mice. Subcutaneous tumor volumes (mm³) were measured at the indicated time points. Student's t-test, * p<0.03; ** 1024 1025 p<0.0080. (C) IHC analysis of U2OS-derived tumors (upper and middle panels) and lungs (lower 1026 panels) explanted from animals transferred with CD8⁺ T cells collected from pcDNA3.1 and HuDo-1027 CSPG4 vaccinated mice. Representative IHC analysis of T lymphocytes infiltrating the tumors and 1028 CSPG4 expression in lung lesions. Images were acquired using a Leica DM750 microscope and an 1029 ICC50 camera. (D, H) Representative H&E images of metastases in the lungs explanted from NSG-1030 OSA-bearing mice treated with $CD8^+$ T cells (**D**) or sera (**H**) derived from empty pcDNA3.1- or 1031 HuDo-CSPG4-vaccinated animals. (G) Representative H&E (upper panels) and CSPG4 IHC (lower 1032 panels) analyses of lungs explanted from animals transferred with sera collected from pcDNA3.1 or 1033 HuDo-CSPG4 vaccinated mice. Images were acquired using a Leica DM750 microscope and an 1034 ICC50 camera.

Figure 3. HuDo-CSPG4 vaccination induces a specific anti-canine CSPG4 antibody response in vaccinated OSA-affected dogs. (A) Analysis, by means of ELISA assay, of the presence of IgG against the D1, D2 and D3 domains of the canine CSPG4 protein in the sera of dogs before the first

1038 immunization (Pre-Vax) and after the fourth (Post-Vax). Results express the optical density (O.D.) 1039 at the absorbance measured at 450 nm. The increase of the O.D. observed in the Post-Vax compared 1040 to Pre-Vax sera (response), is indicated by color codes. Green Boxes indicate a complete response 1041 (against all tested domains), vellow boxes indicate a partial response (against at least one domain) 1042 and red boxes indicate the absence of a response. (B) ELISA assay evaluating the presence of IgG 1043 against Do-D2 in the sera of dogs before the first immunization (Pre-Vax) and after the fourth (Post-1044 Vax), measured in dogs' sera showing both partial and no response (A). Results are expressed as the 1045 ratio (fold change) between the O.D. (measured at 450 nm) of Post-Vax and Pre-Vax sera. A fold 1046 change between the Post-Vax O.D./Pre-Vax O.D. > 1 is considered as a vaccine-induced IgG 1047 response. (C) Chaotropic ELISA assay measuring avidity against the Do-D2 domain of the vaccineinduced antibodies in the sera of non-responder (red boxes in panel A) dogs immunized with the 1048 1049 HuDo-CSPG4 vaccine. Results are expressed as the percentage (%) of antibodies (Ab) that remain 1050 bound after treatment with the chaotropic agent at a 5M concentration in Post-Vax sera, compared to 1051 Pre-Vax sera. (D) Flow cytometry analysis of canine CSPG4⁺ OSA cells (Penny) incubated with sera 1052 of dogs before immunization and after the fourth HuDo-CSPG4 vaccination. Total IgG binding was 1053 evaluated using a FITC-conjugated goat anti-dog IgG secondary antibody. Results are expressed as 1054 the ratio (fold change) between the percentages (%) of stained cells incubated with the Post-Vax/Pre-1055 Vax sera. (E) The proliferation of canine OSA CSPG4⁺ Penny cells was assessed using the MTT 1056 assay and the results (from two independent experiments, each including triplicate technical 1057 replicates) are expressed as optical density (O.D.; mean value \pm SEM) measured at 570 nm. Cells 1058 were incubated for 24-48-72h with pooled Pre-Vax or Post-Vax sera collected from canine OSA 1059 patients. Student's t-test, ** p < 0.0066. (F) Penny-cell migratory ability was assessed using the 1060 Transwell migration assay. OSA cells treated with pooled Pre-Vax or Post-Vax sera from canine OSA 1061 patients were placed in the upper chamber and incubated for the indicated time periods. Cells that 1062 migrated to the lower surface of the membrane were stained with crystal violet for microscopic 1063 observation. The number (mean \pm SEM) of migrated cells in five different fields, representative of 1064 four independent experiments, are reported in the graph. Student's t-test, ** p < 0.0039. 1065 Representative images showing migrating cells at 48h and 72h were included (right panels).

