



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Vocal tract shape variation contributes to individual vocal identity in African penguins

This is the author's manuscript									
Original Citation:									
Availability:									
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1944252 since 2025-01-22T11:34:32Z									
Published version:									
DOI:10.1098/rspb.2023.1029									
Terms of use:									
Open Access									
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.									

(Article begins on next page)

1 Vocal tract shape variation contributes to individual vocal identity

2 in African penguins

- 3
- Livio Favaro^{1,2,*,#}, Anna Zanoli^{2,#}, Katrin Ludynia^{3,4}, Albert Snyman³, Filippo Carugati², 4 Olivier Friard², Frine Eleonora Scaglione⁵, Luca Manassero⁵, Alberto Valazza⁵, Nicolas 5 Mathevon^{1,6}, Marco Gamba^{2,*}, David Reby^{1,6,*} 6 7 8 ¹ ENES Bioacoustics Research Lab, CRNL, University of Saint-Etienne, CNRS, Inserm, 9 Saint-Etienne, France 10 ² Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology, University of Turin, Turin, Italy ³ Southern African Foundation for the Conservation of Coastal Birds (SANCCOB), Cape 11 12 Town, South Africa ⁴ Department of Biodiversity & Conservation Biology, University of the Western Cape, 13 Bellville, South Africa 14 ⁵ Department of Veterinary Science, University of Turin, Turin, Italy 15 16 ⁶ Institut Universitaire de France, Ministry of Higher Education, Research and Innovation, 1 rue Descartes, 75231 Paris Cedex 05 17 18 19 *[#]* These authors contributed equally 20 21 * Corresponding authors: 22 Livio Favaro (livio.favaro@unito.it) 23 24 Marco Gamba (marco.gamba@unito.it) *David Reby* (david.reby@univ-st-etienne.fr) 25 26 27 ORCID: Livio Favaro: 0000-0002-8698-472X 28 29 Anna Zanoli: 0000-0002-9402-5617 Katrin Ludynia: 0000-0002-0353-1929 30 Albert Snyman: 0000-0003-4323-2808 31 Filippo Carugati: 0000-0002-5754-5787 32 Olivier Friard: 0000-0002-0374-9872 33 34 Frine Eleonora Scaglione: 0000-0001-7134-6154 Luca Manassero: 0000-0002-5223-9799 35

- 36 Alberto Valazza: 0000-0002-4745-0653
- 37 Nicolas Mathevon: 0000-0003-0219-6601
- 38 Marco Gamba: 0000-0001-9545-2242
- 39 David Reby: 0000-0001-9261-1711

40 Abstract

41 Variation in formant frequencies has been shown to affect social interactions 42 and sexual competition in a range of avian species. Yet, the anatomical bases of this 43 variation are poorly understood. Here, we investigated the morphological correlates of 44 formants production in the vocal apparatus of African penguins. We modelled the 45 geometry of the supra-syringeal vocal tract of 20 specimens to generate a population of virtual vocal tracts with varying dimensions. We then estimated the acoustic response 46 of these virtual vocal tracts and extracted the centre frequency of the first four predicted 47 formants. We demonstrate that (1) variation in length and cross-sectional area of vocal 48 49 tracts strongly affects the formant pattern, (2) the tracheal region determines most of 50 this variation, and (3) the skeletal size of penguins does not correlate with the trachea length and consequently has relatively little effect on formants. We conclude that in 51 52 African penguins, while the variation in vocal tract geometry generates variation in 53 resonant frequencies supporting the discrimination of conspecifics, such variation does 54 not provide information on the emitter's body size. Overall, our findings advance our understanding of the role of formant frequencies in bird vocal communication. 55 56

57 **Keywords:** bioacoustics, formants, source-filter theory, *Spheniscus*, vocal tract 58 modelling

59 Introduction

Vocal communication is ancient and widespread in vertebrates [1] where it plays 60 61 a crucial role in speciation, sexual selection [2], and the evolution of complex societies [3]. Numerous studies investigating the function of vocal communication in vertebrates 62 63 have shown that bird and mammal vocal signals often support individual discrimination 64 or even recognition [4], although in mammals with unstable acoustic cues to identity (e.g., ruminants: [5,6]; felids: [7]; rodents: [8]), updating vocal individuality of social 65 66 partners can be necessary over time. Individual vocal recognition is also important for 67 developing and mediating social relationships [9-11]. For example, human listeners can 68 recognise familiar individuals by processing the spectral features of their voices [12,13]. 69 More generally, in primates, small differences in the length, volume, and shape of the 70 supra-laryngeal cavities of the vocal tract can lead to small variation in formant patterns 71 that contribute to the encoding of individual identity [14-17]. Such biomechanical and 72 physiological sources of acoustic diversity are broadly shared among mammals [18,19] 73 and have been shown to determine individual spectral signatures in a variety of different 74 species [20,21]. Similarly, formant frequencies have been demonstrated to allow 75 individual recognition in many birds [22,23], although the vocal tract regions involved 76 in filtering the sound source typically differ from those involved in mammalian vocal 77 production [24].

78 Vocal tract resonances in mammals have also been shown to play a role as cues 79 to body size in the context of sexual selection. While selection pressures appear to 80 favour the emergence of anatomical or behavioural adaptations for size exaggeration, 81 anatomical constraints can ultimately render the vocal signal honest by forcing the 82 length of the resonating cavities to correlate with skeletal size [25]. As a consequence, in mammals, these spectral features have been shown to mediate intersexual 83 84 competition and facilitate mate choice [26]. In contrast, recent investigations have failed to find similar correlation between formant frequencies and body size [27-29] in 85 86 birds, suggesting that different anatomical constraints or functional pressures may 87 operate in the Aves class.

88 When looking at the proximate causes of vertebrate vocal communication, 89 several studies have shown genetic, neural, and anatomical parallels in vocal production 90 between birds and mammals. These include homologies in the brain circuits involved in

91 the auditory-vocal control [30] and the presence of universal mechanisms for sound 92 production in the bird syrinx and mammalian larynx [31]. However, explorations of the 93 anatomy of bird vocal tracts have revealed a remarkable diversity in morphologies, 94 including elongated and sound-filtering tracheas [32-34] and a complex system of aerial 95 sacs [35]. Such findings suggest that the observed diversification of the bird vocal 96 apparatus has happened since the taxon divergence within the Tetrapod superclass. 97 Comparative studies focussing on the selective forces behind the evolution of the 98 mechanisms involved in generating the format frequencies in birds and mammals might 99 therefore contribute to a broad understanding of the evolution of vertebrate vocal 100 communication.

