IRIS AperTO

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

An application of zooms to identify archaeological avian fauna from Teotihuacan, Mexico

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1955531 since 2024-02-12T13:39:35Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.jas.2022.105692

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

05 May 2024

AN APPLICATION OF ZOOMS TO IDENTIFY ARCHAEOLOGICAL AVIAN FAUNA FROM TEOTIHUACAN, MEXICO

To be submitted to Journal of Archaeological Science

Maria C. Codlin[1], Katerina Douka[2,3] Kristine K. Richter[3,4]

[1] Department of Anthropology, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA
[2] Department of Evolutionary Anthropology, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
[3] Max Planck Institute for the Science of Human History, Department of Archaeology, Jena, Germany
[4] Department of Anthropology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02318, USA

mcodlin@bu.edu
katerina.douka@univie.ac.at
kkrichter@palaeome.org

Corresponding author:
Maria C. Codlin
mcodlin@bu.edu
675 Commonwealth Avenue, Suite 347
Boston, Massachusetts 02215

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

DO NOT CITE IN ANY CONTEXT WITHOUT PERMISSION OF THE AUTHOR

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

1. Abstract

The remains of aquatic birds often represent the best surviving evidence for prehispanic lake exploitation in highland Central Mexico, an important center of urban development with vast lacustrine resources. Yet unlike the sustained focus on turkey husbandry in Mesoamerican research, the economic importance of ducks and other lacustrine birds has received little attention. The diversity of birds in Central Mexico presents challenges to species identification from skeletal remains. To overcome these challenges, we present a new application of ZooMS, a collagen-based identification technique, to identify archaeological avian fauna from Teotihuacan. We develop the first database of avian biomarkers to include specimens across multiple taxonomic groups and apply ZooMS on 295 bone fragments to identify fragmentary and unidentified avian remains from the Tlajinga district of Teotihuacan. Our results indicate that ZooMS has good potential to identify

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

avian fauna to at least the family level and that the residents of Tlajinga exploited a range of aquatic birds.

Keywords: ZooMS, Teotihuacan, aves, birds, collagen, aquatic

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

2. Introduction

Postclassic (900-1519 CE) communities in Central Mexico heavily exploited aquatic birds, fish and insects from the expansive lacustrine system in the Basin of Mexico (Figure 1, De Lucia, 2021; de Sahagún et al., 1963; Hirth, 2016, p. 28; Parsons, 2010, 2008). However, there is little evidence for how these lake exploitation economies developed over time, or their role in supporting the large, densely settled city of Teotihuacan, which dominated the region during the Classic period (ca. BCE 100 – 550/600 CE) (Sugiyama et al., 2017; Valadez Azúa, 2013; Widmer and Storey, 2016). Aquatic birds provide the most direct evidence for the exploitation of lake resources, as the remains of small lake fish, insects and crustaceans are rarely recovered archaeologically. Yet traditional zooarchaeological identification of birds in Central Mexico is challenging, hindered by large groups of winter migratory birds (Ayala-Pérez et al., 2013; Gamboa et al., 2017; Peterson and Navarro Sigüenza, 2006) and high species diversity in this center of avian endemism

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

(Howell and Webb, 1995, p. 15). To address these challenges, we explore the potential of palaeoproteomics, and specifically ZooMS (Zooarchaeology by Mass Spectrometry), to identify archaeological birds from Teotihuacan while also developing new collagen peptide biomarkers for North American avifauna.

ZooMS is a collagen-based method for taxonomic identification of animals based on amino acid substitutions, called single amino acid polymorphisms (SAPs), within Type I collagen—the primary organic component of bone (Buckley et al., 2009; Richter et al., 2022; Welker et al., 2015). Collagen is extracted, digested with the enzyme, trypsin, and analyzed on a matrix assisted laser desorption ionization time of flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometer. The resulting spectra are analyzed against lists of reference peptide markers that vary across taxa. In comparison to aDNA analysis, ZooMS is rapid, low-cost, and requires very little bone, allowing for high-throughput analysis and application to extremely small or fragmentary

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

remains (Buckley et al., 2016; Richter et al., 2011; Speller et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2021). ZooMS, therefore, provides taxonomic identification where traditional zooarchaeological methods could be limited, especially for fragmentary remains and morphologically similar species.



Figure 1. Location of Teotihuacan in the Basin of Mexico. Left: Lake system in Basin of Mexico prior to European contact (after *Lago de Texcoco Posclásico* 2007 by Yavidaxiu, and derivative work by historicair and Sémhur, CC BY-SA 4.0 via Wikimedia Commons). Right: Map of Teotihuacan (after Millon 1973).

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

While diagnostic markers have been established to discriminate among many types of mammals, fish and reptiles (Buckley and Kansa, 2011; Harvey et al., 2019b, 2018; Janzen et al., 2021; Peters et al., 2021; Richter et al., 2020; Speller et al., 2016; van der Sluis et al., 2014), the application of ZooMS for avian fauna has been minimal. As Eda et. al. (2020) note, this lack of interest stems largely from the slower collagen mutation rate in birds compared to mammals, which limits the number of mutations available to distinguish among taxonomic groupings (Buckley, 2018; Richter et al., 2022). However, despite the slow mutation rate, avian collagen has potential for taxonomic identification at the family (Horn et al., 2019) and sub-family levels (Eda et al., 2020). As of 2022, two peptide markers (COL1α2-502 and COL1α2-889, nomenclature after Brown et al. 2020) had been published that discriminate four domesticated fowl, including mallard duck (*Anas platyrhynchos*) and three members of the Phasianidae family: chicken (*Gallus gallus*), Japanese quail (*Phasianus coturnix*), and turkey (*Meleagris gallopavo*) (Buckley, 2018;

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

Buckley et al., 2009). Recently, a more detailed study of peptide COL1α2-889 noted two variations useful in discriminating archaeological chicken and indigenous pheasants in Japan (Eda et al., 2020), demonstrating the utility of ZooMS to address specific archaeological questions. However, two genera of indigenous pheasants of the Phasianidae family, *Phasianus* and *Syrmaticus*, were indistinguishable based on this peptide, and the marker used to identify *Phasianus* is also found in other families of birds (Eda et al., 2020). This demonstrates that relying on single peptide markers can limit the usefulness of ZooMS in areas with high avian diversity and highlights the need for further work on characterizing the collagen sequences of avian fauna.

We use these findings as a starting point to examine publicly available collagen sequences and reference modern samples to develop ZooMS markers that discriminate among 15 families, representing nine orders of birds that are frequently found in archaeological sites across the Americas (Figure 2).

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112



Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree of avian orders and families represented by modern and archaeological specimens (from itol.embl.de, v. 6.5.2, Letunic and Bork, 2021). Individuals from families in bold were analyzed by LC-MS/MS. *Scolopacidae family was identified through comparison of LC-MS/MS sequences to collagen sequences for this family.

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

3. Site Description: Teotihuacan, Mexico

Teotihuacan was a large, densely populated urban center, and one of the few cities in the Americas to have a population of 100,000 or more prior to European arrival (Figure 1). The city rose around 100 BCE and by its height in 250-550 CE had consolidated a large multiethnic population and presided over a network of communication corridors that connected the city to natural resources, trade goods, and other Mesoamerican cultures (Carballo, 2013; Hirth, 2020; Sanders et al., 1979). Parsons (2010) argued that lake exploitation in the Basin of Mexico would have intensified during this period to support growing urban populations and the expansion of settlements into landscapes that supported maguey (*Agave*) production, but otherwise had limited agricultural potential. Yet current estimates of aquatic resources at Tlajinga indicate waterfowl made up no more than 4% of the animals consumed in the city (Sugiyama et al., 2017, p. 66). On average, aquatic species make up 33% of the birds identified in residential areas of

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

142 Teotihuacan, while turkeys alone comprise 45% (Sugiyama et al., 2017, Table
143 ESM1).

144 Recent excavations at Tlajinga, on the southern periphery of the city,
145 provides new material to examine avian diversity and the importance of
146 aquatic birds to urban subsistence (Carballo et al., 2019). The faunal analysis
147 of these materials is described elsewhere (Codlin, in prep), but in brief, the
148 assemblage contained 613 fragments of bird bone, only 282 of those were
149 identified to a taxonomic group.

150 4. Materials and methods

151 *4.1. Modern reference samples*

152 Approximately 10-20 mg of bone was sampled from non-diagnostic
153 skeletal portions, primarily ribs of 31 modern North American bird specimens
154 (collections from Boston University and the American Museum of Natural
155 History [AMNH]). The samples included 17 species of bird from 12 families
156 commonly identified in bird checklists and archaeological sites in highland

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

157 Central Mexico: Accipitridae, Anatidae, Ardeidae, Cathartidae, Columbidae,
158 Corvidae, Icteridae, Laridae, Odontophoridae, Pelecanidae, Podicipedidae,
159 Rallidae (Table S1). Where possible, multiple individuals from the same
160 species were sampled.

161 *4.2. Archaeological samples*

162 Two-hundred and ninety-five (n=295) archaeological specimens were
163 sampled from Tlajinga, and from a nearby neighborhood, the Oaxaca Barrio
164 (Tlailotlacan). We sampled 259 avian bones from two adjacent apartment
165 compounds at Tlajinga (17:S3E1 and 18:S3E1), including 192 unidentifiable
166 specimens and 67 specimens identified morphologically at least to taxonomic
167 order (e.g. Galliformes). An additional 36 specimens, including five identified
168 minimally to order, were collected from faunal material from salvage
169 excavations at the Oaxaca Barrio (Ortega Cabrera, 2012, 2010, 2009).
170 Approximately 25% of the samples selected from both sites were identified
171 minimally to the level of family. Material from the Oaxaca Barrio is included in

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

ZooMS analysis, but the archaeological implications are not considered here. Faunal material from both excavations was recovered in the field using 5 mm screens, meaning that small birds, including quails, are likely to be under-represented in the dataset (Tellkamp, 2019). For all archaeological samples, small fragments of bone, weighing 10-50 mg, were removed from non-diagnostic portions of bone for analysis.