1066 Figure 4. HuDo-CSPG4 induces a specific anti-CSPG4 cellular immune response in vaccinated 1067 dogs. (A, B) Flow cytometry analysis of the frequencies of circulating MDSC, gated on 1068 CD11b⁺/CD14⁻MHCII⁻, T regulatory (Treg) cells, gated on CD4⁺/FoxP3⁺ CD25⁺ cells (A), and CD8⁺ 1069 T lymphocytes, gated on $CD5^+$ cells (**B**). Graphs show the percentage of MDSC, Treg and $CD8^+$ T 1070 cells circulating in canine patients before (Pre-Vax) and after the fourth HuDo-CSPG4 vaccination 1071 (Post-Vax). (C) ELISA quantification of IFN-y released by canine PBMC upon stimulation with Do-1072 CSPG4 peptides. (D, E) Cytotoxicity assays to quantify (D) the ability of PBMC to kill D22 Do-1073 CSPG4 cells before (Pre-Vax, light blue bar) and after HuDo-CSPG4 vaccination (Post-Vax, blue 1074 bar) and (E) the ability of Post-Vax PBMC to specifically kill CSPG4-expressing D22 canine OSA 1075 cells (blue bar) compared to the CSPG4-negative D22 counterpart (red bar). Results are shown as the 1076 % of lysis of CFSE-labeled tumor cells after 48h of incubation with Post-Vax and Pre-Vax PBMC. 1077 Student's t-test, **p = 0.0078; *p = 0.0156.

1078 Figure 5. HuDo-CSPG4-vaccinated dogs showed prolonged overall survival (OS) and disease 1079 free interval (DFI) compared to conventionally treated controls. (A) Swimmer plot graph of 1080 canine OSA patients included in the study. Historical controls are indicated as grey bars, and 1081 vaccinated dogs are indicated as blue bars. The survival (in months) and clinical information, 1082 including time of surgery, chemotherapy cycles and vaccination treatments are depicted in the graph. 1083 First clinical manifestation of recurrence/metastasis is reported in the graph. Arrows indicate that the 1084 patients are still alive at the time of publication. The 1-year and 2-year post-surgery time points have 1085 been indicated by a dotted vertical line. (B) Kaplan-Meier curve comparing the OS (in days) of HuDo-1086 CSPG4 vaccinated (blue line) and control (black line) dogs, updated to April 2023. OS rate 1087 (percentage) and median survival time (in days) are indicated in the graph. 1-year OS, Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon test, * p = 0.0195, Log-rank test, * p = 0.0346; 2-year OS, Gehan-Breslow-1088 1089 Wilcoxon test, * p = 0.0450, Log-rank test, p = 0.1398. (C) Kaplan-Meier curve comparing the 1-

- 1090 year DFI (in days) of HuDo-CSPG4-vaccinated (blue line) and control (black line) dogs, updated to
- 1091 November 2022. Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon test, p = 0.2871, Log-rank test, p = 0.5459.

1092 Figure 6. HuDo-CSPG4-stimulated PBL from healthy subjects show potential anti-CSPG4

1093 cytotoxic activity. (A) Schematic representation of human surrogate assay protocol. Created with

- 1094 Biorender.com. (B) Cytotoxicity assay performed with healthy-donor PBMC (N = 4) recovered after
- 1095 7 days of co-culture with autologous mDC transfected with either the pcDNA3.1 empty vector, Hu-
- 1096 CSPG4 or HuDo-CSPG4 plasmids. Pre-activated PBMC were incubated for 48h at 37°C with CFSE-
- 1097 labeled CSPG4⁺ U2OS human OSA cells, at different effector:target (E:T) ratios. Results show the
- 1098 fold change between the percentage (+ SEM) of CFSE-labeled tumor cells lysed by HuDo- and Hu-
- 1099 CSPG4-pre-activated PBMC/pcDNA3.1-pre-activated PBMC. One-way ANOVA test, p = 0.0660.



Figure 1





Figure 3



Figure 4





Figure 6

Table S1. Induction of humoral (upper panel) and cellular (low panel) immune responses in
vaccinated dogs. The arrows indicate an increase or decrease in the percentage of circulating immune
cells (myeloid derived suppressor cells, MDSC; T regulatory cells, Treg; and CD8⁺ T cells). n/d is

