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#### Abstract

AKR1C3 is an enzyme that is overexpressed in several types of radiotherapy- and chemotherapy-resistant cancers. Despite AKR1C3 is a validated target for drug development, no inhibitor has been approved for clinical use. In this manuscript, we describe our study of a new series of potent AKR1C3-targeting 3-hydroxybenzoisoxazole based inhibitors that display high selectivity over the AKR1C2 isoform and low micromolar activity in inhibiting 22Rv1 prostate cancer cell proliferation. In silico studies suggested proper substituents to increase compound potency and provided with a mechanistic explanation that could clarify their different activity, later confirmed by X-ray crystallography. Both the in-silico studies and the crystallographic data highlight the importance of $90^{\circ}$ rotation around the single bond of the biphenyl group, in ensuring that the inhibitor can adopt the optimal binding mode within the active pocket. The $p$-biphenyls that bear the meta-methoxy, and the ortho- and metatrifluoromethyl substituents (in compounds $\mathbf{6 a}, \mathbf{6 e}$ and $\mathbf{6 f}$ respectively) proved to be the best contributors to cellular potency as they provided the best $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in series ( $2.3,2.0$ and $2.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ respectively) and showed no toxicity towards human MRC-5 cells. Co-treatment with scalar dilutions of either compound $\mathbf{6}$ or $\mathbf{6 e}$ and the clinically used drug abiraterone led to a significant reduction in cell proliferation, and thus confirmed that treatment with both CYP171A1-and AKR1C3-targeting compounds possess the potential to intervene in key steps in the steroidogenic pathway. Taken together, the novel compounds display desirable biochemical potency and cellular target inhibition as well as good in-vitro ADME properties, which highlight their potential for further preclinical studies.


## 1. Introduction

Aldo-keto reductase 1C (AKR1C) is a superfamily that contains four highly homologous isoforms (AKR1C1-AKR1C4) with diverse biological
functions [1]. The cytosolic member AKR1C3 plays a crucial role in the reduction of a broad spectrum of both physiological and xenobiotic substrates [2]. AKR1C3 is known to regulate the local concentration of ligands that are available for nuclear receptors, as well as the reduction

[^0]of the 17 -ketosteroid function of $\Delta 4$-androstene-3,17-dione and $5 \alpha$-androstane-3,17-dione to testosterone and $5 \alpha$-dihydrotestosterone (DHT), respectively. As both testosterone and DHT are potent androgens with a high affinity for the androgen receptor (AR), AKR1C3 plays an important role in the steroidogenic pathway in ensuring effective androgen generation for subsequent AR binding. Similarly, it reduces estrone and progesterone to $17 \beta$-estradiol and $20 \alpha$-hydroxyprogesterone, respectively, by regulating their affinities towards both the estrogen receptor (ER) and the progesterone receptor (PR) [2]. AKR1C3 also acts as a prostaglandin (PG) F2 synthase, regulating cell proliferation and differentiation [2]. Furthermore, AKR1C3 can act as a phase-I biotransformation enzyme that reduces endogenous reactive ketone and aldehyde metabolites as well as a variety of exogenous compounds, including drugs. Its function is important in detoxifying and facilitating the excretion of metabolites and drugs, although it also contributes to chemoresistance [2]. AKR1C3 has also been therapeutically targeted via its capacity to bioreduce $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$-containing prodrugs [3,4]. Accordingly, overexpressed AKR1C3 in tumor tissue is considered a valid target for both inhibitor and prodrug development as a means to dose-intensify cancer tissues whilst reducing systemic exposure [5]. While the greatest amount of focus has been paid to AKR1C3 expression in prostate cancer [6], emerging knowledge has highlighted expression in other cancer types, including breast cancer [7], non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) [8], esophageal cancer [9,10], liver cancer [11,12], and leukaemia [13], with roles linked to tumor invasiveness, aggressiveness and resistance to chemotherapy and radiation therapy [14,15]. Unfortunately, more recently approved therapies for castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC), such as enzalutamide (ENZA) and (ABI), also suffer from drug resistance, which emerges within months of treatment. AKR1C3 overexpression leads to the production of intratumoral androgens [16], which can be partially prevented via the co-administration of indomethacin (INDO), a weak and poorly selective AKR1C3 inhibitor [16-19], or more selective AKR1C3 inhibitors [20]. It has recently been demonstrated that AKR1C3 also mediates the inactivation of, and resistance to, doxorubicin, and other anthracyclines [21,22], via the carbonyl reductase activity of the enzyme. AKR1C3 knockdown has been observed to significantly enhance the sensitivity of hepatocellular
carcinoma cells to ferroptosis inducers, which thus identifies AKR1C3 as having a role in ferroptosis. This observation also emphasizes how AKR1C3 is a possible therapeutic target with which to improve the effectiveness of ferroptosis-based antitumor therapy [23]. Several small-molecule inhibitors have been reported to potently inhibit the activity of AKR1C3 (interesting and extensive reviews describe their discovery [24,25]), and two have entered clinical trials (Fig. 1a: ASP9521, NTC01352208 for CRPC and BAY-1128688 [26], NCT03373422 for endometriosis). Although no AKR1C3 inhibitor has been approved for clinical use to date, there is substantial evidence to support the enzyme as a target for drug development.

In order to improve the bioavailability of carboxylic acid derivatives and circumvent their transporter-mediated uptake [27,28], we have recently applied scaffold-hopping and bioisosteric replacement techniques to the structure of two NSAIDs, INDO [29] and flufenamic acid (FLU) [30-32], with the purpose of developing new AKR1C3 inhibitors. Replacing the benzoic acid moiety of FLU with the acidic hydroxyazolecarbonylic scaffold (Fig. 1) allowed us to obtain compound 1 (Fig. 1), which has a minor off-target effect on AKR1C2 and cyclooxygenases (COX1 and 2) [30]. Novel N-substituted hydroxylated triazoles with high selectivity (higher than 1500 -fold) for the C3, over the C 2 , isoform were successively developed (compound 1a, Fig. 1) using a combination of X-ray structural studies, computational design and iterative rounds of synthesis and biological evaluation [32]. The application of a conformational restriction approach on FLU led to a focused library of 3-hydroxybenzoisoxazole-based compounds, of which 4-(3, 5-bis-trifluoromethylphenyl)-3-hydroxybenzoisoxazole exhibited the best AKR1C3 inhibitory activity and selectivity (compound 2, Fig. 1) [31]. The crystal structure of AKR1C3 in complex with 1 and 2 [31], in combination with molecular-docking studies, has guided the design of the new 3-hydroxybenzoisoxazole-based compounds. We here describe the structure-based lead optimization that has led to new potent and selective AKR1C3 inhibitors. We will also present the synthesis employed to obtain the new compounds as well as their in vitro AKR1C3 inhibition by biochemical and cell-based assays alone and in combination with ABI. Finally, we report the binding mode of the most soluble compound $\mathbf{6 b}$, in the form of the high-resolution crystal structure of the
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$\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ on AKR1C3: $0.44 \mu \mathrm{M}$
IC $_{50}$ on AKR1C2: $0.53 \mu \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ on COX1: $14 \mu \mathrm{M}$
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$\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ on AKR1C3: $0.31 \mu \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ on AKR1C2: $73.23 \mu \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ on COX1: $>100 \mu \mathrm{M}$

1a
$\mathrm{C}_{50}$ on AKR1C3: $0.069 \mu \mathrm{M}$ $\%$ of AKR1C2 inhibition at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}: 30.46 \%$


Fig. 1. Chemical structures of some AKR1C3 inhibitors: a) AKR1C3 inhibitors evaluated for clinical trials. b) AKR1C3 inhibitors previously proposed by the authors.

AKR1C3 in complex with 6b.

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. In-silico guided design of new 3-hydroxybenzoisoxazole-based inhibitors

Hydroxyazole derivatives are deprotonated at physiological pH and are good isosteres of the carboxylic function [33,34], and, indeed, all simulations were performed using the compounds in their ionized form. The design of this new series of 3-hydroxybenzoisoxazole-based inhibitors was performed starting with the crystallographic structure of AKR1C3 complexed with the previously published compound 2 (PDB ID 6F78 [31], Figs. 1 and 2), which was essential to pinpointing the characteristic compartments of the binding pocket and the crucial residues involved in ligand binding. As previously reported, the AKR1C3 binding site is delimited by five compartments: an oxyanion site (OS), formed by the cofactor $\mathrm{NADP}^{+}$and the catalytic residues Tyr55 and His117; a steroid channel (SC, Tyr24, Leu54, Ser129, Trp227) and three subpockets - SP1 (Ser118, Asn167, Tyr216, Phe306, Tyr319), SP2 (Trp86, Leu122, Ser129, Phe311) and SP3 (Tyr24, Glu192, Ser221, Tyr305) [35, 36]. Compound 2 occupies the OS cavity to establish key interactions, via H-bonding, to Tyr55, His117 and NADP ${ }^{+}$and, via $\pi-\pi$ stacking, with Tyr24, Trp227, Phe306 and NADP ${ }^{+}$, which mediates an additional $\pi-\pi$ interaction with Tyr216. Furthermore, the 3,5-trifluoromethylphenyl moiety is located in the SP1 cavity, which orients one trifluoromethyl towards the deepest part of SP1, while placing the other close to the Phe311 in SP2 (Fig. 2a) [31]. We designed this new series of compounds to better occupy SP1 and SP2, and potentially increase both affinity and activity. The applied docking procedure was validated by redocking the co-crystallized compound 2 inside the corresponding binding site. As shown in Fig. 2b we obtained docking pose superposed well with the crystallographic structure.

First, we replaced the 3,5-trifluoromethylphenyl moiety with bulkier groups (Fig. 3), as done in previous studies for other compound series [31,32]. As predicted by docking simulations, all newly designed compounds placed the 3-hydroxybenzoisoxazole core in the OS cavity, which follows the orientation obtained from X-ray structures.

The introduction of a phenoxyphenyl substituent, as in compounds 3 and 4 (Fig. 3), allowed the formation of an additional polar interaction between Ser118 and the bridging oxygen of the ligand. The phenyl moiety formed hydrophobic and $\pi-\pi$ stacking contact with residues in either the SP1 sites, depending on whether the ring was substituted in the meta or para position, respectively. The addition of a methoxy or hydroxy group to compound $\mathbf{4}$, as in $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$ respectively, generated steric clashes and a loss of stabilizing hydrophobic contact, thus explains
the non-conservation of poses during docking simulations, with the substituents alternating between filling SP1 and SP2.

A meta or para biphenyl moiety was inserted in compounds 5 and 6, respectively. For compound 5 it was difficult to determine a preferred binding pose as the biphenyl group alternated between occupying SP1 and SP2, suggesting a lack of stable interaction. In contrast, compound 6 showed a highly conserved pose with the aromatic substituent being oriented towards SP2 (Fig. 4a). The flexibility around the single bond of the biphenyl system $[37,38]$ resulted in a $60^{\circ}$ rotated-orientation of the two rings, allowing the formation of $\pi-\pi$ stacking and hydrophobic interactions with the surrounding residues.

The distal phenyl ring of compound 5 was then either methoxylated or hydroxylated at different positions, generating compounds $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 b}$ when the substitution occurred in the meta position, and compounds 5c and $5 \mathbf{d}$ when substituted in para position. When docked, the metasubstituted derivatives $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 b}$ were accommodated in SP1 and established polar contact with Tyr319. The presence of a hydroxyl group on 5b allowed a H-bond network to form between Tyr319 and Tyr216, whereas this was not possible for $5 \mathbf{5 a}$ due to oxygen methylation. Conversely, the para-substituted derivatives 5c and 5d orientated the biphenyl system towards SP2.

The aforementioned methoxy and hydroxy substituents were also introduced at the meta position of the second benzene ring of compound $\mathbf{6}$, thus generating compounds $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$, respectively. Both derivatives followed the pose of compound 6, with the biphenyl system able to rotate, fill SP2 and make good hydrophobic interactions. The introduction of a hydroxy group onto $\mathbf{6 b}$ led to the formation of an additional H-bond with the side chain of Ser87 (Fig. 4b), while, for analogue 6a, the presence of a bulkier methoxy group resulted in the rotation of the benzene ring, with the substituent pointing towards a contiguous hydrophobic site.