101 Penguins are a monophyletic family of seabirds that diverged from the main bird 102 lineage more than 60 Mya [36,37]. Members of this family are philopatric, colonial, and 103 monogamous [38]. Their vocal repertoire is made of four discrete vocal types [39,40], 104 mediating individual recognition and mate choice [41,42]. Penguin calls are produced 105 by vibrating membranes in the syrinx, which generate a complex acoustic signal 106 characterised by a fundamental frequency (corresponding to the rate of vibration of the 107 membranes) and its associated harmonic overtones. The tracheal tube, larynx, and oro-108 pharyngeal cavity subsequently filter the source signal and generate the formants [43]. 109 Within penguins, the African penguin (*Spheniscus demersus*) is an ideal model species 110 to study the extent to which the resonances of the vocal tract encode meaningful 111 biological information in the avian taxon. Indeed, individuals of this species are highly 112 territorial [39], and because of the selective pressures of the colonial and territorial lifestyle [44], their contact calls and ecstatic display songs (breeding vocalisations) have 113 114 been found to encode acoustic cues to individual identity in the pattern of the formants 115 [23,24]. Such spectral features of vocalisations are also stable throughout adulthood [45]. 116

Here we investigated how variability in the dimensions of the vocal apparatus of the African penguin may generate cues to identity in the formant patterns of vocalisations. To do this, we collected morphological data from the vocal apparatuses of wild specimens to characterise inter-individual variation and used this data to derive a virtual population of thousands of different vocal tracts. We then modelled the resonances within these computer-generated vocal tracts to identify the regions responsible for generating most of the variability in the acoustic resonances observed

in living penguins. Finally, we investigated the relationship between vocal tract regions
 and body size, and we discussed whether formant characteristics in vocalisations could
 also provide reliable information regarding the caller's body size in this species.

127

128 Methods

129 Acoustic recordings and analyses

African penguin vocalisations were recorded in April 2019 in the captive bird 130 exhibit at the Southern African Foundation for the Conservation of Coastal Birds 131 132 (SANCCOB) in Cape Town, South Africa. Recordings were collected from outside the 133 area at 5-8 m from the vocalising individuals with a Sennheiser MKH 416 P48 directional 134 microphone (frequency response 20 Hz to 20 kHz) covered with a Rycote Classic Softie Windshield and connected to a ZOOM H5 handy recorder (48 kHz sampling rate). Audio 135 136 files were saved in RIFF-WAVE format (16-bit amplitude resolution) and stored on a 137 32GB secure digital (SD) memory card.

Visual examination of the spectrograms allowed the identification of 95 ecstatic display songs (i.e., loud sequences combining three types of syllables [40]) showing a good signal-to-noise ratio and emitted by 12 adult individuals (11 males and one female) to mediate mate choice and territorial defence. The individuals were sexed by genetic analyses and then identified with flipper bands already provided by SANCCOB. The contribution of each penguin to the final dataset is provided in the Supplementary Material (Table S1).

145 The contour of the first four formants ($F_1 - F_4$) of the first type-B syllable (i.e., the longest vocal units within a sequence [46]) of each display song was extracted in Praat 146 147 (V. 6.1.40) [47] using a Linear Predictive Coding analysis (maximum number of 148 formants = 5, maximum formant = 4000 Hz, time step = 0.045 s). The accurate tracking of the formants was ensured by comparing the output of the LPC with a visual 149 examination of the spectrograms and correcting for octave jumps when necessary. For 150 151 each of the first four formants $(F_1 - F_4)$, we calculated the interindividual coefficient of variation (CV = $\frac{F_n \text{ SD}}{F_n \text{ mean}}$). 152

153

154 Specimens and casting of the vocal tracts

We investigated the vocal apparatus of 20 adult African penguins (4 males and 16 females) found dead in April and May 2019 along the coasts of the South African province of the Western Cape. Although there was no precise way to accurately

158 determine the date of death of individuals, at the time of collection, all cadavers were in a good state of preservation with no signs of scavenging or secondary predation 159 160 present. All post-mortems examinations were conducted at the SANCCOB within 48 161 hours after being collected from the wild, ensuring that all samples were in the best state possible. Cadavers were sexed by visual inspection of the gonads (ovaries or 162 163 testes). However, in the African penguin, no sexual dimorphism has been documented 164 in vocal anatomy that could affect EDS's spectral characteristics. Thus, we did not use the sex of individuals as a variable in subsequent analyses. We obtained a silicone cast 165 166 of the vocal apparatus of each penguin by injecting high-speed catalysed silicone rubber (Bluestar Silicones Rhodorsil[®] RTV) through the larynx while closing, with surgical 167 clamps, the bronchial tubes shortly after the syrinx. Once the entire supra-syringeal 168 169 vocal tract was filled with the silicone solution, we filled in the oral region, placing the 170 bird in the natural position observed during the emission of the ecstatic display songs. 171 This procedure gave us a reliable cast of the entire vocal apparatus during phonation 172 [48] that we extracted after solidification in that precise position (approximately 5 hrs later) as a single piece through the mouth (Figure 1a). In the silicon cast the 173 laryngopharyngeal region is not visible because in cadavers the laryngeal opening is 174 175 closed as it has collapsed (Suppl. Figure S1). To use it in the models and in all 176 computational aspects of the work, we manually placed the structures in the natural 177 phonatory position and extracted the relevant measurements with a calliper. Finally, for 178 each bird, we measured the inter-skull length (ISL) as a proxy of the skeletal dimension 179 [49,50]. All the measurements were taken by the same person (A.S.) using a digital 180 calliper accurate to 0.005 mm.

181

182 Computed tomography scan and calculation of the cross-sectional area

183 Silicone casts of the vocal apparatuses were shipped to the Department of 184 Veterinary Science of the University of Turin (Italy) for Computed Tomography (CT) imaging. All CT images were acquired in a 16-slice helical scanner (SOMATOM Emotion 185 186 16) with slices of 2 mm thickness. Later, the DICOM files were visualised and post-187 processed as multi-planar reconstruction (MPR) with the processing software Osirix (version 6.0, 64bits for Mac, <u>www.osirix-viewer.com</u>). Using the '3D curved path' 188 feature on the midsagittal plane, we measured the cross-sectional area of each vocal 189 190 tract at 2 mm slices along its length from above syringeal constriction until the beak

opening (Figure 1). We did not include the beak length in the length of the vocal tractbecause the EDS is produced with a fully opened beak [40].

193

194 *Computational modelling of the vocal tracts*

195 We used a multi-tube model to generate the vocal tract models that simulated 196 the vocal tract as a series of cylindrical tubes concatenated end-to-end [51]. For all 197 calculations, we considered a single tracheal pipe from the syrinx until the double 198 trachea septum ended into a uniform tracheal region [52]. Once we identified portions' 199 cross-sectional areas for each of the penguins, we estimated formants for each 200 specimen using the anatomical measurements of its vocal tract, and we calculated inter-201 individual coefficient of variation (CV) for each of the first four formants (F_1 - F_4). 202 Furthermore, in order to estimate the fit of the formant patterns predicted by our vocal 203 tract models, we performed a regression analysis with the formants estimated from the 204 vocal tract geometries as a predictor variable and the formants measured in the actual 205 EDS recordings as the predicted variable. The slope of this regression gives a fit for the scaling (the "formant density" as determined by vocal tract length), and the Pearson's 206 207 coefficient provides a fit for the pattern (the relative position of the formants 208 independent of vocal tract length).