4.3. Bird collagen database

Avian collagen sequences were downloaded from UniProt and NCBI; these include data from avian genome sequencing (Feng et al., 2020; Jarvis et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014). The sequences were aligned and compared to the reference chicken sequences (COL1A1 - P02457, COL1A2 - P02467) using Jalview (see data at: 10.5281/zenodo.6363113) The sequence data was theoretically digested with trypsin using the Bacollite R package (v. 1.0, Hickinbotham et al., 2020) allowing the following post-translational

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

186 modifications: oxidation (+15.9949 Da) of proline and deamidation (+0.9840
187 Da) of asparagine and glutamine.

188 *4.4. Collagen sequencing and peptide mass fingerprinting*

189 Collagen was extracted and digested using established methods
190 (Brown et al., 2020b; Buckley et al., 2009; Welker et al., 2015). Briefly,
191 samples were incubated in 0.6 M hydrochloric acid (HCl) overnight, washed
192 with 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate (NH~4~HCO~3~) pH 8.0 (AmBic), and
193 incubated briefly in 0.1M of sodium hydroxide (NaOH) before gelatinization in
194 AmBic at 65℃ for one hour and digestion with trypsin. Samples were then
195 diluted and spotted 1:1 with a-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid and analyzed on
196 a Bruker Autoflex Speed LRF MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometer located at the
197 Max Planck Institute for the Science of Human History, Jena, Germany.
198

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

199 *4.5. Marker ID and MS/MS confirmation*

200 A list of candidate marker peaks was generated from visual comparison
201 of the spectra using mMass (v 5.5.0, Strohalm et al., 2010) and differences in
202 the theoretical peptide masses generated from Bacollite. One individual from
203 each pattern of markers identified in the archaeological assemblage (n=14)
204 was analyzed using LC-MS/MS (Lumos Orbitrap, Mass Spectrometry Facility,
205 University of Massachusetts Medical School) to confirm the sequences of
206 candidate markers. Where possible, the matching reference specimens were
207 also analyzed (n=9).

208 LC-MS/MS data was processed using Byonic (v. 3.4, Bern et al., 2012)
209 allowing for oxidations of methionine, proline, and lysine, deamidation of
210 asparagine and glutamine, and acetylation on N-terminal glutamine and
211 glutamic acid. First, proteins present in the samples were identified using a
212 database consisting of SwissProt (1/20/2022), whole proteomes from 13
213 species of bird, plus available avian proteins from UniProt (Table S2).

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

Focused databases for each sample were generated using a protein FDR of 2%. Second, to identify novel collagen peptides, assisted *de novo* sequencing was conducted using error tolerant searching in Byonic against a database containing 13 regions of interest from avian COL1α2-sequences. Third, marker confirmation was conducted against a database consisting of the sequences from the focused databases, excluding COL1 sequences, curated avian COL1 sequences, and novel collagen peptides from *de novo* sequencing. Markers were considered confirmed if there were 2 or more peptide spectral matches with PEP 2D scores below 0.001 with coverage of the SAP locations (Table S3).

4.6. Identification of archaeological samples and clustering

Clustering and sample identification was conducted using R version 4.1.1 (R Core Team, 2021) and the package MALDI-Quant (v 1.2, Gibb and Strimmer, 2012) after validation of the parameters used against mMass. Spectra underwent smoothing, baseline removal, calibration, peak picking,

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

and deisotoping before filtering for number of peaks between 50 and 90, as peak lists outside of this range correspond to poor quality spectral data. Technical replicates of the resulting spectra were then averaged and the averaged spectrum for each sample was peak picked and deisotoped. A binary matrix that recorded the presence or absence of markers (combining masses of amidated, deamidated, and oxidized versions where possible) was created from the markers confirmed by LC-MS/MS in the peak lists. The matrix was used for hierarchical clustering (stats package, R Core Team, 2021) with 20 groups. Spectra in these groups were then visually analyzed for homogeneity and taxonomic assignment. Low quality spectra which were previously filtered out were then visually inspected to see if taxonomic assignment was possible. The complete MALDI-quant workflow is available at 10.5281/zenodo.6366234. ZooMS data was the integrated with zooarchaeological data to generate Number of Identified Specimens (NISP) and Minimum Number of Individuals (MNI) (Lyman, 2008; Table S5).

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

5. Peptide biomarkers for avifauna

5.1. Peptide biomarkers and their taxonomic resolution

We confirmed 59 peptide sequence variations across 12 locations using LC-MS/MS, corresponding to 71 MALDI marker peaks for the identification of avian taxa (Table 1 and Table S3). These markers can successfully discriminate among 15 families based upon data from protein sequences and modern and archaeological samples (Table 2). Markers in the Anatidae, Charadriidae, Scolopacidae, Laridae, Podicipedidae, Rallidae, Phasianidae, Odontophoridae, Corvidae, and Columbidae families were confirmed using LC-MS/MS. Markers in Accipitridae, Cathartidae, Pelecanidae, Ardeidae, and Icteridae families are only candidate markers derived from ZooMS spectra and sequence data.

Not all the 71 markers identified are equally useful for identification. As reported in other taxonomic groups, some peptide variants are poorly visualized in the MALDI either for only modern species or both modern and

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

archaeological. Other variants overlap with each other, other collagen peptides, or common contaminants. For the marker peaks which overlap with common contaminants (*m/z* 1193.6, *m/z* 1566.7, and *m/z* 2108), LC-MS/MS analysis identified none of the contaminant peptides present in any of our samples. Moreover, no highly diagnostic marker peaks overlap with common contaminants, although care should be taken when interpreting the diagnostic peak for turkey, *m/z* 1622.6, which is 1 Da removed from peptide derived from the self-digestion of trypsin at *m/z* 1623.8. In addition, we identified several peptides which are consistently identified as deamidated in the MALDI and LC-MS/MS across all samples (e.g. COL1α2-175 and COL1α2-520). The most distinct peaks that can be used for identification are highlighted in bold in Table 1.

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

271 Table 1. Peaks for COL1α2 peptide markers identified for birds

Taxonomic ID	Sample #	10-42[b]		175-192[b]	292-309[d]	454-483[c]	502-519	520-555[b]	
Anatidae 1	MC148	3097.4	3113.4	1603.8	1609.7	**2777.3**	1578.8	**3152.4**	**3168.4**
Anas platyrhynchos	MC2	3097.4	3113.4	1603.8	1609.7	**2804.3**	1578.8	**3152.4**	
Anatidae 2	MC123	3097.4	3113.4	1603.8	1609.7	**2804.3**	1578.8	**3152.4**	**3168.4**
Anatidae 3	MC182	3097.4	3113.4	1603.8	1609.7	**2804.3**	1578.8	**3152.4**	**3168.4**
Oxyura jamaicensis	MC16	3097.4	3113.4	1589.8	1609.7	**2804.3**	1578.8	**3152.4**	**3168.4**
Anatidae 4	MC171	3097.4	3113.4	1589.8	1609.7	**2804.3**	1578.8	**3152.4**	**3168.4**
Podilymbus podiceps	MC37	**3106.4**	**3122.4**	1589.8	1596.8	**2804.3**	1578.8	**3152.4**	**3168.4**
Podicipedidae	MC187	**3106.4**	**3122.4**	1589.8	1596.8	**2804.3**	1578.8	**3152.4**	**3168.4**
Gallinula galeata	MC26	3097.4	3113.4	1589.8	1609.7	**2804.3**	1578.8	**3152.4**	**3168.4**
Fulica americana	MC30	3097.4	3113.4	1589.8	1609.7	**2804.3**	1578.8	**3152.4**	**3168.4**
Rallidae	MC110	3097.4	3113.4	1589.8	1609.7	**2804.3**	1578.8	**3152.4**	**3168.4**
Leucophaeus attricilla	MC28	3097.4	3113.4	1603.8	1609.7	**2777.3**	**1552.8**	**3206.5**	**3222.5**
Laridae	MC300	3097.4	3113.4	1603.8	1609.7	**2777.3**	**1552.8**	**3206.5**	
Charadrius semipalmatus	MC20		**3106.4**	1589.8	1609.7	**2777.3**	1578.8	**3156.4**	**3172.4**
Scolopacidae[a]	MC232	**3071.4**	**3087.4**	1589.8	1609.7	**2777.3**	**1608.8**	**3166.4**	**3182.4**
Meleagris gallopavo	MC39	3097.4	3113.4	1603.8	1609.7	**2777.3**	**1622.9**	**3192.5**	**3208.5**
Gallus gallus[a]	MC114	3097.4	3113.4	1603.8	1609.7	**2777.3**	1594.8	**3192.5**	**3208.5**
Colinus viginianus	MC32	3097.4	3113.4	1603.8	1609.7	**2777.3**	1594.8	**3182.4**	**3198.5**
Colinus viginianus	MC207	3097.4	3113.4	1603.8	1609.7	**2777.3**	1594.8	**3182.4**	**3198.4**
Odontophoridae	MC331	3097.4	3113.4	1603.8	1609.7	**2777.3**	1594.8	**3192.5**	
Zenaida macroura	MC23	3097.4	3113.4	1596.8	1579.7	**2804.3**	1578.8	**3150.4**	
Columbidae	MC129	3097.4	3113.4	1596.8		**2804.3**	1578.8	**3150.4**	**3166.4**
Corvus corax	MC349	**3111.4**	**3127.4**	1603.8	**1608.8**	**3166.5**	**1552.8**	**3166.5**	**3182.4**
Unconfirmed markers									
Accipiter cooperii	MC9	3097.4	3113.4	1603.8	1580*	2804.3	1552.8*	3152.4*	3168.4*
Buteo jamaicensis	MC18	3097.4	3113.4	1603.8	1580*	2804.3	1552.8*	3152.4*	3168.4*
Cathartes aura	MC11	3097.4	3113.4	1589.8	1609.7	2804.3			
Ardea alba	MC13	3097.4	3113.4	1589.8	1596.8*	2804.3	1578.8*	3152.4*	3168.4*
Egretta thula	MC25	3097.4	3113.4	1589.8	1596.8*	2804.3	1578.8*	3152.4*	3168.4*