In view of the promising binding pose of compound 6, we designed three trifluoromethyl-substituted derivatives to investigate whether the presence of a bulkier group may facilitate the improved occupation of SP1 and SP2. The trifluoromethyl substituent was introduced at the ortho, meta and para positions of the biphenyl moiety of compound 6, thus generating $\mathbf{6 e}, \mathbf{6 f}, \mathbf{6 g}$ respectively. Overall, the presence of a cumbersome group on the ortho ( $6 \mathbf{e}$ ) and para $(\mathbf{6 g})$ positions had a similar effect on the rotation of the biphenyl system, orienting the two rings almost perpendicularly to each other. As previously mentioned, this orientation provided for optimal $\pi-\pi$ and hydrophobic interactions between the ligand and the surrounding residues, as suggested by the low docking scores and highly conserved poses. The conformations assumed by $6 e$ and $6 g$ are highly superimposable with the single exception of the trifluoromethyl moiety, which is directed towards two different sites; in $\mathbf{6 e}$, it is accommodated in a hydrophobic site lined by


Fig. 2. a) X-ray pose of compound 2 (green) in AKR1C3 binding site (PDB ID 6F78). b) Superposition of the X-ray structure (green) with the docked pose (salmon) of compound 2. The ligand and residues lining the pocket are shown as sticks. Residues are labeled and colored differently according to the sub-pockets they belong to (pale green: OS; light blue: SP1; light orange: SP2). The protein is shown as a gray transparent cartoon. Water molecules are shown as red spheres, hydrogen bonds as black dashed lines.




Fig. 3. Chemical structures of the 3-hydroxybenzoisoxazole-based AKR1C3 inhibitors studied in this work.


Fig. 4. Docking poses of (a) compound 6 (magenta) and (b) compound $\mathbf{6 b}$ (cyan) in AKR1C3 binding site. The ligand and residues lining the pocket are shown as sticks. Residues are labeled and colored differently according to the sub-pockets they belong to (pale green: OS; light blue: SP1; light orange: SP2). The protein is shown as a gray transparent cartoon. Water molecules are shown as red spheres, hydrogen bonds as black dashed lines.

Phe306, Ser308 and Phe311 (Fig. 5a), while, in $\mathbf{6 g}$, it fills a deeper pocket bordered by Leu122, Val137, Phe139, Phe311 and His314 (Fig. 5b). Conversely, the introduction of a trifluoromethyl substituent in the meta position, as in compound 6 f , prevented the $90^{\circ}$ rotation around the single bond of the biphenyl group, thus resulting in the benzene rings assuming a more planar orientation (dihedral angle: $27.9^{\circ}$ ) and in the occupation of a more external and solvent-accessible site (Fig. 5c).

Molecular-docking simulations seem to suggest that the proper occupation of the hydrophobic surface, belonging to SP1 and SP2, is critical to the ligand establishing a stable interaction with the enzyme active site. This high complementarity may be associated to higher affinity and to higher selectivity towards AKR1C3 compared to other isoforms.

### 2.2. Chemistry

Two different synthetic routes were followed to synthesize the
benzoisoxazol-3-ol derivative target molecules for biological evaluation. For the synthesis of derivatives 3-6, 4a-6a and 5c, we initially followed the scheme developed in our previous publication (Scheme 1) [31]. Briefly, we synthesized key intermediate 7, which bears a benzo[d] isoxazol $3(2 \mathrm{H})$-one core protected by a trimethoxybenzyl moiety. Commercially available anilines $\mathbf{8 a - 8 c}, \mathbf{9 a - 9 e}$ were coupled with 7 in a Buchwald-Hartwig reaction to obtain protected compounds 10a-10c and 11a-11e. The trimethoxybenzyl protective group was removed from 10a-10c and 11a-11e under mild conditions using triisopropylsilane and trifluoroacetic acid in DCM. A boron tribromide solution in DCM was used to convert the final methoxy-derivatives 4a, 5a, 5c and 6a into their corresponding hydroxy-derivatives $\mathbf{4 b}, \mathbf{5 b}, \mathbf{5 d}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$.

Scheme 1 was versatile and allowed several similar derivatives to be obtained. However, when the synthesis of key intermediate 7 needed to be scaled up to the multi-gram scale, several grams of the toxic reactant sodium cyanoborohydride were necessary in the first step of preparation [31]. Thus, we investigated a new synthetic scheme for a key intermediate that bears the 4-bromo-benzoisoxazol-3-ol moiety. Widlicka et al.,


Fig. 5. Docking poses of compounds $\mathbf{6 e}(\mathbf{a}), \mathbf{6 g}(\mathbf{b})$ and $\mathbf{6 f}(\mathbf{c})$ in the AKR1C3 binding site. The ligand and residues lining the pocket are shown as sticks. Residues are labeled and colored differently according to the sub-pockets they belong to (pale green: OS; light blue: SP1; light orange: SP2). The protein is shown as a gray transparent cartoon. Water molecules are shown as red spheres, hydrogen bonds as black dashed lines.
have described the multi-kg scale synthesis of 4-fluoro-3-hydroxybenzisoxazole [39], starting from 2,6-difluorobenzoic acid, with good safety as well as atom economy. We employed this route, with some modifications, to prepare 4-bromobenzo[d]isoxazol-3-ol 14 (Scheme 2a). 2-bromo-6-fluorobenzoic acid 12 was converted to the corresponding acyl chloride using thionyl chloride and DMF in dry THF, and then treated with a solution of hydroxylamine, freshly prepared from hydroxylamine hydrochloride and potassium carbonate. After acidification, the desired hydroxamic acid 13 was recovered in pure form and in
high yields (91 \% yield) upon the extraction of the reaction mixture with EtOAc, without further purification being required. Compound 13 was taken up in a concentrated aqueous solution of potassium hydroxide and heated to reflux to give complete conversion into 14 . Whereas the cyclization is very long ( 40 h in our case), as mentioned in the literature, the purification was easily performed via crystallization from acetonitrile, thus making the synthesis more amenable. Nonetheless, when we attempted to perform Buchwald-Hartwig amination on 14 we did not obtain the desired product. The reason for this failure was presumably the chelating ability of the 3-hydroxybenzoisoxazole core towards metal ions. Because this, we therefore decided to insert a protecting group to mask the hydroxyl function. After a failed attempt with Boc protection, we choose to proceed with the benzyl group for the synthesis of the $O$-benzyl protected compound 15a. The reaction from 14 to 15 a also afforded regioisomer 15b, due to the existing tautomeric equilibrium between 4-bromobenzo[d]isoxazol-3-ol and 4-bromobenzo[d]isoxa-zol-3(2H)-one. As previously reported [32,40], it is possible to regio-direct the benzylation towards the oxygen atom using silver oxide ( $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). By applying this condition to 14 , we obtained 15 a and 15 b in a 5:1 ratio. Buchwald-Hartwig coupling conditions were then applied to O-benzylated 3-hydroxybenzoisoxazole 15a in the presence of anilines 17a-c. The latter were obtained via Suzuki coupling reactions between the corresponding boronic acids 16 a-c and para-bromoaniline (Scheme 2b). The 3-benzyl groups of compounds 18a-c were removed to give the deprotected hydroxyl moieties in trifluoroacetic acid at room temperature in the presence of the cationic scavenger thioanisole to give the final compounds $\mathbf{6 e - g}$.

Concluding, the second synthetic approach (Scheme 2a), used to prepare several compounds characterized by a 3-hydroxybenzoisoxazole core, offers some considerable advantages compared with the first synthetic approach (Scheme 1). Importantly, this protocol consists of only three linear steps for the synthesis of key intermediate 15a, avoids the use of toxic sodium cyanoborohydride and displays higher atom economy. Interestingly, only one of the three steps involves the use of flash-chromatography as a purification technique, while less solventconsuming purifications are utilized in the other two passages.

### 2.3. AKR1C3 and AKR1C2 inhibitor screening

The selective inhibition of the AKR1C3 enzyme, with respect to the C2 isoform, is considered fundamental for an effective anti-PC effect [25]. Since AKR1C2 is involved in the inactivation of DHT, its inhibition is undesirable, but the two isoforms share $86 \%$ sequence similarity. Accordingly, the inhibitory potencies of compounds $3-6 \mathrm{~g}$ were determined for both AKR1C2 and C3 and compared with those of ASP9521 [41], and 2 (Table 1). AKR1C2 and AKR1C1 share high sequence identity ( $97 \%$ ) and any inhibitory effects against C2 will likely be recapitulated against C1, and the latter isoform was not included in the following experiments for this reason. On the other hand, AKR1C3 and C4 differ in terms of amino-acid sequence and binding-site structure, which lowers the probability that potent AKR1C3 inhibitors will also have an inhibitory effect on the AKR1C4 isoform. The following selectivity study therefore aims to quantify the C 3 vs C 2 selectivity ratio. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ and $\%$ of inhibition values reported in Table 1 were determined using recombinant purified enzymes, and by measuring S-tetralol oxidation in the presence of $\mathrm{NADP}^{+}$. All of the new 3-hydroxybenzoisoxazole derivatives presented $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values that were lower or similar to those of reference compound 2. However, as they emit strong fluorescence signals at the 460 nm wavelength, the measurement of C2 inhibition at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was hampered. Therefore, the concentration was reduced to $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (or, when possible, to $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and the inhibition rate was evaluated. It can be observed that the compounds have good selectivity to C3 over C2, suggesting, in accordance with previous studies, that the active site of the C 3 isoform hosts the investigated substituents, such as the substituted para-diphenyl and para-phenoxyphenyl rings, whereas the C2 isoform accommodates these bulky substituents less easily. It can


7

$+$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 8a } \mathrm{R}_{1}=\text { phenoxy; } \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} \\
& \text { 8b } \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\text { phenoxy } \\
& \text { 8c } \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=3^{\prime} \text {-methoxyphenoxy } \\
& \text { 9a } \mathrm{R}_{1}=\text { phenyl; } \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} \\
& \text { 9b } \mathrm{R}_{1}=3^{\prime} \text {-methoxyphenyl; } \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} \\
& \text { 9c } \mathrm{R}_{1}=\text { '-methoxyphenyl; } \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} \\
& \text { 9d } \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\text { phenyl } \\
& \text { 9e } \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=3 \text { '-mehoxyphenyl }
\end{aligned}
$$




10a $\mathrm{R}_{1}=$ phenoxy; $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
10b $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=$ phenoxy
10c $R_{1}=H ; R_{2}=$ 3'-methoxyphenoxy
11a $\mathrm{R}_{1}=$ phenyl; $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
11b $\mathrm{R}_{1}=3$ '-methoxyphenyl; $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
11c $\mathrm{R}_{1}=4$ '-methoxyphenyl; $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
11d $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=$ phenyl
11e $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=$ 3'-mehoxyphenyl


$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\text { phenoxy; } \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} \\
& 4 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\text { phenoxy } \\
& \text { 4a } R_{1}=H ; R_{2}=3 \text { '-mehoxyphenoxy } \\
& 5 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\text { phenyl; } \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} \\
& \text { 5a } \mathrm{R}_{1}=\text { 3'-methoxyphenyl; } \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} \\
& \text { 5c } \mathrm{R}_{1}=\text { 4'-methoxyphenyl; } \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} \\
& 6 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\text { phenyl } \\
& \text { 6a } \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=3^{\prime} \text {-methoxyphenyl }
\end{aligned}
$$


4b $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{4}=$ 3'-hydroxyphenoxy
5b $\mathrm{R}_{3}=$ 3'-hydroxyphenyl; $\mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{H}$
5d $\mathrm{R}_{3}=$ 4'-hydroxyphenyl; $\mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{H}$
6b $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{4}=3$ 3'-hydroxyphenyl

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: a) $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 8 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ BINAP, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, toluene, reflux, yield $53-93 \%$; b) TFA, (i-Pr) $3 \mathrm{SiH}, \mathrm{DCM}$, rt, yield $37-80 \%$; c) 1 M $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ in DCM, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then rt, yield $67-80 \%$.
be seen that the incorporation of a phenyl substituent at the para position of the aniline moiety (compound 6) provided one of the most potent inhibitions (a 4 -fold increase in inhibitory activity compared to compound 2). Indeed, 6 showed an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $0.068 \mu \mathrm{M}$ towards C 3 and more than 15 -fold selectivity over C2. In comparison to reference ASP9521, compound 6 shows similar potency towards AKR1C3 in the enzymatic assay and similar C3 selectivity over C2 (Table 1). On the other hand, meta-phenyl-substituted compound 5 showed less potent AKR1C3 inhibition, with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $0.42 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and more than 10 -fold selectivity for C3 over C2. The unsubstituted phenoxy substituent on the aniline moiety also seemed to be permitted, as it slightly improves activity. Specifically, meta-phenoxy-substituted compound $\mathbf{3}$ showed potent AKR1C3 inhibition, with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $0.16 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and 6 -fold selectivity for C3 over C2, whereas para-substituted compound 4 displayed an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $0.11 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $>45$-fold selectivity. While these substitutions on the phenoxy ring are detrimental for inhibition activity (compound 4a and 4b), substitutions on the phenyl ring, methoxy, hydroxy and trifluoromethyl substitution ( $5 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{6 b - g}$ ) increased compound activity, except for in compounds $5 \mathbf{d}$ and $\mathbf{6 a}$ (Table 1). In particular, the ortho- and para-trifluoromethyl substituted derivatives (compounds 6 e and $\mathbf{6 g}$, respectively) were found to be the most potent AKR1C3 inhibitors of the series (see Table 1).

