

Search for Nonresonant Pair Production of Highly Energetic Higgs Bosons Decaying to Bottom Quarks

A. Tumasyan *et al.*^{*}
(CMS Collaboration)

(Received 13 May 2022; accepted 27 June 2022; published 25 July 2023)

A search for nonresonant Higgs boson (H) pair production via gluon and vector boson (V) fusion is performed in the four-bottom-quark final state, using proton-proton collision data at 13 TeV corresponding to 138 fb^{-1} collected by the CMS experiment at the LHC. The analysis targets Lorentz-boosted H pairs identified using a graph neural network. It constrains the strengths relative to the standard model of the H self-coupling and the quartic $VVHH$ couplings, κ_{2V} , excluding $\kappa_{2V} = 0$ for the first time, with a significance of 6.3 standard deviations when other H couplings are fixed to their standard model values.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.041803

The discovery of a Higgs boson by the ATLAS and CMS Collaborations at the CERN LHC in 2012 [1–3] started a new era in experimental high energy physics. Since its original observation, significant efforts have been made to measure the properties of the Higgs boson, including its self-coupling. The production of a pair of Higgs bosons (HH) is a rare process that provides access to direct measurements of the Higgs boson trilinear self-coupling (λ) and the quartic couplings between two Higgs bosons and two vector bosons.

The HH production takes place primarily via gluon-gluon fusion (ggF) and vector boson fusion (VBF). In the standard model (SM), the ggF cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ for a Higgs boson mass of 125 GeV, calculated at next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) precision in perturbative quantum chromodynamics (QCD), is $31.1^{+2.1}_{-7.2} \text{ fb}$ [4,5] and is sensitive to the values of λ and the top quark Yukawa coupling y_t . Variations from their SM values are parametrized as $\kappa_\lambda = \lambda/\lambda^{\text{SM}}$ and $\kappa_t = y_t/y_t^{\text{SM}}$. The latter has been measured to be consistent with the SM [6,7]. The VBF cross section, calculated at next-to-NNLO ($N^3\text{LO}$) precision, is $1.726 \pm 0.036 \text{ fb}$ [8] and depends on the strength of the self-coupling and on the interaction of a pair of W or Z bosons (jointly denoted as V) with a single Higgs boson (VVH) or a pair of Higgs bosons ($VVHH$), whose values with respect to the SM predictions are parametrized as κ_V and κ_{2V} , respectively. ATLAS and CMS Collaborations have performed studies of HH production at $\sqrt{s} = 7, 8$, and 13 TeV in the separate $b\bar{b}\gamma\gamma$ [9–12],

$b\bar{b}\tau^-\tau^+$ [13,14], $b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ [15–20], and $b\bar{b}VV$ [21–24] channels, as well as in combinations of channels [25–27].

This Letter reports the results of a search for nonresonant HH production via both the ggF and VBF modes in the channel where each Higgs boson decays to a bottom quark-antiquark pair ($b\bar{b}$). It is based on data from proton-proton collisions at the LHC at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$, collected by the CMS experiment in 2016–2018, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 138 fb^{-1} . We select events with both Higgs bosons in the highly Lorentz-boosted regime, i.e., with sufficiently large transverse momentum (p_T) for the decay products of each Higgs boson to become merged into a single large-radius jet. Events are separated into mutually exclusive categories targeting either ggF or VBF HH production, with the latter requiring a characteristic VBF signature of two additional small-radius jets in opposite forward regions. The ggF categories are designed to select SM ggF HH signal events, whereas the VBF categories target non-SM coupling hypotheses that can dramatically increase the VBF HH cross section, especially for highly Lorentz-boosted Higgs boson pairs [28–31]. Such non-SM couplings can arise for example in the models where the Higgs boson is a pseudo-Goldstone boson from a new tera-electron-volt-scale strong interaction [30,32], and a case of particular interest is $\kappa_{2V} = 0$, corresponding to vanishing $VVHH$ couplings. The two dominant background processes, namely QCD multijet production, consisting uniquely of jets produced through the strong interaction, and top quark-antiquark pair ($t\bar{t}$) production, are estimated utilizing dedicated data samples enriched in these processes. One of the main challenges for this search is the efficient reconstruction of the decay of a pair of Higgs bosons, while rejecting jets originating from light-flavor quarks and gluons. For this purpose, we use a novel graph neural network (GNN) algorithm, ParticleNet [33], to select large-radius jets resulting from $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$

^{*}Full author list given at the end of the Letter.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](#). Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

decays, increasing signal purity in a mass-independent way. The search complements that in Ref. [20], which targets the same $b\bar{b}bb\bar{b}$ final state in the nonboosted regime and covers a region of signal phase space that is largely disjoint from that of the present analysis.

The CMS apparatus [34] is a multipurpose, nearly hermetic detector, designed to trigger on [35,36] and identify electrons, muons, photons, and charged and neutral hadrons [37–39]. A global reconstruction algorithm [40] combines the information provided by the all-silicon inner tracker and by the crystal electromagnetic (ECAL) and brass-scintillator hadron calorimeters, operating inside a 3.8 T superconducting solenoid, with data from gas-ionization muon detectors interleaved with the solenoid return yoke [41–43]. The primary vertex (PV) is taken to be the vertex corresponding to the hardest scattering in the event, evaluated using tracking information alone [44].

Simulated samples for the ggF process are generated at next-to-leading order (NLO) precision using POWHEG 2.0 [45–53], and corrected as a function of HH mass based on Ref. [54]. The VBF HH samples are generated at leading order (LO) precision using MADGRAPH 5_aMC@NLO 2.6.5 [55]. A range of samples corresponding to different combinations of the κ_V , κ_{2V} , and κ_λ coupling modifiers is generated. Samples for other coupling combinations are constructed as linear combinations of the original generated samples by applying appropriate event weights as described in Ref. [20]. The ggF samples are normalized to the NNLO cross sections [4,5,56–61] corresponding to the coupling values considered. The VBF sample with SM couplings is normalized to the N³LO cross section [8], and the same N³LO/LO correction is applied to the VBF samples with modified couplings.

Samples for the $t\bar{t}$ background process are generated at NLO precision using POWHEG v2.0 [45–47,62,63] with the FxFx jet matching and merging scheme [64], and normalized to the theoretical cross section calculated at NNLO precision using TOP++ v2.0 [65]. The differential $t\bar{t}$ cross section as a function of the top quark p_T is corrected to the NNLO QCD + NLO electroweak precision [66]. Samples for other background processes, such as the QCD multijet, single top quark, W and Z single-boson and diboson production processes, as well as single Higgs boson production in all relevant production modes, are also generated. Parton showering, hadronization, and the underlying event are modeled by PYTHIA 8.205 [67] with parameters set by the CUETP8M1 [68] and CP5 tunes [69] used for samples simulating the 2016 and 2017–2018 conditions, respectively. The NNPDF 3.0 [70] and 3.1 [71] parton distribution functions (PDFs) are used in the generation of all simulated samples. The GEANT4 [72] package is used to model the response of the CMS detector, and simulated minimum bias interactions are mixed with the hard interactions in simulated events to model additional proton-proton interactions within the same or nearby

bunch crossings (pileup). The simulated events are weighted to match the pileup distributions measured in data.

The reconstructed particles are clustered into jets using the anti- k_T algorithm [73] implemented in the FASTJET package [74] with a distance parameter of 0.4 (small-radius jets) or 0.8 (large-radius jets). To mitigate the effect of pileup on small-radius jets, the charged-hadron subtraction algorithm [40] is applied. For large-radius jets, the pileup-per-particle identification algorithm [75,76] is used to assign a weight to each particle prior to jet clustering based on the likelihood of the particle originating from the PV. Further corrections are applied to the jet energy as a function of the jet pseudorapidity (η) and p_T to account for detector response nonlinearities [42]. The missing transverse momentum vector \vec{p}_T^{miss} is computed as the negative vector sum of the transverse momenta of all the reconstructed particles in an event, and its magnitude is denoted as p_T^{miss} [43]. The \vec{p}_T^{miss} is modified to account for corrections to the energy scale of the reconstructed jets in the event.

Electrons are reconstructed and their momentum is estimated by combining the momentum measurement from the tracker, the energy of the corresponding ECAL cluster, and the energy sum of all bremsstrahlung photons spatially compatible with originating from the electron track [37]. Muons are reconstructed as tracks in the central tracker, consistent with either a track or several hits in the muon chambers, and their momentum is obtained from the curvature of the corresponding track [38]. Electron candidates are reconstructed within the tracker acceptance of $|\eta| < 2.5$, whereas muon candidates are required to be within the muon chamber acceptance of $|\eta| < 2.4$. The electron and muon candidates are required to have $p_T > 10$ GeV. Only tracks consistent with the PV are associated with the electrons or muons, and additional identification criteria [38,77] are applied to improve the purity of the lepton selection. Isolation criteria [78] are used to distinguish leptons originating at the PV from those originating from bottom or charm hadron decays.

Events are required to contain at least two large-radius jets, and the two with the highest p_T are considered as Higgs boson candidates. A combination of several trigger algorithms is used, all requiring the total hadronic transverse energy in the event (H_T) or jet p_T to be above a given threshold. After removing the remnants of soft radiation [79], a minimum triggering threshold on the jet mass is imposed in order to reduce the H_T or p_T thresholds relative to a trigger algorithm with no jet mass requirement but of an equivalent rate. The trigger efficiency varies between 10% and 95% for jets with p_T in the range from 300 to 450 GeV and is fully efficient for jets with $p_T > 500$ GeV.

The GNN algorithms [33,80–82] have been shown to achieve state-of-the-art performance in jet classification [83], and this analysis is among the first to use the

ParticleNet GNN algorithm [33] to discriminate between $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ and QCD-induced jets. Its inputs comprise 20 measured properties of jet constituent particles, such as the p_T , the angular separation between the particle and the jet axis, and the transverse and longitudinal impact parameters relative to the PV. The inputs also include eleven properties of the secondary vertices [84] within the jet cone, such as the displacement, p_T , mass, and the number of associated tracks. Given the relatively large masses, long lifetimes, and high- p_T and displaced decay products of b hadrons, this low-level tracking and vertexing information is important for identifying jets containing b hadron decays [85]. The algorithm treats each jet as an unordered set of its constituents, considers their correlations at different scales to extract jet features, and returns an output corresponding to the probability that the jet belongs to either the $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ or the QCD multijet class. As shown in Ref. [86], algorithms like this one that exploit the correlations between many low-level input features outperform those that are based on fewer high-level discriminating variables for $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ identification. To achieve independence from the jet mass, the classifier is trained using dedicated simulated samples containing spin-0 particles with a uniform mass spectrum between 15 and 250 GeV and decaying to quark-antiquark pairs, as well as a QCD multijet sample [87]. In addition, jets in each sample are reweighted to obtain uniform distributions in both p_T and mass for the training. The ParticleNet algorithm yields significant improvements both in terms of performance and jet mass decorrelation compared to previous jet classifier algorithms [86,87]. The discriminant ($D_{b\bar{b}}$) is calibrated using data and simulated samples dominated by QCD multijet events [88]. A boosted decision tree (BDT) classifier is used to select a sample of jets enriched in gluon splittings to $b\bar{b}$ that yields a $D_{b\bar{b}}$ distribution similar to the HH signal. A fit to data is performed to extract p_T -dependent simulation-to-data correction factors, typically ranging from 0.9 to 1.2. They are applied to correct large-radius jet selection efficiencies in the signal events. Three working points (WPs) based on $D_{b\bar{b}}$ are used: these tight, medium, and loose WPs correspond to $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ selection efficiencies of approximately 60%, 80%, and 90%, and QCD background misidentification rates of approximately 0.3%, 1%, and 2%, respectively.

The search for Higgs boson pairs relies on the ability to reconstruct accurately the masses of the two Higgs boson candidates. The soft-drop (SD) algorithm [89] with angular exponent $\beta = 0$ and soft radiation fraction $z = 0.1$, also known as the modified mass-drop algorithm [90], is usually applied to the Higgs boson jet candidate to remove soft and wide-angle radiation. The SD algorithm occasionally over-subtracts genuine jet constituents that account for a large fraction of the jet momentum, resulting in a jet mass (m_{SD}) that is negligible even for large-mass resonances. To improve the jet mass estimation, we introduce a regression

algorithm based on the ParticleNet GNN architecture to predict the jet mass [91]. To train the algorithm, the target mass value that the algorithm aims to predict is chosen as the true resonance mass for the heavy spin-0 particles, or the SD mass of particle-level jets for QCD multijet events. Particle-level jets are defined by clustering stable simulated final-state particles, excluding neutrinos, using the same procedure as for reconstructed jets, and they are geometrically matched to the reconstructed jets. The training is performed with the same samples and a similar event weighting scheme as for jet classification. Data control samples, dominated by $t\bar{t}$ events, are used to calibrate the jet mass from the regression (m_{reg}). The small corrections to mass scale (< 1%) and resolution ($\approx 3\%$) are then applied to all simulated samples in the rest of the analysis, accompanied by systematic uncertainties that account for the differences in regression performance between the jets originating from W and Higgs boson decays.

Events containing at least two large-radius jets with $p_T > 300$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$, and no isolated electrons or muons, are selected and grouped into either the ggF or the VBF categories.

For the VBF categories, we require the two large-radius jets to have m_{reg} between 50 and 200 GeV. The search region (SR) is defined as the subset of events with m_{reg} of the p_T -leading (p_T -subleading) jet in the range 110–150 (90–145) GeV, while the remaining events are used as part of the background estimation method described below. As the VBF process is characterized by the presence of two forward jets with a large dijet invariant mass and a gap in η , we also require two additional small-radius jets, referred to as VBF jet candidates, with $p_T > 25$ GeV and $|\eta| < 4.7$. They are required to be separated from both Higgs boson candidate jets by a minimal distance of 1.2 in the η - ϕ plane, where ϕ denotes the azimuthal angle. If more than two such jets are found, the two with the highest p_T are selected. The absolute difference in pseudorapidity ($\Delta\eta_{jj}^{\text{VBF}}$) between the two VBF jets must be larger than 4.0, and the invariant mass of the two VBF jets (m_{jj}^{VBF}) must be larger than 500 GeV. To increase the sensitivity for the highly boosted Higgs boson pairs from VBF production with non-SM couplings, the p_T -leading (p_T -subleading) large-radius jet is required to have $p_T > 500$ (400) GeV. Additionally, the azimuthal angle between the two large-radius jets ($\Delta\phi_{jj_1j_2}$) is required to be larger than 2.6, and the absolute difference in pseudorapidity $\Delta\eta_{jj_1j_2}$ must be less than 2.0. Three VBF event categories are defined based on the $D_{b\bar{b}}$ scores of the two Higgs boson candidate jets. Events in the high-purity (HP) category must have both jets passing the tight $D_{b\bar{b}}$ WP; events not in the HP category are categorized as medium purity (MP) if both jets pass the medium $D_{b\bar{b}}$ WP; and events not in the HP or MP categories are categorized as low purity (LP) if both jets pass the loose $D_{b\bar{b}}$ WP. The definitions of the three categories based on the

corresponding $D_{b\bar{b}}$ WPs were optimized to provide the best expected significance for the VBF HH signal hypotheses of interest such as $\kappa_{2V} = 0$ (with other couplings at their SM values), while enabling a robust background estimation and reliable $D_{b\bar{b}}$ calibration in all categories.

Events not assigned to any of the VBF categories are considered for the ggF categories. The two selected large-radius jets are ordered by the value of $D_{b\bar{b}}$. The $D_{b\bar{b}}$ -leading jet is required to have $m_{SD} > 50$ GeV, while the $D_{b\bar{b}}$ -subleading jet is required to have $m_{reg} > 50$ GeV. A BDT is trained to discriminate between the HH signal and QCD multijet or $t\bar{t}$ background processes. Input variables to the BDT include: the p_T and substructure variable τ_{32} , used to identify three-prong jets like those arising from fully hadronic top quark decays [86,92], of each of the two selected large-radius jets; the m_{SD} , η , and $D_{b\bar{b}}$ of the $D_{b\bar{b}}$ -leading jet; the p_T^{miss} ; the p_T , η , and mass of the dijet system (m_{HH}); and the ratios p_{Tj_1}/m_{HH} , p_{Tj_2}/m_{HH} , and p_{Tj_2}/p_{Tj_1} . The m_{SD} is used as an input to the BDT instead of m_{reg} in order to avoid correlations between the mass regression and the BDT. The simulation modeling of the BDT input variables is validated in signal and background control regions and shown to be accurate. Based on the BDT output score, tight, medium, and loose WPs are defined, corresponding to HH signal selection efficiencies of approximately 23%, 27%, and 33%, and QCD and $t\bar{t}$ background misidentification rates of approximately 1%, 2%, and 12%, respectively. Three SR event categories targeting ggF production are constructed. Events in the highest signal purity category 1 are required to pass the tight BDT WP and the $D_{b\bar{b}}$ -subleading large-radius jet is required pass the tight $D_{b\bar{b}}$ WP. Events not in category 1 are grouped into category 2 if they pass the tight BDT WP and the $D_{b\bar{b}}$ -subleading jet passes the medium $D_{b\bar{b}}$ WP, or if they fail the tight but pass the medium BDT WP and the $D_{b\bar{b}}$ -subleading jet passes the tight $D_{b\bar{b}}$ WP. Finally, events not in categories 1 or 2 are grouped into category 3 if they pass the loose BDT WP and the $D_{b\bar{b}}$ -subleading jet passes the medium $D_{b\bar{b}}$ WP. The categorization thresholds are chosen to maximize the expected sensitivity to the SM ggF HH signal.

