



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

## The Market of Violence: From Monopoly to Free Competition

This is the author's manuscript
Original Citation:
Availability:
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/101806 since
Publisher:
Vita e Pensiero
Terms of use:
Open Access
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

GIAMPIERO GIACOMELLO R. CRAIG NATION (eds.)

## Security in the West Evolution of a Concept

KO T

N 978-88-343-1796-9		68, commi 4 e 5, della legge 22 aprile 1941 n. 633. 69, commi 4 e 5, della legge 22 aprile 1941 n. 633. produzioni effettuate per finalità di carattere professionale, economico o nerciale o comunque per uso diverso da quello personale possono essere nate a seguito di specifica antorizzazione rilasciata da AIDRO, Corso di Romana n. 108, 20122 Milano, e-mail: segreteria@aidro.org e sito web	vvitaepensiero.it kocopie per uso personale del lettore possono essere effettuate nei limiti del di viscom volume dietro novamento alla SIAE del commenso previsto dal-						κ.		ta Scuola di Economia e Relazioni Internazionali (ASERI) centro di formazione e ricerca dell'Università Cattolica, ializzato nell'analisi dei fattori e delle dinamiche econo- politiche della globalizzazione. Direttore dell'ASERI è nzo Ornaghi. Per informazioni: www.aseri.it
Co	VII	VII.	VI.	Ķ		, E	Ц.	L	Int Gi	Ac	
ontributors	II. Security – Old Issues, New Frames: Securitization and Human Security <i>Fabrizio Coticchia</i> and <i>Federica Ferrari</i>	Security through Technology? Defense Transformation and Technological Hubris Andrea Locatelli	Western Democracies and Western Norms: The Columbus's Egg of Western Security? Niklas Schörnig	Security Concepts in the European North: Swedish and Finnish Security Policy in Comparative Perspective <i>Christopher Jones</i> and <i>Johan Eriksson</i>	The Market of Violence: From Monopoly to Free Competition <i>Fabio Armao</i> (with <i>Francesco Strazzari</i> and <i>Simone Tholens</i> )	One or Many? Western Approaches to Security Matthew Evangelista	Security: An Unending Debate Steve Blank	Security in the West: History of a Concept R. Craig Nation	Introduction: The Contested Concept of Security Giampiero Giacomello and R. Craig Nation	Acknowledgements	CONTENTS
271	239	215	185	141	101	80	59	29	11	9	

MATTHEW EVANCELISTA

In other words, even if a European country or the European Union disposed of the same military power as the United States – if it held the dominant position in the world from a military standpoint – that would not solve its security problems. It would still need the diplomatic skills, economic and political strengths, and the commitment to international law, institutions, and multilateralism that have characterized the European approach.<sup>26</sup>

To summarize and conclude my argument: clearly there is still a distinctive European approach to security, as there was during the Cold War. One difference between that period and now, however, is that at least we were able to recognize the end of the Cold War when it happened. How will we know when the threat of terrorism or nuclear proliferation has ended? The U.S. policy of waging war against terrorism and continuing its love affair with nuclear weapons, if not changed under a new administration, makes it more likely that those threats will never go away. The European approach might offer more hope. Ideally, a model for security in the West would incorporate the best elements from Europe, the United States, and Russia as well.

<sup>26</sup>For a thoughtful discussion of these issues; and many useful references, see the contribution of Tsveta Petrova in *Partners or Rivals? European-American Relations after Iraq*, ed. M. EVANCELISTA and V.E. PARSI (Milan: Vita e Pensiero, 2005).

IV. The Market of Violence: From Monopoly to Free Competition

Fabio Armao

Introduction: The "Civilization" of Violence

institutions, in ethnic, religious or criminal groups, and in corpoother men, women, and children. They are to be found in state effect. The word security makes the practice of killing and assassinaas the market of security. The use of this euphemism has a double draw up the rules of the market of violence, which is usually defined not so noble causes. Together they trace out the playing field and the lowest possible losses. They are individuals who have agreed eliminate the largest possible number of enemies while suffering rations, where they are being trained to ply their trade - that is, to more frequently women and children, who make a living killing lence a form of social action that can be and often is resorted to. of activity contributes in a significant way to determining the disto guarantee the safety of one's own community. At the same time, tion more acceptable by justifying it as a lesser evil that is needed labor force of public and private actors in the service of noble and this out of conviction or out of need. All of them are soldiers,<sup>1</sup> a to be socialized to kill and even to be killed themselves. They do At the present moment there are millions of men, and more and to allocate resources in an authoritative way. This role makes vio competition with each other. This is precisely the role of violence: tribution of material and non-material resources among actors in the word security distracts our attention from the fact that this type

There are two phenomena that have led me to place violence at the center of my analysis. In the first place, *war* is a word that – para-

<sup>7</sup> I use this term in its literal meaning to identify the entire *genus* or category of subjects paid to use violence and not, as is more usual, to identify the particular *species* of subjects that are in the service of legitimate authority.

