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In our original publication, we presented analytical expressions for the short distance partonic cross sections for dihadron production in $e^{+} e^{-}$reaction in collinear factorization relevant for the large transverse momentum region of the exchange photon in the frame where the detected hadrons are back-to-back. After a new examination of the results, we found a mistake arising from the hadronic tensor decomposition. The full hadronic tensor is (e.g., [1])

$$
\begin{align*}
W^{\mu \nu}\left(q, p_{A}, p_{B}\right)= & \left(-g^{\mu \nu}+\frac{q^{\mu} q^{\nu}}{Q^{2}}-Z^{\mu} Z^{\nu}\right) W_{T}+Z^{\mu} Z^{\nu} W_{L}-\left(X^{\mu} Z^{\nu}+Z^{\mu} X^{\nu}\right) W_{\Delta} \\
& +\left(-g^{\mu \nu}+\frac{q^{\mu} q^{\nu}}{Q^{2}}-2 X^{\mu} X^{\nu}-Z^{\mu} Z^{\nu}\right) W_{\Delta \Delta} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

In deriving the projection tensors, the paper dropped the contributions from $W_{\Delta}$ and $W_{\Delta \Delta}$, which gives incorrect results. After implementing the necessary corrections, we have found that numerically the corrections are at most 3-4\% at the very large $q_{\mathrm{T}}$ and vanishes at small $q_{\mathrm{T}}$. Therefore, the phenomenological conclusions and the associated discussion in our manuscript are not significantly impacted by the mistake.

The relevant corrections are as follows:
(i) Equation (12): This expression needs to be replaced by the above expression Eq. (1)
(ii) In Eq. (14) the projector $P_{T}^{\mu \nu}$ is now given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{T}^{\mu \nu}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\mu \nu}+Z^{\mu} Z^{\nu}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) Equation (B2a) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \hat{\sigma}_{q \bar{q}}}{\mathrm{~d} \hat{z}_{A} \mathrm{~d} \hat{z}_{B} \mathrm{~d} q_{\mathrm{T}}}=\frac{\mathrm{d} \hat{\sigma}_{\bar{q} q}}{\mathrm{~d} \hat{z}_{A} \mathrm{~d} \hat{z}_{B} \mathrm{~d} q_{\mathrm{T}}}=F \frac{32\left(Q^{2}+q_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\hat{z}_{A}^{2}+\hat{z}_{B}^{2}\right)}{\left(Q^{2} \hat{z}_{A}-Q^{2}+\hat{z}_{A} q_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}\right)\left(Q^{2} \hat{z}_{B}-Q^{2}+\hat{z}_{B} q_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}\right)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) Equation (B2b) reads

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \hat{\sigma}_{q g}}{\mathrm{~d} \hat{z}_{A} \mathrm{~d} \hat{z}_{B} \mathrm{~d} q_{\mathrm{T}}}= & \frac{\mathrm{d} \hat{\sigma}_{\bar{q} g}}{\mathrm{~d} \hat{z}_{A} \mathrm{~d} \hat{z}_{B} \mathrm{~d} q_{\mathrm{T}}} \\
= & F\left(-64 Q^{4} \hat{z}_{A}^{2}-64 Q^{4} \hat{z}_{A} \hat{z}_{B}+128 Q^{4} \hat{z}_{A}-32 Q^{4} \hat{z}_{B}^{2}+128 Q^{4} \hat{z}_{B}-128 Q^{4}-32 q_{\mathrm{T}}^{4}\left(2 \hat{z}_{A}^{2}+2 \hat{z}_{A} \hat{z}_{B}+\hat{z}_{B}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& -32 q_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}\left(4 Q^{2} \hat{z}_{A}^{2}+4 Q^{2} \hat{z}_{A} \hat{z}_{B}-4 Q^{2} \hat{z}_{A}+2 Q^{2} \hat{z}_{B}^{2}-4 Q^{2} \hat{z}_{B}\right) /\left(Q^{2}\left(\hat{z}_{A}-1\right)+\hat{z}_{A} q_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(Q^{2}+q_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}\right)\left(\hat{z}_{A}+\hat{z}_{B}\right)-Q^{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0](v) Equation (B2c) reads
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \hat{\sigma}_{g \bar{q}}}{\mathrm{~d} \hat{z}_{A} \mathrm{~d} \hat{z}_{B} \mathrm{~d} q_{\mathrm{T}}}= & \frac{\mathrm{d} \hat{\sigma}_{g q}}{\mathrm{~d} \hat{z}_{A} \mathrm{~d} \hat{z}_{B} \mathrm{~d} q_{\mathrm{T}}} \\
= & F\left(-32 Q^{4} \hat{z}_{A}^{2}-64 Q^{4} \hat{z}_{A} \hat{z}_{B}+128 Q^{4} \hat{z}_{A}-64 Q^{4} \hat{z}_{B}^{2}+128 Q^{4} \hat{z}_{B}-128 Q^{4}\right. \\
& \left.-32 q_{\mathrm{T}}^{4}\left(\hat{z}_{A}^{2}+2 \hat{z}_{A} \hat{z}_{B}+2 \hat{z}_{B}^{2}\right)-32 q_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}\left(2 Q^{2} \hat{z}_{A}^{2}+4 Q^{2} \hat{z}_{A} \hat{z}_{B}-4 Q^{2} \hat{z}_{A}+4 Q^{2} \hat{z}_{B}^{2}-4 Q^{2} \hat{z}_{B}\right)\right) / \\
& \left(Q^{2}\left(\hat{z}_{B}-1\right)+\hat{z}_{B} q_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(Q^{2}+q_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}\right)\left(\hat{z}_{A}+\hat{z}_{B}\right)-Q^{2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\delta_{+}\left(k_{C}^{2}\right) \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}^{2} e_{q}^{2} \alpha_{\mathrm{S}} \hat{z}_{A} \hat{z}_{B} q_{\mathrm{T}}\left(Q^{2}+q_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}\right)^{2}}{6 Q^{6}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$
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