

# **Harmonic Flow of Quaternion-Kähler Structures**

**Udhav Fowdar1,[2](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9744-8252) · Henrique N. Sá Earp<sup>2</sup>**

Received: 14 June 2023 / Accepted: 15 March 2024 © The Author(s) 2024, corrected publication 2024

### **Abstract**

We formulate the gradient Dirichlet flow of  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ -structures on 8-manifolds, as the first systematic study of a geometric quaternion-Kähler (QK) flow. Its critical condition of *harmonicity* is especially relevant in the QK setting, since torsion-free structures are often topologically obstructed. We show that the conformally parallel property implies harmonicity, extending a result of Grigorian in the  $G_2$  case. We also draw several comparisons with Spin(7)-structures. Analysing the QK harmonic flow, we prove an almost-monotonicity formula, which implies to long-time existence under small initial energy, via  $\epsilon$ -regularity.We set up a theory of harmonic QK solitons, constructing a non-trivial steady example. We produce explicit long-time solutions: one, converging to a torsion-free limit on the hyperbolic plane; and another, converging to a limit which is harmonic but not torsion-free, on the manifold SU(3). We also study compactness and the formation of singularities.

**Keywords** Harmonic flow · Quaternion-Kähler geometry · Geometric flows · Quaternionic Kahler structures · Solitons · Isometric Sp(2)Sp(1)-structures

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 53C10 · 53C26 · 53C43 · 58E20

## **Contents**



 $\boxtimes$  Udhav Fowdar udhav21@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup> University of Turin (UniTo), Torino, Italy

<sup>2</sup> University of Campinas (Unicamp), Campinas, Brazil



### <span id="page-1-0"></span>**1 Introduction**

In this paper we study the harmonic flow of *H*-structures introduced in [\[23\]](#page-47-0) for  $H =$  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$  on 8-manifolds. We refer to it simply as the quaternion-Kähler harmonic flow. The corresponding flows for  $H = G_2$ , Spin(7), U(*n*) have been studied quite extensively in recent years cf. [\[5,](#page-46-1) [6](#page-46-2), [12](#page-47-1), [15](#page-47-2)]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study in the literature of a geometric flow of quaternion-Kähler structures.

Harmonic structures arise naturally as the critical points of the  $L^2$ -energy of the intrinsic torsion of an *H*-structure (wih  $H \subset SO(n)$ ) and as such can be interpreted as the 'best' representative *H*-structure in a given isometric class. The harmonic flow is precisely the negative gradient flow of this energy functional. A well-known result of Poon-Salamon in [\[24](#page-47-3)] asserts that there are only three compact (torsion-free) quaternion-Kähler 8-manifolds, and these are all symmetric spaces. Thus, harmonic structures can be viewed as the next most special objects on any other compact 8 manifolds admitting  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ -structures. This article provides the first step towards a more general study of geometric flows of  $Sp(n)Sp(1)$ -structures on  $4n$ -manifolds, and it can be read as a detailed instance of the abstract theory simultaneously formulated in [\[7](#page-46-3)]. One long-term prospect of  $Sp(n)Sp(1)$ -flows would be an analytic approach to the LeBrun-Salamon conjecture, which asserts that all compact quaternion-Kähler manifolds are symmetric spaces. Our exposition is organised as follows.

In Sect. [2](#page-2-0) we review the basic properties of  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ -structures, the geometry of which is determined by an algebraically special 4-form  $\Omega$ . By comparing with Spin(7)-structures, determined by a different 4-form  $\Phi$ , we derive several new identities. We emphasise the similarities and differences between the underlying structures. In Sect. [3](#page-11-0) we derive the notion of harmonic  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ -structures from the general framework of harmonic *H*-structures introduced in [\[23](#page-47-0)]. We illustrate its relevance in [§3.2,](#page-14-0) by constructing explicit examples of harmonic  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ -structures which are not torsion-free.

The analytic core of the paper is covered in Sects. [4](#page-19-0) and [5.](#page-24-0) We formulate the quaternion-Kähler harmonic flow and the corresponding notion of soliton, studying basic properties such as evolution of torsion and parabolic rescalings. By relying upon the analogy with the harmonic  $Spin(7)$ -flow [\[6](#page-46-2)], we establish in the quaternion-Kähler setting results such as a compactness theorem, almost-monotonicity formulae and  $\epsilon$ regularity, as well as a description of the singular set of the flow. One key difference of our approach is that we use the representation theory of  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ , rather than overly involved local computations, to simplify several proofs and thus illustrate the usefulness of a more unified approach to harmonic *H*-flows. Moreover, by adapting the work of He-Li in [\[15\]](#page-47-2) in the context of harmonic  $U(n)$ -structures, we derive an improved monotonicity formula, in tandem with a similar development in [\[7](#page-46-3)]; in fact, by further mobilising that paper's abstract theory for harmonic flows, we conclude long-time existence given small initial energy.

Finally, Sect. [6](#page-40-0) illustrates different regimes of the harmonic flow with concrete examples. In [§6.1](#page-40-1) we study the flow on certain Lie groups and exhibit explicit solutions converging to harmonic  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ -structures in infinite time. In particular, we exhibit a harmonic  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ -structure on the manifold  $SU(3)$ . In [§6.2](#page-45-0) we construct an example of a steady gradient soliton of the flow, which to our knowledge is the first explicit non-trivial soliton of a harmonic flow of geometric structures.

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>**2 Preliminaries on Sp***(***2***)***Sp***(***1***)***-Structures**

An Sp(2)Sp(1)-structure on an 8-manifold *M* is determined by an algebraically special 4-form  $\Omega$ , pointwise modelled on

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
\Omega = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_1 + \omega_2 \wedge \omega_2 + \omega_3 \wedge \omega_3), \tag{2.1}
$$

where the 2-forms  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$  are given in local coordinates  $\{x_i\}$  by

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\omega_1 = dx_{12} + dx_{34} + dx_{56} + dx_{78},\tag{2.2}
$$

$$
\omega_2 = dx_{13} - dx_{24} + dx_{57} - dx_{68},\tag{2.3}
$$

$$
\omega_3 = dx_{14} + dx_{23} + dx_{58} + dx_{67}.
$$
 (2.4)

It might be worth recalling that  $Sp(2)$  is the stabiliser of the triple  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ , and that the additional  $Sp(1)$  factor corresponds to rotating this data. Quotienting by the centre  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , generated by (-1, -1), leads to the Sp(2)Sp(1) := Sp(2) × $\mathbb{Z}_2$  Sp(1) structure. The study of harmonic  $Sp(2)$ -structures turns out to be more subtle and is currently an ongoing project by the authors.

Since the stabiliser of  $\Omega$  in GL(8, R) is isomorphic to Sp(2)Sp(1)  $\subset$  SO(8) (see also Proposition [2.7\)](#page-10-1), it follows that  $\Omega$  defines, up to homothety, both a metric  $g_{\Omega}$  and volume form  $vol_{\Omega}$ . In the above notation, these are pointwise given by

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
g_{\Omega} = dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dx_4^2 + dx_5^2 + dx_6^2 + dx_7^2 + dx_8^2,
$$
 (2.5)

$$
\text{vol}_{\Omega} = \frac{1}{30} \Omega \wedge \Omega = dx_{1...8}.
$$
 (2.6)

<span id="page-2-2"></span> $\mathcal{D}$  Springer

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M = E \otimes H,\tag{2.7}
$$

where  $E$  (respectively  $H$ ) is the associated vector bundle to the standard representation of Sp(*n*) (respectively Sp(1)) on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$  (respectively  $\mathbb{C}^2$ ), see also [\[26](#page-47-4)]. In what follows we shall often ignore the fact that we are complexifying the tensor bundles of *M*, and use the same notation for the complexified and the underlying real vector bundles, as all these spaces admit a real structure owing to the quaternionic structure.

#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>**2.1 Representation Theory and Intrinsic Torsion**

A description of the tensor bundles on quaternion-Kähler 8-manifolds in the *E*-*H* notation can be found in [\[27](#page-47-5), [29\]](#page-47-6), but for our purposes we shall need the following more concrete description, found in [\[9\]](#page-46-4). The space of 2-forms splits as an  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ module as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned} \Lambda^2 &= \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(2) \oplus (\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(2))^\perp \\ &= S^2 H \oplus S^2 E \oplus \Lambda_0^2 E \otimes S^2 H \\ &= \Lambda_3^2 \oplus \Lambda_{10}^2 \oplus \Lambda_{15}^2 \end{aligned}
$$

where

<span id="page-3-2"></span><span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\Lambda_3^2 = \{ \alpha \in \Lambda^2 \mid *(\alpha \wedge \Omega) = 5\alpha \},\tag{2.8}
$$

$$
\Lambda_{10}^2 = \{ \alpha \in \Lambda^2 \mid *(\alpha \wedge \Omega) = -3\alpha \},\tag{2.9}
$$

$$
\Lambda_{15}^2 = \{ \alpha \in \Lambda^2 \mid * (\alpha \wedge \Omega) = \alpha \}. \tag{2.10}
$$

Note that the subbundle  $\Lambda_3^2$  can be equivalently defined as the span  $\langle \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \rangle$ although we should emphasise that the 2-forms  $\omega_i$  can only be chosen locally, i.e.  $\Lambda_3^2$ is not in general a trivial vector bundle (for instance consider  $M = \mathbb{HP}^2$ ).

We shall also need the decomposition of the space of 4-forms. First note that the Hodge star operator ∗ splits the space of 4-forms into self-dual and an anti-self-dual components:

$$
\Lambda^4 = \Lambda^{4+} \oplus \Lambda^{4-}.
$$

These further decompose into irreducible  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ -modules as follows:

$$
\Lambda_1^{4+} = \langle \Omega \rangle
$$
  

$$
\Lambda_5^{4+} \cong S^4 H = \{ \alpha \in \Lambda^{4+} \mid * (\alpha \wedge \omega) \wedge \Omega = 5\alpha \wedge \omega \ \forall \omega \in \Lambda_3^2 \}
$$

$$
\Lambda_{15}^{4+} \cong \Lambda_0^2 E \otimes S^2 H = \{ \alpha \in \Lambda^{4+} \mid * (\alpha \wedge \omega) \wedge \Omega = \alpha \wedge \omega \ \forall \omega \in \Lambda_3^2 \}
$$
  

$$
\Lambda_{14}^{4+} \cong S_0^2 (\Lambda_0^2 E) = \{ \alpha \in \Lambda^{4+} \mid \alpha \wedge \omega = 0 \ \forall \omega \in \Lambda_3^2 \}
$$
  

$$
\Lambda_5^{4-} \cong \Lambda_0^2 E = \{ \alpha \in \Lambda^{4-} \mid * (\alpha \wedge \omega) \wedge \Omega = \alpha \wedge \omega \ \forall \omega \in \Lambda_3^2 \}
$$
  

$$
\Lambda_{30}^{4-} \cong S^2 E \otimes S^2 H = \{ \alpha \in \Lambda^{4-} \mid * (\alpha \wedge \omega) \wedge \Omega = -3\alpha \wedge \omega \ \forall \omega \in \Lambda_3^2 \}.
$$

Recall also that there is a natural action of  $\Lambda^2 \cong \mathfrak{so}(8) \subset \text{End}(\mathbb{R}^8)$  on the space of *k*-forms given by

$$
\Lambda^2 \otimes \Lambda^k \to \Lambda^k
$$
  

$$
(\alpha \wedge \beta) \otimes \Upsilon \mapsto \alpha \wedge (\beta^{\sharp} \lrcorner \Upsilon) - \beta \wedge (\alpha^{\sharp} \lrcorner \Upsilon).
$$

When  $k = 2$ , observe that this is just the usual Lie bracket operation in  $\mathfrak{so}(8)$ . Since in our situation we have the quaternion-Kähler 4-form  $\Omega$ , this gives rise to an 'infinitesimal action' operator  $\Diamond : \Lambda^2 \to \Lambda^4$ , defined on simple 2-forms by

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
(\alpha \wedge \beta) \mapsto (\alpha \wedge \beta) \diamond \Omega := \alpha \wedge (\beta^{\sharp} \lrcorner \Omega) - \beta \wedge (\alpha^{\sharp} \lrcorner \Omega). \tag{2.11}
$$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Lemma 2.1** *The kernel of the operator*  $\diamond$  *is isomorphic to*  $S^2$  *H*  $\oplus$  *S*<sup>2</sup>*E, hence*  $\diamond$  *restricts to an isomorphism on*  $\Lambda_{15}^2 \cong \Lambda_{15}^{4+}$ .

**Proof** Since  $\diamond$  is an Sp(2)Sp(1)-equivariant map, and  $\Lambda_0^2 E \otimes S^2 H$  is the only  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ -module contained in both  $\Lambda^2$  and  $\Lambda^4$ , by Schur's lemma it suffices to check that it is non-zero.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>*Remark 2.1.1* More generally, the diamond operator  $\diamond$  can be naturally extended to the action of

$$
\mathfrak{gl}(8,\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{R}^8) \cong \mathbb{R}^{8*} \otimes \mathbb{R}^8 \cong S^2(\mathbb{R}^{8*}) \oplus \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^{8*})
$$

on  $\Omega$  as above by  $(\alpha \otimes \beta) \diamond \Omega := \alpha \wedge (\beta^{\sharp} \lrcorner \Omega)$ . Then the same argument as in Lemma [2.1](#page-4-0) shows that there is an isomorphism

$$
S^2(T^*M) \cong \langle \Omega \rangle \oplus \Lambda_5^{4-} \oplus \Lambda_{30}^{4-}.
$$

The intrinsic torsion tensor  $T \in \Lambda_8^1 \otimes \Lambda_{15}^2$  of the Sp(2)Sp(1)-structure determined by  $\Omega$  can now be defined as

$$
\nabla \Omega = T(\cdot) \diamond \Omega \in \Lambda_8^1 \otimes \Lambda_{15}^{4+}.
$$
 (2.12)

In order to extract *T* from the above expression we need to invert the isomorphism  $\varphi : \Lambda^2_{15} \to \Lambda^{4+}_{15}$ . To do so we first note that given an arbitrary 4-form  $\kappa$  one can define a triple contraction operator  $\Box_3$ :  $\Lambda^4 \rightarrow \Lambda^2$ , given on simple 4-forms, by

$$
\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_3 \wedge \alpha_4 \rightarrow 0 \kappa := \alpha_1 \wedge (\alpha_2^{\sharp} \rightarrow \alpha_3^{\sharp} \rightarrow \alpha_4^{\sharp} \rightarrow \kappa) - \alpha_2 \wedge (\alpha_1^{\sharp} \rightarrow \alpha_3^{\sharp} \rightarrow \alpha_4^{\sharp} \rightarrow \kappa)
$$

 $\circled{2}$  Springer

$$
\alpha_3 \wedge (\alpha_1^{\sharp} \negthinspace\negthinspace - \negthinspace \alpha_2^{\sharp} \negthinspace\negthinspace - \negthinspace \alpha_4^{\sharp} \negthinspace \negthinspace - \negthinspace \alpha_4 \wedge (\alpha_1^{\sharp} \negthinspace - \negthinspace \alpha_2^{\sharp} \negthinspace - \negthinspace \alpha_3^{\sharp} \negthinspace - \negthinspace \kappa). \tag{2.13}
$$

In particular, by taking  $\kappa = \Omega$  we get the following  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ -equivariant map

$$
\iota_3(\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_3 \wedge \alpha_4) := \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_3 \wedge \alpha_4 \sqcup_3 \Omega.
$$

By inspecting the decomposition of 2- and 4-forms into irreducible  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ modules, and by Schur's lemma, we know that either  $\iota_3$  is zero or it restricts to an isomorphism  $\Lambda_{15}^{4+} \rightarrow \Lambda_{15}^2$ .

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Lemma 2.2** *The operator* ι<sup>3</sup> *satisfies*

$$
\iota_3(\kappa \diamond \Omega) = 32\kappa, \quad \text{for } \kappa \in \Lambda^2_{15}. \tag{2.14}
$$

*Proof* Since the result is algebraic, it suffices to work at a point in  $M^8$ . Given a simple 2-form  $\alpha \wedge \beta$ , we want to compute  $(\alpha \wedge \beta) \diamond \Omega$ . We now make two convenient assumptions, without loss of generality. Since  $\Omega$  is invariant by  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ , and  $Sp(2)$ acts transitively on  $S^7$ , we can set  $\alpha = dx_1$  whilst leaving  $\Omega$  unchanged; furthermore, as the stabiliser of  $dx_1$  in Sp(2) is isomorphic to Sp(1), we can assume that  $\beta =$  $b \cdot dx_2 + c \cdot dx_3 + u \cdot dx_4 + v \cdot dx_5$ , for some constants *b*, *c*, *u*, *v*. Hence our typical 2-form can be written as

$$
\alpha \wedge \beta = \frac{b}{4} \cdot \omega_1 + \frac{c}{4} \cdot \omega_2 + \frac{u}{4} \cdot \omega_3 + \pi_{10}^2(\alpha \wedge \beta) + \pi_{15}^2(\alpha \wedge \beta),
$$

where  $\pi_j^i : \Lambda^i \to \Lambda_j^i$  denotes the projection map. A direct computation now shows that

$$
\iota_3((\alpha \wedge \beta) \diamond \Omega) = 32 \cdot \pi_{15}^2(\alpha \wedge \beta).
$$

 $\Box$ 

Using Lemma [2.2](#page-5-0) we can now rewrite the intrinsic torsion tensor as

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
T(\cdot) = \frac{1}{32} \iota_3(\nabla.\Omega). \tag{2.15}
$$

<span id="page-5-2"></span>Finally we also record one key identity between the diamond operator and the triple contraction, for later use.

**Lemma 2.3** *Given 2-forms*  $\alpha = \sum_{i < j} \alpha_{ij} dx_{ij}$  and  $\beta = \sum_{i < j} \beta_{ij} dx_{ij}$  belonging to  $\Lambda_{15}^2 \cong \Lambda_0^2 E \otimes S^2 H$ , we have

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
(\alpha \diamond \Omega) \exists_{3} (\beta \diamond \Omega) = 32 \sum_{i,j,k} \alpha_{ik} \beta_{jk} dx_{ij} \in \Lambda_{3}^{2} \oplus \Lambda_{10}^{2}.
$$
 (2.16)

 $\textcircled{2}$  Springer

*Proof* Again this is an algebraic relation, which can be assessed at a point. By choosing geodesic normal coordinates, we can assume that we are working on ( $\mathbb{R}^8$ ,  $\Omega$ ). Since  $\diamond$ and  $\perp_3$  are both linear operators, it suffices to consider the case when  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are of the form  $v \otimes w \in \Lambda^2_{15} \cong \Lambda^2_0 E \otimes S^2 H$ , for some unit vectors  $v, w$ . Furthermore we know that Sp(2)Sp(1) acts as SO(5)  $\cong$  Sp(2)/ $\mathbb{Z}_2$  on  $\Lambda_0^2 E \cong \mathbb{R}^5$  and as SO(3)  $\cong$  Sp(1)/ $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on  $S^2H \cong \mathbb{R}^3$  i.e. Sp(2)Sp(1) acts transitively on each unit sphere and hence, as in the proof of Lemma [2.2,](#page-5-0) we can choose any elements  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $\Lambda_{15}^2$ . So for instance we consider  $\alpha = dx_{28} + dx_{35}$  and  $\beta = dx_{15} - dx_{26}$ ; using characterisation [\(2.10\)](#page-3-1) for  $\Lambda_{15}^2$ , one readily checks that:

$$
\Lambda_{15}^{2} = \langle dx_{15} - dx_{26}, dx_{16} + dx_{25}, dx_{15} - dx_{37}, dx_{16}
$$
  
+  $dx_{38}, dx_{28} + dx_{35}, dx_{17} - dx_{28},$   
 $dx_{18} + dx_{45}, dx_{27} + dx_{36}, dx_{28} + dx_{46}, dx_{38}$   
+  $dx_{47}, dx_{37} - dx_{48}, dx_{18} + dx_{27},$   
 $dx_{12} + dx_{34} - dx_{56} - dx_{78}, dx_{13} - dx_{24} - dx_{57}$   
+  $dx_{68}, dx_{14} + dx_{23} - dx_{58} - dx_{67}.$ 

By direct computation, we find

$$
\alpha \diamond \Omega = 4(dx_{1245} - dx_{1348} - dx_{2567} + dx_{3678})
$$
  

$$
\beta \diamond \Omega = 4(dx_{1346} + dx_{1678} + dx_{2345} + dx_{2578}),
$$

from which we deduce that

$$
(\alpha \diamond \Omega) \exists_3 (\beta \diamond \Omega) = 32(-dx_{13} + dx_{68}),
$$

as required (compare with Remark [2.3.1,](#page-4-1) below).

