

# Resiliency of fast-growing and slow-growing genotypes of broiler chickens submitted to different environmental temperatures: growth performance and meat quality

A. Huerta,\* A. Pascual,\* F. Bordignon <sup>•</sup>,\* A. Trocino <sup>•</sup>,\*,<sup>†,1</sup> G. Xiccato <sup>•</sup>,\* A. Cartoni Mancinelli,<sup>‡</sup> C. Mugnai,<sup>§</sup> F. Pirrone,\* and M. Birolo <sup>•</sup>\*

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Agronomy, Food, Natural Resources, Animals and Environment (DAFNAE), University of Padova, 35020 Legnaro, Padova, Italy; <sup>†</sup>Department of Comparative Biomedicine and Food Science (BCA), University of Padova, 35020 Legnaro, Padova, Italy; <sup>‡</sup>Department of Agricultural, Environmental, and Food Science, University of Perugia, 06121 Perugia, Italy; and <sup>§</sup>Department of Veterinary Sciences, University of Turin, 10095 Grugliasco,

Torino, Italy

ABSTRACT Growth performance and meat quality were assessed in 238 chicks of both sexes belonging to a commercial crossbreed (Ross 308), and 2 Italian local breeds (Bionda Piemontese-BP and Robusta Maculata—**RM**). The chickens were kept in 2 rooms at standard environmental conditions or under heat stress  $(+4.7^{\circ}C \text{ on average})$  until slaughtering (42 d of age for Ross 308 and 99 d for RM and BP chickens). The Ross chickens showed the highest final live weight, feed intake, and daily weight gain, and the best feed conversion ratio compared to the local breeds (P < 0.001), with RM performing better than BP chickens. Thus, Ross chickens had the heaviest carcasses, the highest slaughter, and breast yields followed by RM and BP chickens (P < 0.001). At the pectoralis major (**p. major**) muscle, Ross chickens showed the highest pH, lightness, and yellowness, besides the highest cooking losses, whereas BP showed the highest redness (P < 0.001). Ross meat had higher water and ether extract contents, and lower crude protein content compared to BP and RM (P < 0.001), whereas no differences among genotypes were measured for the fatty acid profile. At the sensory analysis, Ross breasts had a higher juiciness compared to BP ones, besides a lower score for "brothy and chickeny/meaty" and a higher one for "wet feathers" compared to local breeds (0.05 < P < 0.001). The increase of the room temperature decreased growth performance and cold carcass weight (P < 0.001) compared to standard conditions, whereas the rate of  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid in the meat increased (P < 0.01). The effect of a high environmental temperature on growth performance and slaughter and meat quality traits was more pronounced in Ross compared to BP and RM chickens (0.05 < P < 0.001; significant interaction genotype  $\times$  temperature). In conclusions, local chicken breeds showed lower performance and slaughter yield compared to the commercial genotype, but more favorable meat quality traits and higher resilience to the environmental heat-stress.

Key words: local breed, sex, heat stress, myopathy, sensory analysis

# INTRODUCTION

Global outlooks forecast a constant raise of the demand for meat proteins, due to an increase of population and incomes, changes in home habits, and needs for food security (FAO, 2022). Poultry meat will cover large part of this demand, due to its competitive production and costs, absence of cultural or religious obstacles to consumption, healthy nutritional profile (high protein,

Accepted September 27, 2023.

2023 Poultry Science 102:103158 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2023.103158

low fat and cholesterol contents, and balanced n-3/n-6 fatty acid ratio), and sensorial properties (texture, color, and flavor) (Petracci et al., 2013). In the last 50 years, genetic selection of broiler chickens has been based on fast growth rates and feed efficiency, coupled with high carcass and breast yields (Tixier-Boichard, 2020). However, these selected genotypes are highly susceptible to stress; they decrease their production under less than optimal environmental conditions (Mikulski et al., 2011; Soleimani et al., 2011). The stress responses are associated with some welfare and health issues, impaired walking ability, cardiovascular problems, ineffective thermoregulation, and occurrence of muscle abnormalities (Petracci et al., 2015; Hartcher and Lum, 2020).

<sup>© 2023</sup> The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of Poultry Science Association Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Received August 13, 2023.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Corresponding author: angela.trocino@unipd.it

The current challenging environmental and geopolitical scenarios are calling for sustainable productions based on animals resilient to climate changes, with special emphasis on heat stress, and on alternative feeds, other than conventional raw materials. Slow-growing chicken genotypes are expected to adapt to challenging environmental and nutritional conditions (Castellini et al., 2002b, 2016), and the sensorial, nutritional, and technological traits of their meat are certainly different from those of the commercial genotypes (Castellini et al., 2002b; Fanatico et al., 2005), which can be valued by consumers. Additionally, these animals are valuable source of biodiversity in crosses with commercial genotypes (Soleimani et al., 2011). However, data on their performance are scarce (Soglia et al., 2020; Cartoni Mancinelli et al., 2023); with few studies are available about their response under challenging environmental conditions (Deeb and Cahaner, 2001), whereas several reports have been published about the effects of heat stress in standard commercial genotypes (Brugaletta et al., 2022). This lack of information is especially relevant for Italian local breeds. Among these latter, Bionda Piemontese (**BP**) and Robusta Maculata (**RM**) are slowgrowing chickens, originating from Piedmont (northwest of Italy) and Veneto (north-east of Italy), respectively, which could be of interest for meat and egg production (Ferrante et al., 2016).

Thus, the present study aimed to determine the response of different genotypes, 1 commercial crossbreed (Ross 308) and 2 Italian local breeds (BP and RM) under different environmental conditions (standard vs. high temperature), as to their productive performance, carcass traits, and meat rheological, nutritional, and sensorial properties.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Ethics Statement

The study was approved by the Ethical Committee for Animal Experimentation (Organismo Preposto al Benessere degli Animali, **OPBA**) of the University of Padova, Italy (project 7/2021; Prot. n. 15481, approved on 01/02/2021). All animals were handled according to the principles stated in the EC Directive 2010/63/EU. Research staff involved in animal handling were animal specialists (PhD or MS in Animal Science) and veterinary practitioners.

# Facilities, Animals, Diets, and In Vivo Recordings

The trial was performed at the poultry house of the University of Padova (Legnaro, Padova, Italy), during the period from October to March. The poultry house had 2 identical rooms, both equipped with a cooling system, forced ventilation, radiant heating and controlled light systems. A data logger (P5185, PeakTech, Prüfund Messtechnik GmbH Gerstenstieg, Ahrensburg, Germany) was placed in the center of each room, approximately at 30 cm above the ground, to record temperature and relative humidity during 24 h.

HUERTA ET AL.

A total of 24 wire-net pens (1.25 m wide  $\times$  2.60 m long  $\times$  1.20 m height; 3.25 m<sup>2</sup> total surface; 12 pens per room) were used. Each pen was equipped with 5 automatic nipple drinkers and a circular feeder (diameter: 37 cm) for manual distribution of feed. The pens had a concrete floor covered with litter made of a 50 to 50 mixture of wood shavings and chopped wheat straw (depth 7 cm, 2.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Twenty-four hours of light were provided during the first 2 d after the chickens arrived at the poultry house. Then, the hours of lights were progressively reduced until a 18L:6D light program was reached, which was maintained from the 12th d onward.

A total of 238 two-days-old chicks of both sexes belonging to 3 genotypes were used, that is, 105 chicks of a commercial fast-growing genetic line, Ross 308 (Aviagen Group, Newbridge, UK) (52 females, 53 males); 100 chicks Bionda Piemontese (56 females, 44 males); and 33 chicks of Robusta Maculata (15 females, 18 males) were used. The different numbers of chicks among genotypes and the unbalanced sex ratio for local breeds were due to the low hatching performance of the local breeds, especially RM. All eggs of the 3 genotypes were incubated under the same conditions in the same commercial hatchery. Eggs of Ross chickens came from a standard commercial breeding farm; eggs of BP and RM chickens were produced at the experimental facilities of the University of Torino and the University of Perugia, respectively. For these 2 local breeds, the incubated eggs were 150 for BP and 196 for RM; the fertile eggs were 144 and 47 for BP and RM, respectively; and the hatched eggs were 100 and 33 for BP and RM. Notwithstanding the higher number of incubated eggs of RM, due to the low fertility and hatching performance, the final number of RM chicks available for the trial was lower than what scheduled (around 50 per genotype per sex). All chicks were vaccinated against coccidiosis, Marek's disease, infectious bronchitis, and Newcastle disease at the hatchery, and delivered by a commercial authorized truck to the experimental facilities of the University of Padova.

On their arrival, the chicks were individually identified by a leg mark and randomly allocated to the 24 pens of the 2 rooms according to a tri-factorial arrangement, that is, 3 genotypes (Ross 308, BP, and RM), 2 room temperatures (standard, S vs. high, H temperature), and 2 sexes (i.e., 12 experimental groups, 2 replicates per group) until slaughtering (42 d of age for Ross 308 and 99 d of age for RM and BP chickens). According to the total number of chicks available, the number of birds per pen was 13 to 14 for Ross, 12 to 14 for BP, and 4 to 5 for RM chickens.