<sup>2</sup> This is clearly evidenced by the researchers' penchant for coupling war with ever new attributes – privatized, informal, degenerate (sic!), post-modern, law-intensity, or, more simply new. See M. KALDOR, New and Old Wars: Organized Violence in a Clobal Ford I condom: Polity Press, 1999), n. 2	doxically enough – has proven to be a term of reference that is less and less suitable to cover all the ways armed force is used. <sup>2</sup> In the second place, <i>state</i> is a term that, inevitably, has become less and less capable of describing the actors in contemporary conflicts, whether rivil or international. In fact, private actors, who had once seemed destined to remain little more than a memory of a long-gone past, are again taking over larger and larger slices of the market. <sup>3</sup> Some of these actors are mercenaries. Others are pirates. The mercenary business is currently thriving in many theaters of war in Africa and in some of the outlying areas of Asia and Latin Ameri- ca. There, recruiters in the service of new warlords hire children with false promises of future payment. More often, they threaten is conces not very different from this are being played out in the out- lying areas of cities worldwide, where organized crime members hire the services of scores of little hit men for pennies. Piracy has become a lucrative activity again, mainly in Asia and the Pacific. Piracy goes on in the realm of the sea, a realm that still evades any attern port the chance to change names, cargos, crews, destinations, and countries of official registry. Ships can dis- appear and reappear as if in the hands of a deft magician. <sup>6</sup> More efficiently than individual mercenaries or pirates, mafias, terrorist networks, and military corporations are competing with the state in the use of violence. These are groups that have become significantly more organized and more international. Their appearance on the world stage has distorted or invalidated a number of widely held hypotheses. The first of these hypotheses	FABIO ARMAO
<sup>6</sup> Many authors supported this hypothesis in terms that were other than abstract- ly utopian: L. BONANATE, <i>Democrazia tra le nazioni</i> (Milano: Bruno Mondadori, 2001). For the evolution of the international system after 9/11 and the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq, see L. BONANATE, <i>La politica internazionale tra terrorismo e</i>	is the allegedly universal character of the state as a legal entity. It seemed as if this form of political organization had no rivals, that there was no <i>piece</i> of the earth which did not belong to a state. This fact evoked the image of a world that had already been stabilized or that had in any case completed a necessary and decisive phase in its stabilization. Other factors seemed to reinforce our sense of stabiling in 1989. There was the slow but steady increase in the number of democratic regimes that came into being after the fall of the Berlin wall in 1989. There was the much-heralded end of conflicts between opposing ideologies. There was the globalization of the economy in addition, the idea had taken hold that these same states had been tending to opt more and more tenaciously for the peaceful instruments of international organization. All these factors seemed to confirm that very shortly violence would be restricted to the less integrated areas of the planet, and that war would give way to milder forms of international policing. <sup>6</sup> We cannot jet say that we were dealing with a new <i>great illusion</i> , <sup>7</sup> but certainly the repeated incursions of violence into our daily lives, even in the privileged West, has sorely tested the faith of even the international arena, where in any case anarchy was the rule rather international arena, where in any case anarchy was the rule rather of the <i>pactum subiections</i> . According to these authors the very premises of the <i>pactum subiections</i> on which the ruler's claim to hold the legitimate monopoly on the use of force is based. <sup>9</sup> It is no accident that the	THE MARKET OF VIOLENCE: FROM MONOPOLY TO FREE COMPETITION