Now, as Sp(2)Sp(1)-modules, we have the following decomposition:

$$
\Lambda_{15}^2 \otimes \Lambda_{15}^2 \cong (\mathbb{R} \oplus S^2 E \oplus S_0^2(\Lambda_0^2 E)) \otimes (\mathbb{R} \oplus S^2 H \oplus S^4 H).
$$

Observe that there is no  $\Lambda_{15}^2 \cong \Lambda_0^2 E \otimes S^2 H$  component, but there are  $\Lambda_3^2 \cong S^2 H$  and  $\Lambda_{10}^2 \cong S^2 E$  components, so it follows that  $(\alpha \diamond \Omega) \exists ( \beta \diamond \Omega) \in \Lambda_3^2 \oplus \Lambda_{10}^2$ . Indeed, in the above example,

$$
2(dx_{13} - dx_{68}) = \omega_2 + (dx_{13} + dx_{24} - dx_{57} - dx_{68}) \in \Lambda_3^2 \oplus \Lambda_{10}^2.
$$

The fact that the last term lies in  $\Lambda_{10}^2$  is easily checked using [\(2.9\)](#page-3-2), and this concludes the proof.  $\Box$ 

*Remark 2.3.1* The right-hand side of [\(2.16\)](#page-5-1) corresponds, up to a constant factor, to the Lie bracket of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \Lambda^2_{15} \subset \Lambda^2 \cong \mathfrak{so}(8)$ . Indeed it is well-known that the splitting  $\mathfrak{so}(8) \cong (\mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(5)) \oplus \Lambda^2$  corresponds to the Lie algebra decomposition for  $\mathfrak{so}(8) \cong (\mathfrak{so}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(5)) \oplus \Lambda^2_{15}$  corresponds to the Lie algebra decomposition for

the rank 3 symmetric space  $\frac{SO(8)}{SO(3)\times SO(5)}$  (which is the double cover of  $\frac{SO(8)}{Sp(2)Sp(1)}$ ) and hence

$$
[\Lambda_{15}^2, \Lambda_{15}^2] \subset \mathfrak{so}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(5)
$$

cf. [\[19,](#page-47-7) Ch. XI. Prop 2.1]. Thus, Lemma [2.3](#page-5-2) expresses essentially just a consequence of this fact.

Whilst in this paper we aim to deal with situations in which *T* does not vanish identically, it is worth recalling some properties of torsion-free quaternion-Kähler structures (in all dimensions). Quaternion-Kähler manifolds are always Einstein i.e.  $Ric(g) = \lambda g$  cf. [\[1](#page-46-5), [26\]](#page-47-4). If moreover  $\lambda = 0$ , then *M* is locally a hyperKähler manifold, so this case is usually excluded from the definition of quaternion-Kähler manifolds. If  $\lambda > 0$ , then *M* is compact, whilst if  $\lambda < 0$  then *M* is non-compact. Poon and Salamon showed that the only compact quaternion-Kähler 8-manifolds are the symmetric spaces [\[24](#page-47-3)]:

$$
\mathbb{HP}^2 = \frac{Sp(3)}{Sp(2)Sp(1)}, \ \ \text{Gr}_2(\mathbb{C}^4) = \frac{SU(4)}{S(U(2)U(2))} \ \ \text{and} \ \ \frac{G_2}{SO(4)}.
$$

By contrast, LeBrun showed in [\[21](#page-47-8)] that there are infinitely many examples in the non-compact case, see also [\[1](#page-46-5), [8](#page-46-6)] for other non-compact examples.

Furthermore, by analysing the decomposition

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
T \in \Lambda_8^1 \otimes \Lambda_{15}^2 \cong \Lambda_8^1 \oplus \Lambda_{16}^3 \oplus \Lambda_{32}^3 \oplus (K \otimes S^3 H), \tag{2.17}
$$

where *K* is irreducible Sp(2)Sp(1)-module defined by  $\Lambda_0^2 E \otimes E \cong K \oplus E$ , Swann proved that

**Theorem 2.4** [\[29](#page-47-6)] *The intrinsic torsion*  $T = 0$  *if, and only if,*  $d\Omega = 0$  *and the differential ideal*  $\langle \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \rangle$  *is algebraic.* 

Whilst, for quaternion-Kähler structures in dimensions strictly greater than 8, being torsion-free is equivalent to the 4-form  $\Omega$  being closed, there do exist quaternion-Kähler 4-forms in dimension 8 which are closed but not torsion-free [\[28](#page-47-9)].

*Remark 2.4.1* Since, in dimension 8,  $\Omega$  is a self-dual 4-form, if  $d\Omega = 0$  then one often calls the induced quaternion-Kähler structure *harmonic* [\[3](#page-46-7)], which is an altogether different meaning from our notion of harmonicity in the present context.

Spin(7)-structures are another type of geometric structure arising on 8-manifolds by an algebraically special 4-form, under favourable topological conditions. Throughout this article we shall see that there is a rather close relation between harmonic Sp(2)Sp(1)- and Spin(7)-structures, although their respective algebraic properties are quite different. Next we describe some common features of  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ - and  $Spin(7)$ structures which, to the best of our knowledge, have not so far been described in the literature.

### <span id="page-8-0"></span>**2.2 Bianchi Identity for the Torsion**

We now derive a 'Bianchi-type identity' for the torsion tensor  $T$ , which will be useful later on in the derivation of a monotonicity formula. The terminology comes the fact that this identity arises due to the diffeomorphism-invariance of the torsion tensor, just as the usual Bianchi identity arises from the invariance of Riemann curvature, cf. [\[18](#page-47-10)].

<span id="page-8-3"></span>**Proposition 2.5** *The torsion tensor T satisfies the following 'Bianchi-type identity'*

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
(\nabla_X T)(Y) - (\nabla_Y T)(X) = \pi_{15}^2 (R(X, Y)) + \frac{1}{32} ((\nabla_Y \Omega) \Box_3 (\nabla_X \Omega) - (\nabla_X \Omega) \Box_3 (\nabla_Y \Omega)),
$$
(2.18)

*where we are viewing R*(*Y* , *X*) *as a* 2*-form. Moreover,*

<span id="page-8-4"></span>
$$
\pi_{15}^2((\nabla_X T)(Y) - (\nabla_Y T)(X)) = \pi_{15}^2(R(X, Y)).
$$
\n(2.19)

*Proof* From [\(2.15\)](#page-5-3), we have

$$
(\nabla_Y T)(X) = \frac{1}{32} (\nabla_{Y,X}^2 \Omega) \Box_3 \Omega + \frac{1}{32} (\nabla_X \Omega) \Box_3 (\nabla_Y \Omega), \tag{2.20}
$$

where we used the fact that  $\nabla$  preserves *g* and hence  $\lnot_3$ . Skewsymmetrising in *X* and *Y* , we get

$$
(\nabla_X T)(Y) - (\nabla_Y T)(X) = \frac{1}{32} ((\nabla_{X,Y}^2 - \nabla_{Y,X}^2) \Omega) \log \Omega + \frac{1}{32} ((\nabla_Y \Omega) \log (\nabla_X \Omega) - (\nabla_X \Omega) \log (\nabla_Y \Omega)).
$$
\n(2.21)

and the first part of the Proposition now follows from Lemma [2.2.](#page-5-0)

For the second part, observe that

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
(\nabla_Y \Omega) \lrcorner_3(\nabla_X \Omega) \in \Lambda_{15}^{4+} \otimes \Lambda_{15}^{4+} \cong (\mathbb{R} \oplus S_0^2(\Lambda_0^2 E) \oplus S^2 E) \otimes (\mathbb{R} \oplus S^2 H \oplus S^4 H). \tag{2.22}
$$

In particular,  $(\nabla_Y \Omega) \rightarrow_3 (\nabla_X \Omega)$  has no component in  $\Lambda^2_{15} \cong \Lambda^2_0 E \otimes S^2 H$ , and hence as a 2-form it lies entirely in  $S^2H \oplus S^2E \subset \Lambda^2$ ; likewise for  $(\nabla_X \Omega) \lrcorner_3(\nabla_Y \Omega)$ . This concludes the proof.

An important consequence of the Bianchi identity  $(2.18)$  is that the skew-symmetrisation of the covariant derivative of *T* is fully controlled by the 15-dimensional component of the curvature tensor (which depends only on the metric) and a quadratic term involving *T* .

*Remark 2.5.1* In [\[6](#page-46-2)] an analogous Bianchi-type identity is derived for the torsion of a Spin(7)-structure, say determined by  $\Phi$ . The proof there is more computational in nature but the argument follows exactly as described above by replacing  $\Omega$  by  $\Phi$  and  $\Omega_{15}^2 \cong (\mathfrak{sp}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1))^{\perp}$  by  $\Omega_7^2 \cong \mathfrak{spin}(7)^{\perp}$ ; so by analogy with [\(2.22\)](#page-8-2), we have the Spin(7)-module decomposition Spin(7)-module decomposition

$$
\Lambda_7^2 \otimes \Lambda_7^2 \cong \mathbb{R} \oplus S_0^2(\mathbb{R}^7) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(7),
$$

corresponding in fact to the representation of SO(7)  $\cong$  Spin(7)/ $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

More generally, suppose that we have the orthogonal reductive splitting  $\mathfrak{so}(n) = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ m and that  $H \cong \text{stab}(\xi)$  for some tensor  $\xi$  (in our case  $G = SO(8)$ ,  $H = Sp(2)Sp(1)$ ) and  $\xi = \Omega$ ), then we know that the torsion tensor  $T \in \Omega^1 \otimes \mathfrak{m}$  cf. [\[27](#page-47-5)]. The last term in [\(2.18\)](#page-8-1) essentially corresponds to the Lie bracket of  $T(X)$  and  $T(Y)$  and hence belongs to  $[m, m]$ . So, if  $g = h \oplus m$  corresponds to the Lie algebra decomposition of a symmetric space, then  $[m, m] \subset \mathfrak{h}$ . This is indeed the case in our situation and also eg. when  $G = SO(8)$  with  $H = Spin(7)$  [\[6\]](#page-46-2),  $G = SO(2n)$  and  $H = U(n)$  [\[15](#page-47-2)]. Thus, such a Bianchi identity must always hold in those contexts. This insight allows us to interpret proofs in these various contexts from a unified perspective, and thereby avoid unnecessarily complicated computations, as we shall illustrate below.

**Corollary 2.6** *If the intrinsic torsion T of*  $(M^8, g_{\Omega}, \Omega)$  *vanishes, then the holonomy group of*  $g_{\Omega}$  *is contained in*  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ *. Moreover,*  $g_{\Omega}$  *is Einstein.* 

*Proof* Setting  $T = 0$  in [\(2.18\)](#page-8-1) shows that  $\pi_{15}^2(R(X, Y)) = 0$ , for all  $X, Y \in T_pM$ , i.e. the curvature tensor *R* corresponds to a section of  $S^2(\mathfrak{sp}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)) \subset S^2(\Lambda^2)$ . The first claim now follows from the Ambrose-Singer Theorem.

To establish the second claim, recall that the curvature operator in fact lies in the kernel of the skew-symmetrisation map

$$
A: S^2(\mathfrak{sp}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)) \to \Lambda^4
$$

defined by wedging the 2-forms in  $\mathfrak{sp}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$  cf. [\[27](#page-47-5)]; this corresponds to the symmetry of the algebraic Bianchi identity. On the other hand, we have the irreducible decomposition

$$
S^2(\mathfrak{sp}(2)\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(1))\cong S^4E\oplus S_0^2(\Lambda_0^2E)\oplus\Lambda_0^2E\oplus\mathbb{R}\oplus S^2E\otimes S^2H\oplus S^4H\oplus\mathbb{R}
$$

where we again use the  $E$ - $H$  formalism of  $(2.7)$ . The traceless component of the Ricci tensor belongs to  $S_0^2(E \otimes H) \cong \Lambda_0^2 E \oplus S^2 E \otimes S^2 H$ . Comparing with the irreducible decomposition of  $\Lambda^4$ , we see that the kernel of *A* always contains a copy of  $\mathbb R$  (the curvature tensor of  $\mathbb{HP}^2$ ) and of  $S^4E$ . Testing a few simple examples shows that the map *A* has a non-zero image in each irreducible component of  $\Lambda^4$ ; for instance one can consider the wedge products of  $\omega_i \in \mathfrak{sp}(1)$  and  $dx_{12} - dx_{34} \in \mathfrak{sp}(2)$ . Hence from Schur's Lemma it follows that *A* must be an isomorphism on all the remaining modules and this gives the result. *Remark 2.6.1* A similar argument was used in [\[18](#page-47-10), Corollary 4.12] to give a direct proof that  $G_2$ -manifolds are indeed Ricci-flat (although the proof therein relies on a calculation in index notation for the G<sub>2</sub>-structure 3-form  $\varphi$ , the essence is the same). Our argument above shows that in fact, given a Bianchi-type identity, a similar proof can be used to show Ricci flatness for other special holonomy groups, cf. [\[7](#page-46-3)].

#### <span id="page-10-0"></span>**2.3 Relations Between Sp***(***2***)***Sp***(***1***)***-Structures and Spin***(***7***)***-Structures**

A Spin(7)-structure on an 8-manifold *M* is determined by an algebraically special 4-form  $\Phi$  pointwise modelled on

<span id="page-10-3"></span>
$$
\Phi = \frac{1}{2}(-\omega_1 \wedge \omega_1 + \omega_2 \wedge \omega_2 + \omega_3 \wedge \omega_3), \tag{2.23}
$$

with  $\omega_i$  as defined above by [\(2.2\)](#page-2-1)–[\(2.4\)](#page-2-2). Since Spin(7) is a subgroup of SO(8), it follows that  $\Phi$  determines (up to homothety) both a metric  $g_{\Phi}$  and a volume form

$$
\mathrm{vol}_{\Phi} = \frac{1}{14} \Phi \wedge \Phi.
$$

In the above pointwise coordinates, these coincide with the expressions  $(2.5)$  and  $(2.6)$ , respectively. It is worth pointing out that a Spin(7)-structure endows each tangent space of *M* with the algebraic structure of the octonions  $\mathbb{O}$ , whilst an  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ -structure endows the tangent space with the algebraic structure of the quaternic plane  $\mathbb{H}^2$ .

As demonstrated by Karigiannis in [\[17,](#page-47-11) Theorem 4.3.5], the metric  $g_{\Phi}$  can be explicitly extracted from  $\Phi$  via the expression

<span id="page-10-2"></span>
$$
g_{\Phi}(X, X)^2 = \frac{7^3}{6^{7/3}} \frac{(\det(((e_i \triangle X \triangle \Phi) \wedge (e_j \triangle X \triangle \Phi) \wedge (X \triangle \Phi))(e_1, \dots, e_7)))^{1/3}}{(((X \triangle \Phi) \wedge \Phi))(e_1, \dots, e_7))^3},
$$
\n(2.24)

where *X*,  $e_i \in T_pN$  form a positively oriented basis of  $T_pN$ , i.e.

$$
\mathrm{vol}_{\Phi}(X,e_1,\ldots,e_7)>0.
$$

In fact, [\[17,](#page-47-11) Lemma 4.3.3] shows that the right-hand side of [\(2.24\)](#page-10-2) is independent of the choice of extension of *X* to the basis  $\{X, e_i\}$  of  $T_pN$ : if one chooses a different extension  $\{e'_{i}\}$  so that

$$
e_i' = P_{ij}e_j + Q_iX,
$$

then the numerator of [\(2.24\)](#page-10-2) changes by a factor of  $(\det(P)^2 \det(P)^7)^{1/3}$ , but so does also the denominator and hence the quotient is indeed invariant.

<span id="page-10-1"></span>An inspection of the proof of the latter assertion reveals that the invariance of the right-hand side still holds if  $\Phi$  is replaced by any 4-form  $\Upsilon$  which is non-degenerate, i.e.  $\Upsilon \wedge \Upsilon > 0$ . In particular, this leads to the following analogous result:

**Proposition 2.7** *The quaternion-Kähler metric*  $g_{\Omega}$  *is obtained from*  $\Omega$  *via the expression*

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
g_{\Omega}(X, X)^2 = \frac{5^3}{4 \cdot 6^{1/3}} \frac{(\det(((e_i \cup X \cup \Omega) \wedge (e_j \cup X \cup \Omega) \wedge (X \cup \Omega))(e_1, \dots, e_7)))^{1/3}}{(((X \cup \Omega) \wedge \Omega))(e_1, \dots, e_7))^3},
$$
\n(2.25)

*where*  $X \in T_pN$  and  $\{X, e_i\} \in T_pN$  is any extension of X to a postively oriented *basis of*  $T_pN$ .

*Proof* We know from  $[17,$  $[17,$  Lemma 4.3.3] that the right-hand side of  $(2.25)$  is independent of the extension  $\{e_i\}$ , and it is  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ -invariant, so it suffices to check that [\(2.25\)](#page-11-1) holds for a preferred extension. Identifying  $\Omega$  at p with the standard  $\Omega_0$ on  $\mathbb{R}^8$ , we let *X* be an arbitrary vector,  $e_i := I_i(X)$ , for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , and we choose  ${e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7}$  to be orthogonal to  ${X, e_1, e_2, e_3}$ . The result will now follow from the next Lemma. next Lemma.