After all selection requirements, the remaining SM background mainly consists of two processes: $t\bar{t}$ and QCD multijet production. These background contributions are estimated by fitting the corresponding distributions simultaneously via a maximum likelihood fit to data as detailed in the following, similarly to previous CMS searches for boosted Higgs boson signals [93–95]. Other backgrounds include single Higgs boson production in the $t\bar{t}H$ and VH modes, $V + \text{jets}$ production, and diboson production. They are estimated from simulation in the ggF event categories, and found to be negligible in the VBF event categories.

A control region (CR) enriched in QCD multijet events is selected by changing the requirement on the $D_{b\bar{b}}$

discriminant. For the VBF categories, this CR is defined by both Higgs boson candidate jets failing the loose $D_{b\bar{b}}$ WP. Since the $D_{b\bar{b}}$ discriminant is designed and measured to be independent of the jet mass, the sidebands of the mass distribution of the p_T -subleading large-radius jet, namely $m_{reg} \in [50, 100] \cup [145, 200]$ GeV, are used to obtain transfer factors that account for the ratio of selection efficiencies in the QCD-enriched low- $D_{b\bar{b}}$ CR and the high- $D_{b\bar{b}}$ VBF SRs. A separate transfer factor is derived using the p_T -subleading large-radius jet mass sidebands for each m_{HH} interval in each search category (HP, MP, and LP). For the ggF categories, the QCD-enriched CR is defined by the $D_{b\bar{b}}$ -subleading large-radius jet failing the medium $D_{b\bar{b}}$ WP and passing the loose BDT WP. The QCD multijet background in the ggF SRs is estimated using the m_{reg} distribution of the $D_{b\bar{b}}$ -subleading jet, assuming a constant transfer factor [95]. We have checked whether a higher-order polynomial dependence on the mass shape is necessary using a Fisher F test [96], and Kolmogorov-Smirnov [97] and saturated model [98,99] goodness-of-fit tests, but a constant factor is found to be sufficient.

For the VBF categories, an auxiliary sample enriched in $t\bar{t}$ events containing one leptonically decaying W boson is used to extract two corrections for the $t\bar{t}$ background estimate: one for the difference in $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ misidentification efficiency in $t\bar{t}$ events between data and simulation, measured separately for each $D_{b\bar{b}}$ WP, and the other for the overall normalization of the $t\bar{t}$ process, measured inclusively. We define this sample with a set of selections closely following the definition of the $t\bar{t}$ -enriched region in Ref. [86]. For the ggF categories, the simulation predictions of the BDT and m_{reg} distributions for the top quark background are validated and corrected using a similar $t\bar{t}$ sample containing one leptonic W boson decay, with $\tau_{32} < 0.46$ required for two large-radius jets.

The dominant uncertainty in the analysis, corresponding to 62% relative to the extracted signal yield is the statistical uncertainty due to limited size of the data sample. Other sources of uncertainty include: the QCD multijet background estimate (30%); the simulation modeling of the $D_{b\bar{b}}$ shape and selection efficiency (15%); the jet energy and mass scale and resolution (13%); the theoretical uncertainties from the PDFs, missing higher-order QCD corrections, and initial- and final-state radiation (11%); the modeling of the top quark background (7%); the trigger efficiency measurement (4%); the integrated luminosity measurement [100–102] (2%); and the pileup modeling (2%). The impact of each uncertainty is evaluated by computing the uncertainty excluding that source and subtracting it in quadrature from the total uncertainty.

A binned maximum likelihood fit to the observed m_{HH} , $D_{b\bar{b}}$, m_{reg} , and BDT distributions is performed using the sum of the signal and background contributions. Because part of the VBF signal can enter the ggF categories, e.g., caused by failing to reconstruct the VBF jets or the Higgs




FIG. 1. The data and fitted signal and background distributions for the $D_{b\bar{b}}$ -subleading jet regressed mass are shown for the ggF BDT event category 1, the category accounting for most of the sensitivity to the ggF HH signal. The SM HH ($\kappa_{2V} = \kappa_V = \kappa_\lambda = 1$) signal is shown scaled to the best fit signal strength $\mu = 3.5$. The lower panel shows the ratio of the data and the total prediction, with its uncertainty represented by the shaded band. The error bars on the data points represent the statistical uncertainties.

boson candidate jet p_T being too low, the fit is performed simultaneously in all ggF and VBF categories, including the CRs. The results of the fit in the ggF BDT event category 1, which dominates the overall sensitivity, are shown in Fig. 1. The results of the fit in the VBF LP, MP, and HP categories are shown in Fig. 2.

The test statistic chosen to determine the signal yield is based on the profile likelihood ratio [103]. Systematic uncertainties are incorporated into the analysis via nuisance parameters and treated according to the frequentist paradigm. To ensure that the background estimation methods and the resulting statistical model are able to disentangle possible signal from background, we perform signal injection tests. We generate various pseudoexperiment data sets corresponding to different signal hypotheses, fit these data sets, and verify that the extracted signal parameters correspond to the injected ones within the fitted uncertainties. Then we proceed to fit the observed data, for which the signal strength modifier, defined as the ratio of the measured to the expected SM HH production, extracted from a combined fit of all categories is found to be $\mu = 3.5^{+3.3}_{-2.5}$. The best fit value of each parameter of interest and approximate 1, 2, 3, and 5 standard deviation (σ) confidence level (CL) intervals are extracted following the procedure described in Sec. 3.2 of Ref. [104]. Figure 3 shows the profile likelihood test statistic scan in data over the κ_λ - κ_{2V} plane.




FIG. 2. The distributions of the invariant mass of the HH system after a background-only fit to the data, for the VBF low-purity (LP), medium-purity (MP), and high-purity (HP) categories. The VBF signal for $\kappa_{2V} = 0$, $\kappa_V = \kappa_\lambda = 1$, is shown in red with the vertical error bar indicating the prefit uncertainty. The lower panel shows the ratio of the data and the total background prediction, with its uncertainty represented by the shaded band. The error bars on the data points represent the statistical uncertainties.




FIG. 3. Two-parameter profile likelihood test statistic ($-2\Delta \ln \mathcal{L}$) scan in data as a function of κ_λ and κ_{2V} . The black cross indicates the minimum, while the red diamond marks the SM expectation ($\kappa_\lambda = \kappa_{2V} = 1$). The gray solid, dashed, dotted, and dash-dotted contours enclose the 1, 2, 3, and 5σ CL regions, respectively.

Upper limits on the HH production cross section at 95% CL based on the CL_s criterion [105,106] are obtained using asymptotic formulas [107]. The upper limit on the total HH production cross section is observed (expected) to be 9.9 (5.1) relative to the SM prediction. The observed (expected) 95% CL interval for κ_λ is found to be $[-9.9, 16.9]$ ($[-5.1, 12.2]$), assuming all other Higgs boson couplings to be at their SM values. Similarly, the observed (expected) 95% CL interval for κ_{2V} is found to be $[0.62, 1.41]$ ($[0.66, 1.37]$). The observed (expected) interval for κ_V is found to be $[-1.18, -0.78] \cup [0.79, 1.19]$ ($[-1.15, -0.80] \cup [0.82, 1.21]$). The lack of a large observable VBF HH signal establishes a nonzero value of κ_{2V} for the first time with a significance of 6.3σ , when other Higgs boson couplings are fixed to their SM values. Tabulated results are provided in the HEPData record for this analysis [108].

In summary, a search for nonresonant Higgs boson pair (HH) production via gluon-gluon fusion and vector boson (V) fusion in the final state with two bottom quark-antiquark ($b\bar{b}$) pairs has been presented. The search is focused on the phase space region where both Higgs bosons are highly Lorentz boosted so that each Higgs boson is reconstructed as a large-radius jet. A novel algorithm based on a graph neural network is applied to identify the jets that correspond to $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ decays. The data are found to agree with the background-only hypothesis, and an observed (expected) upper limit at 95% confidence level is set to 9.9 (5.1) relative to the standard model cross section. This represents a factor of 30 improvement over the previous best search for a pair of boosted $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ jets, which used only data collected in 2016 (36 fb^{-1}) and less advanced methods for $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ identification and event selection [94]. Upper limits on the production cross section are set as a function of the coupling modifier parameters κ_λ and κ_{2V} , which parametrize the strengths of the Higgs boson self-coupling, and the quartic $VVHH$ couplings, respectively, relative to their standard model values. The values of κ_λ and κ_{2V} are observed (expected) to be in the ranges $[-9.9, 16.9]$ ($[-5.1, 12.2]$) and $[0.62, 1.41]$ ($[0.66, 1.37]$), respectively, excluding $\kappa_{2V} = 0$ for the first time, with a significance of 6.3 standard deviations, when all the Higgs boson couplings, except for the one being scanned, are set to their standard model values.

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid and other centers for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC, the CMS detector, and the supporting computing infrastructure

provided by the following funding agencies: BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES and BNSF (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); MINCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RIF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC PUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRI (Greece); NKFIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MES and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); MESTD (Serbia); MCIN/AEI and PCTI (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); MHESI and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TENMAK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (U.S.).

- [1] ATLAS Collaboration, Observation of a new particle in the search for the standard model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC, *Phys. Lett. B* **716**, 1 (2012).
- [2] CMS Collaboration, Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC, *Phys. Lett. B* **716**, 30 (2012).
- [3] CMS Collaboration, Observation of a new boson with mass near 125 GeV in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2013) 081.
- [4] M. Grazzini, G. Heinrich, S. Jones, S. Kallweit, M. Kerner, J. M. Lindert, and J. Mazzitelli, Higgs boson pair production at NNLO with top quark mass effects, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2018) 059.
- [5] J. Baglio, F. Campanario, S. Glaus, M. Mühlleitner, J. Ronca, and M. Spira, $gg \rightarrow HH$: Combined uncertainties, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 056002 (2021).
- [6] CMS Collaboration, Combined measurements of Higgs boson couplings in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 421 (2019).
- [7] ATLAS Collaboration, Combined measurements of Higgs boson production and decay using up to 80 fb^{-1} of proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV collected with the ATLAS experiment, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 012002 (2020).
- [8] F. A. Dreyer and A. Karlberg, Vector-boson fusion Higgs pair production at $N^3\text{LO}$, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 114016 (2018).
- [9] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for Higgs Boson Pair Production in the $\gamma\gamma b\bar{b}$ Final State Using pp Collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV from the ATLAS Detector, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 081802 (2015).
- [10] CMS Collaboration, Search for Higgs boson pair production in the $\gamma\gamma b\bar{b}$ final state in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *Phys. Lett. B* **788**, 7 (2019).
- [11] CMS Collaboration, Search for nonresonant Higgs boson pair production in final states with two bottom quarks and

- two photons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2021) 257.
- [12] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for Higgs boson pair production in the two bottom quarks plus two photons final state in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 052001 (2022).
- [13] CMS Collaboration, Search for Higgs boson pair production in the $b\bar{b}\tau\tau$ final state in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 072004 (2017).
- [14] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for Resonant and Non-Resonant Higgs Boson Pair Production in the $b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$ Decay Channel in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS Detector, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 191801 (2018); **122**, 089901(E) (2019).
- [15] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for Higgs boson pair production in the $b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ final state from pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 412 (2015).
- [16] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for pair production of Higgs bosons in the $b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ final state using proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 052002 (2016).
- [17] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for pair production of Higgs bosons in the $b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ final state using proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2019) 030.
- [18] CMS Collaboration, Search for nonresonant Higgs boson pair production in the $b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ final state at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2019) 112.
- [19] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for the $HH \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ process via vector-boson fusion production using proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2020) 108.
- [20] CMS Collaboration, Search for Higgs Boson Pair Production in the Four b Quark Final State in Proton-Proton Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 081802 (2022).
- [21] CMS Collaboration, Search for resonant and nonresonant Higgs boson pair production in the $b\bar{b}\ell\nu\ell\nu$ final state in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2018) 054.
- [22] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for Higgs boson pair production in the $b\bar{b}WW^*$ decay mode at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2019) 092.
- [23] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for non-resonant Higgs boson pair production in the $b\bar{b}\ell\nu\ell\nu$ final state with the ATLAS detector in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *Phys. Lett. B* **801**, 135145 (2020).
- [24] CMS Collaboration, Search for resonant pair production of Higgs bosons in the $bbZZ$ channel in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 032003 (2020).
- [25] ATLAS Collaboration, Searches for Higgs boson pair production in the $HH \rightarrow b\bar{b}\tau\tau, \gamma\gamma WW^*, \gamma\gamma bb, bbbb$ channels with the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 092004 (2015).
- [26] CMS Collaboration, Combination of Searches for Higgs Boson Pair Production in Proton-Proton Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 121803 (2019).
- [27] ATLAS Collaboration, Combination of searches for Higgs boson pairs in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Lett. B* **800**, 135103 (2020).
- [28] M. J. Dolan, C. Englert, N. Greiner, and M. Spannowsky, Production of $HHjj$ at the LHC, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 101802 (2014).
- [29] M. J. Dolan, C. Englert, N. Greiner, K. Nordstrom, and M. Spannowsky, $HHjj$ production at the LHC, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 387 (2015).
- [30] F. Bishara, R. Contino, and J. Rojo, Higgs pair production in vector-boson fusion at the LHC and beyond, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 481 (2017).
- [31] E. Arganda, C. Garcia-Garcia, and M. J. Herrero, Probing the Higgs self-coupling through double Higgs production in vector boson scattering at the LHC, *Nucl. Phys.* **B945**, 114687 (2019).
- [32] G. F. Giudice, C. Grojean, A. Pomarol, and R. Rattazzi, The strongly-interacting light Higgs, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2007) 045.
- [33] H. Qu and L. Gouskos, Jet tagging via particle clouds, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 056019 (2020).
- [34] CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, *J. Instrum.* **3**, S08004 (2008).
- [35] CMS Collaboration, The CMS trigger system, *J. Instrum.* **12**, P01020 (2017).
- [36] CMS Collaboration, Performance of the CMS Level-1 trigger in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* **15**, P10017 (2020).
- [37] CMS Collaboration, Electron and photon reconstruction and identification with the CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, *J. Instrum.* **16**, P05014 (2021).
- [38] CMS Collaboration, Performance of the CMS muon detector and muon reconstruction with proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* **13**, P06015 (2018).
- [39] CMS Collaboration, Description and performance of track and primary-vertex reconstruction with the CMS tracker, *J. Instrum.* **9**, P10009 (2014).
- [40] CMS Collaboration, Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector, *J. Instrum.* **12**, P10003 (2017).
- [41] CMS Collaboration, Performance of reconstruction and identification of τ leptons decaying to hadrons and ν_τ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* **13**, P10005 (2018).
- [42] CMS Collaboration, Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at 8 TeV, *J. Instrum.* **12**, P02014 (2017).
- [43] CMS Collaboration, Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the CMS detector, *J. Instrum.* **14**, P07004 (2019).
- [44] CMS Collaboration (CMS), Technical proposal for the Phase-II upgrade of the Compact Muon Solenoid, CMS Technical Proposal Reports No. CERN-LHCC-2015-010, No. CMS-TDR-15-02, 2015.
- [45] P. Nason, A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2004) 040.
- [46] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and C. Oleari, Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: The POWHEG method, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2007) 070.

