Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Paolo Dellabona, San Raffaele Scientific Institute (IRCCS), Italy

REVIEWED BY Karin Schilbach, University of Tübingen, Germany Maciej Zieliński, Medical University of Gdansk, Poland

*CORRESPONDENCE Massimo Massaia Massimo.massaia@unito.it

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to T Cell Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 16 February 2023 ACCEPTED 31 March 2023 PUBLISHED 18 April 2023

CITATION

Giannotta C, Autino F and Massaia M (2023) Vγ9Vδ2 T-cell immunotherapy in blood cancers: ready for prime time? *Front. Immunol.* 14:1167443. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1167443

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Giannotta, Autino and Massaia. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

$V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T-cell immunotherapy in blood cancers: ready for prime time?

Claudia Giannotta^{1†}, Federica Autino^{1†} and Massimo Massaia^{1,2*}

¹Laboratorio di Immunologia dei Tumori del Sangue (LITS), Centro Interdipartimentale di Biotecnologie Molecolari "Guido Tarone", Dipartimento di Biotecnologie Molecolari e Scienze per la Salute, Università Degli Studi di Torino, Torino, Italy, ²Struttura Complessa (SC) Ematologia, Azienda Ospedaliera (AO) S. Croce e Carle, Cuneo, Italy

In the last years, the tumor microenvironment (TME) has emerged as a promising target for therapeutic interventions in cancer. Cancer cells are highly dependent on the TME to growth and evade the immune system. Three major cell subpopulations are facing each other in the TME: cancer cells, immune suppressor cells, and immune effector cells. These interactions are influenced by the tumor stroma which is composed of extracellular matrix, bystander cells, cytokines, and soluble factors. The TME can be very different depending on the tissue where cancer arises as in solid tumors *vs* blood cancers. Several studies have shown correlations between the clinical outcome and specific patterns of TME immune cell infiltration. In the recent years, a growing body of evidence suggests that unconventional T cells like natural killer T (NKT) cells, mucosal-associated invariant T (MAIT) cells, and $\gamma\delta$ T cells are key players in the protumor or antitumor TME commitment in solid tumors and blood cancers. In this review, we will focus on $\gamma\delta$ T cells, especially $V\gamma$ 9V δ 2 T cells, to discuss their peculiarities, pros, and cons as potential targets of therapeutic interventions in blood cancers.

KEYWORDS

 $V\gamma9V\delta2~T$ cells, immunotherapy, adoptive cell transfer, unconventional T cells, blood cancers

Introduction

 $\gamma\delta$ T cells are equipped with a T-cell Receptor (TCR) composed of a γ -chain (TRG) and a δ -chain (TRD). The genes encoding TRG and TRD undergo somatic DNA recombination of variable (V), diversity (D, only in TRD) and joining (J) elements during $\gamma\delta$ T cell maturation in the thymus (1). $\gamma\delta$ TCR and $\alpha\beta$ TCR are structurally similar and associated with the same subunits of the CD3 complex which, however, are arranged differently and characterized by unique glycosylation patterns and other minor peculiarities (2, 3). One major difference are the antigens recognized by $\alpha\beta$ and $\gamma\delta$ T cells and the modality of antigen recognition which is not dependent on the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) in $\gamma\delta$ T cells (2, 4). This feature is particularly exciting from the perspective of using $\gamma\delta$ T cells as a source for adoptive cell transfer (ACT) or chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-T cells because MHCindependency reduces the risk of graft-versus-host disease (GvHD) and helps the development of "off-the shelf" cellular products (5).

In humans, $\gamma\delta$ T cells represent 1-5% of blood circulating cells (6). Their development begins early during gestation (5-7 weeks), initially in the liver, and after 8 weeks of gestation also in the thymus (7). Later on, $\gamma\delta$ T cells colonize predetermined mucosal and epithelial locations to contribute to tissue homeostasis and immune responses against pathogens (8).

Human $\gamma\delta$ T cells can be divided in three main subsets: V δ 1⁺ cells, $V\delta 2^+$ cells and $V\delta 3^+$ cells (2). $V\delta 2^+$ T cells are the predominant $\gamma\delta$ T-cell population in the PB of adult humans (9, 10). They are characterized by the expression of the semi-invariant V γ 9V δ 2 TCR made up of a public germline CDR3 γ sequence and a more diverse CDR3 δ sequence (11–14). V δ 1⁺ and V δ 3⁺ cells are commonly found in mucosal epithelial tissues, and in the liver, even if small amounts can also be detected in PB (2). In vitro, CD8⁺ V δ 1⁺ T cells which can recognize tumor-associate antigens in an MHCdependent manner have been generated from human cord blood hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells (HSPC) using the OP9-DL system (15). The importance of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the clearance of pathogens (8, 16, 17) and cancer immunosurveillance (18-20) is very well acknowledged. However, γδ T cells can also negatively affect the outcome of immune responses to pathogens and tumor cells depending on the tissue microenvironment that they have colonized, the cytokines and soluble factors they are exposed to, and the multifaceted interactions engaged with bystander cells and the extracellular matrix (21-23). This functional plasticity can lead to the acquisition of regulatory functions in the tumor microenvironment (TME) leading to immune suppression and protumor functions. Accumulation of CD39⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells has been reported in colorectal cancer (23), and interleukin (IL)-17 producing V δ 1⁺ T cells have been identified as major promoters of tumor progression and metastatization in humans (24–26). Regulatory $\gamma\delta$ T cells have also been reported in blood cancers and associated with poor overall survival (27, 28). Fewer data are available about V γ 9V δ 2 T cells and other V δ 2⁻ cells (29). Recently, we have reported that bone marrow (BM) V γ 9V δ 2 T cells in multiple myeloma (MM) patients are dysfunctional, but they do not exert suppressor functions and do not produce IL-17 (30), whereas Lo Presti et al. have reported IL-17 producing V γ 9V δ 2 T cells in the TME of patients with squamous cell carcinoma (31).

In this review, we will discuss the peculiarities and vulnerabilities of $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells to behave as antitumor immune effector cells, and the pros and cons to build autologous or allogenic immune-based interventions on these cells.

Activation and functional characteristics of $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells

 $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells can recognize supraphysiological concentrations of phosphoantigens (pAgs) produced by pathogens or eukaryotic cells via the mevalonate pathway (Mev) or Mev-independent pathways of isoprenoid biosynthesis (32). The Mev-independent pathways (MEP/ DOXP or Rohmer pathway) are restricted to eubacteria, cyanobacteria, plants, and apicomplexan protozoa (33). The prototype pAg generated in the Mev pathway is isopentenyl pyrophosphate (IPP). IPP is overproduced by stressed cells and cancer cells and promotes the selective activation of $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells (34). The mechanisms of pAgs recognition by Vγ9Vδ2 T cells are very different from the canonical MHC-antigen complex recognition by $\alpha\beta$ T cells and not yet fully resolved. Three immunoglobulin superfamily members, butyrophilin 3A1 (BTN3A1), butyrophilin 3A2 (BTN3A2), and butyrophilin 2A1 (BTN2A1) are involved in pAgs presentation and $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T-cell activation (8, 35–38). The intracellular 30.2 domain of BTN3A1 senses pAg accumulation in antigen presenting cells (APCs) or target cells (8, 36) and promotes an inside-out modification of the extracellular domains. Once modified, BTN3A1 is stabilized by BTN3A2 and binds to the V δ 2 and γ -chain TCR regions of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. At the same time, BTN2A1 provides a costimulatory signal via interactions with BTN3A1 and the germlineencoded regions of the $V\gamma 9$ chain on the opposite TCR side (37–39).

BTN3A1 and BTN2A1 are also expressed on the cell surface of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. This implies that V γ 9V δ 2 T cells can self-activate each other without the intervention of APCs or target cells if there are sufficient pAgs in the extracellular space that can be internalized by the ATP-binding cassette transporter A1 (ABCA1). Self-activation is associated with CD107a upregulation and increased interferon- γ (IFN γ) production, potentially leading to V γ 9V δ 2 T-cell fratricide (40, 41). This undesired side-effect can partially explain why V γ 9V δ 2 T-cell based immune interventions have fallen short of expectations in the clinical setting (41).

Aminobisphosphonates (NBP) like zoledronic acid (ZA), and alkylamines enhance the ability of APCs and cancer cells to activate $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells by increasing the intracellular production and extracellular release of IPP *via* inhibition of the farnesyl

Abbreviations: ACT, Adoptive Cell Transfer; ABCA1, ATP-binding cassette transporter A1; ADCC, Antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity; Allo-HCT, allogenic hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation; AML, Acute myeloid leukemia; APC, Antigen presenting cells; BCMA, B-cell Maturation Antigen; BiTe, Bispecific T-cell engagers antibodies; BM, Bone marrow; BMSC, BMderived stromal cells; Bregs, Regulatory B cells; BrHPP, Bromohydrin pyrophosphate; BTN, butyrophilin; CAR, Chimeric antigen receptor; CLL, Chronic lymphocytic leukemia; CM, Central memory cells; DCs, Dendritic cells; DNAM-1, DNA X accessory molecule 1; EC, Endothelial cells; EM, Effector memory; FL, Follicular lymphoma; Gr, Granzyme; GvHD, Graft-versus-host disease; GvT, Graft-versus-tumor; HSPC, stem/progenitor cells; HMB-PP, (E)-4-Hydroxy-3-methyl-but-2-enyl pyrophosphate; ICP/ICP-L, Immune checkpoint/ immune checkpoint-ligand; IDO1, Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1; IMiDs, immunomodulatory drugs; IL, Interleukin; IPP, Isopentenyl pyrophosphate; IFNγ, Interferon-γ; KAR, Killer activating receptors; KIR, killer activating receptors; mAbs, monoclonal antibodies; MAIT, mucosal-associated invariant T cells; MDSC, myeloid-derived suppressor cells; Mev, Mevalonate; MGUS, Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance; MHC, Major histocompatibility complex; MM, multiple myeloma; NBP, Aminobisphosphonates; NKG2D, Natural killer 2D receptor; NKT, Natural killer T cells; pAgs, phosphoantigens; PB, Peripheral Blood; PDAC, Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; Pr, Perforin; TAA, Tumor-associated antigens; TCR, Tcell Receptor; TIL, Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes; TME, Tumor microenvironment; TNFa, Tumor necrosis factor-a; Tregs, Regulatory T cells; ZA, Zoledronic acid; 2M3B1PP, 2-methyl-3-butenyl-1-pyrophosphate.

diphosphate synthase in the Mev pathway (42-45). $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ cells can also be activated by natural killer (NK) receptors like the natural killer 2D receptor (NKG2D) and the DNA X accessory molecule 1 (DNAM-1). The former interacts with MICA, MICB, and ULBP1-4, while the latter interacts with Nectin-2 and PVR. These interactions contribute to the induction of cytotoxic responses and cytokine production (25). $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells can also express NKp44 which is involved in cytotoxicity against myeloma cells lacking NKG2D ligands (46, 47). Other NK receptors, such as NKp30, NKp40 and NKp46 can also contribute to the antitumor functions of V δ 1 and V δ 2 T cells (32). Upon activation, V γ 9V δ 2 T cells can exert a wide range of functions typical of both adaptive and natural immunity, including cytolytic functions, chemokines and cytokines production. In addition, they can behave as cellular adjuvants to support antigen-specific immune responses mediated by B cells and MHC-restricted $\alpha\beta$ T cells (2, 45, 48–52).