**Lemma 2.8** *Given V*,  $W \in \Gamma(TN)$ *, consider the orthogonal decomposition*  $W =$  $aV + W_1 + W_2$ , where  $W_1$  *denotes the projection of*  $W$  *onto*  $\langle I_1V, I_2V, I_3V \rangle$  and  $W_2$ *denotes the projection of W onto the orthogonal complement of the quaternionic span of V . Then we have*

<span id="page-11-2"></span>
$$
(V \sqcup W \sqcup \Omega) \wedge (V \sqcup W \sqcup \Omega) \wedge \Omega = 6 \cdot g_{\Omega}(V, V) \cdot (3 \cdot g_{\Omega}(W_1, W_1) -g_{\Omega}(W_2, W_2)) \cdot \text{vol}_{\Omega}.
$$
 (2.26)

In particular, given another vector field  $U = bV + U_1 + U_2$ , by polarising the above *we get*

$$
(V \sqcup W \sqcup \Omega) \wedge (V \sqcup U \sqcup \Omega) \wedge \Omega = 6 \cdot g_{\Omega}(V, V) \cdot (3 \cdot g_{\Omega}(W_1, U_1) -g_{\Omega}(W_2, U_2)) \cdot \mathrm{vol}_{\Omega}.
$$
 (2.27)

*Proof* Since the result is algebraic, we may work on  $\mathbb{R}^8$  without loss of generality. Furthermore, Sp(2) acts transitively on the unit sphere, so we can assume  $V = \partial_{x_1}$ , and hence  $I_1(V) = \partial_{x_2}$ ,  $I_2(V) = \partial_{x_3}$  and  $I_3(V) = \partial_{x_4}$ . Since the stabiliser in Sp(2) of a unit vector is isomorphic to Sp(1), we can also set  $W_2 = \partial_{x_5}$ . The result now follows from a straightforward computation.

*Remark 2.8.1* Given a unit vector  $V \in T_pN$ , the subspace  $\langle I_1V, I_2V, I_3V \rangle$  can now be defined as the span of those unit vectors *W* for which the right-hand side of [\(2.26\)](#page-11-2) is equal to  $18\text{vol}_{\Omega}$ . This gives a concrete way of defining the 2-sphere of almost complex structures, i.e. the twistor space, starting from  $\Omega$  only.

### <span id="page-11-0"></span>**3 Harmonic Sp***(***2***)***Sp***(***1***)***-Structures**

In this section we describe how the notion of harmonic  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ -structures arises from the general framework of harmonic *H*-structures introduced in [\[23](#page-47-0)].

#### <span id="page-12-0"></span>**3.1 Harmonic Homogeneous Sp***(***2***)***Sp***(***1***)***-Sections**

We begin by describing  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ -structures as sections of a homogeneous fibre bundle. We shall be brief here and refer the reader to [\[23\]](#page-47-0) for more details. First we fix an oriented Riemannian 8-manifold  $(M, g)$  and denote by  $p : F \to M$  its orthonormal frame bundle, with fibre  $G = SO(8)$ . Since  $Sp(2)Sp(1) \subset SO(8)$ , cf. Proposition [2.7,](#page-10-1) the quotient  $q : F \to N := F/\text{Sp}(2)\text{Sp}(1)$  defines a principal  $H = Sp(2)Sp(1)$ -bundle, which in turn is a smooth fibre bundle  $\pi : N \to M$  with the homogeneous space  $SO(8)/Sp(2)Sp(1)$  as typical fibre. It follows that  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ structures compatible with the metric *g* are determined by sections of  $\pi$ .

From the results in Sect. [2,](#page-2-0) we have the reductive splitting

$$
\mathfrak{so}(8) = \mathfrak{sp}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{m} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ad}\Big|_{\text{Sp}(2)\text{Sp}(1)} \mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{m},
$$

where  $m \approx \Lambda_{15}^2(\mathbb{R}^8)$  as described above. In what follows we shall simply write  $\Lambda_{15}^2$  for  $\Lambda^2$  ( $\mathbb{R}^8$ ). The Lavi Givita connection  $\omega \in \Omega^1(E, \Omega(8))$  on the frame bundle induces  $\Lambda_{15}^2(\mathbb{R}^8)$ . The Levi-Civita connection  $\omega \in \Omega^1(F, \mathfrak{so}(8))$  on the frame bundle induces the splitting into vertical and horizontal components the splitting into vertical and horizontal components

$$
TN = \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{H}
$$

with  $V := \ker \pi_* = q_*(\ker p_*)$  and  $\mathcal{H} := q_*(\ker \omega)$ .

Let  $\Lambda_{15}^2 \rightarrow N$  be the vector bundle associated to *q* with fibre  $\Lambda_{15}^2$ , whose points are the Sp(2)Sp(1)-equivalence classes defined by the infinitesimal action of  $w \in \Lambda^2_{15}$  on  $z \in F$  i.e.

$$
z \bullet w := [(z, w)]_H = \mathcal{I}(q_*(w_z^*)) \in \underline{\Lambda}_{15}^2 := F \times_H \Lambda_{15}^2,
$$

where  $w_z^*$  is a fundamental left-invariant vector field.



This defines a vector bundle isomorphism

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
\mathcal{I}: \quad \mathcal{V} \quad \stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} \frac{\Lambda_{15}^2}{z \bullet w} \tag{3.1}
$$

and, since the  $\Lambda_{15}^2$ -component  $\omega_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \Omega^1(F, \Lambda_{15}^2)$  of the Levi-Civita connection is Sp(2)Sp(1)-equivariant and *q*-horizontal, it projects to a *homogeneous connection*

<sup>2</sup> Springer

*form*  $f \in \Omega^1(N, \Lambda^2_{15})$  defined by:

$$
f(q_*(Z)) := z \bullet \omega_{\mathfrak{m}}(Z) \quad \text{for} \quad Z \in T_z F. \tag{3.2}
$$

Recall that a vector  $v \in TN$  describes an incidence condition at a  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ -class of frames. On  $\pi$ -vertical vectors, the connection form  $f$  coincides with the canonical isomorphism  $(3.1)$ , whilst  $\pi$ -horizontal vectors lie in the kernel i.e.

$$
f(v_y) = \mathcal{I}(v_y^{\mathcal{V}})
$$
, for  $v_y \in T_y N$ .

NB.: in the adjacent diagram,  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{sp}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$ .



Since Sp(2)Sp(1)  $\cong$  Stab(Ω<sub>0</sub>), it follows that there exists a *universal section*  $\Xi$  ∈  $\Gamma(N, \pi^*(\Lambda^4))$  defined by

$$
\Xi(y) := y^* \Omega_0, \quad \text{for} \quad y \in N. \tag{3.3}
$$

Explicitly, one assigns to the class of frames  $y \in N$  the vector of  $\Lambda^4(M)_{\pi(y)}$ , the coordinates of which are given by  $\Omega_0$  in any frame  $z_{\pi(y)}$ . It follows that, to each homogeneous section  $\sigma \in \Gamma(M, N)$ , one can associate a geometric structure  $\Omega \in$  $\Gamma(M, \Lambda^4)$  modelled on  $\Omega_0$  by

$$
\Omega_{\sigma} := \sigma^* \Xi = \Xi \circ \sigma. \tag{3.4}
$$

Conversely, to a given geometric structure  $\Omega \in \Gamma(M, \Lambda^4)$  stabilised by Sp(2)Sp(1), one associates, at  $x \in M$ , an Sp(2)Sp(1)-class of frames of  $T_xM$  which, in turn, identifies an element of  $\pi^{-1}(x)$ , i.e. an element  $\sigma(x)$  in the fibre of  $\pi : N \to M$  over  $x \in M$ . Thus, we unambiguously obtain  $\Omega$  from  $\sigma$ , and vice-versa.

Assuming that *M* is compact, endowing the fibres of *N* with the metric induced by the bi-invariant metric on SO(8), and considering the metric induced by  $g$  on  $H$ , we define the energy functional

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
E(\sigma) := \frac{1}{2} \int_M |d^{\mathcal{V}} \sigma|^2 \text{ vol},\tag{3.5}
$$

where  $d^{\mathcal{V}}$  denotes the projection of  $d\sigma$  on  $\mathcal{V}$ . From the aforementioned discussion, we have that  $I(d^{\mathcal{V}}\sigma) = f(d\sigma)$ . Note also that, since the horizontal space  $\mathcal{H}$  is endowed with the metric *g*, the total energy of  $\sigma$  is equal to [\(3.5\)](#page-13-0) and a constant multiple of the volume of *M* cf. [\[23,](#page-47-0) Lemma 3]. In what follows, we shall denote by  $\nabla$  the associated Levi-Civita connection to the latter metric on  $N$ . The results in [\[23](#page-47-0)] show that  $(3.5)$ corresponds to the  $L^2$ -norm on the intrinsic torsion associated to  $\Omega_{\sigma}$ :

$$
E(\Omega_{\sigma}) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} |T|^{2} \text{ vol.}
$$
 (3.6)

<span id="page-14-1"></span>For the reader's convenience we summarise a few key relevant results in [\[23\]](#page-47-0):

**Proposition 3.1** *The covariant derivative of the universal section is given by*

<span id="page-14-3"></span>
$$
\nabla_Y \mathbf{E} = f(Y) \diamond \mathbf{E}, \quad \text{for} \quad Y \in TN. \tag{3.7}
$$

*Harmonic* Sp(2)Sp(1)*-structures, defined as the critical points of* [\(3.5\)](#page-13-0)*, satisfy the Euler–Lagrange equation:*

$$
\tau^{\mathcal{V}}(\sigma) := \text{tr}_g(\nabla^{\mathcal{V}} d^{\mathcal{V}} \sigma) = 0.
$$
\n(3.8)

*Furthermore,*

$$
\mathcal{I}((\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}d^{\mathcal{V}}\sigma)(X,X)) = (\nabla^{\omega}(\sigma^*f))(X,X),\tag{3.9}
$$

*where*  $X \in TM$  *and*  $\nabla^{\omega}$  *denotes the induced connection on*  $\pi^* \Lambda^2$ *.* 

The harmonic section flow, defined as the negative gradient flow of  $(3.5)$ , starting from an Sp(2)Sp(1)-structure defined by  $\sigma_0$ , is given by

<span id="page-14-2"></span>
$$
\frac{d\sigma_t}{dt} = \tau^{\mathcal{V}}(\sigma_t),\qquad(3.10)
$$

$$
\sigma_0 = \sigma(0). \tag{3.11}
$$

Appealing to Proposition [3.1](#page-14-1) and using the map  $I$ , we can then reinterpret the above flow more concretely in terms of a geometric flow for  $\Omega_t$  (see [§4](#page-19-0) below). This is the same procedure that leads to the harmonic flows of  $G_2$ -, Spin(7)- and U(*n*)-structures in [\[5](#page-46-1), [6](#page-46-2), [12](#page-47-1), [15](#page-47-2)].

### <span id="page-14-0"></span>**3.2 Examples of Harmonic Quaternion-Kähler Structures**

In this section we construct several explicit examples of strictly harmonic QK structures i.e. harmonic QK structures which are not torsion-free. We also refer the reader to [§6.1.2](#page-42-0) below for an example on the Lie group SU(3). The main result of this section is that conformally parallel  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ -structures are harmonic. In fact our proof applies to  $G_2$ - and Spin(7)-structures as well, thereby extending the result of Grigorian in the  $G_2$  case, cf.  $[12,$  Theorem 4.3].

It is well-known that if (*M*, *g*) a complete Einstein manifold, aside from the round sphere, then  $g$  is the unique Einstein metric in its conformal class (up to homothetic rescaling), cf. [\[20](#page-47-12)]. In particular, if *g* is a quaternion-Kähler metric, then  $f^2g$  cannot be an Einstein metric unless  $f$  is constant. So any harmonic structure in the class  $[f^2 g]$ , if any exists, cannot be torsion-free. Whilst it is natural to expect that the conformally rescaled metric will converge back under the Ricci flow to the Einstein metric (which is a Ricci soliton), the latter behaviour cannot happen in our case, since the harmonic flow preserves the metric. So this naturally motivates searching for harmonic structures in such conformal classes.

#### <span id="page-15-0"></span>**3.2.1 Example on a Flat Torus**

Consider the flat torus  $\mathbb{T}^8$  with the quaternion-Kähler structure  $\Omega_0$  defined by expression [\(2.1\)](#page-2-4). We define a conformally flat quaternion-Kähler structure by

<span id="page-15-1"></span>
$$
\Omega := f(x_1)^4 \Omega_0,\tag{3.12}
$$

and denote the associated  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$  coframing by  $e^i := f(x_1)dx_i$  and its dual by  $e_i := f(x_1)^{-1} \partial_{x_i}$ . In terms of decomposition [\(2.17\)](#page-7-0), we know that the torsion *T* takes values in  $\Omega_8^1$ , and it is essentially determined by the 1-form  $df$ . Indeed a direct calculation shows that the torsion tensor is explicitly given by

$$
T(e_1) = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
T(e_2) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dx_1} (f(x_1)^{-1})(e^{12} + e^{34} - e^{56} - e^{78}),
$$
  
\n
$$
T(e_3) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dx_1} (f(x_1)^{-1})(e^{13} - e^{24} - e^{57} + e^{68}),
$$
  
\n
$$
T(e_4) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dx_1} (f(x_1)^{-1})(e^{14} + e^{23} - e^{58} - e^{67}),
$$
  
\n
$$
T(e_5) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dx_1} (f(x_1)^{-1})(3e^{15} - e^{26} - e^{37} - e^{48}),
$$
  
\n
$$
T(e_6) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dx_1} (f(x_1)^{-1})(3e^{16} + e^{25} + e^{38} - e^{47}),
$$
  
\n
$$
T(e_7) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dx_1} (f(x_1)^{-1})(3e^{17} - e^{28} + e^{35} + e^{46}),
$$
  
\n
$$
T(e_8) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dx_1} (f(x_1)^{-1})(3e^{18} + e^{27} - e^{36} + e^{45}).
$$

and from this one finds that

$$
\nabla_{e_i}(T(e_i))=0, \text{ for } i=1,\ldots 8.
$$

Another simple computation shows that

$$
\nabla_{\nabla_{e_i}e_i}\Omega=0.
$$

 $\textcircled{2}$  Springer

Combining the above, we have

$$
\text{div}(T) := \sum_{i=1}^{8} \nabla_{e_i}(T(e_i)) - T(\nabla_{e_i}e_i) = 0.
$$

Thus, we have just shown that  $\Omega$ , as defined by [\(3.12\)](#page-15-1), determines a harmonic section for the conformally flat metric  $f(x_1)^2 g_{\text{max}}$ . Observe that  $T = 0$  if and only if  $f(x_1)$  is constant, as expected.

#### <span id="page-16-0"></span>**3.2.2 Example on the Hyperbolic Quaternionic Plane**

Let us now consider the hyperbolic quaternionic plane  $H\mathbb{H}^2$ . Topologically  $H\mathbb{H}^2$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^8$ , but as a Riemannian manifold it is the symmetric space  $\frac{Sp(2,1)}{Sp(2)Sp(1)}$ i.e. the non-compact dual of  $\mathbb{HP}^2 = \frac{Sp(3)}{Sp(2)Sp(1)}$ . In particular, it has holonomy group *equal* to Sp(2)Sp(1). As a cohomogeneity one manifold, under the action of the quaternion Heisenberg group, whose Lie algebra is given by

$$
(0, 0, 0, 0, 12 + 23, 13 - 24, 14 + 23),
$$

we can express the quaternion-Kähler metric as

$$
g_{\mathcal{H}\mathbb{H}^2} = \frac{1}{16(1-s)^2} ds^2 + \frac{1}{(1-s)} (\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2) + \frac{1}{(1-s)^{1/2}} (dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dx_4^2),
$$
 (3.13)

where the 1-forms  $\alpha_i$  are defined by

$$
\alpha_1 = dx_5 - x_2 dx_1 + x_1 dx_2 - x_4 dx_3 + x_3 dx_4,
$$
  
\n
$$
\alpha_2 = dx_6 - x_3 dx_1 + x_4 dx_2 + x_1 dx_3 - x_2 dx_4,
$$
  
\n
$$
\alpha_3 = dx_7 - x_4 dx_1 - x_3 dx_2 + x_2 dx_3 + x_1 dx_4,
$$

and  $s \in (-\infty, 1)$ , cf. [\[8](#page-46-6), [11](#page-47-13)]. As in the previous example, we consider conformal metrics given by  $f(s)^2 g_{\mathcal{H} \parallel \mathbb{H}^2}$ . We define an Sp(2)Sp(1)-coframing by setting

$$
e^{i} = \frac{f(s)}{(1-s)^{1/4}} dx_{i} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4,
$$

and

$$
e^5 = \frac{f(s)}{4(1-s)}dt
$$
 and  $e^{i+5} = \frac{f(s)}{(1-s)^{1/2}}\alpha_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

 $\mathcal{D}$  Springer

One again verifies that  $\nabla_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i} \Omega = 0$ , and that the torsion tensor is explicitly given by

$$
T(e_1) = (s - 1)\frac{d}{ds}(f(s)^{-1})(3e^{15} - e^{26} - e^{37} - e^{48}),
$$
  
\n
$$
T(e_2) = (s - 1)\frac{d}{ds}(f(s)^{-1})(e^{16} + 3e^{25} - e^{38} + e^{47}),
$$
  
\n
$$
T(e_3) = (s - 1)\frac{d}{ds}(f(s)^{-1})(e^{17} + e^{28} + 3e^{35} - e^{46}),
$$
  
\n
$$
T(e_4) = (s - 1)\frac{d}{ds}(f(s)^{-1})(e^{18} - e^{27} + e^{36} + 3e^{45}),
$$
  
\n
$$
T(e_5) = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
T(e_6) = (s - 1)\frac{d}{ds}(f(s)^{-1})(e^{12} + e^{34} - e^{56} - e^{78}),
$$
  
\n
$$
T(e_7) = (s - 1)\frac{d}{ds}(f(s)^{-1})(e^{13} - e^{24} - e^{57} + e^{68}),
$$
  
\n
$$
T(e_8) = (s - 1)\frac{d}{ds}(f(s)^{-1})(e^{14} + e^{23} - e^{58} - e^{67}).
$$

As above we find that  $\nabla_{e_i}(T(e_i)) = 0$ , for each *i*, and hence

$$
\operatorname{div}(T)=0.
$$

Thus, the above conformally parallel quaternion-Kähler structures indeed define harmonic sections.

The above examples seem to suggest that conformally parallel  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ structures might always be harmonic; in fact this is known to be true in the  $G_2$  case, cf. [\[12](#page-47-1), Theorem 4.3]. We shall now show that this holds for a larger class of *H*-structures, including Sp(2)Sp(1) and Spin(7).

<span id="page-17-0"></span>**Proposition 3.2** *Let* (*M*, *g*,ξ) *be a Riemannian manifold with holonomy group contained in*  $H \subset SO(n)$ *, where*  $\xi$  *is a parallel k-form which determines the holonomy reduction. Consider the conformal data given by*  $\tilde{g} = e^{2f}g$  *and*  $\tilde{\xi} = e^{kf}\xi$  *on M. The intrinsic torsion*  $\tilde{T}$ *, defined by* 

$$
\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\xi} = \tilde{T}(X) \tilde{\diamond} \tilde{\xi},\tag{3.14}
$$

*satisfies*

$$
(\tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{T})(X) = \pi_{\mathfrak{m}}(\tilde{X}^{\flat} \wedge \nabla_Y df + g(Y, \nabla f) \tilde{X}^{\flat} \wedge df - g(X, \nabla f) \tilde{Y}^{\flat} \wedge df) + (\tilde{Y}^{\flat} \wedge df) \tilde{\diamond} \pi_{\mathfrak{m}}(\tilde{X}^{\flat} \wedge df) - 2g(Y, \nabla f) \pi_{\mathfrak{m}}(\tilde{X}^{\flat} \wedge df),
$$

*where*  $\pi_m$  *denotes the orthogonal projection in*  $\Lambda^2 \cong \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$  *cf.* [§3.1](#page-12-0) and [\[23,](#page-47-0) Part I].