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

Quiscalus mexicanus	MC21	3111.4	3127.4	1603.8	1608.8	2777.3	1578.8	3166.5
Pelecanus erythrorhynchos	MC35	3097.4	3113.4	1603.8	1596.8*	2804.3*	1552.8	3152.4* 3168.4*

The most diagnostic and least ambiguous peaks are in **bold**. Non-bolded peaks may be identical to peaks from other peptide locations and should only be used to support identifications based on other markers. The unconfirmed markers are based upon MALDI and sequence data, but have not been confirmed by LC-MS/MS. Labelling of peptides follows Brown et al. (2020a). These markers are all on the COL1a2 chain.

* denotes markers not visible in the MALDI, but expected based on collagen sequences of related taxa.

[a] Taxonomic identification is based on comparison to publicly available collagen sequences. [b] Peak appears most strongly at deamidated version. [c] Flies poorly in MALDI modern specimens. [d] This marker is not consistently identified in LC-MS/MS analysis. However, this marker does fly in the MALDI and often present at identical masses to other markers. Peak appears most strongly at deamidated version. [e] Appears inconsistently in LC-MS/MS and MALDI when no proline oxidation is present but has identical masses to some other markers. [f] Appears inconsistently in LC-MS/MS, however most birds in available collagen sequences have the peptide sequence responsible for peak at *m/z* 1221.6. *m/z* 1225.6 is diagnostic in MALDI and was confirmed in LC-MS/MS.

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

290 Table 1. *continued*

291

Taxonomic ID	Sample #	604-618[f]	625-648[c]	625-653[c]	658-687	757-789	889-906[e]	978-990[b]
Anatidae 1	MC148	1221.6	2108	2466.2	**2511.3**	**2985.5**	1616.8	**1632.8**	**1192.6**
Anas platyrhynchos	MC2		2108	2466.2	**2511.3**	**2969.5**	1616.8	**1632.8**	**1192.6**
Anatidae 2	MC123	1221.6	2108	2466.2	**2511.3**	**2969.5**	1616.8	**1632.8**	**1192.6**
Anatidae 3	MC182	1221.6	2108	2466.2	**2511.3**	**2984.5**		**1632.8**	**1192.6**
Oxyura jamaicensis	MC16	1221.6	2108	2466.2	**2511.3**	**2927.5**		**1660.8**	**1192.6**
Anatidae 4	MC171	1221.6	2108	2466.2	**2511.3**	**2927.5**	1644.8	**1660.8**	**1192.6**
Podilymbus podiceps	MC37	1221.6	2108	2466.2	2497.2	**2927.5**	1550.8	**1566.8**	1220.6
Podicipedidae	MC187	1221.6	2108	2466.2	2497.2	**2927.5**	1550.8	**1566.8**	1220.6
Gallinula galeata	MC26	1221.6	2108	2466.2	2497.2	**2985.5**	1578.8	1594.8	1220.6
Fulica americana	MC30	1221.6	2108	2466.2	2497.2	**2985.5**	1578.8	1594.8	1220.6
Rallidae	MC110	1221.6	2108	2466.2	2497.2	**2985.5**	1578.8	1594.8	1220.6
Leucophaeus attricilla	MC28	1221.6	2108	2466.2	**2531.2**	**2927.5**	1550.8	**1566.8**	1220.6
Laridae	MC300	1221.6	2108		**2531.2**	**2927.5**	1550.8	1566.8	1220.6
Charadrius semipalmatus	MC20	1221.6	2108	2466.2	**2511.3**	**2955.5**	1550.8	**1566.8**	1220.6
Scolopacidae[a]	MC232	1221.6	2108	2466.2	**2559.2**	**2985.5**	1550.8	**1566.8**	1220.6
Meleagris gallopavo	MC39	1221.6	2108	2466.2	**2539.3**	**2927.5**	1578.8	1594.8	1220.6
Gallus gallus[a]	MC114	1221.6	2108		**2539.3**	**2927.5**	1604.8	**1620.8**	1220.6
Colinus viginianus	MC32	1221.6	2108	2466.2	**2539.3**	**2927.5**	1550.8	**1566.8**	1220.6
Colinus viginianus	MC207	1221.6	2108	2466.2	**2539.3**	**2927.5**	1550.8	**1566.8**	1220.6
Odontophoridae	MC331	1221.6	2108	2466.2	**2539.3**	**2927.5**	1550.8	**1566.8**	1220.6
Zenaida macroura	MC23		2108	2466.2	2497.2	**2881.5**	1592.8	**1608.8**	**1192.6**
Columbidae	MC129	**1225.6**	2108	2466.2	2497.2	**2881.5**		**1608.8**	**1192.6**
Corvus corax	MC349	1221.6	**2135**	**2493.2**	**2525.3**	**2927.5**		**1580.8**	1220.6
				Unconfirmed markers					
Accipiter cooperii	MC9	1221.6	2108*	2466.2*	2511.3	2913	1578.8	1594.8	1220.6
Buteo jamaicensis	MC18	1221.6	2108*	2466.2*	2511.3	2913	1578.8	1594.8	1220.6
Cathartes aura	MC11	1221.6			2497.2	2913	1578.8	1594.8	1220.6

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

Ardea alba	MC13	1221.6	2108*	2466.2*	2511.3	2939	1550.8	1566.8	1220.6
Egretta thula	MC25	1221.6	2108*	2466.2*	2511.3	2939	1550.8	1566.8	1220.6
Quiscalus mexicanus	MC21	1221.6			2511.3	2927.5			1220.6
Pelecanus erythrorhynchos	MC35	1221.6	2108*	2466.2*	2497.2	2927.5	1578.8	1594.8	1220.6

292

293 *See notes on previous page*

294

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

295 Table 2. Summary of taxa analyzed and comparison of morphological and ZooMS
296 identifications

Order	Family	ID type	Morphological identification	ZooMS identification
Anseriformes	Anatidae	Modern	*Anas platyrhynchos*	Anatidae 2
Anseriformes	Anatidae	Modern	*Oxyura jamaicensis*	*Oxyura* sp. (Anatidae 4)
Anseriformes	Anatidae	Archaeological	Anatidae	Anatidae 1
Anseriformes	Anatidae	Archaeological	Anatidae	Anatidae 3
Anseriformes	Anatidae	Archaeological	Anatidae	Anatidae 5*
Anseriformes	Anatidae	Archaeological	Anatidae	Anatidae 6*
Columbiformes	Columbidae	Modern	*Zenaida macroura*	Columbidae
Columbiformes	Columbidae	Modern	*Columbina talpacoti*	Columbidae+
Columbiformes	Columbidae	Archaeological	Columbidae	Columbidae
Charadriiformes	Scolopacidae	Archaeological	Charadriiform	Scolopacidae*
Charadriiformes	Charadriidae	Modern	*Charadrius semipalmatus*	Charadriidae
Charadriiformes	Laridae	Modern	*Leucophaeus attricilla*	Laridae
Charadriiformes	Laridae	Archaeological	Laridae	Laridae
Passeriformes	Corvidae	Archaeological	*Corvus corax*	Corvidae
Passeriformes	Icteridae	Modern	*Quiscalus mexicanus*	Icteridae[2]
Podicipediformes	Podicipedidae	Modern	*Podilymbus podiceps*	Podicipedidae
Podicipediformes	Podicipedidae	Archaeological	*Podiceps nigricollis*	Podicipedidae
Galliformes	Odontophoridae	Modern	*Colinus virginianus*	*Colinus virginianus*
Galliformes	Odontophoridae	Archaeological	Odontophoridae	Odontophoridae
Gruiformes	Rallidae	Modern	*Fulica americana*	Rallidae
Gruiformes	Rallidae	Modern	*Gallinula galeata*	Rallidae
Galliformes	Phasianidae	Archaeological	Phasianidae	*Gallus gallus* *
Galliformes	Phasianidae	Archaeological	*Meleagris gallopavo*	*Meleagris gallopavo*
Accipitriformes	Accipitridae	Modern	*Accipiter cooperii*	Accipitridae+
Accipitriformes	Accipitridae	Modern	*Buteo jamaicensis*	Accipitridae+
Accipitriformes	Cathartidae	Modern	*Cathartes aura*	Cathartidae+
Pelecaniformes	Pelecanidae	Modern	*Pelecanus erythrorhynchos*	Pelecanidae+
Pelecaniformes	Ardeidae	Modern	*Egretta thula*	Ardeidae+

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

	Pelecaniformes	Ardeidae	Modern	*Ardea alba*	Ardeidae[+]

297

298 [*] Taxonomic identification is based only on sequence data and similarities to other
299 analyzed taxa, not MALDI reference data. [+] ZooMS identification is estimate as
300 markers are not confirmed by LC-MS/MS

301

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

5.1.1. Galliformes

Galliformes includes two families important for urban subsistence at Teotihuacan, Phasianidae and Odontophoridae. Reference samples were collected from *Colinus virginianus* (northern bobwhite) while *Meleagris gallopavo* (turkey) and *Gallus gallus* (chicken) are available in collagen sequence data. While no peptides can clearly discriminate the two families, COL1α2-889, COL1α2-520, and COL1α2-502 allow us to discriminate among *Gallus, Meleagris,* and *Colinus,* as well as other quails of the Odontophoridae family that are present in archaeological data. COL1α2-757 may have the potential to further discriminate among other quail genera present in Central Mexico (*Callipepla*, *Dendrortyx* and *Cyrtonyx*), but we have not been able to unambiguously confirm the marker without further reference material.