 $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells can also exert regulatory functions to terminate immune reactions and prevent autoimmunity *via* IL-10 production and the immune checkpoint (ICP) - immune checkpoint ligands (ICP-L) axes (43, 53).

Based on their maturation status, four distinct subsets of $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells have been identified after pAgs stimulation (43). Naïve CD45RA+CD27+ Vy9V82 T cells produce low amount of IFNy, and they can differentiate into CD45RA CD27⁺ central memory (CM) Vy9V82 T cells with higher proliferation capacity after pAgs stimulation. CM cells can further differentiate into CD45RA⁻CD27⁻ effector memory (EM) cells that produce high levels of IFN γ and tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF α) (54). EM cells or, alternatively, CM cells in the presence of IL-15, can differentiate into late effector memory CD45RA⁺CD27⁻ T cells (TEMRA) characterized by high cytotoxic activity, low proliferative capacity, and modest IFNy production (43, 54). TEMRA cells can be further divided in two subsets based on CD45RA expression levels: CD27-CD45RA^{hi} and CD27⁻CD45RA^{int} cells. The former are reminiscent of functionally exhausted cells, while the latter are the "classical" TEMRA cells mentioned above (55). The maturation process of $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells is highly influenced by the microenvironment in which they are resident and the stimuli they are exposed to. In the presence of tumor cells, the maturation pathway can be redirected to immune senescence and/or functional exhaustion which are tumor permissive conditions (30).

$V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells in cancer: A delicate balance between antitumor and protumor functions

The antitumor activity of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells encompasses: 1) direct killing of cancer cells through granzyme B (GzmB) and perforin (Prf) secretion; 2) antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) dependent on CD16 expression; 3) Fas/ FasL-mediated cell death; 4) production of cytokines like IFN γ and TNF α ; 5) interactions with other TME-resident immune cells (25, 48, 56, 57). V γ 9V δ 2 T cells, can cross-present tumor antigens to $\alpha\beta$ CD8⁺ T cells to boost antigen-specific IFN γ production and increase antitumor T-cell response (58). $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells can also upregulate MHC and co-stimulatory molecules after in vitro IPP stimulation. This APCs-like phenotype allows $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells to prime CD4⁺ T cells, shifting their polarization towards a Th1 antitumor profile (49). We and others have shown that $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells can deliver co-stimulatory signals to dendritic cells (DCs) after in vitro ZA stimulation that increase the frequency of antigen-specific CD8⁺ $\alpha\beta$ T cells and concurrently restrain the expansion of IL-2-dependent regulatory T cells (Tregs). Altogether, these data indicate that $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells can behave as cellular adjuvants to rally a wide range of immune reactions against cancer cells (52, 59, 60) mediated by innate and adaptive immune effector cells, including B cells, neutrophils, and NK cells (57). $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells can provide B-cell help to promote antibody production and immunoglobulin class switching (57, 61). IL-21 in combination with (E)-4-Hydroxy-3-methyl-but-2-enyl pyrophosphate (HMB-PP) can induce a T_{FH} -like V γ 9V δ 2 T-cell differentiation leading to increased IgM and IgG production by B cells (61). Soluble factors released by activated Vy9V82 T cells trigger neutrophil migration, phagocytic ability and α-defensin release which can exert antitumor activity in the TME (62). IPPactivated $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells upregulate CD137L that can engage CD137 on the surface of NK cells and enhance the cytotoxic antitumor activity against squamous cell carcinoma of head and neck and lymphoma cell lines (63).

Despite this wide array of direct and indirect antitumor properties, Vy9V82 T cells are very early targeted and neutralized by cancer cells, especially in the TME. In MM, BM $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells are PD-1⁺ TIM-3⁺, and anergic to pAgs stimulation (30, 64). These dysfunctions are long-lasting and already detectable in monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) (64). PD-1⁺ BM MM Vγ9Vδ2 T cells combine phenotypic, functional, and TCRassociated alterations consistent with chronic exhaustion and immune senescence, not easily reversible by single or even by dual ICP blockade (30). Interestingly, ICP⁺ V γ 9V δ 2 T cells maintain the ability to produce IFNy and to secrete GzmB and Prf in MM, acute myeloid leukemia (AML), and other cancers (30, 65, 66). It is unclear whether these cells are still able to provide some kind of immune surveillance in the TME, but the partial retention of immune effector functions suggests that their immunocompetence is not irreversibly lost, and hopefully recoverable by appropriate manipulation.

The functional plasticity of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells implies a constant risk of switching from antitumor to protumor function (25, 48). Depending on the cytokines they are exposed after activation, V γ 9V δ 2 T cells can polarize into Th1-like, Th2-like, Th17-like, T_{FH}-like, Treg-like, T_{APCS}-like phenotypes (43, 67–69). The input to undertake one way of differentiation rather than another is also influenced by the tissue environment, including cancer cells. Similarly to what has been reported on total $\gamma\delta$ T cells in breast, colon, and pancreatic cancer (21, 26, 56, 70), Th17-like V γ 9V δ 2 T cells with protumor functions have been identified in the TME and associated with a negative outcome in squamous cell carcinoma (31). In the presence of IL-21, V γ 9V δ 2 T cells can become CD73⁺ and suppress the antitumor activity of conventional T cells *via* the adenosine suppressive circuitry (67). PD-L1 upregulation in the presence of IPP and IL-15 is another potent immune suppressor mechanism operated by V γ 9V δ 2 T cells against $\alpha\beta$ T cells (71, 72). CD86 can also be used by V γ 9V δ 2 T cells to suppress $\alpha\beta$ T cells *via* CTLA-4 and restrain their antitumor activity (72).

 $V\gamma9V\delta2$ T cells, in turn, can become easy targets of immune suppressor cells like myeloid-derived suppressors cells (MDSC) or bone marrow stromal cells (BMSC) that are often increased in the TME and are PD-L1⁺, as we have recently shown in MM (64, 73). The supraphysiological IPP production and release by BMSC *via* ABCA-1 can also contribute to the functional exhaustion of $V\gamma9V\delta2$ T cells in the TME of MM (30, 40).

Antitumor and protumor functions of $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells are represented in Figure 1.

Interestingly, blood cancer cells are more susceptible to the antitumor activity of $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells than solid tumors (34, 56). Possible mechanisms are the enhanced Mev pathway activity and the increased expression of stress-induced self-ligands (34). Another major role is played by the TME which is very different in solid and blood cancer. The emergence of protumor $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells has more often been reported in the former, whereas in the latter $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells are mainly dysfunctional and chronically exhausted, but not fully differentiated into $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells with protumor functions (30, 74–76).

$V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells as candidates for immunotherapy: A failed promise or inappropriate engagement?

The unique properties of $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells have raised a great interest as potential candidates for immune-based interventions in solid tumors and blood cancers. $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T-cell activation can be induced by a wide array of ligands making possible to target cancer cells devoid of specific tumor-associated antigens (TAA) or tumors with a limited mutational burden. Moreover, a broad antitumor reactivity could prevent the emergence of tumor variants leading to immune escape and tumor relapse (77).

MHC independency is another major feature making V γ 9V δ 2 T cells safer effector cells than $\alpha\beta$ T cells in the context of allogenic hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation (allo-HCT) or other mismatched adoptive immunotherapy approaches. V γ 9V δ 2 T cells can exert effective graft-*versus*-tumor (GvT) activity with minimal GvHD activity which still is a major cause of early and late morbidity and mortality after allo-HCT (78). MHC-independent recognition of TAA should also limit the ability of cancer cells to evade immune recognition *via* MHC down-regulation (79).

The frequency of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells in the PB is low, but still significantly higher than any other MHC-restricted TAA-specific $\alpha\beta$ T cells, and pAgs stimulation is a polyclonal stimulation



FIGURE 1

Schematic representation of antitumor (left) and protumor (right) functions of $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells. *Antitumor functions*: 1) IFN γ and TNF α production; 2) direct killing of cancer cells via GzmB and Prf production; 3) cancer cell killing via Fas-FasL interactions; 4) CD16-mediated ADCC; 5) synergistic interactions with other immune cells in the TME: NK cells stimulation via CD137L expression; Ag presentation to $\alpha\beta$ T cells; B cell help; stimulation of neutrophils' migration, phagocytosis and α -defensin release. *Protumor functions*: 1) IL-17 production; 2) CD73 expression leading to IL-10 production, decreased V/9V\delta2 T-cell cytotoxic activity and impaired DCs maturation; 3) Negative regulation of V/9V\delta2 by T-cells MDSC expressing ICP-L (i.e. PD-L1); 4) Chronic stimulation of V/9V\delta2 TCR with IPP produced by stromal cells leading to exhaustion and suppression of $\alpha\beta$ T cells' function through ICP/ICP-L axis. Interferon γ (IFN γ), Tumor Necrosis Factor α (TNF α), Granzyme B (GzmB), Perforin (Prf), Antibody-dependent cell cytotoxicity (ADCC), Antigen (Ag), Interleukin-17 (IL-17), Interleukin-10 (IL-10), Dendritic cells (DCs), Myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC), Immune Checkpont-Ligands (ICP-L), Programmed Death-Ligand 1 (PD-L1), Isopentenyl pyrophosphate (IPP), Immune Checkpoint (ICP). Created with BioRender.com.

10.3389/fimmu.2023.1167443

recruiting all Vy9V82 T cells and not only selected clonal or subclonal populations. MHC-independency gives the possibility to develop off-the-shelf cell products from healthy donors bypassing both the time-consuming and expensive manufacturing of personalized cell products, and the immune dysfunctions affecting Vy9V82 T cells from cancer patients. Allogeneic and haploidentical Vy9V82 T cells have already been used in solid tumors and hematological malignancies without major adverse effects (80-84). Burnham et al. have shown that $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells from multiple donors can be mixed and stimulated with ZA and IL-2 after $\alpha\beta$ T-cell depletion without inducing fratricide or affecting their expansion and functional activation (85). Multidonor preparations could circumvent the risk to produce inadequate numbers of activated $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells from healthy donors who are poor responders to pAg stimulation (approximately 5-10%). However, safety of multidonor infusions has not been tested in the immunotherapy setting, with the exception of cord blood cells, and the risk of uncontrolled alloreactivity remains a major concern (85). Lastly, pAgs-activated V γ 9V δ 2 T cells have been shown *in vitro* to behave as cellular adjuvants with the ability to engage immune effector cells of adaptive immunity and boost their antitumor responses (52, 57, 58, 60).