*Proof* Let  $\tilde{\nabla}$  (respectively  $\nabla$ ) denote the Levi-Civita connection of  $\tilde{g}$  (respectively *g*). For any  $p$ -form  $\alpha$ , we have

<span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
\tilde{\nabla}_X \alpha = \nabla_X \alpha + (X^{\flat} \wedge df) \diamond \alpha - pg(X, \nabla f)\alpha. \tag{3.15}
$$

Applying the above to  $\alpha = \tilde{\xi}$  and using the fact that  $\nabla \xi = 0$ , we have that

$$
\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\xi} = (\tilde{X}^{\flat} \wedge df) \tilde{\diamond} \tilde{\xi}, \qquad (3.16)
$$

where we decorate with  $\tilde{ }$  quantities defined with respect to  $\tilde{g}$ , and we also used that  $(X^{\flat} \wedge df) \diamond \alpha = (\tilde{X}^{\flat} \wedge df) \tilde{\diamond} \alpha$ . It now follows that the intrinsic torsion of  $\tilde{\xi}$  is given by

$$
\tilde{T}(X) = \pi_{\mathfrak{m}}(\tilde{X}^{\flat} \wedge df). \tag{3.17}
$$

It is worth pointing out that the projection map  $\pi_m$  only depends on the conformal class of  $\xi$ , so there is no ambiguity here. Moreover, such a formula for the intrinsic torsion was indeed to be expected, because  $T$  vanishes if and only if  $f$  is constant, so *T* has to correspond to some pairing between  $\tilde{g}$  and  $df$ . The reader can also observe this in the explicit examples given in §[§3.2.1,](#page-15-0) [3.2.2.](#page-16-0)

Since *g* has holonomy contained in *H*, it follows that  $\nabla$  and  $\pi_m$  commute. Hence applying [\(3.15\)](#page-18-0) again, with  $\alpha = \pi_{\mathfrak{m}}(\tilde{X}^{\flat} \wedge df)$ , gives

$$
\tilde{\nabla}_Y(\pi_{\mathfrak{m}}(\tilde{X}^{\flat} \wedge df)) = \pi_{\mathfrak{m}}(\nabla_Y \tilde{X}^{\flat} \wedge df + \tilde{X}^{\flat} \wedge \nabla_Y df) + (\tilde{Y}^{\flat} \wedge df) \tilde{\diamond} \pi_{\mathfrak{m}}(\tilde{X}^{\flat} \wedge df) -2g(Y, \nabla f)\pi_{15}^2(\tilde{X}^{\flat} \wedge df).
$$

Using [\(3.15\)](#page-18-0) yet again, with  $\alpha = \tilde{X}^{\flat}$ , and substituting in the above yields the result.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-18-2"></span>**Theorem 3.3** *Let*  $(M, \tilde{g}, \tilde{\Omega})$  *be a locally conformally parallel quaternion-Kähler manifold i.e. there exists locally a function f such that*  $\Omega = e^{-4f} \tilde{\Omega}$  *defines a (local) torsion-free quaternion-Kähler structure on M. Then* ˜ *defines a harmonic* Sp(2)Sp(1)*-structure.*

*Proof* Taking  $\xi = \Omega$  in Proposition [3.2,](#page-17-0) we have

<span id="page-18-1"></span>
$$
(\tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{T})(X) = \pi_{15}^2 (\tilde{X}^{\flat} \wedge \nabla_Y df + g(Y, \nabla f) \tilde{X}^{\flat} \wedge df - g(X, \nabla f) \tilde{Y}^{\flat} \wedge df) + (\tilde{Y}^{\flat} \wedge df) \tilde{\diamond} \pi_{15}^2 (\tilde{X}^{\flat} \wedge df) - 2g(Y, \nabla f) \pi_{15}^2 (\tilde{X}^{\flat} \wedge df).
$$
 (3.18)

Since skew( $\nabla df$ ) =  $d^2 f = 0$ , we see that  $\sum_{i=1}^{8} \pi_{15}^2 (\tilde{E}_i^{\flat} \wedge \nabla_{E_i} df) = 0$ , where *Ei* denotes a local orthonormal framing with respect to *g*. Working at a point and identifying  $\Omega$  with  $\Omega_0$  and  $E_i$  with  $\partial_{x_i}$ , it suffices to check directly that the last two terms in  $(3.18)$  vanish as well, when summing over the  $E_i$ . Since  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$  acts transitively on the unit sphere, we can also identify  $\nabla f$  with  $c\partial_{x_1}$  at a point, to further ease computation. In those terms it is straightforward to check that  $\text{div}_{\tilde{\rho}}(\tilde{T}) = 0$ .  $\Box$  The argument in the above proof can also be applied to the  $G_2$  and  $Spin(7)$  cases. This reduces the problem of computing the divergence of torsion for a conformally parallel structure to verifying that the last two terms in  $(3.18)$  vanish when summing over *i*, which is essentially just a pointwise computation. The result in the  $G_2$  case is already known, cf. [\[12,](#page-47-1) Theorem 4.3]), and our argument extends easily to the Spin(7) case:

**Corollary 3.4** *A locally conformally parallel* Spin(7)*-structure on an* 8*-manifold is harmonic.*

*Proof* Repeat the proof of Theorem [3.3](#page-18-2) with  $\Omega$  replaced by the Spin(7)-structure 4-form  $\Phi$ , which is pointwise modelled on [\(2.23\)](#page-10-3).

### <span id="page-19-0"></span>**4 Quaternion-Kähler Harmonic Flow: Basic Properties**

In this section we derive the harmonic flow equation for quaternion-Kähler (QK) structures and define the corresponding notion of soliton.

We can express the harmonic flow  $(3.10)$  for  $H = Sp(2)Sp(1)$  in terms of an evolution equation for the defining 4-form  $\Omega(t)$ . First, in terms of the isomorphism [\(3.1\)](#page-12-1) between the vertical component of *T N* and the bundle m, we have

$$
\mathcal{I}(\tau^{\mathcal{V}}(\sigma_t)) = \mathcal{I}(\text{tr}(\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}d^{\mathcal{V}}\sigma_t)) = \text{tr}(\nabla(\sigma_t^*f)) = \text{tr}(\nabla T_t)
$$
  
= div  $T_t$ 

where we used Proposition [3.1](#page-14-1) and expression  $(2.15)$  for the intrinsic torsion. Extending the connection form *f* to  $M^8 \times \mathbb{R}_t$ , and performing the same computation as above, we get

$$
\mathcal{I}\left(\frac{d\sigma_t}{dt}\right) = f(d\sigma_t(\partial_t)) = \frac{1}{32} \frac{d\Omega_t}{dt} \Delta_3 \Omega_t, \tag{4.1}
$$

where we inverted the diamond operator  $\diamond$  in [\(3.7\)](#page-14-3) using the operator  $\exists$ <sub>3</sub>.

In view of the results of the previous section, the harmonic flow of a  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ structure starting at  $\Omega_0$  becomes:

<span id="page-19-1"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{d\Omega}{dt} = (\text{div } T) \diamond \Omega \\
\Omega(0) = \Omega_0\n\end{cases}.
$$
\n(4.2)

We shall also refer to the above flow as the *QK harmonic flow*. As an instance of the general theory of harmonic *H*-flows, we already know that the flow admits a unique short-time solution, given smooth initial data. Moreover, if the flow exists for a maximal time  $T_{max}$ , then  $\sup_{x \in M} |T_t| \to \infty$  as  $t \to T_{max}$  [\[23](#page-47-0), Theorems 1 and 2]. In this section we will develop the technical results necessary to study the behaviour of the flow as  $t \to T_{max}$ , and investigate under what circumstances  $T_{max}$  can be extended to infinity.

### <span id="page-20-0"></span>**4.1 Evolution of the Intrinsic Torsion**

Let us derive the evolution of the torsion tensor  $T$  under the harmonic flow  $(4.2)$ .

**Proposition 4.1** *Under the harmonic flow* [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1) *the intrinsic torsion T evolves by*

<span id="page-20-2"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}(X) = \nabla_X(\text{div } T) - \frac{1}{32} \Big( (\text{div } T \diamond \Omega) \Box_3 (T(X) \diamond \Omega) - (T(X) \diamond \Omega) \Box_3 (\text{div } T \diamond \Omega) \Big),\tag{4.3}
$$

*for*  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ *. Moreover,* 

$$
\pi_{15}^2 \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}(X) \right) = \pi_{15}^2 \left( \nabla_X (\text{div } T) \right). \tag{4.4}
$$

*Proof* From [\(2.15\)](#page-5-3), we have

$$
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}(X) = \frac{1}{32} (\nabla_X \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t}\right) \Box_3 \Omega + (\nabla_X \Omega) \Box_3 \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t}\right)),
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{1}{32} (\nabla_X \left(\text{div } T \diamond \Omega\right) \Box_3 \Omega + (\nabla_X \Omega) \Box_3 \left(\text{div } T \diamond \Omega\right)),
$$
(4.5)

where for the first equality we used the fact that *g* is unchanged along the flow and hence so are  $\nabla$  and  $\Box$ <sub>3</sub>, and for the second equality we use [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1). The first part of the proposition now follows from

$$
32\nabla_X(\text{div } T) = \nabla_X((\text{div } T \diamond \Omega) \square_3 \Omega)
$$
  
=  $\nabla_X \Big(\text{div } T \diamond \Omega\Big) \square_3 \Omega + (\text{div } T \diamond \Omega) \square_3 (T(X) \diamond \Omega),$ 

where we again use the fact that  $\exists_3$  only depends on *g* and hence is invariant under  $\nabla$ . For the second part we use the same argument as in the proof of Proposition [2.5](#page-8-3) i.e.

$$
(\text{div } T \diamond \Omega) \exists_3 (T(X) \diamond \Omega) \in \Lambda_{15}^{4+} \otimes \Lambda_{15}^{4+} \cong (\mathbb{R} \oplus S_0^2(\Lambda_0^2 E) \oplus S^2 E)
$$

$$
\otimes (\mathbb{R} \oplus S^4 H \oplus S^2 H)
$$

and as such it has no component in  $\Omega_{15}^2$ ; likewise for  $(T(X) \diamond \Omega) \rightarrow_3$  (div  $T \diamond \Omega$ ). This concludes the proof.

<span id="page-20-1"></span>The evolutions of Dirichlet energy and density now follow immediately:

**Corollary 4.2** *The norm square of T evolves by*

$$
\frac{\partial |T|^2}{\partial t} := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (g(T, T)) = 2g(\nabla \text{div} T, T). \tag{4.6}
$$

<sup>2</sup> Springer

*In particular,*

<span id="page-21-1"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_M |T|^2 \text{ vol} = -2 \int_M |\text{div} T|^2 \text{ vol.}
$$
\n(4.7)

Note that  $(4.7)$  was to be expected, since the harmonic flow is just the negative gradient flow of the energy functional. Furthermore, however much the  $L^2$ -norm of T decreases under the flow, it can still concentrate over certain points on  $M$ , thereby resulting in singularities. In order to analyse such behaviour, we need a monotonicity formula, which will derive in [§5.2.](#page-25-0) Next we show that the harmonic flow admits a parabolic rescaling.

#### <span id="page-21-0"></span>**4.2 Parabolic Rescaling**

In the study of geometric flows one often encounters finite-time singularities. These singularities are in many cases modelled on soliton solutions to the flow, and hence classifying those becomes an important problem. To find these solitons as one approaches a singularity, one performs a parabolic scaling i.e. a rescaling of geodesic distance by  $x \rightarrow cx$  whilst time scales by  $t \rightarrow c^2t$ , for some constant *c*. Provided that we have a compactness theorem, this allows one to take a suitable limit of the flow and thus to extract information about the singularity; this procedure is well-known for eg. for the Ricci and mean curvature flows. To perform the analogous scaling in our context, we first consider the behaviour of the intrinsic torsion under a homothetic rescaling, in accordance with the homogeneity degree of the QK 4-form.

<span id="page-21-2"></span>**Lemma 4.3** *Under the homothetic transformation*  $\tilde{\Omega} := c^4 \Omega$ , the torsion form trans*forms as*  $\tilde{T} = c^2 T$  *and hence*  $\text{div}_{\tilde{g}}(\tilde{T}) = \text{div}_g(T)$ .

*Proof* Observe that the homothetically rescaled metric is given by  $\tilde{g}_{\tilde{\Omega}} = c^2 g_{\Omega}$ , whilst the Levi-Civita connection remains unchanged i.e.  $\tilde{\nabla} = \nabla$ . Thus, we compute

$$
\tilde{T}(X) \tilde{\diamond} \tilde{\Omega} = c^4 \nabla_X \Omega = c^4 T(X) \diamond \Omega
$$

$$
= c^2 T(X) \tilde{\diamond} \tilde{\Omega},
$$

where  $\tilde{\diamond}$  denotes the associated operator to  $\tilde{g}_{\tilde{\Omega}}$ . It is worth emphasising that by definition the operator  $\diamond$  acting on 2-forms denends on the metric g the operator  $\diamond$  acting on 2-forms depends on the metric *g*.

<span id="page-21-3"></span>**Corollary 4.4** *If*  $\Omega_t$  *is a solution to* [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1) *defined for*  $t \in [0, T_{max})$  *then under the parabolic rescaling*  $(\Omega_t, t) \to (\tilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{t}} := c^4 \Omega, \tilde{t} := c^2 t)$ ,  $\tilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{t}}$  *is again a solution to* [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1) *but now defined for*  $\tilde{t} \in [0, c^2T_{max})$ *.* 

**Proof** We compute directly  $\frac{d\Omega_{\tilde{t}}}{d\tilde{t}} = c^2 \text{div}_g(T) \diamond \Omega = \text{div}_{\tilde{g}}(\tilde{T}) \tilde{\diamond} \tilde{\Omega}$ , using [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1) for the first equality and Lemma  $4.3$  for the second one.

Next we introduce the notion of solitons for the harmonic QK flow [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1).

### <span id="page-22-0"></span>**4.3 Harmonic Sp***(***2***)***Sp***(***1***)* **Solitons**

The simplest solutions to a geometric flow are those that evolve by scaling symmetry of the flow equation; these are called solitons and arise naturally when analysing singularities of the flow (see Theorem [5.12](#page-39-0) below). We now describe what harmonic solitons look like in our context.

**Definition 4.5** A solution  $\{\Omega(t)\}\$  of the harmonic OK flow [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1) is said to be *selfsimilar* if there exist a function  $\rho(t)$ , with  $\rho(0) = 1$ , and a family of diffeomorphisms  ${f(t) : M \to M}$ , with  $f(0) = Id$ , such that

<span id="page-22-1"></span>
$$
\Omega(t) = \rho(t)^4 f(t)^* \Omega_0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_{max}). \tag{4.8}
$$

We shall now justify the name *self-similar solution*. Denoting by  $W(t) \subset \mathcal{X}(M)$  the infinitesimal generator of  $f(t) \subset \text{Diff}(M)$ , the *stationary vector field* of a self-similar solution is defined by

$$
X(t) := (f(t)^{-1})_* W(t) \in \mathcal{X}(M), \quad \forall \ t \in [0, T_{max}). \tag{4.9}
$$

From  $(4.8)$  we immediately deduce that the metric evolves by

$$
g(t) = \rho(t)^2 f(t)^* g_0.
$$
 (4.10)

On the other hand, since the harmonic flow is isometric, i.e. its time-derivative  $g'(t)$ vanishes,

<span id="page-22-3"></span>
$$
\mathcal{L}_{X(t)}g_0 = -2(\log \rho(t))'g_0. \tag{4.11}
$$

In particular, this shows that  $\rho(t)$  completely determines  $f(t)$  (up to isometry). Fur-thermore, specialising [\[6,](#page-46-2) Lemma 2.9] to the case  $H = Sp(2)Sp(1)$ , we know that the torsion tensor  $T(t)$  of  $\Omega(t)$  satisfies

div 
$$
T(t) = X(t) \Imes T(t) + \frac{1}{2} \pi_{15}^2 (dX(t)^{\flat}).
$$

The above can be also shown quite easily using  $(4.2)$  and  $(4.8)$ . We should emphasise that the projection map  $\pi_{15}^2 : \Lambda^2 \to \Lambda_{15}^2$  is also time-dependent, since it is determined by  $\Omega(t)$ . The above motivates the following definition.

**Definition 4.6** A harmonic  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ -soliton on a Riemannian manifold  $(M^8, g)$  is given by a triple  $(\Omega, X, c)$ , where  $\Omega$  induces the metric g, X is a vector field and c is a constant such that

<span id="page-22-4"></span><span id="page-22-2"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n\mathcal{L}_{X}g = cg, \\
\text{div } T = X \lrcorner T + \frac{1}{2} \pi_{15}^{2}(dX^{\flat}).\n\end{cases} (4.12)
$$

According to whether  $c < 0$ ,  $c = 0$  or  $c > 0$ , the corresponding soliton is said to be *shrinking*, *steady* or *expanding*, respectively.

We can now show that solitons indeed give rise to self-similar solutions of  $(4.2)$ .

**Proposition 4.7** *A harmonic* Sp(2)Sp(1)*-soliton, as in* [\(4.12\)](#page-22-2)*, induces a self-similar solution.*

*Proof* We first consider the case  $c \neq 0$ . Let  $\rho(t) = (t+1)^{-c/2}$  and  $X(t) = (t+1)^{-1}X$ , so that  $\rho(0) = 1$  and  $X(0) = X$ . It is easy to see that this satisfies [\(4.11\)](#page-22-3). We then define  $f(t)$  by

$$
\frac{d}{dt}f(t) = X(t)f(t), \text{ with } f(0) = \text{Id}.
$$

Applying [\[6](#page-46-2), Lemma 2.6], to the  $H = Sp(2)Sp(1)$  case, we have

$$
\mathcal{L}_{X(t)}\Omega = (X(t)\lrcorner T + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_{X(t)}g + \frac{1}{2}\pi_{15}^2(dX(t)^{\flat})) \diamond \Omega
$$

and one easily checks from the definition of  $\circ$ , see Remark [2.1.1,](#page-4-1) that  $g \circ \Omega = 4\Omega$ . Defining  $\Omega(t)$  by [\(4.8\)](#page-22-1), and using the above together with [\(4.12\)](#page-22-2), one verifies directly that this indeed defines a solution to [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1). Note that the resulting expanding and shrinking solitions are defined for  $t \in (-1, \infty)$ . If  $c = 0$ , then we can take  $\rho(t) = 1$  and  $X(t) = X$ , obtaining an eternal steady soliton solution. and  $X(t) = X$ , obtaining an eternal steady soliton solution.

Note that a soliton does not determine a unique self-similar solution, in fact for any function *h*, depending only on *t*, such that  $h(0) = 0$  and  $h'(0) = -\frac{c}{2}$ , we can set

$$
\rho = \exp(h(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad X(t) = -\frac{2}{c}h'(t)X.
$$

For instance, setting  $h(t) = -\frac{c}{2}t$  we get eternal skrinkers and expanders as well.