5.1.2. Charadriiformes

Charadriiformes is a diverse order of aquatic birds, and many species are found within the five families of this order common in Central Mexico:

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

317 Laridae, Scolopacidae, Charadriidae, Recurvirostridae and Jacanidae
318 (Peterson and Navarro Sigüenza, 2006). Reference samples were collected for
319 species from Laridae and Charadriidae, while collagen sequences are
320 available for species in Charadriidae and Scolopacidae. The samples from
321 these three families are distinguished by variations across six peptide
322 locations: COL1α2-10, COL1α2-175, COL1α2-502, COL1α2-658, COL1α2-757,
323 and COL1α2-520. Given that only one species from each group was sampled,
324 these peptide markers may be specific to genus or species within these
325 families, and there does not appear to be any peptide marker specific to this
326 order of birds.

327 *5.1.3. Anseriformes*

328 Anatidae (duck, geese and swans) are the only family from
329 Anseriformes present in Central Mexico (Howell and Webb, 1995) and we
330 identified the greatest diversity of markers among this family. The most
331 common genera in our study region are *Anas*, *Oxyura*, *Spatula*, *Aythya*, and

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

Mareca, while *Anser*, *Mergus*, and *Bucephala* may have been more common in the past (Ayala-Pérez et al., 2013; de Sahagún et al., 1963, pp. 26, 34–38, 57; Howell and Webb, 1995). Reference samples were acquired from *Anas* and *Oxyura* and sequence data are available for *Anas*, *Oxyura*, and *Anser*. Four main marker locations are important for Anatidae: COL1α2-658, COL1α2-889, COL1α2-454, and COL1α2-757. We identify six archaeological duck groups that are distinguishable based on various combinations of these four peptides (Figure 3). *Anas* and *Oxyura* are distinguished by differences in COL1α2-889 and COL1α2-757. *Anser* and *Anas* are distinguished at peptides COL1α2-658 and COL1α2-889.

The peptides identified in Anatidae 2 closely match *Anas platyrhynchos*. Archaeological specimens in this group likely include the closely related *Anas diazi* (Mexican duck), which was common in the region (Ayala-Pérez et al., 2013), and may also include other taxa in the *Anas* genus or dabbling ducks of the Anatini tribe, such as *Spatula* and *Mareca*. Markers

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

for Anatidae 4 are identical to *Oxyura jamaicensis* (ruddy duck) which is the only species of its tribe found in Central Mexico (Howell and Webb, 1995, pp. 172–3). It is likely, then, that all archaeological specimens identified as Anatidae 4 belong to *Oxyura jamaicensis*. Further reference samples are required to identify Anatidae groups 1, 3, 5, and 6, although the presence of peak *m/z* 2497.5 for COL1α2-658 in Anatidae 5 and 6 suggests they could be types of geese, based on sequence data for *Anser.*

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112



Figure 3. Examples of peptide markers that distinguish four groups of Anatidae. Anatidae 1 and 3 are not identified to taxonomic group, while Anatidae 2 is identical to *Anas platyrhynchos* and Anatidae 4 is identical to *Oxyura jamaicensis*. A) the difference between the COL1 α 2-454 marker at *m/z* 2777.3 for Anatidae 1, and *m/z* 2804.3 found in the other Anatidae groups. B) unique peaks for each Anatidae group among the COL1 α 2-757 marker—Anatidae 1: *m/z* 2985.5, Anatidae 2: *m/z* 2969.5, Anatidae 3: *m/z* 2984.5, and Anatidae 4: *m/z* 2927.5. C) the difference between the COL1 α 2-889 marker at *m/z* 1660.7 in Anatidae 4 and *m/z* 1632.7 that is present in other ducks of the Anatidae family.

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

365 *5.1.4. Gruiformes, Podicipediformes, Columbiformes, and Passeriformes*

366 Gruiformes, Podicipediformes and Columbiformes are each
367 represented by two species from one family: Rallidae, Podicipedidae, and
368 Columbidae respectively (Table 2). While Podicipedidae and Columbidae are
369 the only families from these orders present in the Basin of Mexico, Rallidae is
370 the most diverse family of three Gruiformes (Peterson and Navarro Sigüenza,
371 2006). Although specimens in each order are distinguished from other birds
372 based on multiple markers, species within each family are indistinguishable
373 from each other. Based on the large numbers of markers that distinguish
374 families within other orders studied here, the samples from Rallidae are likely
375 to be distinct from other families in the order Gruiformes.

376 Passeriformes are the largest order of birds with upwards of 140
377 families. An archaeological specimen for *Corvus corax* (raven) of the Corvidae
378 family is similar to *Quiscalus mexicanus* (Mexican grackle), except for the
379 marker at COL1α2-658. Compared to other taxa in the study, however, *Corvus*

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

and *Quiscalus* have the highest number of unique markers and many more variations among passerine families are visible in the available collagen sequences.

5.1.5. Pelecaniformes and Accipitriformes

Pelecaniformes and Accipitriformes are represented by multiple reference samples but were not submitted for LC-MS/MS analysis as the peptide marker patterns were not observed in any archaeological samples. MALDI peaks observed in these samples are presented in Table 1, but these unconfirmed peaks are not included in the biomarker list for identification of taxa. Accipitridae is the largest of three families of Accipitriformes in Central Mexico, including Cathartidae and Pandionidae (Peterson and Navarro Sigüenza, 2006). While *Buteo* and *Accipiter* from Accipitridae cannot be separated by MALDI marker, Accipitridae and Cathartidae are distinguished from each other by markers at COL1α2-175 and COL1α2-658. Similarly, among the Pelecaniformes, Ardeidae is the largest of three families common

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

395 in Central Mexico, also including Pelecanidae and Threskiornithidae
396 (Peterson and Navarro Sigüenza, 2006). *Egretta* and *Ardea* in the Ardeidae
397 family are indistinguishable, while Ardeidae are distinct from Pelecanidae at
398 multiple markers.

399 *5.2. Comparison to established peptide markers*

400 The overall mutation rate of avian collagen is lower than mammals.
401 However, several peptide regions appear to have particularly high variability,
402 meaning avian taxonomic groups can be identified more effectively than
403 predicted by the slower mutation rate. Peptides COL1α2-520 and COL1α2-
404 757, for example, both have at least five locations of SAPs in their respective
405 36- and 33-number amino acid sequences. These combinations of variants
406 correspond to seven unique peptide sequences for each marker location that
407 was confirmed with LC-MS/MS. While COL1α2-889 is a shorter peptide with
408 only 18 amino acids, SAPs occur in three locations and correspond to eight
409 unique peptide sequences. Overall, the taxonomic resolution achievable in

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

410 birds appears to be only slightly less than that of mammals. For example, five
411 markers have been identified that distinguish taxa within the family Bovidae
412 (Janzen et al., 2021), while we identified four peptide markers that distinguish
413 taxa within the family Anatidae.

414 COL1α1 508-519 has been previously noted a useful marker to
415 discriminate broad groups of mammals (Buckley et al., 2014). This peptide
416 appears conserved across all birds in this study, present at *m/z* 1162.
417 However recent research suggests this marker and amino acid sequence is
418 also shared with Australian marsupials and some reptiles (Harvey et al.,
419 2019a; Peters et al., 2021).

420 As in mammals, most of the diagnostic bird biomarkers are identified
421 from COL1 α 2. Some potential markers were identified on COL1 α 1, but these
422 are not presented as they could not be consistently confirmed by LC-MS/MS.
423 Of the avian markers identified here, all but two are homologous to locations
424 where biomarkers are identified in the collagen sequences of mammals or

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

425 fish: COL1α2 454, COL1α2 502, COL1α2 757, and COL1α2 978 (Pep E, C, G
426 and A, Buckley et al., 2009); COL1α2 292 (P2, Buckley et al., 2014), COL1α2
427 10 (Pep 9, Buckley et al., 2016), COL1α2 889 (Janzen et al., 2021, although
428 first described as a bird marker by Buckley, 2018 and Eda et al. 2020),
429 COL1α2-604 (Harvey et al., 2019a), COL1α2-625 (Harvey et al., 2018),
430 COL1α2-658 (Richter et al., 2020). These locations seem to have good
431 discriminant ability across animal types. While Wang et al. (2021) noted
432 COL1α2 175 as a non-diagnostic marker shared by mammals, this marker
433 does discriminate among birds, although it overlaps with identical masses
434 from other markers. One novel marker presented here, COL1α2-520-555, is
435 highly diagnostic for avian identification, but should be confirmed through
436 visual inspection of spectra. This marker is often missing in modern
437 specimens and as the peak is broad with a large isotope distribution, the
438 correct peak in the distribution is often not properly identified during

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

automatic peak picking and deisotoping, resulting in being incorrectly identified 1-2 Da off.