<sup>10</sup> As is well known, this last expression was coined by N. Chomsky to refer to the United States, but was used by the American administration itself to refer to regimes aiming to obtain weapons of mass destruction and support international terrorist organizations. See N. CHOMSKY, <i>Rogue States: The Rule of Force in World Affairs</i> (Cambridge, MA: South End Press, 2000). <sup>11</sup> S. STRANGE, <i>The Retreat of the State: The Diffusion of Power in the World Economy</i> (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996); and A. MINC, <i>Le nouveau Moyen Age</i> (Paris: Gallimard, 1993). <sup>12</sup> N. BOBBIO, <i>Stato, governo, società: Per una teoria generale della politica</i> (Torino: Ein- audi, 1985); and P. FARNETI, <i>Lineamenti di scienza politica</i> (Milano: Franco Angeli, 1994). <sup>13</sup> C. VON CLAUSEWITZ, <i>On War</i> (New York: Knopf, 1993).	use that war is the continuation of politics has not even been touched. The nature of war has changed, but this has happened as a direct result of a change that first occurred in the domain of politics. <sup>13</sup>	to step aside to make room for the economy, which is held to be able to regulate itself according to the free market. Or, to put it another way, it is as if the phenomenon of the privatization of politics had at last produced the privatization of the use of force as well, with apologies to Carl von Clausewitz whose basic prem-	protagonists of the public sphere or of the sphere of political relationships. <sup>12</sup> It is as if I were saying that the fall of the Berlin wall, the sud- den opening of immense new markets, and the rhetoric of glo- balization had all come together to lay bare the will of politics	I would like to/contrast this idea with an opposing one: that the violence of today is a violence that is more and more civil. It is not civil in the traditional meaning of an intestine, civil war, but in the literal meaning of violence produced directly by actors in civil society – that is in the private sphere or in the sphere of economic relationships. This is a type of violence that is less and less political because it is no longer managed by the	political-science lexicon has been enriched by words like <i>failed states</i> and <i>regue states</i> . <sup>10</sup> There are even those who theorize the decline of the authority of states in the face of a <i>neo-medievalism</i> marked by the return of feudal loyalties and organizing principles. <sup>11</sup> This type of hypothesis evokes the idea of a return to the pre-political condition of some primal epoch. In this essay	Pabio Armao
<sup>16</sup> The classic reference works are still: K. LORENZ, On Aggression (New York: Bantam Books, 1969); and R. ARDREY, The Territorial Imperative (New York: Atheneum, 1966). <sup>16</sup> Not even an enlightenment figure like Voltaire was able to avoid this mindset when he wrote in his philosophical dictionary: "what becomes of humanity, modesty, temperance, gentleness, wisdom, piety; and what do I care about them, while half a pound of lead, shot from six hundred feet away, shatters my body, and while I die at the age of twenty in inexpressible torments in the midst of five or six thousand dying men; while my eyes, opening for the last time, see the town in which I was born destroyed by iron and fire, and while the last sounds in my ears are, the cries of women and children expiring under the ruins – all for the alleged interest of a man whom we don't know?;" adding immediately thereafter: "what is worse is that war is an inevitable scourge." VOLTARE, "Guerre-War", in <i>Philosophical Dictionary</i> , (New York: Basic Books, 1962), p. 305.	<sup>14</sup> H. POPITZ, Phänomene der Macht: Autorität - Herrschaft - Gewalt - Technik (Tübin- gen: J.C.B. Mohr (Paul Siebeck), 1986). For an introduction to the topic of vio- lence, see P.P. PORTINARO, "Violenza", in P.P. PORTINARO (ed.), I concetti del male (Torino: Einaudi. 2002). pp. 352-364.	ments are true, as genetics has demonstrated. However, millions of other arguments about various aspects of the human charac- ter are also true. In this context, the argument about aggressive- ness turns out to be so obvious that it ends up totally irrelevant for explaining violence. People are violent or sociable under some	today, violence is a part of the genetic inheritance of humanity. <sup>15</sup> Discussions on war have always run aground on this anthropologi- cal assumption. The idealists are the only ones to try to oppose this argument, but they do so by putting forward the argument that individuals are naturally sociable. <sup>16</sup> The fact is that both argu-	professions produced in the modern ages. Every type of society has also used these kinds of specialists again and again to defend itself or to attack other groups. The history of humanity is also the his- tory of violence, of its evolution, and of the attempts to rein it in. <sup>14</sup> This evident fact has led the overwhelming majority of scholars, including those in the social sciences, to maintain that violence – or better agreessiveness – is natural in character. As we would say	The Deception of Nature Over the course of history every type of society has developed internal structures composed of individuals specializing in the use of arms. These structures can vary in complexity from the hunters of primitive tribes to the members of the thousands of military	THE MARKET OF VIOLENCE: FROM MONOPOLY TO FREE COMPETITION 105