<span id="page-23-0"></span>It is a classical result that the only complete Riemannian manifold with a non-Killing homothetic vector field is Euclidean space, cf. [\[30\]](#page-47-14). We immediately deduce that:

**Corollary 4.8** *Shrinking and expanding solitons of the QK harmonic flow* [\(3.10\)](#page-14-2) *are always isometric to Euclidean* R8*.*

Note that there are plenty of non-parallel structures  $\Omega$  on  $\mathbb{R}^8$  inducing the Euclidean metric, so it natural to ask whether there exists any non-torsion-free shrinking or expanding soliton. We shall answer in the affirmative with an explicit example of a steady soliton in [§6.2,](#page-45-0) by means of the following simple idea. If  $X = \nabla f$  is some gradient vector field, then  $dX^{\flat} = 0$  and hence *gradient harmonic solitons* satisfy

<span id="page-23-1"></span>
$$
\text{div } T = T(\nabla f). \tag{4.13}
$$

## <span id="page-24-0"></span>**5 Quaternion-Kähler Harmonic Flow: Long-Time Existence and Singularities**

By exploiting the similarities with the harmonic flow of Spin(7)-structures, we readily obtain a compactness theorem for the harmonic quaternion-Kähler flow. We also prove an almost-monotonicity formula, by building upon the recent work in [\[15](#page-47-2)] in the context of almost-Hermitian structures. Our monotonicity formula also applies to the  $Spin(7)$  case and hence leads to a stronger convergence result than in [\[6\]](#page-46-2). In fact our proof of the monotonicity formula extends to a much more general class of *H*structures, as established independently in [\[7](#page-46-3)]. In the last part we describe the singular set of the flow.

### <span id="page-24-1"></span>**5.1 Compactness**

If a solution to the harmonic flow  $(4.2)$  has a finite-time singularity, then we obtain a new sequence of solutions by performing parabolic rescalings. In order to analyse the singularity, we need to be able to take a limit of such a sequence, following the standard method used for instance for the Ricci flow and mean curvature flow. We begin by specifying the notion of limit in our context:

**Definition 5.1** Let  $(M_i^8, \Omega_i, g_i)$  be a sequence of complete Riemannian manifolds, with  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ -structures determined by  $\Omega_i$  and marked points  $p_i \in M_i^8$ . Then we call  $(M^8, \Omega, p)$  a limit of the sequence, and write

$$
(M_i^8, \Omega_i, p_i) \to (M^8, \Omega, p),
$$

if there exists a sequence of compact sets  $\{U_i\}$  exhausting  $M^8$  with  $p_i \in \text{int}(U_i)$ , and a sequence of diffeomorphisms  $\{F_i : U_i \to F(U_i) \subset M_i^8\}$  with  $F_i(p) = p_i$ , such that, on every compact set  $K \subset M^8$  and for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exixts  $i_0$  (depending on  $\varepsilon$ ) such that

$$
\sup_{x \in K} |\nabla^k (F_i^* \Omega_i - \Omega)|_{g_0} < \varepsilon, \quad \forall i \ge i_0,
$$

where  $g_0$  denotes a fixed reference metric on  $M^8$  and  $\nabla$  is its corresponding Levi-Civita connection.

<span id="page-24-3"></span>We can now state the compactness theorem for the QK harmonic flow.

**Theorem 5.2** *Let*  $M_i$  *be a sequence of compact* 8*-manifolds with marked points*  $p_i \in$  $M_i$ , and let  $\{\Omega_i(t)\}\$  *denote a sequence of solutions to the QK harmonic flow* [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1) *on M<sub>i</sub> defined for*  $t \in (a, b)$ *. Suppose the following assumptions hold:* 

<span id="page-24-2"></span>
$$
\sup_{i} \sup_{M_i \times (a,b)} |T_i(x,t)|_{g_i} < \infty,\tag{5.1}
$$

$$
\inf_{i} \inf (M_i^8, g_i(0), p_i) > 0,
$$
\n(5.2)

 $\mathcal{D}$  Springer

$$
\sup_{i} |\nabla^{k} R_{i}| \leq C_{k},\tag{5.3}
$$

*where* inj *denotes the injectivity radius and*  $C_k$  *are uniform constants independent of i*. Then there exist a manifold  $M^8$ , with a marked point  $p \in M$ , and a solution  $\Omega(t)$ *to* [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1) *on M*, defined for  $t \in (a, b)$ , arising as the subsequential limit

$$
(M_i, \Omega_i(t), p_i) \to (M, \Omega(t), p), \text{ as } i \to \infty.
$$

**Proof** Since the proof is analogous to the  $G_2$  and  $Spin(7)$  cases, we shall only highlight the key parts of the argument, referring the reader to [\[5](#page-46-1), Theorem 3.13] and [\[6](#page-46-2), Theorem 4.19] for further details.

In order to obtain the limit space  $(M, g, p)$  as a complete pointed Riemannian manifold, we resort to the Cheeger-Gromov compactness theorem, cf. [\[25,](#page-47-15) Theorem 2.3]. This relies on hypotheses  $(5.2)$  and  $(5.3)$ : condition  $(5.2)$  ensures that collapsing/degeneration-type phenomena does not occur, and  $(5.3)$  ensures that curvature does not concentrate along the limiting process. More precisely, Cheeger-Gromov compactness gives rise to an exhausting family of compact nested sets  $U_i \subset M^8$  and diffeomorphisms  $F_i: U_i \to F_i(U_i) \subset M_i^8$ , such that  $g = \lim F_i^* g_i$ . Note that here we are also using the fact that the metric is unchanged under the flow, i.e.  $g_i(0) = g_i(t)$ .

Next we need to obtain the limit 4-form  $\Omega(t)$ , which, by contrast to the metric, does vary with time. In view of the Shi-type estimates for the general harmonic flow of *H*-structures [\[6](#page-46-2), Proposition 2.16], Assumption [\(5.1\)](#page-24-2) guarantees uniform bounds on all derivatives of torsion, for all time  $t \in (a, b)$ . Appealing to the Arzelá-Ascoli theorem, we can extract a 4-form  $\Omega(t)$  on *M* as the limit of  $F_i^* \Omega_i(t)$ . Now, it is not a priori clear that the limit  $\Omega(t)$  also defines an  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ -structure on *M*. To deduce to latter, we take the limit of [\(2.25\)](#page-11-1) and use the fact that the Riemannian metric *g* arises as the Cheeger–Gromov limit of  $(g_i)$ . This concludes the proof.

#### <span id="page-25-0"></span>**5.2 The Almost-Monotonicity Formula**

This section is strongly based on the celebrated methods developed by Hamilton in [\[13](#page-47-16)], which we invite the unfamiliar reader to consult. Let  $(M^8, g)$  be a complete Riemannian manifold. For  $p \in M^8$ , we denote by  $u_{(p,t_0)}$  a positive fundamental solution of the backward heat equation, starting from the delta function at  $p$ , at time *t*0, i.e.

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta\right) u_{(p,t_0)} = 0, \quad \lim_{t \to t_0} u_{(p,t_0)}(t) = \delta_p,
$$

and we set

$$
u_{(p,t_0)} = \frac{\exp\{-f_{(p,t_0)}\}}{\left(4\pi(t_0-t)\right)^4}.
$$

In what follows we shall simply write  $u = u_{(p,t_0)}$ . Suppose now that we have a solution to the harmonic QK flow [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1) on  $(M^8, g)$ , defined for  $t \in [0, t_0)$ . Then, following [\[10](#page-47-17)], we define the functional

<span id="page-26-1"></span>
$$
\Theta_{(p,t_0)}(\Omega(t)) := (t_0 - t) \int_M u(t) |T(t)|^2 \text{ vol}, \qquad (5.4)
$$

which is invariant under parabolic rescaling – unlike the energy functional *E*. Our first goal is to prove that  $\Theta$  satisfies an almost-monotonicity formula. We begin by proving the following key lemma.

**Lemma 5.3** *Under the harmonic QK flow* [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1)*, the functional*  $\Theta$  *evolves by* 

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Theta = -2(t_0 - t) \int_M u |\text{div} T - T(\nabla f)|^2 \text{ vol}
$$
  
\n
$$
- 2(t_0 - t) \sum_{i=1}^8 \int_M g(T(\nabla_{E_i} \nabla u), T(E_i)) \text{vol} + 2(t_0 - t) \int_M \frac{|T(\nabla u)|^2}{u} \text{ vol}
$$
  
\n
$$
- \int_M u|T|^2 \text{ vol}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ 2(t_0 - t) \sum_{i=1}^8 \int_M g(R(\nabla u, E_i), T(E_i)) \text{ vol}, \qquad (5.5)
$$

*where* {*Ei*} *denotes a local orthonormal framing.*

*Proof* Using the definition of *u*, a direct calculation shows that

<span id="page-26-0"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Theta = \int_M -u|T|^2 + (t_0 - t)u \frac{\partial |T|^2}{\partial t} - (t_0 - t)\Delta u|T|^2
$$
vol.

Let us consider the last summand in the above expression. Integrating by parts we have

$$
\int_{M} \Delta u |T|^{2} \text{ vol} = -\int_{M} g(\nabla u, \nabla |T|^{2}) \text{ vol} = -2 \int_{M} g(\nabla_{\nabla u} T, T) \text{ vol}
$$

$$
= -2 \sum_{i=1}^{8} \int_{M} g((\nabla_{\nabla u} T)(E_{i}), T(E_{i})) \text{ vol}
$$

$$
= -2 \sum_{i=1}^{8} \int_{M} g((\nabla_{E_{i}} T)(\nabla u) + R(\nabla u, E_{i}), T(E_{i})) \text{ vol}
$$

$$
= +2 \int_{M} g(\text{div} T, T(\nabla u)) \text{ vol}
$$

$$
-2 \sum_{i=1}^{8} \int_{M} g(-T(\nabla_{E_{i}} \nabla u) + R(\nabla u, E_{i}), T(E_{i})) \text{ vol}
$$

<sup>2</sup> Springer

lary [4.2,](#page-20-1) shows that

$$
\int_{M} u \frac{\partial |T|^{2}}{\partial t} \text{ vol} = -2 \int_{M} u|\text{div}T|^{2} + g(\text{div}T, T(\nabla u)) \text{ vol.}
$$
 (5.6)

Combining the above, we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Theta = -\int_{M} u|T|^{2} \text{ vol} - 2(t_{0} - t) \int_{M} u|\text{div}T|^{2} + 2g(\text{div}T, T(\nabla u)) \text{ vol}
$$

$$
-2(t_{0} - t) \sum_{i=1}^{8} \int_{M} g(T(\nabla_{E_{i}} \nabla u) - R(\nabla u, E_{i}), T(E_{i})) \text{ vol}
$$

$$
= -\int_{M} u \cdot |T|^{2} \text{ vol} - 2(t_{0} - t) \int_{M} u|\text{div}T - T(\nabla f)|^{2} - \frac{|T(\nabla u)|^{2}}{u} \text{ vol}
$$

$$
-2(t_{0} - t) \sum_{i=1}^{8} \int_{M} g(T(\nabla_{E_{i}} \nabla u) - R(\nabla u, E_{i}), T(E_{i})) \text{ vol.}
$$

 $\Box$ 

<span id="page-27-0"></span>Equipped with the above lemma, we now apply the same argument as in [\[6](#page-46-2), Theorem 5.2] to obtain the following monotonicity result:

**Theorem 5.4** (Weak almost-monotonicity formula) Let  $\{\Omega(t)\}\$  be a solution of the *harmonic QK flow* [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1) *on* (*M*, *g*)*, and let*  $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < t_0$ *. The following assertions hold:*

*1. If M is compact, then there exist constants*  $K_1, K_2 > 0$ , depending only on the *geometry of* (*M*, *g*)*, such that*

$$
\Theta(\Omega(\tau_2)) \leq K_1 \Theta(\Omega(\tau_1)) + K_2(\tau_2 - \tau_1)(E(\Omega(0)) + 1). \tag{5.7}
$$

2. If  $M = \mathbb{R}^8$  with its Euclidean structure, then

$$
\Theta(\Omega(\tau_2)) \leq \Theta(\Omega(\tau_1)).\tag{5.8}
$$

*Proof* The proof follows Hamilton's original argument, which also appears in detail in [\[5](#page-46-1), [6\]](#page-46-2), so we shall only outline the key steps. It is worth emphasising that, although we consider here the structure group  $H = Sp(2)Sp(1)$ , whereas [\[5](#page-46-1)] considers  $H = G_2$  and [\[6](#page-46-2)] considers  $H = \text{Spin}(7)$ , the argument is essentially the same with  $T \in \Gamma(\Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{h}^{\perp})$ . In other words, the proof is independent of the structure group  $H \subset SO(n)$ , so long as a Bianchi-type identity holds. We illustrate this below by avoiding multi-index computations specific to some choice of *H*.

Integrating by parts the last term of  $(5.5)$ ,

<span id="page-28-0"></span>
$$
2(t_0 - t) \sum_{i=1}^{8} \int_M g(R(\nabla u, E_i), T(E_i)) \tag{5.9}
$$

and using again [\(2.18\)](#page-8-1) gives an integral involving *u*, *T* , *R* and ∇ *R* only. First note that the curvature terms only depend on *g* and hence are bounded. Since  $\int_M u \text{ vol} = 1$  and  $E(\Omega(t))$  is decreasing, it follows that [\(5.9\)](#page-28-0) is bounded by

$$
C(1+\Theta(\Omega(t))),
$$

where *C* is a constant determined by the geometry of  $(M^8, g)$ . For the second term of  $(5.5)$ , using again that  $E(\Omega(t))$  is decreasing, a standard argument shows that we can bound it by

$$
C(E(\Omega(0))) + \log\left(\frac{B}{(t_0 - t)^4}\right) \Theta(\Omega(t)).
$$

Combining the above, we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Theta(\Omega(t)) \le -2(t_0 - t) \int_M u|\text{div}T - T(\nabla f)|^2 \text{ vol} \n+ C(1 + \log\left(\frac{B}{(t_0 - t)^4}\right)) \Theta(\Omega(t)) + C(1 + E(\Omega(0))).
$$
\n(5.10)

Let  $\xi(t)$  be a solution of the ODE

<span id="page-28-1"></span>
$$
\xi'(t) = 1 + \log\left(\frac{B}{(t_0 - t)^4}\right).
$$

Then we can rewrite  $(5.10)$  as

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(e^{-C\xi(t)}\Theta(\Omega(t))) \le C(1 + E(\Omega(0)))
$$

and the first claim now follows. The second claim is immediate from the explicit expression of the backwards heat kernel, see  $(5.13)$  below.

The key application for the above monotonicity formula is the following  $\epsilon$ -regularity theorem, which we shall use to study singularities of the flow in [§5.3.](#page-37-0) We follow the approach employed by Grayson-Hamilton in the context of harmonic map heat flow [\[10](#page-47-17)].

<span id="page-28-2"></span>**Theorem 5.5** ( $\epsilon$ -regularity) Let (M $^8$ , g) be a compact Riemannian manifold and let E<sub>0</sub> *be a positive constant. There exist constants*  $\epsilon$ ,  $\overline{\rho}$   $> 0$  *such that, for every*  $\rho \in (0, \overline{\rho}]$ *, there exist*  $r \in (0, \rho)$  *and*  $C < \infty$  *with the following significance.* 

*Suppose*  $\{\Omega(t)\}_{t\in[0,t_0)}$  *is a solution to the harmonic QK flow* [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1)*, inducing g and satisfying*  $E(\Omega(0)) \leq E_0$ . If

$$
\Theta_{(p,t_0)}(\Omega(t_0-\rho^2))<\epsilon,
$$

*for some*  $p \in M^8$ *, then*  $\Lambda_r(x, t) := \min(1 - r^{-1} d_g(p, x), \sqrt{1 - r^{-2}(t_0 - t)})$  satis*fies*

$$
\Lambda_r(x,t)|T(\Omega(x,t))| \leq \frac{C}{r}, \quad \forall (x,t) \in B(x_0,r) \times [t_0 - r^2, t_0].
$$

*Proof* In view of the weak almost-monotonicity formula in Theorem [5.4,](#page-27-0) the proof of Theorem [5.5](#page-28-2) is now completely analogous to those in [\[5,](#page-46-1) Theorem 5.7] and [\[6,](#page-46-2) Theorem 5.5], so we shall only detail its key moments.

Suppose by contradiction that, for any sequences  $\varepsilon_i$ ,  $\overline{\rho}_i \rightarrow 0$ , there exist  $\rho_i \in$  $(0, \bar{\rho}_i]$  such that, given any  $r_i \in (0, \rho_i)$  and  $C_i \rightarrow \infty$ , there exist counterexamples  ${\Omega_i(t)}_{t \in [0,t_i)}$  such that

$$
E(\Omega(0)) \le E_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_{(p_i, t_i)}(\Omega(t_i - \rho_i^2)) < \epsilon_i,\tag{5.11}
$$

but

<span id="page-29-0"></span>
$$
r_i\Big(\max_{B(x_i,r_i) \times [t_i - r_i^2, t_i]} \Lambda_{r_i}(x,t) |T(\Omega_i(x,t))|\Big) > C_i,
$$
\n(5.12)

for some  $x_i \in M$ . Setting  $Q_i := |T(\Omega_i(\bar{x}_i, \bar{t}_i))|$ , where  $(\bar{x}_i, \bar{t}_i)$  denotes the point where the maximum is attained, we can consider the parabolic rescaled flow

$$
\widetilde{\Omega}_i(t) := Q_i^4 \Omega_i(\bar{t}_i + Q_i^{-2}t),
$$

as in Corollary [4.4,](#page-21-3) with  $c = Q_i$ . Using [\(5.12\)](#page-29-0) and the definition of  $Q_i$ , we find that

$$
|T(\tilde{\Omega}_i(t))(\bar{x}_i,0)|=1.
$$

Now compactness, from Theorem [5.2,](#page-24-3) implies that the limit of the rescaled flow  $(M, \tilde{\Omega}_i(t), \bar{x}_i)$  is the ancient solution ( $\mathbb{R}^8$ ,  $\Omega_{\infty}(t)$ , 0) and satisfies  $|T(\Omega_{\infty})(0, 0)| = 1$ . On the other hand, taking the limit of  $\Theta$  in the monotonicity formula of Theorem [5.4](#page-27-0) shows that  $|T(\Omega_{\infty})(0, 0)| = 0$ , which gives the desired contradiction.

Although the almost-monotonicity formula of Theorem [5.4](#page-27-0) is sufficient for analysing singularities of the flow, we shall need a more refined monotonicity formula to obtain long time existence given small initial energy. To this end we modify the functional  $\Theta$  as follows.

We may assume, without loss of generality, that  $(M^8, g)$  has injectivity radius at least 1, and introduce geodesic normal coordinates  $x_i$  in a unit ball around any given point  $p \in M$  via the exponential map

$$
\exp |_{p}: B(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}^{8} \cong T_{p}M \to B(p, 1) \subset M.
$$

Let  $\phi$  be a test function on  $\mathbb{R}^8$ , with compact support in *B*(0, 1) and constant on  $B(0, 1/2)$ , and let *G* denote the usual Euclidean backward heat kernel on  $\mathbb{R}^8$ .

