| 1 | Evolutionary Dynamics of Multiple Sublineages of H5N1 Influenza |
|----|--|
| 2 | Viruses in Nigeria, 2006-2008 |
| 3 | |
| 4 | Alice Fusaro ^{1*} , Martha I. Nelson ² , Tony Joannis ³ , Luigi Bertolotti ⁴ , Isabella Monne ¹ , |
| 5 | Annalisa Salviato ¹ , Olufemi Olaleye ⁶ , Ismaila Shittu ³ , Lanre Sulaiman ³ , Lami H. |
| 6 | Lombin ³ , Ilaria Capua ¹ , Edward C. Holmes ^{2,5} , Giovanni Cattoli ¹ |
| 7 | |
| 8 | ¹ Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale delle Venezie, Research & Development Department, |
| 9 | OIE/FAO and National Reference Laboratory for Newcastle Disease and Avian Influenza, OIE |
| 10 | Collaborating Centre for Epidemiology, Training and Control of Emerging Avian Diseases, Viale |
| 11 | dell'Università 10, Legnaro, Padova, Italy |
| 12 | ² Fogarty International Center, National Institute of Health, Bethesda; Maryland, United States of |
| 13 | America |
| 14 | ³ National Veterinary Research Institute, P.M.B. 01 VOM, Plateau State, Nigeria |
| 15 | ⁴ Dept. of Animal Productions, Epidemiology and Ecology, University of Torino, Faculty of |
| 16 | Veterinary Medicine, Gugliasco (TO), Italy |
| 17 | ⁵ Center for Infectious Disease Dynamics, Department of Biology, The Pennsylvania State |
| 18 | University, Mueller Laboratory, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, United States of America |
| 19 | ⁶ Virology Department, University College Hospital, University of Ibadan, Ibadan. Nigeria |
| 20 | |
| 21 | Running title: Molecular Evolution of H5N1 Influenza A Viruses |
| 22 | |
| 23 | Abstract word count: 225 |
| 24 | Text word count: 4873 |

Downloaded from jvi.asm.org at DIP DI SANITA PUBBLICA E MICROBIOLOGIA on March 8, 2010

- 1 *Corresponding author: Alice Fusaro. Mailing address: Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale delle
- 2 Venezie, Research & Development Department, OIE/FAO and National Reference Laboratory for
- 3 Newcastle Disease and Avian Influenza, OIE Collaborating Centre for Epidemiology, Training and
- 4 Control of Emerging Avian Diseases. Viale dell'Università 10, 35020, Legnaro, Padova, Italy.
- 5 Phone: 0039 049 8084381. Fax.: 0039 049 8084360. E-mail: afusaro@izsvenezie.it

1

ABSTRACT

| 2 | Highly pathogenic A/H5N1 avian influenza viruses (HPAI H5N1) have seriously affected the |
|----|---|
| 3 | Nigerian poultry industry since early 2006. Previous studies have identified multiple introductions |
| 4 | of the virus into Nigeria and several reassortment events between co-circulating lineages. To |
| 5 | determine the spatial, evolutionary, and population dynamics of the multiple H5N1 lineages co- |
| 6 | circulating in Nigeria, we conducted a phylogenetic analysis of whole-genome sequences from 106 |
| 7 | HPAI H5N1 viruses isolated between 2006-2008 and representing all 25 Nigerian states and the |
| 8 | Federal Capital Territory (FCT) reporting outbreaks. We identified a major new sub-clade in |
| 9 | Nigeria that is phylogenetically distinguishable from all previously identified sublineages, as well |
| 10 | as two novel reassortment events. A detailed analysis of viral phylogeography identified two major |
| 11 | source populations for the HPAI H5N1 virus in Nigeria, one in a major commercial poultry area |
| 12 | (southwest region) and one in northern Nigeria, where contact between wild birds and backyard |
| 13 | poultry is frequent. These findings suggested that migratory birds from Eastern Europe or Russia |
| 14 | may serve an important role in the introduction of HPAI H5N1 viruses into Nigeria, although virus |
| 15 | spread through the movement of poultry and poultry products cannot be excluded. Our study |
| 16 | provides new insight into the genesis and evolution of H5N1 influenza viruses in Nigeria and has |
| 17 | important implications for targeting surveillance efforts to rapidly identify the spread of the virus |
| 18 | into and within Nigeria. |
| | |

19

JVI Accepts published online ahead of print

1

JVI Accepts published online ahead of print

INTRODUCTION

| 2 | Since its emergence in 1996 in Guangdong, China, highly pathogenic avian influenza virus of the |
|----|---|
| 3 | H5N1 subtype (HPAI H5N1) has disseminated widely across Asia, Europe, and Africa, infecting a |
| 4 | range of domestic and wild avian species and sporadically spilling over into humans and other |
| 5 | mammals (4, 37). Over time, the HPAI H5N1 virus has diversified into multiple phylogenetically |
| 6 | distinct lineages, classified as clades 0-9 according to the unified nomenclature system (35). The |
| 7 | H5N1 lineage currently circulating in central Asia, the Middle East, Europe and Africa is referred to |
| 8 | as clade 2.2 (35), and has also been described as 'EMA' or Qinghai-like in previous publications |
| 9 | (28, 4, 16). This clade originated in April 2005 during a large outbreak of a phylogenetically |
| 10 | distinct H5N1 virus among wild bird populations at Qinghai Lake in western China (4, 16) and |
| 11 | rapidly spread west through central Asia and Europe, eventually reaching Africa in 2006 (28). |
| 12 | Clade 2.2 has further diversified, forming the genetic third-order clade 2.2.1 (34) and three |
| 13 | genetically distinct sublineages (I, II, and III) (2, 18, 29), all of which are found in Africa. |
| 14 | Since 2006 HPAI H5N1 viruses belonging to clade 2.2 have disseminated across multiple |
| 15 | countries in western, eastern and northern Africa: Egypt, Niger, Cameroon, Sudan, Burkina Faso, |
| 16 | Djibouti, Ivory Coast, Ghana, Togo, Benin, and Nigeria (2). With a large poultry industry, |
| 17 | estimated at 140 million birds (11), Nigeria has experienced several major outbreaks of HPAI |
| 18 | H5N1, posing a serious threat to food security and public health in Africa. The first case of HPAI |
| 19 | H5N1 virus in Nigeria (sublineage I) occurred in January 2006 in the state of Kaduna, and |
| 20 | subsequently was detected in Ghana, Burkina Faso, Ivory Coast, and Sudan (2). In February 2006 |
| 21 | sublineage II was reported in Nigeria and disseminated widely across the country during 2006- |
| 22 | 2007, also appearing in Togo (2). Clade 2.2.1, which has been prevalent in Egypt, Israel, and the |
| 23 | Gaza Strip from 2006-2008, was also detected in Nigeria in 2006 (10). |
| 24 | By the end of 2007, outbreaks of HPAI H5N1 in Nigeria appeared to have been successfully |
| 25 | controlled by measures such as stamping-out with compensation, restrictions on movement of |
| 26 | poultry, and enhanced surveillance (13). However, in July 2008 new cases of HPAI H5N1 from a |

