



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

A review on the most frequently used methods to detect antibiotic residues in bovine raw milk

This is a pre print version of the following article:	
Original Citation:	
Availability:	
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1902075 since 2023-05-02T10:34:32Z	
Published version:	
DOI:10.1016/j.idairyj.2023.105695	
Terms of use:	
Open Access	
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.	
Open Access Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright	

(Article begins on next page)

Review

Methods to identify antibiotic residues in bovine raw milk

Cristina Vercelli,^{a,} Michela Amadori,^a Graziana Gambino,^{a, *} and Giovanni Re^a

^a Department of Veterinary Sciences, University of Turin, Largo Paolo Braccini 2, 10095, Grugliasco (Turin), Italy

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: graziana.gambino@unito.it (G. Gambino).

 $\begin{array}{c}
 2 \\
 3 \\
 4 \\
 5 \\
 6 \\
 7 \\
 8 \\
 9 \\
 10 \\
 11 \\
 12 \\
 13 \\
 14 \\
 15 \\
 \end{array}$

16 Abstract

17 Bovine milk is a low cost and high nutritional value product, which is worldwide commercialized. 18 To maintain high standard levels and to preserve consumer's health, tests could be used to identify 19 antimicrobial drug residues, and control procedures must be planned and performed, according to 20 legislative requirements. Ideally, a test should detect a large number of antibiotic molecules, should 21 be rapid in the execution and not expensive. None of the actually available methods possesses the characteristics of the ideal test. Authors decided to write this review to resume the key features of 22 23 immunoassays, high-performance liquid chromatography, liquid chromatography - tandem mass 24 spectrometry, microbiological test, and biosensor assays, representative of the most used methods to 25 detect antimicrobial residues in bovine raw milk. The final aim was to give essential information to veterinary practitioners and researchers who are engaged in on site screenings, official controls or in 26 27 research.

28 Keywords: Analytical methods, Antimicrobials, Bovine milk, Residues, Screening test

29 1. Introduction

30 Bovine milk is one of the most consumed foods in the world and it is important for its high 31 nutritional value and for its key role in the worldwide economy (FAO, 2019). Its composition 32 comprehends proteins (3.0-3.9%), carbohydrates lactose and oligosaccharides (4.4-5.6%), fat (3.3-5.4%), and ash (0.7-0.8%) (Roy, Ye, Moughan, & Singh, 2020). Milk is an important dietary source 33 34 of a variety of micronutrients, including calcium, phosphorus, magnesium, zinc, iodine, potassium, 35 vitamin A, vitamin D, vitamin B12, and vitamin B2 (Dror & Allen, 2014). This variety of components 36 is responsible for biochemical and nutritional features, and the derived energy is mainly due to the 37 high presence of lactose fraction (Luiz, Bell, Rocha, Leal, & Anjos, 2018; Roy, et al., 2020).

38

39 One of the most important concerns about bovine milk is the contamination with veterinary medicine: antibiotics and anti-inflammatory drugs are administered to dairy cows to treat pathologies 40 41 such as mastitis, endometritis, bronchopathies, pneumonia and lameness (EMA and EFSA, 2017; Han 42 et al., 2015). The treatment of animals is mandatory to respect animal welfare but must be performed 43 in a rational way: focusing on antibiotics, a targeted therapy with narrow spectrum molecules should 44 be preferred, for the shortest time necessary to achieve a therapeutic outcome (Rajala-Schultz, 45 Nødtvedt, Halasa, & Persson Waller, 2021). In the past, antibiotics were employed not only for the sole therapeutic purpose, but also to promote growth of food-producing animals and in metaphylactic 46 47 protocols (Lees, Pelligand, Giraud, & Toutain, 2021). Without a stringent regulation, an overuse, or a misuse of antibiotics was diffused and, consequently, foodstuff contamination due to antibiotics and 48 49 antibiotic residues was not unusual (Lees et al., 2021). The interest of the scientific community 50 pushed several nations to change legislation to restrict antibiotic drug usage and preserve consumers' health (Lees et al., 2021; Luiz et al., 2018). European legislation (Regulation EU 2019/6) confirmed 51 the ban of these substances as growth promoting agents and severely restricted prophylactic and 52 metaphylactic uses. Recently, USA and China banned the use of antimicrobial drugs as growth 53 promoting agents, as well (Lees et al., 2021). 54

Antibiotic residues might persist after the treatment and therefore, a withdrawal period is mandatory to ensure that the foodstuff derived from the treated animal do not contain levels of the drug that exceed the maximum residue limit (MRL) (Almashhadany, 2021; Jayalakshmi, Paramasiyam, Sasikala, Tamilam, & Sumithra, 2017).

60

As defined by the European Union, a residue is a pharmacologically active substance, the parental drug, or its metabolites, which remains in foodstuff obtained from animals to which a medicinal product was administered (Regulation EC 470/2009; Regulation EU 37/2010). For each active substance, a MRL value has been established and is a precautionary value, which does not guarantee the absence of drug residues in milk or dairy products, thus adverse reactions in consumers are not excluded and might occur (Treiber & Beranek-Knauer, 2021). The MRLs established for antibiotics frequently used in dairy cows are summarized in Table 1.

68

Antibiotic residues from food can impair human health causing allergic reactions, mutations in cells, development of intestinal microbiome imbalances, and permitting to bacteria to get used to subtherapeutic concentrations of antibiotic drug and leading to the development and spread of AMR (Sachi, Ferdous, Sikder, & Azizul Karim Hussani, 2019; Treiber & Beranek-Knauer, 2021; Yazdanpanah et al., 2021). The direct consequences are complicated therapeutic outcomes, treatment failure, or the possibility to share and diffuse resistant pathogen microorganisms among animals and humans (Vercelli, Gambino, Amadori, & Re, 2022).