<span id="page-30-0"></span>
$$
G = \frac{1}{(4\pi(t_0 - t))^4} \exp(-\frac{|x|^2}{4(t_0 - t)}),
$$
\n(5.13)

where  $|x|^2 = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_8^2$ . For  $0 < t < t_0 \le \tau$ , we define

$$
Z(t) = (t_0 - t) \int_M |T(t)|^2 G \phi^2 \text{vol} = (t_0 - t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^8} |T(t)|^2 G \phi \sqrt{|g|} dx.
$$

We should emphasise that  $|\cdot|$  here denotes the norm with respect to  $g$  (not the Euclidean metric) and also that the integrand is only supported on  $B(p, 1) \cong B(0, 1)$ . In contrast to the functional  $\Theta$  defined by [\(5.4\)](#page-26-1), observe that now we are using the Euclidean heat kernel  $G$ , rather than  $u$ , and we are only working locally in geodesic unit balls where the function  $\phi$  is supported. As with  $\Theta(t)$ , we shall compute the evolution of  $Z(t)$ .

A subtle point here is that, in geodesic normal coordinates at *p*, the metric *g* is approximately Euclidean, and we already saw in Theorem [5.4](#page-27-0) that  $\Theta(t)$  is indeed monotone on Euclidean  $\mathbb{R}^8$ , so the trick is to exploit this approximation in  $B(p, 1)$ using the functional  $Z(t)$ . This was done for the harmonic map heat flow (of maps) by Chen and Struwe [\[2\]](#page-46-8), and it was recently adapted to the harmonic flow of almost-Hermitian structures by He and Li  $[15]$  $[15]$ , albeit with some subtleties, see Remark [5.6.1](#page-4-1) below.

<span id="page-30-1"></span>**Theorem 5.6** *For any*  $N > 1$  *and*  $t_1$ *, t<sub>2</sub> such that* 

$$
t_0 - \min\{0, t_0\} < t_1 \leq t_2 < t_0,
$$

*the following monotonicity formula holds:*

$$
Z(t_2) \leq e^{C(f(t_2)-f(t_1))} Z(t_1) + C\Big(N^4(E(0)+\sqrt{E(0)}+\frac{1}{\log^2 N})\Big)(t_2-t_1),
$$

*where C is a constant depending only on* (*M*, *g*)*, and*

$$
f(t) = (t_0 - t) \left\{ -26 + 26 \log(t_0 - t) - 13 \log^2(t_0 - t) + 4 \log^3(t_0 - t) - \log^4(t_0 - t) \right\}.
$$

*Proof* First we compute

$$
\frac{d}{dt}Z(t) = -\int_M |T|^2 G\phi^2 \text{vol}
$$

 $\mathcal{D}$  Springer

<span id="page-31-1"></span><span id="page-31-0"></span>+2(t<sub>0</sub> - t) 
$$
\int_M g(\nabla \text{div}(T), T) G \phi^2 \text{vol}
$$
  
+  $\int_M |T|^2 \Big( \frac{n}{2} - \frac{|x|^2}{4(t_0 - t)} \Big) G \phi^2 \text{vol},$  (5.14)

using Corollary [4.2](#page-20-1) for the second term, and the last term comes from differentiating *G*. Integrating by parts, we have

$$
\int_{M} g(\nabla \text{div}(T), T G \phi^{2}) \text{vol} = -\int_{M} g(\text{div}(T), \text{div}(T) G \phi^{2} \n+ T(\nabla G) \phi^{2} + 2T(\nabla \phi) G \phi) \text{vol} \n= -\int_{M} \left| \text{div}(T) - \frac{T(x_{i} \nabla x_{i})}{2(t_{0} - t)} \right|^{2} G \phi^{2} \text{vol} \n- \int_{M} -\left| \frac{T(x_{i} \nabla x_{i})}{2(t_{0} - t)} \right|^{2} G \phi^{2} \n+ g(\text{div}(T), \frac{T(x_{i} \nabla x_{i})}{2(t_{0} - t)}) G \phi^{2} \text{vol} \n- \int_{M} g(\text{div}(T), 2T(\nabla \phi) G \phi) \text{vol}, \qquad (5.15)
$$

where we used that  $\nabla G = -\frac{x_i \nabla x_i}{2(t_0 - t)} G$ , as a vector field. Combining the above, we have

$$
\frac{d}{dt}Z(t) = -\int_M |T|^2 G\phi^2 \text{vol}
$$
  
\n
$$
-2(t_0 - t) \int_M |\text{div}(T) - \frac{T(x_i \nabla x_i)}{2(t_0 - t)}|^2 G\phi^2 \text{vol}
$$
  
\n
$$
-2(t_0 - t) \int_M -\left|\frac{T(x_i \nabla x_i)}{2(t_0 - t)}\right|^2 G\phi^2 + g(\text{div}(T), \frac{T(x_i \nabla x_i)}{2(t_0 - t)}) G\phi^2 \text{vol}
$$
  
\n
$$
-2(t_0 - t) \int_M g(\text{div}(T), 2T(\nabla \phi) G\phi) \text{vol}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \int_M |T|^2 \left(\frac{n}{2} - \frac{|x|^2}{4(t_0 - t)}\right) G\phi^2 \text{vol}
$$
  
\n
$$
= I + II + III + IV + V.
$$

The goal is now to carefully estimate the right-hand side; we begin with *I V*.

$$
|IV| \le 2(t_0 - t) \int_M |g((\text{div}(T) - \frac{T(x_i \nabla x_i)}{2(t_0 - t)}) G^{1/2} \phi, 2T(\nabla \phi) G^{1/2})| \text{vol}
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_M |g(T(x_i \nabla x_i), 2T(\nabla \phi) G\phi)| \text{vol}
$$
  

$$
\le \frac{1}{2} |II| + 4(t_0 - t) \int_M |T|^2 |\nabla \phi|^2 G \text{vol} + 2 \int_M |T|^2 |g(x_i \nabla x_i, \nabla \phi)| G\phi \text{vol}
$$

<sup>2</sup> Springer

where we used the triangle inequality in the first line and then Young and Cauchy-Schwartz inequalities. Now recall that  $\nabla \phi = 0$  in  $B(0, 1/2)$ , and *G* concentrates at  $x = 0$  as  $t \to t_0$ . There are now two cases to consider: if  $t_0 - t > 1/N$ , then  $G < CN^{n/2}$ , whereas if  $t_0 - t < 1/N < 1$  then  $G|\nabla \phi| < C$ , since *G* is bounded outside  $B(0, 1/2)$ . Hence either way we find

$$
|IV| \le \frac{1}{2}|II| + CN^{n/2}E(0).
$$

Next we consider *III*.

$$
III = \frac{1}{2(t_0 - t)} \int_M |T(x_i \nabla x_i)|^2 G \phi^2 \text{vol} - \int_M g(\text{div}(T), T(x_i \nabla x_i)) G \phi^2 \text{vol}
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{1}{2(t_0 - t)} \int_M |T(x_i \nabla x_i)|^2 G \phi^2 \text{vol} + \int_M g(T, \nabla (T(x_i \nabla x_i)) G \phi^2) \text{vol}
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_M g(T, \nabla (T(x_i \nabla x_i)) G \phi^2) \text{vol} + 2 \int_M g(T(\nabla \phi), T(x_i \nabla x_i)) G \phi) \text{vol}
$$

where we integrated by parts in the second line and again used that  $\nabla G = -\frac{x_i \nabla x_i}{2(t_0-t)} G$ in the last line. We also have

$$
\int_{M} g(T, \nabla(T(x_{i} \nabla x_{i}))G\phi^{2})\text{vol} = \int_{M} g(T, (\nabla T)(x_{i} \nabla x_{i})G\phi^{2})\text{vol} \n+ \int_{M} g(T, T(\nabla(x_{i} \nabla x_{i}))G\phi^{2})\text{vol} \n= \int_{M} g(T, (\nabla_{x_{i} \nabla x_{i}} T + R(\cdot, x_{i} \nabla x_{i}))G\phi^{2})\text{vol} \n= \int_{M} g(T, T(\nabla(x_{i} \nabla x_{i}))G\phi^{2})\text{vol} \n= \int_{M} (\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{x_{i} \nabla x_{i}} |T|^{2} + g(T, R(\cdot, x_{i} \nabla x_{i})))G\phi^{2}\text{vol} \n+ \int_{M} g(T, T(\nabla(x_{i} \nabla x_{i}))G\phi^{2})\text{vol}
$$
\n(5.16)

where we used the Bianchi-type identity [\(2.19\)](#page-8-4) in the second line and the fact that  $T \in$  $\Omega^1 \otimes \Omega^2_{15}$ . Note that, in local coordinates  $\nabla x_i = g^{ik} \partial_{x_k}$  (using Einstein's summation convention) and hence in the above expression,

$$
\nabla(x_i\nabla x_i)=x_ig^{ik}\nabla\partial_{x_k}+g^{ik}dx_i\otimes\partial_{x_k}+x_i\partial_{x_j}(g^{ik})dx_j\otimes\partial_{x_k}
$$

denotes an endomorphism. Since vol =  $\sqrt{|g|} dx$ , in local coordinates we compute

$$
\int_M \nabla_{x_i} \nabla_{x_i} |T|^2 G\phi^2 \text{vol} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x_i g^{ik} \partial_{x_k} |T|^2 G\phi^2 \sqrt{|g|} dx
$$

<span id="page-32-0"></span><sup>2</sup> Springer

<span id="page-33-0"></span>
$$
= -\int_{M} g^{ii} |T|^{2} G \phi^{2} \text{vol} + \int_{M} g^{ik} |T|^{2} (\frac{x_{i} x_{k}}{2(t_{0} - t)}) G \phi^{2} \text{vol} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |T|^{2} x_{i} G \partial_{x_{k}} (g^{ik} \phi^{2} \sqrt{|g|}) dx
$$
\n(5.17)

where we now integrated by parts on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Combining all of the above, we have so far

$$
I + III + V = \int_M g(T, g^{ik} dx_i \otimes T(\partial_{x_k}) - T)G\phi^2 \text{vol}
$$
  
\n
$$
- \frac{1}{4(t_0 - t)} \int_M |T|^2 (|x|^2 - g^{ik} x_i x_k) G\phi^2 \text{vol}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_M (n - g^{ii}) |T|^2 G\phi^2 \text{vol} + \int_M g(T, R(\cdot, x_i \nabla x_i)) G\phi^2 \text{vol}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \int_M g(T, T(x_i g^{ik} \nabla \partial_{x_k} + x_i \partial_{x_j} (g^{ik}) dx_j \otimes \partial_{x_k}) G\phi^2) \text{vol}
$$
  
\n
$$
- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T|^2 x_i G\partial_{x_k} (g^{ik} \phi^2 \sqrt{|g|}) dx
$$
  
\n
$$
+ 2 \int_M g(T(\nabla \phi), T(x_i \nabla x_i)) G\phi) \text{vol.}
$$
\n(5.18)

Since we are working in  $B(p, 1)$  with geodesic normal coordinates we know that  $g^{ij} = \delta_{ij} + O(|x|^2)$  and  $\Gamma_{ij}^k = O(|x|)$  in a neighbourhood of  $x = 0$ , and hence, using

<span id="page-33-1"></span>
$$
\left| \int_M g(T, R(\cdot, x_i \nabla x_i)) G\phi^2 \text{vol} \right| \le C \int_M |T| |x| G\phi^2 \text{vol},
$$

we get the bound

$$
|I + III + V| \le CE(0) + \frac{C}{(t_0 - t)} \int_M |T|^2 |x|^4 G \phi^2 \text{vol} + C \int_M |T|^2 |x|^2 G \phi^2 \text{vol} + C \int_M |T||x| G \phi^2 \text{vol}.
$$

Note that here we again used the fact that *G* can only concentrate at  $x = 0$ , but  $|\nabla \phi|$ and the  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  vanish at  $x = 0$ . We now have the estimate

$$
\frac{1}{(t_0 - t)} \int_M |T|^2 |x|^4 G \phi^2 \text{vol} = \frac{1}{(t_0 - t)} \int_{|x|^2 \le (t_0 - t) \log^2(t_0 - t)} |T|^2 |x|^4 G \phi^2 \text{vol}
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{(t_0 - t)} \int_{|x|^2 > (t_0 - t) \log^2(t_0 - t)} |T|^2 |x|^4 G \phi^2 \text{vol}
$$

$$
\le \log^4(t_0 - t) Z(t) + \frac{\exp(-\log^2(t_0 - t)/4)}{(t_0 - t)^{n/2 + 1}} C E(0)
$$

$$
\le \log^4(t_0 - t) Z(t) + C E(0), \tag{5.19}
$$

 $\mathcal{D}$  Springer

where we used that  $\frac{\exp(-\log^2(t_0-t)/4)}{(t_0-t)^{n/2+1}}$  is uniformly bounded, for  $0 < t < t_0$ . Analogously, we get

$$
C\int_M |T|^2 |x|^2 G\phi^2 \text{vol} \leq C \log^2(t_0 - t) Z(t) + C E(0).
$$

As argued above, for  $t_0 - t > 1/N$  we have  $G < CN^{n/2}$ , so

$$
\int_M |T||x|G\phi^2\text{vol} \leq CN^{n/2}\sqrt{E(0)}.
$$

On the other hand, if  $t_0 - t < 1/N$ , then Young's inequality gives

$$
\int_{M} |T||x|G\phi^{2}vol \leq \frac{1}{4\log^{2}(t_{0}-t)} \int_{M} \frac{|x|^{2}}{t_{0}-t} G\phi^{2}vol + \log^{2}(t_{0}-t)Z(t)
$$

$$
\leq \frac{C}{\log^{2} N} + \log^{2}(t_{0}-t)Z(t).
$$

Gathering all terms,

$$
\frac{d}{dt}Z(t) \le -\frac{1}{2}|II| + C(\log^4(t_0 - t) + \log^2(t_0 - t))Z(t) + CN^{n/2}(E(0) + \sqrt{E(0)}) + \frac{C}{\log^2 N}.
$$

Now, the function  $f(t)$  satisfies  $f'(t) = \log^4(t_0 - t) + \log^2(t_0 - t)$ , so

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\left(e^{-Cf}Z(t)\right) = e^{-Cf}\left(\frac{d}{dt}Z(t) - C(\log^4(t_0 - t) + \log^2(t_0 - t))\right) \n\leq Ce^{-Cf}\left(N^{n/2}(E(0) + \sqrt{E(0)}) + \frac{1}{\log^2 N}\right).
$$

*Remark 5.6.1* A similar approach can be found in [\[15](#page-47-2), Theorem 3.1], in the case of almost Hermitian structures, with  $H = U(n/2)$ . We must highlight however two important differences, stemming from what we believe to be a minor overlook of some features in the original proof by Chen-Struwe [\[2\]](#page-46-8) for the harmonic heat flow of *maps*, as opposed to *tensors*, eventually leading us to a different function *f* in the monotonicity formula.

First, the authors integrate by parts in [\(5.15\)](#page-31-0) with respect to the Euclidean metric, rather than *g*, and this results in an additional term involving derivatives of *g* (confusingly denoted by  $\nabla g_{ij}$  therein). This is indeed the procedure adopted in [\[2](#page-46-8), Lemma 4.2], where it is not problematic because there are no covariant derivatives of tensors involved, only partial derivatives of maps.

 $\Box$ 

Second, our integration by parts in [\(5.17\)](#page-33-0) gives rise to a term involving  $g^{ik}x_ix_j$ , which is equal to  $|x|^2$  only to zeroth order in the unit geodesic ball, since  $g^{ij} =$  $\delta_{ij}$  +  $O(|x|^2)$ . This yields a term of order  $|x|^4$  in [\(5.18\)](#page-33-1), which does not appear in [\[15](#page-47-2)]. It is the bound on this term that finally requires a different choice of *f* .

<span id="page-35-0"></span>*Remark 5.6.2* We now highlight the key features of the proof that generalise immediately to other structure groups  $H \subset SO(n)$ . First, we need the squared norm of  $T \in \Omega^1 \otimes \mathfrak{m} \subset \Omega^1 \times \Omega^2$  to evolve by

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|T|^2 = g(\nabla \text{div}(T), T)
$$

which is used in  $(5.14)$ . Second, we need the Bianchi identity to establish that

$$
\pi_{\mathfrak{m}}((\nabla_X T)(Y) - (\nabla_Y T)(X)) = \pi_{\mathfrak{m}}(R(X, Y))
$$

which is used in  $(5.16)$ . Aside from these two ingredients, the rest of the calculations is completely independent of the structure group  $H$ . From the results in [\[5,](#page-46-1) [23](#page-47-0)] and [\[6](#page-46-2)] we immediately deduce that Theorem [5.6](#page-30-1) also applies to the cases of  $H = G_2$  and  $H = Spin(7)$ .

Next we define the functional

$$
\Psi(R) = \int_{t_0 - 4R^2}^{t_0 - R^2} \int_M |T(t)|^2 G\phi^2 \text{vol} = \int_{t_0 - 4R^2}^{t_0 - R^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T(t)|^2 G\phi^2 \sqrt{|g|} dx. \tag{5.20}
$$

and a similar argument as in [\[15,](#page-47-2) Theorem 3.2] now yields:

**Theorem 5.7** *For any*  $N > 1$  *and*  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  *such that* 

 $0 < R_2 \leq R_1 < \min\{\sqrt{t_0}/2, 1\},\$ 

*the following monotonicity formula holds:*

$$
\Psi(R_2) \le C_0 e^{C(\tilde{f}(t_2) - \tilde{f}(t_1))} \Psi(R_1) + C \Big( N^4 (E(0) + \sqrt{E(0)} + \frac{1}{\log^2 N}) \Big) (R_1 - R_2),
$$
\n(5.21)

*where*  $C_0$ ,  $C > 0$  *are constants depending only on*  $(M, g)$  *and* 

$$
\tilde{f}(R) = R^2(-26 + 52R^2 \log R - 52 \log^2 R + 64 \log^3 R - 32 \log^4 R).
$$

*Proof* We set  $\alpha = R_2^2/R_1^2 \le 1$  and  $\tilde{t} \le t = \alpha \tilde{t} + (1 - \alpha)t_0$ . Using Theorem [5.6,](#page-30-1) we compute

$$
\Psi(R_2) = \int_{t_0 - R_2^2}^{t_0 - R_2^2} \frac{Z(t)}{t_0 - t} dt = \int_{t_0 - R_1^2}^{t_0 - R_1^2} \frac{Z(t)}{t_0 - \tilde{t}} d\tilde{t}
$$

 $\textcircled{2}$  Springer

$$
\leq \int_{t_0 - R_1^2}^{t_0 - R_1^2} e^{C(f(t) - f(\tilde{t}))} \frac{Z(t)}{t_0 - \tilde{t}} + C \Big( N^4 (E(0) + \sqrt{E(0)} + \frac{1}{\log^2 N}) \Big) \frac{t - \tilde{t}}{t_0 - \tilde{t}} d\tilde{t}
$$
  

$$
\leq C_0 e^{C(\tilde{f}(R_2) - \tilde{f}(R_1))} \Psi(R_1) + C \Big( N^4 (E(0) + \sqrt{E(0)} + \frac{1}{\log^2 N}) \Big) (R_1 - R_2)
$$

In the last line we used the fact the function  $f(\alpha t + (1 - \alpha)t_0) - f(t)$  is bounded above and decreasing as  $\tilde{t} \to t_0$ .  $\bar{t} \to t_0.$ 

The above monotonicity result is crucial in the proof of long-time existence of the harmonic QK flow. As with the almost-monotonicity formula, the argument in [\[15\]](#page-47-2) can also be readily extended to our setup when  $H = Sp(2)Sp(1)$ , leading to longtime existence given small initial torsion. Fortunately, we need not carry out that analysis in detail, since it follows from the theory of harmonic flows of *H*-structures, cf. [\[7\]](#page-46-3). In view of Remark [5.6.2,](#page-35-0) such behaviour was indeed to be expected, even if some passages might have to be modified in comparison to [\[15](#page-47-2)], due to certain subtle estimates involving the parabolic cylinder cf. [\[7](#page-46-3), Theorem 2.10]. In any event, specialising the general theory to our present situation, we have:

**Theorem 5.8** *Let*  $(M^8, g, \Omega_0)$  *denote a Riemannian manifold with an* Sp(2)Sp(1)*structure such that*  $|\nabla \Omega_0| < C$ , *for some constant*  $C > 0$ *. Then there exists*  $\varepsilon > 0$ *, depending only on C and g, such that, if*  $E(\Omega_0) < \varepsilon$ *, then the harmonic QK flow* [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1) *exists for all time and converges smoothly to a torsion-free QK structure.*

We conclude this section with the following convexity result for the energy functional. This can in fact be used to give a direct proof that sufficiently small  $|T(\Omega_0)|$ guarantees long-time existence and convergence to a harmonic QK structure, cf. [\[5,](#page-46-1) Theorem 5.13] and [\[6,](#page-46-2) Theorem 5.9]. However, the recent results in [\[7\]](#page-46-3) supersede this hypothesis, by only requiring that  $E(\Omega_0)$  be sufficiently small. In any event, such convexity result will likely be useful in future studies of the stability profile of critical points.