209 Having verified that the vocal tract models yielded results within the range of 210 variation of natural formants, we automatically increased or decreased lengths and 211 areas of those portions belonging to a particular region of the vocal tract. We did these 212 changes within the overall variation of that specific region, as measured from the 213 specimens (5% to 10% for the single tracheal pipe, 5% to 20% for the trachea, 5% to 214 20% for the laryngopharyngeal region, and 5% to 15% for the oral cavity). We 215 generated a virtual population of 1160 penguin vocal tracts using these vocal tract area 216 functions as input for a customised version of VTAR modelling software [53,54]. We 217 calculated the acoustic response of each model and collected formants F_1 , F_2 , F_3 , and 218 F_{4} , total length, and total volume, of the region whose measurements were changed 219 [55,56].

220

221 Statistical analyses

Following the approach proposed by Reby and co-authors [19], we confirmed the reliability of the computational models in predicting the resonances of the penguins'

vocal tracts by fitting a linear regression between the resonances of the vocal tracts observed in the ecstatic display songs of living African penguins and those predicted for the original silicone casts subjected to CT scan.

227 Subsequently, to investigate whether region variation was responsible for 228 generating most of the variability in the formant model, we ran eight separate Linear 229 Mixed Models (LMMs [57]) in R (version 4.1.2 [58]). We considered the effect of regions' 230 length and area variation separately, keeping the natural cross-sectional areas for the 231 former (model 1a, 2a, 3a and 4a) and the natural lengths for the latter (model 1b, 2b, 232 3b and 4b). In each group of models, the response variables were the logarithmic transformation of F_1 (models 1a and 1b), F_2 (models 2a and 2b), F_3 (models 3a and 3b) 233 234 and F_4 (models 4a and 4b). We scaled the length and the area variation for each region, 235 the total length, and volume, thus creating z-scored variables. We built the models 236 using the z-scored total length and the interaction between the z-scored length variation 237 and the relative region as fixed factors when considering only the length variation. 238 Likewise, the interaction between the z-scored area variation and the relative region 239 were the fixed factors of the models considering only the area variation. We used the 240 z-scored total volume as a control predictor for all the models and the penguin identity 241 as a random factor.

242 We applied the logarithmic transformation to the vocal tract length and the 243 formant values to obtain a normal distribution and homogeneity of the residuals after looking at the qq-plot and plotting the residuals against the fitted values [59]. We 244 estimated multicollinearity with generalised variance inflation factors (GVIF [60]; R-245 246 function 'vif' [61]). We excluded the collinearity between fixed factors and any 247 parameter estimation issue (all VIFs < 4.8 [62]). We tested the models' significance by 248 comparing each full model against a control model, including only the control predictor 249 and the random factor [63], using a likelihood ratio test (Anova with the 'Chisq' test 250 argument [64]). Then, through likelihood ratio tests between the full model and the 251 respective control model, we calculated p-values for each predictor (R-function' drop1' 252 [65]). We performed post hoc pairwise comparisons using the 'lstrends' and the 'pairs' 253 functions ('Ismeans' R-package [66]) to identify whether the regions' length and area 254 variation produced a significant effect on the formant pattern.

Finally, to investigate whether the regions responsible for generating most of the variability in the formant pattern were unconstrained by the skeletal dimension, we performed Pearson's correlation test ('cor.test' R-function) between the inter-skull length (mm) and each region's length measured from the original silicon cast of the

cadavers' vocal tracts. Then, we also tested the correlation between the inter-skull length (mm) and the original F_n values measured from the silicon cast of the cadavers' vocal tracts.

262

263 **Results**

264 Formants in actual penguins' vocalisations vs predicted from modelled vocal tracts

265 Our results confirmed that the formants observed in living birds fitted well with 266 those obtained by simulating the acoustic resonances in the modelled vocal tracts. Indeed, both the slope of the regression line (indicating a better fit of the scaling of the 267 resonances) and R² (indicating a better fit of the pattern of the resonances) were close 268 269 to 1 (Figure 2). In particular, the formants values measured for the ecstatic display 270 songs were, on average, *F*₁=812 Hz (CV=8.67%), *F*₂=1309 Hz (CV=4.33%), *F*₃=1851 271 Hz (CV=4.52%), and F_4 =2733 Hz (CV=5.99%), while formants predicted from the vocal 272 tract geometries were, on average, F_1 =617 Hz (CV=4.71%), F_2 =1390 Hz (CV=5.96%), F_3 =2192 Hz (CV=6.46%), and F_4 =2908 Hz (CV=9.01%), showing an average variation 273 of less than 10% in frequency. 274

275

276 Vocal tract length variation

277 The models we built to investigate whether, using the natural cross-sectional 278 areas, the length variation of the vocal tract regions influenced the formant pattern 279 significantly differed from the control models (Table 1). We found a negative effect of 280 the vocal tract total length on formant frequencies (Figure 3a). By examining the impact 281 of each region length variation on the formants, we found that the elongation of the 282 single tracheal pipe region lowered F_1 and F_2 and raised F_3 but did not impact F_4 . The 283 lengthening of the laryngopharyngeal region and of the trachea decreased the formants. 284 The elongation of the oral cavity increased only F_2 , F_3 , and F_4 (Figure 3c; Table S2a). The pairwise comparison between the length variation of the regions revealed that, 285 286 across all regions, the elongation of the single tracheal pipe produced the most 287 substantial change in formant frequencies. To a minor extent, lengthening the 288 laryngopharyngeal region also led to a more pronounced change of formants than the trachea and the oral cavity (Figure 3c). We reported estimates, standard error, and p-289 290 values for all the comparisons in Table S3a.

291

292 Vocal tract cross-sectional area variation

293 The models we built to investigate whether, using the natural lengths, the area 294 variation of the vocal tract regions influenced the formant pattern significantly differed 295 from the control models (Table 2). By testing the effect of the variation of each region 296 area on the formant frequencies, we found that a widening of the single tracheal pipe 297 region lowered F_1 , F_2 , and F_3 but raised F_4 . Widening the laryngopharyngeal region increased all the formants, while widening the trachea increased F_1 and F_2 and 298 299 decreased F_3 and F_4 . Widening the oral cavity area increased only F_3 and F_4 (Figure 3c; 300 Table S2b). The pairwise comparison between the area variation of the regions revealed 301 that, across all regions, the widening of the single tracheal pipe produced the most 302 substantial change in formant frequencies, for the exclusion of F₄. To a minor degree, 303 widening the laryngopharyngeal region also led to a more pronounced change of 304 formants than the trachea and the oral cavity (Figure 3c). We reported estimates, 305 standard error, and p-values for all the comparisons in Table S3b.