76

77 The control of milk to adhere to law requirements, the risks to share AMR through the 78 worldwide consumption of milk and the preservation of consumers' health, are elements of a 79 complicated network and they are all related each other (Ortelli, Cognard, Jan, & Edder, 2009). 80 Ideally, a screening test should detect a large number of molecules in the same assay, should not be 4

81 time- and money-consuming and should be easy in the execution, even if performed by not 82 specialized personnel. Nowadays several tests are commercially available or are under investigation 83 to evaluate their possible use on site or in laboratory conditions, as confirmatory tests or for research 84 purposes. Unfortunately, none of them possesses the characteristics of the ideal test. Thus, prior to use a test to detect the presence of antibiotic residues, advantages and disadvantages should be 85 86 carefully evaluated to reach the most reliable result. Moreover, milk is a complex matrix, composed 87 by several elements that may interfere with residues identification: purification, dilution and 88 preparation of samples or specific storage conditions might be required (Serraino et al., 2013). 89 Additionally, the purposes of the tests might be different: some tests are easy and fast in their 90 execution and suitable for screening purposes but necessitate confirmation methods in case of 91 positivity, leading to delay in obtaining result and to increased costs (Bilandžić et al., 2011; Burke & Adley, 2021). Regardless of the final use, test validation is mandatory accordingly to Commission 92 93 Decision 2002/657/EC, concerning the performances of analytical methods and interpretation of 94 results, thus establishing the criteria of linearity, sensitivity, specificity, intra- and inter-assay 95 precision, and accuracy (2002/657/EC).

96

97 Considering all aforementioned factors, authors would like to summarize in the present review
98 the most important methods that are currently used to identify antibiotic residues in bovine raw milk.
99

"

100 2. Current available methods to detect antibiotics residues in milk

101 2.1 Immunoassays

Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) technique was first described in 1971 by Engvall et al. (1971) and found multiple applications ranging from medical to biotechnological purposes. The method is based on an antigen-antibody linkage that produces a colorimetric reaction due to the presence of a chromophore linked to the antigen. The ELISA technique may be designed as qualitative (positive/negative result) or quantitative (result as measurement or concentration). Also, 5 a semiquantitative test exists, giving different levels of positivity and negativity that must always be compared to a reference scale (Belmar, Aly, Karle, & Pereira, 2019). Several ELISA-based kits are commercially available and used to rapidly detect a few families of antibiotics in bovine milk: due to the easy execution and the low cost, they can be performed as screening tests by veterinarians and dairy industry personnel (Belmar et al., 2019) (Table 2).

112

113 Anyway, in case of positivity and official controls, a confirmation test is necessary, using 114 more specific and sensitive tests, such as high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) or Liquid 115 Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry - LC-MS/MS) (Belmar et al., 2019). These two 116 methods will be described in further paragraphs. In fact, the limit of detection (LOD - the lowest 117 amount of analyte that can be identify in a sample) and the limit of quantitation (LOQ) (lowest amount 118 of analyte in a sample that can be quantitatively determined) of immunoassay - based techniques are 119 proven to be higher of that of analytical methods, such as HPLC or LC-MS/MS (Ahmed et al., 2020; 120 Moudgil, Bedi, Aulakh, Gill, & Kumar, 2019).

121

122 The ELISA method was a milestone to develop new detection techniques such as a biochip-123 based test, which has been recently validated and seems to represent a significant improvement of the 124 actual screening methods, considering the relative low-cost and rapid execution, even if it is able to 125 detect only β-lactams (Yazdanpanah et al., 2021). Taking inspiration from antigen-antibody 126 interaction, a new technique based on a magnetic immunoreaction has been developed to detect 127 kanamycin and penicillin in dairy milk, demonstrating an extremely low LOD and a high sensitivity, 128 but pretreatment and dilution steps of samples are necessary. This method is a proof-of-concept, not 129 yet on the market (Pietschmann, Dittmann, Spiegel, Krause, & Schröper, 2020). A microarray assay 130 ELISA- based has been recently validated: it can detect simultaneously norfloxacin, tetracycline, 131 lincomycin and streptomycin in milk samples, reaching a remarkably high accuracy rate (ranging from 77,6% to 116,4% of the different antibiotics), but having the disadvantage to require specialized
and trained personnel. So far, this method is suggested for control programs in the dairy industry (Du
et al., 2019).

135

A commercially available immunoassay method is Lateral Flow Immunoassay (LFIA), that compared to ELISA is less time – consuming (easier samples preparation), with fast results acquisition, permits to analyze a large quantity of samples, and it is less expensive (Ahmed et al., 2020, Jiang et al., 2022). In the last few years, this method has been widely used to detect antibiotics in milk, such as β -lactams, tetracyclines, streptomycin and chloramphenicol and it has been recently implemented also for other matrices, such as honey, muscle, and liver (Ahmed et al., 2020).

142

143 Among immunoassays also radioimmunoassay (RIA), fluorescence immunoassay (FIA) and 144 colloidal gold immune-chromatographic assay (CGIA) must be listed (Ahmed et al., 2020). 145 Radioimmunoassays have been widely used in the past to detect antibiotic residues in foodstuff due 146 to its fast execution and low LOD (Yang & Carlson, 2004), but the short half-life of the radioisotopes 147 used to label the analyte, and the possible risks for the personnel and the environment connected to 148 the use of radioisotopes limited its application to a few clinical purposes (Ahmed et al., 2020). 149 Fluorescence immunoassay is based on the linkage of a fluorophore to the antigen, giving a precise 150 and well visualized detection, but background signal can interfere with the emission thus giving 151 ambiguous results (Ahmed et al., 2020). This specific immunoassay has been extensively used to 152 detect fluoroquinolones (Hu, Sheng, Zhang, Wu, & Wang, 2015), beta lactamases (Benito-Peña, 153 Moreno-Bondi, Orellana, Maquieira, & van Amerongen, 2005) and tetracyclines (Song et al., 2015) 154 in milk. Colloidal gold immune-chromatographic assay has been validated and used to detect 155 tetracycline, sulphonamides, and fluoroquinolones residues rapidly and simultaneously in milk 156 (Wang et al., 2017) but the scarce precision of the method leads in some cases to unclear results that 157 limited its application (Zhou et al., 2018).