### 2.4. Physicochemical characterization: drug-like properties (solubility, ionization, lipophilicity)

The main physicochemical parameters that define the drug-like properties of the compounds were investigated. Solubility at physiological pH was evaluated in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4 ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 2). With the exception of phenol-substituted compound $4 \mathbf{b}$, all of the 3-hydroxybenzoisoxazole derivatives 3-6 showed lower solubility than 2 , and this is due to the presence of an additional phenyl ring. The solubilities of compounds $\mathbf{5 , 6}, \mathbf{6 a}, \mathbf{6 e}, \mathbf{6 f}$ and $\mathbf{6 g}$ was not measurable because lower than the limit of detection ( $<1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) of the UHPLC method used for the quantitative analyses. The low solubility in PBS do not hamper the biological assays, that were conducted in different media and higher temperatures $\left(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The ionization of the hydroxy function on the benzisoazole ring was evaluated for the most soluble compounds $\mathbf{5 b}, \mathbf{5 d}$, and $\mathbf{6 b}$ which were taken as a reference. The measured $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}$ was around 5 ( 4.96 and 4.99 respectively), meaning that there is a significant amount of compound in the ionized form at physiological pH . Lipophilicity was determined at physiological $\mathrm{pH}\left(\log \mathrm{D}^{7.4}\right)$ in the $\mathrm{PBS} / \mathrm{n}$ octanol system. With the exception of compounds $6 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{g}$, for which lipophilicity values were not determinable due to poor solubility, all of the other compounds showed good lipophilic-hydrophilic balance. As for compound 2, the measured $\log \mathrm{D}^{7.4}$ values fell in the $2.4-3.1$ range, which is optimal for favorable pharmacokinetic properties. The
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Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: a) thionyl chloride, DMF (catalytic), THF, reflux; b) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt. Yield of a +b : $91 \%$; c) 8 M KOH , reflux, then aq. HCl , rt, yield $62 \%$; d) benzylbromide, $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DMF , rt, yield of $15 \mathrm{a}: 41 \%$; e) $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 8 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{BINAP}$, toluene, reflux, yield: 63-78 \%; f) TFA, thioanisole, rt, yield 67-80 \%; g) $20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9 / 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, yield: $23-71 \%$.
difference between the calculated $\log P$ value for the neutral forms (CLOGP) of the compounds and the measured $\log D^{7.4}$ value was in agreement with the considerable ionization of the 3-hydroxybenzoisoxazole core at physiological pH . Additionally, ligand-lipophilicity efficiency (LLE) was also calculated (Table 2). LLE is a measure of "druglikeliness" and takes into account the lipophilicity and potency of compounds [42,43]. Most compounds exhibited a more favorable LLE profile than reference 2.

### 2.5. Effect of inhibition of AKR1C3 on cell proliferation and PSA expression

All the compounds above described have been characterized for their inhibitor activity towards the purified AKR1C3 enzyme. Next, it was important to investigate the effect of inhibition of AKR1C3 in cell-based environment. For this purpose, 22RV1 cells were chosen as these naturally expresse AKR1C3 (Fig. 8) and are hormone therapy resistant cells [19], hence can be used to recapitulate CRPC disease.

The effect of the above compounds was evaluated on cell proliferation. Cell viability was assessed using the sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay [30], and the determined $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are reported in Table 2. The activity trend presented by the compounds does not fully reflect the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values measured for enzyme inhibition and is not even correlated with the lipophilic properties of the compounds, as described by their $\log D^{7.4}$. Except for compounds 3,5 and 5a-d, all the other derivatives exhibited a more pronounced antiproliferative effect than reference 2, which demonstrates how meta substitution on the first phenyl ring is detrimental to cellular activity.

The demethylation of $\mathbf{4 a}, 5 \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{6 a}$ to obtain hydroxyl derivatives $\mathbf{4 b}, 5 d$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$ improves the solubility of the compounds by about an order of magnitude, although it lowers their $\log D^{7.4}$ by $0.1-0.4$ units and
is detrimental to cellular activity. The most active compounds against 22RV1 cells are the distal unsubstituted para-phenyl derivative 6 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ $=8.11 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), the meta'-methoxy-p-phenyl derivative $6 \mathbf{a}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.34 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ and the orto' and meta'-trifluoromethyl-para-phenyl derivatives $\mathbf{6 e}$ and $6 f\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.013\right.$ and $2.39 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively). Notably, the other substitutions applied to the distal phenyl were detrimental. The distal unsubstituted para-phenyl and para-phenyloxy derivatives 4 and 6 and $\mathbf{6 b}$ have the most favorable ligand-lipophilicity efficiency of the most active compounds ( $L L E=4.16,4.27$ and 4.27, respectively). The distal substituted para-phenyl derivative compounds $\mathbf{6 a}, \mathbf{6 e}$ and $\mathbf{6 f}$, presented the best IC 50 values in series ( $2.34,2.013$ and $2.39 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively) showing that the methoxy group in the meta position (6a) and the trifluoromethyl group in ortho ( $6 \mathbf{e}$ ) and meta ( $6 \mathbf{f}$ ) positions contribute to cellular potency, although this trend is only partially in line with their enzymatic potency. Surprisingly, p-trifluoromethyl substitution gave slightly lower activity, as demonstrated by the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of compound $6 \mathbf{g}(19.60 \mu \mathrm{M})$, which does not fully reflect the high $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ observed in the enzyme inhibition experiments $(0.046 \mu \mathrm{M})$. In fact, compound $\mathbf{6 g}$, which is the most potent enzymatic inhibitor in this series $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.046\right.$ $\mu \mathrm{M})$, does not show the best effect in inhibiting 22RV1 cell proliferation.

Two of the most potent AKR1C3 inhibitors (compounds 6 and $\mathbf{6 e}$ ) were evaluated for their potential to reduce prostate-specific-antigen (PSA) expression in 22RV1 cells. This experiment evaluated whether the observed cell-growth inhibition is produced by a decrease in the steroidogenic pathway caused by AKR1C3 inhibition. Cells were incubated with the compounds at two different concentrations, one similar to the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of the antiproliferative activity and a ten-fold lower concentration. As this cell line also secretes PSA, PSA levels were measured in cell extracts by Western Blotting, and $\beta$-actin was used as the loading control (Fig. 6). As shown, both compounds were able to reduce the PSA levels at the highest concentration. Compound 6 also

Table 1
Inhibitory activity of compounds $\mathbf{2 - 6 g}$ against AKR1C3 and AKR1C2 recombinant purified enzymes.


|  | $\mathrm{R}=$ | AKR1C3 $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \pm$ SE ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | AKR1C2 <br> $\%$ Inhibition $\pm$ SE at $5 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{A})$ or $1 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{B})^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  | $0.26 \pm 0.03$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 118.55 \pm 0.03 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ [31] |
| 3 |  | $0.16 \pm 0.01$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90.75 \pm 0.83 \text { (A) } \\ & 49.67 \pm 3.01 \text { (B) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 |  | $0.11 \pm 0.01$ | $22.86 \pm 2.14$ (A) |
| 4a |  | $0.51 \pm 0.02$ | $29.88 \pm 0.82$ (A) |
| 4b |  | $0.63 \pm 0.03$ | $17.49 \pm 1.62$ (A) |
| 5 |  | $0.42 \pm 0.02$ | $47.01 \pm 1.51$ (A) |
| 5a |  | $0.27 \pm 0.01$ | $54.97 \pm 1.72$ (A) |
| 5b |  | $0.19 \pm 0.04$ | $42.05 \pm 1.65$ (A) |
| 5c |  | $0.19 \pm 0.01$ | $51.43 \pm 2.80$ (A) |
| 5d |  | $0.51 \pm 0.02$ | $50.27 \pm 3.48$ (A) |
| 6 |  | $0.068 \pm 0.005$ | $11.93 \pm 3.87$ (B) |
| 6a |  | $0.35 \pm 0.01$ | $15.45 \pm 1.43$ (B) |
| 6b |  | $0.10 \pm 0.004$ | $13.61 \pm 6.70$ (B) |
| 6 e |  | $0.060 \pm 0.001$ | $13.38 \pm 3.41$ (B) |
| 6 f |  | $0.12 \pm 0.003$ | $26.37 \pm 3.45$ (B) |

Table 1 (continued)

|  | $\mathrm{R}=$ | AKR1C3 $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \pm$ SE $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | AKR1C2 <br> $\%$ Inhibition $\pm$ SE at $5 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{A})$ or $1 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{B})^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 g |  | $0.046 \pm 0.002$ | n.d. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| ASP9521 | See Fig. 1 | $0.044 \pm 0.0025$ | $11.29 \pm 1.97$ (B) |

 wavelength.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ This compound emitted a strong fluorescence signal at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and its inhibition activity could not be tested.
showed a significant effect at the lowest concentration tested. These results confirm that both compounds inhibit PC-cell proliferation by suppressing androgen biosynthesis via AKR1C3 inhibition.

In addition to evaluating the specificity of the compounds on AKR1C3 inhibition and on PC cell proliferation, MRC-5 cells (normal human fetal lung fibroblasts) were also used to provide an indicator of potential normal tissue toxicity [44,45].

Three compounds with different inhibitory effects on AKR1C3 and on 22 RV 1 cell proliferation (compounds 4,6 and $\mathbf{6 f}$ ) were tested for their ability to reduce the proliferation of MRC-5 cells. As shown in Table S1, the three compounds were non-toxic at $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$, with a survival rate of almost $100 \%$. Higher mortality was revealed at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$, but considering that this concentration is $8-40$ times higher than the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value found in 22RV1 cells, safe and tumor-selective treatment using these compounds can be expected in vivo.

To evaluate any possible enhanced effect that may occur when used in combination with currently used drugs, compounds 6 and $6 e$ were explored with ABI (Fig. 7). A co-treatment with increasing concentrations of the compounds was performed for 72 h . The compound concentrations were chosen to be in the ranges of their specific $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values. A significant reduction in cell proliferation was observed at all the tested concentrations when cpds 6 and $6 e$ were added together with ABI. The combination improved the effect observed when ABI is used alone ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ $=9.09 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) especially for compound 6 e , which showed an Enhancement Factor (EF) of 2.60. Compound 6 only exhibited an EF of 1.33 (Table S2).

These experiments confirm the potential of the co-administration of CYP171A1-and AKR1C3-targeting compounds to greatly influence key steps in the steroidogenic pathway by reducing PSA production and celltumor proliferation [46,47].