1 sublineage never previously detected in Africa (sublineage III) were registered in the Nigerian 2 states of Kano and Katsina and in live bird markets in Gombe and Kebbi states (13, 20). Hence, 3 Nigeria is the only African country where viruses belonging to clade 2.2.1 and to three different 4 sublineages (I, II and III) of clade 2.2 have all been detected. At least three different reassortment 5 events between sublineages have been documented in Nigeria. Salzberg et al. (2007) identified the 6 first reassortant strain (which we refer to as 'R1'), in which four genome segments (HA, NP, NS 7 and PB1) belong to sublineage I and the other four segments (NA, MP, PA and PB2) derive from 8 sublineage II (28). Subsequently, phylogenetic analysis showed that a 2007 reassortant strain 9 (which we refer to as 'R3') contained the HA and NS segments from sublineage I and the other six 10 segments from sublineage II (18, 21). Another reassortant virus (which we refer to as 'R5') 11 contained only the NS gene segment from sublineage I, while the other seven segments were 12 derived from sublineage II (21). 13 Although the genetic diversity of the Nigerian HPAI H5N1 population has been well 14 characterized, including multiple introductions of the virus into Nigeria and several reassortment 15 events, little is known about the evolutionary and population growth dynamics of the virus within 16 Nigeria. Particularly understudied are the spatial movements of individual sublineages among 17 Nigeria's vast poultry population. To explore the spatial, evolutionary, and population dynamics of 18 the multiple H5N1 lineages co-circulating in Nigeria, we conducted a phylogenetic analysis of 19 whole-genome sequences from 106 HPAI H5N1 viruses isolated between 2006-2008 and 20 representing all 25 Nigerian states and the FCT reporting outbreaks. Using the exact date and 21 location of collection for each viral isolate, we inferred from their phylogenetic relationships the 22 directionality of viral gene flow among Nigerian states and identified critical regions that are likely 23 to serve as key sources for the H5N1 virus in Nigeria. 24

25

JVI Accepts published online ahead of print

MATERIALS AND METHODS

26 Samples

The 106 viruses analysed in this study were selected from a panel of 300 H5N1 HPAI positive samples, collected between January 2006 - November 2007 and in July 2008 from poultry in Nigeria, in order to achieve geographical and temporal representation of the H5N1 epidemic waves that occurred in Nigeria. The samples were provided by the National Veterinary Research Institute, Vom, Plateau State, Nigeria. Information regarding the viruses analyzed in the present study is recorded in table S1 in the supplemental material.

7

<u>NI Accepts published online ahead of print</u>

8 Nucleotide sequencing

9 Viral RNA was extracted from the infective allantoic fluid of SPF fowls' eggs using the Nucleospin 10 RNA II Kit (Machery-Nagel, Duren, Germany) and was reverse transcribed with the SuperScript III 11 Reverse Transcriptase kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA - USA). PCR amplification was performed by 12 using specific primers (primer sequences available on request). The complete coding sequences 13 were generated using the Big Dye Terminator v3.1 cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystem, Foster 14 City, CA - USA). The products of the sequencing reactions were cleaned-up using PERFORMA DTR Ultra 96-Well kit (Edge BioSystems, Gaithersburg, MD - USA) and sequenced in a 16-15 16 capillary ABI PRISM 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystem, Foster City, CA - USA). 17 Sequence data were assembled and edited with SeqScape software v2.5 (Applied Biosystem). 18 Sequences from all eight gene segments were aligned and compared with HPAI H5N1 19 representative sequences of viruses from Africa, Europe and the Middle East available on GenBank. 20 21 Nucleotide sequence accession numbers The nucleotide sequences obtained in this study are available from GenBank under accession 22

23 numbers CY047976 to CY048647, CY016276 to CY016283, CY017179 to CY017186, CY016284

24 to CY016291, CY016907 to CY016954, EU148356 to EU148451 or from the GISAID public

database under accession numbers EPI161701 to EPI161708.

26

1 **Phylogenetic analysis**

<u>JVI Accepts published online ahead of print</u>

2 For each of the eight genome segments, maximum likelihood (ML) trees were estimated using the best-fit general time-reversible (GTR)+I+ Γ_4 model of base substitution using PAUP* (36). 3 Parameter values for the GTR substitution matrix, base composition, gamma distribution of among-4 5 site rate variation (with four rate categories, Γ_4), and proportion on invariant site (I) were estimated 6 directly from the data using MODELTEST (24). A bootstrap resampling process (1000 replications) 7 using the neighbor-joining (NJ) method was used to assess the robustness of individual nodes on 8 the phylogeny, incorporating the ML substitution model. The ML tree topology was also compared 9 to the topology obtained using Bayesian methods available in the MrBayes v.3.1.2 program (27), 10 again using the model of base substitution estimated by MODELTEST. Phylogenetic trees were 11 visualized with FigTree v.1.1.2 (25). Fixed amino acid changes along major branches of the 12 phylogeny were identified using the parsimony algorithm available in the MacClade program (17). 13 14 Substitution rates and population dynamics 15 Rates of nucleotide substitution per site, per year and the time to the most recent common ancestor 16 (tMRCA) of the sampled data were estimated using the BEAST program version 1.4.8 (6), which 17 incorporates the phylogenetic relationships of time-stamped viral isolates using a Bayesian Markov 18 chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) approach. Uncertainty in the data is reflected in the 95% highest

- 19 probability density (HPD) values, and in each case chain lengths were run for sufficient time to
- 20 achieve coverage as assessed using the Tracer v1.4 program (26). For each analysis the Bayesian
- 21 skyline coalescent prior was used, as this is clearly the best descriptor of the complex population
- 22 dynamics of influenza A virus (7). Two molecular clock models, namely strict (constant) and
- 23 uncorrelated lognormal (UCLN) relaxed clock, were compared by analyzing values of the
- 24 coefficient of variation (CoV) in Tracer (26), such that CoV values >0 are evidence of non-clock-
- like evolutionary behavior. In all cases we employed the GTR + Γ_4 model of nucleotide
- 26 substitution, as more complex models resulted in over-parameterization. Substitution rates and

- 2 viruses belonging to sublineage II and I/II-N.
- 3

4 Phylogeography of AIV in Nigeria

We undertook a variety of analyses of the spatial dynamics of HPAI H5N1 in Nigeria. Because of the relatively small numbers of sequences sampled from each Nigerian state, we grouped sequences into six geographical regions, representing adjacent clusters of states, and which are color-coded on Figure 3. These regions are: Region 1 (green): Sokoto, Zamfara, Kebbi Niger; Region 2 (red): Katsina, Jigawa, Kano, Yobe, Kaduna, Bauchi, Gombe; Region 3 (blue): Borno, Adamawa; Region 4 (yellow): Taraba, Plateau, Nassrawa, Beneu, FCT; Region 5 (blue): Edo, Delta, Anambra, Enegu; Region 6 (purple): Kwara, Ekiti, Oshun, Lagos, Ogun, Oyo.