As for the environmental temperature, the range used in the room under standard conditions (S) was set according recommendations for broiler chickens until the fifth week of age (Aviagen, 2018), that is, decreasing from 28°C to 30°C during the first week to 20°C to 22°C during fifth week onward. In the room with high temperature (H), artificial heating was set to maintain a higher temperature of 1°C to 2°C during the first week and then increasing the difference until 6°C to 7°C, to provoke a moderate heat stress, but always in the acceptable range of temperature. Then, the average difference in the mean 24-h temperature between the 2 rooms was on average  $\pm 4.7$ °C, as detailed in Table 1).

Two commercial diets in crumble form, produced by a commercial feed mill (Consorzio Agrario di Treviso e Belluno, Paese, TV, Italy), were fed to the chickens during the trial. The diet of the first period was fed until 23 d to Ross 308 chickens and until 42 d to RM and BP chickens. It contained (% as fed): crude protein 20.2%, ether extract 4.88%, crude fiber 1.13%, lysine 1.27%, methionine 0.58%, calcium 1.10%, phosphorus 0.72%. The diet of the second period was fed from 24 to 42 d to Ross-308 chickens and from 43 to 99 d to RM and BP chickens. It contained (% as fed): crude protein 19.3%, ether extract 4.60%, crude fiber 1.42%, lysine 1.06%, methionine 0.49%, calcium 0.90%, phosphorus 0.70%.

The animals were individually weighed the day of their arrival and, then, once a week until commercial slaughtering. Mortality and pen feed consumption were daily measured during the trial.

# *Commercial Slaughtering and Carcass and Meat Quality Recordings*

At 42 d of age for Ross 308 and 99 d of age for BP and RM, all chickens were slaughtered in a commercial slaughterhouse, after approximately 7 h of feed withdrawal and approximately 4 h of water withdrawal. Ready-to-cook carcasses were recovered after 2 h of refrigeration at 2°C, individually weighed to measure slaughter dressing yield and transported to the DAF-NAE laboratories to be stored at 2°C for 24 h. Then, the carcasses were submitted to gross examination to evaluate the occurrence (presence or absence) and the degree (normal, moderate, severe) of white striping (**WS**) on

Table 1. Summary of temperature and relative humidity conditions in different rooms, standard (S) vs. high (H) temperature from 1 to 14 wk of age.

			Temperature, °C		]	Relative humidity, %	
Week	Room	24-h average	Maximum	Minimum	24-h average	Maximum	Minimum
Wk 1	S	$29.0 \pm 0.7$	$29.7 \pm 0.5$	$28.2 \pm 0.8$	$40.3 \pm 1.0$	$42.8 \pm 2.2$	$38.0 \pm 1.0$
	Η	$29.9 \pm 0.5$	$31.2 \pm 1.0$	$28.8 \pm 0.8$	$39.2 \pm 0.9$	$41.6 \pm 1.9$	$37.4 \pm 1.0$
DIFF	S vs. H	+0.9	+1.5	+0.6	-1.1	-1.2	-0.6
Wk 2	$\mathbf{S}$	$27.3 \pm 0.4$	$28.0 \pm 0.9$	$26.8 \pm 0.3$	$37.0 \pm 1.4$	$39.4 \pm 1.9$	$34.2 \pm 1.3$
	Η	$29.2 \pm 0.3$	$30.3 \pm 0.4$	$28.3 \pm 0.2$	$34.4 \pm 1.0$	$36.8 \pm 1.4$	$32.0 \pm 1.0$
DIFF	S vs. H	+1.9	+2.3	+1.6	-2.6	-2.6	-2.2
Wk 3	S	$26.2 \pm 0.5$	$26.8 \pm 0.5$	$25.6 \pm 0.5$	$41.5 \pm 1.4$	$44.0 \pm 1.8$	$38.3 \pm 1.4$
	Η	$29.0\pm0.7$	$29.8\pm0.6$	$27.8 \pm 0.8$	$36.6 \pm 1.2$	$38.9 \pm 2.2$	$34.6 \pm 0.8$
DIFF	S vs. H	+2.7	+3.1	+2.2	-5.0	-5.1	-3.7
Wk 4	S	$25.1 \pm 0.3$	$26.1 \pm 0.4$	$23.9 \pm 0.4$	$34.0 \pm 2.9$	$37.2 \pm 4.4$	$29.8 \pm 2.8$
	Η	$27.6 \pm 0.5$	$29.1 \pm 0.7$	$25.6 \pm 0.6$	$31.5 \pm 2.3$	$33.7 \pm 3.7$	$29.1 \pm 1.9$
DIFF	S vs. H	+2.4	+3.0	+1.7	-2.5	-3.5	-0.7
Wk 5	$\mathbf{S}$	$23.7 \pm 0.8$	$24.2 \pm 0.6$	$23.1 \pm 1.3$	$36.1 \pm 2.6$	$40.9 \pm 9.1$	$33.6 \pm 3.0$
	Η	$27.7 \pm 0.7$	$28.5 \pm 0.8$	$26.5 \pm 0.8$	$31.8 \pm 2.5$	$33.3 \pm 1.9$	$30.2 \pm 2.7$
DIFF	S vs. H	+3.9	+4.3	+3.5	-4.3	-7.6	-3.4
Wk 6	$\mathbf{S}$	$22.4 \pm 0.8$	$23.1 \pm 0.8$	$21.6 \pm 0.9$	$44.5 \pm 4.4$	$48.8 \pm 5.1$	$40.1 \pm 4.7$
	Η	$26.8 \pm 1.5$	$28.0 \pm 1.6$	$25.4 \pm 2.0$	$36.5 \pm 2.4$	$39.1 \pm 3.1$	$34.1 \pm 2.3$
DIFF	S vs. H	+4.3	+4.9	+3.8	-8.1	-9.7	-6.0
Wk 7	$\mathbf{S}$	$21.8 \pm 0.4$	$22.5 \pm 0.3$	$21.0 \pm 0.5$	$37.0 \pm 2.3$	$40.5 \pm 1.0$	$32.8 \pm 3.2$
	Η	$25.8 \pm 0.9$	$27.4 \pm 0.9$	$23.8 \pm 1.2$	$30.7 \pm 1.7$	$33.3 \pm 1.0$	$28.6 \pm 1.8$
DIFF	S vs. H	+4.0	+4.8	+2.8	-6.2	-7.2	-4.2
Wk 8	$\mathbf{S}$	$21.9 \pm 0.2$	$22.4 \pm 0.3$	$21.1 \pm 0.2$	$44.1 \pm 2.4$	$45.7 \pm 2.4$	$41.8 \pm 3.5$
	Η	$27.0 \pm 0.4$	$28.1 \pm 0.3$	$25.5 \pm 0.5$	$35.3 \pm 1.0$	$37.3 \pm 0.9$	$33.8 \pm 1.5$
DIFF	S vs. H	+5.1	+5.7	+4.4	-8.8	-8.4	-8.0
Wk 9	$\mathbf{S}$	$20.8 \pm 0.3$	$21.5 \pm 0.5$	$19.8 \pm 0.2$	$35.2 \pm 4.3$	$38.7 \pm 4.4$	$31.8 \pm 4.1$
	Η	$24.4 \pm 0.9$	$26.0 \pm 1.1$	$22.3 \pm 0.9$	$31.2 \pm 2.8$	$34.0 \pm 1.8$	$29.1 \pm 3.2$
DIFF	S vs. H	+3.6	+4.5	+2.5	-4.0	-4.7	-2.7
Wk 10	$\mathbf{S}$	$20.5 \pm 0.6$	$21.0 \pm 0.7$	$19.9 \pm 0.8$	$40.6 \pm 1.9$	$43.1 \pm 2.1$	$37.3 \pm 2.4$
	Н	$25.4 \pm 0.8$	$26.8 \pm 0.9$	$23.1 \pm 0.9$	$33.7 \pm 1.2$	$35.2 \pm 1.7$	$31.6 \pm 1.5$
DIFF	S vs. H	+4.9	+5.8	+3.2	-6.9	-7.9	-5.7
Wk 11	$\mathbf{S}$	$19.9 \pm 0.2$	$20.5 \pm 0.2$	$19.1 \pm 0.4$	$28.9 \pm 1.9$	$30.6 \pm 2.4$	$26.8 \pm 1.0$
	Η	$25.7 \pm 0.6$	$27.7 \pm 0.6$	$23.1 \pm 1.0$	$24.1 \pm 1.0$	$25.5 \pm 1.6$	$22.6 \pm 0.9$
DIFF	S vs. H	+5.8	+7.3	+3.9	-4.8	-5.1	-4.2
Wk 12	$\mathbf{S}$	$20.0 \pm 0.2$	$20.5 \pm 0.1$	$19.3 \pm 0.3$	$31.1 \pm 5.2$	$32.9 \pm 6.1$	$28.4 \pm 3.8$
	Η	$25.7 \pm 0.7$	$27.5 \pm 0.6$	$23.3 \pm 1.1$	$25.5 \pm 3.0$	$27.1 \pm 3.4$	$24.0 \pm 2.5$
DIFF	S vs. H	+5.7	+6.9	+4.1	-5.6	-5.8	-4.3
Wk 13	$\mathbf{S}$	$20.5 \pm 0.4$	$21.0 \pm 0.5$	$19.8 \pm 0.5$	$35.6 \pm 7.6$	$38.8 \pm 7.8$	$32.2 \pm 7.3$
	Η	$26.3 \pm 1.1$	$28.0 \pm 1.0$	$24.0 \pm 1.7$	$28.3 \pm 3.8$	$30.0 \pm 3.9$	$26.7 \pm 3.9$
DIFF	S vs. H	+5.8	+7.0	+4.2	-7.2	-8.7	-5.5
Wk 14	$\mathbf{S}$	$21.7 \pm 0.6$	$23.3 \pm 0.4$	$20.7 \pm 0.4$	$42.4 \pm 9.5$	$49.5 \pm 13.7$	$35.4 \pm 6.6$
	Н	$26.7 \pm 1.1$	$28.2 \pm 1.2$	$24.5\pm1.1$	$29.5\pm2.5$	$31.9 \pm 3.5$	$27.2 \pm 2.3$
DIFF	S vs. H	+4.9	+4.9	+3.8	-12.9	-17.6	-8.2