### 2.6. Inhibition of AKR1C3 activity using coumberone assay

AKR1C3 activity was measured in 22RV1, parental LNCaP and LNCaP AKR1C3-transfected sub-lines, using the AKR1C substrate coumberone. The aforementioned compound is metabolized by AKR1C3 into the correspondent fluorescent alcohol (coumberol), which allows enzyme activity to be quantified [48]. Prior to evaluating coumberone metabolism, the expression of AKR1C3 was analyzed using Western blot and, as expected, AKR1C3 expression was only confirmed for the LNCaP (AKR1C3) and 22RV1 cells (Fig. 8a). $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of coumberone was used to achieve a detectable fluorescent output of coumberol, and fluorescence was recorded after 24 h of incubation. At this concentration, coumberol production was found to be approx. 4 -fold and 20 -fold higher in the 22RV1 and LNCaP-transfected cells, respectively, than in the control LNCaP cells (Fig. 8b).

Three of the most potent AKR1C3 inhibitors $\mathbf{6 , 6 g}$ and $\mathbf{6 e}$ were tested in 22RV1 and LNCaP-AKR1C3 transfected cells to measure their ability to reduce AKR1C3-associated coumberol production. Cells were treated with the three compounds at concentrations similar to their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values, which were calculated for the specific cell line using the SRB assay. To measure their impact on AKR1C3 functional activity without significant cell killing, treatment was assessed for only 24 h . In 22RV1 cells, all three compounds reduced coumberol production by around $50 \%$
(Fig. 9). Similarly, in transfected LNCaP-AKR1C3 cells, all compounds were able to reduce AKR1C3 activity, but in a lower percentage range ( $20-40 \%$ ). It is possible that the artificially high level of enzyme in the AKR1C3-transfected cell line required higher inhibitor concentrations to elicit inhibition at levels similar to those seen in the naturally AKR1C3expressing cell line.

### 2.7. Inhibition of AKR1C3 activity using testosterone assay

In order to explore the AKR1C3-targeting compounds' efficacy in reducing testosterone production in the androgen biosynthetic pathway, an Elisa assay was performed using 22RV1 cells. Compounds $\mathbf{6 , 6 g}$ and 6e were evaluated at two concentrations and an assessment was carried out after 24 h . All of the compounds provided a statistically significant reduction in testosterone production at the highest concentration tested, confirming the existence of a dose-dependent effect (Fig. 10). The highest inhibition was achieved using compound 6 , which was shown to significantly reduce the production of testosterone even when tested at a concentration that was 50 -times lower than its $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value.

### 2.8. Analysis of the binding affinity of compound $\mathbf{6} \boldsymbol{b}$ compared to compound 2 and 6

The most promising candidate for co-crystallization experiments was compound 6b because of its acceptable solubility in PBS and low $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ (Table 2). To confirm our choice, we compared its binding affinity at equilibrium with that of two other compounds: compound 2 was used as a reference and compound 6 as one of those with the most promising inhibitory properties against the isolated enzyme (Table 1). The results obtained using microscale thermophoresis are described in Table 3 and Fig. S4. In line with the inhibition of AKR1C3 described above, compound 2 has the lowest affinity ( $K_{d}=5.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Compounds $\mathbf{6 b}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ were found to bind AKR1C3 with a $K_{d}$ of $2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $3.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. As the binding affinities were very similar, and as $\mathbf{6 b}$ was more soluble than compound $\mathbf{6}$ (Table 2), $\mathbf{6 b}$ was chosen as the best candidate for co-crystallization experiments.

### 2.9. Analysis of the binding mode of compound $\mathbf{6 b}$ co-crystallized with AKR1C3

The crystal structure of AKR1C3 in a complex with compound $\mathbf{6 b}$ was determined to a resolution of 2.0 Å. X-ray data collection and refinement statistics are summarized in Table S5. The ligand-binding pocket of AKR1C3 is composed of several compartments and compound $\mathbf{6 b}$ was clearly identified in the androstenedione oxyanion binding site (OS) (Fig. 11). However, the electron density map observed for compound 6b in the binding site of chain B (Fig. S5B) might indicate a partly occupied binding site (approx. 80\%). This may be due to the compound low solubility.

The structure of AKR1C3 complexed with compound $\mathbf{6 b}$ reveals that 6b establishes key interactions with the catalytic residues Tyr55 and His117 from the 3-hydroxybenzoisoxazole moiety ( 2.7 and $3.0 \AA$, respectively) (Fig. 11). The compound is also observed to stack with the

Table 2
Antiproliferative activity ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) of compounds 2-6g against PC-cell line 22RV1 (SRB assay), solubility (in PBS at pH 7.4), lipophilicity (measured logD ${ }^{7.4}$ ) and CLOGP. $p \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values were measured for three selected compounds ( $\mathbf{5 b}$, $\mathbf{5 d}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$ ). Ligand-lipophilicity efficiency (LLE) is reported where calculable.


|  | $\mathrm{R}=$ | $22 \mathrm{RV1} 1 \mathrm{IC}_{50} \pm$ SE ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | Solubility PBS ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | $\log { }^{7.4} \pm$ SE [pKa] | CLOGP ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | LLE ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  | $26.70 \pm 1.83$ | 34.1 | $3.0 \pm 0.2$ | 5.84 | 3.59 |
| 3 |  | $58.27 \pm 1.68$ | 25.6 | $3.1 \pm 0.2$ | 5.60 | 3.70 |
| 4 |  | $11.81 \pm 0.30$ | 13.77 | $2.8 \pm 0.2$ | 5.60 | 4.16 |
| 4a |  | $22.43 \pm 0.76$ | 5.6 | $2.79 \pm 0.07$ | 5.52 | 3.50 |
| 4b |  | $27.33 \pm 0.44$ | 39.7 | $2.40 \pm 0.02$ | 4.93 | 3.80 |
| 5 |  | $58.20 \pm 1.51$ | $<1^{\text {d }}$ | $2.9 \pm 0.2$ | 5.69 | 3.48 |
| 5 a |  | $37.04 \pm 0.26$ | 1.8 | $3.0 \pm 0.1$ | 5.61 | 3.57 |
| 5b |  | $40.96 \pm 0.69$ | 13.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.75 \pm 0.05[4.96 \pm 0.06 \\ & 10.6 \pm 0.3]^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | 5.02 | 3.97 |
| 5 c |  | $31.98 \pm 0.36$ | 2.1 | $2.9 \pm 0.2$ | 5.61 | 3.82 |
| 5d |  | $56.71 \pm 0.85$ | 11.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.71 \pm 0.05[4.99 \pm 0.05 \\ & 10.5 \pm 0.2]^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | 5.02 | 3.58 |
| 6 |  | $8.11 \pm 0.57$ | $<1^{\text {d }}$ | $2.9 \pm 0.3$ | 5.69 | 4.27 |
| 6 |  | $2.34 \pm 0.06$ | $<1^{\text {d }}$ | $2.8 \pm 0.2$ | 5.61 | 3.66 |
| 6b |  | $16.43 \pm 0.72$ | 11.1 | $2.73 \pm 0.04[5.72 ; 10.44]^{\text {b }}$ | 5.02 | 4.27 |
| 6 e |  | $2.013 \pm 0.064$ | $<1^{\text {d }}$ | n.d. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 6.59 | - |
| 6 f |  | $2.39 \pm 0.09$ | $<1^{\text {d }}$ | n.d. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 6.59 | - |

Table 2 (continued)

|  | $\mathrm{R}=$ | $22 \mathrm{RV} 1 \mathrm{IC}_{50} \pm$ SE $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | Solubility PBS ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | $\log \mathrm{D}^{7.4} \pm$ SE [pKa] | CLOGP ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | LLE ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69 |  | $19.60 \pm 0.39$ | $<1{ }^{\text {d }}$ | n.d. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 6.59 | - |
| ASP9521 | See Fig. 1 | $>50[34.81 \% \pm 2.95 \text { at } 50 \mu \mathrm{M}]$ | $246 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $2.36 \pm 0.05$ | 2.36 | 5.00 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Spectrophotometric determination.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Potentiometric determination (measured by adding $52 \%$ of MDM to the medium, see Experimental Section, on a Syrius T3 Instrument by CASSMedChem).
c Not determined because of low solubility in the aqueous phase.
${ }^{d}$ Not determined because the value is lower than the limit of detection ( $\mathrm{LOD}=1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) of the UHPLC method.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Calculated using Bio-Loom for Windows, vers. 1.4 (BioByte Corp, Claremont, CA U.S.A).
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Calculated as $\mathrm{LLE}=\mathrm{pIC}_{50}-\log \mathrm{D}^{7.4}$, according to Murray et al. [42].


Fig. 6. Inhibition of PSA expression in 22RV1 cells. The Western Blot analysis was performed on cell extracts of 22RV1 cultures incubated for 72 h with compounds 6 and 6 e at two different concentrations. PSA $/ \beta$-actin values are calculated as fold change. The experiment was performed in duplicate.
benzamide moiety of NADP+ and to form hydrophobic contact with the hydrophobic cavity lined by Tyr24, Trp227 and Phe306, which is part of the steroid channel (SC). The distal 3-hydroxyphenyl moiety establishes hydrogen bonds with the side chain of Ser87 and the backbone carbonyl oxygen of Met120, 2.8 and $2.3 \AA$, respectively. This moiety is surrounded by the hydrophobic pocket, Trp86, Ser118, Met120 and Phe311, with these residues being part of the SP1 and SP2 compartments. The center aniline moiety is perpendicular to $\operatorname{Trp86}$, and the aniline $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ interacts with the benzamide carbonyl oxygen of NADP+. The electron densities for the side chains of residues Trp227 and Phe306 are very weak, and this might indicate that the side chains are flexible, possibly adopting several conformations.

The binding pose of $\mathbf{6 b}$ observed in the crystal structure is almost identical to that predicted by molecular docking. As expected, the two phenyl rings of the biphenyl moiety assume a twisted conformation

similar to the conformation found by molecular docking. This conformation is likely to be essential for establishing optimal interactions with the hydrophobic residues lining the pocket. The dihedral angle of $\mathbf{6 b}$ in the crystal structure is $47^{\circ}$ (chain A), while that predicted by docking was $60^{\circ}$, thus supporting the reliability of the in-silico predictions.

## 3. Conclusion

Being an enzyme overexpressed in several radiotherapy- and chemotherapy-resistant cancers types, AKR1C3 is an interesting target that, however, currently has no inhibitor in therapy. In this manuscript, we have described the study of a new series of potent AKR1C3 3-hydroxybenzoisoxazole inhibitors with high selectivity over the AKR1C2 isoforms and micromolar activity in inhibiting 22Rv1 PC-cell proliferation. These compounds act by suppressing androgen biosynthesis via AKR1C3 inhibition as shown by a reduction in PSA levels in cells incubated with new inhibitors and functional activity as measured via reduction of AKR1C3-associated coumberol production. While in-silico studies guided the design of the new derivatives, the crystal structures of the AKR1C3 in complex with $\mathrm{NADP}^{+}$and inhibitor $\mathbf{6 b}$ confirmed the determinants for their potent inhibitory activity. Both in-silico studies and crystal structure highlight the importance of allowing $90^{\circ}$ rotation around the single bond of the biphenyl group, in ensuring an optimal inhibitor binding mode within the pocket. The para-biphenyl that either bears metamethoxy or ortho- or meta-trifluoromethyl substituents (in compounds $\mathbf{6 a}, \mathbf{6 e}$ and $\mathbf{6 f}$, respectively) proved to be the best contributors to cellular potency, although this trend was only partially in line with their enzymatic potency. Furthermore, some model compounds (4, 6 and $6 f$ ) were tested for their toxicity against human MRC-5 cells, and were found to be


Fig. 7. Cell-proliferation assay performed in 22RV1 cells after 72 h of treatment with escalating concentrations of ABI, compound 6 , compound $\mathbf{6 e}$ and the combination of these compounds. Cell growth is expressed as \% T/C (mean OD of treated cells/mean OD of control cells X 100). *P $\leq 0.05, * * P \leq 0.01, * * * P \leq 0.001$, ****P $\leq 0.0001$.


Fig. 8. (a) AKR1C3 protein level and (b) coumberone metabolism in different PC cell lines. Coumberol production was reported as fold change compared to LNCaP WT cells. ${ }^{* *} \mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$, ${ }^{* * * P} \leq 0.001$.


Fig. 9. Inhibition of coumberol formation in 22RV1 (9a) and LNCaP (AKR1C3) (9b) cells, after 1 h of pre-treatment with compounds $\mathbf{6 , 6 g}$ and $\mathbf{6 e}$ followed by 24 h of coumberone treatment $(10 \mu \mathrm{M}) . * \mathrm{P} \leq 0.05, * * \mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$.