159 2.2 High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)

As previously explained, rapid tests are such as ELISA and other immunoassays are used as first line, in-field screening methods and in case of positivity, the results must be confirmed using more accurate methods such as HPLC or LC-MS/MS (Bilandžić et al., 2011).

163

164 The HPLC is a chromatographic technique that requires specific and expensive instruments, 165 time-consuming procedures and must be performed by trained personnel (Table 2). It is considered a 166 gold standard mehod to detect antibiotic residues due to the high sensitivity, specificity, and 167 quantification capability (Parmar, Chaubey, Gupta, & Bharath, 2021). The HPLC instrument is 168 composed of 5 major components: mobile phase, detector, pump, column, and sampler (manual or 169 automatic) (Fig. 1). Samples are injected and carried by the mobile phase flow through the column 170 where separation of compounds occurs according to their differential affinity for the mobile phase. 171 The pump generates optimal flow and pressure and pushes the mobile phase through the column that 172 reaches the detector: a signal is generated, and it is proportional to the amount of the compounds in 173 the sample (Parmar et al., 2021). Comparing the peaks resulting from the analysis to the calibration 174 curve (that is the reference), it is possible to identify the compound that has been isolated and to 175 calculate its concentration in the sample.

176

It has been validated the use of HPLC to detect tetracycline, sulfonamides and amphenicols in milk (Darko, Borquaye, Acheampong, & Oppong, 2017) but it is sometimes very challenging to adequately prepare and purify milk sample prior performing the procedure: fat, protein and sugars present in milk can compromise the correct identification of residues (Luiz et al., 2018; Roy et al., 2020; Yang, Guo, Liang, Zhou, & Zhu, 2022). The problems due to the matrix effect are reported in the most recent literature related to innovative technique based on ultraviolet/visible spectroscopy that has been validated to simultaneously identify multiple residues in milk (Parmar et al., 2021).

39

Moreover, results can vary according to pH and temperature, leading to alteration of some analytes that are not stable, such as tetracycline, leading to an incorrect or missing identification of antimicrobial residues in milk (Kurjogi et al., 2019).

187

188 A recent paper (Kumar, Panda, & Sharma, 2022) described the optimization and validation 189 of HPLC-diode array detection (HPLC-DAD) method for the simultaneous determination of seven 190 antimicrobial drugs: sulphadiazine, sulphamethoxazole, oxytetracycline, doxycycline, tetracycline, 191 enrofloxacin and chloramphenicol residues in bovine milk. The final aim of this advances HPLC 192 technique is to improve the features of the method, allowing multiple identifications and saving time. 193 Samples preparation is a fundamental step and authors explained that solid-phase extraction (SPE) 194 was performed to eliminate proteins from milk samples. This highlights once again the importance 195 of a careful sample preparation prior the execution of analysis. This advanced technique was 196 successfully validated in accordance with European Commission Decision 2002/657/EC 197 (2002/657/EC) and applied for the analysis of antibiotic residues in 21 raw milk samples obtaining 198 recovery values ranging from 83.3 to 111.8% (3.5-16.2% standard deviation). The LOQ values 199 relative to all tested antibiotics, except for chloramphenicol, were below the MRLs, making the 200 method dependable and susceptible for further implementation for routine application (Kumar et al., 201 2022).

202

203 2.3 Liquid Chromatography -Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS)

Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry is an advanced analytical technique characterized by a high performance in identifying and quantifying antimicrobials, their metabolites or residues present in various foodstuff, also in milk, with remarkably high accuracy and precision (Parmar et al., 2021). Sample constituents eluted from the column are ionized and vaporized, subjected to fragmentation, and separated according to the mass-to-charge ratio (M/Z) in the mass analyzer. The abundance or intensity of each ion with a different M/Z value is measured by the detector and it is proportional to the concentration of the analyte in the sample (Fig. 2).

211

212 When an MS/MS is used the "first MS" is set to select a parent ion (the M/Z value is most 213 often the molecular ion of the substance), which then is passed into the "second MS" where it 214 undergoes further fragmentation (Parmar et al., 2021). Ions are usually extremely specific to a given 215 substance, and therefore their analysis provides an exceptionally reliable identification and 216 quantification (Cronly et al., 2010; Zhao, Zulkoski, & Mastovska, 2017). This technique is extremely 217 accurate (more than HPLC), and it is intended as high standard and confirmation tool. The main 218 disadvantages of this technique are the high cost, the long execution, and the need to work in 219 standardized experimental conditions (i.e., control ionization, pH, analyte stability) (Zhao et al., 220 2017). Also in this case, the complexity of milk composition may lead to misleading results and recent 221 papers underlined that a reliable sample preparation is fundamental before LC-MS/MS analysis 222 (Meklati et al., 2022; Zhao et al., 2017). The undoubted advantages are the simultaneous detection of 223 multiple residues of different antibiotic classes and the very high analytical accuracy: this is ensured 224 by the fact that structural information of the analyte is gained permitting the identification and 225 quantification of very small amounts, having lower LOD and LOQ compared to HPLC (Parmar et 226 al., 2021).

227

An advanced technique that has been successfully applied to detect antimicrobial residues is ultra-high performance liquid chromatography (UPLC), used alone (Castillo-Aguirre, Cañas, Honda, & Richter, 2021; Rahman, Hassan, & Chowdhury, 2021) or coupled with electrospray ionization and tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC–ESI–MS/MS) (Castillo-Aguirre et al., 2021; Luiz et al., 2018; Meklati et al., 2022). The method proposed by Igualada, Giraldo, Font, & Yusà (2022) aimed to identify 255 veterinary drug residues and contaminants in bovine raw milk. The procedure included two-step precipitation and ultra-performance liquid chromatography, operating both in positive and 10 negative multiple reaction mode (MRM). For most of the analytes, pretreatment process was a crucialstep to ensure a successful identification.