6. Analysis of archaeological samples

6.1. Taxonomic discrimination via clustering

All 31 modern reference specimens produced high quality spectra and the peaks picked were consistent across replicates and individuals. Modern and archaeological samples were assigned to one of 20 groups based on hierarchical clustering of marker peaks (Figure 4, see also Figure S1 and Table S1). Two-hundred eighty-five of the 295 archaeological samples clustered into groups, while 10 samples were removed by the screening process. In contrast, only 5 of the 31 reference specimens passed initial screening due to higher rates of noise in spectra for modern samples. However, these parameters were kept due to the excellent discrimination of archaeological samples in the clusters. Four of the five reference specimens clustered where expected, while one sample clustered separately from archaeological

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

samples. Identification of samples within each group was independently verified by visual inspection of marker peaks and further identification of taxa within clusters was possible.

Six of the 20 clusters contained a total of 30 archaeological samples matching established mammal biomarkers, while Corvidae, Columbidae, Rallidae, Podicipedidae, and Scolopacidae each clustered separately. Laridae clustered apart, with the only erroneous clustering of a non-related sample (MC306, identified as passeriform). Galliformes clustered together with one distinct sub-grouping of *Meleagris*, and another distinct group comprising both Odontophoridae and *Gallus*. Three clusters contained Anatidae. Cluster 1 largely contained specimens from Anatidae 1, while Cluster 9 grouped all Anatidae 4 samples. Cluster 5 contained two sub-groups, which broadly separated Anatidae 1 and 3.

Eleven samples produced high-quality spectra but were not identifiable to a taxonomic group by visual inspection. Each of these samples presented

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

the peak at *m/z* 1162, common to all birds and additional avian biomarkers. However, these 11 samples represent ten unique peak lists and group across multiple taxonomic clusters (Figure 4). This suggests there could be ten distinct taxa yet to be identified in this assemblage. Of the ten archaeological samples that were excluded prior to clustering, one was identified as *Meleagris*, and nine were too poor to identify.

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112



475

476 Figure 4. Schematic of hierarchical clustering results for archaeological samples.
477 Solid lines represent assigned clusters while dashed lines indicate where additional
478 groupings were observed within assigned clusters. Aquatic birds are shown in blue
479 and terrestrial birds in red. * denotes presence of unknown taxa, while + denotes
480 incorrect classification at order or family level. See detailed clustering diagram in
481 Figure S1.

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

482

483 This clustering achieved the highest accuracy when limiting variation
484 among spectra. Averaging replicates analyzed at multiple dilutions and
485 removing poor spectra prior to clustering greatly improves assignment of
486 samples to the correct taxonomic group. Moreover, both accuracy and
487 precision is improved by matching to carefully curated peak lists and
488 combining the presence of markers with deamidated peaks and varying
489 number of proline oxidations. Our clustering demonstrates the utility of this
490 workflow to rapidly assign a large number of archaeological samples, each
491 with multiple replicates, to broad taxonomic groups.

492 *6.2. Identifications and collagen preservation at Tlajinga*

493 Some differences were observed between the morphological and
494 collagen-based identifications (see Table S1). Thirty specimens were
495 identified as mammals and an additional nine had avian identifications that
496 differed from their morphological identification. Given the difficulties in

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

identifying small mammals and birds from fragmented specimens, these are certainly errors in morphological analysis (Driver, 2011; Wolverton, 2013). Nevertheless, the archaeological sample was not selected randomly, and the high number of erroneous morphological identifications is partly due to sampling bias towards less confidently identified fragments. Moreover, these discrepancies highlight, as others have argued, the importance of checking the quality of zooarchaeological identifications using molecular methods, particularly for difficult to identify taxa (Driver, 2011; Horsburgh et al., 2016; Speller et al., 2016).

Nine carbonized bone specimens produced low quality spectra, likely because collagen begins to break down around 70℃. However, at least 24 other samples with evidence of burning or partial carbonization produced identifiable spectra, suggesting that heat exposure does not completely rule out ZooMS analysis because of differential temperatures reached at different parts of the bone during burning. As ZooMS requires intact peptides, but less

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

overall collagen than isotopes or radiocarbon analyses (Harvey et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2021), more research is needed to establish the level of heat exposure tolerance. That all non-carbonized samples (n=262) produced spectra suitable for taxonomic identification suggests that the collagen preservation at Tlajinga is very good and that archaeological avian bones can readily produce successful spectra using ZooMS.

6.3. The archaeology of birds at Tlajinga

ZooMS identifications of elements were incorporated into the existing zooarchaeological data from Tlajinga to calculate site NISP and MNI for bird taxa (Figure 5, see also Table S5). Overall, 18 avian taxa were identified in the Tlajinga assemblage, while an additional 10 taxa remain unidentified. The total number of identified bird elements at Tlajinga increased from 280 to 384. While the MNI increased from 33 to 44, 10 of these additional birds represent the 10 unidentified taxonomic groups. Therefore, the MNI pre and

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

post ZooMS analysis changed very little, highlighting that the new MNI is more representative of taxonomic diversity rather than taxonomic abundance.

The integrated results from ZooMS and morphological identifications (Figure 5) confirm that waterfowl are the most common type of bird identified at Tlajinga and, together with other aquatic birds, make up almost 50% of the assemblage by NISP and 36% by MNI. Overall, these results are consistent with elsewhere at Teotihuacan, were aquatic birds make up roughly a third of the avian assemblage by MNI (Sugiyama et al., 2017). Turkeys, however make up a much smaller proportion of the avian assemblage at Tlajinga compared to the Teotihuacan average. Together, this suggests that aquatic taxa may have been more important to urban subsistence at Tlajinga than other areas of the city, which may have placed greater reliance on terrestrial resources like turkeys.

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112



541

542 Figure 5. Relative abundance of all avian taxa identified at Tlajinga before and after
543 ZooMS analysis. Call out presents relative proportions of the six Anatidae
544 distinguished by ZooMS. * Includes taxa identified from shaft fragments, + includes
545 only bones identified to element.

546 *6.3.1. Taxonomic diversity at Tlajinga: aquatic taxa*

547 At Tlajinga, eleven aquatic bird taxa were identified by ZooMS and

548 morphological analyses, including six members of the Anatidae family, two

549 members of the Rallidae family, *Fulica americana* (American coot) and

550 *Gallinula galatea* (common gallinule), as well as examples of Laridae (gulls),

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

Scolopacidae (sandpipers), and Podicipedidae (grebes). Four Anatidae groups are represented by multiple specimens (Figure 5). Anatidae 2, which includes taxa in the *Anas* genus, is the most abundant of the ducks identified by ZooMS at Tlajinga (56% of Anatidae by NISP), followed by Anatidae 3 (18%), Anatidae 4, identified as *Oxyura jamaicensis* (13%), and Anatidae 1 (11%). Anatidae 1 and 3 make up 11% and 18% respectively of the ducks identified with ZooMS. Anatidae 5 and 6 are rare, each represented by a single specimen.

As Anatidae are typically not identified beyond family level at Teotihuacan (Sugiyama et al., 2017, Table ESM1), the identification of at least six Anatidae taxa provides additional information into exploitation of lake resources at the city. Understanding taxonomic diversity of aquatic birds in urban and rural settlements provides an opportunity to examine changes in specialization or diversification of the production and distribution of lake resources throughout Central Mexico. Our results demonstrate that the

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

566 residents of Tlajinga were exploiting a wide range of aquatic birds, including
567 multiple types of ducks whose habitats and behaviors vary across species.
568 This suggests that the production and distribution systems that contributed
569 to the faunal assemblage at Tlajinga may have employed a diversified
570 strategy, exploiting a wide range of animals, rather than specializing in the
571 acquisition of particular taxa. This also supports the argument that other lake
572 resources, such as small fish and insects, may also have played an important
573 role in urban subsistence during the Classic period (e.g., Parsons, 2010;
574 Widmer and Storey, 2016).

575 Moreover, many aquatic birds are migratory, while others, including
576 *Oxyura jamaicensis* and *Anas diazi,* breed in Central Mexico year-round.
577 Careful examination of the relative abundance of Anatidae species via ZooMS
578 alongside other paleo-proteomic techniques such as peptide-based
579 identification of eggshells (Demarchi et al., 2020; Presslee et al., 2017;
580 Stewart et al., 2014), provides new opportunities to examine the seasonality

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

of lake exploitation and the implication of intensive lake exploitation on avian populations in the past.

6.3.2. Taxonomic diversity at Tlajinga: terrestrial taxa

Seven types of non-aquatic birds were identified at Tlajinga from the combined ZooMS and morphological analysis, including Columbidae (pigeons and doves), Odontophoridae (New World quails), *Colinus virginianus*, *Meleagris gallopavo*,*Corvus corax, Gallus gallus,* and *Accipiter cooperii* (Cooper's hawk). Compared to morphological identifications, ZooMS provided additional discrimination potential for Phasianidae and Odontophoridae families. In addition to *Meleagris gallopavo*, we identified specimens that closely match theoretical peaks for *Gallus gallus*. Domestic chickens were introduced to Central Mexico after European arrival and these specimens derive from an area of the site with early colonial features. The discovery of this taxon in deposits below these features provides additional information to assess the location and extent of colonial period deposits at Tlajinga.