pectoralis major (**p.** major) muscle (Kuttappan et al., 2012, 2013), and the occurrence (presence or absence) of wooden breast (**WB**) (Sihvo et al., 2014) and spaghetti meat (**SM**) (Baldi et al., 2018). Afterward, 126 carcasses (6 per pen for Ross 308 and BP; 3-4 per pen for RM) were dissected for the main cuts (breast, wings, thighs, and drumsticks) (Petracci and Baéza, 2011). The right *p.* major muscles were used for the analyses of meat rheological properties (i.e., pH, water retention, color, and shear force). A total of 72 right breast muscles (3 per pen; 6 per experimental group) were used to analyze meat proximate composition, fatty acid profile and lipid peroxidation. Moreover, the left *p.* major muscles were stored under a vacuum in plastic bags at  $-18^{\circ}$ C until sensory analysis.

# Meat Rheological Analyses

The pH values of the *p. major* muscles were measured in triplicate on their ventral side with a pH meter (Basic 20, Crison Instruments Sa, Carpi, Italy) equipped with a specific electrode (cat. 5232, Crison Instruments Sa). The L\*a\*b\* color indexes were measured in triplicate in the ventral side of the same muscles using a Minolta CM508 C spectrophotometer (Minolta Corp., Ramsey, NJ) (Petracci and Baéza, 2011). After measuring the pH and color indexes, 1 meat portion  $(8 \text{ cm} \times 4 \text{ cm} \times 3 \text{ cm})$ was separated from the cranial side of the *p. major*, parallel to the direction of the muscle fibers, and stored under a vacuum in plastic bags at  $-18^{\circ}$ C until the meat analyses. After thawing, the meat portion was cooked (inside its plastic bag) in a water bath at 80°C until the internal temperature of 80°C was achieved and cooking losses were measured in this cut according to Petracci and Baéza (2011). After 40 min of cooling, a meat portion (4 cm  $\times$  2 cm  $\times$  1 cm) was separated from the cooked cut to assess the maximum shear force with a LS5 dynamometer (Lloyd Instruments Ltd., Bognor Regis, UK) using the Allo-Kramer (10 blades) probe (load cell: 500 kg; distance between the blades: 5 mm; thickness: 2 mm; cutting speed: 250 mm/min) (Mudalal et al., 2015).

# *Meat Proximate Composition, Fatty Acid Profile, and Lipid Peroxidation Analyses*

After sampling the meat portion for cooking losses, the residual right *p. major* muscles were individually minced using a Grindomix GM 200 (Retsch GmbH, Haan, Germany). An aliquot of fresh minced meat was analyzed for fatty acid (**FA**) composition; another aliquot was used to determine the thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (**TBARs**) as a lipid peroxidation marker; the remaining meat was freeze-dried, reground, and used to determine dry matter (934.01), ash (967.05), crude protein (2001.11), and ether extract (991.36) contents (AOAC, 2000).

For the determination of fatty acid profile, fat was extracted from fresh aliquots by accelerated solvent extraction (ASER, Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA, Application Note 334) using 2 extraction cycles with petroleum ether as a solvent at 125°C and 10.3 Mpa, a 6-min heating phase, and a 2-min extraction phase. Then, 10 mL of  $NaSO_4$  (0.47% in H<sub>2</sub>O) was added to extracted lipids. Samples were kept at 4°C for 30 min and supernatant (petroleum ether and lipids) was collected in another vial previously weighed. Dry evaporation in N<sub>2</sub> stream (Genevac EZ-2, SP Industries, Warminster, PA) was applied; residual samples (extracted lipids in vials) were weighed before adding 2 mL of 2% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in methanol (Christie, 1989). Vials were stored at 50°C in a heater overnight. Thereafter, hexane (1 mL hexane/20 mg lipids) and potassium bicarbonate 2% (5 mL) were added. Samples were centrifuged, stored at 4°C for 30 min, and supernatant sampled to be analyzed by an Agilent 7820A Gas Chromatograph (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA), with a split to 92.199 mL/min and rate set at 65:1. Supelco OMEGAWAX-TM 250 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) ( $30 \text{ m} \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$  internal diameter, 0.25  $\mu$ m film thickness) was used with hydrogen as the carrier at 1.4 mL/min. The oven temperature was set at 50°C, held for 2 min, raised to 220°C at the rate of 4°C/min, and then held for 17 min. Both the injector and the detector temperatures were set at 250°C. The individual FA were identified by comparing the retention time of the standard FA methyl esters mixture (Supelco 37-component FAME Mix, 47,885-U). Individual FA methyl esters were expressed as the percentage of the total area of eluted FA methyl esters.

The TBARs were evaluated according to Botsoglou et al. (1994), using spectrophotometric measurements (Jasco Mod. 7800 UV/VIS, Hachioji, Tokyo, Japan) at 532 nm, and data were expressed as mg of malondialdehyde (**MDA**)/kg.

# Meat Sensory Evaluation

The *p. major* muscles were evaluated by quantitative descriptive analysis (QDA) by a trained sensory panel to evaluate the differences in 11 descriptors. Each descriptor was evaluated on a structured continuous line scale with anchor points at 0 (not intense) and 10 (very intense). Twelve people (6 men and 6 women aged between 23 and 60) were selected out of the trained sensory panelists of the department DAFNAE according to ISO standards (ISO 8586, 3972, 5496). The panelists underwent a 1-month training period. In the first training phase, they got familiar with the product, generated, and agreed on attributes testing different chicken breasts prepared with different cooking methods to provide panelists with a wide range of diversity for each attribute. Once the panel became sufficiently familiar with the specific vocabulary, and the evaluation protocol was established, the panelists conducted a second phase of training, focusing on quantitative evaluation to acquire familiarity with the use of the intensity scale (range 0-10). Assessors were asked not to smoke, eat, or drink anything for at least 1 h before the tastings. Over a period of 2 wk, panelists evaluated the chicken breasts in 4 sessions. All sessions took place at 10 am at the sensory tasting facility of DAFNAE. In each session, each panelists evaluated 2 sets of 3 chicken breasts (each belonging to a different experimental group), with 15min break between the 2 sets. Thus, during the 4 sessions, each panelist evaluated a total of 24 breast samples (2 per experimental group). The assessors were asked to evaluate 1 chicken breast per time, starting from the odor attributes (brothy; chickeny/meaty, wet feathers) followed by the taste (sweet; salty) and texture (cohesiveness; hardness; juiciness; chewiness; toothpack) attributes, and finally, the general liking (pleasantness). After each sample, panelists cleaned their palate with a piece of apple, an unsalted cracker, and some water, and after a 2-min break, they continued with the next sample. Each sample tested by the panelists consisted of 1 piece of cooked chicken breast  $(3 \text{ cm} \times 2 \text{ cm} \times 1 \text{ cm})$ .

To obtain the samples for tasting, the chicken breasts were defrosted overnight at 4°C. The breasts of Ross-308 chickens were cut in pieces of 7 cm  $\times$  8 cm with 1.5 cm thickness; those of RM and BP chickens were cooked as whole being smaller in size. The meat pieces were sealed in bags under vacuum and cooked in a water bath at a constant temperature of 85°C for 25 min. Thereafter, each meat piece was divided in 4 equal samples (3 cm  $\times$  2 cm  $\times$  1 cm) and immediately served to the panelists. Presentation order was systematically varied by means of a Williams Latin square design, in order to balance the effects of the serving order and carryover effect. Data were collected using Fizz v2.47b software program (Biosystemes, Couternon, France).

# Statistical Analyses

Individual data of live weight, daily growth, and carcass traits were analyzed by analysis of variance (**ANOVA**), using the PROC MIXED of SAS software (SAS Institute Inc., 2013). The model included genotype, room temperature, and sex, as main factors of variability with interactions, and the pen as a random effect; the initial live weight was included as a covariate. Pen data of feed intake and feed conversion ratio were analyzed by ANOVA with the same main factors using the PROC GLM (SAS Institute Inc., 2013). The occurrence of myopathies in Ross chickens (myopathies were not detected in BP and RM chickens) at commercial slaughtering was analyzed with the PROC CATMOD (SAS Institute Inc., 2013), according to room temperature, sex, and their interactions. Results of sensory meat analyses were processed using the PROC MIXED of SAS (SAS Institute Inc., 2013) with a model including genotype, room temperature, sex, and their interactions as main factors of variability, and the panelist as a random effect. Differences among the means with  $P \leq 0.05$ were accepted as statistically significant. The Bonferroni *t* test was used to compare means.