<ul> <li><sup>17</sup>T. HOBBES, <i>Leviathan</i> (New York: W.W. Norton &amp; Company, 1997).</li> <li><sup>18</sup>A state's sovereignty is still today not considered complete if recognition by its</li> </ul>	its epoch in order to become "sovereign." This sovereignty ends up coinciding with passing the test of a great war – that is, with the state's seeing itself recognized in the status of a belligerent by its enemies as well as by its allies. <sup>18</sup>	functions are centralized. This is a process that is made possible also – if not only principally – by the demands of war. A state in the process of becoming must obtain the recognition of the powers of	the state is the pretext that is most often used to reinforce internal unity. In the process of state-building a potential state reaches the full extent of its sovereignty through a tormented process where the means of coercion as well as of the administrative and indicion	but then find themselves forced to rekindle their "natural passions" in order to fight a war that the authorities want to fight. When all is said and done, violence outside of the sphere of	mon wealth. <sup>17</sup> For Hobbes and for all of those of the realist tradition that followed him, there is no contradiction in the fact that people may abandon the state of nature in order to guarantee their survival	At the same time Hobbes maintained that annexed to the sovereign power is the right of making war and peace with other states; that is to say of indoing when war (or neare) is for the mood of the com-	market relationships and outside of them. This is not all. In fact, Hobbes claimed that the Leviathan reserved the right to hold his subjects in bondage and bind them in fear to respect agreements.	opposing mough equally plausible metaphor of the noble savage. The reason is that Hobbes's metaphor allows violence to survive outside the borders of the <i>pactum societatis</i> . Violence is before soci- ety and outside of it, before the state and outside of it and before	perspective I nomas Hobbes's metaphor of the state of nature as the orfginal condition of the war of all against all turns out to be much more relevant, for example, than Jean-Jacques Rousseau's	This is the naturalistic prejudice upon which modern nation- states, in particular, have sought to define themselves in opposition to others and therefore in potential conflict with them. From this	circumstances and not under others. However, all this inevitably leads us back to the context of collective violence and this context is society itself in all of its varied historical manifestories.	106 ° FABIO ARMAO
is not only unrealistic but harmful. It detracts attention from the real causes and thus weakens the opposition to them." E. FROMM, <i>The Anatomy of Human Destruc-</i> <i>tiveness</i> (New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1973), p. 211.	own citizens is not followed by a formal or <i>de facto</i> recognition by the entire inter- national community or at least by a significant part of its members. This does not always happen peacefully. A recent example is that of the recognition of Croatia and Slovenia at the moment of the break-up of Yugoslavia in January 1992, and the consequences it had on the following Balkan wars. <sup>19</sup> Frich Fromm maintains that "this view that war is caused by man's accression	this, the allied states had to create a real international division of	organized individuals. Think about the two World Wars, when mil- lions of soldiers were equipped, fed, supplied with arms, and bur- ied. To do this, it was necessary to distort the entire social and pro- ductive structures of the most important beliverent states. Not only	army do not interrupt their relationships with their own country and with their own commander-in-chief when they cross no man's land. Soldiers do not confront nature, but other groups of similarly	Planning has always covered all possible ways to employ violence, both internal and external. A society does not cease to exist when its members are outside its territorial borders. The soldiers of any	sector. Even regimes that are incapable of producing economic development have often demonstrated that they know how to	for what it really – a problem entirely inside societies. In fact, soci- eties have dedicated limitless resources and energies to the exer- cise of violence, planning in a way that has no parallel in any other	with conclusions about numan destructiveness obtained from biology, ethology or psychoanalysis in order to offer us another naturalistic interpretation of violence. However, this sounds sus- piciously like a stubborn rejection of the task of studying violence	does it represent but a last attempt to protect an escape route – that is, the possibility to draw a line beyond which everything is allowed? In the end, the social sciences have tried to buttress themselves	and responsibility. The more intolerable the violence becomes, the more often this happens. The statement "war is hell" is usually pronounced to justify even the most horrendous crimes. What else	Besides being useful to the state and society, the references to violence as something that is natural become very useful to indi-	THE MARKET OF VIOLENCE: FROM MONOPOLY TO FREE COMPETITION 107