12 To explore the overall extent of spatial structure in these sequence data, we employed a 13 Bayesian MCMC approach, available in the Bayesian Tip-associated Significance testing (BaTS) 14 program (22). This analysis was based on the trees that were produced by the BEAST analysis 15 described above, with 10% removed as burn-in and employing 1000 replications. From these trees 16 we computed the significance of the parsimony score (PS) and association index (AI) statistics of 17 the strength of geographical clustering by phylogeny (22). In addition, we computed the 18 monophyletic clade (MC) statistic which measures the strength of clustering within individual 19 geographic regions. Importantly, this approach accounts for uncertainty in the underlying 20 phylogeny by using a large number of plausible trees. 21 To explore the direction of migration events between the six regions within Nigeria in more

detail we employed a parsimony approach (19) computed on ML trees using PAUP*. In each analysis isolates collected from the six geographical regions were assigned a specific character state. We computed the minimum number of character state changes needed to give rise to the observed distribution (with ambiguous changes excluded). To determine the number of changes expected under a null hypothesis of panmixis (completely unrestricted migration), the character states of all isolates were randomized 1000 times on the ML tree, and for each randomization the number of changes in character state was calculated in exactly the same manner. This is equivalent to the parsimony score (PS) analysis described above although based on a single phylogeny. By identifying the geographical distribution of positive PS values, it is possible to track the passage of viral gene flow.

6 7

JVI Accepts published online ahead of print

RESULTS

8 Extensive genetic diversity of HPAI H5N1 virus in Nigeria from 2006 to 2008.

9 The maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees inferred for all eight genome segments of 106 HPAI 10 H5N1 viruses sampled between January 2006 and July 2008 in 25 Nigerian states and the FCT 11 revealed all four sublineages previously identified in Nigeria: sublineages I, II, III and clade 2.2.1 12 (2, 9, 10, 13, 21). Sublineages I, III and clade 2.2.1 were defined by high bootstrap (>70%) or 13 posterior probability (>90%) values and long branches in all the phylogenetic trees (with the 14 exception of the phylogenetic tree for the M gene), while sublineage II had poor bootstrap and posterior probability support (figures 1, 2 and figures S1 to S6 in the supplemental material). In 15 16 addition, our phylogenetic analysis identified a major new sublineage in Nigeria, phylogenetically 17 distinguishable from all previously identified sublineages of clade 2.2, which circulated widely in 18 Nigeria during 2006-2007. This sublineage is herein denoted as sublineage I/II-Nigeria ('I/II-N'), 19 due to its phylogenetic proximity to sublineage I on trees inferred for the HA and NS genome 20 segments and to the sublineage II for the remaining six segments. The vast majority (46/48) of 21 isolates in this lineage were collected in Nigeria; the other two isolates were collected from Benin 22 (figures 1, 2 and figures S1 to S6 in the supplemental material).

At a population level, the majority of Nigerian H5N1 viruses isolated between the years 24 2006-2008 belong to either sublineage II or I/II-N (47 and 46 of 106 isolates, respectively), whereas 25 only 1, 2, and 3 isolates belong to sublineage I, clade 2.2.1, and sublineage III, respectively (figures 26 1, 2 and figures S1 to S6 in the supplemental material). A/chicken/Nigeria/641/2006(H5N1) is the

.. . .

• . •

| I | only Nigerian isolate from sublineage I for which the entire genome sequence is available, with |
|----|---|
| 2 | only the HA segment from two additional Nigerian isolates from sublineage I available on |
| 3 | GenBank: A/chicken/Nigeria/VRD44/2006(H5N1) and A/chicken/Nigeria/VRD83/2006(H5N1), |
| 4 | accession numbers EF631174 and EF631177 (12). Either surveillance in Nigeria is not sufficient to |
| 5 | detect additional isolates belonging to this sublineage in circulation, or sublineage I circulated in |
| 6 | Nigeria for only a short duration at relatively low prevalence. |
| 7 | Only two isolates from clade 2.2.1 were detected among the 106 Nigerian samples: |
| 8 | A/chicken/Nigeria/848-6/2006 (H5N1) and A/chicken/Nigeria/848-8/2006 (H5N1), which were |
| 9 | collected between February and March 2006 in the states of Ogun and Lagos, respectively. The |
| 10 | majority of isolates in clade 2.2.1 are from Egypt, the Gaza Strip, and Israel, collected from 2006- |
| 11 | 2008. However, the Nigerian isolates in this clade are phylogenetically distinguishable from the |
| 12 | Egyptian isolates, and 5 key amino acid differences found across the viral genome distinguish |
| 13 | A/chicken/Nigeria/848-6/2006(H5N1) and A/chicken/Nigeria/848-8/2006(H5N1) from the isolates |
| 14 | from Egypt and the Middle East that also belong to clade 2.2.1 (figures 1, 2 and figure S1 in the |
| 15 | supplemental material). |
| 16 | |
| 17 | Multiple reassortment events among Nigerian HPAI N5N1 viruses. |
| 18 | Although each sublineage generally contains the same set of isolates on each tree, genomic |
| 19 | reassortment occasionally results in isolates being positioned within different clades on the |
| 20 | phylogenies inferred for different genome segments. In addition to the three reassortment events |
| 21 | evident from phylogenetic incongruities that have been described previously (R1, R3 and R5, Table |
| 22 | 1) (18, 21, 28), our phylogenetic analysis reveals two additional reassortment events. Topological |

. . .

- 23 differences in the phylogenetic trees suggest that the NS and NP segments of the isolate
- 24 A/chicken/Nigeria/848-26/2006(H5N1) ('R2', Table 1) were more related to sublineage I and
- 25 revealed the highest nucleotide identity, 99.7% for the NS segment and 99.9% for the NP segment
- 26 with A/chicken/Nigeria/641/2006 (sublineage I), while the other gene segments belonged to
 - 10

sublineage II. A/chicken/Nigeria/848-106/2007 and A/chicken/Nigeria/848-118/2007 ('R4') viruses
 fell into sublineage I/II-N, with the exception of the NA segment, which was closely related to

3 sublineage II (table 1).

