29) By rulings. This suggests that we are confronted with one single version. The main problem in this
1' lines corresponds to the beginning of "Nothing is of Value" Version B and lines line 7' -11' to Version A. Once more, it is unlikely that a single source
had two different versions of the same text especially because the two alleged versions are not separated
by rulings. This suggests that we are confronted with one single version. The main problem in this
interpretation is that lines 2' -6' correspond to the ending lines of the manuscripts N 3579 (+) Ni 2763, UM
29-16-616 and 3N-T 326 + 3N-T 360 (D), which is the main manuscript of Version D. Given the fragmentary nature of UM 29-16-616, it is not possible to determine whether the recensional variants were limited to the omissions attested in these lines or extended to large portions of the text. Because UM 29-16-616 does not appear to be closer to either one of the two other manuscripts it is therefore not possible to attribute this source to a specific version.

Rev ii

UM r. ii 1’ […] 'x3 EZEN’ dur2-ra na’-[…] UM r. ii 2’ […] ša3 še-ga-gim3 še2-en-de2
N ii 6’a ša3-ta Śa3[…] D viii 37-38 ša3 še-ga-gin3 / še2-en-de2
UM ommitted N ii 6’b šu nam-t3-x3 […] D vii 39 šu nam-izi la3-e
D viii 40-41 šu-mu1-ša-la31 i1 šu-mu3-da-la1 ša2
UM r. ii 4’ [šu daša]-e kur-la e ba-ba-šu2-ša2 N ii 8’ dašal-la kur-ra la-ba-šu2-šu2
D ix 2-3 šu-daša-ša3-la / kur-ba la-ba-šu2-šu2
UM ommitted N ii 9’ kala-ga ki-a ne nu-mu-u[n-gid]-de3 D ommitted
UM r. ii 5’ [šu ša3-šu]-la šu he2-še3-ib-kar-kar-re] N ii 10’ šu ša3-šu]-la / šu še2-še3-ibk[i]-ba-re
D ix 4-5 šu ša3-šu]-la / šu še2-še3-ibk[i]-ba-re
UM r. ii 6’ [huš]-ša3-šu]-la u4 še2-še3-i-ba-zal-zal-ê] N ii 11’ huš-sar ša3-šu]-la / u4 še2-še3-i-ba-zal-zal-ê]
D ommitted
UM r. ii 7’ [e3Šu]-u5 ši3 šu še3-še3-ib3-ša3-la e3Šu]-a][n[i […] cf. D ix 6-7 šu še3-še3-ib3-ša3-la / še3Šu]-a ni til3-še3-ša3-še3-ib3-šu3
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93) On “Nothing is of Value” II: Ni 3023+ (SLTNI 131) — Ni 3023+ (SLTNI 131) is a Sammeltafel
of four columns on each side.20 Reverse iii contains a version of "Nothing is of Value". Alster21 attributed
lines 1’-6’ to Version B and lines line 7’-11’ to Version A. Once more, it is unlikely that a single source
had two different versions of the same text especially because the two alleged versions are not separated
by rulings. This suggests that we are confronted with one single version. The main problem in this
interpretation is that lines 2’-6’ correspond to the ending lines of the manuscripts N 3579 (+) Ni 2763, UM
29-16-616 and 3N-T 326 + 3N-T 360, while lines 7’-11’ correspond to the beginning of “Nothing is of
Value” as known from different sources. Given the high variation of the composition, we may suggest that
the lines that appear at the end of the other sources were placed in a different section in Ni 3023+;