# RESULTS

# Growth Performance

During the trial 6 chickens died (1 male Ross 308; 2 males BP and 3 males RM), thus, the mortality rate averaged 2.5% without significant differences among groups.

For final weight and daily weight gain, there were significant effects of genotype, temperature, and sex (both, P < 0.001) (Table 2) as well as significant interactions between the different factors (P < 0.001). As for the interaction genotype × temperature (Table S1), at both temperatures, the Ross 308 birds had the highest final weight and daily weight gain, while RM had higher final live weight and daily weight gain compared to the BP birds (all, P < 0.05). The final live weight and daily weight gain were lower at high temperature for both the Ross 308 and BP birds (P < 0.05), while similar values were recorded for the RM birds at both temperatures.

As for the significant interaction genotype × sex (P < 0.001) (Table S2), the daily weight gain of RM females was similar to that to that of BP males. Then, as for the significant interaction temperature × sex (P < 0.001) (Table S3), the final live weight and daily weight gain of males kept at higher temperature was similar to females kept at standard temperature (Table S3).

Then, as for the main effect of genotype, the Ross chickens showed the highest feed intake and the best

**Table 2.** Growth performance (LS means) of Ross 308, Bionda Piemontese (BP), and Robusta Maculata (RM) chickens reared understandard or high room temperature in separated sexes.

	Genotype (G)			Room temp	erature (T)	Sex	(S)	P value			
Variables	$\operatorname{Ross} 308$	BP	RM	Standard	High	Female	Male	G	Т	$\mathbf{S}$	RMSE
Chickens, n	104	98	30	115	117	123	109				
Initial live weight <sup>1</sup> , g	$40.0^{a}$	$39.2^{a}$	$36.7^{b}$	38.9	38.3	38.8	38.4	< 0.001	0.236	0.467	3.3
Final live weight <sup>2</sup> , g	$2,852^{a}$	$1,771^{\circ}$	$2,216^{b}$	2,517	2,043	1,999	2,561	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	213
Daily weight $gain^3$ , $g/d$	$70.2^{a}$	$20.0^{\circ}$	$25.5^{b}$	43.8	33.4	34.4	42.8	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	4.3
$Feed intake^4, g/d$	$104.4^{a}$	$58.1^{b}$	$62.7^{b}$	80.1	70.0	67.8	82.3	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	5.1
Feed conversion	$1.59^{c}$	$3.56^{\mathrm{a}}$	$3.08^{\mathrm{b}}$	2.77	2.71	2.84	2.64	< 0.001	0.452	< 0.01	0.13

Abbreviation: RSME, root mean square error.

<sup>1</sup>Live weight at 2 d of age for all genotypes.

 $^2 \rm Live$  weight at 42 d of age for Ross 308; 99 d of age for BP and RM.

<sup>3</sup>Individual data. <sup>4</sup>Pen data.

 $^{\rm a,b,c} {\rm Values}$  with different superscript letters within the same line and effect are significant different (P < 0.05).

Table 3. Slaughter results and carcass traits (LS means) of Ross 308, Bionda Piemontese (BP), and Robusta Maculata (RM) chickens reared under standard or high room temperature in separated sexes.

	Genotype (G)		Room temperature (T)		Sex(S)		P value				
Variables	$ m Ross~308^1$	$BP^2$	$\mathrm{RM}^2$	Standard	High	Female	Male	G	Т	S	RMSE
Chickens, n	48	48	30	63	63	63	63				
Cold carcass weight, g CC	$2,132^{a}$	$1,222^{c}$	$1,561^{b}$	1,800	1,477	1,439	1,837	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	156
Slaughter yield, %	$74.9^{a}$	67.9 <sup>°</sup>	$69.8^{b}$	70.2	71.5	70.9	70.9	< 0.001	< 0.01	0.907	1.95
Dissected carcasses, n	48	48	30	63	63	63	63				
Breast yield <sup>3</sup> , % CC	$40.4^{a}$	23.5 <sup>°</sup>	$28.0^{b}$	31.0	30.2	31.4	29.8	< 0.001	< 0.05	< 0.001	1.74
Pectoralis major, % CC	26.3 <sup>a</sup>	$10.5^{\circ}$	$13.4^{b}$	17.1	16.4	17.0	16.5	< 0.001	< 0.05	0.146	1.78
Wings, % CC	$9.9^{\mathrm{b}}$	$12.6^{a}$	$12.0^{a}$	11.3	11.5	11.8	11.1	< 0.001	0.293	0.005	1.35
Thighs, % CC	$15.3^{b}$	$17.8^{a}$	$18.0^{a}$	17.1	17.0	16.6	17.4	< 0.001	0.732	0.001	1.25
Drumsticks, % CC	$12.8^{b}$	$15.4^{a}$	$15.6^{a}$	14.5	14.8	13.9	15.3	< 0.001	< 0.05	< 0.001	0.80
Hind legs, $\%$ CC	$28.1^{b}$	33.2 <sup>a</sup>	$33.6^{\mathrm{a}}$	31.5	31.8	30.6	32.7	< 0.001	0.365	< 0.001	1.39

Abbreviation: RSME, root mean square error.

<sup>1</sup>Slaughtered at 42 d of age.

<sup>2</sup>Slaughtered at 99 d of age.

<sup>3</sup>With bone and skin.

 $^{a,b,c}$ Values with different superscript letters within the same line and effect are significant different (P < 0.05).

feed conversion compared to the local breeds (P < 0.001) (Table 2), whereas the RM chickens achieved a lower feed conversion (-13.5%; P < 0.001) compared to BP chickens (Table 2). As for the temperature effect, the increase of temperature lowered daily feed intake (-12.6%; P < 0.001), and final live weight (-18.8%; P <0.001), without affecting feed conversion (P > 0.10). Finally, as for the effect of sex, males showed a higher feed intake (+17.6%; P < 0.001) and lower feed conversion (-7.0%; P < 0.01) compared to females (Table 2).

# Slaughter Results

Consistently with final live weight, for carcass weight and traits there were significant effects of genotype, temperature, and sex (both, P < 0.001) (Table 3) as well as significant interactions between the different factors (P <0.001). As for the interaction genotype  $\times$  temperature (Table S1), changes in cold carcass weight were consistent with those reported for final live weight. Moreover, when the temperature of the room increased, the breast yield and the *p. major* proportion decreased, whereas the hind legs proportion increased only in Ross chickens (Table S1). Despite statistically significant, the interactions recorded between genotype and sex for slaughter results and carcass traits were not relevant (Table S2). Finally, as for carcass weight, there was a significant interaction between temperature and sex (P < 0.001), as males kept at a higher temperature had a carcass weight similar to females kept at a standard temperature (Table S3).

As for the main effect of the room temperature, chickens kept at higher temperatures showed higher slaughter yield (+1.9%; P < 0.01) compared to chickens kept at standard temperatures. The proportions of breasts and *p. major* decreased with increasing the room temperature (-2.6% and -4.1%, respectively; P < 0.05), whereas that of drumsticks increased (+2.1%; P < 0.05) (Table 3).

As for the main effect of sex, male chickens showed higher proportions of thighs (+4.8%), drumsticks (+10.1%), and hind legs (+6.7%) compared to females

(P < 0.001), and a lower proportion of breast yield (-5.1%; P < 0.001) and wings (-5.9%; P < 0.001) (Table 3).

#### Meat Quality

At the *p. major* muscle, Ross chickens showed higher pH (+2.2% on average), lightness (+11.1%), yellowness (+7.2%), compared to the local breeds, whereas BP showed the highest redness (P < 0.001) (Table 4). Moreover, Ross meat had significantly higher water (+4.8%) contents, and a 3-fold increase in the ether extract content compared to BP and RM chickens (P < 0.001) (Table 4). Males presented higher ultimate pH values at breast than females (+2.2%; P < 0.001), which corresponded to lower lightness and yellowness indexes (-2.2% and -8.7%, respectively; 0.01 < P < 0.001). Meat of males had also significantly higher water and lower crude protein contents compared to the latter ones (P < 0.05) (Table 4).

As for cooking losses and crude protein contents, significant effects of genotype, sex, and their interactions were recorded (Table S2): meat cooking losses and crude protein contents differed between males and females of Ross chickens, whereas they were similar between sexes for local breeds (P < 0.05).

No effect of the room temperature was recorded on meat quality traits (Table 4).

#### **Occurrence of Breast Myopathies**

Breast myopathies occurred only in Ross chickens where males showed a higher rate of WB (+19.4 percentage units; P < 0.001) and a lower rate of SM (-12.6 percentage units; P < 0.01) compared to females (Table 5). When the room temperature increased, the rate of breasts affected by WS (whatever degree) and SM decreased (-11.6 and -10.8 percentage units, respectively; 0.05 < P < 0.01) (Table 5).

#### CHICKEN RESILIENCE TO HEAT STRESS

**Table 4.** Rheological traits, lipid oxidation status (TBARs), and chemical composition of the *pectoralis major* muscle of Ross 308, Bionda Piemontese (BP), and Robusta Maculata (RM) chickens reared under standard or high room temperature in separated sexes.