Despite these excellent premises, $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T-cell based immune interventions have not hit the target. Early approaches have used NPB like pamidronate and ZA to induce $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T-cell activation *in vivo* followed by IL-2 to support proliferation and expansion. Synthetic pAgs like bromohydrin pyrophosphate (BrHPP) and 2methyl-3-butenyl-1-pyrophosphate (2M3B1PP) have been produced to increase the affinity for $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells and extend their half-life after *in vivo* injection. Synthetic pAgs have been associated *in vivo* with monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) like rituximab, alemtuzumab, and obinutuzumab to boost ADCC in B-cell malignancies, based on the *in vitro* findings that pAgsactivated $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells upregulate FcyR expression (86–88).

Early approaches of adoptive immunotherapy have also relied on the combination of pAgs and IL-2 to induce the *ex-vivo* activation of autologous V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. This approach has been tested in MM showing minimal toxicity, but unsatisfactory clinical results (89). The adjuvant properties of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells and their capacity to promote the activation of tumor-specific MHCrestricted $\alpha\beta$ T cells has been investigated in a small number of elderly AML patients. These patients have been treated with DCs co-pulsed with WT1 peptide and ZA with some evidences of clinical benefit (90–92).

In conclusion, $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T-cell based immunotherapy has proven safe and well tolerated in blood cancers, but unable to achieve deep and long-lasting responses (32, 93, 94). Failing clinical expectations has stimulated further research to understand the mechanisms exploited by tumor cells to escape $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T-cell recognition and killing, especially in the TME (95, 96), and which strategies are worth investigating to empower their antitumor activity.

A critical point is the immune fitness of $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells in cancer patients. We and others have shown that about 50% of PB $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells from Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL), MM, and other blood cancer patients are unable to respond to pAgs stimulation (97, 98). Naïve/CM/TEMRA subset redistribution, ICP upregulation, immune senescence, and functional exhaustion due to chronic stimulation are some of the mechanisms responsible for V γ 9V δ 2 T-cell dysfunctions (30, 64). Unique to V γ 9V δ 2 T cells is the chronic stimulation operated by the supra-physiological IPP concentrations that are released in the TME by BMSC, and to a lower extent by myeloma cells (40). At the same time, the supraphysiological IPP concentrations can license the suppressor activity of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells restraining the antitumor activity of conventional $\alpha\beta$ T cells *via* the PD-1/PD-L1 axis (71).

Interestingly, we have shown that $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T-cell dysfunctions in the TME of MM patients are highly persistent and not reverted even in the remission phase when myeloma cells have disappeared (64). One reason is that the TME remains strongly committed to immune suppression as shown by the persistence of high numbers of PD-L1⁺ MDSC, PD-L1⁺ BMSC, and PD-L1⁺ endothelial cells (EC). Moreover, the disease status strongly influences the reactivity of BM MM V $\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells to pAgs stimulation and the response to ICP blockade. At diagnosis, the combination of PD-1 and TIM-3 blockade allows a partial recovery of V $\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T-cell immune effector functions; in the remission phase, single PD-1 blockade is moderately effective, whereas PD-1 and LAG-3 blockade is the only combination to be minimally effective in relapsed MM (30).

These data indicate that TME-resident V γ 9V δ 2 T cells are probably not the better targets for cell-based immune interventions in the absence of appropriate *ex-vivo* or *in vivo* manipulation correcting their dysfunctions. This is an interesting difference with tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL) which have been deemed to be very well-suitable for cellular immunotherapy. The assumption is that, at least in solid tumors, tumor-reactive clones have already been primed in the TME and they can be recruited more effectively against cancer cells (99). Moreover, frequency of TIL is much higher than that of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the TME facilitating their selective isolation and expansion (100).

A possible alternative to TME-resident V γ 9V δ 2 T cells is the *in vivo* or *ex-vivo* recruitment of circulating V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. Side-byside comparison of PB and BM V γ 9V δ 2 T cells in MM patients has shown that the former are functionally preserved slightly better than the latter. We and others have shown that approximately 50% of MM and CLL patients retain PB V γ 9V δ 2 T cells that can be stimulated by pAgs (97, 98). Interestingly, in the others the anergy can be reverted with ZA-stimulated DCs that provide huge quantities of IPP and costimulatory signals (45, 97, 101). In CLL, pretreatment of PB V γ 9V δ 2 T cells with ibrutinib promotes a Th1 differentiation with enhanced antitumor activity, probably mediated by ITK inhibition as previously reported in conventional $\alpha\beta$ T cells (101).

The use of PB V γ 9V δ 2 T cells is not devoid of drawbacks. One is the progressive decline in the capacity to respond to reiterated ZA stimulations as shown in MM patients after autologous stem cell transplantation (102), and pediatric acute leukemia patients receiving haploidentical $\alpha\beta$ T-cell depleted stem cell transplantation (103). Another critical aspect is the inadvertent expansion of CD4⁺ T cells with a regulatory phenotype, as shown in neuroblastoma patients treated with ZA+IL-2 to intentionally activate V γ 9V δ 2 T cells *in vivo* (104).

 $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T-cell MHC independency gives the possibility to use allogeneic cells from the PB of healthy donors (105). Haploidentical

 $\gamma\delta$ T cells have been infused in 4 patients with refractory hematological malignancies followed by *in vivo* stimulation with ZA and IL-2. None of the patients suffered from acute or chronic GvHD providing the proof in principle that allogeneic V γ 9V δ 2 T cells can safely be transferred and stimulated *in vivo* without inducing any undesired alloreactivity (82). These preliminary data have been validated in a large series of patients with advanced stage liver and lung cancer patients who received allogeneic V γ 9V δ 2 T cells without any significant adverse effects (e.g., immune rejection, cytokine storm, or GvHD effects) (81).

Although very exciting, also the use of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells from healthy donors is not exempt from disadvantages and pitfalls. One is the unexpected induction of immune suppressive activity against conventional $\alpha\beta$ T cells after repeated pAgs stimulation (71). Another pitfalls are the unpredictable consequences of transferring V γ 9V δ 2 T cells which have been forced to respond to pAgs *via* noncanonical stimulation. For example, IL-21 has been reported to promote the expansion of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells from nonresponder donors after ZA stimulation (85). Unfortunately, IL-21 can also induce V γ 9V δ 2 T cells with immune suppressive and protumor functions exerted *via* the CD73/adenosine-dependent circuit (67).

Altogether, these data indicate that both TME-resident and PB $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells are very sensitive to stimuli delivered by TME, cytokines, and pAgs. Their functional plasticity is a great plus, but at the same time a great risk to inadvertently induce an undesired protumor activity if not properly managed (30, 106).

Strategies to bring V γ 9V δ 2 T-cell immune interventions to prime time

Over the last few years, we have seen an enormous acceleration in the knowledge of immune escape mechanisms together with great advances in the design of therapeutic mAbs, and the development of genetically engineered immune effector cells. These very exciting progresses are revolutionizing cancer immunotherapy including V δ 1 and V γ 9V δ 2 T-cell based approaches (94, 107).

Several approaches are under preclinical or clinical investigation to rescue the immune fitness of $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells in cancer patients. Anti-ICP/ICP-L mAbs have been used in vitro to improve pAgs reactivity and immune effector functions of TMEresident V γ 9V δ 2 T cells in MM (30, 64), AML (65) and follicular lymphoma (FL) (108). The agonistic humanized anti-BTN3A mAb ICT01 is under investigation in advanced-stage solid tumors and hematological malignancies (109). Bispecific T-cell engagers antibodies (BiTe) are also under investigation to redirect cytotoxic Vγ9Vδ2 T-cell activity against cancer cells. The bispecific Vy9/CD123 antibody has been shown to recruit and redirect Vγ9Vδ2 T cells against autologous AML blasts in vitro and in a xenograft mouse model (110). Similar results have been reproduced in vitro and in a xenograft mouse model with the bispecific V γ 9V δ 2/CD40 antibody in CLL and MM patients (111). CD1d is another tumor-associated antigen which can be targeted in CLL with a CD1d-specific V γ 9V δ 2-T cell engager made by singledomain antibodies (VHH). Interestingly, this bispecific VHH does not affect pAg reactivity giving the possibility to boost the antitumor activity of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells with ZA (112). Van Diest et al. have developed a bispecific molecule which exploits the natural predisposition of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells to recognize cancer cells by linking the extracellular domains of tumor reactive V γ 9V δ 2 TCR to a CD3-binding moiety. This bispecific molecule confers to conventional $\alpha\beta$ T cells the capacity to recognize cancer cells *via* pAgs without the limitations imposed by MHC restriction and/or MHC downregulation (113).

A great effort is also ongoing to optimize the use of $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells from healthy donors. In this case, strategies are dedicated to improve the efficacy of *in vitro* expansion protocols and to reinforce the capacity of $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells to survive *in vivo* and to exert a prolonged antitumor activity. One area of research is focused on the discovery of novel NBP and synergistic interactions with other compounds. Tetrakis-pivaloyloxymethyl 2-(thiazole-2-ylamino) ethylidene-1,1-bisphosphonate (PTA) is a novel bisphosphonate prodrug which activates $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells more efficiently than ZA (114), while vitamin C and its derivatives can enhance the activation and differentiation of human $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells (115). A wise and careful selection of cytokines is also critical to promote the expansion of antitumor $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells, and not the undesired expansion of $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells with protumor or immune suppressor functions (85, 116).

The use of feeder cells is another workable tool to improve the efficacy of *in vitro* Vγ9Vδ2 T-cell expansion protocols (117-120). Side-by-side comparison of ZA + IL-2 versus K562-based artificial antigen-presenting cells (aAPCs) has shown in mouse models that the latter induces $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells with stronger antitumor activity and enhanced capacity to survive in vivo (118). However, the superiority of aAPCs is challenged by the risk to induce an excessive IL-17A release leading to the differentiation of protumor $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells (118, 121). Costimulation with ZA + IL-2 in addition to aAPCs can overcome this undesired bias and support the expansion of large numbers of memory $V\gamma 9V\delta 2T$ cells with low ICP expression that are prone to persist *in vivo* after infusion (119). This approach has been improved by introducing an intermediate step to remove $\alpha\beta$ T cells in between the first stimulation with ZA + IL-2 and the second one with aAPCs and ZA + IL-2. This strategy allows the manufacturing and expansion from healthy donors of huge numbers of highly pure $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells (117). The cytotoxic activity of adoptively transferred V γ 9V δ 2 T cells can be strengthened with mAbs to relieve ICP/ICP-L-dependent immune suppression (122, 123), and/or with agonistic anti-BTN3A 20.1 mAb or BiTes to boost antitumor immune effector functions (124).