<ol> <li><sup>44</sup> M. MOGGI, "Straniero due volte: il barbaro e il mondo greco", in M. BETTINI, (ed.), Lo straniero: Ovvero l'identità culturale a confronto (Roma-Bari: Laterza, 1992), pp. 51-76. See especially pp. 53-54.</li> <li><sup>25</sup> P.P. PORTINARO, "Materiali per una storicizzazione della coppia amico-nemico", in G. MIGLIO (ed.), Amicus (inimicus) hostis: Le radici concettuali della conflittualità "privata" e della conflittualità "politica" (Milano: Giuffrè, 1992), pp. 221-274.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li><sup>21</sup>G. CARNEVALI, Dell'amicizia politica: Tra teoria e storia (Roma-Bari: Laterza, 2001).</li> <li><sup>22</sup>C. SCHMITT, The Concept of the Political (New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press, 1976).</li> <li><sup>23</sup>V. RUGGIERO, Delitti dei deboli e dei potenti: Esercizi di anticriminologia (Turin: Bollati Boringhieri, 1999).</li> </ol>
enemy." For example, the absolute monarchs of the <i>ancien regime</i> used to identify their equivalent heads of hostile ruling houses as <sup>24</sup> M Mocal "Straniero due volte: il barbaro e il mondo greco", in M. Berring.	<sup>20</sup> W.H. McNEILL, The Pursuit of Power: Technology, Armed Forces, and Society since A.D. 1000 (Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1982), chapter 9.
least a majority of the people hold that it is worth fighting against and risking their lives. An enemy is private when individuals in a restricted power elite or counter-power elite conceive of it as an	criminal (tor example, a terrorist). The authorities can establish that certain activities are illegal when "criminals" commit them, but legal if they commit them themselves. <sup>22</sup>
difference lies simply in the degree of collective legitimization that is substantial and not merely formal. An enemy is public when at	that the people who govern have the power to trace the bound- aries of legality – to call everyone outside of these boundaries a
and now – at least according to some – with fundamentatist islam. Extension is a variable that can be explained by the other tradi-	to establish concretely who and what should be protected, and what the external and internal threats are. We should not format
enemy as <i>barbaros</i> . This is the case for the democratic and capitalist states that clashed first with Nazi-Fascism, then with Communism,	"political" and that differentiates it from other forms of collective
other, will conceive of the enemy as <i>xenos</i> . On the other hand, states that claim to have irreconcilable values will conceive of the	lence back into society instead of letting it stay outside.
neous ones. For example, states that share the same principle of monarchial sovereignty, no matter how hostile they are to each	has been legitimated – that is, against whom it should use violence.
lie in the intensity – low or high – of the clashing ideologies. Iwo homogenous powers could clash, as could two radically heteroge-	<i>pouncal</i> tension, is nothing other than a reformulation of the natu- ralistic prejudice. On the contrary, it can be maintained that the
two forms of otherness that make nim an outsider both in pollucation terms and in terms of kinship and culture. <sup>24</sup> The otherness can	ment of politics, that war is what gives human society its specifically
Meanwhile, the barbaros is "twice foreign because he is marked by	antagonism and therefore must necessarily walk in the shadow of
tinction between the <i>xenos</i> and the <i>barbaros</i> . The <i>xenos</i> "is located in a position of outsideness in terms that are exclusively political"	to define who its friends and enemies are. <sup>21</sup> This is something dif- ferent from the assertion that politice naturally involves irregulable
intensity and its extension. Intensity is a variable that harks back to the dichotomy between the real enemy and the absolute enemy. It evokes the classical dis-	If violence is a kind of social action, politics – literally understood
to give a more exact meaning to the concept of enemy by evaluat- ing the relationship of hostility, starting from two variables – its	The Political Construction of the Enemy
All governments have used this sovereign prerogative widely but they have attributed differing characteristics to their enemies according to the different eras and types of regime. It is possible	in the service of extortion. And coordination among groups is also needed to commit terrorist acts like those of September 11, 2001.
Political Regimes and Models of Hostility	labor among themselves. <sup>20</sup> Another example is the organizational level that mafias have achieved in their daily practice of violence
THE MARKET OF VIOLENCE: FROM MONOPOLY TO FREE COMPETITION	108 FABIO ARMAO

J

The Role of the Military Apparatus It has been observed that the passage from ancient to modern times was marked by the expulsion of violence from the private sphere and its entrance into the public sphere. As it were, the power of the <i>pater familias</i> over his slaves and over his own fam-	110 pairs of the provided for the provided mainly metronaries or private combatants to settle scores with their rivals. The mercenaries wanted only to be paid adequately and regularly. The enemy becomes truly public only when politics opens up to the participation of the masses and individuals cannot refuse to take on the duty of defending their country imposed through the draft if they want to enjoy their political and social rights. Theoretically, it is only in a perfect totalitarian state or in an equally perfect popular democracy that the case prefigured by Carl Schmitt can take place, when an entire population pits itself against another population antagonistically. However, the fundamental difference is that a democracy must, by definition, refuse to give an ethical connotation to a clash with its adversaries. Since a democracy is a regime based on principles that include individualism and tolerance, it must remain unmoved by any temptation to identify the responsibilities of the leaders with those of the masses that the leaders claim to incarnate or to consider their clash a clash of civilizations or religions. Democratic regimes, more than any other regime type, should force themselves to restrict the level of hostility as much as possible. In real life, the construction of the enemy should force them of the enemy intentions that are worse than one's own. Second, there is the desire to show that we are just as determined to use force as the enemy. This is why democracies have sometimes followed ther path of totalitarian regimes and ended up initiating them. During World War II, for example, the Americans and British did not hesitate to make massive use of the combing against German and Japanese cities, including the dropping of two atomites is being the undown when the outcome of the conflict seemed assured.	
<ol> <li><sup>26</sup> H. ARENDT, On Violence (New York: Harcourt Brace and World, 1970).</li> <li><sup>27</sup> N. ELIAS, The Civilizing Process (Oxford: Blackwell, 2000).</li> <li><sup>28</sup> M. OLSON, Power and Prosperity: Outgrowing Communist and Capitalist Dictatorships (New York: Basic Books 2000); and C. TILIX, "War Making and State Making as Organized Crime", in P.B. EVANS - D. RUESCHEMEXER - T. SKOCPOL (eds.), Bringing the State Back In (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1985), pp. 169-191.</li> <li><sup>29</sup> C. TILIX, The Politics of Collective Violence (Cambridge: Cambridge: University Press, 2003).</li> </ol>	It is marked to violance, rever not the ruler over his subjects and then of the government over its citizens. <sup>26</sup> Nevertheless, the transformation from private violence to public violence took centuries and involved an extremely complex process marked by two main phases, here summarized very briefly. First, monopolies were formed that were characterized by the accumulation of resources in the hands of the favor of distributed and thereby the ruler started to transform his own power from private into public. However, he assumed the function of distributed and thereby the ruler started to transform his own power from private into public. However, he assumed the function of distributed and thereally nothing but bandits. There was said to be a competition among groups at the origin of the state and the groups to preval were the ones that first gave up their nomadic ways and settled in a fixed area. <sup>26</sup> What is certain, however, is that the specialists in violence. <sup>26</sup> The end of the feudal period is marked by a double process – subjugation and the differentiation of roles. Before that epoch, the knight was nothing less than an official representing three to a reversive his own dominion over and support himself from. The duties of loyalty bound him directly to his lord and not to the king. The king was still considered to be the <i>primus inter pars</i> in a multitude of confederated powers.	