- 4 specified when the analysis was not performed for the indicated sample.
- 5

Humoral response						
Patient	Do-D1 ELISA	Do-D2 ELISA	Do-D3 ELISA	Do-D2 Chaotropic ELISA	Binding to CSPG4+ canine OSA cells	Binding to CSPG4+ human OSA cells
#1	no	yes	no	n/d	yes	yes
#2	no	yes	yes	n/d	no	yes
#3	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes
#4	no	no	no	no	no	yes
#5	yes	yes	no	n/d	yes	no
#6	no	no	no	no	yes	yes
#7	yes	yes	yes	n/d	yes	yes
#8	no	no	no	yes	no	yes
#9	n/d	n/d	n/d	n/d	n/d	yes
#10	yes	yes	yes	n/d	no	no
#11	no	no	no	yes	no	yes
#12	no	no	no	n/d	no	no
Cellular r	esponse					
Patient	MDSC	Treg	CD8 ⁺ T cells	In vitro Cytotoxicity (POST-Vax)	In vitro Cytotoxicity (PRE-Vax vs	POST-Vax)
#1	1	\downarrow	\downarrow	n/d	n/d	
#2	\downarrow	\downarrow	1	yes	yes	
#3	\downarrow	↑	1	no	yes	
#4	\downarrow	\downarrow	↑	yes	no	
#5	\downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow	yes	n/d	
#6	\downarrow	↑	↑	yes	yes	
#7	\downarrow	↑	↑	yes	yes	

#8	n/d	n/d	n/d	n/d	n/d
#9	n/d	n/d	n/d	n/d	n/d
#10	1	\downarrow	1	yes	yes
#11	\downarrow	\downarrow	↑	yes	yes
#12	1	↑	↑	yes	yes





Figure S1. Functional consequences of CSPG4 silencing in human SaOS2 OSA cell lines. (A) Semi-quantitative RT-PCR of CSPG4 mRNA expression in SaOS2 OSA cells. Results are calculated using the $2^{(-\Delta\Delta Ct)}$ method by considering the difference between the Ct of CSPG4 mRNA and the matched Ct of the internal control gene GADPH mRNA, and then by comparing cells treated with either scrambled (Scrmbl) siRNA (black) or hCSPG4 siRNA (red). (B) Immunoblot of CSPG4-

14 protein expression in SaOS2 OSA cell lines, comparing lysates from cells treated with Scrmbl siRNA with those treated with hCSPG4-siRNA. β-actin was used as the protein-loading control. CSPG4 15 mRNA (A) and protein (B) expression were evaluated 24h, 48h and 72h post siRNA transfection. (C) 16 SaOS2 cell proliferation was assessed using the MTT assay and results of three biological replicates 17 are expressed as the percentage (mean value \pm SEM) of cell viability at different time points, 18 considering the optical density, measured at 570 nm, of cells treated with scramble (Scrmbl) siRNA 19 as 100%. Student's t test, **** p < 0.0001; * p = 0.0351. (D) SaOS2 cell migratory ability was 20 assessed using the Transwell migration assay. OSA cells treated with Scrmbl or hCSPG4 siRNA were 21 placed in the upper chamber and incubated for the indicated time points. Cells that migrated to the 22 lower surface of the membrane were stained with crystal violet for microscopic observation. 23 Representative images of one of at least three experiments, showing Scrmbl- and hCSPG4-siRNA-24 migrating cells at 24h, 48h and 72h (upper panels). The percentage (mean \pm SEM) of the area covered 25 by the migrated cells in five different fields are reported in the graphs (lower panels, one of at least 26 three experiments). Student's t test, **** p < 0.0001. (E) Western blot analysis of CD133 and Stro-27 1 CSC markers in SaOS2 epithelial cells (left panel) and derived osteospheres (right panel), 28 comparing lysates from cells treated with scrambled (Scrmbl) siRNA with those treated with 29 30 hCSPG4-siRNA. GAPDH was used as the protein-loading control. (F) Representative images 31 showing osteospheres derived from Scrmbl- and hCSPG4-siRNA-treated SaOS2 OSA cells. (G) Number of osteospheres derived from SaOS2 cells that were previously treated with Scrmbl- or 32 33 hCSPG4-siRNA, counted in five random fields/well. Results are expressed as number of spheres/1000 plated cells and are representative of three replicate experiments. Student's t test, ** p 34 35 < 0.0091.



37

Figure S2. Impairment of human OSA tumor growth may be related to CSPG4 downregulation

39 and high CD8⁺ infiltration. (A, B) Tumor growth of U2OS cells injected into NSG mice after