4 In some cases, reassortment events involve entire clades, as in the case of the novel 5 sublineage I/II-N. For the majority of segments (PB2, PB1, PA, NP, NA, and M), sublineage I/II-N 6 is most related phylogenetically to sublineage II, although a long branch still separates these clades 7 in all cases except the M segment (figures 1, 2 and figures S1 to S6 in the supplemental material). 8 However, on the HA and NS trees (figure 1 and figure S5 in the supplemental material), sublineage 9 I/II-N is phylogenetically more related to sublineage I, evidence that the entire sublineage I/II-N 10 was generated by a major reassortment event between clades that are related to sublineages I and II, 11 although separated by long branch lengths (figures 1, 2 and figures S1 to S6 in the supplemental 12 material). It is also possible that sublineage I/II-N acquired the M segment directly from sublineage 13 II, as these clades are merged on the M phylogeny. However, phylogenetic resolution is too low in 14 this portion of the tree to discern.

15

16 Variable rates of viral evolution in Nigeria.

17 The rate of evolutionary change (recorded as nucleotide substitutions per site, per year – 18 subs/site/year) was estimated for each segment for the entire population of Nigerian isolates and for 19 each of the two main sublineages found in Nigeria, sublineages II and I/II-N (table 2). For the HA, 20 NA, NS, PB1, PB2, PA and M gene segments, the lower 95% HPD of CoV values of the relaxed 21 molecular clock were approximately 0, so a strict molecular clock model was used to estimate the evolutionary dynamics. However, the NP gene of the entire population of Nigerian isolates and the 22 23 NP gene of sublineage I/II-N showed CoV values >0, so a relaxed (uncorrelated lognormal) 24 molecular clock model, which allows for rate variation across lineages, was used for these two data 25 sets. In each case, very similar results were obtained under both strict and relaxed molecular clock 26 model. The mean substitution rates for all segments and subtypes were found to be within the range

typically observed for avian influenza viruses (5), although differences were found across segments
and sublineages. The lowest rate of evolution was observed for the HA segment from sublineage
I/II-N (2.88 x 10⁻³ subs/site/year, 95% HPD 1.54 – 4.29 x 10⁻³), while the highest rate was observed
for the NA segment from sublineage II (6.56 x 10⁻³ subs/site/year, 95% HPD 4.83 – 8.57 x 10⁻³)
(Table 2).

6

7 Evolutionary origins of the viral genetic diversity found in Nigeria.

8 To further explore the evolutionary origins of sublineage I/II-N in Nigeria, we estimated the 9 time of the most recent common ancestor (tMRCA) of sublineage I/II-N (table 3). The tMRCAs for 10 the NA, PB2, PB1, NP and NS gene segments of sublineage I/II-N isolates ranged from December 11 2005 to November 2006 (95% HPD) (table 3), dates which approximately overlap with when 12 sublineages I and II were circulating in Nigeria and consistent with a Nigerian origin for sublineage 13 I/II-N. The tMRCA of the HA gene segment ranges from August 2005 – August 2006 (95% HPD), 14 which is antecedent to the period of detection of viruses belonging to the two parent sublineages. 15 The tMRCA estimated for all the Nigerian isolates ranged from September 2004 to November 2005 16 (95% HPD), which approximately coincides with the origin and spread of clade 2.2 at the Qinghai 17 Lake outbreak and is consistent with multiple introductions of clade 2.2 into Nigeria. The estimates 18 for the tMRCA of sublineage II in Nigeria ranged from August 2005 to January 2006 (95% HPD), 19 approximately 1 - 6 months before sublineage II was first detected by surveillance in Nigeria in 20 February 2006. The presence of viruses of the same sublineage in other areas of the world at the 21 same time, such as Europe, indicates that this group was introduced into Nigeria at the beginning 22 2006 or the end of 2005 and might to have circulated undetected until February 2006. Finally, 23 broader 95% HPD values were observed for the PA segment, particularly for sublineages II 24 (September 04 to March 06) and I/II-N (November 04 to July 06), suggesting that this segment has insufficient phylogenetic signal for precise estimates of tMRCA values. 25

(http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) to identify the HA sequences of viruses isolated outside Nigeria that showed the highest similarity to the first isolate that was collected from each Nigerian clade. In each case, the first Nigerian isolate from each sublineage showed the highest identity with viruses collected from birds in Eastern Europe some months prior to detection in Nigeria. The HA gene of the first isolate of sublineages I (A/chicken/Nigeria/641/2006) and II (A/chicken/Nigeria/848-1/2006) showed the highest identity (99%) with viruses isolated from poultry in Romania and Russia in 2005. Nigerian viruses belonging to clade 2.2.1 possessed the highest similarity with viruses isolated from wild birds from Slovenia and Austria in 2006. Nigerian viruses isolated in 2008 from sublineage III showed the highest similarity with a virus collected from a mute swan in the Czech Republic: A/Cygnus olor/Czech Republic/10732/2007(H5N1). The PB2 and PB1-F2 genes of sublineage I/II-N viruses possess three amino acid signatures (figures S1 and S2 in the supplemental material) typical of African H5N1 viruses. Furthermore, one of the earliest isolates of sublineage I/II-N, A/chicken/Nigeria/848-50/2006(H5N1) (collected in Kaduna state, 13 December 2006), is phylogenetically positioned as an outgroup on the HA, PB2, PB1 and PA trees, while on the NS tree it is more related to sublineage I, the apparent source of the HA and NS genes of sublineage I/II-N. Notably, this isolate does not possess the three amino acid signatures typical of sublineage I/II-N for the NS and PB1-F2 genes, (figures S1 and S5 in the supplemental material) but does contain such amino acids signatures for others genes, including HA and PB2, suggesting that this Nigerian isolate is an evolutionary intermediary between the parental sublineages (sublineage I and II) and sublineage I/II-N. This finding supports the hypothesis that sublineage I/II-N evolved locally in Nigeria.