<span id="page-36-0"></span>**Proposition 5.9** *Along a solution of the QK harmonic flow* [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1) *on* (*M*8, *g*)*, we have*

$$
\frac{d^2}{dt^2}E(\Omega(t)) \ge \int_M (\Lambda - |T|^2) |\text{div } T|^2 \text{ vol},\tag{5.22}
$$

*where denotes the first non-zero eigenvalue of the rough Laplacian of g on* 2*-forms.*

*Proof* From [\(4.7\)](#page-21-1), we have

$$
\frac{d^2}{dt^2}E(\Omega(t)) = -2\int_M g(\frac{d}{dt}\text{div }T, \text{div }T) \text{ vol.}
$$

Since the divergence operator only depends on the metric it commutes with  $\frac{d}{dt}$ , hence integrating by parts and using [\(4.3\)](#page-20-2) we have

$$
\frac{d^2}{dt^2}E(\Omega(t)) = 2\int_M |\nabla(\text{div } T)|^2 \text{ vol } + \frac{1}{16}\int_M g((T \diamond \Omega) \text{ and } T \diamond \Omega)
$$

 $\mathcal{D}$  Springer

$$
- (\text{div } T \diamond \Omega) \exists_3 (T \diamond \Omega), \nabla (\text{div } T)) \text{ vol.}
$$

Using [\(2.16\)](#page-5-1) together with Young's inequality,

$$
\int_M g((T \diamond \Omega) \sqcup_3 (\text{div } T \diamond \Omega), \nabla (\text{div } T)) \text{ vol}
$$
  
= 16 
$$
\sum_{i,j,k,m} \int_M T_{m;ik} (\text{div } T)_{jk} \nabla_m (\text{div } T)_{ij} \text{ vol}
$$
  

$$
\geq -8 \int_M |T|^2 |\text{div } T|^2 + |\nabla (\text{div } T)|^2 \text{ vol.}
$$

The same argument applies to the term involving (div  $T \circ \Omega$ ) $\exists$ <sub>3</sub>( $T \circ \Omega$ ) = −(*T*  $\circ$  $\Omega$ ) $\rightarrow$ <sub>3</sub>(div *T*  $\diamond$   $\Omega$ ). So combining the above we have

$$
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(\Omega(t)) \ge 2 \int_M |\nabla(\text{div } T)|^2 \text{ vol} - \int_M |\text{div } T|^2 |T|^2 + |\nabla(\text{div } T)|^2 \text{ vol}
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_M |\nabla(\text{div } T)|^2 - |\text{div } T|^2 |T|^2 \text{ vol}
$$
  

$$
\ge \int_M (\Lambda - |T|^2) |\text{div } T|^2 \text{ vol}.
$$

On a compact manifold, the kernel of the rough Laplacian consists of parallel 2-forms, so indeed it is orthogonal to div *T* .

Note that Lemma [5.9](#page-36-0) does differ depending on the *H*-structure. For instance, in the Spin(7)-case we have

$$
\frac{d^2}{dt^2}E(\Phi(t)) \ge \int_M (\Lambda - 3|T|^2)|\text{div } T|^2 \text{ vol},
$$

see [\[6](#page-46-2), Lemma 5.7]. We refer the reader to  $[5,$  $[5,$  Lemma 5.11] for the  $G_2$  case.

#### <span id="page-37-0"></span>**5.3 Singularities of the Flow**

In this section we investigate the formation of singularities along the harmonic QK flow. Let us consider a solution { $\Omega(t)$ } to [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1) defined for  $t \in [0, T_{max})$ , and define the *singular set S* of the flow by

<span id="page-37-1"></span>
$$
S = \{x \in M^8 \mid \Theta_{x,\tau}(\Omega(\tau - \rho^2)) \ge \epsilon, \ \forall \rho \in [0, \overline{\rho})\},\tag{5.23}
$$

where  $\epsilon$  and  $\bar{\rho}$  are as in Theorem [5.5.](#page-28-2) This wording is justified by the next result, in the same vein as [\[10,](#page-47-17) Theorem 4.3].

**Theorem 5.10** *Let*  $\{\Omega(t)\}_{t\in[0,T_{max})}$  *denote the maximal smooth solution to* [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1) *starting at*  $\Omega(0) = \Omega_0$  *with*  $T_{max} < \infty$ *. As*  $t \to T_{max}$ ,  $\Omega(t)$  *converges smoothly to a*   $QK$  4*-form*  $\Omega_{T_{max}}$ *, away from the closed set S. Moreover, S has finite* 6*-dimensional Hausdorff measure and satisfies*

$$
\mathcal{H}^6(S) \leq CE_0
$$

*where*  $C > 0$  *is a constant depending only on g.* 

*Proof* We adapt a similar scheme of proof as in [\[10](#page-47-17), Theorem 4.3], also found in [\[6,](#page-46-2) Theorem D].

First let's assume that  $H^6(S)$  is finite. Since S is a closed set of finite 6-dimensional measure, Theorem 4.2 of [\[10](#page-47-17)] asserts that there exists  $S' \subset S$  such that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{6}(S') \geq \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}^{6}(S).
$$

Now, the solution to the backwards heat equation is given by

$$
u_{S'}(x,t) = \int_{y \in S'} u_{y,T_{max}}(x,t) d\mathcal{H}^{6}(y)
$$

and it satisfies

<span id="page-38-0"></span>
$$
u_{S'}(x,t) \leq \frac{C}{T_{max} - t}.\tag{5.24}
$$

From the definition of *S* in [\(5.23\)](#page-37-1), we have that

$$
\epsilon \mathcal{H}^{6}(S') = \int_{S'} \epsilon d\mathcal{H}^{6}(y) \leq \int_{S'} \Theta_{(y,T_{max})}(\Omega(T_{max} - \rho^{2})) d\mathcal{H}^{6}(y),
$$

so using definition [5.4](#page-26-1) of  $\Theta$  and [\(5.24\)](#page-38-0) we have

$$
\epsilon \mathcal{H}^{6}(S') \leq \int_{S'} \int_M \rho^2 u_{(y,T_{max})}(x, T_{max} - \rho^2) |T(T_{max} - \rho^2)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{6}(y) \leq CE_0.
$$

To conclude the proof note that if instead  $H^6(S)$  was infinite then one could choose subset  $S' \subset S$  with arbitrarily large 6-dimensional Hausdorff measure, but repeating the above argument would give a contraction. So we must have that indeed  $\mathcal{H}^6(S) < \infty$ <br>and this vields the result and this yields the result.

Note that, for each  $x \in S$ , one can find a sequence  $(x_i, t_i) \rightarrow (x, T_{max})$  such that

$$
\lim_{i\to\infty}|T(\Omega(x_i,t_i))|\to\infty
$$

cf. [\[23,](#page-47-0) Theorem 2], so indeed *S* is the singular set of the flow.

*Remark 5.10.1* In the context of quaternion-Kähler geometry, the only distinguished classes of submanifolds are *quaternionic submanifolds* i.e. submanifolds calibrated by  $\Omega$ . So it is natural to expect that singularities for the QK flow [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1) would occur along such submanifolds. The result of Dadok et al. in [\[4](#page-46-9), Theorem 3.7] and Harvey-Lawson in [\[14](#page-47-18), Section V] assert that the only possible quaternionic submanifolds in  $\mathbb{H}^2$  and  $\mathbb{HP}^2$  are  $\mathbb{H}$  or  $\mathbb{HP}^1 = S^4$ ; this is significantly more restrictive than in the Kähler setting, in which plenty of examples can be easily generated by polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ .

We shall now show that *type-I singularities* of the harmonic QK flow are in fact modelled on shrinking solitons. Based on the analogy with the harmonic map flow, motivated from the results in [\[10](#page-47-17)] we define type-I singularities as follows:

**Definition 5.11** A solution  $\{\Omega(t)\}_{t\in[0,T_{max})}$  to the harmonic QK flow [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1) is said to encounter a *type-I singularity* at *Tmax* if

$$
\sup_{x \in M} |T(t)| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{C(T_{max} - t)}},
$$

where  $C > 0$  is a constant. If a singularity does not satisfy the above bound, then it is said to be a *type-II singularity*.

<span id="page-39-0"></span>**Theorem 5.12** *Suppose that a solution*  $\{\Omega(t)\}_{t\in[0,T_{max})}$  *to the harmonic flow* [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1) *encounters a type-I singularity at*  $T_{max}$ *. Let*  $x \in M$  *and*  $\lambda_i \searrow 0$  *be a decreasing sequence, and consider the parabolic rescaled solution*

$$
\Omega_i(t) := \lambda_i^{-4} \Omega(T_{max} + \lambda_i^2 t).
$$

*Then*  $(M, g_{\Omega_i}, \Omega_i(t), x)$  *subconverges smoothly to an ancient solution* ( $\mathbb{R}^8$ , *g*<sub>0</sub>,  ${\Omega_{\infty}(t)}_{t<0}$ , 0) *induced by a shrinking soliton, i.e.* 

$$
\operatorname{div}(T_{\Omega_{\infty}})(x,t) = -T_{\Omega_{\infty}}\left(\frac{x}{2t}\right).
$$
 (5.25)

*Moreover,*  $x \in M \setminus S$  *if, and only if,*  $\Omega_{\infty}(t)$  *is the stationary flow induced by a torsionfree QK structure on Euclidean* R8*.*

*Proof* From Corollary [4.4,](#page-21-3) we see that  $\{\Omega_i(t)\}\)$  is well-defined for  $t \in [-\lambda_i^{-2}T_{max}, 0)$ . The fact that the limit is an ancient solution on  $\mathbb{R}^8$  now follows from the compactness in Theorem [5.2.](#page-24-3) We conclude that the limit is a shrinking soliton by Theorem [5.4,](#page-27-0) see also  $[10,$  Theorem 5.1].

It was recently shown that finite-time singularities do occur for the harmonic flow of almost Hermitian structures [\[15\]](#page-47-2). Their construction can be easily adapted to our setting, see also [\[7,](#page-46-3) Theorem 2.16]. However, it is worth mentioning that those examples are based on a non-constructive argument, and as such the concrete nature of the singularity is unknown. Next we shall construct several *explicit* solutions to the harmonic flow illustrating long-time existence and convergence to both torsion-free and (non-trivial) harmonic  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ -structures.

### <span id="page-40-0"></span>**6 Explicit Solutions of the Harmonic Flow**

In this section we construct explicit solutions to the harmonic  $QK$  flow [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1). In particular, we exhibit convergence to harmonic QK structures in infinite time; examples of a similar flavour for the harmonic  $G_2$  flow on  $S^7$  were found in [\[22](#page-47-19)]. We also construct a steady harmonic  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ -soliton (see Definition [4.6\)](#page-22-4), which to the best of our knowledge is in fact the first nontrivial concrete example of a harmonic soliton for any *H*-structure.

### <span id="page-40-1"></span>**6.1 Eternal Solutions**

We find two eternal solutions to the harmonic QK flow  $(4.2)$ . The first example we describe is on  $\mathbb{R}^8$ , endowed with the quaternionic hyperbolic metric as in Sect. [3.2.2.](#page-16-0) We modify the torsion-free QK 4-form in a suitable way to a non torsion-free one then show that the harmonic flow indeed converges back to the torsion-free solution in infinite time. The second example we describe is on  $SU(3)$ , which we endow with a left-invariant metric. From the results of Poon and Salamon in [\[24\]](#page-47-3), we know that there are no torsion-free QK structures on SU(3), so it is especially interesting to understand harmonic structures in this situation as the next 'best' possible QK structures. We show that the flow in this case converges to a left-invariant harmonic QK structure and that moreover it induces a hypercomplex structure on SU(3) cf. [\[16](#page-47-20), Section 3, Example 1]. The latter is a new example of a strictly harmonic QK structure.

We begin by proving the following elementary result:

**Proposition 6.1** *Suppose that*  $\Omega_0$  *is invariant under an isometry*  $f : M \rightarrow M$  *and that*  $\Omega(t)$  *is the solution to the harmonic flow* [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1)*. Then*  $\Omega(t)$  *is also invariant under f .*

*Proof* Given  $\Omega(t)$ , we can define another solution  $\Psi(t) = f^* \Omega(t)$  to [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1) since

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\Psi(t)) = f^* \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\Omega(t)) = f^*(\text{div} T \diamond \Omega) = \text{div}(f^*T) \diamond \Psi,
$$

where we used the facts that  $\diamond$  and  $\nabla$  only depend on the metric *g*, and that *f* is an isometry. Since  $f^*\Omega(0) = \Omega(0)$ , by uniqueness of the flow cf. [\[23](#page-47-0), Theorem 1] it follows that  $f^* \Omega(t) = \Omega(t)$ .

As a consequence, if  $\Omega_0$  is G-invariant then so is  $\Omega(t)$ . In particular, for invariant  $\Omega_0$  on a homogeneous space, the harmonic flow reduces to an ODE system in *t*, so this provides a natural set up to study long-time behaviour and (possible) finite-time singularities. Our examples shall exhibit the former behaviour.

### <span id="page-40-2"></span>**6.1.1 Convergence to a Torsion-Free Solution on** *<sup>H</sup>*H**<sup>2</sup>**

Having shown in Sect. [3.2](#page-14-0) that there indeed exist non-torsion-free QK structures with divergence-free intrinsic torsion, let us describe an explicit eternal solution to the flow converging (in infinite time) to a torsion-free solution.

As in [§3.2.2,](#page-16-0) we shall again consider the hyperbolic quaternionic plane  $H\mathbb{H}^2$ , but now viewed as a solvable Lie group with the coframe  $\{E^i\}$  satisfying the following structure equations:

$$
dE1 = -E18, dE5 = -2E13 + 2E24 - 2E58,\ndE2 = -E28, dE6 = -2E14 - 2E23 - 2E68,\ndE3 = -E38, dE7 = +2E12 + 2E34 - 2E78,\ndE4 = -E48, dE8 = 0.
$$

The equivalence with the cohomogeneity one description of  $H\mathbb{H}^2$  in [§3.2.2](#page-16-0) can easily be seen by setting  $E^1 = (1 - s)^{-1/4} dx_1$ ,  $E^5 = (1 - s)^{-1/2} \alpha_1$  and so on.

Consider now the 1-parameter family of QK 4-forms  $\Omega_{a,b}$  defined by [\(2.1\)](#page-2-4), where we take

$$
\omega_1 = E^{12} + E^{34} + E^{56} + E^{87},
$$
  
\n
$$
\omega_2 = E^{13} + E^{42} + (bE^5 + aE^6) \wedge E^8 + E^7 \wedge (-aE^5 + bE^6),
$$
 with  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ ,  
\n
$$
\omega_3 = E^{14} + E^{23} + (bE^5 + aE^6) \wedge E^7 + (-aE^5 + bE^6) \wedge E^8.
$$

Geometrically, we are rotating the 1-forms  $E^5$  and  $E^6$  by  $(a, b) \in U(1)$ , which ensures that  $\Omega_{a,b}$  induces the same metric. The solution  $(a, b) = (0, 1)$  corresponds to the unique torsion-free QK 4-form in this 1-parameter family. A long but straightforward computation shows that

$$
\nabla_{\nabla_{E_i} E_i} \Omega = 0
$$

and

$$
T(E_1) = 16a(-E^{17} + E^{28} + E^{36} - E^{45}) + 16(1 - b)(E^{18} + E^{27} - E^{35} - E^{46}),
$$
  
\n
$$
T(E_2) = 16a(-E^{18} - E^{27} - E^{35} - E^{46}) + 16(1 - b)(-E^{17} + E^{28} - E^{36} + E^{45}),
$$
  
\n
$$
T(E_3) = 16a(-E^{16} + E^{25} - E^{37} + E^{48}) + 16(1 - b)(E^{15} + E^{26} + E^{38} + E^{48}),
$$
  
\n
$$
T(E_4) = 16a(E^{15} + E^{26} - E^{47} - E^{38}) + 16(1 - b)(E^{16} - E^{25} - E^{37} + E^{48}),
$$
  
\n
$$
T(E_5) = 16a(E^{14} + E^{23} - E^{57} - E^{68}) + 16(1 - b)(E^{13} - E^{24} + E^{58} - E^{67}),
$$
  
\n
$$
T(E_6) = 16a(-E^{13} + E^{23} + E^{58} - E^{67}) + 16(1 - b)(E^{14} + E^{23} + E^{57} + E^{68}),
$$
  
\n
$$
T(E_7) = T(E_8) = 0.
$$

From this one finds that

div 
$$
T = -192a(E^{12} + E^{34} - E^{56} - E^{87}).
$$
 (6.1)

Using the definition of the infinitesimal diamond action  $(2.11)$ , we can compute the harmonic QK flow [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1) and it turns out that the flow preserves the above ansatz (which is in fact what motivated this choice in the first place). Due to the symmetry of the problem, the latter reduces to the single ODE:

$$
\frac{d}{dt}a(t) = -768a(t)\sqrt{1 - a(t)^2}.
$$

One easily solves the latter to find the eternal solution

$$
a(t) = \frac{1}{\cosh(768t)} \quad \text{and} \quad b(t) = \tanh(768t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

Moreover,  $\lim_{t \to \infty} (a(t), b(t)) = (0, 1)$ , i.e. we indeed converge to the torsion-free QK structure of the hyperbolic quaternionic plane.

From the above, the total Dirichlet energy would formally be given by

$$
E(\Omega(t)) = 6144(1 - b(t)) \int_M \text{vol}_M,
$$

which is infinite (unless  $b \neq 1$ ), since *M* has infinite volume. However, the normalised quantity

$$
\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\int_{B(0,r)} |T|^2 \text{vol}_M}{\int_{B(0,r)} \text{vol}_M} = 6144(1 - b(t))
$$

is well-defined and indeed converges to 0 as  $t \to \infty$ . The above example shows that, even if the harmonic QK flow is not rigorously speaking the gradient flow of the Dirichlet energy functional on this non-compact manifold, it still exhibits some of its informally expected properties. Next we exhibit a compact example.