- [47] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: The POWHEG BOX, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2010) 043.
- [48] E. Bagnaschi, G. Degrassi, P. Slavich, and A. Vicini, Higgs production via gluon fusion in the POWHEG approach in the SM and in the MSSM, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2012) 088.
- [49] G. Heinrich, S. P. Jones, M. Kerner, G. Luisoni, and E. Vryonidou, NLO predictions for Higgs boson pair production with full top quark mass dependence matched to parton showers, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2017) 088.
- [50] S. Jones and S. Kuttimalai, Parton shower and NLO-matching uncertainties in Higgs boson pair production, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2018) 176.
- [51] G. Buchalla, M. Capozzi, A. Celis, G. Heinrich, and L. Scyboz, Higgs boson pair production in non-linear effective field theory with full m_t -dependence at NLO QCD, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2018) 057.
- [52] G. Heinrich, S. P. Jones, M. Kerner, G. Luisoni, and L. Scyboz, Probing the trilinear Higgs boson coupling in di-Higgs production at NLO QCD including parton shower effects, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2019) 066.
- [53] G. Heinrich, S. P. Jones, M. Kerner, and L. Scyboz, A non-linear EFT description of $gg \rightarrow HH$ at NLO interfaced to POWHEG, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2020) 021.
- [54] J. Davies, G. Heinrich, S. P. Jones, M. Kerner, G. Mishima, M. Steinhauser, and D. Wellmann, Double Higgs boson production at NLO: Combining the exact numerical result and high-energy expansion, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2019) 024.
- [55] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H.-S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torrielli, and M. Zaro, The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2014) 079.
- [56] S. Dawson, S. Dittmaier, and M. Spira, Neutral Higgs boson pair production at hadron colliders: QCD corrections, *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 115012 (1998).
- [57] D. Y. Shao, C. S. Li, H. T. Li, and J. Wang, Threshold resummation effects in Higgs boson pair production at the LHC, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2013) 169.
- [58] D. de Florian and J. Mazzitelli, Higgs Boson Pair Production at Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order in QCD, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 201801 (2013).
- [59] D. de Florian and J. Mazzitelli, Higgs pair production at next-to-next-to-leading logarithmic accuracy at the LHC, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2015) 053.
- [60] S. Borowka, N. Greiner, G. Heinrich, S. P. Jones, M. Kerner, J. Schlenk, U. Schubert, and T. Zirke, Higgs Boson Pair Production in Gluon Fusion at Next-to-Leading Order with Full Top-Quark Mass Dependence, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 012001 (2016); **17**, 079901(E) (2016).
- [61] J. Baglio, F. Campanario, S. Glaus, M. Mühlleitner, M. Spira, and J. Streicher, Gluon fusion into Higgs pairs at NLO QCD and the top mass scheme, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 459 (2019).
- [62] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and G. Ridolfi, A positive-weight next-to-leading-order Monte Carlo for heavy flavour hadroproduction, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2007) 126.
- [63] T. Ježo, J. M. Lindert, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and S. Pozzorini, An NLO + PS generator for $t\bar{t}$ and Wt production and decay including non-resonant and interference effects, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 691 (2016).
- [64] R. Frederix and S. Frixione, Merging meets matching in MC@NLO, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2012) 061.
- [65] M. Czakon and A. Mitov, Top++: A program for the calculation of the top-pair cross-section at hadron colliders, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **185**, 2930 (2014).
- [66] M. Czakon, D. Heymes, A. Mitov, D. Pagani, I. Tsrikos, and M. Zaro, Top-pair production at the LHC through NNLO QCD and NLO EW, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2017) 186.
- [67] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J. R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C. O. Rasmussen, and P. Z. Skands, An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191**, 159 (2015).
- [68] CMS Collaboration, Event generator tunes obtained from underlying event and multiparton scattering measurements, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 155 (2016).
- [69] CMS Collaboration, Extraction and validation of a new set of CMS PYTHIA 8 tunes from underlying-event measurements, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 4 (2020).
- [70] R. D. Ball *et al.* (NNPDF Collaboration), Parton distributions for the LHC Run II, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2015) 040.
- [71] R. D. Ball *et al.* (NNPDF Collaboration), Parton distributions from high-precision collider data, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 663 (2017).
- [72] S. Agostinelli *et al.* (GEANT4 Collaboration), GEANT4—a simulation toolkit, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **506**, 250 (2003).
- [73] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, The anti- k_T jet clustering algorithm, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2008) 063.
- [74] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, FastJet user manual, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72**, 1896 (2012).
- [75] D. Bertolini, P. Harris, M. Low, and N. Tran, Pileup per particle identification, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2014) 059.
- [76] CMS Collaboration, Pileup mitigation at CMS in 13 TeV data, *J. Instrum.* **15**, P09018 (2020).
- [77] CMS Collaboration, Performance of electron reconstruction and selection with the CMS detector in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* **10**, P06005 (2015).
- [78] CMS Collaboration, Search for supersymmetry in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV in the single-lepton final state using the sum of masses of large-radius jets, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2016) 122.
- [79] D. Krohn, J. Thaler, and L. Wang, Jet trimming, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2010) 084.
- [80] I. Henrion, K. Cranmer, J. Bruna, K. Cho, J. Brehmer, G. Louppe, and G. Rochette, Neural message passing for jet physics, in *Proceedings of Deep Learning for Physical Sciences Workshop at 31st Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems* (Long Beach, CA, 2017).
- [81] E. A. Moreno, O. Cerri, J. M. Duarte, H. B. Newman, T. Q. Nguyen, A. Periwal, M. Pierini, A. Serikova,

- M. Spiropulu, and J.-R. Vlimant, JEDI-net: A jet identification algorithm based on interaction networks, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 58 (2020).
- [82] E. A. Moreno, T. Q. Nguyen, J.-R. Vlimant, O. Cerri, H. B. Newman, A. Periwal, M. Spiropulu, J. M. Duarte, and M. Pierini, Interaction networks for the identification of boosted $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 012010 (2020).
- [83] A. Butter *et al.*, The machine learning landscape of top taggers, *SciPost Phys.* **7**, 014 (2019).
- [84] CMS Collaboration, Identification of heavy-flavour jets with the CMS detector in pp collisions at 13 TeV, *J. Instrum.* **13**, P05011 (2018).
- [85] CMS Collaboration, Identification of b -quark jets with the CMS experiment, *J. Instrum.* **8**, P04013 (2013).
- [86] CMS Collaboration, Identification of heavy, energetic, hadronically decaying particles using machine-learning techniques, *J. Instrum.* **15**, P06005 (2020).
- [87] CMS Collaboration, Identification of highly Lorentz-boosted heavy particles using graph neural networks and new mass decorrelation techniques, CMS Detector Performance Note Report No. CMS-DP-2020-002, 2020.
- [88] CMS Collaboration, Calibration of the mass-decorrelated ParticleNet tagger for boosted $b\bar{b}$ and $c\bar{c}$ jets using LHC Run 2 data, CMS Detector Performance Note Report No. CMS-DP-2022-005, 2022.
- [89] A. J. Larkoski, S. Marzani, G. Soyez, and J. Thaler, Soft drop, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2014) 146.
- [90] M. Dasgupta, A. Fregoso, S. Marzani, and G. P. Salam, Towards an understanding of jet substructure, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2013) 029.
- [91] CMS Collaboration, Mass regression of highly-boosted jets using graph neural networks, CMS Detector Performance Note Report No. CMS-DP-2021-017, 2021, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2777006>.
- [92] J. Thaler and K. Van Tilburg, Identifying boosted objects with N -subjettiness, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2011) 015.
- [93] CMS Collaboration, Search for a massive resonance decaying to a pair of Higgs bosons in the four b quark final state in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *Phys. Lett. B* **781**, 244 (2018).
- [94] CMS Collaboration, Search for production of Higgs boson pairs in the four b quark final state using large-area jets in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2019) 040.
- [95] CMS Collaboration, Inclusive search for highly boosted Higgs bosons decaying to bottom quark-antiquark pairs in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2020) 85.
- [96] R. A. Fisher, On the interpretation of χ^2 from contingency tables, and the calculation of P , *J. R. Stat. Soc.* **85**, 87 (1922).
- [97] F. J. Massey Jr., The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for goodness of fit, *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **46**, 68 (1951).
- [98] S. Baker and R. D. Cousins, Clarification of the use of chi square and likelihood functions in fits to histograms, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods* **221**, 437 (1984).
- [99] J. K. Lindsey, *Parametric Statistical Inference*, Oxford Science Publications (Clarendon Press, Oxford, England, 1996).
- [100] CMS Collaboration, Precision luminosity measurement in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV in 2015 and 2016 at CMS, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 800 (2021).
- [101] CMS Collaboration, CMS luminosity measurement for the 2017 data-taking period at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, CMS Physics Analysis Summary Report No. CMS-PAS-LUM-17-004, 2018, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2621960>.
- [102] CMS Collaboration, CMS luminosity measurement for the 2018 data-taking period at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, CMS Physics Analysis Summary Report No. CMS-PAS-LUM-18-002, 2019, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2676164>.
- [103] ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, and LHC Higgs Combination Group, Procedure for the LHC Higgs boson search combination in Summer 2011, Technical Reports No. CMS-NOTE-2011-005, No. ATL-PHYS-PUB-2011-11, 2011, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1379837>.
- [104] CMS Collaboration, Precise determination of the mass of the Higgs boson and tests of compatibility of its couplings with the standard model predictions using proton collisions at 7 and 8 TeV, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 212 (2015).
- [105] T. Junk, Confidence level computation for combining searches with small statistics, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **434**, 435 (1999).
- [106] A. L. Read, Presentation of search results: the CL_s technique, *J. Phys. G* **28**, 2693 (2002).
- [107] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, and O. Vitells, Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71**, 1554 (2011); **73**, 2501(E) (2013).
- [108] CMS Collaboration, HEPData record for this analysis, 10.17182/hepdata.128973 (2022).

A. Tumasyan^{1,b}, W. Adam¹,² J. W. Andrejkovic,² T. Bergauer¹,² S. Chatterjee¹,² K. Damanakis¹,² M. Dragicevic¹,² A. Escalante Del Valle¹,² P. S. Hussain¹,² M. Jeitler¹,^{2,c} N. Krammer¹,² L. Lechner¹,² D. Liko¹,² I. Mikulec¹,² P. Paulitsch,² F. M. Pitters,² J. Schieck¹,^{2,c} R. Schöfbeck¹,² D. Schwarz¹,² S. Templ¹,² W. Waltenberger¹,² C.-E. Wulz¹,^{2,c} M. R. Darwish¹,^{3,d} T. Janssen¹,³ T. Kello,^{3,e} H. Rejeb Sfar,³ P. Van Mechelen¹,³ E. S. Bols¹,⁴ J. D'Hondt¹,⁴ A. De Moor¹,⁴ M. Delcourt¹,⁴ H. El Faham¹,⁴ S. Lowette¹,⁴ S. Moortgat¹,⁴ A. Morton¹,⁴ D. Müller¹,⁴ A. R. Sahasransu¹,⁴ S. Tavernier¹,⁴ W. Van Doninck,⁴ D. Vannerom¹,⁴ B. Clerbaux¹,⁵ G. De Lentdecker¹,⁵ L. Favart¹,⁵ D. Hohov¹,⁵ J. Jaramillo¹,⁵ K. Lee¹,⁵ M. Mahdavikhorrami¹,⁵ I. Makarenko¹,⁵ A. Malaria¹,⁵ S. Paredes¹,⁵ L. Pétré¹,⁵ N. Postiau,⁵ E. Starling¹,⁵ L. Thomas¹,⁵ M. Vanden Bemden,⁵ C. Vander Velde¹,⁵ P. Vanlaer¹,⁵ D. Dobur¹,⁶ J. Knolle¹,⁶ L. Lambrecht¹,⁶ G. Mestdach,⁶ M. Niedziela¹,⁶ C. Rendón,⁶ C. Roskas¹,⁶ A. Samalan,⁶ K. Skovpen¹,⁶ M. Tytgat¹,⁶ N. Van Den Bossche¹,⁶ B. Vermassen,⁶ L. Wezenbeek¹,⁶ A. Benecke¹,⁷ G. Bruno¹,⁷ F. Bury¹,⁷ C. Caputo¹,⁷

- P. David⁷, C. Delaere⁷, I. S. Donertas⁷, A. Giannanco⁷, K. Jaffel⁷, Sa. Jain⁷, V. Lemaitre⁷, K. Mondal⁷, J. Prisciandaro,⁷ A. Taliercio⁷, T. T. Tran⁷, P. Vischia⁷, S. Wertz⁷, G. A. Alves⁸, E. Coelho⁸, C. Hensel⁸, A. Moraes⁸, P. Rebello Teles⁸, W. L. Aldá Júnior⁹, M. Alves Gallo Pereira⁹, M. Barroso Ferreira Filho⁹, H. Brandao Malbouisson⁹, W. Carvalho⁹, J. Chinellato,^{9,f} E. M. Da Costa⁹, G. G. Da Silveira^{9,g}, D. De Jesus Damiao⁹, V. Dos Santos Sousa⁹, S. Fonseca De Souza⁹, J. Martins^{9,h}, C. Mora Herrera⁹, K. Mota Amarilo⁹, L. Mundim⁹, H. Nogima⁹, A. Santoro⁹, S. M. Silva Do Amaral⁹, A. Sznajder⁹, M. Thiel⁹, F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo^{9,i}, A. Vilela Pereira⁹, C. A. Bernardes^{10,g}, L. Calligaris¹⁰
- T. R. Fernandez Perez Tomei¹⁰, E. M. Gregores¹⁰, P. G. Mercadante¹⁰, S. F. Novaes¹⁰, Sandra S. Padula¹⁰, A. Aleksandrov¹¹, G. Antchev¹¹, R. Hadjiiska¹¹, P. Iaydjiev¹¹, M. Misheva¹¹, M. Rodozov,¹¹ M. Shopova¹¹, G. Sultanov¹¹, A. Dimitrov¹², T. Ivanov¹², L. Litov¹², B. Pavlov¹², P. Petkov¹², A. Petrov,¹² E. Shumka¹², T. Cheng¹³, T. Javaid,^{13,j} M. Mittal¹³, L. Yuan¹³, M. Ahmad¹⁴, G. Bauer,^{14,k} Z. Hu¹⁴, S. Lezki¹⁴, K. Yi,^{14,l,k} G. M. Chen^{15,j}, H. S. Chen^{15,j}, M. Chen¹⁵, F. Iemmi¹⁵, C. H. Jiang,¹⁵ A. Kapoor¹⁵, H. Liao¹⁵, Z.-A. Liu^{15,m}, V. Milosevic¹⁵, F. Monti¹⁵, R. Sharma¹⁵, J. Tao¹⁵, J. Thomas-Wilsker¹⁵, J. Wang¹⁵, H. Zhang¹⁵, J. Zhao¹⁵, A. Agapitos¹⁶, Y. An¹⁶, Y. Ban¹⁶, C. Chen,¹⁶ A. Levin¹⁶, C. Li¹⁶, Q. Li¹⁶, X. Lyu,¹⁶ Y. Mao,¹⁶ S. J. Qian¹⁶, X. Sun¹⁶, D. Wang¹⁶, J. Xiao¹⁶, H. Yang,¹⁶ J. Li,¹⁷ M. Lu¹⁷, Z. You¹⁷, X. Gao^{18,e}, D. Leggat,¹⁸ H. Okawa¹⁸, Y. Zhang¹⁸, Z. Lin¹⁹, C. Lu¹⁹, M. Xiao¹⁹, C. Avila²⁰, D. A. Barbosa Trujillo,²⁰ A. Cabrera²⁰, C. Florez²⁰, J. Fraga²⁰, J. Mejia Guisao²¹, F. Ramirez²¹, M. Rodriguez²¹, J. D. Ruiz Alvarez²¹, D. Giljanovic²², N. Godinovic²², D. Lelas²², I. Puljak²², Z. Antunovic,²³ M. Kovac²³, T. Sculac²³, V. Brigljevic²⁴, B. K. Chitroda²⁴, D. Ferencek²⁴, D. Majumder²⁴, M. Roguljic²⁴, A. Starodumov^{24,n}, T. Susa²⁴, A. Attikis²⁵, K. Christoforou²⁵, G. Kole²⁵, M. Kolosova²⁵, S. Konstantinou²⁵, J. Mousa²⁵, C. Nicolaou,²⁵ F. Ptochos²⁵, P. A. Razis²⁵, H. Rykaczewski,²⁵ H. Saka²⁵, M. Finger^{26,n}, M. Finger Jr.^{26,n}, A. Kveton²⁶, E. Ayala²⁷, E. Carrera Jarrin²⁸, A. A. Abdelalim^{29,o,p}, E. Salama^{29,q,r}, M. Abdullah Al-Mashad²⁹, M. A. Mahmoud²⁹, S. Bhowmik³¹, R. K. Dewanjee³¹, K. Ehataht³¹, M. Kadastik,³¹ T. Lange³¹, S. Nandan³¹, C. Nielsen³¹, J. Pata³¹, M. Raidal³¹, L. Tani³¹, C. Veelken³¹, P. Eerola³², H. Kirschenmann³², K. Osterberg³², M. Voutilainen³², S. Bharthuar³³, E. Brücken³³, F. Garcia³³, J. Havukainen³³, M. S. Kim³³, R. Kinnunen,³³ T. Lampén³³, K. Lassila-Perini³³, S. Lehti³³, T. Lindén³³, M. Lotti,³³ L. Martikainen³³, M. Myllymäki³³, J. Ott³³, M. m. Rantanen³³, H. Siikonen³³, E. Tuominen³³, J. Tuominiemi³³, P. Luukka³⁴, H. Petrow³⁴, T. Tuuva,³⁴, C. Amendola³⁵, M. Besancon³⁵, F. Couderc³⁵, M. Dejardin³⁵, D. Denegri,³⁵ J. L. Faure,³⁵ F. Ferri³⁵, S. Ganjour³⁵, P. Gras³⁵, G. Hamel de Monchenault³⁵, P. Jarry³⁵, V. Lohezic³⁵, J. Malcles³⁵, J. Rander,³⁵ A. Rosowsky³⁵, M. Ö. Sahin³⁵, A. Savoy-Navarro^{35,s}, P. Simkina³⁵, M. Titov³⁵, C. Baldenegro Barrera³⁶, F. Beaudette³⁶, A. Buchot Perraguin³⁶, P. Busson³⁶, A. Cappati³⁶, C. Charlöt³⁶, F. Damas³⁶, O. Davignon³⁶, B. Diab³⁶, G. Falmagne³⁶, B. A. Fontana Santos Alves³⁶, S. Ghosh³⁶, R. Granier de Cassagnac³⁶, A. Hakimi³⁶, B. Harikrishnan³⁶, G. Liu³⁶, J. Motta³⁶, M. Nguyen³⁶, C. Ochando³⁶, L. Portales³⁶, J. Rembser³⁶, R. Salerno³⁶, U. Sarkar³⁶, J. B. Sauvan³⁶, Y. Sirois³⁶, A. Tarabini³⁶, E. Vernazza³⁶, A. Zabi³⁶, A. Zghiche³⁶, J.-L. Agram^{37,t}, J. Andrea,³⁷ D. Apparu³⁷, D. Bloch³⁷, G. Bourgatte,³⁷, J.-M. Brom³⁷, E. C. Chabert³⁷, C. Collard³⁷, D. Darej,³⁷ U. Goerlach³⁷, C. Grimault,³⁷, A.-C. Le Bihan³⁷, P. Van Hove³⁷, S. Beauceron³⁸, C. Bernet³⁸, B. Blancon³⁸, G. Boudoul³⁸, A. Carle,³⁸ N. Chanon³⁸, J. Choi,³⁸, D. Contardo³⁸, P. Depasse³⁸, C. Dozen^{38,u}, H. El Mamouni,³⁸, J. Fay³⁸, S. Gascon³⁸, M. Gouzevitch³⁸, G. Grenier³⁸, B. Ille³⁸, I. B. Laktineh,³⁸ M. Lethuillier³⁸, L. Mirabito,³⁸, S. Perries,³⁸, V. Sordini³⁸, L. Torterotot³⁸, M. Vander Donckt³⁸, P. Verdier³⁸, S. Viret,³⁸ D. Chokheli³⁹, I. Lomidze³⁹, Z. Tsamalaidze^{39,n}, V. Botta⁴⁰, L. Feld⁴⁰, K. Klein⁴⁰, M. Lipinski⁴⁰, D. Meuser⁴⁰, A. Pauls⁴⁰, N. Röwert⁴⁰, M. Teroerde⁴⁰, S. Diekmann⁴¹, A. Dodonova⁴¹, N. Eich⁴¹, D. Eliseev⁴¹, M. Erdmann⁴¹, P. Fackeldey⁴¹, D. Fasanella⁴¹, B. Fischer⁴¹, T. Hebbeker⁴¹, K. Hoepfner⁴¹, F. Ivone⁴¹, M. y. Lee⁴¹, L. Mastrolorenzo,⁴¹, M. Merschmeyer⁴¹, A. Meyer⁴¹, S. Mondal⁴¹, S. Mukherjee⁴¹, D. Noll⁴¹, A. Novak⁴¹, F. Nowotny,⁴¹, A. Pozdnyakov⁴¹, Y. Rath,⁴¹ W. Redjeb⁴¹, H. Reithler⁴¹, A. Schmidt⁴¹, S. C. Schuler,⁴¹, A. Sharma,⁴¹, L. Vigilante,⁴¹, S. Wiedenbeck⁴¹, S. Zaleski,⁴¹, C. Dziwok⁴², G. Flügge⁴², W. Haj Ahmad^{42,v}, O. Hlushchenko,⁴², T. Kress⁴², A. Nowack⁴², O. Pooth⁴², A. Stahl^{42,w}, T. Ziemon⁴², A. Zott⁴², H. Aarup Petersen,⁴³, M. Aldaya Martin⁴³, P. Asmuss,⁴³, S. Baxter⁴³, M. Bayatmakou⁴³, O. Behnke,⁴³, A. Bermúdez Martínez⁴³, S. Bhattacharya⁴³, A. A. Bin Anuar⁴³, F. Blekman^{43,x}, K. Borras^{43,y}, D. Brunner⁴³, A. Campbell⁴³, A. Cardini⁴³, C. Cheng,⁴³, F. Colombina,⁴³, S. Consuegra Rodríguez⁴³, G. Correia Silva⁴³