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

ZooMS also provides the ability to discriminate between *Colinus virginianus* and other quails of the Odontophoridae family. While this result was unexpected and deserves further analysis, the ability to discriminate between these taxa presents an opportunity to investigate the exploitation of quails in Central Mexico. Some Central Mexican quails prefer more open woodland or grassland environments (*Colinus* and *Cyrtonyx*), while others prefer denser forested environments (*Dendrortyx*) (Howell and Webb, 1995, pp. 226, 229, 231). Greater taxonomic precision through ZooMS could be employed to understand environmental and agricultural shifts within the valley, while integration with proteomic techniques for eggshell identification would provide a means to examine the hypothesis that *Colinus virginianus* were raised for urban consumption at Teotihuacan (Widmer and Storey, 2016).

Finally, the ten unidentified taxa highlight that rare avian taxa are underrepresented in traditional zooarchaeological analysis. Rare taxa may not

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

have major implications for understanding urban subsistence but can provide additional insight into birds acquired for other reasons, such as their colorful plumage, bird song, or symbolic meanings especially when combined with pictographic or historical references such as the murals recently excavated at civic-ceremonial structures in Tlajinga (Carballo et al., 2021).

To address these new avenues for research, the integration of zooarchaeological and ZooMS data needs to be considered carefully in project planning. Initially, we did not expect to discriminate among Anatidae, and so specimens that were identified confidently to Anatidae were not selected for ZooMS analysis. Consequently, the relative abundances of Anatidae groups shown in Figure 5 are estimates of abundance, as morphological identifications in the non-ZooMS assemblage may be biased towards one taxon or another. For larger projects that require confident assessments of relative abundance, it may be productive to choose fewer skeletal elements (i.e., the most robust limb bones) and to analyze all

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

identified and unidentified specimens in the collection. With further research on reference materials, we expect that our ability to discriminate among birds with peptide mass fingerprinting will increase and some of the less specific identifications of taxa in this study may be refined.

7. Conclusion

With the confirmation of a 71-MALDI-marker panel across 12 peptides, we have demonstrated that ZooMS is suitable for identification of avian taxa from archaeological contexts to at least the level of family. The slower mutation rate of avian collagen appears to be offset by high variability in particular regions, meaning that ZooMS can also identify birds to sub-family levels. While Buckley (2018) and Eda et al. (2020) previously noted differences that discriminate among members of the Phasianidae family, we demonstrate that sub-family differences are also possible among Odontophoridae and Anatidae.

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

Using ZooMS we revealed higher avian taxonomic diversity from the faunal assemblage at Tlajinga, Teotihuacan during the Classic period including the exploitation of a range of aquatic birds. Combining ZooMS with morphology allowed for an increased recovery of rare taxa which are often underrepresented in reference collections and thus less frequently identified compared to commonly exploited taxa. To fully take advantage of ZooMS, the ability to integrate ZooMS into traditional zooarchaeological analyses such as NSIP and MNI should be considered. Going forward, the enhanced ability to identify avian remains to the family and subfamily level has great potential to elucidate a wide range of societal topics, from urban provisioning systems, seasonality of settlements or hunting activities, and past environmental changes.

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

8. Data accessibility

MALDI raw data and MS2s for confirmed biomarker sequences is available through Zendo at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6363114. MS/MS data is available through ProtoemeXchange (PXD034547) through MassIVE (MSV000089660) at DOI: https://doi.org/10.25345/C5N29PB27. R code for MALDI analysis and clustering is available through Zenodo at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6366234. All other data are included in the manuscript and/or supplemental materials.

9. Acknowledgements

Material in this article was based on work supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF) under Dissertation Improvement Grant (BCS-1916358), NSF Grant (BCS-13212447), the Max Planck Group, and Harvard University. Permission for destructive sampling of archaeological material was provided by the Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia in Mexico City. We thank Raúl Valadez Azúa, Verónica Ortega Cabrera, David

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

668 Carballo, Catherine West and the American Museum of Natural History for
669 access to modern and archaeological faunal material from Tlajinga and
670 Tlailotlacan, Sandra Hebestreit for lab support and running of the MALDI at
671 the Max Planck Institute for the Science of Human History; Scott Shaffer and
672 Khaja Muneeruddin at University of Massachusetts Medical School for
673 processing the MS/MS samples; Dusan Boric, Alana Masciana, and Kevin
674 Uno at Columbia University for providing US based lab space; Christina
675 Warinner, The Proteomics Core Facility, and FAS Research Computing at
676 Harvard University for supporting infrastructure for data analysis. Many
677 thanks to Catherine West and John M. Marston for their support in
678 conceptualization of this project and feedback on early drafts of the
679 manuscript.

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

Author contributions:

M.C.: Conceptualization, Writing - Original Draft, Formal Analysis, Visualization, Investigation, Funding Acquisition

K.D.: Writing, Writing - Review & Editing, Funding Acquisition

K.R.: Conceptualization, Writing - Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing, Visualization, Investigation

Competing Interest Statement: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

10. Bibliography

Ayala-Pérez, V., Arce, N., Carmona, R., 2013. Distribución espacio-temporal de aves acuáticas invernantes en la ciénega de Tláhuac, planicie lacustre de Chalco, México. Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad 84, 327–337. https://doi.org/10.7550/rmb.28632

Bern, M., Kil, Y.J., Becker, C., 2012. Byonic: advanced peptide and protein identification software. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics Chapter 13, Unit13.20. https://doi.org/10.1002/0471250953.bi1320s40

Brown, S., Douka, K., Collins, M., Richter, K.K., 2020a. On the standardization of ZooMS nomenclature. Journal of Proteomics 104041. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2020.104041

Brown, S., Hebestreit, S., Wang, N., Boivin, N., Douka, K., Richter, K.K., 2020b. Zooarchaeology by Mass Spectrometry (ZooMS) for bone material - Acid insoluble protocol. protocols.io. https://doi.org/dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bf43jqyn

Buckley, M., 2018. Zooarchaeology by Mass Spectrometry (ZooMS) Collagen Fingerprinting for the Species Identification of Archaeological Bone Fragments, in: Giovas, C.M., LeFebvre, M.J. (Eds.), Zooarchaeology in Practice. Springer International Publishing, pp. 227–247. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-64763-0_12

Buckley, M., Collins, M., Thomas-Oates, J., Wilson, J.C., 2009. Species Identification by Analysis of Bone Collagen Using Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionisation Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry. Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry 23, 3843–3854. https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.4316

Buckley, M., Fraser, S., Herman, J., Melton, N.D., Mulville, J., Pálsdóttir, A.H., 2014. Species identi fi cation of archaeological marine mammals using collagen fi ngerprinting 41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2013.08.021

Buckley, M., Gu, M., Shameer, S., Patel, S., Chamberlain, A.T., 2016. High-throughput collagen fingerprinting of intact microfaunal remains; A low-cost method for distinguishing between murine rodent bones. Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry 30, 805–812. https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.7483

Buckley, M., Kansa, S.W., 2011. Collagen fingerprinting of archaeological bone and teeth remains from Domuztepe, South Eastern Turkey. Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences 3, 271–280. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12520-011-0066-z

Carballo, D.M., 2013. The Social Organization of Craft Production and Interregional Exchange at Teotihuacan, in: Hirth, K.G., Pillsbury, J. (Eds.), Merchants, Trade, and Exchange in the Pre-Columbian World. Dumbarton Oaks and Trustees for Harvard University, Washington, D.C., pp. 113–140.

Carballo, D.M., Barba, L., Ortíz, A., Blancas, J., Sariñana, D.H., Codlin, M., Saucedo, A., Rodríguez, G.D.T., 2021. Excavations at the Southern Neighborhood Center of the Tlajinga District, Teotihuacan, Mexico. Latin American Antiquity 32, 557–576.

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

Carballo, D.M., Hirth, K.G., Hernández Sariñana, D., Buckley, G.M., Mejía Ramón, A.G., Kennett, D.J., 2019. New Research at Teotihuacan's Tlajinga District, 2012–2015. Ancient Mesoamerica 30, 95–113. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0956536118000159

Codlin, M.C., in prep. Household Subsistence and Animal Acquisition at Tlajinga, Teotihuacan, Mexico. To be submitted to Ancient Mesoamerica.

De Lucia, K., 2021. Household lake exploitation and aquatic lifeways in postclassic Xaltocan, Mexico. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology 62, 101273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaa.2021.101273

de Sahagún, B., Anderson, A.J.O., Dibble, C.E., 1963. Book 11: Earthly Things, Florentine Codex. School of American Research.