Alternative strategies to potentiate antitumor effector functions of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells take advantage of their ability to recognize stressinduced self-ligands *via* killer activating receptors (KAR) like NKG2D. This ability is counterbalanced by the expression of killer inhibitory receptors (KIR) (34), highlighting the importance to develop strategies that upregulate KAR and/or downregulate KIR in V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. Attempts to tilt the balance in favor of KAR range from nanobiomaterial-based strategy to conventional drugs. Lin et al. have shown *in vitro* that chitosan nanoparticles enhance V γ 9V δ 2 T-cell antitumor functions by upregulating NKG2D,

10.3389/fimmu.2023.1167443

CD56, FasL, and Prf secretion (125). Upregulation of NKG2Dligands (NKG2D-L) in cancer cells can be a complementary strategy. Conventional drugs like temozolomide, doxorubicin, and 5-fluorouracyl can sensitize cancer cells from solid tumors to Vγ9Vδ2 T cells by inducing the upregulation of Fas, TRAIL-R1, and TRAL-R2 that are recognized by Vγ9Vδ2 T cells via NKG2D and TRAIL (126, 127). These results have been reproduced with bortezomib in AML and acute T-cell lymphoblastic leukemia. Story et al. have shown that bortezomib enhances the recognition and killing of leukemia cells by ex-vivo activated Vy9V82 T cells from healthy donors by increasing NKG2D/NKG2D-L interactions (128). Unfortunately, these drugs can also be toxic to $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells. The easiest way to skip this inconvenience is to give chemotherapy before Vy9V82 T-cell activation in vivo or before infusion of ex-vivo activated Vγ9Vδ2 T cells (127). A more cumbersome approach is to genetically engineer $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells to confer resistance to cytotoxic drug (126). The extracellular release of NKG2D-L is another mechanism exploited by cancer cells to elude NKG2D-dependent immune surveillance, especially after exposure to cytotoxic drugs. Prevention of NKG2D-L shedding is another strategy that can be used to improve the efficacy of combinations with cytotoxic drugs (129).

The immune adjuvant properties of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells are also of renewed interest. Early studies have focused on their ability to boost MHC-restricted antitumor immune responses mediated by conventional CD8⁺ T cells (92). More recently, tumor cell/ V γ 9V δ 2 T-cell fusions have been developed to mimic tumor cell/ DC fusions already tested in MM and AML (130–132). In this approach, DCs are replaced by pAg-activated V γ 9V δ 2 T cells to combine their abilities to support adaptive immune responses and to exert antitumor activity, a plus compared with DCs which lack any direct antitumor activity. Wang et al. have validated this approach *in vitro* by generating osteosarcoma/V γ 9V δ 2 T-cell fusions that induce cytokines production and support antitumor immune responses mediated by conventional $\alpha\beta$ T cells (133).

Sharing innate-like and adaptive-like immune functions makes Vγ9Vδ2 T cells very attractive candidates for genetic engineering (134). $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells have successfully been armed with CAR to target the B-cell Maturation Antigen (BCMA) in MM and CD123 in AML (135, 136). Interestingly, in vitro data and in vivo mouse models have shown that, unlike conventional anti-CD19 CAR-T cells, ZA-stimulated anti-CD19 Vy9V82 CAR-T cells from healthy donors can target both CD19⁺ and CD19⁻ allogeneic leukemia cells via the non-specific MHC-independent cytotoxic activity elicited by pAgs stimulation (137). It is worth investigating whether the retained ability to target CD19⁻ leukemic cells can be exploited to prevent the disease relapse observed in patients treated with conventional anti-CD19 CAR-T cells. In addition, CARtransduced V\delta2 T cells do not lose their property to behave as professional APCs and to cross-present processed peptides to $\alpha\beta$ T cells (138).

ZA-stimulated V γ 9V δ 2 T cells are also excellent candidates for subsequent RNA-transfection with tumor-specific TCRs or CARs (139). Likewise, $\alpha\beta$ T cells can be engineered to express $\gamma\delta$ TCRs with high capacity to sense BTN3A1 and other conformational changes induced by intracellular pAgs accumulation in tumor cells (140). $\gamma\delta$ TCR chains are very strong competitors of $\alpha\beta$ TCR chains for the assembly of the TCR/CD3 complex (141) preventing the formation of $\alpha\beta/\gamma\delta$ heterodimers and limiting the expression of endogenous $\alpha\beta$ TCRs (142). The availability of GMP-grade anti- $\alpha\beta$ TCR beads gives the possibility to deplete non- and poorlyengineered T cells yielding to a population of untouched engineered immune cells with high purity and substantially reduced "off-target" effects (143, 144). These T cells engineered to express a defined $\gamma\delta$ T cell receptor (TEGs) have been shown to limit leukemic cell growth in vitro (140) and to recognize and kill myeloma cells in a 3D model (145). In addition, $CD4^+$ Vy9V $\delta2$ TCR-transduced $\alpha\beta$ T cells retained the ability to induce DC maturation (140). The high affinity $\gamma 9\delta 2TCR$ clone 5 has demonstrated to be effective against AML blasts in PD-X models (146) and has been selected within the TEG format as a clinical candidate (TEG001) for a phase I clinical trial in patients with relapsed and refractory AML and MM (NTR https:// www.trialregister.nl/trial/6357).

A side-by-side comparison of conventional $\alpha\beta$ T cells and V γ 9V δ 2 T cells transduced with TCRs or CARs to target melanoma cells has shown similar antigen-specific cytotoxic activity, but the latter retain also their intrinsic ability to lyse MHC-deficient cells. Moreover, the cytokines pattern released by transduced V γ 9V δ 2 T cells predicts a lower risk of cytokine release syndrome and autoimmunity compared with transduced $\alpha\beta$ T cells (139). Lastly, V γ 9V δ 2 T cells have been transfected with NKT cell-derived TCR to create bi-potential innate lymphocytes combining NKT and V γ 9V δ 2 effector functions including cytotoxicity against glycolipid-expressing target cells and K562 cells (147). Saura-Esteller et al. and Mensurado et al. have recently reviewed the clinical studies exploiting BiTes and engineered V γ 9V δ 2 T cells in cancer immunotherapy (94, 148).

 $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T-cell-based immunotherapy, like any other immunotherapy, can benefit from interventions shaping the TME to meet the metabolic requirements of immune effector cells at the expense of immune suppressor cells and cancer cells. In mouse cancer models, Lopes et al. have shown that protumor (IL-17⁺) and antitumor (IFN γ) $\gamma\delta$ T cells are characterized by distinct metabolic profiles: the former require mitochondrial metabolism, whereas the latter are almost exclusively glycolytic. As a consequence, antitumor activity of IFN γ^+ $\gamma\delta$ T cells can be boosted by glucose, whereas protumor activity of IL-17⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells can be reinforced or weakened by regulating lipid metabolism (149). Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 (IDO1) inhibition is another metabolic approach promoting Vγ9Vδ2 T-cell cytotoxicity against human breast cancer cells and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) cells by enhancing perforin production (150), degranulation, and cytokine production (151). The cytotoxic activity promoted by IDO inhibition can be further enhanced with bispecific antibodies targeting V γ 9V δ 2 T cells and PDAC cells (151).

Hypoxia is a metabolic TME alteration compromising the cytotoxic activity $V\gamma9V\delta2$ T cells and promoting IL-17

production, and CD8⁺ T-cell inhibition *via* the PD-1/PD-L1 axis (152). In brain tumors, it has been shown that metformin alleviates tumor hypoxia and reinvigorates the antitumor function of $\gamma\delta$ T cells by inducing NKG2D upregulation (20). Arginase I inhibition is another metabolic approach that can indirectly promote the antitumor activity of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells by restraining the suppressor activity of MDSC (73, 153). We have recently reviewed the role of metabolic checkpoints compromising the immune competence of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells in MM, and the possible interventions to recover their antitumor activity (154).

V γ 9V δ 2 T cell-based immunotherapy can also be enhanced by increasing tumor sensitivity and immunogenicity. Chemotherapeutic compounds (i.e. doxorubicin and oxaliplatin), proteasome inhibitors and immunomodulatory drugs (IMiDs) can induce immunogenic cell death (ICD) triggering adaptive immune responses through a set of danger signals (155). Combinatorial approaches with ICDinducers can facilitate V γ 9V δ 2 T-cell recruitment and cytotoxic activity (127, 156). Since accelerated Mev-pathway affects the translocation on the cell surface of Calreticulin (CRT), an hallmark of ICD, NBP-mediated interruption of Mev-pathway could be also an effective strategy to promote the sensitivity of cancer cells to ICD (157). Figure 2 summarizes the *in vivo* and *ex-vivo* strategies currently under investigation to recover and fully exploit the antitumor activity of autologous and/or allogeneic $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells.

Conclusions

In conclusion, V γ 9V δ 2 T cells are very attractive candidates for cell-based immunotherapy in blood cancers. However, V γ 9V δ 2 T cells are also very sensitive to the TME and very easily reprogrammable to exert protumor functions or to undergo functional exhaustion and/or immune senescence. To fully exploit their unique antitumor properties, it is mandatory to protect V γ 9V δ 2 T cells from the pernicious influence operated by the TME and to fully recover their immune competence status.

Author contributions

CG, FA and MM contributed to the writing of the manuscript, CG and FA designed the figures, MM revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.



FIGURE 2

Current strategies to manipulate autologous and allogeneic $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells for immunotherapy. *Left panel*: The immune fitness of patient-derived $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells is compromised. Tumor microenvironment (TME)-resident and peripheral blood (PB) $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells are characterized by immune checkpoint (ICP) expression, low proliferative response, decreased cytokine production (IFN γ and TNF α), and degranulation activity. Conventional approaches to rescue $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells with 1) NBP+IL2 administration can be implemented with 2) ZA-stimulated dendritic cells (DCs) to enhance the amount of phosphoantigens (pAgs) locally available; 3) monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) to boost ADCC, to block ICP/ICP-L interactions, or to target BTN3A; 4) bispecific antibodies (BTes). *Right panel*: $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells from PB of healthy donors (Ctrl) can be manipulated *in vitro* for allogenic use. Novel NBP, selected cytokines, vitamin C, artificial antigen presenting cells (aAPCs) or chitosan nanoparticles (CSNP) can be used to improve $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cells can be used for vaccination or genetic engineering. Created with BioRender.com.

Funding

This study received funding from the Italian Association for Cancer Research (AIRC) (IG21744 to MM), Associazione Italiana contro le Leucemie-Linfomi e Mielomi ONLUS (AIL) (Sezione Paolo Rubino di Cuneo) (MM, FA), and Sanofi (Research-to-Care OncoHematology). The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

MM reports advisory boards for AbbVie, Janssen-Cilag, Sanofi, and research funding from Sanofi.