To further investigate the source of the sublineages detected in Nigeria, we used BLAST

23

24 Phylogeography of H5N1 within Nigeria.

25 Isolates collected from each of the 25 Nigerian states and the FCT recording outbreaks of H5N1 are

13

26 interspersed throughout the phylogenetic trees inferred for each segment, indicative of widespread

| 1 | viral gene flow throughout Nigeria. The two dominant Nigerian clades, sublineages II and I/II-N, |
|----|---|
| 2 | were detected in 22/25 and 18/25 states, respectively (table S1 in the supplemental material). |
| 3 | Despite the occurrence of viral traffic through Nigeria, our Bayesian MCMC analysis of |
| 4 | geographical association revealed a clear clustering by geographic region ($p = 0$ for both the AI and |
| 5 | PS statistics in all gene segments); hence, there is localized in situ evolution of AIV within Nigeria. |
| 6 | Such localized clustering was especially strong in the southeastern region (yellow), in which the |
| 7 | MC statistic significant in all gene segments ($p < 0.005$ in all cases; full results available from the |
| 8 | authors on request). No other region exhibited a significant MC value (i.e. $p < 0.05$). |
| 9 | An additional parsimony-based migration analysis (Supplementary Table S2) revealed that |
| 10 | the direction of viral migration occurred predominantly from north-central (red region in figure 3) |
| 11 | and southwestern regions (pink) to northeastern (blue), southeastern (yellow), and south-central |
| 12 | regions (light blue). Hence, the north-central and southwestern regions perhaps serve as the critical |
| 13 | sources for the spread of the HPAI H5N1 virus in Nigeria, whereas northeastern, southeastern and |
| 14 | south-central regions appear to act primarily as an ecological sink (figure 3). That the southeastern |
| 15 | region was also strongly significant according the MC statistic (see above) suggests that it |
| 16 | represents a particularly strong sink population. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | DISCUSSION |
| 19 | Through phylogenetic analyses of 106 Nigerian HPAI H5N1 isolates collected from 2006 to |
| 20 | 2008, we have elucidated the evolutionary dynamics of one of the largest and most diverse |
| 21 | influenza virus populations in Africa. First, we have identified a major new reassortant sublineage |

JVI Accepts published online ahead of print

22 'I/II-N' in Nigeria. Sublineage I/II-N appears to have evolved locally in Nigeria, although the

23 origins of the HA and NS segments are more difficult to assess, as sublineage I is present in

24 multiple countries. Our estimate of the tMRCA indicates that sublineage I/II-N likely originated in

25 Nigeria between August 2005 - November 2006, approximately 1 to 15 months before being

26 detected through surveillance. Hence, this virus may have been circulating undetected in Nigeria for

| 1 | several months, perhaps accounting for the long branch between parental sublineages (I and II) and |
|----|--|
| 2 | I/II-N evident on each phylogenetic tree. We also identified two novel reassortment events |
| 3 | involving sublineages I and II (R2 and R4). Notably, all of the Nigerian reassortant viruses possess |
| 4 | an NS gene of sublineage I origin and PB2, PA, MP and NA genes belonging to sublineage II, |
| 5 | perhaps suggesting that these segments confer a selective advantage. Co-circulation of multiple |
| 6 | genetically distinct sublineages has also been reported in others countries, such us China (33), |
| 7 | Vietnam (23), Indonesia (32), Thailand (30). To explain the observed patterns of genetic |
| 8 | reassortment of H5N1 in China, Vijaykrishna et al. suggested that viruses undergo regular |
| 9 | reassortment with endemic H5N1 viruses in domestic ducks and subsequently are transmitted to |
| 10 | poultry (33). Given that the hypothetical ancestor of sublineage I/II-N was collected from the area |
| 11 | of Nigeria with the highest concentration of domestic ducks, this mechanism is further supported by |
| 12 | the data presented in this study. |
| 13 | Our phylogeographic analysis identified the north-central (Katsina, Jigawa, Yobe, Kano, |
| 14 | Kaduna, Bauchi and Gombe) and south-west (Lagos, Ogun, Oyo, Ekiti and Kwara) regions as the |
| 15 | two major sources for the HPAI H5N1 virus in Nigeria. These findings are consistent with the |
| 16 | distribution of poultry farms in Nigeria. Indeed, south-western Nigeria, particularly the states |
| 17 | surrounding the city of Lagos, hold much of Nigeria's poultry industry (11). It is estimated that over |
| 18 | 65% of Nigeria's commercial poultry is located in the five southern states of Lagos, Ogun, Oyo, |
| 19 | Osun and Ondo (11). In north-central Nigeria, Jigawa and Yobe states are home to Hadejia-Nguru |
| 20 | wetlands, characterized by permanent and seasonal lakes and a numerous population of migratory |
| 21 | and residential waterfowl. This area also sustains a large back yard poultry population and the |
| 22 | highest concentration of domestic ducks, reared under free-range conditions, providing |
| 23 | opportunities for contact between wild birds and backyard poultry (3). It has been suggested that |
| 24 | migratory birds may play a role in the introduction of HPAI H5N1 into Nigeria (2, 3, 15, 28). In |
| 25 | fact, the migration paths ending in the Hadejia-Nuguru wetlands intersect with areas in Eastern |
| 26 | Europe where HPAI H5N1 has been circulating since autumn of 2005 (3). |

JVI Accepts published online ahead of print

| | 1 | Of note, the first isolate of each sublineage (I, II, III) detected in Nigeria, as well as the |
|-------|----|---|
| | 2 | likely ancestor of sublineage I/II-N, were collected from the northern part of Nigeria (table S1 in the |
| | 3 | supplemental material), and our BLAST analysis suggests that early Nigerian isolates show the |
| | 4 | highest similarity with influenza viruses from birds in Eastern Europe. This is also consistent with |
| | 5 | previous findings concerning the novel introduction into Northern Nigeria of sublineage III in 2008 |
| rini | 6 | (13) and these findings provide additional evidence that migratory birds from Eastern/Central |
| f p | 7 | Europe or Russia are implicated in the introduction of HPAI H5N1 viruses into Nigeria. This is |
| 0 | 8 | consistent with the several reports concerning the introductions into European countries of distinct |
| ed | 9 | sublineages of clade 2.2 HPAI H5N1 viruses through wild birds, for example in Germany, |
| ah | 10 | Denmark, Hungary, France, and Italy (1, 14, 28, 29, 31). However, it is still not possible to exclude |
| ine | 11 | the involvement of trade of poultry and poultry products as a source of infection. |
| illic | 12 | As such, our study has important implications for predicting and preventing the future |
| | 13 | introductions and spread of H5N1 in Nigeria and for targeted surveillance. Indeed, minimizing |
| she | 14 | contacts between farmed poultry and wild birds in the northern regions of Jigawa and Yobe should |
| ildi | 15 | reduce the risk of future outbreaks as well as improving passive surveillance for HPAI in sick or |
| nd | 16 | dead wild birds in these areas. Similarly, our analysis suggests that after the primary introductions |
| pts | 17 | in Nigeria likely through wild migratory birds, trade of poultry or poultry products was an |
| Ce | 18 | important pathway for the spread of virus throughout the country. Increased monitoring of poultry |
| Åc | 19 | trade from high-risk areas (north-central and south-west regions, see below), identified as the main |
| | 20 | sources of viruses in this study, should be implemented to reduce the spread of H5N1. |
| | 21 | In addition to whether the HPAI H5N1 virus entered Nigeria, another major unresolved |
| | 22 | question is the evolutionary basis for why certain sublineages thrive in Nigeria, particularly |
| | 23 | sublineages II and I/II-N, while sublineages I and III and clade 2.2.1 appear to have spread little, |
| | 24 | despite the success of these clades in other regions, particularly clade 2.2.1 in Egypt. It is possible |
| | 25 | |