Demarchi, B., Presslee, S., Sakalauskaite, J., Fischer, R., Best, J., 2020. The role of birds at Çatalhöyük revealed by the analysis of eggshell. Quaternary International 543, 50–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2020.02.009

Driver, J.C., 2011. Identification, classification and zooarchaeology. Ethnobiology Letters 2, 19–39. https://doi.org/10.14237/ebl.2.2011.19-39

Eda, M., Morimoto, M., Mizuta, T., Inou, T., 2020. ZooMS for birds: Discrimination of Japanese archaeological chickens and indigenous pheasants using collagen peptide fingerprinting. Journal of Archaeological Science : Reports 34, 102635. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jasrep.2020.102635

Feng, S., Stiller, J., Deng, Y., Armstrong, J., Fang, Q., Reeve, A.H., Xie, D., Chen, G., Guo, C., Faircloth, B.C., Petersen, B., Wang, Z., Zhou, Q., Diekhans, M., Chen, W., Andreu-Sánchez, S., Margaryan, A., Howard, J.T., Parent, C., Pacheco, G., Sinding, M.-H.S., Puetz, L., Cavill, E., Ribeiro, Â.M., Eckhart, L., Fjeldså, J., Hosner, P.A., Brumfield, R.T., Christidis, L., Bertelsen, M.F., Sicheritz-Ponten, T., Tietze, D.T., Robertson, B.C., Song, G., Borgia, G., Claramunt, S., Lovette, I.J., Cowen, S.J., Njoroge, P., Dumbacher, J.P., Ryder, O.A., Fuchs, J., Bunce, M., Burt, D.W., Cracraft, J., Meng, G., Hackett, S.J., Ryan, P.G., Jønsson, K.A., Jamieson, I.G., da Fonseca, R.R., Braun, E.L., Houde, P., Mirarab, S., Suh, A., Hansson, B., Ponnikas, S., Sigeman, H., Stervander, M., Frandsen, P.B., van der Zwan, H., van der Sluis, R., Visser, C., Balakrishnan, C.N., Clark, A.G., Fitzpatrick, J.W., Bowman, R., Chen, N., Cloutier, A., Sackton, T.B., Edwards, S.V., Foote, D.J., Shakya, S.B., Sheldon, F.H., Vignal, A., Soares, A.E.R., Shapiro, B., González-Solís, J., Ferrer-Obiol, J., Rozas, J., Riutort, M., Tigano, A., Friesen, V., Dalén, L., Urrutia, A.O., Székely, T., Liu, Y., Campana, M.G., Corvelo, A., Fleischer, R.C., Rutherford, K.M., Gemmell, N.J., Dussex, N., Mouritsen, H., Thiele, N., Delmore, K., Liedvogel, M., Franke, A., Hoeppner, M.P., Krone, O., Fudickar, A.M., Milá, B., Ketterson, E.D., Fidler, A.E., Friis, G., Parody-Merino, Á.M., Battley, P.F., Cox, M.P., Lima, N.C.B., Prosdocimi, F., Parchman, T.L., Schlinger, B.A., Loiselle, B.A., Blake, J.G., Lim, H.C., Day, L.B., Fuxjager, M.J., Baldwin, M.W., Braun, M.J., Wirthlin, M., Dikow, R.B., Ryder, T.B., Camenisch, G., Keller, L.F., DaCosta, J.M., Hauber, M.E., Louder, M.I.M., Witt, C.C., McGuire, J.A., Mudge, J., Megna, L.C., Carling, M.D., Wang, B., Taylor, S.A., Del-Rio, G., Aleixo, A., Vasconcelos, A.T.R., Mello, C.V.,

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

Weir, J.T., Haussler, D., Li, Q., Yang, H., Wang, J., Lei, F., Rahbek, C., Gilbert, M.T.P., Graves, G.R., Jarvis, E.D., Paten, B., Zhang, G., 2020. Dense sampling of bird diversity increases power of comparative genomics. Nature 587, 252–257. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2873-9

Gamboa, A.G.M., Dreja, E.A.S., Armillas, M.O.F., Piña, I.A.P., Herrada, A.M., 2017. Lista de aves en el humedal de Tláhuac. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.30915.50724

Gibb, S., Strimmer, K., 2012. MALDIquant: a versatile R package for the analysis of mass spectrometry data. Bioinformatics 28, 2270–2271. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts447

Harvey, V.L., Daugnora, L., Buckley, M., 2018. Species identification of ancient Lithuanian fish remains using collagen fingerprinting. Journal of Archaeological Science 98, 102–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2018.07.006

Harvey, V.L., Egerton, V.M., Chamberlain, A.T., Manning, P.L., Buckley, M., 2016. Collagen Fingerprinting: A new screening technique for radiocarbon dating ancient bone. PLoS ONE 11, e0150650. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0150650

Harvey, V.L., Egerton, V.M., Chamberlain, A.T., Manning, P.L., Sellers, W.I., Buckley, M., 2019a. Interpreting the historical terrestrial vertebrate biodiversity of Cayman Brac (Greater Antilles, Caribbean) through collagen fingerprinting. Holocene 29, 531–542. https://doi.org/10.1177/0959683618824793

Harvey, V.L., LeFebvre, M.J., DeFrance, S.D., Toftgaard, C., Drosou, K., Kitchener, A.C., Buckley, M., 2019b. Preserved collagen reveals species identity in archaeological marine turtle bones from Caribbean and Florida sites. Royal Society Open Science 6, 191137. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.191137

Hickinbotham, S., Fiddyment, S., Stinson, T.L., Collins, M.J., 2020. How to get your goat: automated identification of species from MALDI-ToF spectra. Bioinformatics 36, 3719–3725. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa181

Hirth, Kenneth.G., 2020. Teotihuacan Economy from the Inside Out, in: Hirth, K., G., Carballo, D., M., Arroyo, B. (Eds.), Teotihuacan: The World beyond the City. Dumbarton Oaks, Washingtn D.C., pp. 97–138.

Hirth, K.G., 2016. The Aztec Economic World. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Horn, I.R., Kenens, Y., Palmblad, N.M., Van Der Plas-Duivesteijn, S.J., Langeveld, B.W., Meijer, H.J.M., Dalebout, H., Marissen, R.J., Fischer, A., Florens, F.B.V., Niemann, J., Rijsdijk, K.F., Schulp, A.S., Laros, J.F.J., Gravendeel, B., 2019. Palaeoproteomics of bird bones for taxonomic classification. © Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society XX, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1093/zoolinnean/zlz012/5470657

Horsburgh, K Ann, Orton, Jayson, Klein, Richard G, Horsburgh, K A, Orton, J, Klein, R G, 2016. Beware the Springbok in Sheep's Clothing: How Secure Are the Faunal Identifications upon Which We Build Our Models? African Archaeological Review 33, 353–361. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10437-016-9231-1

Howell, S.N.G., Webb, S., 1995. A guide to the birds of Mexico and northern Central America. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

Janzen, A., Richter, K.K., Mwebi, O., Id, S.B., Onduso, V., Gatwiri, F., Ndiema, E., Katongo, M., Goldstein, T., Douka, K., Boivin, N., 2021. Distinguishing African bovids using Zooarchaeology by Mass Spectrometry (ZooMS): New peptide markers and insights into Iron Age economies in Zambia. Plos One 16, e0251061. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0251061

Jarvis, E.D., Mirarab, S., Aberer, A.J., Li, B., Houde, P., Li, C., Ho, S.Y.W., Faircloth, B.C., Nabholz, B., Howard, J.T., Suh, A., Weber, C.C., Fonseca, R.R. da, Li, J., Zhang, F., Li, H., Zhou, L., Narula, N., Liu, L., Ganapathy, G., Boussau, B., Bayzid, Md.S., Zavidovych, V., Subramanian, S., Gabaldón, T., Capella-Gutiérrez, S., Huerta-Cepas, J., Rekepalli, B., Munch, K., Schierup, M., Lindow, B., Warren, W.C., Ray, D., Green, R.E., Bruford, M.W., Zhan, X., Dixon, A., Li, S., Li, N., Huang, Y., Derryberry, E.P., Bertelsen, M.F., Sheldon, F.H., Brumfield, R.T., Mello, C.V., Lovell, P.V., Wirthlin, M., Schneider, M.P.C., Prosdocimi, F., Samaniego, J.A., Velazquez, A.M.V., Alfaro-Núñez, A., Campos, P.F., Petersen, B., Sicheritz-Ponten, T., Pas, A., Bailey, T., Scofield, P., Bunce, M., Lambert, D.M., Zhou, Q., Perelman, P., Driskell, A.C., Shapiro, B., Xiong, Z., Zeng, Y., Liu, S., Li, Z., Liu, B., Wu, K., Xiao, J., Yinqi, X., Zheng, Q., Zhang, Y., Yang, H., Wang, J., Smeds, L., Rheindt, F.E., Braun, M., Fjeldsa, J., Orlando, L., Barker, F.K., Jønsson, K.A., Johnson, W., Koepfli, K.-P., O'Brien, S., Haussler, D., Ryder, O.A., Rahbek, C., Willerslev, E., Graves, G.R., Glenn, T.C., McCormack, J., Burt, D., Ellegren, H., Alström, P., Edwards, S.V., Stamatakis, A., Mindell, D.P., Cracraft, J., Braun, E.L., Warnow, T., Jun, W., Gilbert, M.T.P., Zhang, G., 2014. Whole-genome analyses resolve early branches in the tree of life of modern birds. Science 346, 1320–1331. https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.1253451

Letunic, I., Bork, P., 2021. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. Nucleic Acids Research 49, W293–W296. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab301

Lyman, R.L., 2008. Quantitative Paleozoology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Ortega Cabrera, V., 2012. Proyecto de Investigación Arqueológica Barrio Oaxaqueño, Tlailotlacan, Teotihuacán: Informe Técnico Temporada 2012. Instituto Nacional de Anthropología e Historia.

Ortega Cabrera, V., 2010. Proyecto de Investigación Arqueológica Barrio Oaxaqueño, Tlailotlacan, Teotihuacan, Temporada 2010: Informe Técnico de Excavacion y Análisis de Materiales Arqueológicos. Instituto Nacional de Anthropología e Historia.