306

307

Vocal tract length, formants, and body size

308 When testing the correlation between the inter-skull length (mm) and the regions' 309 length, we found that this proxy of body size correlated only with the length of the oral cavity (Pearson's correlation: cor = 0.55, t = 2.767, df = 18, p-value = 0.012). For all 310 311 the other regions, we did not find a significant correlation with the inter-skull length 312 (ISL vs. single tracheal pipe: t = 1.814, df = 18, p-value = 0.08; vs. trachea: t = 1.029, 313 df = 18, p-value = 0.31; vs. laryngopharyngeal region: t = -0.303, df = 18, p-value = 314 0.76). In addition, there were no significant correlations between the inter-skull length 315 and any formant (ISL vs. F_1 : t = -1.445, df = 18, p-value = 0.16; vs. F_2 : t = -1.655, df = 18, p-value = 0.11; vs. F_3 : t = -1.690, df = 18, p-value = 0.10; vs. F_4 : t = -1.293, 316 317 df = 18, p-value = 0.21).

Table 1. Effect of the length variation of the vocal tract regions on the formant pattern F_1 - F_4 , using the natural cross-sectional areas (NA). Full vs. Control: Model 1a: Chisq = 3180.721, df = 8, p-value < 0.0001; Model 2a: Chisq = 2847.599, df = 8, p-value < 0.0001; Model 3a: Chisq = 1889.097, df = 8, p-value < 0.0001; Model 4a: Chisq = 1230.825, df = 8, p-value < 0.0001. ^a Not shown as having a meaningful interpretation. ^b Estimate ± SE refers to the difference in response between the reported level of this categorical predictor and the reference category of the same predictor. ^cThese predictors were dummy coded, with the 'single tracheal pipe x z length variation' being the reference category. "-" denotes a missing value.

		Model 1a				Model 2a			Model 3a		Model 4a			
	response variables	log10(<i>F</i> 1)			log10(F ₂)			log10(<i>F</i> ₃)			log10(F ₄)			
	fixed factors	estimate	SE	p-value	estimate	SE	p-value	estimate	SE	p-value	estimate	SE	p-value	
	z total length	-0.011	0.001	<0.0001	-0.017	0.001	<0.0001	-0.030	0.002	<0.0001	-0.023	0.003	<0.0001	
	z total volume	0.003	0.000	а	0.004	0.001	а	0.000	0.001	а	-0.027	0.002	а	
	laryngopharyngeal region	-0.001	0.000	а	-0.001	0.000	а	-0.001	0.000	а	-0.001	0.000	a	
	oral cavity	-0.001	0.000	а	-0.001	0.000	а	-0.001	0.000	а	-0.001	0.000	а	
	trachea	-0.001	0.000	а	-0.001	0.000	а	-0.001	0.000	а	-0.001	0.000	а	
NA	z length variation	-0.036	0.001	а	-0.022	0.002	а	0.007	0.003	а	0.006	0.005	а	
	region x z length variation	-	-	<0.0001	-	-	<0.0001	-	-	<0.0001	-	-	<0.0001	
	laryngopharyngeal region x z length variation ^{b,c}	0.035	0.001	-	0.021	0.002	-	-0.009	0.003	-	-0.008	0.005	-	
	oral cavity x z length variation ^{b,c}	0.036	0.001	-	0.022	0.002	-	-0.005	0.003	-	0.000	0.005	-	
	trachea x z length variation ^{b,c}	0.036	0.001	_	0.022	0.002	-	-0.008	0.003	_	-0.007	0.005	-	

Table 2. Effect of the vocal tract region's area variation on the formant pattern F_1 - F_4 , using the natural lengths (NL). Full vs. Control: Model 1b: Chisq = 1230.268, df = 7, p-value < 0.0001; Model 2b: Chisq = 1364.831, df = 7, p-value < 0.0001; Model 3b: Chisq = 1002.692, df = 7, p-value < 0.0001; Model 4b: Chisq = 488.873, df = 7, p-value < 0.0001.) ^a Not shown as having a meaningful interpretation. ^b Estimate ± SE refers to the difference in response between the reported level of this categorical predictor and the reference category of the same predictor. ^cThese predictors were dummy coded, with the 'single tracheal pipe x z area variation' being the reference category. "-" denotes a missing value.

332

		Model 1b				odel 2b		Мо	del 3b		М		
	response variables	log10(F1)			log10(F2)			log10(<i>F</i> ₃)			lo		
	fixed factors	estimate	SE	p-value	estimate	SE	p-value	estimate	SE	p-value	estimate	SE	p-value
	z total volume	0.002	0.001	а	0.002	0.001	а	0.001	0.001	а	-0.010	0.001	а
	laryngopharyngeal region	0.000	0.000	а	0.000	0.000	а	-0.001	0.000	а	0.000	0.000	а
	oral cavity	0.000	0.000	а	0.000	0.000	а	0.000	0.000	а	0.000	0.000	а
	trachea	0.000	0.000	а	0.000	0.000	а	0.000	0.000	а	0.000	0.000	а
	z area variation	-0.004	0.000	а	-0.005	0.000	а	-0.003	0.000	а	0.003	0.000	а
NL	region x z area variation	-	-	<0.0001	-	-	<0.0001	-	-	<0.0001	-	-	<0.0001
	laryngopharyngeal region x z area variation ^{b,c}	0.006	0.000	-	0.007	0.000	-	0.006	0.000	-	-0.001	0.000	-
	oral cavity x z area variation ^{b,c}	0.004	0.000	-	0.005	0.000	-	0.004	0.000	-	0.001	0.000	-
	trachea x z area variation ^{b,c}	0.005	0.000	-	0.005	0.000	-	0.002	0.000	-	-0.005	0.000	-

334 **Discussion**

Using an integrated approach combining anatomical investigations, vocal tract 335 modelling and acoustic analyses, we examined how variation in the geometry (length 336 337 and cross-sectional areas) of the vocal tract generates variability in formant patterns of 338 the EDS vocalisations of African penguins. Our results provide the first evidence in a 339 seabird species that 1) the variation in the length and cross-sectional area of the vocal 340 tract affects formant frequency patterns and 2) this variation is better described 341 considering the independent contribution of the different vocal tract regions, rather than 342 the overall volume of the vocal tract. When looking at the models simulating random variation in the morphology of the penguins' vocal tracts, we found that an increase in 343 344 the length of the whole tracheal tube (i.e., single tracheal pipe + trachea + 345 laryngopharyngeal region) lowers most of the formant frequencies. This is consistent with the results of a study modelling the acoustic resonances in the vocal tract of the 346 347 house sparrow (*Passer domesticus*), where decreasing the tracheal length was found to 348 increase the harmonic resonance [67]. However, in the African penguin, when 349 considering the length variation of specific regions, we found that the elongation of the 350 oral cavity increased formant frequencies, although the effect is minimal (TABLE S2a). 351 Moreover, we found that widening the single tracheal pipe in the African penguin lowers the formants, but the same variation applied to the other regions determines an overall 352 increase in the resonant frequencies. Also in this case, our findings support what has 353 been described in songbirds' vocal system, where the oropharyngeal-oesophageal 354 355 cavity strongly influences sound filtering [67-69].