References

1. Chien YH, Konigshofer Y. Antigen recognition by gammadelta T cells. *Immunol Rev* (2007) 215:46–58. doi: 10.1111/J.1600-065X.2006.00470.X

2. Chen D, Guo Y, Jiang J, Wu P, Zhang T, Wei Q, et al. $\gamma\delta$ T cell exhaustion: Opportunities for intervention. J Leukoc Biol (2022) 112(6):1669–76. doi: 10.1002/JLB.5MR0722-777R

3. Morath A, Schamel WW. $\alpha\beta$ and $\gamma\delta$ T cell receptors: Similar but different. J Leukoc Biol (2020) 107:1045–55. doi: 10.1002/JLB.2MR1219-233R

4. Silva-Santos B, Mensurado S, Coffelt SB. $\gamma\delta$ T cells: pleiotropic immune effectors with the rapeutic potential in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer (2019) 19:392–404. doi: 10.1038/ s41568-019-0153-5

5. Deng J, Yin H. Gamma delta ($\gamma\delta$) T cells in cancer immunotherapy; where it comes from, where it will go? *Eur J Pharmacol* (2022) 919:174803. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2022.174803

6. Godfrey DI, le Nours J, Andrews DM, Uldrich AP, Rossjohn J. Unconventional T cell targets for cancer immunotherapy. *Immunity* (2018) 48:453–73. doi: 10.1016/ J.IMMUNI.2018.03.009

7. Pellicci DG, Koay HF, Berzins SP. Thymic development of unconventional T cells: how NKT cells, MAIT cells and $\gamma\delta$ T cells emerge. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2020) 20:756–70. doi: 10.1038/S41577-020-0345-Y

 Ribot JC, Lopes N, Silva-Santos B. γδ T cells in tissue physiology and surveillance. Nat Rev Immunol (2020) 21:221–32. doi: 10.1038/s41577-020-00452-4

9. De Libero G, Casorati G, Giachino C, Carbonara C, Migone N, Matzinger P, et al. Selection by two powerful antigens may account for the presence of the major population of human peripheral gamma/delta T cells. *J Exp Med* (1991) 173:1311– 22. doi: 10.1084/JEM.173.6.1311

10. Parker CM, Groh V, Band H, Porcelli SA, Morita C, Fabbi M, et al. Evidence for extrathymic changes in the T cell receptor gamma/delta repertoire. *J Exp Med* (1990) 171:1597–612. doi: 10.1084/JEM.171.5.1597

11. Dimova T, Brouwer M, Gosselin F, Tassignon J, Leo O, Donner C, et al. Effector V γ 9V δ 2 T cells dominate the human fetal $\gamma\delta$ T-cell repertoire. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2015) 112:E556–65. doi: 10.1073/PNAS.1412058112

12. Pauza CD, Cairo C. Evolution and function of the TCR Vgamma9 chain repertoire: It's good to be public. *Cell Immunol* (2015) 296:22-30. doi: 10.1016/J.CELLIMM.2015.02.010

13. Davey MS, Willcox CR, Hunter S, Kasatskaya SA, Remmerswaal EBM, Salim M, et al. The human V δ 2+ T-cell compartment comprises distinct innate-like V γ 9+ and adaptive V γ 9- subsets. *Nat Commun* (2018) 9(1):1760. doi: 10.1038/S41467-018-04076-0

14. Davey MS, Willcox CR, Joyce SP, Ladell K, Kasatskaya SA, McLaren JE, et al. Clonal selection in the human V δ 1 T cell repertoire indicates $\gamma\delta$ TCR-dependent adaptive immune surveillance. *Nat Commun* (2017) 8:14760. doi: 10.1038/NCOMMS14760

15. Benveniste PM, Roy S, Nakatsugawa M, Chen ELY, Nguyen L, Millar DG, et al. Generation and molecular recognition of melanoma-associated antigen-specific human $\gamma\delta$ T cells. *Sci Immunol* (2018) 3(30):eaav4036. doi: 10.1126/SCIIMMUNOL.AAV4036

16. Liu J, Qu H, Li Q, Ye L, Ma G, Wan H. The responses of $\gamma\delta$ T-cells against acute pseudomonas aeruginosa pulmonary infection in mice *via* interleukin-17. *Pathog Dis* (2013) 68:44–51. doi: 10.1111/2049-632X.12043

17. Sabbaghi A, Miri SM, Keshavarz M, Mahooti M, Zebardast A, Ghaemi A. Role of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in controlling viral infections with a focus on influenza virus: implications for designing novel therapeutic approaches. *Virol J* (2020) 17(1):174. doi: 10.1186/S12985-020-01449-0

18. Saitoh A, Narita M, Watanabe N, Tochiki N, Satoh N, Takizawa J, et al. Antitumor cytotoxicity of gammadelta T cells expanded from peripheral blood cells of The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be constructed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

patients with myeloma and lymphoma. Med Oncol (2008) 25:137–47. doi: 10.1007/S12032-007-9004-4

19. Cazzetta V, Bruni E, Terzoli S, Carenza C, Franzese S, Piazza R, et al. NKG2A expression identifies a subset of human Vδ2 T cells exerting the highest antitumor effector functions. *Cell Rep* (2021) 37(3):109871. doi: 10.1016/J.CELREP.2021.109871

20. Park JH, Kim HJ, Kim CW, Kim HC, Jung Y, Lee HS, et al. Tumor hypoxia represses $\gamma\delta$ T cell-mediated antitumor immunity against brain tumors. *Nat Immunol* (2021) 22:336–46. doi: 10.1038/s41590-020-00860-7

21. Coffelt SB, Kersten K, Doornebal CW, Weiden J, Vrijland K, Hau CS, et al. IL-17-producing $\gamma\delta$ T cells and neutrophils conspire to promote breast cancer metastasis. *Nature* (2015) 522:345–8. doi: 10.1038/NATURE14282

 Ma S, Cheng Q, Cai Y, Gong H, Wu Y, Yu X, et al. IL-17A produced by γδ T cells promotes tumor growth in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Res* (2014) 74:1969–82. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-2534

23. Zhan Y, Zheng L, Liu J, Hu D, Wang J, Liu K, et al. PLA2G4A promotes rightsided colorectal cancer progression by inducing CD39+γδ treg polarization. *JCI Insight* (2021) 6(16):e148028. doi: 10.1172/JCI.INSIGHT.148028

24. Fleming C, Morrissey S, Cai Y, Yan J. γδ T cells: Unexpected regulators of cancer development and progression. *Trends Cancer* (2017) 3:561–70. doi: 10.1016/J.TRECAN.2017.06.003

25. Li Y, Li G, Zhang J, Wu X, Chen X. The dual roles of human $\gamma\delta$ T cells: Antitumor or tumor-promoting. Front Immunol (2021) 11:619954. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.619954

26. Wu P, Wu D, Ni C, Ye J, Chen W, Hu G, et al. $\gamma\delta$ T17 cells promote the accumulation and expansion of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in human colorectal cancer. *Immunity* (2014) 40:785–800. doi: 10.1016/J.IMMUNI.2014.03.013

27. Ma Y, Lei H, Tan J, Xuan L, Wu X, Liu Q. Characterization of $\gamma\delta$ regulatory T cells from peripheral blood in patients with multiple myeloma. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (2016) 480:594–601. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.10.098

28. Jin Z, Ye W, Lan T, Zhao Y, Liu X, Chen J, et al. Characteristic of TIGIT and DNAM-1 expression on Foxp3+ $\gamma\delta$ T cells in AML patients. (2020) 2020:4612952. doi: 10.1155/2020/4612952

29. Khairallah C, Chu TH, Sheridan BS. Tissue adaptations of memory and tissueresident gamma delta T cells. *Front Immunol* (2018) 9:2636. doi: 10.3389/ FIMMU.2018.02636

30. Giannotta C, Castella B, Tripoli E, Grimaldi D, Avonto I, D'Agostino M, et al. Immune dysfunctions affecting bone marrow V γ 9V δ 2 T cells in multiple myeloma: Role of immune checkpoints and disease status. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:1073227. doi: 10.3389/FIMMU.2022.10732275

31. Lo Presti E, Toia F, Oieni S, Buccheri S, Turdo A, Mangiapane LR, et al. Squamous cell tumors recruit $\gamma\delta$ T cells producing either IL17 or IFN γ depending on the tumor stage. *Cancer Immunol Res* (2017) 5:397–407. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-16-0348

32. Kabelitz D, Serrano R, Kouakanou L, Peters C, Kalyan S. Cancer immunotherapy with $\gamma\delta$ T cells: many paths ahead of us. *Cell Mol Immunol* (2020) 17:925–39. doi: 10.1038/s41423-020-0504-x

33. Gräwert T, Groll M, Rohdich F, Bacher A, Eisenreich W. Biochemistry of the non-mevalonate isoprenoid pathway. *Cell Mol Life Sci* (2011) 68:3797–814. doi: 10.1007/s00018-011-0753-z

34. Castella B, Vitale C, Coscia M, Massaia M. Vγ9Vδ2 T cell-based immunotherapy in hematological malignancies: from bench to bedside. *Cell Mol Life Sci* (2011) 68:2419–32. doi: 10.1007/S00018-011-0704-8 35. Vantourout P, Laing A, Woodward MJ, Zlatareva I, Apolonia L, Jones AW, et al. Heteromeric interactions regulate butyrophilin (BTN) and BTN-like molecules governing $\gamma\delta$ T cell biology. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2018) 115:1039–44. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1701237115

36. Gu S, Borowska MT, Boughter CT, Adams EJ. Butyrophilin3A proteins and V γ 9V δ 2 T cell activation. Semin Cell Dev Biol (2018) 84:65. doi: 10.1016/J.SEMCDB.2018.02.007

37. Rigau M, Ostrouska S, Fulford TS, Johnson DN, Woods K, Ruan Z, et al. Butyrophilin 2A1 is essential for phosphoantigen reactivity by $\gamma\delta$ T cells. *Science* (2020) 367(6478):eaay5516. doi: 10.1126/SCIENCE.AAY5516

38. Karunakaran MM, Willcox CR, Salim M, Paletta D, Fichtner AS, Noll A, et al. Butyrophilin-2A1 directly binds germline-encoded regions of the V γ 9V δ 2 TCR and is essential for phosphoantigen sensing. *Immunity* (2020) 52:487–498.e6. doi: 10.1016/ J.IMMUNI.2020.02.014

39. Eberl M. Antigen recognition by human $\gamma\delta$ T cells: one step closer to knowing. Immunol Cell Biol (2020) 98:351. doi: 10.1111/IMCB.12334

40. Castella B, Kopecka J, Sciancalepore P, Mandili G, Foglietta M, Mitro N, et al. The ATP-binding cassette transporter A1 regulates phosphoantigen release and V γ 39V δ 2 T cell activation by dendritic cells. *Nat Commun* (2017) 8:1–14. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15663

41. Laplagne C, Ligat L, Foote J, Lopez F, Fournié JJ, Laurent C, et al. Self-activation of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells by exogenous phosphoantigens involves TCR and butyrophilins. *Cell Mol Immunol* (2021) 18:1861–70. doi: 10.1038/S41423-021-00720-W

42. Castella B, Foglietta M, Riganti C, Massaia M. V γ 9V δ 2 T cells in the bone marrow of myeloma patients: A paradigm of microenvironment-induced immune suppression. *Front Immunol* (2018) 9:1492. doi: 10.3389/FIMMU.2018.01492

43. Pang DJ, Neves JF, Sumaria N, Pennington DJ. Understanding the complexity of $\gamma\delta$ T-cell subsets in mouse and human. *Immunology* (2012) 136:283–90. doi: 10.1111/J.1365-2567.2012.03582.X

44. Thompson K, Rojas-Navea J, Rogers MJ. Alkylamines cause Vgamma9Vdelta2 T-cell activation and proliferation by inhibiting the mevalonate pathway. *Blood* (2006) 107:651–4. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD-2005-03-1025