- M. De Silva⁴³ L. Didukh⁴³ G. Eckerlin⁴³ D. Eckstein⁴³ L. I. Estevez Banos⁴³ O. Filatov⁴³ E. Gallo^{43,x}
A. Geiser⁴³ A. Giraldi⁴³ G. Greau⁴³ A. Grohsjean⁴³ V. Guglielmi⁴³ M. Guthoff⁴³ A. Jafari^{43,z}
N. Z. Jomhari⁴³ B. Kaech⁴³ A. Kasem^{43,y} M. Kasemann⁴³ H. Kaveh⁴³ C. Kleinwort⁴³ R. Kogler⁴³
M. Komm⁴³ D. Krücker⁴³ W. Lange⁴³ D. Leyva Pernia⁴³ K. Lipka⁴³ W. Lohmann^{43,aa} R. Mankel⁴³
I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann⁴³ M. Mendizabal Morentin⁴³ J. Metwally⁴³ A. B. Meyer⁴³ G. Milella⁴³ M. Mormile⁴³
A. Mussgiller⁴³ A. Nürnberg⁴³ Y. Otarid⁴³ D. Pérez Adán⁴³ A. Raspereza⁴³ B. Ribeiro Lopes⁴³ J. Rübenach⁴³
A. Saggio⁴³ A. Saibel⁴³ M. Savitskyi⁴³ M. Scham^{43,y,bb} V. Scheurer⁴³ S. Schnake^{43,y} P. Schütze⁴³
C. Schwanenberger^{43,x} M. Shchedrolosiev⁴³ R. E. Sosa Ricardo⁴³ D. Stafford⁴³ N. Tonon^{43,a}
M. Van De Klundert⁴³ F. Vazzoler⁴³ A. Ventura Barroso⁴³ R. Walsh⁴³ D. Walter⁴³ Q. Wang⁴³ Y. Wen⁴³
K. Wichmann⁴³ L. Wiens^{43,y} C. Wissing⁴³ S. Wuchterl⁴³ Y. Yang⁴³ A. Zimermanne Castro Santos⁴³
R. Aggleton⁴⁴ A. Albrecht⁴⁴ S. Albrecht⁴⁴ M. Antonello⁴⁴ S. Bein⁴⁴ L. Benato⁴⁴ M. Bonanomi⁴⁴
P. Connor⁴⁴ K. De Leo⁴⁴ M. Eich⁴⁴ K. El Morabit⁴⁴ F. Feindt⁴⁴ A. Fröhlich⁴⁴ C. Garbers⁴⁴ E. Garutti⁴⁴
M. Hajheidari⁴⁴ J. Haller⁴⁴ A. Hinzmenn⁴⁴ H. R. Jabusch⁴⁴ G. Kasieczka⁴⁴ R. Klanner⁴⁴ W. Korcarz⁴⁴
T. Kramer⁴⁴ V. Kutzner⁴⁴ J. Lange⁴⁴ A. Lobanova⁴⁴ C. Matthies⁴⁴ A. Mehta⁴⁴ L. Moureaux⁴⁴
M. Mrowietz⁴⁴ A. Nigamova⁴⁴ Y. Nissan⁴⁴ A. Paasch⁴⁴ K. J. Pena Rodriguez⁴⁴ M. Rieger⁴⁴ O. Rieger⁴⁴
P. Schleper⁴⁴ M. Schröder⁴⁴ J. Schwandt⁴⁴ H. Stadie⁴⁴ G. Steinbrück⁴⁴ A. Tews⁴⁴ M. Wolf⁴⁴ J. Bechtel⁴⁵
S. Brommer⁴⁵ M. Burkart⁴⁵ E. Butz⁴⁵ R. Caspart⁴⁵ T. Chwalek⁴⁵ A. Dierlamm⁴⁵ A. Droll⁴⁵ N. Faltermann⁴⁵
M. Giffels⁴⁵ J. O. Gosewis⁴⁵ A. Gottmann⁴⁵ F. Hartmann^{45,w} M. Horzela⁴⁵ U. Husemann⁴⁵ P. Keicher⁴⁵
M. Klute⁴⁵ R. Koppenhöfer⁴⁵ S. Maier⁴⁵ S. Mitra⁴⁵ Th. Müller⁴⁵ M. Neukum⁴⁵ G. Quast⁴⁵ K. Rabbertz⁴⁵
J. Rauser⁴⁵ D. Savoiu⁴⁵ M. Schnepf⁴⁵ D. Seith⁴⁵ I. Shvetsov⁴⁵ H. J. Simonis⁴⁵ N. Trevisani⁴⁵ R. Ulrich⁴⁵
J. van der Linden⁴⁵ R. F. Von Cube⁴⁵ M. Wassmer⁴⁵ M. Weber⁴⁵ S. Wieland⁴⁵ R. Wolf⁴⁵ S. Wozniewski⁴⁵
S. Wunsch⁴⁵ G. Anagnostou⁴⁶ P. Assiouras⁴⁶ G. Daskalakis⁴⁶ A. Kyriakis⁴⁶ A. Stakia⁴⁶ M. Diamantopoulou⁴⁷
D. Karasavvas⁴⁷ P. Kontaxakis⁴⁷ A. Manousakis-Katsikakis⁴⁷ A. Panagiotou⁴⁷ I. Papavergou⁴⁷ N. Saoulidou⁴⁷
K. Theofilatos⁴⁷ E. Tziaferi⁴⁷ K. Vellidis⁴⁷ E. Vourliotis⁴⁷ I. Zisopoulos⁴⁷ G. Bakas⁴⁸ T. Chatzistavrou⁴⁸
K. Kousouris⁴⁸ I. Papakrivopoulos⁴⁸ G. Tsipolitis⁴⁸ A. Zacharopoulou⁴⁸ K. Adamidis⁴⁹ I. Bestintzos⁴⁹
I. Evangelou⁴⁹ C. Foudas⁴⁹ P. Gianneios⁴⁹ C. Kamtsikis⁴⁹ P. Katsoulis⁴⁹ P. Kokkas⁴⁹
P. G. Kosmoglou Kioseoglou⁴⁹ N. Manthos⁴⁹ I. Papadopoulos⁴⁹ J. Strologas⁴⁹ M. Csanád⁵⁰ K. Farkas⁵⁰
M. M. A. Gadallah^{50,cc} S. Lökö^{50,dd} P. Major⁵⁰ K. Mandal⁵⁰ G. Pásztor⁵⁰ A. J. Rádl^{50,ee} O. Surányi⁵⁰
G. I. Veres⁵⁰ M. Bartók^{51,ff} G. Bencze⁵¹ C. Hajdu⁵¹ D. Horvath^{51,gg,hh} F. Sikler⁵¹ V. Veszpremi⁵¹ N. Beni⁵²
S. Czellar⁵² J. Karancsi^{52,ff} J. Molnar⁵² Z. Szillasi⁵² D. Teyssier⁵² P. Raics⁵³ B. Ujvari^{53,ii} T. Csorgo^{54,ee}
F. Nemes^{54,ee} T. Novak⁵⁴ J. Babbar⁵⁵ S. Bansal⁵⁵ S. B. Beri⁵⁵ V. Bhatnagar⁵⁵ G. Chaudhary⁵⁵ S. Chauhan⁵⁵
N. Dhingra^{55,jj} R. Gupta⁵⁵ A. Kaur⁵⁵ A. Kaur⁵⁵ H. Kaur⁵⁵ M. Kaur⁵⁵ S. Kumar⁵⁵ P. Kumari⁵⁵ M. Meena⁵⁵
K. Sandeep⁵⁵ T. Sheokand⁵⁵ J. B. Singh^{55,kk} A. Singla⁵⁵ A. K. Virdi⁵⁵ A. Ahmed⁵⁶ A. Bhardwaj⁵⁶
B. C. Choudhary⁵⁶ M. Gola⁵⁶ S. Keshri⁵⁶ A. Kumar⁵⁶ M. Naimuddin⁵⁶ P. Priyanka⁵⁶ K. Ranjan⁵⁶
S. Saumya⁵⁶ A. Shah⁵⁶ S. Baradia⁵⁷ S. Barman^{57,ii} S. Bhattacharya⁵⁷ D. Bhowmik⁵⁷ S. Dutta⁵⁷ S. Dutta⁵⁷
B. Gomber^{57,mm} M. Maity^{57,ii} P. Palit⁵⁷ P. K. Rout⁵⁷ G. Saha⁵⁷ B. Sahu⁵⁷ S. Sarkar⁵⁷ P. K. Behera⁵⁸
S. C. Behera⁵⁸ P. Kalbhor⁵⁸ J. R. Komaragiri^{58,nn} D. Kumar^{58,nn} A. Muhammad⁵⁸ L. Panwar^{58,nn}
R. Pradhan⁵⁸ P. R. Pujahari⁵⁸ A. Sharma⁵⁸ A. K. Sikdar⁵⁸ P. C. Tiwari^{58,nn} S. Verma⁵⁸ K. Naskar^{59,oo}
T. Aziz⁶⁰ I. Das⁶⁰ S. Dugad⁶⁰ M. Kumar⁶⁰ G. B. Mohanty⁶⁰ P. Suryadevara⁶⁰ S. Banerjee⁶¹ R. Chudasama⁶¹
M. Guchait⁶¹ S. Karmakar⁶¹ S. Kumar⁶¹ G. Majumder⁶¹ K. Mazumdar⁶¹ S. Mukherjee⁶¹ A. Thachayath⁶¹
S. Bahinipati^{62,pp} A. K. Das⁶² C. Kar⁶² P. Mal⁶² T. Mishra⁶² V. K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu^{62,qq}
A. Nayak^{62,qq} P. Saha⁶² N. Sur⁶² S. K. Swain⁶² D. Vats^{62,qq} A. Alpana⁶³ S. Dube⁶³ B. Kansal⁶³ A. Laha⁶³
S. Pandey⁶³ A. Rastogi⁶³ S. Sharma⁶³ H. Bakhshiansohi^{64,rr} E. Khazaie⁶⁴ M. Zeinali^{64,ss} S. Chenarani^{65,tt}
S. M. Etesami⁶⁵ M. Khakzad⁶⁵ M. Mohammadi Najafabadi⁶⁵ M. Grunewald⁶⁶ M. Abbrescia^{67a,67b}
R. Aly^{67a,67c,o} C. Aruta^{67a,67b} A. Colaleo^{67a} D. Creanza^{67a,67c} N. De Filippis^{67a,67c} M. De Palma^{67a,67b}
A. Di Florio^{67a,67b} W. Elmetenawee^{67a,67b} F. Errico^{67a,67b} L. Fiore^{67a} G. Iaselli^{67a,67c} M. Ince^{67a,67b}
G. Maggi^{67a,67c} M. Maggi^{67a} I. Margiek^{67a,67b} V. Mastrapasqua^{67a,67b} S. My^{67a,67b} S. Nuzzo^{67a,67b}
A. Pellecchia^{67a,67b} A. Pompili^{67a,67b} G. Pugliese^{67a,67c} R. Radogna^{67a} D. Ramos^{67a} A. Ranieri^{67a}
G. Selvaggi^{67a,67b} L. Silvestris^{67a} F. M. Simone^{67a,67b} Ü. Sözbilir^{67a} A. Stamerra^{67a} R. Venditti^{67a}