Formant frequencies have been shown to provide acoustic cues to caller identity 356 in several non-passerine birds [23,24,27-29]. However, the anatomical determinants 357 358 of the formant patterns have never been investigated in detail. Here, we report that, in 359 the African penguin, slight variations (i.e., 5% to 20%) of the length and cross-sectional 360 area of the tracheal regions - either in the portion where it is divided by the longitudinal 361 septum [52] or in the proximity of the laryngopharyngeal cavity - cause a broad shift 362 (i.e., up to 40%; Figure 3) in the formants of the vocalisations. We thus conclude that these regions can play a crucial role in determining the formant pattern of penguins' 363 calls and, thus, in encoding the acoustic cues to individual identity in this species [24]. 364 365 Previous studies demonstrated that humans perceive shifts in formant frequency 366 spacing in speech of 4% or less [70,71], while non-human mammals are sensitive to

367 shifts of 8–10% variation in their species-specific calls [72-74]. Although further investigations are needed to precisely determine most bird species' sensitivity to 368 369 variation in formant frequencies, experimental evidence suggests that a variation of 370 ±20% of the formant spacing allows African penguins to perceive variation between 371 conspecific vocalisations [75]. This species lives in relatively dense colonies, but each 372 pair has a defined nest and only interacts with direct neighbours. For this reason, a 373 small Potential of Individual Coding (PIC: the ratio between the within-individual 374 variation and the between-individual variation) is necessary [24], unlike species that 375 live in large colonies but do not nest [39].

376 Importantly, we also found that the lengths of most of the regions of the vocal 377 tract do not correlate with the birds' skeletal dimension (i.e., inter-skull length - ISL) 378 and that ISL does not correlate with formants predicted for their vocal tracts. The only 379 portion of the vocal tract that was significantly correlated with inter-skull length was 380 the oral cavity, which only contributed to ~20% of the formant variability of the spectral 381 envelope (Figure 3c). Together, our results show that, in the African penguin, the 382 anatomical regions of the vocal tract affecting formant correlates of individual identity 383 are not determined by the body dimension of the caller. Formant frequencies are known 384 to provide cues to caller body size in several non-human mammals, including ungulates 385 [25], non-human primates [76,77], and even marsupials [78]. In these taxa, the length 386 of the vocal tract is constrained to various extents by the skeletal size, including the 387 neck, head, and sternum. However, in cases where the vocal tract development is 388 relatively free from skeletal size constraints, such as in humans, where the larynx is 389 descended from the normal mammal position as an adaptation for speech, only a weak relationship is observed between formant frequencies and body dimension when 390 examining individuals from the same sex and age class [77,79,80]. Similarly, we found 391 392 that a lack of correlation between format frequencies and skeletal size is observed in 393 the African penguin, where the bird's skeleton does not anatomically constrain the 394 trachea. Indeed, while it has been found that in the King penguin (Genus *Aptenodytes*) 395 the trachea lies straight in line with the thorax [81] in the African penguin, the trachea 396 and oesophagus form a slight loop as they exit the interclavicular air sac and are 397 laterally displaced towards the right-hand side of the neck (Suppl. Figure S2). To the 398 best of our knowledge, the lack of correlation between formant frequencies and skeletal 399 dimension has been reported for four other bird species, including the Humboldt

400 (Spheniscus humboldti) and Magellanic (S. magellanicus) penguins [29], two 401 congeneric species of the African penguin, the herring gull (Larus argentatus [28]), and 402 the phylogenetically distant corncrake (Crex crex [27]). Our findings, combined with 403 this previous research, suggest that this anatomical condition in birds may be ancestral 404 and widespread also beyond species with "exaggerated" trachea elongation [33]. 405 Overall, our results also broaden our knowledge of the role of *homologous* anatomical 406 regions of bird and mammal vocal apparatuses in shaping the spectral envelope of the 407 vocalisations [82,83].

408 More generally, our results indicate that, in the African penguin, the filter-related 409 information encoded in the spectral envelope of the callers' vocalisations is likely to 410 support the ability of receivers to discriminate conspecifics and recognize their 411 reproductive partner [24]. However, the lack of clear correlation between body size indexes and formant frequencies may explain why the animals do not appear to use 412 formant frequency scaling to assess the size of potential mates or rivals. Further 413 414 investigations should be directed toward understanding whether the source-related 415 vocal parameters (determined by the dimension of the syringeal membranes) or the 416 temporal characteristics of the vocal displays (determined by the lungs and air sacs 417 capacity) might instead convey honest information about the emitter's body size and 418 thus mediate intrasexual competition and intersexual mate choice.

419

420 **Ethics.** The study was approved by the Research and Ethics Committee of The South 421 African Foundation for the Conservation of Coastal Birds (approval number REC19/02).

422 **Data Accessibility.** The data are available upon request at 423 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7867240

Authors' contributions. LF, MG, NM, and DR conceived and designed the study. LF realised the silicone casts with the help of AS, KL and DR. AV, LM, and FS performed the computed tomography scans with the help of LF and MG. FC collected the morphological measurements in Osirix. OF and MG realised the computational models of the vocal tracts. AZ and MG performed the statistical analyses. AZ, LF, MG, and DR wrote the paper with helpful input from all authors.

430 **Competing interests.** We declare we have no competing interests.

Funding. This study was funded by the University of Lyon IDEXLYON [grant no. ANR16-IDEX-0005], Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), University of
Lyon/Saint-Etienne, Institut Universitaire de France (NM & DR), and Labex CeLyA.

434 SANCCOB is supported by a large variety of funders. This research was also partially
435 supported by the National Geographic Society [grant number NGS-67401R-20].

436 Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Eleonora Cresta for her support during the 437 silicone casting procedures and to Federica Sammartano for helping with computed 438 tomography scans. We also thank Franziska Feist and Emma Tourtigues for their 439 contribution to the visual inspection of the spectrograms.

440

441 Figure Legends

442

Figure 1. Silicon cast of an African penguin vocal tract. Lines crossing the cast indicate the position of three slices of CT scans represented in the panels: (a) oral cavity, (b) trachea, (c) tracheal pipes. The trachea is divided into two pipes starting just below slice b (i.e., approx. 1 cm below the larynx). The laryngopharyngeal region is not visible in the silicon cast because in cadavers the laryngeal opening is closed.

448

Figure 2. Correlations between the resonances measured in African penguin ecstatic
display songs (y-axis) and those predicted for the CT scanned vocal tracts (x-axis).