Fig. 10. Inhibition of testosterone production exerted by compounds $6,6 \mathrm{~g}$ and 6 e in AD-treated 22RV1 cells at two different concentrations. *P $\leq 0.05$.

Table 3
Microscale thermophoresis derived $K_{d}$ values of compounds 2, $\mathbf{6 b}$ and 6 to the AKR1C3 protein.

| AKR1C3 $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | Compound | $[\mathrm{L}]_{0}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $K_{d}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.005 | $\mathbf{2}$ | 150 | $5.2 \pm 0.9$ |
| 0.005 | $\mathbf{6 b}$ | 75 | $2.5 \pm 0.4$ |
| 0.005 | $\mathbf{6}$ | 75 | $3.0 \pm 0.5$ |

non-toxic as they do not markedly reduce MRC-5 cell proliferation. Cotreatment with scalar dilutions of either compound 6 or $\mathbf{6 e}$ together with the currently used drug ABI provided a significant reduction in cell proliferation. Specifically, compound $6 \mathbf{e}$ showed an Enhancement Factor (EF) of 2.60, and thus confirmed its potential to intervene in key steps of the steroidogenic pathway with both CYP17A1 and AKR1C3targeting compounds. The novel compounds reported here possess the features required for promising preclinical/clinical development, as they have been demonstrated to intervene in the steroidogenic pathway and reduce both PSA and testosterone production. Compounds 6a, $\mathbf{6 e}$


Fig. 11. Crystal structure of compound $\mathbf{6 b}$ in complex with the AKR1C3 binding site of chain A. The ligand and residues lining the pocket within $5 \AA$ of $\mathbf{6 b}$ are shown as sticks, compound $\mathbf{6 b}$ is green and NADP+ is pale cyan. Residues are labeled and colored differently according to the sub-pockets they belong to (pale green: OS; light blue: SP1; light orange: SP2). A simple 2Fo-Fc omit electron density map is shown, displayed at $1 \sigma$ and carved at $1.8 \AA$ around 6b. Water molecules are shown as red spheres, hydrogen bonds as black dashed lines.
and 6f, in particularly, display desirable biochemical potency together with cellular target inhibition and good in-vitro ADME properties, which highlight their potential for further development.

## 4. Experimental section

### 4.1. Molecular modeling

The X-ray structures of AKR1C3, available in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) (https://www.rcsb.org/), were collected and ranked according to resolution, structure integrity and the presence of a co-crystallized ligand. Different X-ray structures were aligned to assess the mobility of specific residues and identify crystallographic water molecules. The crystal structure of AKR1C3 in complex with compound 2 (PDB ID: 6F78) [31] was retrieved from the PDB and properly prepared using the Protein Preparation Wizard tool implemented in Maestro GUI; amendments, such as the addition of hydrogen atoms, assigning bond orders and fixing the charges, were incorporated and the complex then underwent optimization of the hydrogen bond network and restrained minimization. The co-crystallized ligand and cofactor NADP ${ }^{+}$were kept as well as three water molecules that were involved in a hydrogen bonding network, while non-structural waters and impurities were removed. The designed candidates, built using 2D sketcher panel in Maestro, were submitted to ligand preparation using the LigPrep module, and their protonation/tautomeric state was checked using MoKa [49]. All simulations took the 3-hydroxybenzoisoxazole moiety as being deprotonated, which is in line with experimental data [29,50,51]. Due to the high flexibility of the binding site, induced-fit docking simulations [52-54], were carried out using the extra-precision (XP) protocol [55], with the OPLS_2005 force field. The grid was centered on the co-crystallized ligand and three residues (Phe306, Phe311, Trp227) were trimmed during the first round of Glide ligand docking (Schrodinger version 2022-4). Residues within $5.0 \AA$ of ligands were refined, except for His117 and Tyr55, which are involved in the stabilization of the negatively charged 3-hydroxybenzoisoxazole core. No constraints were applied throughout the entire procedure. Furthermore, the protocol was validated by re-docking the co-crystallized ligand 2 (Fig. 2b) and flufenamic acid in the protein binding site.

### 4.2. Chemistry

### 4.2.1. General methods

All chemical reagents were obtained from commercial sources (Sigma Aldrich, Alfa Aesar, Fluorochem) and used without further purification.

All the components for the buffers, culture media, cell culture supplements, Sulforhodamine B (SRB), isopropyl b- D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), DNase, lysozyme, NADP ${ }^{+}$, S-tetralol were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Italy), unless otherwise specified. Analytical-grade solvents (acetonitrile, diisopropyl ether, diethyl ether, dichloromethane [DCM], dimethylformamide [DMF], ethanol $99.8 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$, ethyl acetate, methanol [MeOH], petroleum ether b.p. $40-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [petroleum ether]) were used without further purification. When needed, solvents were dried on $4 \AA$ molecular sieves. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled from Na and benzophenone under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ immediately prior to use. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) on silica gel was carried out using $5 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ plates with 0.25 mm layer thickness to monitor the progress of the reactions. Anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ was used as a drying agent for the organic phases. Compound purification was achieved using flash column chromatography on silica gel (Merck Kieselgel 60, 230-400 mesh ASTM) and the indicated eluents. The purity of the final compounds was at least $95 \%$. Purity was checked using two HPLC analytical methods, performed on a UHPLC chromatographic system (Perkin Elmer, Flexar) or HP 1100 chromatograph system (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA) (see Supplementary). The analytical column for UHPLC system was a UHPLC XBridge BEH C18 XP Column ( $2.1 \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}, 2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particle size) (Waters). Compounds were dissolved in methanol and injected through a $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ loop. The mobile phase consisted of methanol/ water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid. UHPLC analyses were run at flow rates of $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, and the column effluent was monitored at 230 and 254 nm , referenced against a 500 nm wavelength. The chromatograms of one of the two methods are reported in Supplementary. Melting points (m.p.) were measured on capillary apparatus (Büchi 540) by placing the sample at a temperature $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ below the m.p. and applying a heating rate of $2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$. All compounds were routinely checked using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and mass spectrometry. ${ }^{19}$ FNMR of new fluorinated compounds are also reported. NMR spectra were performed on a JEOL ECZR600 instrument. The following abbreviations are used for coupling patterns: $\mathrm{br}=$ broad, $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ doublet of doublets, t $=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, quint $=$ quintuplet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet. Chemical shifts $(\delta)$ are given in parts per million (ppm). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra of new compounds are shown in the supplementary data. MS spectra were performed on a Waters Micromass ZQ equipped with an ESCi source for electrospray ionization mass spectra (ESI). The HRMS spectra of the final compounds (compounds 3-12) were recorded on a LTQ Orbitrap XL plus (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA USA) equipped with an ESI ionization source with positive and negative ions (Spray capillary voltage: $3000 \mathrm{~V}(+), 2500 \mathrm{~V}(-))$. Compound 7 was prepared following previously described procedures [31].

### 4.2.2. General procedure for compounds 10a-c, 11a-e and 18a-c (Buchwald-Hartwig reaction)

A suspension of $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.022 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $( \pm)$-BINAP $(0.035$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene ( 15 mL ) was allowed to stir at rt for 10 min under a nitrogen atmosphere. Next, bromoaryl derivative 7 or 15a ( 0.44 mmol ), the appropriate aniline (phenoxyanilines 8a-c or phenylanilines 9a-e or $17 \mathbf{a - c}(0.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ ) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at reflux for $2-4 \mathrm{~h}$, was then cooled to rt and diluted with EtOAc ( 15 mL ). The organic layer was washed with $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(25$ mL ) before the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure affording the crude product, which was purified using flash chromatography.

4-((3-Phenoxyphenyl)amino)-2-(2,4,6-trimethoxybenzyl)benzo[d] isoxazol-3(2H)-one (10a).

Flash chromatography eluent: gradient of petroleum ether/ethyl acetate from $80 / 20 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ to $70 / 30 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$, yield $78 \%$. White solid, m.p. $=$ $93-94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.82(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.14$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.24-7.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.34(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(151$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 38.5,55.4,56.0,90.5,99.0,102.8,103.2,104.5,110.8$, $113.2,115.4,119.2,123.6,129.9,130.4,134.5,142.0,142.9,157.0$, 158.4, 160.1, 161.1, 161.7, 163.4. MS (ESI): 499 [M+H] ${ }^{+}$.

4-((4-phenoxyphenyl)amino)-2-(2,4,6-trimethoxybenzyl)benzo[d] isoxazol-3(2H)-one (10b).

Flash chromatography eluent: DCM/ethyl acetate 95/5 v/v, yield 86 $\%$. White solid, m.p. $=143-145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.85$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.87(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.03-7.06(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.27-7.31 (3H, m), 7.31-7.41 (2H, m), $8.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(151 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 38.6,55.4,56.0,90.6,98.2,102.4,103.3,103.6,118.5,120.1$, $123.1,123.5,129.8,134.6,135.8,144.2,153.2,157.7,160.1,161.3$, 161.7, 163.7. MS (ESI) $499[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

4-((4-(3-Methoxyphenoxy)phenyl)amino)-2-(2,4,6-trimethox-ybenzyl)benzo[d]isoxazol-3(2H)-one (10c).

Flash chromatography eluent: DCM/ethyl acetate 99/1 v/v. Yield 93 \%. Yellow solid, m.p. $=147-148{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.72$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.77(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.51-6.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.66(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=8.1$ ), 6.95-6.97 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) 7.14-7.20 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $8.04(1 \mathrm{H}$, broad s$)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 38.6,55.5,55.5,56.1,90.6,98.3,102.5$, 103.3, 103.7, 104.5, 108.8, 110.6, 120.4, 123.5, 130.3, 134.6, 136.0, 144.2, 152.9, 159.1, 160.2, 161.1, 161.3, 161.7, 163.7. MS (ESI): 529 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

4-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-3-ylamino)-2-(2,4,6-trimethoxybenzyl)benzo[d]iso-xazol-3(2H)-one (11a). Flash chromatography eluent: petroleum ether/ ethyl acetate $70 / 30 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. Yield $68 \%$. Brown solid, m.p. $=133-135{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.85(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.15$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27-7.34(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 7.34-7.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.19 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (151 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 38.6,55.5,56.1, ~ 90.6, ~ 98.7,102.8,103.3,104.2$, $119.8,119.9,122.3,127.3,127.6,128.9,129.9,134.5,140.9,140.9$, 142.7, 143.6, 160.2, 161.3, 161.8, 163.7. MS (ESI) $483[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]{ }^{+}$.

4-((3'-Methoxy-[1, 1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)amino)-2-(2,4,6-trimethox-ybenzyl)benzo[d]isoxazol-3(2H)-one (11b). Flash chromatography eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $60 / 40 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. Yield 69\%. Sticky solid, m.p. $=60-61{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.83$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 6.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.25-7.29(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.1, \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.8, \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.30\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, broad s). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 38.6,55.5,55.6,56.1,90.7,98.7,102.8,103.4,104.3,112.9,113.2$, $119.8,120.0,120.1,122.3,129.8,129.9,134.6,140.9,142.5,142.6$, 143.6, 160.1, 160.2, 161.3, 161.8, 163.7. MS (ESI) $513[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]{ }^{+}$.

4-((4'-Methoxy-[1, 1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)amino)-2-(2,4,6-trimethox-ybenzyl)benzo[d]isoxazol-3(2H)-one (11c). Flash chromatography eluent: DCM/ethyl acetate $98 / 2 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. Yield $71 \%$. White solid, m.p. $=$ $164-165{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 3.85(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.86$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.21-7.33(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.30(1 \mathrm{H}$, very broad s). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 38.6,55.5,55.5,56.1,90.6,98.6$, $102.7,103.3,104.23,114.4,119.4,119.5,121.9,128.3,129.8,133.4$, 134.6, 140.8, 142.2, 143.6, 159.4, 160.2, 161.3, 161.7, 163.6. MS (ESI) $513[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$

4-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-ylamino)-2-(2,4,6-trimethoxybenzyl)benzo[d]iso-xazol-3(2H)-one (11d). Flash chromatography eluent: DCM/ethyl acetate $95 / 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. Yield $79 \%$. Brown solid, m.p. $=149-151{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$
( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.85(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $6.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57-7.62(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.31(1 \mathrm{H}$, very broad s$) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(151$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 38.8,55.7,56.3,90.8,99.0,103.0,103.5,104.5,121.4$, 127.1, 127.3, 128.3, 129.1, 134.8, 136.3, 140.0, 140.9, 143.6, 160.4, 161.5, 162.0, 163.8. MS (ESI): 483 [M+H] ${ }^{+}$.