45. Fiore F, Castella B, Nuschak B, Bertieri R, Mariani S, Bruno B, et al. Enhanced ability of dendritic cells to stimulate innate and adaptive immunity on short-term incubation with zoledronic acid. *Blood* (2007) 110:921–7. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD-2006-09-044321

46. Nedellec S, Bonneville M, Scotet E. Human Vγ9Vδ2 T cells: From signals to functions. *Semin Immunol* (2010) 22:199–206. doi: 10.1016/J.SMIM.2010.04.004

47. Von Lilienfeld-Toal M, Nattermann J, Feldmann G, Sievers E, Frank S, Strehl J, et al. Activated $\gamma\delta$ T cells express the natural cytotoxicity receptor natural killer p44 and show cytotoxic activity against myeloma cells. *Clin Exp Immunol* (2006) 144:528. doi: 10.1111/J.1365-2249.2006.03078.X

48. Xiang Z, Tu W. Dual face of V γ 9V δ 2-T cells in tumor immunology: Anti- versus pro-tumoral activities. *Front Immunol* (2017) 8:1041. doi: 10.3389/FIMMU.2017.01041

49. Brandes M, Willimann K, Moser B. Professional antigen-presentation function by human gammadelta T cells. *Science* (2005) 309:264-8. doi: 10.1126/ SCIENCE.1110267

50. Beetz S, Wesch D, Marischen L, Welte S, Oberg HH, Kabelitz D. Innate immune functions of human gammadelta T cells. *Immunobiology* (2008) 213:173–82. doi: 10.1016/J.IMBIO.2007.10.006

51. Bonneville M, Scotet E. Human Vgamma9Vdelta2 T cells: promising new leads for immunotherapy of infections and tumors. *Curr Opin Immunol* (2006) 18:539–46. doi: 10.1016/J.COI.2006.07.002

52. Castella B, Riganti C, Fiore F, Pantaleoni F, Canepari ME, Peola S, et al. Immune modulation by zoledronic acid in human myeloma: an advantageous cross-talk between V γ 9V δ 2 T cells, $\alpha\beta$ CD8+ T cells, regulatory T cells, and dendritic cells. *J Immunol* (2011) 187:1578–90. doi: 10.4049/JIMMUNOL.1002514

53. Peters C, Kabelitz D, Wesch D. Regulatory functions of $\gamma\delta$ T cells. Cell Mol Life Sci (2018) 75:2125–35. doi: 10.1007/S00018-018-2788-X

54. Dieli F, Poccia F, Lipp M, Sireci G, Caccamo N, di Sano C, et al. Differentiation of effector/memory Vdelta2 T cells and migratory routes in lymph nodes or inflammatory sites. *J Exp Med* (2003) 198:391–7. doi: 10.1084/JEM.20030235

55. Odaira K, Kimura SN, Fujieda N, Kobayashi Y, Kambara K, Takahashi T, et al. CD27(-)CD45(+) $\gamma\delta$ T cells can be divided into two populations, CD27(-)CD45(int) and CD27(-)CD45(hi) with little proliferation potential. Biochem Biophys Res Commun (2016) 478:1298–303. doi: 10.1016/J.BBRC.2016.08.115

56. Schönefeldt S, Wais T, Herling M, Mustjoki S, Bekiaris V, Moriggl R, et al. The diverse roles of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in cancer: From rapid immunity to aggressive lymphoma. Cancers (Basel) (2021) 13:6212. doi: 10.3390/CANCERS13246212

57. Chan KF, Duarte JDG, Ostrouska S, Behren A. $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the tumor microenvironment–interactions with other immune cells. Front Immunol (2022) 13:894315. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.894315

58. Holmen Olofsson G, Idorn M, Carnaz Simões AM, Aehnlich P, Skadborg SK, Noessner E, et al. V γ 9V δ 2 T cells concurrently kill cancer cells and cross-present tumor antigens. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:645131. doi: 10.3389/FIMMU.2021.645131

59. Takahara M, Miyai M, Tomiyama M, Mutou M, Nicol AJ, Nieda M. Copulsing tumor antigen-pulsed dendritic cells with zoledronate efficiently enhance the expansion

of tumor antigen-specific CD8+ T cells via Vgamma9gammadelta T cell activation. J Leukoc Biol (2008) 83:742–54. doi: 10.1189/JLB.0307185

60. Altvater B, Pscherer S, Landmeier S, Kailayangiri S, Savoldo B, Juergens H, et al. Activated human $\gamma\delta$ T cells induce peptide-specific CD8+ T-cell responses to tumorassociated self-antigens. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2012) 61:385–96. doi: 10.1007/S00262-011-1111-6

61. Bansal RR, Mackay CR, Moser B, Eberl M. IL-21 enhances the potential of human $\gamma\delta$ T cells to provide b-cell help. *Eur J Immunol* (2012) 42:110–9. doi: 10.1002/EJI.201142017

62. Agrati C, Cimini E, Sacchi A, Bordoni V, Gioia C, Casetti R, et al. Activated V gamma 9V delta 2 T cells trigger granulocyte functions *via* MCP-2 release. *J Immunol* (2009) 182:522–9. doi: 10.4049/JIMMUNOL.182.1.522

63. Maniar A, Zhang X, Lin W, Gastman BR, Pauza CD, Strome SE, et al. Human gammadelta T lymphocytes induce robust NK cell-mediated antitumor cytotoxicity through CD137 engagement. *Blood* (2010) 116:1726–33. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD-2009-07-234211

64. Castella B, Foglietta M, Sciancalepore P, Rigoni M, Coscia M, Griggio V, et al. Anergic bone marrow V γ 9V δ 2 T cells as early and long-lasting markers of PD-1-targetable microenvironment-induced immune suppression in human myeloma. *Oncoimmunology* (2015) 4(11):e1047580. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2015.1047580

65. Wu K, Feng J, Xiu Y, Li Z, Lin Z, Zhao H, et al. V&2 T cell subsets, defined by PD-1 and TIM-3 expression, present varied cytokine responses in acute myeloid leukemia patients. *Int Immunopharmacol* (2020) 80:106122. doi: 10.1016/J.INTIMP.2019.106122

66. He W, Hu Y, Chen D, Li Y, Ye D, Zhao Q, et al. Hepatocellular carcinomainfiltrating $\gamma\delta$ T cells are functionally defected and allogenic V δ 2 + $\gamma\delta$ T cell can be a promising complement. *Clin Transl Med* (2022) 12(4):e800. doi: 10.1002/ctm2.800

67. Barjon C, Michaud HA, Fages A, Dejou C, Zampieri A, They L, et al. IL-21 promotes the development of a CD73-positive $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cell regulatory population. *Oncoimmunology* (2017) 7(1):e1379642. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2017.1379642

68. Dunne MR, Mangan BA, Madrigal-Estebas L, Doherty DG. Preferential Th1 cytokine profile of phosphoantigen-stimulated human V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. *Mediators Inflammation* (2010) 2010:704941. doi: 10.1155/2010/704941

69. Caccamo N, La Mendola C, Orlando V, Meraviglia S, Todaro M, Stassi G, et al. Differentiation, phenotype, and function of interleukin-17-producing human V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. *Blood* (2011) 118:129–38. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD-2011-01-331298

70. McAllister F, Bailey JM, Alsina J, Nirschl CJ, Sharma R, Fan H, et al. Oncogenic kras activates a hematopoietic-to-epithelial IL-17 signaling axis in preinvasive pancreatic neoplasia. *Cancer Cell* (2014) 25:621. doi: 10.1016/J.CCR.2014.03.014

71. Schilbach K, Krickeberg N, Kaißer C, Mingram S, Kind J, Siegers GM, et al. Suppressive activity of V δ 2+ $\gamma\delta$ T cells on $\alpha\beta$ T cells is licensed by TCR signaling and correlates with signal strength. *Cancer Immunology Immunother* (2020) 69:593. doi: 10.1007/S00262-019-02469-8

72. Peters C, Oberg HH, Kabelitz D, Wesch D. Phenotype and regulation of immunosuppressive V&2-expressing $\gamma\delta$ T cells. Cell Mol Life Sci (2014) 71:1943. doi: 10.1007/S00018-013-1467-1

73. Giannotta C, Autino F, Massaia M. The immune suppressive tumor microenvironment in multiple myeloma: The contribution of myeloid-derived suppressor cells. *Front Immunol* (2023) 13:1102471. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1102471

74. Wu K, Zhao H, Xiu Y, Li Z, Zhao J, Xie S, et al. IL-21-mediated expansion of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells is limited by the Tim-3 pathway. *Int Immunopharmacol* (2019) 69:136–42. doi: 10.1016/J.INTIMP.2019.01.027

75. Tirier SM, Mallm JP, Steiger S, Poos AM, Awwad MHS, Giesen N, et al. Subclone-specific microenvironmental impact and drug response in refractory multiple myeloma revealed by single-cell transcriptomics. *Nat Commun* (2021) 12(1):6960. doi: 10.1038/541467-021-26951-Z

76. Noviello M, Manfredi F, Ruggiero E, Perini T, Oliveira G, Cortesi F, et al. Bone marrow central memory and memory stem T-cell exhaustion in AML patients relapsing after HSCT. *Nat Commun* (2019) 10(1):1065. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-08871-1

77. Liu Y, Yan X, Zhang F, Zhang X, Tang F, Han Z, et al. TCR-T immunotherapy: The challenges and solutions. *Front Oncol* (2022) 11:794183. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.794183

78. Jiang H, Fu D, Bidgoli A, Paczesny S. T Cell subsets in graft versus host disease and graft versus tumor. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:761448. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.761448

79. Cornel AM, Mimpen IL, Nierkens S. MHC class I downregulation in cancer: Underlying mechanisms and potential targets for cancer immunotherapy. *Cancers* (*Basel*) (2020) 12:1–33. doi: 10.3390/cancers12071760

80. Alnaggar M, Xu Y, Li J, He J, Chen J, Li M, et al. Allogenic V γ 9V δ 2 T cell as new potential immunotherapy drug for solid tumor: a case study for cholangiocarcinoma. *J Immunother Cancer* (2019) 7(1):36. doi: 10.1186/S40425-019-0501-8

81. Xu Y, Xiang Z, Alnaggar M, Kouakanou L, Li J, He J, et al. Allogeneic V γ 9V δ 2 T-cell immunotherapy exhibits promising clinical safety and prolongs the survival of patients with late-stage lung or liver cancer. *Cell Mol Immunol* (2021) 18:427–39. doi: 10.1038/S41423-020-0515-7

82. Wilhelm M, Smetak M, Schaefer-Eckart K, Kimmel B, Birkmann J, Einsele H, et al. Successful adoptive transfer and *in vivo* expansion of haploidentical $\gamma\delta$ T cells. J Transl Med (2014) 12:45. doi: 10.1186/1479-5876-12-45