	Genotype (G)			Room temper	Room temperature $(T)$		Sex(S)		P value		
Variables	$ m Ross 308^1$	$BP^2$	$\mathrm{RM}^2$	Standard	High	Female	Male	G	Т	S	RMSE
Pectoralis major, n	48	48	30	63	63	63	63				
pH	$5.98^{\mathrm{a}}$	$5.83^{b}$	$5.87^{b}$	5.89	5.90	5.83	5.96	< 0.01	0.754	< 0.001	0.19
$L^*$	$53.0^{\mathrm{a}}$	$46.7^{b}$	$47.5^{b}$	48.7	49.4	49.8	48.7	< 0.001	0.163	< 0.01	2.44
$a^*$	$0.76^{b}$	$1.93^{a}$	$0.86^{b}$	1.19	1.17	1.10	1.26	< 0.001	0.903	0.295	0.82
b*	$13.9^{a}$	$13.2^{b}$	$12.6^{b}$	13.3	13.2	13.8	12.6	< 0.001	0.602	< 0.001	1.37
Cooking losses, %	$41.3^{a}$	$33.1^{b}$	$32.9^{b}$	36.0	35.5	35.5	36.0	< 0.001	0.557	0.502	4.19
Shear force, kg/g	4.65	6.09	4.88	4.89	5.52	4.68	5.74	0.328	0.487	0.243	4.95
Breast muscles, n	24	24	24	36	36	36	36				
TBARs, mg MDA/kg	0.10	0.16	0.16	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.146	0.527	0.552	0.09
Dry matter, %	$22.4^{\mathrm{b}}$	$26.2^{a}$	$26.1^{a}$	24.9	24.9	25.2	24.6	< 0.001	0.800	< 0.05	1.03
Water, %	$77.6^{\mathrm{a}}$	$73.8^{b}$	$73.9^{b}$	75.1	75.1	74.8	75.4	< 0.001	0.800	< 0.05	1.04
Crude protein, %	$19.2^{\rm b}$	$24.3^{a}$	$24.3^{a}$	22.7	22.5	22.9	22.3	< 0.001	0.606	< 0.05	0.97
Ether extract, %	$2.24^{a}$	$0.75^{b}$	$0.65^{b}$	1.14	1.28	1.16	1.27	< 0.001	0.188	0.307	0.43
Ash, %	$1.07^{b}$	$1.14^{a}$	$1.15^{a}$	1.12	1.12	1.13	1.11	< 0.001	0.934	0.017	0.03

Abbreviation: RSME, root mean square error.

<sup>1</sup>Slaughtered at 42 d of age.

<sup>2</sup>Slaughtered at 99 d of age.

<sup>a,b</sup>Values with different superscript letters within the same line and effect are significant different (P < 0.05).

# Fatty Acid Profile and Lipid Peroxidation

Breast muscles showed a similar profile among genotypes as for the whole saturated (SFA), monounsaturated (MUFA), and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) proportions (Table 6). Within SFA, Ross chickens exhibited a lower rate of stearic acid (C18:0) and other minor SFA than local breeds (P < 0.001). Within MUFA, Ross chickens showed a lower rate of palmitoleic (C16:1 n7) (P < 0.01) and a higher rate of oleic acid (C18:1 n9) (P < 0.001) compared to local breeds (Table 6). Moreover, males exhibited higher rate of total PUFA and n-6 PUFA (P < 0.01) with higher rates of linoleic (C18:2 n6) and  $\alpha$ -linolenic (C18:3 n3) acids (0.05 < P < 0.01) compared to females (Table 6). Finally, as for the effect of the room temperature, chickens reared under high temperature exhibited a higher rate of  $\alpha$ -linolenic (C18:3 n3) acid (P < 0.01) (Table 6).

#### Sensory Analysis of Broiler Breast

At the sensory analysis, there were significant effects of genotype and temperature (both, P < 0.001) (Table 7) as well as significant interactions between the 2 factors (P < 0.001) for texture: cohesiveness, hardness, chewiness, and toothpack scores

increased, and juiciness score decreased in chickens kept under high temperature only in Ross chickens without differences between local breeds kept in the 2 rooms (Table S1).

Then, as for flavor, the meat of Ross chickens received a lower score for "brothy and chickeny/meaty" and a higher one for "wet feathers" compared to meat of local breeds (0.05 < P < 0.001).

Finally, as for differences between sexes, breasts from males were scored higher for hardness (+2.8%; P < 0.001), juiciness (+4.2%; P < 0.001), and chewiness (+0.9%; P < 0.01), besides for a higher salty flavor (+2.0%; P < 0.01) (Table 7).

#### DISCUSSION

Commercial crossbred chickens complete their productive cycle in less than 45 d compared local pure breeds who need from 70 to 100 d to meet an overall final live weight between 2.0 and 2.5 kg (Gordon and Charles, 2002; Fanatico et al., 2005; Soglia et al., 2020). In the present trial, 99 d were not enough for BP chickens to reach 2.0 kg of final live weight, whereas it was for RM chickens. Indeed, to achieve the maturity, local breeds, like Kabir or RM, are supposed to need around 75 and 82 d of age, respectively, whereas Ross chickens require

Table 5. Myopathy rates (means) at gross examination in Ross 308 chickens reared under standard or high room temperature in separated sexes.

	Room temper	ature (T)	Sex (	(S)	P value		
Variables	Standard	High	Female	Male	Т	$\mathbf{S}$	
Carcasses, n	52	52	52	52			
Moderate white striping, %	24.3	16.5	21.4	19.4	0.092	0.750	
Severe white striping, %	14.5	10.7	9.7	15.5	0.334	0.156	
Total white striping <sup>1</sup> , %	38.8	27.2	31.1	34.9	0.01	0.332	
Wooden breast <sup>1</sup> , %	13.6	21.4	7.8	27.2	0.114	< 0.001	
Spaghetti meat <sup>1</sup> , %	21.4	10.6	22.3	9.7	< 0.05	0.01	

<sup>1</sup>Not exclusive myopathy, that is, white striping, wooden breast, and/or spaghetti meat can be associated in the same breast.

**Table 6.** Fatty acids composition (% total FA) of breast fillets (*pectoralis major*) of Ross 308, Bionda Piemontese (BP), and Robusta Maculata (RM) chickens reared under standard or high room temperature in separated sexes.

	Genotype (G)			Room tempe	Room temperature (T)		Sex(S)		P value		
Variables	Ross 308	BP	RM	Standard	High	Female	Male	G	Т	S	RMSE
Breast muscles, n	24	24	24	36	36	36	36				
C16:0	32.1	30.8	30.7	31.1	31.2	31.7	30.7	0.273	0.872	0.164	2.3
C18:0	$4.00^{b}$	$5.60^{a}$	$5.49^{a}$	5.03	5.04	4.95	5.11	< 0.001	0.978	0.567	0.91
Other SFA	$0.35^{a}$	$0.59^{\mathrm{a}}$	$0.72^{a}$	0.52	0.58	0.53	0.57	< 0.001	0.304	0.548	0.19
C16:1 n7	$1.44^{b}$	$3.39^{a}$	$3.22^{a}$	2.92	2.45	2.88	2.49	0.01	0.334	0.428	1.56
C18:1 n9	31.3 <sup>a</sup>	$27.5^{b}$	$26.3^{b}$	28.5	28.2	29.0	27.8	< 0.001	0.659	0.116	2.37
C18:1 n7	1.20	1.53	1.52	1.61	1.22	1.49	1.33	0.339	< 0.05	0.397	0.59
Other MUFA	1.11	1.00	1.09	1.14	0.99	1.07	1.06	0.601	0.120	0.865	0.31
C18:2 n6	25.8	27.0	27.9	26.2	27.6	25.7	28.1	0.105	0.082	< 0.01	2.4
C18:3 n3	$1.67^{a}$	$1.39^{b}$	$1.54^{a}$	1.46	1.60	1.48	1.59	< 0.001	< 0.01	< 0.05	0.14
C20:5 n3	0.037	0.044	0.102	0.073	0.048	0.072	0.049	0.293	0.516	0.558	0.123
C22:6 n3	0.002	0.045	0.034	0.029	0.025	0.033	0.022	< 0.01	0.636	0.208	0.028
Other PUFA	0.93	1.20	1.31	1.30	0.99	1.10	1.19	0.179	0.055	0.553	0.49
$\Sigma$ SFA	36.4	37.0	36.9	36.7	36.9	37.2	36.4	0.880	0.825	0.336	2.67
$\Sigma$ MUFA	35.1	33.4	32.2	34.2	32.9	34.4	32.7	0.065	0.161	0.070	3.01
$\Sigma$ PUFA	28.5	29.6	30.9	29.1	30.3	28.4	31.0	0.086	0.180	< 0.01	2.72
$\Sigma$ UFA	63.6	63.0	63.1	63.3	63.1	62.8	63.6	0.879	0.824	0.335	2.67
$\Sigma$ n3	$1.71^{a}$	$1.48^{b}$	$1.67^{a}$	1.56	1.68	1.58	1.66	0.01	0.083	0.241	0.21
Σ n6	26.6	27.8	28.8	27.2	28.4	26.5	29.0	0.121	0.141	< 0.01	2.57

Abbreviations: MUFA, monounsaturated FA; PUFA, polyunsaturated FA; RSME, root mean square error; SFA, saturated FA; UFA, unsaturated FA.