40 adoptive transfer with $CD8^+$ T cells derived from pcDNA3 (A, Empty vector, N = 6 mice) and HuDo-CSPG4 (**B**, N = 6 mice) vaccinated mice. Each line represents the growth of a single tumor. (**C**) 41 Immunoblot depicting CSPG4, PD-L1 and B7-H3 protein-expression levels in tumors explanted from 42 representative NSG mice following adoptive transfer with CD8⁺ T cells derived from pcDNA3.1 or 43 HuDo-CSPG4 immunized mice. β -actin was used as the loading control. (**D**) Table summarizing the 44 percentage of CD3⁺ T cells infiltrating the tumors and the CSPG4 score of expression in lung 45 46 metastases collected from NSG mice transferred with CD8⁺ T cells from control or HuDo-CSPG4 immunized mice, considering tumor volume. (E) Flow cytometry analysis, showing percentage (%) 47 of CD8⁺ T cells gated on CD45⁺CD3⁺ cells circulating in the blood of adoptively transferred NSG 48 mice on day 50 after the transfer. (F) Flow cytometry analysis of U2OS cells incubated with sera of 49 either C57/BL6 HLA-A2.1 Tg mice or Balb/c mice immunized with the HuDo-CSPG4 plasmid. Total 50 IgG binding was evaluated using a FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody. 51 Results are expressed as the percentage \pm SEM of CSPG4-positive cells. (G, H) Tumor growth of 52 U2OS cells injected into NSG mice and adoptively transferred with pooled sera derived from 53 pcDNA3.1 (F, Empty vector, N = 5 mice) and HuDo-CSPG4 (G, N = 4 mice) vaccinated mice. Each 54 line represents the growth of a single tumor. (I) Representative immunoblot showing CSPG4 protein-55 expression levels in matched-paired tumors explanted from representative NSG mice following 56 57 adoptive transfer with sera derived from pcDNA3.1 or HuDo-CSPG4 immunized mice. β-actin was used as the loading control. 58



59 60

Figure S3. Vaccination protocol for OSA-bearing canine patients included in the study. (A) 61 Schematic representation of the study design of adjuvant HuDo-CSPG4 immunization. Illustration 62 63 was created using BioRender.com. (B) Analysis, by means of ELISA assay, of the presence of spontaneous anti-CSPG4 IgG against the D2 of the canine CSPG4 protein in the sera of healthy dogs 64 or in the sera collected from CSPG4⁺ OSA canine patients after the chemotherapeutic protocol with 65 carboplatin and before the starting of the immunization protocol. Sera were analyzed in sequential 66 dilutions, from 1:100 to 1:5000, and results are expressed as optical density (O.D.) measured at 450 67 68 nm.



Figure S4. HuDo-CSPG4-vaccine-induced immune response. An immune-response score (between 0 - 100%) has been assigned to each vaccinated canine patient, with 0 indicating the absence of a response and 100 a complete response to the parameters analyzed for both humoral and cellular immunity. The heat map shows the "immune-score" ordered according to the overall survival of vaccinated dogs.



76

77 Figure S5. HuDo-CSPG4 boosting in dogs induces antibodies that bind the Do- and Hu-CSPG4 78 proteins. (A) Flow cytometry analysis of canine CSPG4⁺ Penny cells incubated with sera collected after the fourth, fifth and sixth HuDo-CSPG4 vaccinations (IV, V, VI Vax). (B, C) Flow cytometry 79 analysis of human CSPG4⁺ U2OS cells incubated with sera collected before vaccination (**B**) and after 80 the fourth (**B**), fifth and sixth (**C**) HuDo-CSPG4 vaccinations (IV, V, VI Vax). Total IgG binding was 81 evaluated using a FITC-conjugated goat anti-dog IgG secondary antibody. Results are expressed as 82 the percentage (%) of positive cells (A, C) and as the ratio (fold change) of the % of stained cells 83 incubated with the Post-Vax (IV)/Pre-Vax sera (B). 84



Figure S6. Generation of a canine OSA cell line that stably over-expresses Do-CSPG4. (A, B) 87 Canine CSPG4⁻ D22 OSA cells were stably transfected with the Do-CSPG4-coding plasmid and 88 resultant CSPG4 over-expression was confirmed by western blot (A) and flow cytometry analyses 89 90 (B). (A) For western blot assays, naturally CSPG4-over-expressing canine Penny cells were used as the positive control and β-actin was used as the protein loading control. (B) D22 (red) and D22 Do-91 CSPG4 (blue) cells were incubated with anti-CSPG4 mAb (TP-49). Total IgG binding was evaluated 92 using a PE-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody. Flow cytometry analysis was 93 performed using a FACS Verse and results were analyzed with FlowJo software. A representative 94 plot is shown and the percentages (%) of D22 Do-CSPG4 stained cells are indicated. 95

96

eTOC Synopsis

Human pre-clinical models and canine patients affected by spontaneous osteosarcoma have been exploited to demonstrate that anti-CSPG4 vaccination using a chimeric human/dog plasmid is safe and immunogenic, being able to counteract tumor progression. The herein observed results suggest a possible evaluation of this treatment in the human clinical setting.



Onested with EloRender.com