- P. Verwilligen^{67a} G. Abbiendi^{68a} C. Battilana^{68a,68b} D. Bonacorsi^{68a,68b} L. Borgonovi^{68a} L. Brigliadori,^{68a}
R. Campanini^{68a,68b} P. Capiluppi^{68a,68b} A. Castro^{68a,68b} F. R. Cavallo^{68a} M. Cuffiani^{68a,68b} G. M. Dallavalle^{68a}
T. Diotalevi^{68a,68b} F. Fabbri^{68a} A. Fanfani^{68a,68b} P. Giacomelli^{68a} L. Giommi^{68a,68b} C. Grandi^{68a}
L. Guiducci^{68a,68b} S. Lo Meo^{68a,uu} L. Lunerti^{68a,68b} S. Marcellini^{68a} G. Masetti^{68a} F. L. Navarria^{68a,68b}
A. Perrotta^{68a} F. Primavera^{68a,68b} A. M. Rossi^{68a,68b} T. Rovelli^{68a,68b} G. P. Siroli^{68a,68b} S. Costa^{69a,69b,vv}
A. Di Mattia^{69a} R. Potenza,^{69a,69b} A. Tricomi^{69a,69b,vv} C. Tuve^{69a,69b} G. Barbagli^{70a} B. Camaiani^{70a,70b}
A. Cassese^{70a} R. Ceccarelli^{70a,70b} V. Ciulli^{70a,70b} C. Civinini^{70a} R. D'Alessandro^{70a,70b} E. Focardi^{70a,70b}
G. Latino^{70a,70b} P. Lenzi^{70a,70b} M. Lizzo^{70a,70b} M. Meschini^{70a} S. Paoletti^{70a} R. Seidita^{70a,70b} G. Sguazzoni^{70a}
L. Viliani^{70a} L. Benussi⁷¹ S. Bianco⁷¹ S. Meola^{71,w} D. Piccolo⁷¹ M. Bozzo^{72a} F. Ferro^{72a}
R. Mulargia^{72a} E. Robutti^{72a} S. Tosi^{72a,72b} A. Benaglia^{73a} G. Boldrini^{73a} F. Brivio^{73a,73b} F. Cetorelli^{73a,73b}
F. De Guio^{73a,73b} M. E. Dinardo^{73a,73b} P. Dini^{73a} S. Gennai^{73a} A. Ghezzi^{73a,73b} P. Govoni^{73a,73b} L. Guzzi^{73a,73b}
M. T. Lucchini^{73a,73b} M. Malberti^{73a} S. Malvezzi^{73a} A. Massironi^{73a} D. Menasce^{73a} L. Moroni^{73a}
M. Paganoni^{73a,73b} D. Pedrini^{73a} B. S. Pinolini,^{73a} S. Ragazzi^{73a,73b} N. Redaelli^{73a} T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{73a,73b}
D. Zuolo^{73a,73b} S. Buontempo^{74a} F. Carnevali,^{74a,74b} N. Cavallo^{74a,74c} A. De Iorio^{74a,74b} F. Fabozzi^{74a,74c}
A. O. M. Iorio^{74a,74b} L. Lista^{74a,74b,ww} P. Paolucci^{74a,w} B. Rossi^{74a} C. Sciacca^{74a,74b} P. Azzi^{75a}
N. Bacchetta^{75a,xx} D. Bisello^{75a,75b} P. Bortignon^{75a} A. Bragagnolo^{75a,75b} R. Carlin^{75a,75b} P. Checchia^{75a}
T. Dorigo^{75a} F. Gasparini^{75a,75b} U. Gasparini^{75a,75b} G. Grossi,^{75a} M. Gulmini^{75a,yy} L. Layer,^{75a,zz} E. Lusiani^{75a}
G. Maron^{75a,yy} A. T. Meneguzzo^{75a,75b} F. Montecassiano^{75a} J. Pazzini^{75a,75b} P. Ronchese^{75a,75b} R. Rossin^{75a,75b}
F. Simonetto^{75a,75b} G. Strong^{75a} M. Tosi^{75a,75b} H. Yasar,^{75a,75b} P. Zotto^{75a,75b} A. Zucchetta^{75a,75b} S. Abu Zeid^{76a,q}
C. Aimè^{76a,76b} A. Braghieri^{76a} S. Calzaferri^{76a,76b} D. Fiorina^{76a,76b} P. Montagna^{76a,76b} V. Re^{76a}
C. Riccardi^{76a,76b} P. Salvini^{76a} I. Vai^{76a} P. Vitulo^{76a,76b} P. Asenov^{77a,aaa} G. M. Bilei^{77a} D. Ciangottini^{77a}
L. Fanò^{77a,77b} M. Magherini^{77a,77b} G. Mantovani,^{77a,77b} V. Mariani^{77a,77b} M. Menichelli^{77a} F. Moscatelli^{77a,aaa}
A. Piccinelli^{77a,77b} M. Presilla^{77a,77b} A. Rossi^{77a,77b} A. Santocchia^{77a,77b} D. Spiga^{77a} T. Tedeschi^{77a,77b}
P. Azzurri^{78a} G. Bagliesi^{78a} V. Bertacchi^{78a,78c} R. Bhattacharya^{78a} L. Bianchini^{78a,78b} T. Boccali^{78a}
E. Bossini^{78a,78b} D. Bruschini^{78a,78c} R. Castaldi^{78a} M. A. Ciocci^{78a,78b} V. D'Amante^{78a,78d} R. Dell'Orso^{78a}
M. R. Di Domenico^{78a,78d} S. Donato^{78a} A. Giassi^{78a} F. Ligabue^{78a,78c} E. Manca^{78a,78c} G. Mandorli^{78a,78c}
D. Matos Figueiredo^{78a} A. Messineo^{78a,78b} M. Musich^{78a,78b} F. Palla^{78a} S. Parolia^{78a,78b}
G. Ramirez-Sanchez^{78a,78c} A. Rizzi^{78a,78b} G. Rolandi^{78a,78c} S. Roy Chowdhury^{78a,78c} T. Sarkar^{78a,ll}
A. Scribano^{78a} N. Shafiei^{78a,78b} P. Spagnolo^{78a} R. Tenchini^{78a} G. Tonelli^{78a,78b} N. Turini^{78a,78d} A. Venturi^{78a}
P. G. Verdini^{78a} P. Barria^{79a} M. Campana^{79a,79b} F. Cavallari^{79a} D. Del Re^b,^{79a,79b} E. Di Marco^{79a} M. Diemoz^{79a}
E. Longo^{79a} P. Meridiani^{79a} G. Organini^{79a,79b} F. Pandolfi^{79a} R. Paramatti^{79a,79b} C. Quaranta^{79a,79b}
S. Rahatlou^{79a,79b} C. Rovelli^{79a} F. Santanastasio^{79a,79b} L. Soffi^{79a} R. Tramontano^{79a,79b} N. Amapane^{80a,80b}
R. Arcidiacono^{80a,80c} S. Argiro^{80a,80b} M. Arneodo^{80a,80c} N. Bartosik^{80a} R. Bellan^{80a,80b} A. Bellora^{80a,80b}
J. Berenguer Antequera^{80a,80b} C. Biino^{80a} N. Cartiglia^{80a} M. Costa^{80a,80b} R. Covarelli^{80a,80b} N. Demaria^{80a}
M. Grippo^{80a,80b} B. Kiani^{80a,80b} F. Legger^{80a} C. Mariotti^{80a} S. Maselli^{80a} A. Mecca^{80a,80b} E. Migliore^{80a,80b}
E. Monteil^{80a,80b} M. Monteno^{80a} M. M. Obertino^{80a,80b} G. Ortona^{80a} L. Pacher^{80a,80b} N. Pastrone^{80a}
M. Pelliccioni^{80a} M. Ruspa^{80a,80c} K. Shchelina^{80a} F. Siviero^{80a,80b} V. Sola^{80a} A. Solano^{80a,80b} D. Soldi^{80a,80b}
A. Staiano^{80a} M. Tornago^{80a,80b} D. Trocino^{80a} G. Umoret^{80a,80b} A. Vagnerini^{80a,80b} S. Belforte^{81a}
V. Candelise^{81a,81b} M. Casarsa^{81a} F. Cossutti^{81a} A. Da Rold^{81a,81b} G. Della Ricca^{81a,81b} G. Sorrentino^{81a,81b}
S. Dogra⁸² C. Huh⁸² B. Kim⁸² D. H. Kim⁸² G. N. Kim⁸² J. Kim⁸² J. Lee⁸² S. W. Lee⁸² C. S. Moon⁸²
Y. D. Oh⁸² S. I. Pak⁸² M. S. Ryu⁸² S. Sekmen⁸² Y. C. Yang⁸² H. Kim,⁸³ D. H. Moon⁸² E. Asilar⁸⁴
T. J. Kim⁸⁴ J. Park⁸⁴ S. Cho,⁸⁵ S. Choi⁸⁵ S. Han,⁸⁵ B. Hong⁸⁵ K. Lee⁸⁵ K. S. Lee⁸⁵ J. Lim,⁸⁵ J. Park⁸⁵
S. K. Park,⁸⁵ J. Yoo⁸⁵ J. Goh⁸⁶ H. S. Kim⁸⁷ Y. Kim,⁸⁷ S. Lee,⁸⁷ J. Almond,⁸⁸ J. H. Bhyun,⁸⁸ J. Choi⁸⁸ S. Jeon⁸⁸
W. Jun⁸⁸ J. Kim⁸⁸ J. Kim⁸⁸ J. S. Kim,⁸⁸ S. Ko⁸⁸ H. Kwon⁸⁸ H. Lee⁸⁸ J. Lee⁸⁸ S. Lee,⁸⁸ B. H. Oh⁸⁸
M. Oh⁸⁸ S. B. Oh⁸⁸ H. Seo⁸⁸ U. K. Yang,⁸⁸ I. Yoon⁸⁸ W. Jang⁸⁹ D. Y. Kang,⁸⁹ Y. Kang⁸⁹ D. Kim⁸⁹
S. Kim⁸⁹ B. Ko,⁸⁹ J. S. H. Lee⁸⁹ Y. Lee⁸⁹ J. A. Merlin,⁸⁹ I. C. Park⁸⁹ Y. Roh,⁸⁹ D. Song,⁸⁹ I. J. Watson⁸⁹
S. Yang⁸⁹ S. Ha⁹⁰ H. D. Yoo⁹⁰ M. Choi⁹¹ M. R. Kim⁹¹ H. Lee⁹¹ Y. Lee⁹¹ Y. Lee⁹¹ I. Yu⁹¹ T. Beyrouthy,⁹²
Y. Maghrbi⁹² K. Dreimanis⁹³ A. Gaile⁹³ A. Potrebko⁹³ T. Torims,⁹³ V. Veckalns⁹³ M. Ambrozias⁹⁴
A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira⁹⁴ A. Juodagalvis⁹⁴ A. Rinkevicius⁹⁴ G. Tamulaitis⁹⁴ N. Bin Norjoharuddeen⁹⁵

- S. Y. Hoh^{95,bbb} I. Yusuff^{95,bbb} Z. Zolkapli⁹⁵ J. F. Benitez⁹⁶ A. Castaneda Hernandez⁹⁶ H. A. Encinas Acosta,⁹⁶ L. G. Gallegos Maríñez,⁹⁶ M. León Coello⁹⁶ J. A. Murillo Quijada⁹⁶ A. Sehrawat⁹⁶ L. Valencia Palomo⁹⁶ G. Ayala⁹⁷ H. Castilla-Valdez⁹⁷ I. Heredia-De La Cruz^{97,ccc} R. Lopez-Fernandez⁹⁷ C. A. Mondragon Herrera,⁹⁷ D. A. Perez Navarro⁹⁷ A. Sánchez Hernández⁹⁷ C. Oropeza Barrera⁹⁸ F. Vazquez Valencia⁹⁸ I. Pedraza⁹⁹ H. A. Salazar Ibarguen⁹⁹ C. Uribe Estrada⁹⁹ I. Bubanja,¹⁰⁰ J. Mijuskovic,^{100,ddd} N. Raicevic¹⁰⁰ A. Ahmad¹⁰¹ M. I. Asghar,¹⁰¹ A. Awais¹⁰¹ M. I. M. Awan,¹⁰¹ M. Gul¹⁰¹ H. R. Hoorani¹⁰¹ W. A. Khan¹⁰¹ M. Shoib¹⁰¹ M. Waqas¹⁰¹ V. Avati,¹⁰² L. Grzanka¹⁰² M. Malawski¹⁰² H. Bialkowska¹⁰³ M. Bluj¹⁰³ B. Boimska¹⁰³ M. Górski¹⁰³ M. Kazana¹⁰³ M. Szleper¹⁰³ P. Zalewski¹⁰³ K. Bunkowski¹⁰⁴ K. Doroba¹⁰⁴ A. Kalinowski¹⁰⁴ M. Konecki¹⁰⁴ J. Krolikowski¹⁰⁴ M. Araujo¹⁰⁵ P. Bargassa¹⁰⁵ D. Bastos¹⁰⁵ A. Boletti¹⁰⁵ P. Faccioli¹⁰⁵ M. Gallinaro¹⁰⁵ J. Hollar¹⁰⁵ N. Leonardo¹⁰⁵ T. Niknejad¹⁰⁵ M. Pisano¹⁰⁵ J. Seixas¹⁰⁵ O. Toldaiev¹⁰⁵ J. Varela¹⁰⁵ P. Adzic^{106,eee} M. Dordevic¹⁰⁶ P. Milenovic¹⁰⁶ J. Milosevic¹⁰⁶ M. Aguilar-Benitez,¹⁰⁷ J. Alcaraz Maestre¹⁰⁷ A. Álvarez Fernández¹⁰⁷ M. Barrio Luna,¹⁰⁷ Cristina F. Bedoya,¹⁰⁷ C. A. Carrillo Montoya¹⁰⁷ M. Cepeda¹⁰⁷ M. Cerrada¹⁰⁷ N. Colino¹⁰⁷ B. De La Cruz¹⁰⁷ A. Delgado Peris¹⁰⁷ D. Fernández Del Val¹⁰⁷ J. P. Fernández Ramos¹⁰⁷ J. Flix¹⁰⁷ M. C. Fouz¹⁰⁷ O. Gonzalez Lopez¹⁰⁷ S. Goy Lopez¹⁰⁷ J. M. Hernandez¹⁰⁷ M. I. Josa¹⁰⁷ J. León Holgado¹⁰⁷ D. Moran¹⁰⁷ C. Perez Dengra¹⁰⁷ A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo¹⁰⁷ J. Puerta Pelayo¹⁰⁷ I. Redondo¹⁰⁷ D. D. Redondo Ferrero¹⁰⁷ L. Romero,¹⁰⁷ S. Sánchez Navas¹⁰⁷ J. Sastre¹⁰⁷ L. Urda Gómez¹⁰⁷ J. Vazquez Escobar¹⁰⁷ C. Willmott,¹⁰⁷ J. F. de Trocóniz¹⁰⁸ B. Alvarez Gonzalez¹⁰⁹ J. Cuevas¹⁰⁹ J. Fernandez Menendez¹⁰⁹ S. Folgueras¹⁰⁹ I. Gonzalez Caballero¹⁰⁹ J. R. González Fernández¹⁰⁹ E. Palencia Cortezon¹⁰⁹ C. Ramón Álvarez¹⁰⁹ V. Rodríguez Bouza¹⁰⁹ A. Soto Rodríguez¹⁰⁹ A. Trapote¹⁰⁹ C. Vico Villalba¹⁰⁹ J. A. Brochero Cifuentes¹¹⁰ I. J. Cabrillo¹¹⁰ A. Calderon¹¹⁰ J. Duarte Campderros¹¹⁰ M. Fernandez¹¹⁰ C. Fernandez Madrazo¹¹⁰ A. García Alonso,¹¹⁰ G. Gomez¹¹⁰ C. Lasaosa García¹¹⁰ C. Martinez Rivero¹¹⁰ P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol¹¹⁰ F. Matorras¹¹⁰ P. Matorras Cuevas¹¹⁰ J. Piedra Gomez¹¹⁰ C. Prieels,¹¹⁰ A. Ruiz-Jimeno¹¹⁰ L. Scodellaro¹¹⁰ I. Vila¹¹⁰ J. M. Vizan Garcia¹¹⁰ M. K. Jayananda¹¹¹ B. Kailasapathy^{111,fff} D. U. J. Sonnadar¹¹¹ D. D. C. Wickramarathna¹¹¹ W. G. D. Dharmaratna¹¹² K. Liyanage¹¹² N. Perera¹¹² N. Wickramage¹¹² D. Abbaneo¹¹³ J. Alimena¹¹³ E. Auffray¹¹³ G. Auzinger¹¹³ J. Baechler,¹¹³ P. Baillon,¹¹³ D. Barney¹¹³ J. Bendavid¹¹³ M. Bianco¹¹³ B. Bilin¹¹³ A. Bocci¹¹³ E. Brondolin¹¹³ C. Caillol¹¹³ T. Camporesi¹¹³ G. Cerminara¹¹³ N. Chernyavskaya¹¹³ S. S. Chhibra¹¹³ S. Choudhury,¹¹³ M. Cipriani¹¹³ L. Cristella¹¹³ D. d'Enterria¹¹³ A. Dabrowski¹¹³ A. David¹¹³ A. De Roeck¹¹³ M. M. Defranchis¹¹³ M. Deile¹¹³ M. Dobson¹¹³ M. Dünser¹¹³ N. Dupont,¹¹³ A. Elliott-Peisert,¹¹³ F. Fallavollita,^{113,ggg} A. Florent¹¹³ L. Forthomme¹¹³ G. Franzoni¹¹³ W. Funk¹¹³ S. Ghosh¹¹³ S. Giani,¹¹³ D. Gigi,¹¹³ K. Gill,¹¹³ F. Glege¹¹³ L. Gouskos¹¹³ E. Govorkova¹¹³ M. Haranko¹¹³ J. Hegeman¹¹³ V. Innocente¹¹³ T. James¹¹³ P. Janot¹¹³ J. Kaspar¹¹³ J. Kieseler¹¹³ N. Kratochwil¹¹³ S. Laurila¹¹³ P. Lecoq¹¹³ E. Leutgeb¹¹³ A. Lintuluoto¹¹³ C. Lourenço¹¹³ B. Maier¹¹³ L. Malgeri¹¹³ M. Mannelli¹¹³ A. C. Marini¹¹³ F. Meijers¹¹³ S. Mersi¹¹³ E. Meschi¹¹³ F. Moortgat¹¹³ M. Mulders¹¹³ S. Orfanelli,¹¹³ L. Orsini,¹¹³ F. Pantaleo¹¹³ E. Perez,¹¹³ M. Peruzzi¹¹³ A. Petrilli¹¹³ G. Petrucciani¹¹³ A. Pfeiffer¹¹³ M. Pierini¹¹³ D. Piparo¹¹³ M. Pitt¹¹³ H. Qu¹¹³ T. Quast,¹¹³ D. Rabady¹¹³ A. Racz,¹¹³ G. Reales Gutierrez,¹¹³ M. Rovere¹¹³ H. Sakulin¹¹³ J. Salfeld-Nebgen¹¹³ S. Scarfi,¹¹³ M. Selvaggi¹¹³ A. Sharma¹¹³ P. Silva¹¹³ P. Sphicas^{113,hhh} A. G. Stahl Leiton¹¹³ S. Summers¹¹³ K. Tatar¹¹³ V. R. Tavolaro¹¹³ D. Treille¹¹³ P. Tropea¹¹³ A. Tsirou,¹¹³ J. Wanzyk^{113,iii} K. A. Wozniak¹¹³ W. D. Zeuner,¹¹³ L. Caminada^{114,jii} A. Ebrahimi¹¹⁴ W. Erdmann¹¹⁴ R. Horisberger¹¹⁴ Q. Ingram¹¹⁴ H. C. Kaestli¹¹⁴ D. Kotlinski¹¹⁴ C. Lange¹¹⁴ M. Missiroli^{114,jii} L. Noehte^{114,jii} T. Rohe¹¹⁴ T. K. Arrestad¹¹⁵ K. Androsov^{115,iii} M. Backhaus¹¹⁵ P. Berger,¹¹⁵ A. Calandri¹¹⁵ K. Datta¹¹⁵ A. De Cosa¹¹⁵ G. Dissertori¹¹⁵ M. Dittmar,¹¹⁵ M. Donegà¹¹⁵ F. Eble¹¹⁵ M. Galli¹¹⁵ K. Gedia¹¹⁵ F. Glessgen¹¹⁵ T. A. Gómez Espinosa¹¹⁵ C. Grab¹¹⁵ D. Hits¹¹⁵ W. Lustermann¹¹⁵ A.-M. Lyon¹¹⁵ R. A. Manzoni¹¹⁵ L. Marchese¹¹⁵ C. Martin Perez¹¹⁵ A. Mascellani^{115,iii} M. T. Meinhard¹¹⁵ F. Nesi-Tedaldi¹¹⁵ J. Niedziela¹¹⁵ F. Pauss¹¹⁵ V. Perovic¹¹⁵ S. Pigazzini¹¹⁵ M. G. Ratti¹¹⁵ M. Reichmann¹¹⁵ C. Reissel¹¹⁵ T. Reitenspiess¹¹⁵ B. Ristic¹¹⁵ F. Riti¹¹⁵ D. Ruini,¹¹⁵ D. A. Sanz Becerra¹¹⁵ J. Steggemann^{115,iii} D. Valsecchi^{115,w} R. Wallny¹¹⁵ C. Amsler^{116,kkk} P. Bärtschi¹¹⁶ C. Botta¹¹⁶ D. Brzhechko,¹¹⁶ M. F. Canelli¹¹⁶ K. Cormier¹¹⁶ A. De Wit¹¹⁶ R. Del Burgo,¹¹⁶ J. K. Heikkilä¹¹⁶ M. Huwiler¹¹⁶ W. Jin¹¹⁶ A. Jofrehei¹¹⁶ B. Kilminster¹¹⁶ S. Leontsinis¹¹⁶ S. P. Liechti¹¹⁶ A. Macchiolo¹¹⁶ P. Meiring¹¹⁶ V. M. Mikuni¹¹⁶