4-((3'-Methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)amino)-2-(2,4,6-trimethox-ybenzyl)benzo[d]isoxazol-3(2H)-one (11e). Flash chromatography eluent: gradient of DCM to DCM/ethyl acetate $80 / 20 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. Yield $53 \%$. White solid, m.p. $=129-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.83(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.85(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.13$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.15-7.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34-7.36(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.31\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, very broad s). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 38.6,55.4,55.5,56.1,90.6,98.8,102.8,103.3,104.4,112.5$, 112.6, 119.4, 121.1, 128.1, 129.9, 134.6, 135.9, 139.96, 142.3, 143.3, 160.1, 160.2, 161.3, 161.8, 163.6. MS (ESI): $513[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

### 4.2.3. General procedure for final compounds 3, 4, 4a, 5a, 5c, 6 and $\mathbf{6 a}$

 TFA ( 2 mL ) was added to a mixture of the precursor compound (from series 10 or $11,0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triisopropylsilane ( $0.136 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.660$ mmol ) in dry DCM ( 10 mL ). The mixture was stirred for 1 h at rt under an inert atmosphere before it was diluted with DCM $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water ( 20 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted twice with DCM (2 x 20 mL ) before the combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified using flash chromatography and recrystallized.4-((3-Phenoxyphenyl)amino)benzo[d]isoxazol-3-ol (3). Flash chromatography eluent: DCM/ethyl acetate/TFA 95/5/0.1 v/v/v. Yield 73 $\%$. Greenish solid, m.p. $=138-139{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from diisopropyl ether). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 6.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.88-6.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.93$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.02-7.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38-7.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.00(1 \mathrm{H}$, broad s), 12.57 (very broad s, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 101.0, 104.0, 107.0, 108.9, 111.6, 113.9, 118.9, 123.6, 130.1, 130.5, 132.6, 139.6, 143.4, 156.5, 157.7, 164.8, 165.5. MS (ESI): $319[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. ESI-HRMS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 317.0932$; obsd, 317.0930.

4-((4-Phenoxyphenyl)amino)benzo[d]isoxazol-3-ol (4). Flash chromatography eluent: DCM/ethyl acetate/TFA 95/5/0.1 v/v/v. Yield $75 \%$. White solid, m.p. $=155-156{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from diisopropyl ether). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 6.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 6.97-7.05 (4H, m), $7.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31-7.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.38$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.79(1 \mathrm{H}$, broad s), $12.66(1 \mathrm{H}$, very broad, s). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 99.7,102.8,104.9,117.9,120.0$, 122.6, 123.0, 130.0, 132.8, 136.8, 141.2, 151.6, 157.4, 164.8, 166.0. MS (ESI): $319[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. ESI-HRMS $(m / z)$ : $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, 317.0932; obsd, 317.0930.

4-((4-(3-Methoxyphenoxy)phenyl)amino)benzo[d]isoxazol-3-ol (4a). Flash chromatography eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate/TFA 80/ $20 / 0.1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. Yield $56 \%$. Greenish solid, m.p. $=144-145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from acetonitrile). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 3.73(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) 6.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.02(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29-7.37(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.78(1 \mathrm{H}$, broad s), $12.60(1 \mathrm{H}$, very broad s$) .{ }^{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}\right) \delta 55.8,100.3$, 103.3, 104.5, 105.5, 109.1, 110.3, 120.7, 123.0, 131.0, 133.5, 137.4, 141.7, 151.8, 159.2, 161.2, 165.3, 166.3. MS (ESI): $349[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. ESI-HRMS $(m / z):[M-H]^{-}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 347.1037$; obsd, 347.1037.

4-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-3-ylamino)benzo[d]isoxazol-3-ol (5). Flash chromatography eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate/TFA 70/30/0.1 v/v/ v. Yield $74 \%$. White solid, m.p. $=200-203{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from DCM). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 6.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$,
7.29-7.31 (2H, m), 7.36-7.43 (3H, m), 7.47 (2H, t, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.56 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.95(1 \mathrm{H}$, broad s), 12.70 $\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, very broad, s). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 100.5,103.4$, $105.9,118.1,118.7,120.7,126.7,127.6,129.0,129,8,130.0,140.2$, 141.4, 141.8, 141.9, 164.8, 165.7. MS (ESI): $303[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. ESI-HRMS $(m / z):[M-H]^{-}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 301.0983$; obsd, 301.0982.

4-((3'-Methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)amino)benzo[d]isoxazol-3-ol (5a). Flash chromatography eluent: DCM/ethyl acetate $95 / 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. Yield $58 \%$. Pale yellow solid, m.p. $=159-160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from diisopropyl ether). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 3.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 6.92-6.95 (2H, m), 7.17 (1H, s), $7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.28-7.31(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), 7.33-7.45 (3H, m), $7.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.93\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, broad s). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 55.2,100.4,103.4,106.0,112.2,113.2,118.3,118.8$, $119.1,120.8,129.8,130.0,132.9,140.3,141.3,141.7,141.8,159.8$, 164.8, 165.8. MS (ESI): $333[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. ESI-HRMS $(m / z):[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 331.1088$; obsd, 331,1089.

4-((4'-Methoxy-[1, 1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)amino)benzo[d]isoxazol-3-ol (5c). Flash chromatography eluent: DCM/ethyl acetate $95 / 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. Yield 80\%. Pale yellow solid, m.p. $=162-163{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from diisopropyl ether). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.94(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.99-7.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.21-7.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=$ $7.9,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.56-7.64(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.91\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, broad s). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 55.2,100.3,103.4,105.8,114.4,117.7$, 118.1, 120.3, 127.8, 129.8, 132.4, 133.4, 141.1, 141.7, 159.0, 164.8, 165.9. MS (ESI): $333[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. ESI-HRMS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 331.1088$; obsd, 331.1089.

4-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-ylamino)benzo[d]isoxazol-3-ol (6). Flash chromatography eluent: DCM/ethyl acetate $95 / 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. Yield 37 \%. White solid, m.p. $=224-226{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from DCM/MeOH 1:1 v/v). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (600 MHz, DMSO $\left.-d_{6}\right) \delta 6.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.39(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.98(1 \mathrm{H}$, broad s), $12.70(1 \mathrm{H}$, very broad s). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 100.6,103.6,106.3,119.8$, 126.1, 126.9, 127.5, 128.9, 132.7, 133.7, 139.8, 140.0, 140.9, 164.8, 165.7. MS (ESI): $303[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. ESI-HRMS $(m / z):[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 301.0983$; obsd, 301.0985 .

4-((3'-Methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)amino)benzo[d]isoxazol-3-ol (6a). Flash chromatography eluent: DCM/ethyl acetate 95/5 v/v. Yield $65 \%$. Greenish solid, m.p. $=181-182{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from MeOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(600$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.86-6.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.1), 7.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.33-7.43(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.98(1 \mathrm{H}$, broad s), $12.67(1 \mathrm{H}$, very broad s). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 55.2,100.7,103.7,106.3,111.7$, $112.6,118.6,119.8,127.7,130.1,132.9,133.7,140.1,141.0,141.4$, 159.9, 164.9, 165.9. MS (ESI): $333[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. ESI-HRMS (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 331.1088$; obsd, 331.1089.

### 4.2.4. General procedure for final compounds $\mathbf{4 b}, \mathbf{5 b}, 5 \boldsymbol{d}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$

$1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ in DCM ( $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was diluted in 10 mL of DCM under an inert atmosphere and the resulting solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of protected compound (4a, 5a, 5c or $\mathbf{6 a}, 0.085 \mathrm{~g}, 0.250$ mmol ) in DCM was slowly added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before it was quenched using 10 mL water. The two phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic phases were separately washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. They were then combined and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude product was purified using flash chromatography and recrystallized with the indicated solvent.

4-((4-(3-Hydroxyphenoxy)phenyl)amino)benzo[d]isoxazol-3-ol (4b). Obtained from 4a. Flash chromatography eluent: DCM/MeOH/TFA 98/ $2 / 0.1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. Yield $80 \%$. Pale yellow solid, m.p. $=196-197{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from diisopropyl ether). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 6.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.77$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.01(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29-7.40(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 20), 7.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{broad~s),~} 9.55$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, broad s), $12.64\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, very broad s). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right)$
$\delta$ 99.4, 101.2, 102.5, 104.5, 104.6, 108.1, 109.8, 120.0, 122.2, 130.1, 132.6, 136.5, 151.1, 151.4, 158.4, 158.5, 164.5. MS (ESI): $335[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. ESI-HRMS $(m / z)$ : $[M-H]^{-}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 333.0881$; obsd 333.0881.

4-((3'-Hydroxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)amino)benzo[d]isoxazol-3-ol (5b). Obtained from 5a. Flash chromatography eluent: DCM/MeOH/TFA 98/ $2 / 0.1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. Yield $67 \%$. Gray solid, m.p. $=220-223{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, dec. (from DCM). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 6.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.87$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.22-7.29(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.37-7.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.95(1 \mathrm{H}$, broad s), $9.52\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, broad s), $12.61\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, very broad s). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(151$ MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 100.4,103.5,106.0,113.5,114.6,117.4,117.9$, 118.6, 120.5, 129.8, 129.9, 132.8, 140.2, 141.5, 141.9, 141.7, 157.8, 164.8, 166.8. MS (ESI): $319.02[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. ESI-HRMS $(m / z):[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 317.0932$; obsd 317.0932.

4-((4'-Hydroxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)amino)benzo[d]isoxazol-3-ol
(5d). Obtained from 5c. Flash chromatography eluent: DCM/MeOH 99/ $1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. Yield $77 \%$. Gray solid, m.p. $=217-218{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. (from diisopropyl ether). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 6.83-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.94$ (d, $J=$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.22$ (m, 2H), 7.34-7.39 (m, 2H), 7.46-7.49 (m, 3H), 7.88 (broad s, 1H), 9.55 (broad s, 1H), 12.66 (very broad s, 1 H ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 100.2,103.3,105.7116 .0,117.6,117.8$, 120.1, 127.8, 129.7, 130.8, 132.9, 141.4, 141.5, 141.6, 157.2, 164.7, 166.7. ESI-HRMS $(m / z)$ : $[M-H]^{-}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, 317.0932; obsd 317.0933.

4-((3'-Hydroxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)amino)benzo[d]isoxazol-3-ol (6b). Obtained from 6a. Flash chromatography eluent: DCM/MeOH/TFA 98/ $2 / 0.1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. Yield $70 \%$. Light yellow solid, m.p. $=227-230{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 66.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.98\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, broad s), $9.52\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, very broad s). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 100.5,103.8,106.2,112.9,113.9,114.1$, 117.0, 119.8, 127.4, 129.9, 133.9, 140.8, 141.3, 149.2, 157.8, 164.8, 165.9. MS (ESI): $341.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$. ESI-HRMS $(m / z):[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, 317.0932; obsd 317.0932.