451

Figure 3. (a) Effect of the variation of the vocal tract regions on formant frequencies 452 when using natural cross-sectional area measurements. (b) Impact of the vocal tract 453 454 region volume on formant frequencies when using natural length measurements. (c) Percentage of F_n variation [-25< $\Delta F_n(\%)$ <+25] when lengthening and widening each 455 456 region of the vocal tract. Purple circles represent the variation range of ΔF_n (minimum ΔF_n - maximum ΔF_n) under the region length variation condition; Blue circles represent 457 the variation range of ΔF_n (minimum ΔF_n - maximum ΔF_n) under the region area 458 variation condition. 459

- 460
- 461

462 **References**

- 463 1. Chen Z, Wiens JJ. 2020 The origins of acoustic communication in vertebrates. *Nat.*464 *Commun.* 11,369. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14356-3
- 465 2. Wilkins MR, Seddon NR, Safran RJ. 2013 Evolutionary divergence in acoustic signals:
 466 causes and consequences. *Trends. Ecol. Evol.* 28,156–166.
 467 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.10.002

- 3. Fitch WT. 2017 Empirical approaches to the study of language evolution. *Psychon. Bull. Rev.* 24,3–33. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-017-1236-5
- 470 4. Carlson NV, Kelly EMK, Couzin I. 2020 Individual vocal recognition across taxa: a
 471 review of the literature and a look into the future. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B.*472 375(1802),20190479. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0479</u>
- 5. Briefer E, Vannoni E, McElligott AG. 2010 Quality prevails over identity in the sexually
 selected vocalisations of an ageing mammal. *BMC Biol.* 8, 35.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7007-8-35</u>
- 476 6. Sibiryakova OV, Volodin IA, Matrosova VA, Volodina EV, Garcia AJ, Gallego L,
 477 Landete-Castillejos T. 2015 The power of oral and nasal calls to discriminate
 478 individual mothers and offspring in red deer, Cervus elaphus. *Front. Zool.* 12, 2.
 479 <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12983-014-0094-5</u>
- 7. Chelysheva EV, Klenova AV, Volodin IA, Volodina EV. 2023 Advertising sex and
 individual identity by long-distance chirps in wild-living mature cheetahs (*Acinonyx jubatus*). *Ethology*. 129, 288-300. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/eth.13366</u>
- 483 8. Matrosova VA, Volodin IA, Volodina EV. 2009 The short-term and long-term
 484 individuality in speckled ground squirrel alarm calls. *J. Mammal.* 90, 158-166.
 485 https://doi.org/10.1644/08-MAMM-A-032.1
- 486 9. Rendall D, Cheney DL, and Seyfarth RM. 2000 Proximate factors mediating "contact"
 487 calls in adult female baboons (*Papio cynocephalus ursinus*) and their infants. *J. Comp.*488 *Psychol.* 114(1),36. https://doi.org/10.1037/0735-7036.114.1.36
- 489 10. McComb K, Moss C, Sayialel S, Baker L. 2000 Unusually extensive networks of vocal
 490 recognition in African elephants. *Anim. Behav.* 59(6),1103-1109.
 491 <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.2000.1406</u>
- 492 11. Ward A, Webster M. 2016 Mechanisms: Social Recognition and Social Organisation.
- 493 In: Sociality: The Behaviour of Group-Living Animals. Springer, Cham.
 494 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-28585-6_2</u>
- 495 12. Lavan N, McGettigan C. 2023 A model for person perception from familiar and
 496 unfamiliar voices. *Commun. Psychol.* 1, 1. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s44271-023-</u>
 497 <u>00001-4</u>
- 498 13. Scott S, McGettigan C. 2016 The voice: From identity to interactions. In APA
 499 handbook of nonverbal communication (eds D Matsumoto, HC Hwang, MG Frank) pp.
- 500 289–305. American Psychological Association. https://doi.org/10.1037/14669-011
- 501 14. Vorperian HK, Wang S, Chung MK, Schimek EM, Durtschi RB, Kent RD, ... Gentry 502 LR. 2009 Anatomic development of the oral and pharyngeal portions of the vocal

- 503
 tract:
 An
 imaging
 study.
 JASA.
 125(3),
 1666-1678.

 504
 https://doi.org/10.1121/1.3075589
- 505 15. Mathias SR, von Kriegstein K. 2014 How do we recognise who is speaking? *Front.*506 *Biosci.* 6, 92–109. <u>https://doi.org/10.2741/s417</u>
- 507 16. Gamba M, Favaro L, Araldi A, Matteucci V, Giacoma C, Friard O. 2017 Modeling
 508 individual vocal differences in group-living lemurs using vocal tract morphology. *Curr.* 509 *Zool.* 63(4), 467-475. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/cz/zox023</u>
- 510 17. Wyman MT, Walkenhorst B, Manser MB. 2022. Selection levels on vocal
 511 individuality: strategic use or byproduct. *Curr. Opin. Behav. Sci.* 46, 101140.
 512 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2022.101140</u>
- 513 18. Fitch WT, de Boer B, Mathur N, Ghazanfar AA. 2016 Monkey vocal tracts are speech514 ready. *Sci. Adv.* 2, e1600723-e1600723. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1600723
- 515 19. Reby D, Wyman MT, Frey R, Charlton BD, Dalmont JP, Gilbert J. 2018 Vocal tract
- 516 modelling in fallow deer: are male groans nasalized?. *J. Exp. Biol.* 221(17), 517 jeb179416. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.179416
- 518 20. Townsend SW, Charlton BD, Manser MB. 2014 Acoustic cues to identity and predator
 519 context in meerkat barks. *Anim. Behav.* 94, 143-149.
 520 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.05.021
- 521 21. Green A, Clark C, Favaro L, Lomax S, Reby D. 2019 Vocal individuality of Holstein522 Friesian cattle is maintained across putatively positive and negative farming
 523 contexts. *Sci. Rep.* 9(1), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-54968-4
- 524 22. Fitch WT, Kelley JP. 2000 Perception of Vocal Tract Resonances by Whooping Cranes
 525 Grus americana. *Ethology*. 106(6), 559-574. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439 526 0310.2000.00572.x
- 52723. Favaro L, Gili C, Da Rugna C, Gnone G, Fissore C, Sanchez D, ... Pessani D. 2016528Vocal individuality and species divergence in the contact calls of banded529penguins. BehavProcesses.128,83-88.
- 530 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2016.04.010
- 531 24. Favaro L, Gamba M, Alfieri C, Pessani D, McElligott AG. 2015 Vocal individuality
 532 cues in the African penguin (*Spheniscus demersus*): a source-filter theory
 533 approach. *Sci. Rep.* 5(1),17255. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep17255
- 25. Reby D, McComb K. 2003 Anatomical constraints generate honesty: acoustic cues
 to age and weight in the roars of red deer stags. *Anim. Behav.* 65, 519-530.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.2003.2078</u>

