05/13/23	4 Color Fig(s):0	22:55	Art: 35_EUROAB-2023-P034-35
----------	------------------	-------	-----------------------------

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank the colleagues of the rheumatology department of the Hospital Virgen. de las Nieves for their support and collaboration.

Disclosure of Interests: VICTOR RUIZ DEL VALLE Speakers bureau: I have been paid for oral communications regarding medical topics by Janssen Pharmaceutica, Grant/research support from: Financial aid to pay study expenses as a statistician, Irene Martín Martín Grant/research support from: Financial aid to pay study expenses as a statistician., Miriam Navidad Fuentes Speakers bureau: She has been paid for oral communications regarding medical topics by Janssen Pharmaceutica, Grant/research support from: Financial aid to pay study expenses as a statistician., Laura Estepa Díaz Grant/research support from: Financial aid to pay study expenses as a statistician., Susana Quirosa Flores Grant/research support from: Financial aid to pay study expenses as a statistician.

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2023-eular.2055

1790

AB1120 REAL-LIFE EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF IXEKIZUMAB IN A COHORT OF PATIENTS WITH PSORIATIC ARTHRITIS: A SINGLE-CENTER RETROSPECTIVE STUDY

Keywords: Psoriatic arthritis, bDMARD, Real-world evidence

<u>E. Bellis</u>¹, D. Donzella¹, G. Crepaldi¹, V. Data¹, M. Gammino¹, V. Guardo¹, C. Lomater¹, E. Marucco¹, M. Saracco¹, A. Iagnocco¹. ¹Università di Torino; AO Mauriziano di Torino, Academic Rheumatology Center, Dipartimento Scienze Cliniche e Biologiche, Turin, Italy

Background: Ixekizumab is a high-affinity monoclonal antibody that selectively targets interleukin-17A and is indicated for psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis (PsA) and axial spondyloarthritis. Literature highlights efficacy and safety in real life in patients affected by psoriasis[1], instead little are data concerning PsA.[2]

Objectives: To retrospectively evaluate the effectiveness and safety of ixekizumab, in a cohort of patients with PsA.

Methods: Patients with a diagnosis of PsA and treated with ixekizumab who visited our outpatient clinic from October 2019 to December 2022 were included in the study. Clinical data were recorded since the first prescription of ixekizumab and at 6-month follow-up visit. Demographic, clinical and laboratory characteristics, treatment, and causes of discontinuation were analyzed. Differences between baseline and 6-months erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP), tender joint count (TJC) and swollen joint count (SJC) were analysed.

Results: Main results are reported on Table 1. 76 patients were included in the study, with an average age at the prescription of ixekizumab (T0) of 57.1±13.0 years. Main comorbidities were: hypertension (44.7%), obesity and overweight (44.7%), cardiopathy (19.7%), hepatic steatosis (21.0%), diabetes (13.2%), and hyperlipemia (3.9%). 93.42% of patients presented peripheral arthritis, 30.6% axial involvement, and 42.1 % enthesitis. 28,9% of patients were biologic-naïve, 34,0% received one biologic agent before, and 31.5% two or more biologic agents. 88,2% of patients initiated ixekizumab in combination with a csDMARDs, mainly methotrexate. The indications for the prescription of ixekizumab as a first biologic agent were: multiple comorbidities, severe psoriasis, and intolerance to csDMARDs. 28.9% of patients stopped ixekizumab because of primary failure (31.8%), secondary failure (22.7%), or adverse events (45.5%). 40% of the adverse events were relevant skin reactions at the injection site. No severe adverse events were registered. 60 patients completed 6 months of treatment (T6). In those patients, a statistically significant decrease between the SJC and TJC at baseline and T6 was found (p-value 0.0011 and 0.0006 respectively). No difference in the values of ESR and CRP values between T0 and T6 was present.

Conclusion: There are few data in real life concerning efficacy and safety in patients affected by PsA. In our cohort, ixekizumab significantly improved peripheral arthritis, and it revealed a good safety profile, without severe adverse events during the follow up. Further real-life evaluations on axial involvement, which was not included in this study, are warranted.

REFERENCES:

- Malagoli P. et al. Real life long-term efficacy and safety of ixekizumab in moderate-to-severe psoriasis: A 192 weeks multicentric retrospective study-IL PSO (Italian landscape psoriasis). Dermatol Ther. 2022
- [2] Manfreda V. et al. Efficacy and safety of ixekizumab in psoriatic arthritis: a retrospective, single-centre, observational study in a real-life clinical setting. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2020

Table 1. General characteristics of our cohort and clinical and laboratory findings at baseline and follow-up for patients who completed 6 months of treatment with ixekizumab.