.

does not mean that the corps gave up its specific role as the main agent of political power. If anything, military professionalism would turn out to be more efficient in the exercise of collective violence and would adapt itself to the needs of all kinds of regimes. Officers' corps would offer the necessary support to late nineteenth-century Prussian militarism as it would to the twentieth-century Latin American	<sup>30</sup> This refers to the system that obliged a vassal to cede his ruler a certain number of combatants. See P. CONTAMINE, War in the Middle Ages (New York: Blackwell, 1984). <sup>31</sup> The descent of Charles VIII of France into Italy in 1494 is usually seen as an endeavor that marked a heightening of intensity – the transition from war as a conflict for supremacy over local powers to a real international event. See. L. BONANATE - F. ARMAO - F. TUCCARI, Le relazioni internazionali: Cinque secoli di storia, 1521-1989 (Milano: Bruno Mondadori, 1997).
civil bureaucracy, the officers' corps would be based upon a sense of belonging and upon the adoption of competence and competi- tion as the criteria for selection and advancement. However, this	– absolute lack of loyalty to the ruler, scant reliability in battle, and
Later, the spread of military academies prefigured the birth of the military career as a profession open to all as well as the gradual transformation of the officers' corps into a bureaucracy. Like the	Charles V on, was to be able to depend on an army that would become more and more <i>permanent</i> . Such an army would not have the defects that were constantly associated with mercenary troops
that correspond to their wealth obtained that status by entering the officers' corps.	the moment when war against an external enemy broke out. <sup>31</sup> The ambition of all the absolute rulers of that epoch, from
had by then became poorer in relation to the bourgeoisie. Mean- while, members of the bourgeoisie that were calling for a status	and privileges. The court nobles' main duty would then be to fill the ranks of the new officers' corps, a duty that would call them at
scial forces. This process downsized the old caste of nobles, who	the creation of the court nobility with all its apparatus of luxuries
called sale of officers' "patents". This had two results. It fed the	participate in the distribution of resources – both in terms of social
triumph of the bourgeoisie, rulers repeatedly resorted to the so-	FOR this reason, the ruler chose hot to exclude the deleated parties from the sharing of power. On the contrary, he made them
nary instrument for rulers to effect social integration and co-opt	cipline that his authority would be founded on.
The officers' corps would eventually prove to be an extraordi-	reign. However, he still needed to consolidate the practice of dis-
recruiting foreign mercenaries, something that thwarted the use of	roles could begin. The creation of a civil bureaucracy also made
craftsmen, would eventually be forced to resort more and more to	Once the subjugation was completed, the redistribution of
were based at first on obligatory military service for peasants and	the ban and the arrière ban. <sup>30</sup>
unteers, whose recruitment fed corruption and speculation. This would explain why the storied armies of Frederick the Great which	plined line of fire. There were even cavalry troops. All these could substitute the less and less trustworthy recruits obtained through
the regiments of the Sun King were still composed mostly of vol-	were archers and, later, harquebusiers who could produce a disci-
frequent bankmintries of central governments. It may explain why	of nikes and in maneuvering in dense square formations. There
ing expenses for the development of artillery and, consequently,	of mercenaries to the monarchs that were trained in the use of
that were linked by a logistical system. In addition, there were grow-	ance of real military entrepreneurs who could sell armed units
them all year long, and of quartering them in appropriate garrisons	ment of a central treasury went hand in hand with the appear-
foreseen. There was the cost of maintaining the troops, of paving	and assert ins own superiority over the ocal powers, rie did this the stabilish-
ing out their pay.	er managed to emancipate himself from the bonds of vassalage
the practice of plundering territories as a legitimate way of round-	The state began to take shape at the moment when the rul-
THE MARKET OF VIOLENCE: FROM MONOPOLY TO FREE COMPETITION 113	112 FABIO ARMAO

