

Finanziato dall'Unione europea **NextGenerationEU** 









**PB29** 



Filling the urban niche: assessing Darwin's Naturalization Conundrum on invaded Italian bird communities

Fabio Marcolin<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Riccardo Alba<sup>1</sup>, Stefano Mammola<sup>5,6,7</sup>, Giacomo Assandri<sup>1,8</sup>, Luca Ilahiane<sup>9</sup>, Diego Rubolini<sup>9</sup>, Luís Reino<sup>3,4,10</sup>, Dan Chamberlain<sup>1</sup> 1 Department of Life Sciences and System Biology, University of Turin, Turin, Italy, 2 Forest Research Centre and Associated Laboratory TERRA, School of Agriculture, University of Lisbon, Lisboa, Portugal, 3 CIBIO/InBIO, Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos, School of Agriculture, University of Lisbon, Lisboa, Portugal, 4 CIBIO/InBIO, Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos, University of Porto, Vairão, F ecular Ecology Group, Water Research Institute, National Research Council, Pallanza, Italy, 6 Finnish Museum of Natura History, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland, 7 NBFC, National Biodiversity Future Center, Palermo 90133, Italy, 8 University of Eastern Piedmont, Alessi taly, 9 Department of Environmental Sciences and Policy, University of Milan, Milan, Italy, 10 BIOPOLIS Program in Genomics,

## Introduction

The debate about the mechanisms that drive **non-native species establishment** lead to two opposing predictions that form **Darwin's Naturalization Conundrum**: that established species are closely related to local native species (**environmental filtering**), or that established species are distantly related to local native residents (limiting similarity)

For birds, both establishment mechanisms have been shown to occur more frequently in human-disturbed habitats (e.g. urban areas) than in more natural habitats

#### Which of Darwin's predictions has driven the establishment of non-native bird species in Italian urban areas?



Fig. 1 Location of the six cities surveyed in the Italian peninsula (right) represented by different colours depending on the presence (green and purple dot) or absence (black dot) of non-native parakeet species. An example of the study design (left) with point counts (white dots) and the 100 m buffer (dark circle) with different urbanisation levels (imperviousness) is presented from the city of Rome.

## Methods

- **220 points** in the urban matrix of 6 Italian cities (Fig 1)
- Bird and habitat surveys in **breeding and** wintering seasons
- **Imperviousness gradient** (% Impervious Surface Area)
- 10 functional traits
- **SES** functional dispersion and contribution (Null-models)
- LMMs

### Results

**Invaded communities** (i.e. parakeet species present) showed a marked overdispersion (limiting similarity) in both surveyed periods for functional dispersion (Fig 2)

Higher SES values in **breeding** than in **wintering** periods (i.e. higher overdispersion both for non-invaded and invaded communities), increasing with the

- imperviousness gradient (Fig 2)
- The functional contribution of non-native parakeets was always overdispersed, with monk parakeet located in the right-end of the distribution curve for the city of Rome (Fig 3)



Fig. 2 Response of SES values for Functional dispersion to the gradient of urbanisation (i.e. Imperviousness) in both periods. Points represent the communities with increasing size correlated to the increasing number of species. Brown colour represents the non-invaded communities (i.e. no non-native species were found in all visits); Orange colour represents the invaded communities (i.e. at least one non-native species was found in at least one visit).

## **4**-Conclusions

Communities invaded by non-native species were characterised by limiting similarity due to their intrinsic high disturbance and low species richness, thus urban environments offer novel niches that are occupied by non-native bird species. Promoting 'environmental filtering' should be considered as a conservation objective for the future planning of urban areas.

Contact 🔀 fabio.marcolin89@gmai 🄰 @FabioMarcolin 🧿 @bibwatchin

89

# **Forum Nazionale**



 $\checkmark$ 

Fig. 3 Distribution of SES values for Functional contribution in all periods for each city, including the comparison in which the breeding period functional contribution was analysed without the nesting traits. Purple colour (*Myiopsitta monachus*) and **green** (*Psittakula krameri*) lines represent the functional contribution of the non-native parakeets to bird overall communities.





## Biodiversità