Male/female n. (%)	22 (28.9%)/ 54 (71.1%)		
Mean age at diagnosis (years) Years from diagnosis at the first prescription of ixekizumab (years)	50.0 6.7		
Patients: n. 60	Т0	Т6	p-value
ESR (mm/h)	27.44±23.3 1.58+2.47	27.56±22.2 0.98+1.7	0.9741
CRP (mg/dl) TJC SJC	1.58±2.47 9.17±6.98 1.57±2.75	0.98±1.7 5.02±6.65 0.28±0.64	0.0011 0.0006

Acknowledgements: NIL.

Disclosure of Interests: Elisa Bellis Consultant of: Bristol-myers Squibb Srl - Temas, Grant/research support from: Pfizer, DENISE DONZELLA: None declared, Gloria Crepaldi Speakers bureau: Eli-Lilly, BMS, Consultant of: Galapagos, Janssen, Valeria Data: None declared, Marinella Gammino: None declared, Valeria Guardo: None declared, Claudia Lomater Speakers bureau: Eli-Lilly, Janssen, Formedica, Bristol-myers, Elena Marucco: None declared, Marta Saracco: None declared, Annamaria Iagnocco Speakers bureau: Abbvie, MSD, Alfasigma, Celltrion, BMS, Celgene, Eli-Lilly, -Sanofi Genzyme, Pfizer, Galapagos, Gilead, Novartis, SOBI, Janssen, Consultant of: Abbvie, MSD, Alfasigma, Celltrion, BMS, Celgene, Eli-Lilly, -Sanofi Genzyme, Pfizer, Galapagos, Gilead, Novartis, SOBI, Janssen, Grant/research support from: Pfizer, Abbvie. DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2023-eular.4883

AB1121 EFFICACY AND DRUG PERSISTENCE OF NEWLY INITIATED BIOLOGICS IN PSORIATIC ARTHRITIS

Keywords: bDMARD, Real-world evidence, Psoriatic arthritis

L. G. Stanciu¹, M. Agache^{1,2}, C. E. Ionescu^{1,2}, L. Enache^{1,2}, C. Mogosan^{1,2}, C. Popescu^{1,2}, C. Codreanu^{1,2}. ¹"Dr. Ion Stoia" Clinical Center for Rheumatic Diseases, Rheumatology, Bucharest, Romania; ²"Carol Davila" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Rheumatology, Bucharest, Romania

Background: Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is a form of spondyloarthritis associated with psoriasis and characterized by joint, entheses and spine inflammation. Numerous therapeutic molecules have appeared in the last decade following breakthroughs in the pathogenesis of the disease [1].

Objectives: The study aimed to evaluate the effectiveness and persistence of first-line biological treatment in PsA in a real-life setting.

Methods: The study included retrospectively all the PsA patients initiated on biologics in a university single center real-life clinical practice between January 2018- December 2021, as reported in the Romanian Register of Rheumatic Diseases [2]. Differences of continuous variables among subgroups were assessed with Man Whitney tests, while associations of categorical variables were assessed with χ^2 tests, considered significant if p < 0.05.

Results: The study included 38 patients with an average age at biologic initiation of 50 \pm 16 years, of which 71.1% were women, 34.2% were obese and 10.5% were smoking. The patients had established disease, with an average PsA duration of 9 \pm 9 years. Regarding treatment, 44.7% initiated tumor necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFi) and 55.3% interleukin 17 inhibitors (IL-17i). A fraction of 31.6% had biologic monotherapy. After the first 6 months of therapy, 55.3% reached the treatment target (Disease Activity in PSoriatic Arthritis - DAPSA < 14) with a drug persistence of 78.9%, while 65.8% reached target by 12 months with 68.4% drug persistence. There were no differences of DAPSA scores, target frequencies or persistence frequencies at 6 and 12 months between TNFi and IL17i (p > 0.05). An equal number of initiations was observed in the pre-pandemic period (2018-2019; 50%) versus the pandemic period (2020-2021; 50%).

Conclusion: Observations in a real-life setting show good efficacy persistence of both TNFi and IL17i in PsA at 6 and 12 months after their initiation. SARS-CoV-2 pandemic did not influence the number of biologic initiations in PsA patients. **REFERENCES:**

[1] Wang SH et al. Pharmaceutics. 2022 Oct 8;14(10):2140.

[2] Michelsen B et al. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2022 Jul;74(7):1205-1218. Acknowledgements: NIL.

Disclosure of Interests: None Declared.

DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2023-eular.5062