- U. Molinatti¹¹⁶ I. Neutelings¹¹⁶ A. Reimers¹¹⁶ P. Robmann,¹¹⁶ S. Sanchez Cruz¹¹⁶ K. Schweiger¹¹⁶
M. Senger¹¹⁶ Y. Takahashi¹¹⁶ C. Adloff,^{117,III} C. M. Kuo,¹¹⁷ W. Lin,¹¹⁷ S. S. Yu¹¹⁷ L. Ceard,¹¹⁸ Y. Chao¹¹⁸
K. F. Chen¹¹⁸ P. s. Chen,¹¹⁸ H. Cheng¹¹⁸ W.-S. Hou¹¹⁸ Y. y. Li¹¹⁸ R.-S. Lu¹¹⁸ E. Paganis¹¹⁸ A. Psallidas,¹¹⁸
A. Steen¹¹⁸ H. y. Wu,¹¹⁸ E. Yazgan¹¹⁸ P. r. Yu,¹¹⁸ C. Asawatangtrakuldee¹¹⁹ N. Srimanobhas¹¹⁹ D. Agyel¹²⁰
F. Boran¹²⁰ Z. S. Demiroglu¹²⁰ F. Dolek¹²⁰ I. Dumanoglu^{120,mmm} E. Eskut,¹²⁰ Y. Guler^{120,nnn}
E. Gurpinar Guler^{120,nnn} C. Isik¹²⁰ O. Kara,¹²⁰ A. Kayis Topaksu¹²⁰ U. Kiminsu¹²⁰ G. Onengut¹²⁰
K. Ozdemir^{120,ooo} A. Polatoz¹²⁰ A. E. Simsek¹²⁰ B. Tali^{120,ppp} U. G. Tok¹²⁰ S. Turkcapar¹²⁰ E. Uslan¹²⁰
I. S. Zorbakir¹²⁰ G. Karapinar,^{121,qqq} K. Ocalan^{121,rrr} M. Yalvac^{121,sss} B. Akgun¹²² I. O. Atakisi¹²²
E. Gulmez¹²² M. Kaya^{122,ttt} O. Kaya^{122,uuu} Ö. Özcelik¹²² S. Tekten^{122,vvv} A. Cakir¹²³ K. Cankocak^{123,mmm}
Y. Komurcu¹²³ S. Sen^{123,www} O. Aydilek¹²⁴ S. Cerci^{124,ppp} B. Hacisahinoglu¹²⁴ I. Hos^{124,xxx} B. Isildak^{124,yyy}
B. Kaynak¹²⁴ S. Ozkorucuklu¹²⁴ C. Simsek¹²⁴ D. Sunar Cerci^{124,ppp} B. Grynyov¹²⁵ L. Levchuk¹²⁶
D. Anthony¹²⁷ E. Bhal¹²⁷ J. J. Brooke¹²⁷ A. Bundock¹²⁷ E. Clement¹²⁷ D. Cussans¹²⁷ H. Flacher¹²⁷
M. Glowacki,¹²⁷ J. Goldstein¹²⁷ G. P. Heath,¹²⁷ H. F. Heath¹²⁷ L. Kreczko¹²⁷ B. Krikler¹²⁷ S. Paramesvaran¹²⁷
S. Seif El Nasr-Storey,¹²⁷ V. J. Smith¹²⁷ N. Stylianou^{127,zzz} K. Walkingshaw Pass,¹²⁷ R. White¹²⁷ A. H. Ball,¹²⁸
K. W. Bell¹²⁸ A. Belyaev,^{128,aaaa} C. Brew¹²⁸ R. M. Brown¹²⁸ D. J. A. Cockerill¹²⁸ C. Cooke¹²⁸ K. V. Ellis,¹²⁸
K. Harder¹²⁸ S. Harper¹²⁸ M.-L. Holmberg^{128,bbb} J. Linacre¹²⁸ K. Manolopoulos,¹²⁸ D. M. Newbold¹²⁸
E. Olaiya,¹²⁸ D. Petyt¹²⁸ T. Reis¹²⁸ G. Salvi¹²⁸ T. Schuh,¹²⁸ C. H. Shepherd-Themistocleous¹²⁸ I. R. Tomalin,¹²⁸
T. Williams¹²⁸ R. Bainbridge¹²⁹ P. Bloch¹²⁹ S. Bonomally,¹²⁹ J. Borg¹²⁹ S. Breeze,¹²⁹ C. E. Brown¹²⁹
O. Buchmuller,¹²⁹ V. Cacchio,¹²⁹ V. Cepaitis¹²⁹ G. S. Chahal^{129,cccc} D. Colling¹²⁹ J. S. Dancu,¹²⁹ P. Dauncey¹²⁹
G. Davies¹²⁹ J. Davies,¹²⁹ M. Della Negra¹²⁹ S. Fayer,¹²⁹ G. Fedi¹²⁹ G. Hall¹²⁹ M. H. Hassanshahi¹²⁹
A. Howard,¹²⁹ G. Iles¹²⁹ J. Langford¹²⁹ L. Lyons¹²⁹ A.-M. Magnan¹²⁹ S. Malik,¹²⁹ A. Martelli¹²⁹
M. Mieskolainen¹²⁹ D. G. Monk¹²⁹ J. Nash^{129,dddd} M. Pesaresi,¹²⁹ B. C. Radburn-Smith¹²⁹ D. M. Raymond,¹²⁹
A. Richards,¹²⁹ A. Rose¹²⁹ E. Scott¹²⁹ C. Seez¹²⁹ A. Shtipliyski,¹²⁹ R. Shukla¹²⁹ A. Tapper¹²⁹ K. Uchida¹²⁹
G. P. Uttley¹²⁹ L. H. Vage,¹²⁹ T. Virdee^{129,w} M. Vojinovic¹²⁹ N. Wardle¹²⁹ S. N. Webb¹²⁹ D. Winterbottom,¹²⁹
K. Coldham,¹³⁰ J. E. Cole¹³⁰ A. Khan,¹³⁰ P. Kyberd¹³⁰ I. D. Reid¹³⁰ S. Abdullin¹³¹ A. Brinkerhoff¹³¹
B. Caraway¹³¹ J. Dittmann¹³¹ K. Hatakeyama¹³¹ A. R. Kanuganti¹³¹ B. McMaster¹³¹ M. Saunders¹³¹
S. Sawant¹³¹ C. Sutantawibul¹³¹ J. Wilson¹³¹ R. Bartek¹³² A. Dominguez¹³² R. Uniyal¹³²
A. M. Vargas Hernandez¹³² A. Buccilli¹³³ S. I. Cooper¹³³ D. Di Croce¹³³ S. V. Gleyzer¹³³ C. Henderson¹³³
C. U. Perez¹³³ P. Rumerio^{133,eeee} C. West¹³³ A. Akpinar¹³⁴ A. Albert¹³⁴ D. Arcaro¹³⁴ C. Cosby¹³⁴
Z. Demiragli¹³⁴ C. Erice¹³⁴ E. Fontanesi¹³⁴ D. Gastler¹³⁴ S. May¹³⁴ J. Rohlf¹³⁴ K. Salyer¹³⁴ D. Sperka¹³⁴
D. Spitzbart¹³⁴ I. Suarez¹³⁴ A. Tsatsos¹³⁴ S. Yuan¹³⁴ G. Benelli¹³⁵ B. Burkle¹³⁵ X. Coubez,^{135,y} D. Cutts¹³⁵
M. Hadley¹³⁵ U. Heintz¹³⁵ J. M. Hogan^{135,ffff} T. Kwon¹³⁵ G. Landsberg¹³⁵ K. T. Lau¹³⁵ D. Li,¹³⁵ J. Luo¹³⁵
M. Narain,¹³⁵ N. Pervan¹³⁵ S. Sagir^{135,gggg} F. Simpson¹³⁵ E. Usai¹³⁵ W. Y. Wong,¹³⁵ X. Yan¹³⁵ D. Yu¹³⁵
W. Zhang,¹³⁵ J. Bonilla¹³⁶ C. Brainerd¹³⁶ R. Breedon¹³⁶ M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez¹³⁶ M. Chertok¹³⁶
J. Conway¹³⁶ P. T. Cox¹³⁶ R. Erbacher¹³⁶ G. Haza¹³⁶ F. Jensen¹³⁶ O. Kukral¹³⁶ G. Mocellin¹³⁶
M. Mulhearn¹³⁶ D. Pellett¹³⁶ B. Regnery¹³⁶ D. Taylor¹³⁶ Y. Yao¹³⁶ F. Zhang¹³⁶ M. Bachtis¹³⁷
R. Cousins¹³⁷ A. Datta¹³⁷ D. Hamilton¹³⁷ J. Hauser¹³⁷ M. Ignatenko¹³⁷ M. A. Iqbal¹³⁷ T. Lam¹³⁷
W. A. Nash¹³⁷ S. Regnard¹³⁷ D. Saltzberg¹³⁷ B. Stone¹³⁷ V. Valuev¹³⁷ Y. Chen,¹³⁸ R. Clare¹³⁸ J. W. Gary¹³⁸
M. Gordon,¹³⁸ G. Hanson¹³⁸ G. Karapostoli¹³⁸ O. R. Long¹³⁸ N. Manganelli¹³⁸ W. Si¹³⁸ S. Wimpenny,¹³⁸
J. G. Branson,¹³⁹ P. Chang¹³⁹ S. Cittolin,¹³⁹ S. Cooperstein¹³⁹ D. Diaz¹³⁹ J. Duarte¹³⁹ R. Gerosa¹³⁹
L. Giannini¹³⁹ J. Guiang¹³⁹ R. Kansal¹³⁹ V. Krutelyov¹³⁹ R. Lee¹³⁹ J. Letts¹³⁹ M. Masciovecchio¹³⁹
F. Mokhtar¹³⁹ M. Pieri¹³⁹ B. V. Sathia Narayanan¹³⁹ V. Sharma,¹³⁹ M. Tadel¹³⁹ F. Würthwein¹³⁹ Y. Xiang¹³⁹
A. Yagil¹³⁹ N. Amin,¹⁴⁰ C. Campagnari¹⁴⁰ M. Citron¹⁴⁰ G. Collura¹⁴⁰ A. Dorsett¹⁴⁰ V. Dutta¹⁴⁰
J. Incandela¹⁴⁰ M. Kilpatrick¹⁴⁰ J. Kim¹⁴⁰ A. J. Li¹⁴⁰ B. Marsh,¹⁴⁰ P. Masterson¹⁴⁰ H. Mei¹⁴⁰ M. Oshiro¹⁴⁰
M. Quinnan¹⁴⁰ J. Richman¹⁴⁰ U. Sarica¹⁴⁰ R. Schmitz¹⁴⁰ F. Setti¹⁴⁰ J. Sheplock¹⁴⁰ P. Siddireddy,¹⁴⁰
D. Stuart¹⁴⁰ S. Wang¹⁴⁰ A. Bornheim¹⁴¹ O. Cerri,¹⁴¹ I. Dutta¹⁴¹ J. M. Lawhorn¹⁴¹ N. Lu^{141,hhh} J. Mao¹⁴¹
H. B. Newman¹⁴¹ T. Q. Nguyen¹⁴¹ M. Spiropulu¹⁴¹ J. R. Vlimant¹⁴¹ C. Wang¹⁴¹ S. Xie¹⁴¹ Z. Zhang¹⁴¹
R. Y. Zhu¹⁴¹ J. Alison¹⁴² S. An¹⁴² M. B. Andrews¹⁴² P. Bryant¹⁴² T. Ferguson¹⁴² A. Harilal¹⁴² C. Liu¹⁴²
T. Mudholkar¹⁴² S. Murthy¹⁴² M. Paulini¹⁴² A. Roberts¹⁴² A. Sanchez¹⁴² W. Terrill¹⁴² J. P. Cumalat¹⁴³