<sup>34</sup>TILLY, The Politics of Collective Violence, p. 28. Oxford University Press, 1985). <sup>33</sup> E. SCARRY, The Body in Pain: The Making and Unmaking of the World (Oxford: <sup>32</sup> Some have divided military professionalism into classic, praetorian and revolu-tionary. See A. PERLMUTTER, *The Military and Politics in Modern Times: On Profession* als, Praetorians, and Revolutionary Soldiers (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1977). military apparatus that may not give them the obedience needed to make their own power of command more effective. Over the they sponsor, legitimate, tolerate, or forbid."34 fer so greatly with respect to which forms and agents of violence and, above all, death. This will help us to "explain why regimes difof history itself that will give the proper weight to the value of life under the aegis of society, then ultimately it will be the experience of a process of indoctrination. If we agree to bring violence back the line is the result of a conscious choice or, rather, the end-result on the question of whether the soldier's decision to put his life on can make a further distinction among the citizen-soldiers based ticipation, violence as merchandise vs. freely granted force. We opposite ends: venality and personal interest vs. ideality and para continuum and place the mercenary and the citizen-solider at that obedience are really significant. Therefore we can imagine if it obtains obedience. If so, then the degree and the quality of can ultimately define itself as legitimate only if it is effective - i.e. cause is held to be, though certainly not how just it is. A power to enroll thus turn out to be a way of distinguishing how valid a of political objectives.<sup>33</sup> The reasons that compelled the soldier sented to put his body at the disposal of a confirmation process soldier's body represent the most explicit form of corroboration Violence has the function of legitimizing power mainly because violence produces physical suffering. The wounds inflicted on a for any type of authority. This is so because the soldier has contotalitarian regimes.<sup>32</sup> military juntas. It would support liberal democracies just as well as Violence as Source of Legitimacy Authorities prove their intelligence when they do not rely on a

Mass conscription constitutes the most *public* form of political violence. For this reason, it yields an unequalled return in terms of

long run we can note that such miscalculations were very rare. Absolute monarchies were afraid to arm the people and their fear was absolutely justified. Despite its defects, an army of mercenaries was a resource that was much more suited to the nature of their regimes. At the time of the Thirty Years' War Gustavus Adolphus of Sweden, a relatively enlightened ruler, began to imagine a system based on obligatory conscription. However, he conceived of lifelong service. Soldiers enlisted in the army as adolescents to leave the army either dead or as old men. They would go through all the ranks of the reserve and of the territorial militia. In this case, loyalty was guaranteed both by the training and by the fact that the soldiers did not know any other life except that of their own unit.

In addition, there were widespread forms of exceptions and authorizations to pay for a substitute. These continued in the armed forces of most of the European powers until the eve of the World Wars. It was almost as if the regimes wanted to defend themselves from possible complaints by lightening the obligation for military service, at least for the well-to-do. It is enough to remember several episode of collective draft resistance – in southern Italy at the time of the First World War and in the United States at the time of the Vietnam War – in order to realize how well those fears were founded.

mined of armies so long as the war is perceived as necessary. universal conscription produces the most trustworthy and deterthe twentieth-century experience of mass regimes. In those cases, social and political rights that we are used to associating mainly with tion appears to be compatible only with the concession of those leon in a rapid "counter-reformation." In effect, universal conscrip However, it was abolished immediately after the victory over Napoby conservative powers like Prussia (that is to say, out of necessity). the age of the French Revolution, conscription was also adopted there was nothing more until the nation armée of France in 1792. In Cromwell dictatorship and of the New Model Army (1653-58). Then states tried out conscription in the 1400s, as did the England of the mentary or clearly revolutionary. For example, medieval Italian city conscription is associated with forms of government that are parliathe modern and contemporary era. It is no accident that universal All in all, universal conscription is a very rare phenomenon in 114