83. Vydra J, Cosimo E, Lesný P, Wanless RS, Anderson J, Clark AG, et al. A phase I trial of allogeneic $\gamma\delta$ T lymphocytes from haploidentical donors in patients with refractory or relapsed acute myeloid leukemia. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk* (2023), S2152-2650(23)00038-1. doi: 10.1016/J.CLML.2023.02.003

84. Bold A, Gaertner J, Bott A, Mordstein V, Schaefer-Eckart K, Wilhelm M. Haploidentical γδ T cells induce complete remission in chemorefractory b-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma. J Immunother (2023) 46:56-8. doi: 10.1097/CJI.0000000000000000

85. Burnham RE, Zoine JT, Story JY, Garimalla SN, Gibson G, Rae A, et al. Characterization of donor variability for $\gamma\delta$ T cell ex vivo expansion and development of an allogeneic $\gamma\delta$ T cell immunotherapy. *Front Med (Lausanne)* (2020) 7:588453. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2020.588453

86. Braza MS, Klein B, Fiol G, Rossi JF. $\gamma\delta$ T-cell killing of primary follicular lymphoma cells is dramatically potentiated by GA101, a type II glycoengineered anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody. *Haematologica* (2011) 96:400–7. doi: 10.3324/HAEMATOL.2010.029520

87. Tokuyama H, Hagi T, Mattarollo SR, Morley J, Wang Q, Fai-So H, et al. V Gamma 9 V delta 2 T cell cytotoxicity against tumor cells is enhanced by monoclonal antibody drugs-rituximab and trastuzumab. *Int J Cancer* (2008) 122:2526–34. doi: 10.1002/IJC.23365

 Gertner-Dardenne J, Bonnafous C, Bezombes C, Capietto AH, Scaglione V, Ingoure S, et al. Bromohydrin pyrophosphate enhances antibody-dependent cellmediated cytotoxicity induced by therapeutic antibodies. *Blood* (2009) 113:4875–84. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD-2008-08-172296

89. Abe Y, Muto M, Nieda M, Nakagawa Y, Nicol A, Kaneko T, et al. Clinical and immunological evaluation of zoledronate-activated Vgamma9gammadelta T-cell-based immunotherapy for patients with multiple myeloma. *Exp Hematol* (2009) 37:956–68. doi: 10.1016/J.EXPHEM.2009.04.008

90. Rezvani K, Yong ASM, Mielke S, Jafarpour B, Savani BN, Le RQ, et al. Repeated PR1 and WT1 peptide vaccination in montanide-adjuvant fails to induce sustained high-avidity, epitope-specific CD8+ T cells in myeloid malignancies. *Haematologica* (2011) 96:432-40. doi: 10.3324/HAEMATOL.2010.031674

91. Kitawaki T, Kadowaki N, Fukunaga K, Kasai Y, Maekawa T, Ohmori K, et al. A phase I/IIa clinical trial of immunotherapy for elderly patients with acute myeloid leukaemia using dendritic cells co-pulsed with WT1 peptide and zoledronate. *Br J Haematol* (2011) 153:796–9. doi: 10.1111/J.1365-2141.2010.08490.X

 Khan MWA, Eberl M, Moser B. Potential use of γδ T cell-based vaccines in cancer immunotherapy. Front Immunol (2014) 5:512. doi: 10.3389/FIMMU.2014.00512

93. Kunzmann V, Smetak M, Kimmel B, Weigang-Koehler K, Goebeler M, Birkmann J, et al. Tumor-promoting versus tumor-antagonizing roles of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in cancer immunotherapy: Results from a prospective phase I/II trial. *J Immunother* (2012) 35:205–13. doi: 10.1097/CJI.0b013e318245bb1e

94. Saura-Esteller J, de Jong M, King LA, Ensing E, Winograd B, de Gruijl TD, et al. Gamma delta T-cell based cancer immunotherapy: Past-Present-Future. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:915837. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.915837

95. Presti EL, Pizzolato G, Corsale AM, Caccamo N, Sireci G, Dieli F, et al. $\gamma\delta$ T cells and tumor microenvironment: From immunosurveillance to tumor evasion. *Front Immunol* (2018) 9:1395. doi: 10.3389/FIMMU.2018.01395

96. Capietto AH, Martinet L, Fournie JJ. How tumors might withstand $\gamma\delta$ T-cell attack. Cell Mol Life Sci (2011) 68:2433–42. doi: 10.1007/S00018-011-0705-7

97. Mariani S, Muraro M, Pantaleoni F, Fiore F, Nuschak B, Peola S, et al. Effector $\gamma\delta$ T cells and tumor cells as immune targets of zoledronic acid in multiple myeloma. Leukemia (2005) 19:664–70. doi: 10.1038/sj.leu.2403693

98. Coscia M, Vitale C, Peola S, Foglietta M, Rigoni M, Griggio V, et al. Dysfunctional V γ 9V δ 2 T cells are negative prognosticators and markers of dysregulated mevalonate pathway activity in chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells. *Blood* (2012) 120:3271–9. doi: 10.1182/blood-2012-03-417519

99. Tas L, Jedema I, Haanen JBAG. Novel strategies to improve efficacy of treatment with tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) for patients with solid cancers. *Curr Opin Oncol* (2023) 35(2):107–13. doi: 10.1097/CCO.00000000000925

100. Pizzolato G, Kaminski H, Tosolini M, Franchini D-M, Pont F, Martins F, et al. Single-cell RNA sequencing unveils the shared and the distinct cytotoxic hallmarks of human TCRVδ1 and TCRVδ2 γδ T lymphocytes. *PNAS* (2019) 116:11906–15. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1818488116

101. de Weerdt I, Hofland T, Lameris R, Endstra S, Jongejan A, Moerland PD, et al. Improving CLL V γ 9V δ 2-t-cell fitness for cellular therapy by ex vivo activation and ibrutinib. *Blood* (2018) 132:2260–72. doi: 10.1182/blood-2017-12-822569

102. Fazzi R, Petrini I, Giuliani N, Morganti R, Carulli G, Dalla Palma B, et al. Phase II trial of maintenance treatment with IL2 and zoledronate in multiple myeloma after bone marrow transplantation: Biological and clinical results. *Front Immunol* (2021) 11:573156. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.573156

103. Merli P, Algeri M, Galaverna F, Milano GM, Bertaina V, Biagini S, et al. Immune modulation properties of zoledronic acid on TcR $\gamma\delta$ T-lymphocytes after TcR $\alpha\beta$ /CD19-depleted haploidentical stem cell transplantation: An analysis on 46 pediatric patients affected by acute leukemia. *Front Immunol* (2020) 11:699. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.00699

104. Pressey JG, Adams J, Harkins L, Kelly D, You Z, Lamb LS. *In vivo* expansion and activation of gd T cells as immunotherapy for refractory neuroblastoma a phase 1 study. *Med (United States)* (2016) 95(39):e4909. doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000004909

105. Jhita N, Raikar SS. Allogeneic gamma delta T cells as adoptive cellular therapy for hematologic malignancies. *Explor Immunol* (2022) 2:334–50. doi: 10.37349/ EI.2022.00054

106. Chabab G, Barjon C, Bonnefoy N, Lafont V. Pro-tumor $\gamma\delta$ T cells in human cancer: Polarization, mechanisms of action, and implications for therapy. Front Immunol (2020) 11:2186. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.02186

107. Miyashita M, Shimizu T, Ashihara E, Ukimura O. Strategies to improve the antitumor effect of $\gamma\delta$ T cell immunotherapy for clinical application. *Int J Mol Sci* (2021) 22(16):8910. doi: 10.3390/ijms22168910

108. Rossi C, Gravelle P, Decaup E, Bordenave J, Poupot M, Tosolini M, et al. Boosting $\gamma\delta$ T cell-mediated antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity by PD-1 blockade in follicular lymphoma. *Oncoimmunology* (2019) 8:1554175. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2018.1554175

109. De Gassart A, Le KS, Brune P, Agaugué S, Sims J, Goubard A, et al. Development of ICT01, a first-in-class, anti-BTN3A antibody for activating $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ T cell-mediated antitumor immune response. *Sci Transl Med* (2021) 13:835. doi: 10.1126/SCITRANSLMED.ABJ0835

110. Ganesan R, Chennupati V, Ramachandran B, Hansen MR, Singh S, Grewal IS. Selective recruitment of $\gamma\delta$ T cells by a bispecific antibody for the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia* (2021) 35:2274–84. doi: 10.1038/s41375-021-01122-7

111. de Weerdt I, Lameris R, Scheffer GL, Vree J, de Boer R, Stam AG, et al. A bispecific antibody antagonizes prosurvival CD40 signaling and promotes Vy9V82 T cell-mediated antitumor responses in human b-cell malignancies. *Cancer Immunol Res* (2021) 9:50–61. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-20-0138

112. de Weerdt I, Lameris R, Ruben JM, de Boer R, Kloosterman J, King LA, et al. A bispecific single-domain antibody boosts autologous $V\gamma 9V\delta 2$ -T cell responses toward CD1d in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Clin Cancer Res* (2021) 27:1744–55. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-20-4576

113. van Diest E, Hernández López P, Meringa AD, Vyborova A, Karaiskaki F, Heijhuurs S, et al. Gamma delta TCR anti-CD3 bispecific molecules (GABs) as novel immunotherapeutic compounds. *J Immunother Cancer* (2021) 9:3850. doi: 10.1136/jitc-2021-003850

114. Okuno D, Sugiura Y, Sakamoto N, Tagod MSO, Iwasaki M, Noda S, et al. Comparison of a novel bisphosphonate prodrug and zoledronic acid in the induction of cytotoxicity in human V γ 2V δ 2 T cells. *Front Immunol* (2020) 11:1405. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.01405

115. Kouakanou L, Xu Y, Peters C, He J, Wu Y, Yin Z, et al. Vitamin c promotes the proliferation and effector functions of human $\gamma\delta$ T cells. *Cell Mol Immunol* (2020) 17:462–73. doi: 10.1038/s41423-019-0247-8

116. van Acker HH, Anguille S, Willemen Y, van den Bergh JM, Berneman ZN, Lion E, et al. Interleukin-15 enhances the proliferation, stimulatory phenotype, and antitumor effector functions of human gamma delta T cells. *J Hematol Oncol* (2016) 9:1–13. doi: 10.1186/s13045-016-0329-3

117. Landin AM, Cox C, Yu B, Bejanyan N, Davila M, Kelley L. Expansion and enrichment of gamma-delta ($\gamma\delta$) t cells from apheresed human product. J Visualized Experiments (2021) (175). doi: 10.3791/62622

118. Choi H, Lee Y, Hur G, Lee SE, Il CH, HJ S, et al. $\gamma\delta$ T cells cultured with artificial antigen-presenting cells and IL-2 show long-term proliferation and enhanced effector functions compared with $\gamma\delta$ T cells cultured with only IL-2 after stimulation with zoledronic acid. *Cytotherapy* (2021) 23:908–17. doi: 10.1016/j.jcyt.2021.06.002

119. Boucher JC, Yu B, Li G, Shrestha B, Sallman D, Landin AM, et al. Large Scale ex vivo expansion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells using artificial antigen-presenting cells. J Immunother (2023) 46:5–13. doi: 10.1097/CJI.00000000000445