- W. T. Ford¹⁴³ A. Hassani¹⁴³ G. Karathanasis¹⁴³ E. MacDonald¹⁴³ F. Marini¹⁴³ R. Patel¹⁴³ A. Perloff¹⁴³
C. Savard¹⁴³ N. Schonbeck¹⁴³ K. Stenson¹⁴³ K. A. Ulmer¹⁴³ S. R. Wagner¹⁴³ N. Zipper¹⁴³ J. Alexander¹⁴⁴
S. Bright-Thonney¹⁴⁴ X. Chen¹⁴⁴ D. J. Cranshaw¹⁴⁴ J. Fan¹⁴⁴ X. Fan¹⁴⁴ D. Gadkari¹⁴⁴ S. Hogan¹⁴⁴
J. Monroy¹⁴⁴ J. R. Patterson¹⁴⁴ D. Quach¹⁴⁴ J. Reichert¹⁴⁴ M. Reid¹⁴⁴ A. Ryd¹⁴⁴ J. Thom¹⁴⁴ P. Wittich¹⁴⁴
R. Zou¹⁴⁴ M. Albrow¹⁴⁵ M. Alyari¹⁴⁵ G. Apolinari¹⁴⁵ A. Apresyan¹⁴⁵ L. A. T. Bauerdick¹⁴⁵ D. Berry¹⁴⁵
J. Berryhill¹⁴⁵ P. C. Bhatt¹⁴⁵ K. Burkett¹⁴⁵ J. N. Butler¹⁴⁵ A. Canepa¹⁴⁵ G. B. Cerati¹⁴⁵ H. W. K. Cheung¹⁴⁵
F. Chlebana¹⁴⁵ K. F. Di Petrillo¹⁴⁵ J. Dickinson¹⁴⁵ V. D. Elvira¹⁴⁵ Y. Feng¹⁴⁵ J. Freeman¹⁴⁵
A. Gandrakota¹⁴⁵ Z. Gecse¹⁴⁵ L. Gray¹⁴⁵ D. Green¹⁴⁵ S. Grünendahl¹⁴⁵ O. Gutsche¹⁴⁵ R. M. Harris¹⁴⁵
R. Heller¹⁴⁵ T. C. Herwig¹⁴⁵ J. Hirschauer¹⁴⁵ L. Horyn¹⁴⁵ B. Jayatilaka¹⁴⁵ S. Jindariani¹⁴⁵ M. Johnson¹⁴⁵
U. Joshi¹⁴⁵ T. Klijnsma¹⁴⁵ B. Klima¹⁴⁵ K. H. M. Kwok¹⁴⁵ S. Lammel¹⁴⁵ D. Lincoln¹⁴⁵ R. Lipton¹⁴⁵
T. Liu¹⁴⁵ C. Madrid¹⁴⁵ K. Maeshima¹⁴⁵ C. Mantilla¹⁴⁵ D. Mason¹⁴⁵ P. McBride¹⁴⁵ P. Merkel¹⁴⁵
S. Mrenna¹⁴⁵ S. Nahn¹⁴⁵ J. Ngadiuba¹⁴⁵ D. Noonan¹⁴⁵ V. Papadimitriou¹⁴⁵ N. Pastika¹⁴⁵ K. Pedro¹⁴⁵
C. Pena^{145,iii} F. Ravera¹⁴⁵ A. Reinsvold Hall^{145,iii} L. Ristori¹⁴⁵ E. Sexton-Kennedy¹⁴⁵ N. Smith¹⁴⁵
A. Soha¹⁴⁵ L. Spiegel¹⁴⁵ J. Strait¹⁴⁵ L. Taylor¹⁴⁵ S. Tkaczyk¹⁴⁵ N. V. Tran¹⁴⁵ L. Uplegger¹⁴⁵
E. W. Vaandering¹⁴⁵ H. A. Weber¹⁴⁵ I. Zoi¹⁴⁵ P. Avery¹⁴⁶ D. Bourilkov¹⁴⁶ L. Cadamuro¹⁴⁶
V. Cherepanov¹⁴⁶ R. D. Field¹⁴⁶ D. Guerrero¹⁴⁶ M. Kim¹⁴⁶ E. Koenig¹⁴⁶ J. Konigsberg¹⁴⁶ A. Korytov¹⁴⁶
K. H. Lo¹⁴⁶ K. Matchev¹⁴⁶ N. Menendez¹⁴⁶ G. Mitselmakher¹⁴⁶ A. Muthirakalayil Madhu¹⁴⁶ N. Rawal¹⁴⁶
D. Rosenzweig¹⁴⁶ S. Rosenzweig¹⁴⁶ K. Shi¹⁴⁶ J. Wang¹⁴⁶ Z. Wu¹⁴⁶ T. Adams¹⁴⁷ A. Askew¹⁴⁷
R. Habibullah¹⁴⁷ V. Hagopian¹⁴⁷ R. Khurana¹⁴⁷ T. Kolberg¹⁴⁷ G. Martinez¹⁴⁷ H. Prosper¹⁴⁷ C. Schiber¹⁴⁷
O. Viazlo¹⁴⁷ R. Yohay¹⁴⁷ J. Zhang¹⁴⁷ M. M. Baarmand¹⁴⁸ S. Butalla¹⁴⁸ T. Elkafrawy^{148,q} M. Hohlmann¹⁴⁸
R. Kumar Verma¹⁴⁸ M. Rahmani¹⁴⁸ F. Yumiceva¹⁴⁸ M. R. Adams¹⁴⁹ H. Becerril Gonzalez¹⁴⁹ R. Cavanaugh¹⁴⁹
S. Dittmer¹⁴⁹ O. Evdokimov¹⁴⁹ C. E. Gerber¹⁴⁹ D. J. Hofman¹⁴⁹ D. S. Lemos¹⁴⁹ A. H. Merritt¹⁴⁹ C. Mills¹⁴⁹
G. Oh¹⁴⁹ T. Roy¹⁴⁹ S. Rudrabhatla¹⁴⁹ M. B. Tonjes¹⁴⁹ N. Varelas¹⁴⁹ X. Wang¹⁴⁹ Z. Ye¹⁴⁹ J. Yoo¹⁴⁹
M. Alhusseini¹⁵⁰ K. Dilsiz^{150,kkkk} L. Emediato¹⁵⁰ R. P. Gundrajula¹⁵⁰ G. Karaman¹⁵⁰ O. K. Köseyan¹⁵⁰
J.-P. Merlo¹⁵⁰ A. Mestvirishvili^{150,III} J. Nachtman¹⁵⁰ O. Neogi¹⁵⁰ H. Ogul^{150,mmmm} Y. Onel¹⁵⁰ A. Penzo¹⁵⁰
C. Snyder¹⁵⁰ E. Tiras^{150,nnnn} O. Amram¹⁵¹ B. Blumenfeld¹⁵¹ L. Corcodilos¹⁵¹ J. Davis¹⁵¹ A. V. Gritsan¹⁵¹
L. Kang¹⁵¹ S. Kyriacou¹⁵¹ P. Maksimovic¹⁵¹ J. Roskes¹⁵¹ S. Sekhar¹⁵¹ M. Swartz¹⁵¹ T. Á. Vámi¹⁵¹
A. Abreu¹⁵² L. F. Alcerro Alcerro¹⁵² J. Anguiano¹⁵² P. Baringer¹⁵² A. Bean¹⁵² Z. Flowers¹⁵² T. Isidori¹⁵²
S. Khalil¹⁵² J. King¹⁵² G. Krintiras¹⁵² M. Lazarovits¹⁵² C. Le Mahieu¹⁵² C. Lindsey¹⁵² J. Marquez¹⁵²
N. Minafra¹⁵² M. Murray¹⁵² M. Nickel¹⁵² C. Rogan¹⁵² C. Royon¹⁵² R. Salvatico¹⁵² S. Sanders¹⁵²
E. Schmitz¹⁵² C. Smith¹⁵² Q. Wang¹⁵² J. Williams¹⁵² G. Wilson¹⁵² B. Allmond¹⁵³ S. Duric¹⁵³
R. Guju Gurunadha¹⁵³ A. Ivanov¹⁵³ K. Kaadze¹⁵³ D. Kim¹⁵³ Y. Maravin¹⁵³ T. Mitchell¹⁵³ A. Modak¹⁵³
K. Nam¹⁵³ J. Natoli¹⁵³ D. Roy¹⁵³ F. Rebassoo¹⁵⁴ D. Wright¹⁵⁴ E. Adams¹⁵⁵ A. Baden¹⁵⁵ O. Baron¹⁵⁵
A. Belloni¹⁵⁵ A. Bethani¹⁵⁵ S. C. Eno¹⁵⁵ N. J. Hadley¹⁵⁵ S. Jabeen¹⁵⁵ R. G. Kellogg¹⁵⁵ T. Koeth¹⁵⁵
Y. Lai¹⁵⁵ S. Lascio¹⁵⁵ A. C. Mignerey¹⁵⁵ S. Nabili¹⁵⁵ C. Palmer¹⁵⁵ C. Papageorgakis¹⁵⁵ M. Seidel¹⁵⁵
L. Wang¹⁵⁵ K. Wong¹⁵⁵ D. Abercrombie¹⁵⁶ R. Bi¹⁵⁶ W. Busza¹⁵⁶ I. A. Calif¹⁵⁶ Y. Chen¹⁵⁶ M. D'Alfonso¹⁵⁶
J. Eysermans¹⁵⁶ C. Freer¹⁵⁶ G. Gomez-Ceballos¹⁵⁶ M. Goncharov¹⁵⁶ P. Harris¹⁵⁶ M. Hu¹⁵⁶ D. Kovalskyi¹⁵⁶
J. Krupa¹⁵⁶ Y.-J. Lee¹⁵⁶ K. Long¹⁵⁶ C. Mironov¹⁵⁶ C. Paus¹⁵⁶ D. Rankin¹⁵⁶ C. Roland¹⁵⁶ G. Roland¹⁵⁶
Z. Shi¹⁵⁶ G. S. F. Stephans¹⁵⁶ J. Wang¹⁵⁶ Z. Wang¹⁵⁶ B. Wyslouch¹⁵⁶ R. M. Chatterjee¹⁵⁷ B. Crossman¹⁵⁷
A. Evans¹⁵⁷ J. Hiltbrand¹⁵⁷ Sh. Jain¹⁵⁷ B. M. Joshi¹⁵⁷ C. Kapsiak¹⁵⁷ M. Krohn¹⁵⁷ Y. Kubota¹⁵⁷ J. Mans¹⁵⁷
M. Revering¹⁵⁷ R. Rusack¹⁵⁷ R. Saradhy¹⁵⁷ N. Schroeder¹⁵⁷ N. Strobbe¹⁵⁷ M. A. Wadud¹⁵⁷
L. M. Cremaldi¹⁵⁸ K. Bloom¹⁵⁹ M. Bryson¹⁵⁹ D. R. Claes¹⁵⁹ C. Fangmeier¹⁵⁹ L. Finco¹⁵⁹ F. Golf¹⁵⁹
C. Joo¹⁵⁹ I. Kravchenko¹⁵⁹ I. Reed¹⁵⁹ J. E. Siado¹⁵⁹ G. R. Snow^{159,a} W. Tabb¹⁵⁹ A. Wightman¹⁵⁹ F. Yan¹⁵⁹
A. G. Zecchinelli¹⁵⁹ G. Agarwal¹⁶⁰ H. Bandyopadhyay¹⁶⁰ L. Hay¹⁶⁰ I. Iashvili¹⁶⁰ A. Kharchilava¹⁶⁰
C. McLean¹⁶⁰ M. Morris¹⁶⁰ D. Nguyen¹⁶⁰ J. Pekkanen¹⁶⁰ S. Rappoccio¹⁶⁰ A. Williams¹⁶⁰ G. Alverson¹⁶¹
E. Barberis¹⁶¹ Y. Haddad¹⁶¹ Y. Han¹⁶¹ A. Krishna¹⁶¹ J. Li¹⁶¹ J. Lidrych¹⁶¹ G. Madigan¹⁶¹
B. Marzocchi¹⁶¹ D. M. Morse¹⁶¹ V. Nguyen¹⁶¹ T. Orimoto¹⁶¹ A. Parker¹⁶¹ L. Skinnari¹⁶¹
A. Tishelman-Charny¹⁶¹ T. Wamorkar¹⁶¹ B. Wang¹⁶¹ A. Wisecarver¹⁶¹ D. Wood¹⁶¹ S. Bhattacharya¹⁶²
J. Bueghly¹⁶² Z. Chen¹⁶² A. Gilbert¹⁶² T. Gunter¹⁶² K. A. Hahn¹⁶² Y. Liu¹⁶² N. Odell¹⁶² M. H. Schmitt¹⁶²

- M. Velasco,¹⁶² R. Band,¹⁶³ R. Bucci,¹⁶³ S. Castells,¹⁶³ M. Cremonesi,¹⁶³ A. Das,¹⁶³ R. Goldouzian,¹⁶³
M. Hildreth,¹⁶³ K. Hurtado Anampa,¹⁶³ C. Jessop,¹⁶³ K. Lannon,¹⁶³ J. Lawrence,¹⁶³ N. Loukas,¹⁶³ L. Lutton,¹⁶³
J. Mariano,¹⁶³ N. Marinelli,¹⁶³ I. Mcalister,¹⁶³ T. McCauley,¹⁶³ C. Mcgrady,¹⁶³ K. Mohrman,¹⁶³ C. Moore,¹⁶³
Y. Musienko,^{163,n} H. Nelson,¹⁶³ R. Ruchti,¹⁶³ A. Townsend,¹⁶³ M. Wayne,¹⁶³ H. Yockey,¹⁶³ M. Zarucki,¹⁶³
L. Zygalas,¹⁶³ B. Bylsma,¹⁶⁴ M. Carrigan,¹⁶⁴ L. S. Durkin,¹⁶⁴ B. Francis,¹⁶⁴ C. Hill,¹⁶⁴ A. Lesauvage,¹⁶⁴
M. Nunez Ornelas,¹⁶⁴ K. Wei,¹⁶⁴ B. L. Winer,¹⁶⁴ B. R. Yates,¹⁶⁴ F. M. Addesa,¹⁶⁵ B. Bonham,¹⁶⁵ P. Das,¹⁶⁵
G. Dezoort,¹⁶⁵ P. Elmer,¹⁶⁵ A. Frankenthal,¹⁶⁵ B. Greenberg,¹⁶⁵ N. Haubrich,¹⁶⁵ S. Higginbotham,¹⁶⁵
A. Kalogeropoulos,¹⁶⁵ G. Kopp,¹⁶⁵ S. Kwan,¹⁶⁵ D. Lange,¹⁶⁵ D. Marlow,¹⁶⁵ K. Mei,¹⁶⁵ I. Ojalvo,¹⁶⁵
J. Olsen,¹⁶⁵ D. Stickland,¹⁶⁵ C. Tully,¹⁶⁵ Z. Wang,¹⁶⁵ S. Malik,¹⁶⁶ S. Norberg,¹⁶⁶ A. S. Bakshi,¹⁶⁷ V. E. Barnes,¹⁶⁷
R. Chawla,¹⁶⁷ S. Das,¹⁶⁷ L. Gutay,¹⁶⁷ M. Jones,¹⁶⁷ A. W. Jung,¹⁶⁷ D. Kondratyev,¹⁶⁷ A. M. Koshy,¹⁶⁷ M. Liu,¹⁶⁷
G. Negro,¹⁶⁷ N. Neumeister,¹⁶⁷ G. Paspalaki,¹⁶⁷ S. Piperov,¹⁶⁷ A. Purohit,¹⁶⁷ J. F. Schulte,¹⁶⁷ M. Stojanovic,¹⁶⁷
J. Thieman,¹⁶⁷ F. Wang,¹⁶⁷ R. Xiao,¹⁶⁷ W. Xie,¹⁶⁷ J. Dolen,¹⁶⁸ N. Parashar,¹⁶⁸ D. Acosta,¹⁶⁹ A. Baty,¹⁶⁹
T. Carnahan,¹⁶⁹ M. Decaro,¹⁶⁹ S. Dildick,¹⁶⁹ K. M. Ecklund,¹⁶⁹ P. J. Fernández Manteca,¹⁶⁹ S. Freed,¹⁶⁹
P. Gardner,¹⁶⁹ F. J. M. Geurts,¹⁶⁹ A. Kumar,¹⁶⁹ W. Li,¹⁶⁹ B. P. Padley,¹⁶⁹ R. Redjimi,¹⁶⁹ J. Rotter,¹⁶⁹ W. Shi,¹⁶⁹
S. Yang,¹⁶⁹ E. Yigitbasi,¹⁶⁹ L. Zhang,^{169,0000} Y. Zhang,¹⁶⁹ X. Zuo,¹⁶⁹ A. Bodek,¹⁷⁰ P. de Barbaro,¹⁷⁰
R. Demina,¹⁷⁰ J. L. Dulemba,¹⁷⁰ C. Fallon,¹⁷⁰ T. Ferbel,¹⁷⁰ M. Galanti,¹⁷⁰ A. Garcia-Bellido,¹⁷⁰ O. Hindrichs,¹⁷⁰
A. Khukhunaishvili,¹⁷⁰ E. Ranken,¹⁷⁰ R. Taus,¹⁷⁰ G. P. Van Onsem,¹⁷⁰ K. Goulianatos,¹⁷¹ B. Chiarito,¹⁷²
J. P. Chou,¹⁷² Y. Gershtein,¹⁷² E. Halkiadakis,¹⁷² A. Hart,¹⁷² M. Heindl,¹⁷² D. Jaroslawski,¹⁷²
O. Karacheban,^{172,aa} I. Laflotte,¹⁷² A. Lath,¹⁷² R. Montalvo,¹⁷² K. Nash,¹⁷² M. Osherson,¹⁷² S. Salur,¹⁷²
S. Schnetzer,¹⁷² S. Somalwar,¹⁷² R. Stone,¹⁷² S. A. Thayil,¹⁷² S. Thomas,¹⁷² H. Wang,¹⁷² H. Acharya,¹⁷³
A. G. Delannoy,¹⁷³ S. Fiorendi,¹⁷³ T. Holmes,¹⁷³ E. Nibigira,¹⁷³ S. Spanier,¹⁷³ O. Bouhalil,^{174,pppp}
M. Dalchenko,¹⁷⁴ A. Delgado,¹⁷⁴ R. Eusebi,¹⁷⁴ J. Gilmore,¹⁷⁴ T. Huang,¹⁷⁴ T. Kamon,^{174,qqqq} H. Kim,¹⁷⁴
S. Luo,¹⁷⁴ S. Malhotra,¹⁷⁴ R. Mueller,¹⁷⁴ D. Overton,¹⁷⁴ D. Rathjens,¹⁷⁴ A. Safonov,¹⁷⁴ N. Akchurin,¹⁷⁵
J. Damgov,¹⁷⁵ V. Hegde,¹⁷⁵ K. Lamichhane,¹⁷⁵ S. W. Lee,¹⁷⁵ T. Mengke,¹⁷⁵ S. Muthumuni,¹⁷⁵ T. Peltola,¹⁷⁵
I. Volobouev,¹⁷⁵ Z. Wang,¹⁷⁵ A. Whitbeck,¹⁷⁵ E. Appelt,¹⁷⁶ S. Greene,¹⁷⁶ A. Gurrola,¹⁷⁶ W. Johns,¹⁷⁶
A. Melo,¹⁷⁶ F. Romeo,¹⁷⁶ P. Sheldon,¹⁷⁶ S. Tuo,¹⁷⁶ J. Velkovska,¹⁷⁶ J. Viinikainen,¹⁷⁶ B. Cardwell,¹⁷⁷
B. Cox,¹⁷⁷ G. Cummings,¹⁷⁷ J. Hakala,¹⁷⁷ R. Hirosky,¹⁷⁷ M. Joyce,¹⁷⁷ A. Ledovskoy,¹⁷⁷ A. Li,¹⁷⁷ C. Neu,¹⁷⁷
C. E. Perez Lara,¹⁷⁷ B. Tannenwald,¹⁷⁷ P. E. Karchin,¹⁷⁸ N. Poudyal,¹⁷⁸ S. Banerjee,¹⁷⁹ K. Black,¹⁷⁹ T. Bose,¹⁷⁹
S. Dasu,¹⁷⁹ I. De Bruyn,¹⁷⁹ P. Everaerts,¹⁷⁹ C. Galloni,¹⁷⁹ H. He,¹⁷⁹ M. Herndon,¹⁷⁹ A. Herve,¹⁷⁹
C. K. Koraka,¹⁷⁹ A. Lanaro,¹⁷⁹ A. Loeliger,¹⁷⁹ R. Loveless,¹⁷⁹ J. Madhusudanan Sreekala,¹⁷⁹ A. Mallampalli,¹⁷⁹
A. Mohammadi,¹⁷⁹ S. Mondal,¹⁷⁹ G. Parida,¹⁷⁹ D. Pinna,¹⁷⁹ A. Savin,¹⁷⁹ V. Shang,¹⁷⁹ V. Sharma,¹⁷⁹
W. H. Smith,¹⁷⁹ D. Teague,¹⁷⁹ H. F. Tsoi,¹⁷⁹ W. Vetens,¹⁷⁹ S. Afanasiev,¹⁸⁰ V. Andreev,¹⁸⁰ Yu. Andreev,¹⁸⁰
T. Aushev,¹⁸⁰ M. Azarkin,¹⁸⁰ A. Babaev,¹⁸⁰ A. Belyaev,¹⁸⁰ V. Blinov,^{180,n} E. Boos,¹⁸⁰ V. Borshch,¹⁸⁰
D. Budkouski,¹⁸⁰ V. Bunichev,¹⁸⁰ O. Bychkova,¹⁸⁰ V. Chekhovsky,¹⁸⁰ R. Chistov,^{180,n} M. Danilov,^{180,n}
A. Dermenev,¹⁸⁰ T. Dimova,^{180,n} I. Dremin,¹⁸⁰ M. Dubinin,^{180,iii} L. Dudko,¹⁸⁰ V. Epshteyn,^{180,rrrr}
G. Gavrilov,¹⁸⁰ V. Gavrilov,¹⁸⁰ S. Gninenco,¹⁸⁰ V. Golovtcov,¹⁸⁰ N. Golubev,¹⁸⁰ I. Golutvin,¹⁸⁰ I. Gorbunov,¹⁸⁰
V. Ivanchenko,¹⁸⁰ Y. Ivanov,¹⁸⁰ V. Kachanov,¹⁸⁰ L. Kardapoltsev,^{180,n} V. Karjavine,¹⁸⁰ A. Karneyeu,¹⁸⁰
V. Kim,^{180,n} M. Kirakosyan,¹⁸⁰ D. Kirpichnikov,¹⁸⁰ M. Kirsanov,¹⁸⁰ V. Klyukhin,¹⁸⁰ O. Kodolova,^{180,ssss}
D. Konstantinov,¹⁸⁰ V. Korenkov,¹⁸⁰ A. Kozyrev,^{180,n} N. Krasnikov,¹⁸⁰ E. Kuznetsova,^{180,tttt} A. Lanev,¹⁸⁰
P. Levchenko,¹⁸⁰ A. Litomin,¹⁸⁰ N. Lychkovskaya,¹⁸⁰ V. Makarenko,¹⁸⁰ A. Malakhov,¹⁸⁰ V. Matveev,^{180,n}
V. Murzin,¹⁸⁰ A. Nikitenko,^{180,uuuu} S. Obraztsov,¹⁸⁰ V. Okhotnikov,¹⁸⁰ I. Ovtin,^{180,n} V. Palichik,¹⁸⁰
P. Parygin,^{180,vvvv} V. Pereygin,¹⁸⁰ M. Perfilov,¹⁸⁰ S. Petrushanko,¹⁸⁰ G. Pivovarov,¹⁸⁰ S. Polikarpov,^{180,n}
V. Popov,¹⁸⁰ O. Radchenko,^{180,n} M. Savina,¹⁸⁰ V. Savrin,¹⁸⁰ D. Selivanova,¹⁸⁰ V. Shalaev,¹⁸⁰ S. Shmatov,¹⁸⁰
S. Shulha,¹⁸⁰ Y. Skovpen,^{180,n} S. Slabospitskii,¹⁸⁰ V. Smirnov,¹⁸⁰ A. Snigirev,¹⁸⁰ D. Sosnov,¹⁸⁰ A. Stepennov,¹⁸⁰
V. Sulimov,¹⁸⁰ E. Tcherniaev,¹⁸⁰ A. Terkulov,¹⁸⁰ O. Teryaev,¹⁸⁰ I. Tlisova,¹⁸⁰ M. Toms,^{180,wwww} A. Toropin,¹⁸⁰
L. Uvarov,¹⁸⁰ A. Uzunian,¹⁸⁰ E. Vlasov,^{180,xxxx} A. Vorobyev,¹⁸⁰ N. Voytishin,¹⁸⁰ B. S. Yuldashev,^{180,yyyy}
A. Zarubin,¹⁸⁰ I. Zhizhin,¹⁸⁰ and A. Zhokin,¹⁸⁰