237

238 A study specifically focused to simultaneously detect 38 veterinary antibiotic residues in raw 239 milk was recently performed using UPLC-MS/MS (Han et al., 2015). The methodology differs from 240 others present in literature due to a purification of samples by Oasis HLB cartridge and a dilution 241 with water and acetonitrile (1mL sample; 0.5 mL water; 3 mL acetonitrile), before the injection into 242 the UPLC-MS/MS system. The results indicated variable recoveries of 68-118% for drugs belonging 243 to β -lactams groups, 79–118% for quinolones, 71–106% for sulfonamides, 76–116% for 244 tetracyclines, 78-106% for macrolides, and 88-103% for lincosamides, with coefficients of variation 245 less than 15% (Han et al., 2015).

246

247 2.4 Microbiological tests

248 Microbiological tests have been used for a long time as screening tests to detect residues in 249 milk: they are still used with significant improvements (Sachi et al., 2019). No sample preparation is 250 needed, and a milk sample is considered positive when an inhibition zone appears in the reference 251 bacterial cultures. The diameters of the inhibition zone must be measured to interpret the results 252 (Gaudin et al., 2004). This technique has a low specificity that might lead to incorrect results: high 253 somatic cells or pH variations in case of mastitis, may lead to false negative results (Wu et al., 2019). 254 Nevertheless, this kind of method continues to be the focus of several investigations to improve the 255 current method due to the brief time of execution (Table 2) and to the versability with various products 256 of animal origin, i.e., eggs and honey and raw, pasteurized, and bulk milk (Wu et al., 2019).

257

Advancement of this technique permitted to design the use of specific bacteria, sensitive to particular antibiotics on agar gel including nutrients for bacterial growth and a pH indicator (Tumini, Nagel, & Althaus, 2019). All elements are set up in a test tube where milk is added and then the tube 11 261 is incubated at the appropriate temperature to allow bacteria grow. Without antibiotic residues, the 262 normal growth of bacteria is unaltered and visually detectable, appreciating a change of opacity of 263 the medium and of the color due to acid pH. Otherwise, the growth of bacteria is inhibited, without 264 observable changes (Nagel, Molina, & Althaus, 2013). Nowadays several kits based on this technique 265 are commercially available. Anyway, few families of antibiotics can be identified using this kind of 266 methods, and it is not possible to obtain a quantification of residues amount. Considering two of the 267 most popular kits, Delvotest ST-NP can detect \beta-lactams, aminoglycosides, macrolides, 268 sulphonamides, tetracyclines and diamino pyrimidine, while Charm Quad 1 test identifies only β-269 lactams, quinolones, sulfonamides and tetracyclines. Both tests claim in their datasheet the possibility 270 to identify the presence of residues corresponding at least to MRL values, and both declare 95% of 271 sensitivity (Charm QUAD1 datasheet; Delvotest datasheet;).

272

Low costs and simple execution are the main pros of this technique, while limitations could be the relative long incubation period (3-24 hours), the possibility of acquisition of antimicrobial resistance in the bacteria used for the detection, the subjective interpretation, the presence of inhibitors in abnormal milk (e.g., mastitis milk or colostrum) leading to misinterpretations (Tumini et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2019). The interest of the scientific community is to develop and validate new kits based on this method that can permit a qualitative identification of antibiotic residues even at concentrations lower than MRL (Gaudin et al., 2004; Tumini et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2019).

280

281 2.5 Other methods

A methodology based on Fourier transform near-infrared (FT-NIR) spectroscopy associated with principal component analysis (PCA) permitted to develop a portable prototype to detect ceftiofur hydrochloride traces in bovine milk in a fast and accurate way (Luiz et al., 2018). The method permitted to identify the parental molecule and the metabolites (Luiz et al., 2018).

287 Another promising technique that has been validated in the last years and that is continuously 288 improved is the method based on the biosensors (Kivirand, Kagan, & Rinken, 2015). Several current 289 methods of analysis are based on this technique, which does not require preliminary preparation of 290 samples, enabling a selective detection for the on-site assessment of milk quality (Martins et al., 2019; 291 Tumini et al., 2019). Biosensors are compact and usually portable devices, able to transfer the 292 selective biochemical recognition into a measurable physical signal for real-time analysis, user-293 friendly, not requiring specific skills (Kivirand et al., 2015). Five distinct types of biosensor-based 294 techniques are nowadays available: microbial biosensor, immunosensor, receptor and enzyme-based 295 biosensors, aptasensors and molecularly imprinted polymer (MIP) sensors (Babington, Matas, Marco, 296 & Galve, 2012; Beltrán, Berruga, Molina, Althaus, & Molina, 2015; Rebe Raz, Bremer, Haasnoot, & 297 Norde, 2009). The most diffuse are immunosensors and aptasensors, that allow to perform the 298 analysis without an extensive pretreatment of samples and in a brief time (30-40 minutes) (McGrath, 299 Elliott, & Fodey, 2012; Reder-Christ & Bendas, 2011).

300

301 3. Discussion

In this review, authors aimed to summarize the current available methods to identify antibiotic residues in bovine raw milk, giving some simple explanations about the most notable features, a brief description of how they work, highlighting advantages and disadvantages. Authors are veterinarians having clear in mind the necessity to treat diseased animals, to apply reliable methods to correctly identify antimicrobial residues in milk, to preserve consumers' health, to limit AMR spread and to avoid alterations of dairy products (Burke & Adley, 2021; Kneebone, Tsang, & Townson, 2010).