26 or in certain geographic regions. Indeed, our tMRCA estimates indicate that viral clades in Nigeria

16

| 1 | may circulate for some months before being detected. The acquisition of the HA and NS segments |
|----|--|
| 2 | from sublineage I by sublineage I/II-N also suggests that sublineage I may have circulated more |
| 3 | extensively than was detected by surveillance. Direct associations among the H5N1 outbreaks in |
| 4 | Egypt and the viruses circulating in Nigeria can be excluded, based on the findings presented |
| 5 | herein. It is also possible that stochastic effects may have a greater impact on the population |
| 6 | structure of HPAI H5N1 than selective pressures. Interestingly, sublineage I also appears to have |
| 7 | circulated in poultry populations only for short durations in other African countries, including Ivory |
| 8 | Coast (10 months), Burkina Faso (2 months), Sudan (7 months), and Ghana (4 months) (2). Similar |
| 9 | situations were reported in Thailand, where one reassortant H5N1 strain emerged and replaced the |
| 10 | two parental lineages (PC168-like and PC170-like) of clade 1 (30) Similarly, in Vietnam clade 2 |
| 11 | viruses replaced clade 1 viruses in 2005 (23) and in China genotype Z gradually became |
| 12 | predominant from 2002 to mid-2005 and in late 2005 in southern China it was gradually replaced |
| 13 | by genotype V (8). |
| 14 | Additional influenza virus sequence data from other African countries is greatly needed to |
| 15 | further understand the evolutionary dynamics of the multiple sublineages circulating in Nigeria and |
| 16 | other parts of Africa. Sharing reliable genetic and epidemiological data in a timely manner can |
| 17 | promote better HPAI control strategies and optimize targeted surveillance programs . |
| 18 | |
| 19 | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS |
| 20 | The Ministry of Agriculture of Nigeria is acknowledged for its continuous support and assistance |
| 21 | for the avian influenza control program in the country. The authors wish to thank the staff of the |
| 22 | Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation (UN-FAO) Headquaters in Rome, Italy; |
| 23 | Dr. Tesfai Tseggai and the UN-FAO/ECTAD Unit, Abuja, Nigeria for their assistance in facilitating |
| 24 | exchange of information, data sharing and sample submissions. |
| | |

JVI Accepts published online ahead of print

- 1 Part of this study has been conducted in the framework of the EU-projects EPIZONE (Network of
- 2 excellence for epizootic disease diagnosis and control) and FLUTRAIN (training and technology
- 3 transfer of avian influenza diagnostics and disease management skills).

REFERENCES

Bragstad K, PH Jørgensen, K Handberg, AS Hammer, S Kabell, A Fomsgaard. 2007 First
 introduction of highly pathogenic H5N1 avian influenza A viruses in wild and domestic birds in
 Denmark, Northern Europe. Virol J. 11;4:43

- 5 2. Cattoli, G., I. Monne, A. Fusaro, T. M. Joannis, L. H. Lombin, M. M. Aly, A. S. Arafa, K.
- 6 M. Sturm-Ramirez, E. Couacy-Hymann, J. A. Awuni, K. B. Batawui, K. A. Awoume, G. L.

7 Aplogan, A. Sow, A. C. Ngangnou, I. M. El Nasri Hamza, D. Gamatie, G. Dauphin, J. M.

8 Domenech, and I. Capua. 2009. Highly pathogenic avian influenza virus subtype H5N1 in Africa:

9 a comprehensive phylogenetic analysis and molecular characterization of isolates. PLoS One

10 **4:**e4842.

1

11 3. Cecchi, G., A. Ilemobade, Y. Le Brun, L. Hogerwerf, and J. Slingenbergh. 2008. Agro-

12 ecological features of the introduction and spread of the highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI)

Downloaded from jvi.asm.org at DIP DI SANITA PUBBLICA E MICROBIOLOGIA on March 8, 2010

13 H5N1 in northern Nigeria. Geospat Health. **3:**7-16.

14 4. Chen, H., G.J.D. Smith, S.Y. Zhang, K. Qn, J. Wang, K.S. Li, R.G. Webster, J.S. Peiris, Y.

15 Guan. 2005. Avian flu: H5N1 virus outbreak in migratory waterfowl. Nature. 436:191-192

5. Chen, R., E.C. Holmes. 2006. Avian Influenza virus exhibits rapid evolutionary dynamics. Mol.
Biol. Evol. 23(12):2336-2341

6. Drummond, A. J. and A. Rambaut. 2007. BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling
trees. BMC Evol. Biol. 7:214.

7. Drummond, A. J., A. Rambaut, B. Shapiro, and O. G. Pybus. 2005. Bayesian coalescent
inference of past population dynamics from molecular sequences. Mol. Biol. Evol. 22:1185-1192.

- 1 8. Duan L, J. Bahl, G. J. Smith, J. Wang, D. Vijaykrishna, L.J. Zhang, J.X. Zhang, K.S. Li,
- 2 X.H. Fan, C.L. Cheung, K. Huang, L.L. Poon, K.F. Shortridge, R.G. Webster, J.S. Peiris, H.
- 3 Chen, Y. Guan. 2008. The development and genetic diversity of H5N1 influenza virus in China,
- 4 1996-2006. Virology. 380(2):243-54.

JVI Accepts published online ahead of print

- 9. Ducatez, M. F., C. M. Olinger, A. A. Owoade, S. De Landtsheer, W. Ammerlaan, H. G.
 Niesters, A. D. Osterhaus, R. A. Fouchier, and C. P. Muller. 2006. Avian flu: multiple
 introductions of H5N1 in Nigeria. Nature 442:37.
- 8 10. Ducatez, M. F., C. M. Olinger, A. A. Owoade, Z. Tarnagda, M. C. Tahita, A. Sow, S. De
- 9 Landtsheer, W. Ammerlaan, J. B. Ouedraogo, A. D. Osterhaus, R. A. Fouchier, and C. P.
- 10 Muller. 2007. Molecular and antigenic evolution and geographical spread of H5N1 highly
- 11 pathogenic avian influenza viruses in western Africa. J. Gen. Virol. 88:2297-2306.
- 11. FAO (Food and Agricolture Organization of the United Nations). 2008. Poultry sector
 country review Nigeria.
- 12. Fasina, F. O., A. C. Meseko, T. M. Joannis, A. I. Shittu, H. G. Ularamu, N. A. Egbuji, L.
- K. Sulaiman, and N. O. Onyekonwu. 2007. Control versus no control: options for avian influenza
 H5N1 in Nigeria. Zoonoses Public. Health. 54:173-176.
- 17 13. Fusaro, A., T. Joannis, I. Monne, A. Salviato, B. Yakubu, C. Meseko, T. Oladokun, S.
- **Fassina, I. Capua, and G. Cattoli.** 2009. Introduction into Nigeria of a distinct genotype of avian
- 19 influenza virus (H5N1). Emerg. Infect. Dis. 15:445-447.
- 20 14. Gall-Reculé GL, FX Briand, A Schmitz, O Guionie, P Massin, V Jestin. 2008. Double
- introduction of highly pathogenic H5N1 avian influenza virus into France in early 2006. Avian
 Pathol. 37(1):15-23.