120. Hernandez Tejada FN, Jawed J, Olivares S, Mahadeo KM, Singh H. Gamma delta T cells for acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* (2022) 140:12696-6. doi: 10.1182/ BLOOD-2022-162635

121. Lawrence M, Wiesheu R, Coffelt SB. The duplexity of unconventional T cells in cancer. Int J Biochem Cell Biol (2022) 146:106213. doi: 10.1016/j.biocel.2022.106213

122. Yang R, He Q, Zhou H, Gong C, Wang X, Song X, et al. Vg2 x PD-L1, a bispecific antibody targeting both the Vg2 TCR and PD-L1, improves the anti-tumor response of Vg2Vd2 T cell. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:923969. doi: 10.3389/fmmu.2022.923969

123. Nada MH, Wang H, Hussein AJ, Tanaka Y, Morita CT. PD-1 checkpoint blockade enhances adoptive immunotherapy by human V₁2Vδ2 T cells against human prostate cancer. *Oncoimmunology* (2021) 10(1):1989789. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2021. 1989789

124. Benyamine A, Le Roy A, Mamessier E, Gertner-Dardenne J, Castanier C, Orlanducci F, et al. BTN3A molecules considerably improve Vy9V82T cells-based immunotherapy in acute myeloid leukemia. *Oncoimmunology* (2016) 5(10):e1146843. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2016.1146843

125. Lin L, He J, Li J, Xu Y, Li J, Wu Y. Chitosan nanoparticles strengthen Vγ9Vδ2 T-cell cytotoxicity through upregulation of killing molecules and cytoskeleton polarization. *Int J Nanomed* (2019) 14:9325–36. doi: 10.2147/IJN.S212898

126. Lamb LS, Bowersock J, Dasgupta A, Gillespie GY, Su Y, Johnson A, et al. Engineered drug resistant $\gamma\delta$ T cells kill glioblastoma cell lines during a chemotherapy challenge: a strategy for combining chemo- and immunotherapy. *PloS One* (2013) 8(1): e51805. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0051805 127. Todaro M, Orlando V, Cicero G, Caccamo N, Meraviglia S, Stassi G, et al. Chemotherapy sensitizes colon cancer initiating cells to V γ 9V δ 2 T cell-mediated cytotoxicity. *PLoS One* (2013) 8(6):e65145. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0065145

128. Story JY, Zoine JT, Burnham RE, Hamilton JAG, Spencer HT, Doering CB, et al. Bortezomib enhances cytotoxicity of ex vivo-expanded gamma delta T cells against acute myeloid leukemia and T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Cytotherapy* (2021) 23:12–24. doi: 10.1016/J.JCYT.2020.09.010

129. Alves da Silva PH, Xing S, Kotini AG, Papapetrou EP, Song X, Wucherpfennig KW, et al. MICA/B antibody induces macrophage-mediated immunity against acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* (2022) 139:205. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD.2021011619

130. Raje N, Hideshima T, Davies FE, Chauhan D, Treon SP, Young G, et al. Tumour cell/dendritic cell fusions as a vaccination strategy for multiple myeloma. *Br J Haematol* (2004) 125:343–52. doi: 10.1111/J.1365-2141.2004.04929.X

131. Rosenblatt J, Avivi I, Vasir B, Uhl L, Munshi NC, Katz T, et al. Vaccination with dendritic cell/tumor fusions following autologous stem cell transplant induces immunologic and clinical responses in multiple myeloma patients. *Clin Cancer Res* (2013) 19:3640–8. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-0282

132. Gong J, Koido S, Kato Y, Tanaka Y, Chen D, Jonas A, et al. Induction of antileukemic cytotoxic T lymphocytes by fusion of patient-derived dendritic cells with autologous myeloblasts. *Leuk Res* (2004) 28:1303–12. doi: 10.1016/j.leukres.2004.03.018

133. Wang Y, Zhu J, Yu W, Wang J, Xia K, Liang C, et al. Allogenic $\gamma\delta$ T cell and tumor cell fused vaccine for enhanced immunotherapeutic efficacy of osteosarcoma. J Bone Oncol (2020) 21:100214. doi: 10.1016/J.JBO.2018.100214

134. Willcox CR, Mohammed F, Willcox BE. The distinct MHC-unrestricted immunobiology of innate-like and adaptive-like human $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets-nature's CAR-T cells. *Immunol Rev* (2020) 298:25–46. doi: 10.1111/imr.12928

135. Zhang X, Ng YY, Du Z, Li Z, Chen C, Xiao L, et al. V γ 9V δ 2 T cells expressing a BCMA-specific chimeric antigen receptor inhibit multiple myeloma xenograft growth. *PloS One* (2022) 17(6):e0267475. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0267475

136. Zhang X, Ang WX, Du Z, Ng YY, Zha S, Chen C, et al. A CD123-specific chimeric antigen receptor augments anti-acute myeloid leukemia activity of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells. *Immunotherapy* (2022) 14:321–36. doi: 10.2217/imt-2021-0143

137. Rozenbaum M, Meir A, Aharony Y, Itzhaki O, Schachter J, Bank I, et al. Gamma-delta CAR-T cells show CAR-directed and independent activity against leukemia. *Front Immunol* (2020) 11:1347. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.01347

138. Capsomidis A, Benthall G, Van Acker HH, Fisher J, Kramer AM, Abeln Z, et al. Chimeric antigen receptor-engineered human gamma delta T cells: Enhanced cytotoxicity with retention of cross presentation. *Mol Ther* (2018) 26:354–65. doi: 10.1016/j.ymthe.2017.12.001

139. Harrer DC, Simon B, Fujii Si, Shimizu K, Uslu U, Schuler G, et al. RNA-Transfection of γ/δ T cells with a chimeric antigen receptor or an α/β T-cell receptor: a safer alternative to genetically engineered α/β T cells for the immunotherapy of melanoma. *BMC Cancer* (2017) 17(1):551. doi: 10.1186/S12885-017-3539-3

140. Marcu-Malina V, Heijhuurs S, van Buuren M, Hartkamp L, Strand S, Sebestyen Z, et al. Redirecting $\alpha\beta$ T cells against cancer cells by transfer of a broadly tumor-reactive $\gamma\delta$ T-cell receptor. *Blood* (2011) 118:50–9. doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-12-325993

141. Kuball J, Dossett ML, Wolfl M, Ho WY, Voss RH, Fowler C, et al. Facilitating matched pairing and expression of TCR chains introduced into human T cells. *Blood* (2007) 109:2331–8. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD-2006-05-023069

142. van der Veken LT, Coccoris M, Swart E, Falkenburg JHF, Schumacher TN, Heemskerk MHM. Alpha beta T cell receptor transfer to gamma delta T cells generates functional effector cells without mixed TCR dimers. *vivo. J Immunol* (2009) 182:164–70. doi: 10.4049/JIMMUNOL.182.1.164

143. Straetemans T, Gründer C, Heijhuurs S, Hol S, Slaper-Cortenbach I, Bönig H, et al. Untouched GMP-ready purified engineered immune cells to treat cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* (2015) 21:3957–68. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-2860

144. Straetemans T, Kierkels GJJ, Doorn R, Jansen K, Heijhuurs S, dos Santos JM, et al. GMP-grade manufacturing of T cells engineered to express a defined $\gamma\delta$ TCR. Front Immunol (2018) 9:1062. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01062

145. Braham MVJ, Minnema MC, Aarts T, Sebestyen Z, Straetemans T, Vyborova A, et al. Cellular immunotherapy on primary multiple myeloma expanded in a 3D bone marrow niche model. *Oncoimmunology* (2018) 7(6):e1434465. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2018.1434465

146. Johanna I, Straetemans T, Heijhuurs S, Aarts-Riemens T, Norell H, Bongiovanni L, et al. Evaluating *in vivo* efficacy-toxicity profile of TEG001 in humanized mice xenografts against primary human AML disease and healthy hematopoietic cells. *J Immunother Cancer* (2019) 7(1):69. doi: 10.1186/s40425-019-0558-4

147. Shimizu K, Shinga J, Yamasaki S, Kawamura M, Dörrie J, Schaft N, et al. Transfer of mRNA encoding invariant NKT cell receptors imparts glycolipid specific responses to T cells and $\gamma\delta T$ cells. *PloS One* (2015) 10(6):e0131477. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0131477

148. Mensurado S, Blanco-Domínguez R, Silva-Santos B. The emerging roles of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in cancer immunotherapy. Nat Rev Clin Oncol (2023) 20:178–91. doi: 10.1038/S41571-022-00722-1

149. Lopes N, McIntyre C, Martin S, Raverdeau M, Sumaria N, Kohlgruber AC, et al. Distinct metabolic programs established in the thymus control effector functions of $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets in tumor microenvironments. *Nat Immunol* (2021) 22:179–92. doi: 10.1038/s41590-020-00848-3

150. Li P, Wu R, Li K, Yuan W, Zeng C, Zhang Y, et al. IDO inhibition facilitates antitumor immunity of V γ 9V δ 2 T cells in triple-negative breast cancer. *Front Oncol* (2021) 11:679517. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.679517

151. Jonescheit H, Oberg HH, Gonnermann D, Hermes M, Sulaj V, Peters C, et al. Influence of indoleamine-2,3-Dioxygenase and its metabolite kynurenine on $\gamma\delta$ T cell cytotoxicity against ductal pancreatic adenocarcinoma cells. *Cells* (2020) 9(5):1140. doi: 10.3390/CELLS9051140

152. Sureshbabu SK, Chaukar D, Chiplunkar SV. Hypoxia regulates the differentiation and anti-tumor effector functions of $\gamma \delta T$ cells in oral cancer. *Clin Exp Immunol* (2020) 201(1):40–57. doi: 10.1111/cei.13436

153. Dieli F, Zoeller M, Carson WE, Agrati C, Sacchi A, Tumino N, et al. Myeloidderived suppressor cells specifically suppress IFN- γ production and antitumor cytotoxic activity of V δ 2 T cells. *Immunol* (2018) 9:1271. doi: 10.3389/ fmmu.2018.01271

154. Castella B, Riganti C, Massaia M. Metabolic approaches to rescue antitumor Vy9V2T-cell functions in myeloma. Front Biosci - Landmark (2020) 25:69–105. doi: 10.2741/4795

155. Liu Z, Xu X, Liu K, Zhang J, Ding D, Fu R. Immunogenic cell death in hematological malignancy therapy. *Advanced Sci* (2023) e2207475. doi: 10.1002/ADVS.202207475

156. Mattarollo SR, Kenna T, Nieda M, Nicol AJ. Chemotherapy and zoledronate sensitize solid tumour cells to Vgamma9Vdelta2 T cell cytotoxicity. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2007) 56:1285–97. doi: 10.1007/S00262-007-0279-2

157. Riganti C, Massaia M. Inhibition of the mevalonate pathway to override chemoresistance and promote the immunogenic demise of cancer cells killing two birds with one stone. *Oncoimmunology* (2013) 2(9):e25770. doi: 10.4161/onci.25770