Ortega Cabrera, V., 2009. Proyecto de Investigación Arqueológica Barrio Oaxaqueño, Tlailotlacan, Teotihuacan, Temporada 2009: Informe Técnico de Excavaciones Arqueológicas y Análisis de Materiales. Instituto Nacional de Anthropología e Historia.

Parsons, J.R., 2010. The Pastoral Niche in Pre-Hispanic Mesoamerica, in: Pre-Columbian Foodways: Interdisciplinary Approaches to Food, Culture, and Markets in Ancient Mesoamerica. Springer, New York, pp. 109–136. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-0471-3_4

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

Parsons, J.R., 2008. Beyond Santley and Rose (1979): The Role of Aquatic Resources in the Prehispanic Economy of the Basin of Mexico. Journal of Anthropological Research 64, 351–366.

Peters, C., Richter, K.K., Manne, T., Dortch, J., Paterson, A., Travouillon, K., Louys, J., Price, G.J., Petraglia, M., Crowther, A., Boivin, N., 2021. Species identification of Australian marsupials using collagen fingerprinting. Royal Society Open Science 8, 211229. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.211229

Peterson, A.T., Navarro Sigüenza, A.G., 2006. Hundred-year changes in the avifauna of the Valley of Mexico, Distrito Federal , Mexico. Huitzil 7, 4–14.

Presslee, S., Wilson, J., Woolley, J., Best, J., Russell, D., Radini, A., Fischer, R., Kessler, B., Boano, R., Collins, M., Demarchi, B., 2017. The identification of archaeological eggshell using peptide markers. Science and Technology of Archaeological Research 1424300. https://doi.org/10.1080/20548923.2018.1424300

R Core Team, 2021. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.

Richter, K., K., McGrath, K., Masson-MacLean, E., Hickinbotham, S., Tedder, A., Britton, K., Bottomley, Z., Dobney, K., Hulme-Beaman, A., Zona, M., Fischer, R., Collins, M.J., Speller, C.F., 2020. What's the catch? Archaeological application of rapid collagen-based species identification for Pacific Salmon. Journal of Archaeological Science 116, 105116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2020.105116

Richter, K.K., Codlin, M.C., Seabrook, M., Warinner, C., 2022. A primer for ZooMS applications in archaeology. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 119, e2109323119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2109323119

Richter, K.K., Wilson, J., Jones, A.K.G., Buckley, M., van Doorn, N., Collins, M.J., 2011. Fish 'n chips: ZooMS peptide mass fingerprinting in a 96 well plate format to identify fish bone fragments. Journal of Archaeological Science 38, 1502–1510. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2011.02.014

Sanders, W.T., Parsons, J.R., Santley, R.S., 1979. The Basin of Mexico: Ecological Processes in the Evolution of a Civilization. Academic Press, New York.

Speller, C., van den Hurk, Y., Charpentier, A., Rodrigues, A., Gardeisen, A., Wilkens, B., McGrath, K., Rowsell, K., Spindler, L., Collins, M., Hofreiter, M., 2016. Barcoding the Largest Animals on Earth: Ongoing Challenges and Molecular Solutions in the Taxonomic Identification of Ancient Cetaceans. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society 371, 20150332. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2015.0332

Stewart, J.R.M., Allen, R.B., Jones, A.K.G., Kendall, T., Penkman, K.E.H., Demarchi, B., O'Connor, T., Collins, M.J., 2014. Walking on Eggshells: A Study of Egg Use in Anglo-Scandinavian York Based on Eggshell Identification Using ZooMS. International Journal of Osteoarchaeology 24, 247–255. https://doi.org/10.1002/oa.2362

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

905 Strohalm, M., Kavan, D., Novák, P., Volný, M., Havlíček, V., 2010. mMass 3: A Cross-
906 Platform Software Environment for Precise Analysis of Mass Spectrometric Data.
907 Anal. Chem. 82, 4648–4651. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac100818g
908 Sugiyama, N., Valadez Azúa, R., Rodríguez Galicia, B., 2017. Faunal Acquisition,
909 Maintenance, and Consumption: How the Teotihuacanos Got Their Meat.
910 Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences 9, 61–81.
911 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12520-016-0387-z
912 Tellkamp, M.P., 2019. A story told from a small-mesh screen: the importance of
913 songbirds and ground doves to the Guangala people at the El Azúcar
914 archeological site in coastal Ecuador. Archaeological and Anthropological
915 Sciences 11, 6411–6421. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12520-018-00772-6
916 Valadez Azúa, R., 2013. Una Ciudad Prehispánica Vista a través de la Fauna, in: Götz,
917 C.M., Rivas, J., Cárdenas, J., Hernández, H., Zimermann, M., Ramos, C. (Eds.),
918 Culturas Americanas y Su Ambiente: Perspectivas Desde La Zooarqueología,
919 Paleoetnobotánica y Etnobiología. Götz C, Rivas J, Cárdenas J, Hernández H,
920 Zimermann y M, Ramos C., pp. 219–237.
921 van der Sluis, L.G., Hollund, H.I., Buckley, M., De Louw, P.G.B., Rijsdijk, K.F., Kars,
922 H., 2014. Combining histology, stable isotope analysis and ZooMS collagen
923 fingerprinting to investigate the taphonomic history and dietary behaviour of
924 extinct giant tortoises from the Mare aux Songes deposit on Mauritius.
925 Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 416, 80–91.
926 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2014.06.003
927 Wang, N., Samantha, B., Peter, D., Sandra, H., Maxim, K., Sindy, L., Oshan, W.,
928 Stefano, G., Michael, C., Kolska, H., Matthew, S., Glenn, S., Michael, S.,
929 Korzow, R., Katerina, D., 2021. Testing the efficacy and comparability of ZooMS
930 protocols on archaeological bone. Journal of Proteomics 233, 104078.
931 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2020.104078
932 Welker, F., Soressi, M., Rendu, W., Hublin, J.J., Collins, M., 2015. Using ZooMS to
933 identify fragmentary bone from the Late Middle/Early Upper Palaeolithic
934 sequence of Les Cottés, France. Journal of Archaeological Science 54, 279–286.
935 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2014.12.010
936 Widmer, R.J., Storey, R., 2016. Skeletal Health and Patterns of Animal Food
937 Consumption at S3W1:33 (Tlajinga 33), Teotihuacan. Archaeological and
938 Anthropological Sciences 9, 51–60. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12520-016-0417-x
939 Wolverton, S., 2013. Data Quality in Zooarchaeological Faunal Identification. Journal of
940 Archaeological Method and Theory 20, 381–396. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10816-
941 012-9161-4
942 Zhang, G., Li, C., Li, Q., Li, B., Larkin, D.M., Lee, C., Storz, J.F., Antunes, A.,
943 Greenwold, M.J., Meredith, R.W., Ödeen, A., Cui, J., Zhou, Q., Xu, L., Pan, H.,
944 Wang, Z., Jin, L., Zhang, P., Hu, H., Yang, W., Hu, J., Xiao, J., Yang, Z., Liu, Y.,
945 Xie, Q., Yu, H., Lian, J., Wen, P., Zhang, F., Li, H., Zeng, Y., Xiong, Z., Liu, S.,
946 Zhou, L., Huang, Z., An, N., Wang, Jie, Zheng, Q., Xiong, Y., Wang, G., Wang,
947 B., Wang, Jingjing, Fan, Y., da Fonseca, R.R., Alfaro-Núñez, A., Schubert, M.,
948 Orlando, L., Mourier, T., Howard, J.T., Ganapathy, G., Pfenning, A., Whitney,

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112

949 O., Rivas, M.V., Hara, E., Smith, Julia, Farré, M., Narayan, J., Slavov, G.,
950 Romanov, M.N., Borges, R., Machado, J.P., Khan, I., Springer, M.S., Gatesy, J.,
951 Hoffmann, F.G., Opazo, J.C., Håstad, O., Sawyer, R.H., Kim, H., Kim, K.-W.,
952 Kim, H.J., Cho, S., Li, N., Huang, Y., Bruford, M.W., Zhan, X., Dixon, A.,
953 Bertelsen, M.F., Derryberry, E., Warren, W., Wilson, R.K., Li, S., Ray, D.A.,
954 Green, R.E., O'Brien, S.J., Griffin, D., Johnson, W.E., Haussler, D., Ryder, O.A.,
955 Willerslev, E., Graves, G.R., Alström, P., Fjeldså, J., Mindell, D.P., Edwards,
956 S.V., Braun, E.L., Rahbek, C., Burt, D.W., Houde, P., Zhang, Y., Yang, H.,
957 Wang, Jian, Avian Genome Consortium, Jarvis, E.D., Gilbert, M.T.P., Wang, Jun,
958 Ye, C., Liang, S., Yan, Z., Zepeda, M.L., Campos, P.F., Velazquez, A.M.V.,
959 Samaniego, J.A., Avila-Arcos, M., Martin, M.D., Barnett, R., Ribeiro, A.M.,
960 Mello, C.V., Lovell, P.V., Almeida, D., Maldonado, E., Pereira, J., Sunagar, K.,
961 Philip, S., Dominguez-Bello, M.G., Bunce, M., Lambert, D., Brumfield, R.T.,
962 Sheldon, F.H., Holmes, E.C., Gardner, P.P., Steeves, T.E., Stadler, P.F., Burge,
963 S.W., Lyons, E., Smith, Jacqueline, McCarthy, F., Pitel, F., Rhoads, D., Froman,
964 D.P., 2014. Comparative genomics reveals insights into avian genome evolution
965 and adaptation. Science 346, 1311–1320. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1251385
966

Preprint not peer reviewed

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4139112