15. Kilpatrick, A. M., A. A. Chmura, D. W. Gibbons, R. C. Fleischer, P. P. Marra, and P.
 Daszak. 2006. Predicting the global spread of H5N1 avian influenza. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.
 A. 103:19368-19373.

4 16. Liu, J., H. Xiao, F. Lei, K. Qin, X.W. Zhang, X.L. Zhang, D. Zhao, G. Wang, Y. Feng, J.

5 Ma, W. Liu, J. Wang, G.F. Gao. 2005. Highly pathogenic H5N1 influenza virus infection in

6 migratory birds. Science. 19;309: 1206

- 17. Maddison, W. P. and D. R. Maddison. 1989. Interactive analysis of phylogeny and character
 evolution using the computer program MacClade. Folia. Primatol. (Basel) 53:190-202.
- 9 18. Monne, I., T. M. Joannis, A. Fusaro, P. De Benedictis, L. H. Lombin, H. Ularamu, A.

10 Egbuji, P. Solomon, T. U. Obi, G. Cattoli, and I. Capua. 2008. Reassortant avian influenza virus

11 (H5N1) in poultry, Nigeria, 2007. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 14:637-640.

12 19. Nakano, T., L. Lu, P. Liu, and O. G. Pybus. 2004. Viral gene sequences reveal the variable

Downloaded from jvi.asm.org at DIP DI SANITA PUBBLICA E MICROBIOLOGIA on March 8, 2010

13 history of hepatitis C virus infection among countries. J. Infect. Dis. 190:1098-1108.

14 20. OIE - World Organization for Animal Health. 2008. UPDATE ON HIGHLY

15 PATHOGENIC AVIAN INFLUENZA IN ANIMALS (TYPE H5 and H7).

16 21. Owoade, A. A., N. A. Gerloff, M. F. Ducatez, J. O. Taiwo, J. R. Kremer, and C. P. Muller.

17 2008. Replacement of sublineages of avian influenza (H5N1) by reassortments, sub-Saharan Africa.

- 18 Emerg. Infect. Dis. **14:**1731-1735.
- 19 22. Parker, J., A. Rambaut, and O. G. Pybus. 2008. Correlating viral phenotypes with
- 20 phylogeny: accounting for phylogenetic uncertainty. Infect. Genet. Evol. 8:239-246.

- 23. Pfeiffer J, M. Pantin-Jackwood, T. L. To, T. Nguyen, D. L. Suarez. 2009. Phylogenetic and
 biological characterization of highly pathogenic H5N1 avian influenza viruses (Vietnam 2005) in
 chickens and ducks. Virus Res. 142(1-2):108-20.
- 4 24. Posada, D. and K. A. Crandall. 1998. MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution.
 5 Bioinformatics 14:817-818.
- 6 25. **Rambaut, A.** 2008. FigTree v 1.1.2.
- 7 26. Rambaut, A. and A. J. Drummond. 2007. Tracer v1.4.

8 27. Ronquist F. and J. P. Huelsenbeck. 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under
9 mixed models. Bioinformatics 19, 1572-1574.

- 10 28. Salzberg, S. L., C. Kingsford, G. Cattoli, D. J. Spiro, D. A. Janies, M. M. Aly, I. H. Brown,
- 11 E. Couacy-Hymann, G. M. De Mia, H. Dung do, A. Guercio, T. Joannis, A. S. Maken Ali, A.
- 12 Osmani, I. Padalino, M. D. Saad, V. Savic, N. A. Sengamalay, S. Yingst, J. Zaborsky, O.
- 13 Zorman-Rojs, E. Ghedin, and I. Capua. 2007. Genome analysis linking recent European and
- 14 African influenza (H5N1) viruses. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 13:713-718.
- 15 29. Starick, E., M. Beer, B. Hoffmann, C. Staubach, O. Werner, A. Globig, G. Strebelow, C.

16 Grund, M. Durban, F. J. Conraths, T. Mettenleiter, and T. Harder. 2008. Phylogenetic

- 17 analyses of highly pathogenic avian influenza virus isolates from Germany in 2006 and 2007
- 18 suggest at least three separate introductions of H5N1 virus. Vet. Microbiol. **128:**243-252.
- 19 30. Suwannakarn, K., A. Amonsin, J. Sasipreeyajan, P. Kitikoon, R. Tantilertcharoen, S.
- 20 Parchariyanon, A. Chaisingh, B. Nuansrichay, T. Songserm, A. Theamboonlers, and Y.
- 21 **Poovorawan.** 2009. Molecular evolution of H5N1 in Thailand between 2004 and 2008. Infect.
- 22 Genet. Evol. 9:896-902.

<u>NI Accepts published online ahead of print</u>

2 A Bálint. 2009. Four different sublineages of highly pathogenic avian influenza H5N1 introduced 3 in Hungary in 2006-2007. Vet Microbiol. 20;139(1-2):24-33. 4 32. Lam T.T., C.C. Hon, O.G. Pybus, S. L. Kosakovsky Pond, R.T. Wong, C.W. Yip, F. Zeng, 5 F.C. Leung. 2008. Evolutionary and trasmission dynamics or reassortant H5N1 influenza virus in 6 Indonesia. PLoS Pathog. 22;4(8):e1000130. 7 33. Vijaykrishna D, J Bahl, S Riley, L Duan, JX Zhang, H Chen, JS Peiris, GJ Smith, Y Guan. 8 Evolutionary dynamics and emergence of panzootic H5N1 influenza viruses. PLos Pathogens 9 26;4(9):e1000161. 10 34. WHO/OIE/FAO H5N1 Evolution Working Group. 2009. Continuing progress towards a 11 unified nomenclature for the highly pathogenic H5N1 avian influenza viruses: divergence of clade 12 2.2 viruses. Influenza Other Respi Viruses 3:59-62.

35. WHO/OIE/FAO H5N1 Evolution Working Group. 2008. Toward a unified nomenclature
 system for highly pathogenic avian influenza virus (H5N1). Emerg. Infect. Dis. 14:e1.

15 36. Wilgenbusch, J. C. and D. Swofford. 2003. Inferring evolutionary trees with PAUP*. Curr.