308

The necessity to correctly identify antibiotic residues is only the end of a long story. Among the different pathologies that can affect dairy cows, mastitis are surely the most painful and debilitating conditions, impairing animal welfare and requiring immediate cure using antiinflammatory drugs and antibiotics. The administration of a therapy is necessary to limit economic 13 losses due to the reduction in milk production and the discard of milk (Alves et al., 2020). Diagnosis of causative agent is often missing, and therapeutic protocols are applied in an empiric way leading to an increased risk to induce antibiotic resistance in bacteria (Vercelli et al., 2022). The presence of small amounts of antibiotic or residue in milk could play a significant role in the outbreak of allergic phenomena and in the dissemination of resistant bacteria or genes (Alves et al., 2020; Treiber & Beranek-Knauer, 2021).

319

320 The different methods differ each other for the expensiveness and the time-consuming 321 procedure, the necessity to prepare or purify the samples, and the interference of matrix effect: all 322 these parameters can affect specificity, sensitivity, and accuracy of each method (Alves et al., 2020; 323 Kurjogi et al., 2019). Along the manuscript, authors highlighted that despite many advances on the 324 detection techniques, sample preparation remains a problem influencing the determination of 325 antibiotics in milk and interfering in analytical procedures (Aguilera-Luiz, Martínez Vidal, Romero-326 González, & Garrido Frenich, 2012). For the majority of the aformentioned techniques, the high 327 protein and fat content in milk are the main responsible of this interference. The extraction methods 328 are often based on long and tedious procedures, involving liquid-liquid extraction (García-Mayor, 329 Garcinuño, Fernández-Hernando, & Durand-Alegría, 2006) or SPE (Darko et al., 2017) which also 330 include a previous step to precipitate proteins.

331

In recent years, the method named QuEChERS (quick, easy, cheap, effective, rugged and safe) has been investigated and now it is commercially available (Zhang et al., 2019). The method is based on an acetonitrile extraction/partitioning of various compounds, while water and proteins are removed from the sample using sodium chloride and magnesium sulphate. Then, SPE clean uppermit the extraction of contaminats and antimicrobials residues that remain in the supernatant part after the centrifugation (Zhang et al., 2019). It is a rapid and reliable extraction system, flexible to different situations and that can permit to achieve optimal results also in official controls for milk quality(Zhang et al., 2019).

340

Misleading results can occur with every methodology presented in the present review and this is the reason authors stressed the explanations about the rigorous preparation of the samples, the accuracy of the methods and the complexity of milk as matrix. There, the importance to use reliable tests and to obtain relevant results and to preserve consumers' health, especially fragile people, to maintain high standard levels in milk production and in dairy industry, limiting AMR spread.

346

347 **4.** Conclusions

348 Prior to write this review, Authors had to face the vast panorama of different methods designed 349 to identify antimicrobial residues in bovine raw milk. After a careful consultation and selection of the 350 most recent and relevant literature, they were able to summarize the advantages and disadvantages of 351 the most important, dependable, and frequently used methods. It might be concluded that screening 352 tests are mainly represented by microbiological, immuno- and biosensors assays. Among these three 353 categories, several tools are available and significant differences of cost exist while all are 354 characterized by a short time of execution. All of them require a confirmation test in case of positivity 355 using a validated gold standard method (i.e., HPLC or LC-MS/MS).

356

Authors hope to have clearly exposed and successfully resumed such a complex topic and that
 this review will help other veterinarians and researchers dealing with antibiotic residues in bovine
 raw milk.

360

361 Declarations of interest

362 None.

363 Funding

	8
364	This work was supported by Regione Piemonte, grant Tech4milk.
365	
366	References
367	Aguilera-Luiz, M.M., Martínez Vidal, J.L., Romero-González, R., Garrido Frenich, A. (2012).
368	Multiclass Method for Fast Determination of Veterinary Drug Residues in Baby Food by
369	Ultra-High-Performance Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry. Food
370	Chemistry 132, 2171–2180.
371	
372	Ahmed, S., Ning, J., Peng, D., Chen, T., Ahmad, I., Ali, A., Lei, Z., Abubakr Shabbir, M., Cheng,
373	G., Yuan, Z. (2020). Current Advances in Immunoassays for the Detection of Antibiotics
374	Residues: A Review. Food and Agricultural Immunology 31, 268–290.
375	
376	Almashhadany, D.A. (2021). Screening of Antibiotic Residues in Raw Milk of Cows and Buffalos
377	by Diffusion Assays. Italian Journal of Food Safety 10, 9034.
378	
379	Alves, J.F., Paula, G.H., Silva, R.C.F., Leão, P.V.T., Leão, K.M., Nicolau, E.S., Silva, M.A.P. (2020).
380	Residues of Antibiotics in Milk: Persistence and Quality Interference. Canadian Journal of
381 382	Animal Science 100, 93–101.
382 383	Babington, R., Matas, S., Marco, M. P., Galve, R. (2012). Current bioanalytical methods for detection
384	of penicillins. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry 403, 1549–1566.
385	or pentennins. A maryicar and Dioanaryicar Chemistry 403, 1347-1500.
386	Belmar, M., Aly, S., Karle, B.M., Pereira, R.V. (2019). Evaluation of Four Commercial Tests for
387	Detecting Ceftiofur in Waste Milk Bulk Tank Samples. PLoS One 14, e0224884.
388	
389	Beltrán, M.C., Berruga, M.I., Molina, A., Althaus, R.L., Molina, M.P. (2015). Performance of Current
390	Microbial Tests for Screening Antibiotics in Sheep and Goat Milk. International Dairy
391	Journal 41, 13–15.
392	
393	Benito-Peña, E., Moreno-Bondi, M.C., Orellana, G., Maquieira, Á., van Amerongen, A. (2005).
394	Development of a Novel and Automated Fluorescent Immunoassay for the Analysis of β -
395	Lactam Antibiotics. Journal of agriculture and food chemistry 53, 6635-6642.
396	
397	Bilandžić, N., Kolanović, B.S., Varenina, I., Scortichini, G., Annunziata, L., Brstilo, M., Rudan, N.
398	(2011). Veterinary Drug Residues Determination in Raw Milk in Croatia. Food Control 22,
399	1941–1948.
400	Dente M Adley C.C. (2021) Developed of internet mentalization of the first second state of the second secon
401	Burke, N., Adley, C.C. (2021). Prevalence of intramammary antibiotic usage in dairy farming. Journal