2-Bromo-6-fluoro-N-hydroxybenzamide (13). Thionyl chloride (1.32 $\mathrm{mL}, 18.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise at rt to a solution of 2-bromo-6-fluorobenzoic acid $12(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 9.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 30 mL ) then dry DMF ( 0.20 mL ) was added to the reaction medium. The mixture was heated at reflux for 3 h , then cooled to rt and the solvent, as well as residual thionyl chloride and DMF, were evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting acyl chloride was dissolved in dry THF ( 20 mL ) and used without any further purification in the next step. Hydroxylammonium chloride ( $1.90 \mathrm{~g}, 27.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(6.32 \mathrm{~g}, 45,7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and allowed to stir at rt for 10 min . The acyl chloride solution in THF was added to the aqueous solution of hydroxylamine at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, observing the formation of a white precipitate. The reaction medium was stirred overnight at rt , then THF was removed at reduced pressure and the concentrated mixture was acidified with 2 M HCl to pH 3 . The resulting aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc ( $4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and then concentrated under vacuum to give 13 . Yield $91 \%$. Pale yellow solid, m.p. $=161-162{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta: 7.33(1 \mathrm{H}, t, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.42(1 \mathrm{H}, t d, J$ $=8.2,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.51(1 \mathrm{H}, d, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.41(1 \mathrm{H}, d, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 11.12$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, s) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 115.6(d, J=21.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.4$ $(d, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 126.3(d, J=23.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.1(d, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 132.8(d, J$ $=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 159.6(d, J=249.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 565 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ : 112.3 (s). MS (ESI) $233[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

4-Bromobenzo[d]isoxazole-3-ol (14). A solution of N-hydroxy-benzamide $13(1.486 \mathrm{~g}, 6.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $8 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KOH}\left(2.24 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KOH}\right.$ in $\left.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ was refluxed for 40 h . The resulting red solution was cooled to rt observing the formation of a white precipitate. The precipitate was dissolved by diluting the mixture with in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, in the following the medium was acidified with 6 M HCl to pH 2 . The resulting suspension
was diluted with water, extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ before being removed under vacuum. The reddish solid crude product was recrystallized from acetonitrile to give pure 14. Yield $62 \%$. Orange crystals, m.p. $=228-230{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from acetonitrile). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{MeOD}) \delta: 7.42-7.45(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 110.4$, 115.3, 115.8, 128.1, 133.0, 165.9, 166.3. MS (ESI) $214[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

3-(Benzyloxy)-4-bromobenzo[d]isoxazole (15a) and 2-benzyl-4-bro-mobenzo[d]isoxazol-3(2H)-one (15b). $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 5.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the solution of 14 ( $0.848 \mathrm{~g}, 3.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 5 mL of DMF under a nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting mixture, shielded from light, was stirred for 10 min and then benzylbromide ( $1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 5.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added stirring in the following the reaction medium overnight at rt. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc from 9/1 to 8/2) to afford the compounds 15 a and 15 b:

15a, yield $41 \%$, white solid, m.p. $=72-73^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 71.95,109.48,114.31,114.49,127.15$, 127.67, 128.42, 128.67, 131.48, 135.67, 164.85, 165.79. MS (ESI) 304 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

15b, yield $8 \%$, white solid, m.p. $=124.5-125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(600$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.29(\mathrm{~m}$, 7H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 49.8,109.2,115.3,118.7,127.1$, 127.8, 128.4, 128.9, 134.1, 134.6, 161.0, 161.0. MS (ESI) $304[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

### 4.2.5. General procedure for the preparation of anilines 17 a-c

A solution of 4-bromoaniline (1.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.2$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (4.0 equiv.) in dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9 / 1)$ was stirred for 30 min under a nitrogen atmosphere. The corresponding boronic acid 16 a-c (3.0 equiv.) was then added in several portions and the reaction medium was heated at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $3-4.5 \mathrm{~h}$. After cooling to rt , the reaction was quenched with water ( 60 mL ) and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography.

2'-(Trifluoromethyl)-[1, 1'-biphenyl]-4-amine (17a). Flash column chromatography eluent: petroleum ether/EtOAc (100/0 v/v to 80/20 v/ v). The product was obtained as a yellow oil in $27 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3) \delta 3.73$ (very broad s, 2 H ), $6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3\right) \delta$ $114.5,124.4(\mathrm{q}, J=273.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 126.2(\mathrm{q}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 126.9,129.4$, 130.1, 130.3, 131.4, 132.5, 141.8, 146.0. MS (ESI) $238[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$.

3'-(Trifluoromethyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-amine (17b). Flash column chromatography eluent: petroleum ether/EtOAc $7 / 3 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. Light yellow solid, m.p. $=65-67{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Yield $71 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) $\delta: 3.79$ (broad s, 2H), 6.78 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.43 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.53-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3) \delta 115.5,122.9,123.1,122.9(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J} 3=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.1(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J} 3$ $=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.4(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J} 1=272.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.2,129.2,129.6,130.0,131.1$ (q, J2 $=31.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 142.0, 146.6. MS (ESI) $238[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$.

4'-(Trifluoromethyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-amine (17c). Flash column chromatography eluent: petroleum ether/EtOAc: $8 / 2 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ to $7 / 3 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. Yellow solid, m.p. $=141-142{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Yield $23 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.81$ (br s, 2H), $6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 115.5,124.5(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=271.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 125.7, 125.7, 126.5, 128.3, 129.9, 144.7, 146.8. MS (ESI) $238[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

3-(Benzyloxy)-N-(2'-(trifluoromethyl)-[1, 1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)benzo[d] isoxazol-4-amine (18a). The Buchwald-Hartwig procedure was performed on $0.238 \mathrm{~g}(0.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{1 5 a}$ and $o$-trifluoromethyl-phenyl-4aniline $17 \mathrm{a}(0.186 \mathrm{~g}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction medium was heated for 1.5 h . Flash column chromatography eluent: petroleum ether/EtOAc $95 / 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. White solid, m.p. $=91-93^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Yield $64 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.36(\mathrm{~m}$,

2H), 7.39-7.49 (m, 4H), 7.53-7.59 (m, 3H), 7.76 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 72.7,100.8,102.7,105.0,120.0,124.3$ (q, $J=273.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 126.3(\mathrm{q}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 127.4,128.6,128.6,129.0,129.0$, $130.2,131.5,132.3,132.5,134.9,135.5,139.8,140.2,141.0,166.0$, 166.6. ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 565 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 56.7$. MS (ESI) $461[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

3-(Benzyloxy)-N-(3'-(trifluoromethyl)-[1, 1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)benzo[d] isoxazol-4-amine (18b). The Buchwald-Hartwig procedure was performed on $0.217 \mathrm{~g}(0.71 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{1 5 a}$ and $m$-trifluoromethyl-phenyl-4aniline 17 b ( $0.169 \mathrm{~g}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction medium was heated for 3.5 h . Flash column chromatography eluent: petroleum ether/EtOAc $90 / 10 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. White solid, m.p. $=125-127{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Yield $78 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(600$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99$ (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.30 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.46-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 72.6,101.0,102.8,105.1,120.8$, $123.5(\mathrm{q}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.7(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=272.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $128.3,128.5,128.9,129.0,129.4,130.0,131.3$ (q, $J=32.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 132.4, $134.5,135.4,139.5,140.7,141.3,165.9,166.5 .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 565 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 62.5$. MS (ESI) $461[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

3-(Benzyloxy)-N-(4'-(trifluoromethyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)benzo[d] isoxazol-4-amine (18c). The Buchwald-Hartwig procedure was performed on $0.221 \mathrm{~g}(0.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{1 5 a}$ and $p$-trifluoromethyl-phenyl-4aniline 17 c ( $0.172 \mathrm{~g}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction medium was heated for 1.5 h . Flash column chromatography eluent: petroleum ether/EtOAc $90 / 10 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. White solid, m.p. $=164-165{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Yield $63 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(600$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.92 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=8.13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.34-7.46 (m, 3H), 7.53 (d, J = 7.2, 2H), 7.57-7.60 (m, 2H), 7.67-7.70 (m, 4H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 72.7,101.1,102.9,105.3,120.7$, $124.5(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=271.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.9(\mathrm{q}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 127.0,128.4$, 128.5 , $129.0,129.0,129.1$ (q, $J=33.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 132.5, 134.4, 135.5, 139.4, 140.9, 144.1, 166.0, 166.5. ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 565 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta:$ 60.6. MS (ESI) $461[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

### 4.2.6. General procedure for final compounds $\mathbf{6 e}, \mathbf{6 f}$ and $\mathbf{6 g}$

3-(Benzyloxy)benzo[d]isoxazole 18 a-c (1 equiv.) was dissolved in a solution of TFA (volume mL ) and thioanisole ( 6 equiv.), as previously described in the supplementary [56] for similar hydroxy-heterocyclic systems. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for $20-45 \mathrm{~min}$, then the reaction was quenched by the addition of water ( 40 mL ); the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography and crystallization.

4-((2'-(Trifluoromethyl)-[1, 1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)amino)benzo[d]isoxazol-3-ol ( $6 \boldsymbol{e}$ ). Flash column chromatography eluent: gradient from DCM/ $\mathrm{MeOH} 100 / 0 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ to $95 / 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. White solid, m.p. $=202-203{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec (from diisopropyl ether). Yield $67 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 6.89$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35$ (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.62$ (very br $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 100.7,103.7,106.4,118.6$, 124.3 ( $\mathrm{q}, J=274.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $126.1(\mathrm{q}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 127.0(\mathrm{q}, J=29.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $127.7,129.3,129.6(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 132.3,132.3,132.7,136.3,140.6$, 141.1, 164.8, 165.6. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 565 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 55.2$. ESI-HRMS $(m / z):[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, 369.0856; obsd 369.0855.

4-((3'-(Trifluoromethyl)-[1, 1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)amino)benzo[d]isoxazol-3-ol ( $6 f$ ). Flash column chromatography eluent: gradient from DCM/ $\mathrm{MeOH} 100 / 0 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ to $95 / 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. Light green solid, m.p. $=208-209^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec (from diisopropyl ether). Yield $68 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $6.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.65-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.07 (br s, 1 H ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \text { DMSO-d })_{6} \delta 100.9,103.9$, $106.9,119.4,122.3,123.3,124.3$ (q, $J=272.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 127.8, 129.8 (q, $J=$ $31.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.0,130.1,131.6,132.7,140.8,141.8,144.8,164.7,165.6$. ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 565 MHz, DMSO- $_{6}$ ) $\delta:$ 60.9. ESI-HRMS $(m / z):[M-H]^{-}$
calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 369.0856$; obsd 369.0855
4-((4'-(Trifluoromethyl)-[1, 1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)amino)benzo[d]isoxazol-3-ol ( $6 \mathbf{g}$ ). Flash column chromatography eluent: gradient from DCM/ MeOH: $100 / 0 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ to $98 / 2 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. White solid, m.p. $=227-228{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}$ (from diisopropyl ether/MeOH). Yield $80 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.43$ (m, 3H), 7.71 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.09 (br s, 1H), 12.67 (very br s, 1 H ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(151 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 101.2,104.0,107.1,119.8,124.5(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=271.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.7$ (q, $J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 126.6, 127.1 (q, $J=32.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 127.9, 131.5, 132.6, 139.5, 142.1, 143.8, 164.8, 165.6. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 565 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ : 60.7. ESI-HRMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, 369.0856; obsd 369.0856.

### 4.3. Solubility assay at pH 7.4

Solubility was assayed in PBS as previously described [57]. Each solid compound ( 1 mg ) was added to 1 mL of PBS ( 12 mM with NaCl 137 mM and $\mathrm{KCl} 2.7 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$ ). The samples were shaken in an orbital shaker at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h , these suspensions were filtered through a PTFE $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filter and the solutions were chromatographically analyzed using a Perkin Elmer UHPLC instrument, equipped with a reverse-phase (RP) C18 Phenomenex column ( $2.1 \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particle size). Solubility, expressed as $\mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration of the saturated solution, was calculated via interpolation with external calibration curves that were obtained with solutions of each compound in methanol.

### 4.4. Ionization and lipophilicity

Lipophilicity $\left(\log D^{7.4}\right)$ was measured as previously described [32]. The ionization constants of the compounds were determined via potentiometric titration, as described in Ref. [32], while the spectrophotometric determination was measured according to Ref. [58].

### 4.5. AKR1C3 and AKR1C2 expression and purification

E.coli BL21 (DE) Codon Plus RP cells (Agilent Technologies) were transformed with the pGEX2T-modified plasmid that codes for either human AKR1C3 (H5Q) or C2 and that harbors a tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease recognition sequence, as previously described [30]. The expression and purification of both enzymes were performed as previously described [30]. Briefly, the bacteria cells were grown in YT2X media supplemented with ampicillin. Protein expression was induced by IPTG 0.5 mM for 3 h at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The bacteria were then centrifuged and lysed in the presence of DNase, lysozyme and protease inhibitors. The lysate was centrifuged for 30 min at $13,000 \times g$ and the supernatant was collected. Proteins were affinity purified using N-terminal GST-tag on glutathione sepharose (GE-Healthcare), followed by His-tagged TEV protease digestion for GST-epitope removal. Then TEV protease was removed in a nickel-affinity chromatography step in 20 mM TRIS- HCl pH 8, 150 mM NaCl and 10 mM imidazole.