- 26. Reby D, McComb K, Cargnelutti B, Darwin C, Fitch WT, Clutton-Brock T. 2005 Red
 deer stags use formants as assessment cues during intrasexual agonistic
 interactions. *Proc. Roy. Soc. B.* 272(1566), 941-947.
 https://doi.org/10.1098%2Frspb.2004.2954
- 541 27. Budka M, Osiejuk TS. 2013 Formant frequencies are acoustic cues to caller
 542 discrimination and are a weak indicator of the body size of corncrake males. *Ethology*.
 543 119, 960–969. https://doi.org/10.1111/eth.12141
- 544 28. Hardouin LA, Thompson R, Stenning M, Reby D. 2014 Anatomical bases of sex- and
 545 size-related acoustic variation in herring gull alarm calls. *J. Avian. Biol.* 45, 157-166.
 546 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-048X.2013.00144.x
- 547 29. Favaro L, Gamba M, Gili C, Pessani D. 2017 Acoustic correlates of body size and
 548 individual identity in banded penguins. *PLoS One*. 12(2), e0170001.
 549 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0170001
- 30. Jarvis ED. 2007 Neural Systems For vocal learning in birds and humans: a synopsis.
 J. Ornithol. 148, 35–54. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10336-007-0243-0
- 31. Elemans CP, Rasmussen JH, Herbst CT, Düring DN, Zollinger SA, Brumm H, ... Švec
 JG. 2015 Universal mechanisms of sound production and control in birds and
 mammals. *Nat. Commun.* 6(1), 8978. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9978
- 32. Beckers GJ, Suthers RA, Cate CT. 2003 Pure-tone birdsong by resonance filtering
 of harmonic overtones. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100(12), 7372-7376.
 https://doi.org/10.1073%2Fpnas.1232227100
- 558 33. Fitch WT. 1999 Acoustic exaggeration of size in birds via tracheal elongation:
 559 comparative and theoretical analyses. *J. Zool.* 248, 31–48.
 560 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1999.tb01020.x
- 34. Daley M, Goller F. 2004 Tracheal length changes during zebra finch song and their
 possible role in upper vocal tract filtering. *J. Neurobiol.* 59, 319–330.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/neu.10332
- 35. Schmidt MF, Wild JM. 2014 The respiratory-vocal system of songbirds: anatomy,
 physiology, and neural control. *Prog. Brain. Res.* 212, 297-335.
 https://doi.org/10.1016%2FB978-0-444-63488-7.00015-X
- 567 36. Baker AJ, Pereira SL, Haddrath OP, Edge KA. 2006 Multiple gene evidence for 568 expansion of extant penguins out of Antarctica due to global cooling. *Proc. R. Soc. B.*
- 569 273, 11–17. https://doi.org/10.1098%2Frspb.2005.3260

37. Vianna JA, Fernandes FA, Frugone MJ, Figueiró HV, Pertierra LR, Noll D, ... Bowie
RC. 2020 Genome-wide analyses reveal drivers of penguin diversification. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 117(36), 22303-22310. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2006659117

38. Borboroglu G, Boersma P. 2013 Penguins: natural history and conservation. Seattle:
University of Washington Press.

- 575 39. Jouventin P. 1982 Visual and vocal signals in penguins, their evolution and adaptive 576 characters. *Fortschritte der Verhaltensforschung*. *24*, 148.
- 40. Favaro L, Ozella L, Pessani D. 2014 The vocal repertoire of the African Penguin
 (*Spheniscus demersus*): structure and function of calls. *PloS One*. 9(7), e103460.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0103460
- 41. Aubin T, Jouventin P, Hildebrand C. 2000 Penguins use the two-voice system to
 recognize each other. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 267:1081–1087.
 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2000.1112
- 42. Baciadonna L, Solvi C, La Cava S, Pilenga C, Gamba M, Favaro L. 2021 Cross-modal
 individual recognition in the African penguin and the effect of partnership. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 288(1960), 20211463. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2021.1463
- 586 43. Favaro L, Gamba M, Ludynia K, Snyman A, Pichegru L, Cresta E, ... Reby D. 2020,
 587 December. Computational modelling of penguins' vocal tract. In: *Forum*588 *Acusticum* (pp. 2037-2037).
- 44. Jouventin P, Aubin T. 2002 Acoustic systems are adapted to breeding ecologies:
 individual recognition in nesting penguins. *Anim. Behav.* 64(5), 747-757.
 https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.2002.4002
- 45. Calcari C, Pilenga C, Baciadonna L, Gamba M, Favaro L. 2021 Long-term stability of
 vocal individuality cues in a territorial and monogamous seabird. *Anim. Cogn.* 24(6),
 1165-1169. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10071-021-01518-z
- 46. Favaro L, Gamba M, Cresta E, Fumagalli E, Bandoli F, Pilenga C, ... Reby D. 2020
 Do penguins' vocal sequences conform to linguistic laws?. *Biol. Lett.* 16(2),
 20190589. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2019.0589
- 47. Boersma P. 2001 Praat, a system for doing phonetics by computer. *Glot Int.* 5, 341–
 345.
- 600 48. Favaro L, Pichegru L. 2018 Penguins: Behavioural Ecology and Vocal
 601 Communication. In *Encyclopedia of Animal Cognition and Behavior* (eds J Vonk, T
- 602 Shackelford) Springer, Cham. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-47829-6_844-1</u>

- 49. Plateau O, Foth C. 2021 Common Patterns of Skull Bone Fusion and Their Potential
 to Discriminate Different Ontogenetic Stages in Extant Birds. Front. Ecol. Evol. 9,
 737199. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2021.737199
- 50. Shatkovska OV, Ghazali M. 2021 Relative skull size as one of the factors limiting
 skull shape variation in passerines. Can. J. Zool. 99(12), 1054-1066.
 https://doi.org/10.1139/cjz-2021-0106
- 609 51. Gamba M, Friard O, Giacoma C. 2012 Vocal tract morphology determines species-
- specific features in vocal signals of lemurs (*Eulemur*). *Int. J. Primatol.* 33, 14531466. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-012-9635-y
- 52. Zeek PM. 1951 Double trachea in penguins and sea lions. *Anat. Rec.* 111(3),32743. https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.1091110305
- 53. Zhang Z, Espy-Wilson CY. 2004 A vocal-tract model of American English/l. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 115(3), 1274-1280. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.1645248
- 54. Zhou X, Espy-Wilson CY, Boyce S, Tiede M, Holland C, Choe A. 2008 A magnetic
 resonance imaging-based articulatory and acoustic study of "retroflex" and
 "bunched" American English/r. *J. Acous. Soc. Am.* 123(6), 4466-4481.
 https://doi.org/10.1121%2F1.2902168
- 55. Gamba M, Giacoma C. 2005 Vocal production mechanisms in ruffed lemurs: a
 prosimian model for the basis of primate phonation. In: *MAVEBA 2005, Proceedings*of the 4th International Workshop on Models and Analysis of Vocal Emissions for
 Biomedical Applications; 207-210. Firenze university press.
- 624 https://doi.org/10.1400/41050
- 56. Gamba M, Medard J, Andriamialison H, Rakotoarisoa G, Giacoma C. 2007 Vocal tract
 modeling as a tool to investigate species-specific cues in vocalization. In: *Proceedings*
- 627 of the 5th International Workshop on Models and Analysis of Vocal Emissions for
 628 Biomedical Applications; 225-227. Firenze university press.
- 57. Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. 2014 Fitting linear mixed-effects models
 using lme4. arXiv:1406.5823 [stat]. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1406.5823
- 631 58. R Core Team 2020. R: a language and environment for statistical computing.
- 632 Vienna (Austria): R Foundation for Statistical Computing https://www.r-project.org/.
- 59. Estienne V, Mundry R, Kühl HS, Boesch C. 2017 Exploitation of underground bee
 nests by three sympatric consumers in Loango National Park, Gabon. *Biotropica*. 49,
 101–109. https://doi.org/10.1111/btp.12354
- 636 60. Fox J, Monette G. 1992 Generalized collinearity diagnostics. *JASA*. 87(417),
 637 178e183. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1992.10475190