- 402 of Dairy Research 88, 176–178.
 403
 404 Castillo-Aguirre, A., Cañas, A., Honda, L., Richter, P. (2021). Determination of Veterinary
 405 Aguirre, A., Cañas, A., Honda, L., Richter, P. (2021). Determination of Veterinary
- Antibiotics in Cow Milk Using Rotating-Disk Sorptive Extraction and Liquid
 Chromatography. Microchemical Journal 162, 105851.
- 408Commission Regulation (EU). (2009). Commission Regulation (EU) No 37/2010 of 22 December4092009 on Pharmacologically Active Substances and Their Classification Regarding

414 415 416 417 418 419	Cronly, M., Behan, P., Foley, B., Malone, E., Martin, S., Doyle, M., Regan, L. (2010). Rapid multi- class multi-residue method for the confirmation of chloramphenicol and eleven nitroimidazoles in milk and honey by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS). Food additivies & contaminants. Part A, Chemistry, analysis, control, exposure & risk assessment 27, 1233–1246.	
420 421	Darko, G., Borquaye, L.S., Acheampong, A., Oppong, K. (2017). Veterinary Antibiotics in Dairy Products from Kumasi, Ghana. Cogent Chemistry 3, 1343636	
422 423 424 425	Delvotest® the gold standard for detecting antibiotics in milk. https://www.delvotest.com.au > technical_info > Delvotest SP NT – Sensitivity list EN.pdf Accessed October 30, 2022.	
426 427 428 429	Dror, D.K., Allen, L.H. (2014). Dairy Product Intake in Children and Adolescents in Developed Countries: Trends, Nutritional Contribution, and a Review of Association with Health Outcomes. Nutrition Reviews 72, 68–81.	
429 430 431 432 433	Du, B., Wen, F., Guo, X., Zheng, N., Zhang, Y., Li, S., Zhao, S., Liu, H., Meng, L., Xu, Q., Li, M., Li, F., Wang J. (2019). Evaluation of an ELISA-Based Visualization Microarray Chip Technique for the Detection of Veterinary Antibiotics in Milk. Food Control 106, 106713.	
434 435 436 437 438 439 440	EMA (2017). EMA Committee for Medicinal Products for Veterinary Use (CVMP) and EFSA Panel on Biological Hazards (BIOHAZ). Murphy, D., Ricci, A., Auce, Z., Beechinor, J.G., Bergendahl, H., Breathnach, R., Bureš, J., Duarte Da Silva, J.P., Hederová, J., Hekman, P., and others. EMA and EFSA Joint Scientific Opinion on Measures to Reduce the Need to Use Antimicrobial Agents in Animal Husbandry in the European Union, and the Resulting Impacts on Food Safety (RONAFA). EFSA journal. European Food Safety Authority 15, e04666.	
441 442 443 444	FAO. (2019). Dairy and dairy products. OECD-FAO Agricultural Outlook 2019-2028. https://www.fao.org>CA 4076 EN Chapter 7 Dairy.Pdf Accessed October 30, 2022.	Commentato [GG1]: Ci vuole il punto?
444 445 446 447 448	García-Mayor, M.A., Garcinuño, R.M., Fernández-Hernando, P., Durand-Alegría, J.S. (2006). Liquid Chromatography–UV Diode-Array Detection Method for Multi-Residue Determination of Macrolide Antibiotics in Sheep's Milk. Journal of Chromatography A 1122, 76–83.	
449 450 451 452	Gaudin, V., Maris, P., Fuselier, R., Ribouchon, J. L., Cadieu, N., Rault, A. (2004). Validation of a microbiological method: the STAR protocol, a five-plate test, for the screening of antibiotic residues in milk. Food additives and contaminants 21, 422–433.	
452 453 454 455	Han, R.W., Zheng, N., Yu, Z.N., Wang, J., Xu, X.M., Qu, X.Y., Li, S.L., Zhang, Y.D., Wang, J.Q. 2015. Simultaneous Determination of 38 Veterinary Antibiotic Residues in Raw Milk by UPLC–MS/MS. Food Chemistry 181, 119–126.	

Maximum Residue Limits in Foodstuffs of Animal Origin. 72. https://eur-

lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32010R0037 Accessed October 30,

410

411

412

413

456

2022.

Hashempour-Baltork, F., Hosseini, H., Shojaee-Aliabadi, S., Torbati, M., Alizadeh, A.M., Alizadeh 457 458 M. (2019). Drug Resistance and the Prevention Strategies in Food Borne Bacteria: An 459 Update Review. Advanced Pharmaceutical Bulletin 9, 335-347. 460

Commentato [GG2]: Non lo trovo nel testo

461	Hu, G., Sheng, W., Zhang, Y., Wu, X., Wang, S. (2015). A Novel and Sensitive Fluorescence
462	Immunoassay for the Detection of Fluoroquinolones in Animal-Derived Foods Using
463	Upconversion Nanoparticles as Labels. Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry 407, 8487-
464	8496.
465	

- Igualada, C., Giraldo, J., Font, G., Yusà, V. (2022). Validation of a Multi-Residue UHPLC-HRMS
 Method for Antibiotics Screening in Milk, Fresh Cheese, and Whey. Journal of Food
 Composition and Analysis 106, 104265.
- Jayalakshmi, K., Paramasivam, M., Sasikala, M., Tamilam, T., Sumithra, A. (2017). Review on
 Antibiotic Residues in Animal Products and Its Impact on Environments and Human
 Health. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 5, 1446-1451.