16 Protoc. Bioinformatics **Chapter 6:**Unit 6.4.

17 37. Xu, X., K. Subbarao, N.J. Cox, and Y. Guo. 1999. Genetic characterization of the pathogenic

18 influenza A/Goose/Guangdong/1/96 (H5N1) virus: similarity of its hemagglutinin gene to those of

19 H5N1 viruses from the 1997 outbreaks in Hong Kong. Virology. 224:175-183

20

<u>NI Accepts published online ahead of print</u>

Owoade

et al.,

2008

sublineage

II

| | N. | HA | NA | PB2 | PB1 | PA | NP | NS | MP | REF |
|---------------|----|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| R1 | 4 | sublineage I | sublineage II | sublineage II | sublineage I | sublineage II | sublineage I | sublineage I | sublineage II | Salzberg et al., 2007 |
| R2 | 1 | sublineage II | sublineage II | sublineage II | sublineage II | sublineage II | sublineage I | sublineage I | sublineage II | This study |
| R3- I/II-N | 46 | sublineage I/II-N | sublineage II | Monne et al., 2008 |
| R4 | 2 | sublineage I/II-N | sublineage II | sublineage I/II-N | sublineage I/II-N | sublineage I/II-N | sublineage I/II-N | sublineage I/II-N | sublineage II | This study |

sublineage

I/II-N

sublineage

I/II-N

sublineage

I/II-N

sublineage

I/II-N

1 Table 1. Genome constellations of the reassortant H5N1 viruses collected in Nigeria. The different

colors reflect segment whose sequences fall into different sublineages of clade 2.2.

sublineage

I/II-N

sublineage

II

2

R5

3

sublineage

I/II-N

2

JVI Accepts published online ahead of print

24

1 Table 2. Estimates of the nucleotide substitution rate (subs/site/year) calculated for all the Nigerian

| | NIGERIAN | VIRUSES | SUBLIN | EAGE II | SUBLINEAGE I/II-N | | |
|------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Gene | Mean sub. | HPD Sub. | Mean sub. | HPD Sub. | Mean sub. | HPD Sub. | |
| | rate (x 10 ⁻³) | |
| HA | 5.07 | 4.25-5.94 | 5.42 | 3.98-6.89 | 2.88 | 21.54-4.29 | |
| NA | 5.75 | 4.53-8.96 | 6.56 | 4.38-8.57 | 5.99 | 3.81-8.29 | |
| PB2 | 5.09 | 4.26-5.91 | 5.40 | 4.07-6.73 | 4.34 | 3.20-6.15 | |
| PB1 | 4.82 | 4.06-5.59 | 5.01 | 3.83-6.23 | 4.34 | 2.98-5.53 | |
| PA | 5.03 | 4.22-5.83 | 3.28 | 1.85-4.72 | 3.26 | 1.95-4.76 | |
| NP | 4.87 | 3.76-5.98 | 5.34 | 3.67-7.03 | 5.24 | 3.6-7.13 | |
| NS | 5.34 | 4.07-6.74 | 6.23 | 4.09-8.53 | 4.94 | 2.58-7.44 | |
| MP | 4.17 | 2.96-5.4 | - | - | - | - | |

2 viruses, sublineage II and sublineage I/II-N

3

JVI Accepts published online ahead of print

1 Table 3. Estimates of the Time to Most Recent Common ancestor (tMRCA) for all the Nigerian

2 viruses, sublineage II and sublineage I/II-N

| Gene | NIGERIAN VIRUSES | SUBLINEAGE II | SUBLINEAGE I/II-N |
|------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Mean tMRCA (95% HPD) | Mean tMRCA (95% HPD) | Mean tMRCA (95% HPD) |
| НА | Mar 2005 (Jan 04 – Aug 05) | Nov 2005 (Sep 05 – Dec 05) | Mar 2006 (Aug 05 – Aug 06) |
| NA | Jun 2005 (Feb 05 – Oct 05) | Nov 2005 (Sep 05 – Jan 06) | July 2006 (Mar 06 – Nov 06) |
| PB2 | Mar 2005 (Oct 04- Aug 05) | Oct 2005 (Oct 05 – Jan 06) | May 2006 (Jan 06 – Aug 06) |
| PB1 | Feb 2005 (Sep 04 – July 05) | Nov 2005 (Sep 05 – Jan 06) | May 2006 (Jan 06 – Sep 06) |
| PA | Jun 2005 (Feb 05 - Nov 05) | July 2005 (Sep 04 – Mar 06) | Sep 2005 (Nov 04 – July 06) |
| NP | June 2005 (Nov 04 – Nov 05) | Dec 2005 (Nov 05 – Jan 06) | Sep 2006 (Apr 06 – Nov 06) |
| NS | Oct 2004 (Jan 04 – Jun 05) | Nov 2005 (Aug 05 – Jan 06) | Jun 2006 (Dec 05 – Oct 06) |
| MP | Mar 2005 (July 04 – Oct 05) | - | - |

3

1

<u>NI Accepts published online ahead of print</u>

FIGURE LEGENDS

2 Figure 1: Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree for the HA gene segment of HPAI H5N1 3 avian influenza viruses from Africa, Europe, Middle East and Asia. Sequences of Nigerian viruses 4 analyzed in this study are highlighted in yellow. Sublineages and clades are colored as follows: clade 2.2.1 is green, sublineage I is orange, sublineage II is pink, sublineage III is red, and 5 6 sublineage I/II-Nigeria is yellow. The numbers at each branch point represent bootstrap values 7 (black) and posterior probabilities (red). The numbers of substitutions that occur along the main 8 branches appear in the blue boxes. 9 Figure 2: ML phylogenetic tree for the NA gene segment of HPAI H5N1 avian influenza viruses 10 11 from Africa, Europe, Middle East and Asia. The color scheme is the same as that used in figure 1. 12 13 Figure 3: Map showing Nigerian states. Regions identified in the migration analysis are highlighted 14 with different colors. 15 Figure S1: ML phylogenetic tree for the PB1 gene segment of HPAI H5N1 avian influenza viruses 16 from Africa, Europe, Middle East and Asia. The color scheme is the same as that used in figure 1. 17 18 19 Figure S2: ML phylogenetic tree for PB2 gene of HPAI H5N1 avian influenza viruses from Africa, Europe, Middle East and Asia. The color scheme is the same as that used in figure 1. 20 21 Figure S3: ML phylogenetic tree for the PA gene segment of HPAI H5N1 avian influenza viruses 22 23 from Africa, Europe, Middle East and Asia. The color scheme is the same as that used in figure 1. 24 Figure S4: ML phylogenetic tree for the NP gene segment of HPAI H5N1 avian influenza viruses 25 from Africa, Europe, Middle East and Asia. The color scheme is the same as that used in figure 1. 26



HA