(CMS Collaboration)

- ¹*Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia*
- ²*Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Vienna, Austria*
- ³*Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium*
- ⁴*Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium*
- ⁵*Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium*
- ⁶*Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium*
- ⁷*Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium*
- ⁸*Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*
- ⁹*Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*
- ¹⁰*Universidade Estadual Paulista, Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil*
- ¹¹*Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria*
- ¹²*University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria*
- ¹³*Beihang University, Beijing, China*
- ¹⁴*Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China*
- ¹⁵*Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China*
- ¹⁶*State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China*
- ¹⁷*Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China*
- ¹⁸*Institute of Modern Physics and Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE)—Fudan University, Shanghai, China*
- ¹⁹*Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China*
- ²⁰*Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia*
- ²¹*Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia*
- ²²*University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia*
- ²³*University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia*
- ²⁴*Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia*
- ²⁵*University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus*
- ²⁶*Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic*
- ²⁷*Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador*
- ²⁸*Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador*
- ²⁹*Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt*
- ³⁰*Center for High Energy Physics (CHEP-FU), Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt*
- ³¹*National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia*
- ³²*Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland*
- ³³*Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland*
- ³⁴*Lappeenranta-Lahti University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland*
- ³⁵*IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France*
- ³⁶*Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France*
- ³⁷*Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France*
- ³⁸*Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon (IP2I), Villeurbanne, France*
- ³⁹*Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia*
- ⁴⁰*RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany*
- ⁴¹*RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany*
- ⁴²*RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany*
- ⁴³*Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany*
- ⁴⁴*University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany*
- ⁴⁵*Karlsruhe Institute fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany*
- ⁴⁶*Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece*
- ⁴⁷*National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece*
- ⁴⁸*National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece*
- ⁴⁹*University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece*
- ⁵⁰*MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary*
- ⁵¹*Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary*
- ⁵²*Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary*
- ⁵³*Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary*
- ⁵⁴*Karoly Robert Campus, MATE Institute of Technology, Gyongyos, Hungary*
- ⁵⁵*Panjab University, Chandigarh, India*
- ⁵⁶*University of Delhi, Delhi, India*
- ⁵⁷*Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India*

- ⁵⁸*Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India*
⁵⁹*Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India*
⁶⁰*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India*
⁶¹*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India*
- ⁶²*National Institute of Science Education and Research, An OCC of Homi Bhabha National Institute, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India*
⁶³*Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India*
⁶⁴*Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran*
⁶⁵*Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran*
⁶⁶*University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland*
^{67a}*INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy*
^{67b}*Università di Bari, Bari, Italy*
^{67c}*Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy*
^{68a}*INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
^{68b}*Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
^{69a}*INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy*
^{69b}*Università di Catania, Catania, Italy*
^{70a}*INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy*
^{70b}*Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy*
- ⁷¹*INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy*
^{72a}*INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy*
^{72b}*Università di Genova, Genova, Italy*
^{73a}*INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy*
^{73b}*Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy*
^{74a}*INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy*
^{74b}*Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy*
^{74c}*Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy*
^{74d}*Università G. Marconi, Roma, Italy*
^{75a}*INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy*
^{75b}*Università di Padova, Padova, Italy*
^{75c}*Università di Trento, Trento, Italy*
^{76a}*INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
^{76b}*Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
^{77a}*INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy*
^{77b}*Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy*
^{78a}*INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
^{78b}*Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
^{78c}*Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
^{78d}*Università di Siena, Siena, Italy*
^{79a}*INFN Sezione di Roma, Roma, Italy*
^{79b}*Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma, Italy*
^{80a}*INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy*
^{80b}*Università di Torino, Torino, Italy*
^{80c}*Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy*
^{81a}*INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy*
^{81b}*Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy*
⁸²*Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea*
- ⁸³*Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea*
⁸⁴*Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea*
⁸⁵*Korea University, Seoul, Korea*
- ⁸⁶*Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea*
⁸⁷*Sejong University, Seoul, Korea*
⁸⁸*Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea*
⁸⁹*University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea*
- ⁹⁰*Yonsei University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea*
⁹¹*Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea*
- ⁹²*College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East (AUM), Dasman, Kuwait*
⁹³*Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia*
⁹⁴*Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania*
- ⁹⁵*National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*
⁹⁶*Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico*

- ⁹⁷*Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico*
⁹⁸*Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico*
⁹⁹*Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico*
¹⁰⁰*University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro*
¹⁰¹*National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan*
¹⁰²*AGH University of Science and Technology Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Krakow, Poland*
¹⁰³*National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland*
¹⁰⁴*Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland*
¹⁰⁵*Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal*
¹⁰⁶*VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia*
¹⁰⁷*Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain*
¹⁰⁸*Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain*
¹⁰⁹*Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain*
¹¹⁰*Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain*
¹¹¹*University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka*
¹¹²*University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka*
¹¹³*CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland*
¹¹⁴*Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland*
¹¹⁵*ETH Zurich—Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland*
¹¹⁶*Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland*
¹¹⁷*National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan*
¹¹⁸*National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan*
¹¹⁹*Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand*
¹²⁰*Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey*
¹²¹*Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey*
¹²²*Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey*
¹²³*Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey*
¹²⁴*Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey*
¹²⁵*Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkiv, Ukraine*
¹²⁶*National Science Centre, Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkiv, Ukraine*
¹²⁷*University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom*
¹²⁸*Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom*
¹²⁹*Imperial College, London, United Kingdom*
¹³⁰*Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom*
¹³¹*Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA*
¹³²*Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA*
¹³³*The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, USA*
¹³⁴*Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA*
¹³⁵*Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA*
¹³⁶*University of California, Davis, Davis, California, USA*
¹³⁷*University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA*
¹³⁸*University of California, Riverside, Riverside, California, USA*
¹³⁹*University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA*
¹⁴⁰*University of California, Santa Barbara—Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, California, USA*
¹⁴¹*California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA*
¹⁴²*Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA*
¹⁴³*University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA*
¹⁴⁴*Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA*
¹⁴⁵*Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA*
¹⁴⁶*University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA*
¹⁴⁷*Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA*
¹⁴⁸*Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida, USA*
¹⁴⁹*University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, Illinois, USA*
¹⁵⁰*The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA*
¹⁵¹*Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA*
¹⁵²*The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA*
¹⁵³*Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA*
¹⁵⁴*Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA*
¹⁵⁵*University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA*
¹⁵⁶*Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA*

-
- ¹⁵⁷*University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA*
¹⁵⁸*University of Mississippi, Oxford, Mississippi, USA*
¹⁵⁹*University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA*
¹⁶⁰*State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA*
¹⁶¹*Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA*
¹⁶²*Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA*
¹⁶³*University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA*
¹⁶⁴*The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA*
¹⁶⁵*Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA*
¹⁶⁶*University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, USA*
¹⁶⁷*Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA*
¹⁶⁸*Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, Indiana, USA*
¹⁶⁹*Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA*
¹⁷⁰*University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA*
¹⁷¹*The Rockefeller University, New York, New York, USA*
¹⁷²*Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA*
¹⁷³*University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA*
¹⁷⁴*Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA*
¹⁷⁵*Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA*
¹⁷⁶*Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA*
¹⁷⁷*University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA*
¹⁷⁸*Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, USA*
¹⁷⁹*University of Wisconsin—Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA*
¹⁸⁰*An institute or international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN*

^aDeceased.^bAlso at Yerevan State University, Yerevan, Armenia.^cAlso at TU Wien, Vienna, Austria.^dAlso at Institute of Basic and Applied Sciences, Faculty of Engineering, Arab Academy for Science, Technology and Maritime Transport, Alexandria, Egypt.^eAlso at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium.^fAlso at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.^gAlso at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil.^hAlso at UFMS, Nova Andradina, Brazil.ⁱAlso at The University of the State of Amazonas, Manaus, Brazil.^jAlso at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.^kAlso at Nanjing Normal University Department of Physics, Nanjing, China.^lAlso at The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA.^mAlso at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.ⁿAlso at Another institute or international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.^oAlso at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt.^pAlso at Zewail City of Science and Technology, Zewail, Egypt.^qAlso at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.^rAlso at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt.^sAlso at Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA.^tAlso at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France.^uAlso at Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China.^vAlso at Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey.^wAlso at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.^xAlso at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany.^yAlso at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany.^zAlso at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.^{aa}Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.^{bb}Also at Forschungszentrum Jülich, Juelich, Germany.^{cc}Also at Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt.^{dd}Also at Karoly Robert Campus, MATE Institute of Technology, Gyongyos, Hungary.^{ee}Also at Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary.^{ff}Also at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary.^{gg}Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.^{hh}Also at Universitatea Babes-Bolyai—Facultatea de Fizica, Cluj-Napoca, Romania.

- ⁱⁱAlso at Faculty of Informatics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary.
^{jj}Also at Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India.
^{kk}Also at UPES—University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, Dehradun, India.
^{ll}Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.
^{mm}Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India.
ⁿⁿAlso at Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India.
^{oo}Also at Indian Institute of Technology (IIT), Mumbai, India.
^{pp}Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India.
^{qq}Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India.
^{rr}Also at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany.
^{ss}Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran.
^{tt}Also at Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of Mazandaran, Behshahr, Iran.
^{uu}Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy.
^{vv}Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy.
^{ww}Also at Scuola Superiore Meridionale, Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy.
^{xx}Also at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA.
^{yy}Also at Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro dell'INFN, Legnaro, Italy.
^{zz}Also at Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy.
^{aaa}Also at Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche—Istituto Officina dei Materiali, Perugia, Italy.
^{bbb}Also at Department of Applied Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia.
^{ccc}Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico.
^{ddd}Also at IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.
^{eee}Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.
^{fff}Also at Trincomalee Campus, Eastern University, Sri Lanka, Nilaveli, Sri Lanka.
^{ggg}Also at INFN Sezione di Pavia, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy.
^{hhh}Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.
ⁱⁱⁱAlso at Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland.
^{jjj}Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland.
^{kkk}Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria.
^{lll}Also at Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules, IN2P3-CNRS, Annecy-le-Vieux, France.
^{mmm}Also at Near East University, Research Center of Experimental Health Science, Mersin, Turkey.
ⁿⁿⁿAlso at Konya Technical University, Konya, Turkey.
^{ooo}Also at Izmir Bakircay University, Izmir, Turkey.
^{PPP}Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.
^{qqq}Also at Istanbul Gedik University, Istanbul, Turkey.
^{rrr}Also at Necmettin Erbakan University, Konya, Turkey.
^{sss}Also at Bozok Üniversitesi Rektörlüğü, Yozgat, Turkey.
^{ttt}Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.
^{uuu}Also at Milli Savunma University, Istanbul, Turkey.
^{vvv}Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.
^{www}Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey.
^{xxx}Also at Istanbul University—Cerrahpasa, Faculty of Engineering, Istanbul, Turkey.
^{yyy}Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey.
^{zzz}Also at Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium.
^{aaaa}Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.
^{bbbb}Also at University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom.
^{cccc}Also at IPPP Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom.
^{dddd}Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia.
^{eeee}Also at Università di Torino, Torino, Italy.
^{fff}Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA.
^{gggg}Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey.
^{hhhh}Also at University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China.
ⁱⁱⁱAlso at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA.
^{jjj}Also at United States Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland, USA.
^{kkk}Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey.
^{lll}Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia.
^{mmmm}Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey.
ⁿⁿⁿⁿAlso at Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey.
^{oooo}Also at Institute of Modern Physics and Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE)—Fudan University, Shanghai, China.

PPPP Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.

qqqq Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea.

rrrr Also at Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey.

ssss Also at Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia.

tttt Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA.

uuuu Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom.

vvvv Also at University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA.

wwww Also at Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA.

xxxx Also at INFN Sezione di Torino, Università di Torino, Torino, Italy, Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy.

yyyy Also at Institute of Nuclear Physics of the Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.