469

473

- Jiang, J., Luo, P., Liang, J., Shen, X., Lei, H., Li, X. (2022). A highly sensitive and quantitative time
 resolved fluorescent microspheres lateral flow immunoassay for streptomycin and
 dihydrostreptomycin in milk, honey, muscle, liver, and kidney. Analytica Chimica Acta
 1192, 339360.
- Kivirand, K., Kagan, M., Rinken, T. (2015). Biosensors for the detection of antibiotic residues in
 milk. Biosensors Micro and Nanoscale Applications (1st ed.). IntechOpen (Chapter 16).
- 482 Kneebone, J., Tsang, P.C.W., Townson, D.H. (2010). Short Communication: Rapid Antibiotic
 483 Screening Tests Detect Antibiotic Residues in Powdered Milk Products. Journal of dairy
 484 science 93, 3961-3964.
- Kumar, A., Panda, A.K., Sharma, N. (2022). Determination of Antibiotic Residues in Bovine Milk
 by HPLC-DAD and Assessment of Human Health Risks in Northwestern Himalayan
 Region, India. Journal of Food Science and Technology 59, 95–104.
- Kurjogi, M., Mohammad, Y.H.I., Alghamdi, S., Abdelrahman, M., Satapute, P., Jogaiah, S. (2019).
 Detection and Determination of Stability of the Antibiotic Residues in Cow's Milk. PLoS
 One 14, e0223475.
- Lees, P., Pelligand, L., Giraud, E., Toutain, P. (2021). A History of Antimicrobial Drugs in Animals:
 Evolution and Revolution. Journal of veterinary pharmacology and therapeutics 44, 137171.
- Luiz, L. da C., Bell, M.J.V., Rocha, R.A. da, Leal, N.L., Anjos, V. de C. dos. (2018). Detection of
 Veterinary Antimicrobial Residues in Milk through Near-Infrared Absorption Spectroscopy.
 Journal of Spectroscopy 18, 1–6.
- Martins, S.A.M., Martins, V.C., Cardoso, F.A., Germano, J., Rodrigues, M., Duarte, C., Bexiga, R.,
 Cardoso, S., Freitas, P.P. (2019). Biosensors for On-Farm Diagnosis of Mastitis. Frontiers
 in Bioengineering and Biotechnoogy 7, 186.
- McGrath, T.F., Elliott, C.T., Fodey, T.L. (2012). Biosensors for the analysis of microbiological and
 chemical contaminants in food. Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry 403, 75–92.
- Meklati, F.R., Panara, A., Hadef, A., Meribai, A., Ben-Mahdi, M.H., Dasenaki, M.E., Thomaidis,
 N.S. (2022). Comparative Assessment of Antibiotic Residues Using Liquid
 Chromatography Coupled with Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) and a Rapid

512 513 514	Screening Test in Raw Milk Collected from the North-Central Algerian Dairies. Toxics 10, 19.	
515 516 517 518	Moudgil, P., Bedi, J.S., Aulakh, R.S., Gill, J.P.S., Kumar, A. (2019). Validation of HPLC Multi- residue Method for Determination of Fluoroquinolones, Tetracycline, Sulphonamides and Chloramphenicol Residues in Bovine Milk. Food Analytical Methods 12, 338–346.	
519 520 521 522	Nagel, O., Molina, M.P., Althaus, R. (2013). Microbiological System in Microtitre Plates for Detection and Classification of Antibiotic Residues in Milk. International Dairy Journal 32, 150–155.	
523 524 525 526	Nerval, E., Jonsson, K., Perlman, P. (1971). Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. II. Quantitative Assay of Protein Antigen, Immunoglobulin G, by Means of Enzyme-Labelled Antigen and Antibody-Coated Tubes. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 251, 427–434.	Commentato [GG3]: Non lo trovo nel testo
527 528 529 530	Operator's Manual: Charm® QUAD1 Test for Beta-lactams, Quinolones, Sulfonamides, and Tetracyclines in Raw Commingled Cow Milk. <u>https://suporte.hexis.com.br/ci/okcsFattach/get/1028850_5</u> Accessed October 30, 2022.	
531 532 533 534	Ortelli, D., Cognard, E., Jan, P., Edder, P. (2009). Comprehensive Fast Multiresidue Screening of 150 Veterinary Drugs in Milk by Ultra-Performance Liquid Chromatography Coupled to Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry. Journal of Chromatography B 877, 2363–2374.	
535 536 537	 Parmar, J.K., Chaubey, K.K., Gupta, V., Bharath, M.N. (2021). Assessment of Various Veterinary Drug Residues in Animal Originated Food Products. Veterinary World 14, 1650-1664. 	
538 539 540 541	Pietschmann, J., Dittmann, D., Spiegel, H., Krause, HJ., Schröper, F. (2020). A Novel Method for Antibiotic Detection in Milk Based on Competitive Magnetic Immunodetection. Foods 9, 1773.	
542 543 544	Rahman, M.S., Hassan, M.M., Chowdhury, S. (2021). Determination of antibiotic residues in milk and assessment of human health risk in Bangladesh. Heliyon 7, e07739.	
545 546 547 548	Rajala-Schultz, P., Nødtvedt, A., Halasa, T., Persson Waller, K. (2021). Prudent Use of Antibiotics in Dairy Cows: The Nordic Approach to Udder Health. Frontiers in Veterinary Science 8, 623998.	
549 550 551 552	Rebe Raz, S., Bremer, M.G.E.G., Haasnoot, W., Norde, W. (2009). Label-Free and Multiple Detection of Antibiotic Residues in Milk Using Imaging Surface Plasmon Resonance-Based Immunosensor. Analytical Chemistry 81, 7743–7749.	
553 554 555	Reder-Christ, K., Bendas, G. (2011). Biosensor applications in the field of antibiotic researcha review of recent developments. Sensors 11, 9450–9466.	
556 557 558 559 560 561 562	Regulation (EC) No 470/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 May 2009 laying down Community procedures for the establishment of residue limits of pharmacologically active substances in foodstuffs of animal origin, repealing Council Regulation (EEC) No 2377/90 and amending Directive 2001/82/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council. https://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2009/470/oj Accessed October 30, 2022.	