<sup>a,b</sup>Values with different superscript letters within the same line and effect are significant different (P < 0.05).

56 d (Castellini et al., 2002c). The use of genetic resources from local breeds could allow the industry to accommodate consumer animal welfare and environmental concerns (Soglia et al., 2020), despite the lower performance, slaughter and carcass yields of these animals compared to the fast-growing genotypes (Castellini et al., 2002a,c; Dal Bosco et al., 2021). Indeed, slaughter traits (carcass weight and slaughter yield) are more favorable in fast-growing chickens, in which the proportions of breast and other commercial cuts are much higher with respect to the viscera (Havenstein et al., 1994; Rosa et al., 2007). Certainly, an older age at slaughter of local breeds affects the chemical composition of the meat by decreasing the protein content and increasing the fat content at the expenses of water (Wattanachant et al., 2004; Fanatico et al., 2007; Sirri et al., 2011; Dal Bosco et al., 2021). Nonetheless, in agreement

with the present study, other authors observed that the genetic selection for growth rate favors greater fat deposition in fast-growing broilers (Leclercq, 1988; Castellini et al., 2002c).

In addition, slow-growing genotypes are generally slaughtered at older ages, which promote the accumulation of pigments in the meat (Berri et al., 2001; Baéza et al., 2002; Devatkal et al., 2019) resulting into higher redness while lower lightness and pH compared to fastgrowing genotypes (Berri et al., 2001; Quentin et al., 2003). On the other hand, the higher antioxidant capacity of local breeds is closely related with their higher locomotory activity (Bizeray et al., 2000; Castellini et al., 2002c; Dal Bosco et al., 2021), likewise correlated with the lightness, yellowness and TBARs values (Dal Bosco et al., 2021). It is well known that the oxidation of meat decreases its shelf life and negatively affect its

**Table 7.** Sensory mean scores (0–10 scale) of descriptive attributes of cooked breast fillets (*pectoralis major*) of Ross 308, Bionda Piemontese (BP), and Robusta Maculata (RM) chickens reared under standard or high room temperature in separated sexes.

	G	Genotype (G)			Room temperature (T)		Sex(S)		<i>P</i> value		
Variables	Ross 308	BP	RM	Standard	High	Female	Male	G	Т	S	RMSE
Chickens, n	24	24	24	36	36	36	36				
Texture											
Cohesiveness	3.32	3.32	3.79	3.35	3.60	3.49	3.47	0.081	0.910	0.212	1.67
Hardness	4.52	4.29	4.19	4.02	4.65	4.27	4.39	0.271	0.487	< 0.001	1.47
Juiciness	$5.46^{a}$	$4.78^{b}$	$4.92^{\mathrm{ab}}$	5.33	4.78	4.95	5.16	< 0.05	0.268	< 0.01	1.63
Chewiness	4.23	4.48	4.26	4.15	4.62	4.37	4.41	0.563	0.819	< 0.01	1.73
Toothpack	3.75	3.64	3.84	3.68	3.80	3.93	3.55	0.690	< 0.05	0.522	1.59
Flavor/taste											
Brothy	$3.88^{\mathrm{b}}$	$4.54^{a}$	$4.30^{a}$	4.26	4.22	4.31	4.17	< 0.05	0.846	0.452	1.52
Chickeny/meaty	$5.92^{b}$	$6.48^{a}$	$6.45^{a}$	6.29	6.27	6.26	6.30	< 0.001	0.852	0.734	1.07
Wet feathers	$5.74^{a}$	$4.46^{b}$	$4.74^{\mathrm{a}}$	4.96	5.00	4.86	5.09	< 0.001	0.858	0.242	1.67
Sweet	6.20	6.38	6.31	6.38	6.21	6.30	6.29	0.496	0.947	0.172	1.06
Salty	5.03	5.08	5.05	5.25	4.86	5.00	5.10	0.949	0.407	< 0.01	1.03
Pleasantness	6.15	5.84	5.90	6.12	5.80	5.79	6.13	0.386	0.08	0.104	1.67

Abbreviation: RSME, root mean square error.

<sup>a,b</sup>Values with different superscript letters within the same line and effect are significant different (P < 0.05).

flavor (Lorenzo and Gómez, 2012; Domínguez et al., 2019; Dal Bosco et al., 2021). In the present study there were no differences in the TBARs value among genotypes, however, panelists reported the higher "wet feathers" off-flavor for breast from Ross chickens. The lipid content and fatty acid profile of lipids in the meat can affect the oxidative susceptibility of the meat (Maraschiello et al., 1999; Dalle Zotte et al., 2020). Ross chickens presented higher ether extract content compared to local breeds and, thus, our results suggest that meat from local breeds would be less susceptible to oxidation than meat from the fast-growing genotype. Regarding texture attributes, due to the lower maturity and hypertrophy, meat from fast-growing chickens usually presents poor cohesion that reduces the water holding capacity, capillarity and blood supply (Dal Bosco et al., 2021), which could affect the juiciness perception (Fanatico et al., 2005, 2007). In agreement with the present study, other authors reported lower pH and water content value for breasts from slow-growing chickens (Wattanachant et al., 2004; Berri et al., 2005; Fanatico et al., 2007). Furthermore, Castellini et al. (2002c) confirmed higher juiciness for Ross breasts compared to RM chickens, due to the higher level of fat and water content in the meat.

As for the occurrence of myopathies in slow-growing genotypes and local breeds, to our knowledge, no reports are available in literature. Indeed, the use of slow-growing strains has been proposed as a strategy to alleviate these disorders (Petracci et al., 2019). Moreover, previous studies have reported that increased BW and growth rates are associated to increased incidence and severity of muscle abnormalities in broiler chickens (Kuttappan et al., 2012; Lorenzi et al., 2014; Alnahhas et al., 2016; Santos et al., 2021), thus slow-growing strains are expected to be less affected by myopathies than fastgrowing chickens. The last statement was confirmed by Dixon (2020) and Santos et al. (2021), who found greater incidence of WB and WS in fast-growing compared to slow-growing birds.

Sexual dimorphism is generally an intrinsic feature for all chicken breeds, however, some local breeds, such as Bianca di Saluzzo or BP, show a poor or inexistent sexual dimorphism (Soglia et al., 2020). On the other hand, consistently with our results, differences between sexes for RM and Ross chickens are widely recognized in terms of final live weight, feed intake, and daily gain (Castellini et al., 2002a; Soglia et al., 2020; Bongiorno et al., 2022), even though slow-growing genotypes require longer time to display differences (Soglia et al., 2020). Finally, males exhibit higher carcass yield, legs proportion while lower breast yield than females (Castellini et al., 2002a; Bongiorno et al., 2022; Bordignon et al., 2022). Previous meta-analyses of ours (Bordignon et al., 2022), using data from different trials with commercial fast-growing genotypes, found that males had higher meat pH compared to females, which was associated to higher water retention and a higher lightness index, as stated by other authors (Campo et al., 2020). Differences in pH between sexes of fast-growing chickens are related to breast

weights, that is, a correlation of breast muscle weight with muscle glycogen (negative correlation) and final pH (positive correlation) (Le Bihan-Duval et al., 2008). As for slow-growing chickens, Fanatico et al. (2005) did not observe differences for the lightness nor the redness between sexes, whereas other authors found that males displayed lower yellowness compared to females (Fanatico et al., 2005; Bongiorno et al., 2022). Finally, as for differences in myopathies occurrence between sexes, the results of the present study confirm that in fast-growing genotypes the occurrence of WB is higher in males compared to females, whereas the occurrence of SM shows an opposite trend (Bordignon et al., 2022).

Selection for growth and production has declined the meat chicken's ability to cope with environmental temperatures out of the thermoneutral range, hence becoming susceptible to both, acute and chronic heat stress (Soleimani et al., 2011; Patael et al., 2019; Halevy, 2020; Zampiga et al., 2021). A chronic heat exposure significantly worsened feed intake, growth rate (without affecting feed efficiency), and meat quality in fast and slow-growing genotypes, in the present trial as well as in previous studies (Cahaner and Leenstra, 1992; Yunis and Cahaner, 1999; Deeb et al., 2002; Lu et al., 2007). In addition, it has been reported that a chronic high temperature exposure was able to reduce breast yield while increasing fat deposition, due to the reduction on the basal metabolism and physical activity, thus deteriorating the quality of the meat (Geraert et al., 1996; Aksit et al., 2006; Rosa et al., 2007; Zampiga et al., 2021). Regarding the chemical composition of the meat, Rosa et al. (2007) observed that high room temperatures decrease meat protein content and increase fat content, whereas in the present study no significant difference was detected according to the environmental temperature. As for meat quality, some authors (McKee and Sams, 1997; Lu et al., 2007) reported that chickens reared under chronic heat displayed breasts with higher vellowness and normal pH despite the stress, whereas no variation was observed in the present study. Regarding the fatty acid composition, El-Tarabany et al. (2021) demonstrated that prolonged heat stress increased concentration of saturated FA, while reduced concentrations of MUFA and PUFA of breasts from Ross chickens. In the present study the increase of the room temperature showed only minor effects on the meat fatty acid profile. Differences on the duration and extent of the heat stress could explain different results among studies.