#### <span id="page-42-0"></span>**6.1.2 Convergence to a Harmonic Solution on SU***(***3***)* **Which Is Not Torsion-Free**

We shall now take  $M^8 = SU(3)$  and construct an  $SU(3)$ -invariant solution to [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1). We first begin by expressing the Maurer-Cartan form of SU(3) explicitly as

$$
\begin{pmatrix} i(\theta_1 + \theta_2) & i\theta_3 - \theta_4 & \theta_5 + i\theta_6 \\ i\theta_3 + \theta_4 & i(\theta_1 - \theta_2) & i\theta_7 + \theta_8 \\ -\theta_5 + i\theta_6 & i\theta_7 - \theta_8 & -2i\theta_1 \end{pmatrix},
$$

where  $\theta_i$  denote a left-invariant coframing. We define a left invariant metric by

$$
g = \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 + \theta_3^2 + \theta_4^2 + \theta_5^2 + \theta_6^2 + \theta_7^2 + \theta_8^2
$$

and a compatible Sp(2)-structure determined by the triple

$$
\omega_1 = \theta_{12} + \theta_{34} + \theta_{56} + \theta_{78}, \n\omega_2 = \theta_1 \wedge (\cos(f)\theta_3 + \sin(f)\theta_4) - \theta_2 \wedge (-\sin(f)\theta_3 + \cos(f)\theta_4) + \theta_{57} - \theta_{68},
$$

 $\circled{2}$  Springer

 $\omega_3 = \theta_1 \wedge (-\sin(f)\theta_3 + \cos(f)\theta_4) + \theta_2 \wedge (\cos(f)\theta_3 + \sin(f)\theta_4) + \theta_{58} + \theta_{67}$ 

where  $f \in [0, 2\pi)$  is an arbitrary constant. With  $\omega_i$  as above we can now define a QK 4-form  $\Omega$  on SU(3) by [\(2.1\)](#page-2-4), which is indeed compatible with g. Note that f can in fact be taken to be any function on  $SU(3)$  and the above will still hold, but since we are only interested in SU(3)-invariant structures we shall restrict to the situation when *f* is constant. Moreover, we also note the following special case:

**Proposition 6.2** *When*  $f = 0$ *, the quaternionic structure defined by the 4-form*  $\Omega$  *is in fact a hypercomplex structure.*

*Proof* First observe that the complex 2-form  $\omega_2 + i\omega_3$  is of type (2, 0), with respect to the almost complex structure  $I_1$  determined by  $\omega_1$  and g. When  $f = 0$ , a straightforward computation shows that

<span id="page-43-0"></span>
$$
d((\omega_2 + i\omega_3) \wedge (\omega_2 + i\omega_3)) = 2(\theta_1 - i\theta_2) \wedge (\omega_2 + i\omega_3) \wedge (\omega_2 + i\omega_3), \quad (6.2)
$$

$$
d((\omega_3 + i\omega_1) \wedge (\omega_3 + i\omega_1)) = 2(\theta_1 - i\theta_3) \wedge (\omega_3 + i\omega_1) \wedge (\omega_3 + i\omega_1), \quad (6.3)
$$

$$
d((\omega_1 + i\omega_2) \wedge (\omega_1 + i\omega_2)) = 2(\theta_1 - i\theta_4) \wedge (\omega_1 + i\omega_2) \wedge (\omega_1 + i\omega_2). \tag{6.4}
$$

From [\(6.2\)](#page-43-0) we see that  $d\Lambda_{I_1}^{4,0} \subset \Lambda_{I_1}^{4,1}$ , and thus  $I_1$  is in fact a complex structure. The same argument applies to  $I_2$  and  $I_3$ , and hence  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ ,  $I_3$  determine a hypercomplex structure.  $\Box$ 

*Remark 6.2.1* When  $f \neq 0$ , the almost complex structures  $I_2$  and  $I_3$  are non-integrable. For instance, a simple computation shows that

$$
d((\omega_3 + i\omega_1) \wedge (\omega_3 + i\omega_1)) \wedge \omega_2 = -12 \sin(f)(\theta_{1235678} + i\theta_{1345678}) + 12(\cos(f) - 1)(\theta_{1245678} + i\theta_{345678}),
$$

and hence this implies that  $J_2$  is non-integrable.

In what follows we denote by  ${E_i}$  the dual frame to  ${\theta_i}$ . One can view SU(3) as an SU(2)-bundle over  $S^5$ , where the  $S^3$  fibres are generated by the left invariant vector fields  $E_2$ ,  $E_3$ ,  $E_4$ . Furthermore, the Hopf fibration exhibits  $S^5$  as an U(1)-bundle over  $\mathbb{CP}^2$ , where the  $S^1$  fibres correspond to the orbit of the vector field  $E_1$ . This illustrates the diagonal embedding of  $U(2) = U(1)SU(2)$  in SU(3):

$$
SU(2) \longrightarrow SU(3)
$$
  
\n
$$
\downarrow
$$
  
\n
$$
U(1) \longrightarrow S^5
$$
  
\n
$$
\downarrow
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathbb{CP}^2
$$

In view of the above, we can interpret the  $U(1)$  rotation defined by  $f$  as lying in the SU(2) fibre. It is worth pointing out that the metric *g* is in fact SU(3)  $\times$  U(2)-invariant, where the U(2) corresponds to the *right* action generated by  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$ ,  $E_3$ ,  $E_4$ . Note that

*g* is not the bi-invariant metric of  $SU(3)$ , the bi-invariant Einstein metric  $g_E$  is instead given by

$$
g_E = 3\theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 + \theta_3^2 + \theta_4^2 + \theta_5^2 + \theta_6^2 + \theta_7^2 + \theta_8^2.
$$

<span id="page-44-0"></span>**Proposition 6.3** *The left* SU(3)*-invariant* 4*-form is also invariant under the right action of* U(2) *when*  $f = 0$  *but only* U(1)<sup>2</sup> *invariant when*  $f \neq 0$ .

*Proof* It suffices to verify that  $\mathcal{L}_{E_i} \Omega = 0$  for  $i = 1, ..., 4$  when  $f = 0$  but if  $f \neq 0$  then the latter only holds for  $i = 1, 2$ . then the latter only holds for  $i = 1, 2$ .

We shall now compute the intrinsic torsion  $T$  of  $\Omega$ . A long but straightforward calculation show that *T* is given by

$$
T(E_1) = T(E_2) = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
T(E_3) = 8(\cos(f) - 1)(\theta^{13} + \theta^{42} + \theta^{57} + \theta^{86}) + 8\sin(f)(\theta^{14} + \theta^{23} + \theta^{85} + \theta^{76})
$$
  
\n
$$
T(E_4) = 8(\cos(f) - 1)(\theta^{14} + \theta^{23} + \theta^{58} + \theta^{67}) - 8\sin(f)(\theta^{13} - \theta^{24} - \theta^{57} + \theta^{68})
$$
  
\n
$$
T(E_5) = -(8\cos(f) + 4)\theta^{15} + (8\sin(f) + 12)\theta^{16}
$$
  
\n
$$
- (8\sin(f) - 4)\theta^{25} + (12 - 8\cos(f))\theta^{26}
$$
  
\n
$$
- (4\cos(f) - 4\sin(f) - 8)(\theta^{37} + \theta^{48}) + 4(\cos(f) + \sin(f))(\theta^{38} - \theta^{47})
$$
  
\n
$$
T(E_6) = -(8\sin(f) + 12)\theta^{15} - (8\cos(f) + 4)\theta^{16}
$$
  
\n
$$
- (12 - 8\cos(f))\theta^{25} - (8\sin(f) - 4)\theta^{26}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ (4\cos(f) - 4\sin(f) - 8)(\theta^{38} - \theta^{47}) + 4(\cos(f) + \sin(f))(\theta^{37} + \theta^{48})
$$
  
\n
$$
T(E_7) = -(8\cos(f) + 4)\theta^{17} + (8\sin(f) - 12)\theta^{18}
$$
  
\n
$$
- (8\sin(f) + 4)\theta^{27} + (12 - 8\cos(f))\theta^{28}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ (4\cos(f) + 4\sin(f) - 8)(\theta^{46} + \theta_{35}) + 4(\cos(f) - \sin(f))(\theta^{36} - \theta^{45})
$$
  
\n
$$
T(E_8) = -(8\sin(f) - 12)\theta^{17} - (8\cos(f) + 4)\theta^{18}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ (8\cos(f) - 12)\theta^{27} - (4 + 8
$$

From this one finds that

$$
\text{div } T = 32 \sin(f) (\theta^{12} + \theta^{34} - \theta^{56} - \theta^{78}). \tag{6.5}
$$

When  $f = 0$ , we see that  $\Omega$  indeed defines a harmonic QK structure, yet *T* is non-zero. The flow equation [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1) becomes the ODE

$$
\frac{d}{dt}(\cos(f(t))) = 128(1 - \cos^2(f(t))),
$$

<sup>2</sup> Springer

 $\cos(f(t)) = \tanh(128t),$ 

and hence  $\lim_{t \to \infty} \cos(f(t)) = 1$ . Indeed we also see that the total energy

$$
E(\Omega(t)) = 3584(1 - \frac{4}{7}\cos(f(t)))\text{vol}(SU(3))
$$

is decreasing. In future work we hope to study more systematically harmonic  $Sp(2)Sp(1)$ - and  $Spin(7)$ -structures on  $SU(3)$ , in a similar spirit to the study of harmonic homogeneous  $G_2$ -structures in [\[22](#page-47-19)]. In particular, it would be interesting to see if the above harmonic structure is a global minimum of the energy functional.

*Remark 6.3.1* It is a well-known phenomenon in the context of Ricci flow that the symmetry group (in this case the isometry group) is preserved in finite time, but can increase in the long-time limit. Our example shows that a similar feature holds for the harmonic flow of QK structures: whilst  $\Omega(t)$  is merely SU(3)  $\times$  U(1)<sup>2</sup>-invariant, the limit is actually  $SU(3) \times U(2)$ -invariant (see Proposition [6.3\)](#page-44-0).

### <span id="page-45-0"></span>**6.2 Steady Soliton**

We shall now construct a steady soliton solution to [\(4.2\)](#page-19-1) on  $\mathbb{R}^8$  endowed with its standard Euclidean metric. Recall from Corollary [4.8](#page-23-0) that only shrinking and expanding solitons have to be compatible with the Euclidean metric, we do not know if this also has to be the case for steady solitons as well.

Motivated by our examples above, we define a QK 4-form  $\Omega$  by expression [\(2.1\)](#page-2-4), substituting

$$
dx_1 \mapsto \cos(e^{x_1})dx_1 + \sin(e^{x_1})dx_2,
$$
  
\n
$$
dx_2 \mapsto -\sin(e^{x_1})dx_1 + \cos(e^{x_1})dx_2.
$$

This simply corresponds to rotating the 1-forms  $dx_1$  and  $dx_2$ , so  $\Omega$  still induces the Euclidean metric *g*0. Unlike in our previous examples however, observe that now the rotating function is non-constant and hence  $\Omega$  is not torsion-free, since for instance  $d\Omega \neq 0$ . A simple computation shows that the torsion *T* is given by:

$$
T(\partial_{x_1}) = -8e^{x_1}(dx_{12} + dx_{34} - dx_{56} - dx_{78}),
$$
  
\n
$$
T(\partial_{x_i}) = 0, \text{ for } i = 2, ..., 8.
$$

Since the Levi-Civita connection of  $g_0$  is just the flat connection, it is easy to see that

$$
\operatorname{div}(T) = -8e^{x_1}(dx_{12} + dx_{34} - dx_{56} - dx_{78}) = T(\partial_{x_1}).
$$

Since  $X = \partial_{x_1}$  is a gradient Killing vector field, it follows from [\(4.13\)](#page-23-1) that this corresponds to a steady gradient soliton. It would be interesting to see if similar methods can be used to find steady solitons on other manifolds that  $\mathbb{R}^8$ .

Surprisingly enough, a similar modification to the standard Spin(7) 4-form [\(2.23\)](#page-10-3) yields a steady  $Spin(7)$  soliton cf.  $[6]$ . More precisely, using the same rotation as above for  $dx_1$  and  $dx_2$  in the expression [\(2.23\)](#page-10-3), one can repeat an analogous computation as above to show that the resulting Spin(7)-structure is a soliton for the harmonic flow of Spin(7)-structures. It seems plausible that a similar procedure can be adapted to work for  $G_2$  and other cases as well, cf.  $[5, 23]$  $[5, 23]$  $[5, 23]$  $[5, 23]$ .

**Acknowledgements** The authors are grateful to Daniel Fadel, Eric Loubeau and Andrés Moreno, for a collaborative approach to this project, in tandem with the development of the general theory of geometric flows. HSE was funded by the São Paulo Research Foundation (Fapesp) [2021/04065-6] and the Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) [307217/2017-5]. HSE has also benefited from a CAPES-COFECUB bilateral collaboration (2018-2022), granted by the Brazilian Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES) - Finance Code 001 [88881.143017/2017-01], and COFECUB [MA 898/18], and from a CAPES-MathAmSud (2021-2023) grant [88881.520221/2020- 01]. UF was funded by the São Paulo Research Foundation (Fapesp) [2021/07249-0], in a postdoctoral grant subordinate to the thematic project *Gauge theory and algebraic geometry* [2018/21391-1], led by Marcos Jardim.

**Funding** Open access funding provided by Università degli Studi di Torino within the CRUI-CARE Agreement.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

## <span id="page-46-0"></span>**References**

- <span id="page-46-5"></span>1. Besse, A.L.: Einstein manifolds. Reprint of the 1987 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2008)
- <span id="page-46-8"></span>2. Chen, Y., Struwe, M.: Existence and partial regularity results for the heat flow for harmonic maps. Math. Z. **201**(1), 83–104 (1989)
- <span id="page-46-7"></span>3. Conti, D., Madsen, T.B.: Harmonic structures and intrinsic torsion. Transform. Groups **20**(3), 699–723 (2015)
- <span id="page-46-9"></span>4. Dadok, J., Harvey, R., Morgan, F.: Calibrations on R8. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **307**(1), 1–40 (1988)
- <span id="page-46-1"></span>5. Dwivedi, S[.,](http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.10068) [Giannotis,](http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.10068) [P.,](http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.10068) [Karigiannis,](http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.10068) [S.:](http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.10068) [A](http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.10068) [gradient](http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.10068) [flow](http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.10068) [of](http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.10068) [isometric](http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.10068) [G2-structures.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.10068) arXiv: 1904.10068 (2019)
- <span id="page-46-2"></span>6. Dwivedi, S., Loubeau, E., Sa Earp, H.N.: Harmonic flow of Spin(7)-structures. [arXiv: 2109.06340](http://arxiv.org/abs/2109.06340) (2021)
- <span id="page-46-3"></span>7. Fadel, D., Loubeau, E., Moreno, A., Sa Earp, H.N.: Flows of geometric structures. [arXiv:2211.05197](http://arxiv.org/abs/2211.05197) (2022)
- <span id="page-46-6"></span>8. Fowdar, U.: Einstein metrics on bundles over hyperKahler manifolds. Commun. Math. Phys. **403**(1), 1–35 (2023)
- <span id="page-46-4"></span>9. Fowdar, U., Salamon, S.: Symmetries, tensors, and the Horrocks bundle. Differ. Geom. Appl. **82**, 101892 (2022)
- <span id="page-47-17"></span>10. Grayson, M., Hamilton, R.S.: The formation of singularities in the harmonic map heat flow. Commun. Anal. Geom. **4**(4), 525–546 (1996)
- <span id="page-47-13"></span>11. Gibbons, G.W., Hong, L., Pope, C.N., Stelle, K.S.: Supersymmetric domain walls from metrics of special holonomy. Nucl. Phys. B **623**, 3–46 (2002)
- <span id="page-47-1"></span>12. Grigorian, S.: Estimates and monotonicity for a heat flow of isometric G2-structures. Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **58**, 175 (2019)
- <span id="page-47-16"></span>13. Hamilton, R.S.: Monotonicity formulas for parabolic flows on manifolds. Commun. Anal. Geom. **1**(1), 127–137 (1993)
- <span id="page-47-18"></span>14. Harvey, F.R., Lawson, H.B., Jr.: Calibrated geometries. Acta Math. **148**, 47–157 (1982)
- <span id="page-47-2"></span>15. He, W., Li, B.: The harmonic heat flow of almost complex structures. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **374**, 6179–6199 (2021)
- <span id="page-47-20"></span>16. Joyce, D.: Compact hypercomplex and quaternionic manifolds. J. Differ. Geom. **35**(3), 743–761 (1992)
- <span id="page-47-11"></span>17. Karigiannis, S.: Deformations of G2 and Spin(7) structures. Can. J. Math. **57**(5), 1012–1055 (2005)
- <span id="page-47-10"></span>18. Karigiannis, S.: Flows of G2-structures. Q. J. Math. **60**(4), 487–522 (2007)
- <span id="page-47-7"></span>19. Kobayashi, S., Nomizu, K.: Foundations of Differential Geometry, vol. II. Interscience Publishers, New York (1969)
- <span id="page-47-12"></span>20. Kuhnel, W., Rademacher, H.-B.: Conformal diffeomorphisms preserving the Ricci tensor. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **123**(9), 2841–2848 (1995)
- <span id="page-47-8"></span>21. LeBrun, C.: On complete quaternionic-Kahler manifolds. Duke Math. J. **63**(3), 723–743 (1991)
- <span id="page-47-19"></span>22. Loubeau, E., Moreno, A.J., Sa, H.N., Earp, J.S.: Harmonic Sp(2)-invariant G2-structures on the 7 sphere. J. Geom. Anal. **32**(9), 240 (2022)
- <span id="page-47-0"></span>23. Loubeau, E., Sa Earp, H.N.: Harmonic flow of geometric structures. [arXiv: 1907.06072](http://arxiv.org/abs/1907.06072) (2019)
- <span id="page-47-3"></span>24. Poon, Y., Salamon, S.: Quaternionic Kahler 8-manifolds with positive scalar curvature. J. Differ. Geom. **33**(2), 363–378 (1991)
- <span id="page-47-15"></span>25. Richard, S.: A compactness property for solutions of the Ricci flow. Am. J. Math. **117**(3), 545–572 (1995)
- <span id="page-47-4"></span>26. Salamon, S.: Quaternionic Kahler manifolds. Invent. Math. **67**(1), 143–171 (1982)
- <span id="page-47-5"></span>27. Salamon, S.: Riemannian Geometry and Holonomy Groups, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, vol. 201. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow (1989)
- <span id="page-47-9"></span>28. Salamon, S.: Almost parallel structures. Commun. Contemp. Math. **288**, 162–181 (2001)
- <span id="page-47-6"></span>29. Swann, A.: HyperKahler and quaternionic Kahler geometry. Math. Ann. **289**(3), 421–450 (1991)
- <span id="page-47-14"></span>30. Tashiro, Y.: Complete Riemannian manifolds and some vector fields. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **117**, 251–275 (1965)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.