563 564 565	Regulation (EU). (2019). Regulation (EU) 2019/6 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2018 on veterinary medicinal products and repealing Directive 2001/82/EC. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2019/6/oj Accessed 30 October 30, 2022.	
566 567 568 569	Roy, D., Ye, A., Moughan, P.J., Singh, H. (2020). Composition, Structure, and Digestive Dynamics of Milk From Different Species—A Review. Frontiers in nutrition 7, 577759.	
570 571 572	Sachi, S., Ferdous, J., Sikder, M.H., Azizul Karim Hussani, S.M. (2019). Antibiotic Residues in Milk: Past, Present, and Future. Journal of advanced veterinary and animal research 6, 315-332.	
573 574 575 576	Serraino, A., Giacometti, F., Marchetti, G., Zambrini, A.V., Zanirato, G., Fustini, M., Rosmini, R. (2013). Survey on Antimicrobial Residues in Raw Milk and Antimicrobial Use in Dairy Farms in the Emilia-Romagna Region, Italy. Italian Journal of Animal Science 12, e68.	
577 578 579	Song, E., Yu, M., Wang, Y., Hu, W., Cheng, D., Swihart, M.T., Song, Y. (2015). Multi-Color Quantum Dot-Based Fluorescence Immunoassay Array for Simultaneous Visual Detection of Multiple Antibiotic Residues in Milk. Biosensors & Bioelectronics 72, 320–325.	
580 581 582 583	Treiber, F.M., Beranek-Knauer, H. (2021). Antimicrobial Residues in Food from Animal Origin-A Review of the Literature Focusing on Products Collected in Stores and Markets Worldwide. Antibiotics 10, 534.	
584 585 586 587	Tumini, M., Nagel, O.G., Althaus, R.L. (2019). Five-Assay Microbiological System for the Screening of Antibiotic Residues. Revista Argentina de Microbiología 51, 345–353.	
588 589 590 591 592 593	Union, P.O. of the E. CELEX1, 2002/657/EC: Commission Decision of 12 August 2002 implementation Council Directive 96/23/EC concerning the performance of analytical methods and the interpretation of results https://op.europa.eu/it/publication-detail/-/publication/ed928116-a955-4a84-b10a-cf7a82bad858/language-en_ Accessed October 30, 2022.	
593 594 595 596 597	Vercelli, C., Gambino, G., Amadori, M., Re, G. (2022). Implications of Veterinary Medicine in the Comprehension and Stewardship of Antimicrobial Resistance Phenomenon. From the Origin till Nowadays. Veterinary and Animal Science 16, 100249.	
598 599 600	Wang, C., Li, X., Peng, T., Wang, Z., Wen, K., Jiang, H. (2017). Latex Bead and Colloidal Gold Applied in a Multiplex Immunochromatographic Assay for High-Throughput Detection of Three Classes of Antibiotic Residues in Milk. Food Control 77, 1–7.	
601 602 603 604 605	 World Health Organization, 2015. WHO estimate of the global burden of forbirne diesasse: forbirne diesasse burden epidemiologi referenze group 2007-2015. 9789241565165_eng (1). Pdf. Accessed October 30, 2022. 	Commentato [GG4]: Dove lo trovo nell'articolo?
605 606 607 608 609	Wu, Q., Peng, D., Liu, Q., Shabbir, M.A.B., Sajid, A., Liu, Z., Wang, Y., Yuan, Z. (2019). A Novel Microbiological Method in Microtiter Plates for Screening Seven Kinds of Widely Used Antibiotics Residues in Milk, Chicken Egg and Honey. Frontiers in microbiology 10, 436.	
610 611 612	Yang, S., Carlson, K. (2004). Routine Monitoring of Antibiotics in Water and Wastewater with a Radioimmunoassay Technique. Water Research 38, 3155–3166.	

613	Yang, B., Guo, W., Liang, W., Zhou, Y., Zhu, X. (2022). Design and Evaluation of a Miniature Milk
614	Quality Detection System Based on UV/Vis Spectroscopy. Journal of Food Composition
615	and Analysis 106, 104341.
616	

- Yazdanpanah, H., Osouli, M., Rashidi, E., Karimi, Z., Yazdanpanah, A., Maani, S., Salamzadeh, J.,
 Mahboubi, A., Eslamizad, S. (2021). Validation of Simultaneous Biochip-Based Method for
 Screening of 3 Beta-Lactam Families Residues in Cow's Milk in Accordance with the
 European Union Decision 2002/657/EC and Its Application on Real Samples. Iranian
 Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 20, 178-187.
- Kang, C., Deng, Y., Zheng, J., Zhang, Y., Yang, L., Liao, C., Su, L., Zhou, Y., Gong, D., Chen, L.,
 Luo, A. (2019). The Application of the QuEChERS Methodology in the Determination of
 Antibiotics in Food: A Review. Trends in Analytical Chemistry 118, 517–537.
- Zhao, H., Zulkoski, J., Mastovska, K. (2017). Development and Validation of a Multiclass,
 Multiresidue Method for Veterinary Drug Analysis in Infant Formula and Related
 Ingredients Using UHPLC-MS/MS. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry 65, 7268–
 7287.
- Kang, C., Ku, Y., Ku, Y., Yang, C., Gong, L., Zhang, C., Chen, Q., He, L., Feng, X. (2018).
 Quadruplex gold immunochromatographic assay for four families of antibiotic residues in milk. Food Chemistry 256, 304–310.
- 635

631

622