As for the role of environmental temperature on different genotypes, Lu et al. (2007) studied the effect of chronic heat stress in 2 genetic lines, a commercial fastgrowing and a local slow-growing. Under higher temperature, they reported larger reduction in BW (data expressed in g) and weight gain (g/d) in commercial broilers compared to the local ones, and the same interaction was confirmed in the present study. However, if we express the variations in relative terms (%) than in absolute weight (g), the differences among genotypes were strongly reduced or even disappeared: final live weights of Ross, BP, and RM chickens submitted to high temperature were lower by 816 g (-26%), 301 g (-15.6%) and 299 g (-12.5%) compared to their counterparts kept at standard temperature conditions, which corresponded to a decrease of daily weight gain by 19.4 g/d (-25.3%) for Ross, 5.3 g/d (-24,0%) for BP, and 5.2 g/d (-18.9%) for RM.

On the other hand, as in the present trial, Lu et al. (2007) observed a decreased in the breast muscle proportion in commercial chickens reared under hot conditions. while breast and leg proportions remained unchanged for local breeds. Rosa et al. (2007) reared fast-growing and slow-growing chickens under heat exposure and reported better carcass yield, while lower thighs, drumsticks and wings yields in fast-growing chickens. The same authors reported that breast yield in slow-growing broilers under heat exposure was not affected. Nevertheless, in commercial broilers there was a reduction in the breast yield due to the decrease on the feed intake when birds were exposed to high temperature. In the present study we observed the same interaction: the breast yield decreased in fast-growing broilers reared under higher temperature, whereas it remained unchanged in local breeds.

# CONCLUSIONS

Local chicken breeds were confirmed to be less productive than commercial crossbreeds either in terms of growth performance or slaughter yields. On the other hand, the better meat quality traits of BP and RM breeds compared to Ross 308 can be valorized on the market and highly appreciated by the consumer both from a nutritional (high-protein and low-fat contents) and a sensorial (increase in positive flavors and decrease in off-flavors) point of view. Among local breeds, RM achieved better growth performance and slaughter yields compared to BP, thus being more sustainable.

High environmental temperatures greatly jeopardized growth performances and meat quality in Ross 308 chickens, whereas the effects on BP and RM were less pronounced especially in terms of carcass and meat quality. Therefore, the results of this study confirm that local chicken breeds represent a highly important genetic heritage that must be conserved to maintain biodiversity, and which could be exploited in genetic selection programs to improve resilience to environmental stresses.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by PRIN2017, grant number: 2017S229WC.

Authors' Contributions: M. B., G. X., A. T., A. C. M., and C. M. conceived and designed the experiment. M. B., A. P., F. B., and F. P. performed the trial and collected the experimental data. M. B. performed the statistical analyses. A. H., M. B., A. T., and G. X. analyzed and interpreted the data. A. H., M. B., and A. T. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. M. B. and G. X. provided funding for this project. All authors critically reviewed the manuscript for intellectual content and gave final approval of the version to be published.

## DISCLOSURES

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in the present study.

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j. psj.2023.103158.

### REFERENCES

- Aksit, M., S. Yalcin, S. Ozkan, K. Metin, and D. Ozdemir. 2006. Effects of temperature during rearing and crating on stress parameters and meat quality of broilers. Poult. Sci. 85:1867–1874.
- Alnahhas, N., C. Berri, M. Chabault, P. Chartrin, M. Boulay, M. C. Bourin, and E. Le Bihan-Duval. 2016. Genetic parameters of white striping in relation to body weight, carcass composition, and meat quality traits in two broiler lines divergently selected for the ultimate pH of the pectoralis major muscle. BMC Genet. 17:61.
- AOAC. 2000. Official Methods of Analysis. 17th ed. Assoc. Off. Analyst. Chemists, Arlington, VA.
- Aviagen. 2018. Ross 308: Broiler Nutrition Specification. Aviagen Inc., Huntsville, AL.
- Baéza, E., C. Dessay, N. Wacrenier, G. Marche, and A. Listrat. 2002. Effect of selection for improved body weight and composition on muscle and meat characteristics in Muscovy duck. Br. Poult. Sci. 43:560–568.
- Baldi, G., F. Soglia, M. Mazzoni, F. Sirri, L. Canonico, E. Babini, I. Laghi, C. Cavani, and M. Petracci. 2018. Implications of white striping and spaghetti meat abnormalities on meat quality and histological features in broilers. Animal 12:164–173.
- Berri, C., M. Debut, V. Santé-Lhoutellier, C. Arnould, B. Boutten, N. Sellier, E. Baéza, N. Jehl, Y. Jégo, M. J. Duclos, and E. Le Bihan-Duval. 2005. Variations in chicken breast meat quality; implications of struggle and muscle glycogen content at death. Br. Poult. Sci. 46:572–579.
- Berri, C., N. Wacrenier, N. Millet, and E. Le Bihan-Duval. 2001. Effect of selection for improved body composition on muscle and meat characteristics of broilers from experimental and commercial lines. Poult. Sci. 80:833–838.
- Bizeray, D., C. Leterrier, P. Constantin, M. Picard, and J. M. Faure. 2000. Early locomotor behaviour stocks of chickens with different growth rates. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 68:231.
- Bongiorno, V., A. Schiavone, M. Renna, S. Sartore, D. Soglia, P. Sacchi, M. Gariglio, A. Castillo, C. Mugnai, C. Forte, C. Bianchi, S. Mioletti, L. Gasco, I. Biasato, A. Brugiapaglia, F. Sirri, M. Zampiga, F. Gai, M. Marzoni, S. Cerolini, and S. Dabbou. 2022. Carcass yields and meat composition of male and female behavior slow-growing chicken breeds: *Bianca di Saluzzo* and *Bionda Piemontese*. Animals 12:406.
- Bordignon, F., G. Xiccato, M. Boskovic Cabrol, M. Birolo, and A. Trocino. 2022. Factors affecting breast myopathies in broiler chickens and quality of defective meat: a meta-analysis. Front. Physiol. 13:933235.
- Botsoglou, N. A., D. J. Fletouris, G. E. Papageorgiou, V. N. Vassilopoulos, A. J. Mantis, and A. G. Trakatellis. 1994. Rapid, sensitive, and specific thiobarbituric acid method for measuring lipid peroxidation in animal tissue, food, and feedstuff samples. J. Agric. Food Chem. 42:1931–1937.
- Brugaletta, G., J. R. Teyssier, S. Rochell, S. Dridi, and F. Sirri. 2022. A review of heat stress in chickens. Part I: insights into physiology and gut health. Front. Physiol. 13:934381.

- Cahaner, A., and F. Leenstra. 1992. Effects of high temperature on growth and efficiency of male and female broilers from lines selected for high weight gain, favorable feed conversion, and high or low fat content. Poult. Sci. 71:1237–1250.
- Campo, M. D. M., L. Mur, A. Guerrero, M. Barahona, V. C. Resconi, D. R. Magalhaes, E. Lisbinski, B. Boito, I. M. Oliveira, and J. L. Olleta. 2020. Differentiating breast myopathies through color and texture analyses in broiler. Foods 9:824.
- Cartoni Mancinelli, A., L. Menchetti, M. Birolo, G. Bittante, D. Chiattelli, and C. Castellini. 2023. Crossbreeding to improve local chicken breeds: predicting growth performance of the crosses using the Gompertz model and estimated heterosis. Poult. Sci. 102:102783.
- Castellini, C., A. Dal Bosco, C. Mugnai, and M. Bernardini. 2002a. Performance and behaviour of chickens with different growing rate reared according to the organic system. Ital. J. Anim. Sci. 1:291–300.
- Castellini, C., C. Mugnai, and A. Dal Bosco. 2002b. Effect of organic production system on broiler carcass and meat quality. Meat Sci. 60:219–225.
- Castellini, C., C. Mugnai, and A. Dal Bosco. 2002c. Meat quality of three chicken genotypes reared according to the organic system. Ital. J. Food Sci. 14:401–412.
- Castellini, C., C. Mugnai, L. Moscati, S. Mattioli, M. G. Amato, A. Cartoni Mancinelli, and A. Dal Bosco. 2016. Adaptation to organic rearing system of eight different chicken genotypes: behaviour, welfare and performance. Ital. J. Anim. Sci. 15:37–46.
- Christie, W. W. 1989. Gas Chromatography and Lipids: A Practical Guide. The Oily Press, Dundee, Scotland.
- Dal Bosco, A., S. Mattioli, A. Cartoni Mancinelli, E. Cotozzolo, and C. Castellini. 2021. Extensive rearing systems in poultry production: the right chicken for the right farming system. A review of twenty years of scientific research in Perugia University, Italy. Animals 11:1281.
- Dalle Zotte, A., E. Gleeson, D. Franco, M. Cullere, and J. M. Lorenzo. 2020. Proximate composition, amino acid profile, and oxidative stability of slow-growing indigenous chickens compared with commercial broiler chickens. Foods 9:546.
- Deeb, N., and A. Cahaner. 2001. Genotype-by-environment interaction with broiler genotypes differing in growth rate: 1. The effects of high ambient temperature and naked-neck genotype on stocks differing in genetic background. Poult. Sci. 80:695– 702.
- Deeb, N., A. Shlosberg, and A. Cahaner. 2002. Genotype by environment interaction with broiler genotypes differing in growth rate. 4. Association between responses to heat stress and to cold-induced ascites. Poult. Sci. 81:1454–1462.
- Devatkal, S. K., B. M. Naveena, and T. Kotaiah. 2019. Quality, composition, and consumer evaluation of meat from slow-growing broilers relative to commercial broilers. Poult. Sci. 98:6177–6186.
- Dixon, L. M. 2020. Slow and steady wins the race: the behaviour and welfare of commercial faster growing broiler breeds compared to a commercial slower growing breed. PLoS One 15:e0231006.
- Domínguez, R., M. Pateiro, M. Gagaoua, F. J. Barba, W. Zhang, and J. M. Lorenzo. 2019. A comprehensive review on lipid oxidation in meat and meat products. Antioxidants 8:429.
- El-Tarabany, M. S., O. A. Ahmed-Farid, M. A. Nassan, and A. S. Salah. 2021. Oxidative stability, carcass traits, and muscle fatty acid and amino acid profiles in heat-stressed broiler chickens. Antioxidants 10:1725.
- Fanatico, A. C., P. B. Pillai, L. C. Cavitt, C. M. Owens, and J. L. Emmert. 2005. Evaluation of slower-growing broiler genotypes grown with and without outdoor access, growth performance and carcass yield. Poult. Sci. 84:1321–1327.
- Fanatico, A. C., P. B. Pillai, J. L. Emmert, and C. M. Owens. 2007. Meat quality of slow- and fast-growing chicken genotypes fed lownutrient or standard diets and raised indoors or with outdoor access. Poult. Sci. 84:1321–1327.
- FAO, 2022. Food security in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. Accessed Dec. 2022. http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/.
- Ferrante, V., C. Mugnai, L. Ferrari, S. P. Marelli, E. Spagnoli, and S. Lolli. 2016. Stress and reactivity in three Italian chicken breeds. Ital. J. Anim. Sci. 15:303–309.
- Geraert, P. A., J. C. F. Padilha, and S. Guillaumin. 1996. Metabolic and endocrine changes induced by chronic heat exposure in broiler