- 638 61. Fox J, Weisberg S. 2011 An R Companion to Applied Regression (2nd ed.) Thousand
 639 Oaks, CA: SAGE.
- 640 62. Akinwande MO, Dikko HG, Samson A. 2015 Variance inflation factor: as a condition 641 for the inclusion of suppressor variable(s) in regression analysis. *Open. J.*
- 642 Stat. 5(07), 754. http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ojs.2015.57075
- 643 63. Forstmeier W, Schielzeth H. 2011 Cryptic multiple hypotheses testing in linear
 644 models: overestimated effect sizes and the winner's curse. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*645 65, 47–55. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-010-1038-5
- 646 64. Dobson AJ. 2002 An Introduction to Generalized Linear Models. (2nd edn). Boca
 647 Raton (FL): Chapman and Hall/CRC Press.
- 648 65. Barr DJ, Levy R, Scheepers C, Tily HJ. 2013 Random effects structure for
- confirmatory hypothesis testing: Keep it maximal. *J. Mem. Lang.* 68, 255e278.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jml.2012.11.001
- 651 66. Lenth RV. 2016 Least-Squares Means: The R Package Ismeans. J. Stat.
 652 Softw. 69(1), 1–33. <u>https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v069.i01</u>
- 653 67. Kazemi A, Kesba M, Provini P. 2023 Realistic three-dimensional avian vocal tract
 654 model demonstrates how shape affects sound filtering (*Passer domesticus*). J. R. Soc.
 655 Interface. 20(198), 20220728. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2022.0728</u>
- 656 68. Riede T, Suthers RA, Fletcher NH, Blevins WE. 2006 Songbirds tune their vocal tract
 657 to the fundamental frequency of their song. *PNAS*. 103, 5543–5548.
 658 <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0601262103</u>
- 659 69. Riede T, Schilling N, Goller F. 2012 The acoustic effect of vocal tract adjustments in
 cebra finches. J. Comp. Physiol. A. 199, 57–69. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00359012-0768-4
- 562 70. Smith DR, Patterson RD, Turner R, Kawahara H, Irino T. 2005 The processing and
 563 perception of size information in speech sounds. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 117*(1), 305-
- 664 318. <u>https://doi.org/10.1121/1.1828637</u>
- 665 71. Puts DA, Hodges CR, Cárdenas RA, Gaulin SJ. 2007 Men's voices as dominance
- signals: vocal fundamental and formant frequencies influence dominance attributions
 among men. *Evol. Hum. Behav. 28*(5), 340-344.
- 668 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2007.05.002</u>
- 669 72. Charlton B, Reby D, McComb K. 2007 Female red deer prefer the roars of larger
 670 males. *Biol. Lett.* 3, 382–385. https://doi.org/10.1098%2Frsbl.2007.0244
- 671 73. Charlton BD, Zhihe Z, Snyder RJ. 2010. Giant pandas perceive and attend to
- 672 formant frequency variation in male bleats. Anim. Behav. 79(6), 1221-1227.

- 673 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2010.02.018</u>
- 674 74. Fitch WT, Kelley JP. 2000 Perception of vocal tract resonances by whooping cranes
 675 Grus americana. *Ethology*. 106, 559-574. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439676 0310.2000.00572.x
- 75. Terranova F, Baciadonna L, Maccarone C, Isaja V, Gamba M, Favaro L. 2023
 Penguins perceive variations of source- and filter-related vocal parameters of
 species-specific vocalisations. *Anim. Cogn.* 1-10.
- 680 76. Fitch WT. 1997 Vocal tract length and formant frequency dispersion correlate with
 681 body size in rhesus macaques. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 102(2), 1213-1222.
 682 https://doi.org/10.1121/1.421048
- 683 77. Collins SA. 2020 Men's voices and women's choices. *Anim. Behav.* 60, 773-780.
 684 https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.2000.1523
- 78. Charlton BD, Ellis WA, McKinnon AJ, Cowin GJ, Brumm J, Nilsson K, Fitch WT. 2011
 Cues to body size in the formant spacing of male koala (*Phascolarctos cinereus*)
 bellows: honesty in an exaggerated trait. *J. Exp. Biol.* 214(20), 3414-3422.
 https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.061358
- 689 79. Gonzáles J. 2004 Formant frequencies and body size of speaker: a weak relationship
- 690
 in
 adult
 humans. J.
 Phon.
 32,
 277-287.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0095

 691
 <u>4470(03)00049-4</u>
- 80. Pisanski K, Fraccaro PJ, Tigue CC, O'Connor JJM, Roder S, Andrews PW, Fink B,
 DeBruine LM, Jones BC, Feinberg DR. 2014 Vocal indicators of body size in men and
 women: a meta-analysis. *Anim. Behav.* 95, 89-99.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.06.011
- 696 81. Kriesell HJ, Le Bohec C, Cerwenka AF, Hertel M, Robin JP, Ruthensteiner B, ...,
- 697 Düring DN. 2020. Vocal tract anatomy of king penguins: morphological traits of two-
- 698 voiced sound production. *Front. Zool*. 17, 1-11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12983-020-</u>
- 699 <u>0351-8</u>
- 700 82. Charlton BD, Reby D. 2016 The evolution of acoustic size exaggeration in terrestrial
- 701 mammals. *Nat. Comm.* 7(1), 12739. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12739
- 702 83. Fitch WT. 2000 The evolution of speech: a comparative review. *Trends. Cogn. Sci.*
- 703 4, 258267. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s1364-6613(00)01494-7</u>