For MST analysis, a final size-exclusion chromatography step on a ENrich SEC $65010 \times 300 \mathrm{~mm} 24 \mathrm{ml}$ column (GE Healthcare) was performed in 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer ( pH 7.0 ) supplemented with 1 mM DTT.

### 4.6. Microscale thermophoresis (MST)

Microscale thermophoresis (MST) (Jerabek-Willemsen et al., 2014) experiments were carried out using a Monolith NT. 115 device (Nanotemper Technologies). A solution of purified AKR1C3 was labeled using the MO-L011 Monolith Protein Labeling Kit RED-NHS (Nanotemper Technologies). AKR1C3 was incubated at a concentration of 5 nM with serial dilutions of inhibitors (from $150 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for compound 1 and $75 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for compounds 6 and 6 b ) in 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer ( pH 7.0), supplemented with $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NADP}^{+}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, $5 \%$ DMSO and
0.05 \% Tween. Results were analyzed using GraphPad Prism Software. At least two experiments were performed for each interaction.

### 4.7. AKR1C3 and AKR1C2 inhibitor screening

The inhibition assays were performed on purified recombinant enzymes, as previously described [30]. Briefly, the enzymatic reaction was fluorimetrically (exc/em; $340 \mathrm{~nm} / 460 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) monitored via the measurement of NADPH production using an "Ensight" plate reader (Perkin Elmer) at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The assay mixture, which contained S-tetralol (in EtOH), the inhibitor (in DMSO), 100 mM phosphate buffer pH 7, $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{NADP}^{+}$and purified recombinant enzyme $(0.4 \mu \mathrm{M})$, was added to a 96-well plate at a final volume of $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ with $4 \%$ of EtOH and $6 \%$ DMSO. The S-tetralol concentrations used in the AKR1C2 and AKR1C3 inhibition assays were $15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $160 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively, in accordance with the Km described for the respective isoforms under the same experimental conditions. Percentage inhibition with respect to the controls, which contained the same amount of solvent without inhibitor, was calculated from the initial velocities, obtained via the linear regression of the progress curve at different inhibitor concentrations. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were obtained using GraphPad Prism. Results are expressed as mean value $\pm$ standard error (SE) of at least three experiments, each carried out in triplicate.

### 4.8. Cell lines

Human fetal lung fibroblast MRC-5 cells (ATCC) were cultured in EMEM supplemented with $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ fetal bovine serum (FBS), $1 \%$ nonessential amino acids (NEAA), $2 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ penicillin-streptomycin and 0.03 \% l-glutamine. Prostate cancer 22RV1 cells (ATCC) were cultured in RPMI, supplemented with $10 \%$ (v/v) FBS, $2 \%$ (v/v) penicillinstreptomycin and $0.03 \%$ L-glutamine. Both cell lines were grown at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified atmosphere containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. RPMI supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS, $1 \%$ l-glutamine and $1 \%$ sodium pyruvate was used for LnCaP and the AKR1C3-transfected cell line variant. The latter was generated using a F279 V5 plasmid modified version of pIRES-P following protocol described in ref. [59].

### 4.9. Cell-proliferation assay

Cell-growth inhibition was evaluated using a sulforhodamine B colorimetric proliferation assay (SRB assay) modified by Vichai and Kirtikara, as previously described [30]. 10,000 cells/well were seeded into 96 -well plates in the specific medium and incubated for 24 h . For the 22RV1 cells, a 10 \% charcoal stripped serum (CSS) was used instead of FBS. Various dilutions of inhibitors in DMSO were then added in triplicate, and incubated for 72 h . Control cells were incubated with the same final concentration of DMSO (maximum concentration $1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). For the co-treatment experiments, 22RV1 cells were incubated for 72 h with ABI and either compound 6 or compound $6 e$ at the doses indicated above (from $2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were obtained using GraphPad Prism Software. The values are the means of three separate experiments, each carried out in triplicate. The Enhancement Factor (EF) was calculated as:
$\mathrm{EF}=\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{ABI}) / \mathrm{EC}_{50}$ (drug combination).

### 4.10. Western blot

For the determination of PSA and AKR1C3 expression in PC cells, 300,000 cells/well were seeded into 6-well plates in the specific medium, which contained $10 \%$ CSS, and incubated for 24 h . Two different dilutions of compounds $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{6 e}$ in DMSO were then added to the wells and incubated for 72 h . Control cells were incubated with the same final
concentration of DMSO (maximum concentration 0.5 \% v/v). Protein extraction from both untreated and treated cells was conducted using a RIPA lysis buffer containing the Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche Molecular Biochemicals). $30 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of total protein lysate was loaded for western-blot analysis. For the detection of PSA and AKR1C3, rabbit anti-PSA 1:500 (sc-7316 Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and mouse antiAKR1C3 1:5,000 (Sigma Aldrich) were used, respectively. The antibodies were added to the membrane and incubated overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The membrane was then washed and incubated with either HRPconjugated secondary anti-mouse or anti-rabbit antibody $1: 10,000$ (Santa-Cruz Biotechnology), for 2 h at rt . Visualization was achieved using the chemiluminescent substrate Amersham ECL prime in the ChemiDoc Imaging System (Biorad). Rabbit anti- $\beta$-actin 1:1,000 (Cell Signaling Technology) was used as the internal control with overnight incubation at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
4.11. Measurement of enzymatic activity of AKR1C3 in prostate cancer cells

Cells were seeded in 96-well plates in red phenol-free media and equilibrated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ incubator overnight. Coumberone (MedChemEspress) was added to the plate at a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, reaching a final volume of $200 \mu \mathrm{l} /$ well. Cells were incubated for a further 24 h . After transferring $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the supernatant into a 96-well black plate, the fluorescence intensity was read at excitation 355 nm and emission at 538 nm (cutoff 495 nm ) using a Flexstation 3 Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices). The fluorescence intensity was normalized to the cell density obtained when performing the SRB assay (as above). The values are the average of three separate experiments each carried out in triplicate. Results were analyzed using GraphPad Prism Software and were deemed significant when $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05\left(^{*}\right), \mathrm{P} \leq 0.01(* *), \mathrm{P} \leq 0.001$ $(* * *)$ and not significant with $P$ values greater than 0.05 .

### 4.12. Inhibition of the AKR1C3-mediated reduction of coumberone in 22RV1- and LNCaP-AKR1C3-transfected cells

Cells were added to 96 -well plates in red phenol-free media at a density of 20,000 cells per well and incubated overnight at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a $5 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ incubator. Cells were pre-treated with compounds $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{6 e}$ and $\mathbf{6 g}$ for 1-h, prior to the addition of coumberone $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$, reaching a final volume of $200 \mu \mathrm{l} /$ well. After 24 h incubation, $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of supernatant were transferred to a 96 -well black plate and fluorescence intensity was measured (as above) and normalized to cell density using the SRB assay. Cells exposed to coumberone alone were considered to result in the maximum percentage ( $100 \%$ ) of coumberone reduction and consequently used as a reference. The values are the average of three separate experiments each carried out in triplicate. $P$ values were calculated as reported above (4.11, measurement of enzymatic activity of AKR1C3 in prostate cancer cells).

### 4.13. Inhibition of AKR1C3-mediated testosterone production in $22 R V 1$

 cells using Elisa22RV1 cells were seeded in 96-well plates in RPMI 6140 medium, supplemented with $10 \%$ charcoal-stripped serum, at a density of 30,000 cells per well. Cells were allowed to attach overnight. Compounds 6, 6e and 6 g were used at two concentrations to pre-treat cells for 1-h, prior to the addition of the testosterone precursor androstenedione (AD) (30 $\mathrm{nM})$. Plates were returned to the incubator for a further 24 h . The cell supernatant was collected and diluted with Elisa Buffer (1:2) as per the manufacturer's guide for the Testosterone Elisa Kit (Cayman Chemical Company). The solution was then analyzed for testosterone detection using the above-mentioned kit. The color generated as a result of the reaction was quantified at a wavelength of 405 nm using a microplate reader. The concentration of testosterone was quantitated against a calibration curve generated using the ELISA buffer. Cells exposed to AD
alone were used as a reference. The values are the average of three separate experiments each carried out in triplicate. $P$ values were calculated as reported above ( 4.11 measurement of enzymatic activity of AKR1C3 in prostate cancer cells).

### 4.14. Protein expression, purification and crystallization

The protein (AKR1C3) for crystallization was expressed and purified as previously described [31]. Fractions containing pure AKR1C3 were pooled and concentrated to $76.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, using a sample buffer consisting of 10 mM potassium phosphate $\mathrm{pH} 7,1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA and 1 mM DTT. AKR1C3 and the inhibitor $\mathbf{6 b}$ were crystallized using the hanging vapor diffusion method. Both NADP ${ }^{+}$and inhibitor 6b (dissolved in DMSO) were added at a final concentration of 2 mM to the protein solution (25 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and incubated overnight at $6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (final concentration of DMSO $<1 \%$ ). For crystallization, $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ protein-ligand solution was mixed with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reservoir solution, and the plates were incubated at $6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Crystals were observed within 1-2 weeks and appeared in 100 mM MES pH 6.0 , $25 \%$ PEG 3350. The crystals were cryoprotected in the reservoir solution with 20 \% ethylene glycol prior to the flash freezing of the crystals.

### 4.15. $X$-ray data collection, structure determination and refinement

X-ray diffraction data of the complex between AKR1C3, NADP ${ }^{+}$and $\mathbf{6 b}$ were collected at MaxIV beamline Biomax, Lund, Sweden [60]. X-ray diffraction data were processed, scaled and merged using the auto-processing toolbox [61], which included XDS [62], as well as AIMLESS and POINTLESS in the CCP4 program suite [63]. The structure was determined via molecular replacement in Phaser [64], within the CCP4 program suite. The structure of AKR1C3 in complex with flufenamic acid (PDB-code 6f2u, chain A [31]) was used as the search model. The structure model was rebuilt using AutoBuild [65], within Phenix [66]. The structure was manually rebuilt in Coot [67], and refined using PHENIX. The atomic coordinates for inhibitor 6b were created in Maestro (Maestro version 11.1; Schrödinger, LLC: New York, 2022) and subjected to the LigPrep procedure [68]. A restraint file for $\mathbf{6 b}$ was generated using eLBOW [69], while maintaining the geometry from Maestro. TLS were applied during refinements. Individual B-factors were refined isotropically. $X Y Z$ (reciprocal) were refined. Translational pseudosymmetry (tNCS) is not included in refinements, as no significant change to $\mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}$ was observed. One loop region of the protein chain could not be modelled as electron density was very weak or absent, indicating a flexible loop (residue 131-136). At last, the structure was validated in the wwPDB OneDep [70] and figures were prepared in PyMol (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.5.5, Schrödinger, LLC). Data-collection and refinement statistics are summarized in Table S5.

## Data accessibility

The structure coordinates and corresponding structure factor files of the enzyme AKR1C3 in complex with NADP ${ }^{+}$and compound $\mathbf{6 b}$ have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) under the accession code (PDB ID: 8RB6).
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## Abbreviations used

(AKR1C3) Aldo-keto reductase 1C3 isoform
(PC) prostate cancer
(ADT) androgen deprivation therapy
(CRPC) castration-resistant prostate cancer
(AR) androgen receptor
(FLU) flufenamic acid
(SP2) sub-pocket 2
(SP1) sub-pocket 1
(COX) cyclooxygenase
(AKR1C2) aldo-keto reductase 1C2 isoform
(DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) hexadeuterodimethyl sulfoxide
(CDCl3) deuterochloroform
(PSA) prostatic serum antigen
(rt) room temperature
(PBS) phosphate buffered saline
(CSS) charcoal stripped serum
(TFA) Trifluoroacetic acid
(THF) tetrahydrofuran
(DMF) dimethylformamide
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