chickens: growth performance, body composition and energy retention. Br. J. Nutr. 75:195–204.

- Gordon, S. H., and D. R. Charles. 2002. Niche and Organic Chicken Products. Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, UK.
- Halevy, O. 2020. Timing is everything the high sensitivity of avian satellite cells to thermal conditions during embryonic and posthatch periods. Front. Physiol. 11:235.
- Hartcher, K. M., and H. K. Lum. 2020. Genetic selection of broilers and welfare consequences. A review. World's Poult. Sci. J. 76:154– 167.
- Havenstein, G. B., P. R. Ferket, S. E. Scheideler, and D. V. Rives. 1994. Carcass composition and yield of 1991 vs 1957 broilers when fed "Typical" 1957 and 1991 broiler diets. Poult. Sci. 73:1795–1804.
- Kuttappan, V. A., V. B. Brewer, J. K. Apple, P. W. Waldroup, and C. M. Owens. 2012. Influence of growth rate on the occurrence of white striping in broiler breast fillets. Poult. Sci. 91:2677–2685.
- Kuttappan, V. A., V. B. Brewer, A. Mauromoustakos, S. R. McKee, J. L. Emmert, J. F. Meullenet, and C. M. Owens. 2013. Estimation of factors associated with the occurrence of white striping in broiler breast fillets. Poult. Sci. 92:811–819.
- Le Bihan-Duval, E., M. Debut, C. M. Berri, N. Sellier, V. Santé-Lhoutellier, Y. Jégo, and C. Beaumont. 2008. Chicken meat quality: genetic variability and relationship with growth and muscle characteristics. BMC Genet. 9:53.
- Leclercq, B. 1988. Genetic selection of meat-type chickens for high or low abdominal fat content. Pages 25–40 in Leanness in Domestic Birds. B. Leclercq and C. C. Whitehead, eds. INRA, Butterworths, Boston, MA.
- Lorenzi, M., S. Mudalal, C. Cavani, and M. Petracci. 2014. Incidence of white striping under commercial conditions in medium and heavy broiler chickens in Italy. J. Appl. Poult. Res. 23:754–758.
- Lorenzo, J. M., and M. Gómez. 2012. Shelf life of foal meat under MAP, overwrap and vacuum packaging conditions. Meat Sci. 92:610–618.
- Lu, Q., J. Wen, and H. Zhang. 2007. Effect of chronic heat exposure on fat deposition and meat quality in two genetic types of chicken. Poult. Sci. 86:1059–1064.
- Maraschiello, C., C. Sárraga, and J. A. García Regueiro. 1999. Glutathione peroxidase activity, TBARS, and  $\alpha$ -tocopherol in meat from chickens fed different diets. J. Agric. Food Chem. 47:867–872.
- McKee, S. R., and A. R. Sams. 1997. The effect of seasonal heat stress on rigor development and the incidence of pale, exudative turkey meat. Poult. Sci. 76:1616–1620.
- Mikulski, D., J. Celej, J. Jankowski, T. Majewska, and M. Mikulska. 2011. Growth performance, carcass traits and meat quality of slower-growing and fast-growing chickens raised with and without outdoor access. Asian Australas. J. Anim. Sci. 24:1407–1416.
- Mudalal, S., M. Lorenzi, F. Soglia, C. Cavani, and M. Petracci. 2015. Implications of white striping and wooden breast abnormalities on quality traits of raw and marinated chicken meat. Animal 9:728– 734.
- Patael, T., Y. Piestun, A. Soffer, S. Mordechay, S. Yahav, S. G. Velleman, and O. Halevy. 2019. Early posthatch thermal stress causes long-term adverse effects on pectoralis muscle development in broilers. Poult. Sci. 98:3268–3277.
- Petracci, M., and E. Baéza. 2011. Harmonization of methodologies for the assessment of poultry meat quality features. World's Poult. Sci. J. 67:137–151.
- Petracci, M., M. Bianchi, S. Mudalal, and C. Cavani. 2013. Functional ingredients for poultry meat products. Review. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 33:27–39.
- Petracci, M., S. Mudalal, F. Soglia, and C. Cavani. 2015. Meat quality in fast-growing broiler chickens. World's Poult. Sci. J. 71:363–374.
- Petracci, M., F. Soglia, M. Madruga, L. Carvalho, E. Ida, and M. Estévez. 2019. Wooden-breast, white striping, and spaghetti meat: causes, consequences and consumer perception of emerging broiler meat abnormalities. Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf. 18:565–583.
- Quentin, M., I. Bouvarel, C. Berri, E. Le Bihan-Duval, E. Baéza, Y. Jego, and M. Picard. 2003. Growth, carcass composition and

meat quality response to dietary concentrations in fast-, mediumand slow-growing commercial broilers. Anim. Res. 52:65–77.

- Rosa, P. S., D. E. Faria Filho, F. Dahlke, B. S. Vieira, M. Macari, and R. L. Furlan. 2007. Performance and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens with different growth potential and submitted to heat stress. Braz. J. Poult. Sci. 9:181–186.
- Santos, M. N., D. Rothschild, T. M. Widowski, S. Barbut, E. G. Kiarie, I. Mandell, M. T. Guerin, A. M. Edwards, and S. Torrey. 2021. In pursuit of a better broiler: carcass traits and muscle myopathies in conventional and slower-growing strains of broiler chickens. Poult. Sci. 100:101309.
- SAS Institute. 2013. The SAS/STAT 9.4 User's Guide. SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC.
- Sihvo, H. K., K. Immonen, and E. Puolanne. 2014. Myodegeneration with fibrosis and regeneration in the pectoralis major muscle of broilers. Vet. Pathol. 51:619–623.
- Sirri, F., C. Castellini, M. Bianchi, M. Petracci, A. Meluzzi, and A. Franchini. 2011. Effect of fast-, medium- and slow-growing strains on meat quality of chickens reared under the organic farming method. Animal 5:312–319.

- Soglia, D., S. Sartore, S. Maione, A. Schiavone, S. Dabbou, J. Nery, L. Zaniboni, S. Marelli, P. Sacchi, and R. Rasero. 2020. Growth performance analysis of two Italian slow-growing chicken breeds: *Bianca di Saluzzo* and *Bionda Piemontese*. Animals 10:969.
- Soleimani, A. F., I. Zulkifli, A. R. Omar, and A. R. Raha. 2011. Physiological responses of 3 chicken breeds to acute heat stress. Poult. Sci. 90:1435–1440.
- Tixier-Boichard, M. 2020. From the jungle fowl to highly performing chickens: are we reaching limits? World's Poult. Sci. J. 76:2–17.
- Wattanachant, S., S. Benjakul, and D. A. Ledward. 2004. Composition, color, and texture of Thai indigenous and broiler chicken muscles. Poult. Sci. 83:123–128.
- Yunis, R., and A. Cahaner. 1999. The effects of the naked neck (Na) and frizzle (F) genes on growth and meat yield of broilers and their interactions with ambient temperatures and potential growth rate. Poult. Sci. 78:1347–1352.
- Zampiga, M., L. Laghi, C. Zhu, A. Cartoni Mancinelli, S. Mattioli, and F. Sirri. 2021. Breast muscle and plasma metabolomics profile of broiler chickens exposed to chronic heat stress conditions. Animal 15:100275.