FABIO ARMAO

THE MARKET OF VIOLENCE: FROM MONOPOLY TO FREE COMPETITION

Role Playing between the State and Capitalism The construction of the military apparatus in the political sphere went hand in hand with the evolution of the market in the eco- nomic sphere. In this case too, the metaphor of the contract was nothing other than a successful attempt to hide the original accu-	the legitimization of the power elite. It should be stressed that the more the people's participation is obtained in a clear and demo- cratic way, the more the authorities' power is legitimated. On the other hand, the conscript is not motivated by money or profes- sional pride. Therefore, he needs very strong ideological reasons to be able to resist the trauma and pain inflicted on his body and on those of his fellow-soldiers. There was another reason why universal conscription was intro- duced by the democracies. They wanted to maintain the princi- ple of equality through a general sharing of the risks as well as to reduce the traditional distance between military institutions and civil society. However, universal conscription is currently being abandoned to varying degrees as soon as there is a perceived opportunity to give the troops the model of professional quality that had proven to be so effective for the various officers' corps. This authentic military revolution was made possible (or at least justified) by technological innovations that permitted a certain reduction in the number of personnel, at the same time requiring greater competence. It is a revolution that has certainly given the governments involved a kind of freedom in the use of violence that was unthinkable with an army of conscripts. This a further demonstration that political systems are very well able to choose a military apparatus that does not call their power of command into question. In fact, because of his training, a profes- sional is less inclined to ask questions about the causes of war than a citizen-soldier. Besides, governments have been tending to sub- contract larger and larger slices of collective violence to private players, even in wartime. This prefigures a massive return to the mercenary sector and seems to demonstrate that not even western democracies seem to consider it a priority to legitimize their own	116 FABIO ARMAO
<ol> <li><sup>35</sup> P.P. PORTINARO, Stato, (Bologna: Il Mulino, 1999), pp. 77-78. "In Hobbes private property originates in the ruler's act of distribution, but where did the ruler get the land and resources from that he distributes?", p. 77.</li> <li><sup>36</sup> F. BRAUDEL, Afterthoughts on Material Civilization and Capitalism (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1977), p. 64.</li> <li><sup>37</sup> D.K. FIELDHOUSE, The Colonial Empires: A Comparative Survey from the Eighteenth Century (London: Macmillan, 1982); V.G. KIERNAN, European Empires from Conquest to Collapse, 1815-1960, (London: Collins-Fontana Paperbacks, 1982).</li> </ol>	mulation of resources that the ruler brought about. The contract metaphor made him appear like a <i>tertius super partes</i> , someone above the frazy who knew how to transform "a <i>chaos</i> dominated by appropriation into a <i>assmas</i> regulated by distribution and orient- ed towards production." In this way, "the legitimization of private property" was consolidated. <sup>35</sup> However, the state was directly involved in the workings of capitalism, at least the capitalism of the highest level of the great families (today, the great corporations) and of the monopolies. As Fernand Brandel observed, "capitalism only triumphs when it becomes identified with the state, when it is the state." He adds that the state "sometimes allows capitalism to expand and at other times destroys its mainspring." <sup>55</sup> Like all systems, the political sys- tem and the economic system each have their own hierarchy, their own rules, and their own values, but their workings interweave to the point that sometimes they seem to be inextricable. This is something that rings much more true in the sphere of violence. It is enough to think of the role that powers like Spain played in setting up the first great transoceanic enterprises of that era, those long-distance commercial chains that were based on the import of the new metals – gold and silver. Later exam- ples are England, France, and Holland in their colonial eras. The role of politics was to define the model of the enemy. In this case, the enemy was the savage who was deprived of culture and who was to be reduced into slavery. If anything, politics delegated the church and the free thinkers with the task of justifying its violence through the doctrine of the civilizing mission. <sup>37</sup> Besides, the mar- ket rewarded this collaboration generously, giving the state what it needed most – cash to invest in wars as well as the arms and sol- diers to burn up in them.	THE MARKET OF VIOLENCE: FROM MONOPOLY TO FREE COMPETITION 117

<sup>38</sup> See W. ALLISON, "War for Sale: The Black Market, Currency Manipulation and Corruption in the American War in Vietnam", War & Society, 21, 2 (2003), pp. 135-164. <sup>39</sup> F.C. LANE, Profits from Power: Readings in Protection Rent and Violence-Controlling Enterprises (Albany: State University of New York Press, 1979).	Violence as a piece of merchandise, a good, and a service Violence as a piece of merchandise, a good, and a service It is politics that determines the intensity of the concept of violence and that teaches us whom we are to hate and why. Likewise, it is the market that offers us a clear measure of its extension and gives the term violence an almost infinite diversity of meanings. Like a weapon or a soldier, violence is a piece of merchandise that can be exchanged for money. The soldier who practices violence produces death as a direct good and wealth and power as instrumental goods. The soldier's deployment can be seen as a service for the ruler or for whoever is ready to pay him. And there are several types of violence. There is violence in the form of ams manufacturing with its civilian work force, whose members qualify as legitments for scientific and technological research. There is violence in the form of investments of scientific and technological research. There is violence in the form of investing and for making profits in all the activities connected with the management of violence, starting with its direct practice. The private actors have always known how to keep broad margins for manetwering and for many specialists in the use of weapons. It also contributed in a meaningful way to the distribution of collective resources. <sup>36</sup> We need only recall the case of Wallenstein the seventeenth century, the colonel whose services were much sought after by the powers of that era and equaly feared. Over the centuries, in fact, protection stood for a cost that varied according to the geographical areas and according to the circumstances for those authorities that were able to pay less for that service or not pay for it at all. Protection was the fac-	
<sup>40</sup> M. MALLETT, Mercenaries and their Masters. Warfare in Renaissance Italy (London: The Bodley Head Ltd, 1974); JC.M. VICUBUR, Cavaliers et citoyens. Guerre, con- flits et société dans l'Italie communale, XII <sup>-</sup> XIII <sup>e</sup> siècles (Paris: Éditions de l'École des hautes études en sciences sociales, 2003).	THE MARKET OF VIOLENCE: IROM MONOPORY TO FREE COMPETITION 119 tor that decided whether civilian populations would be spared or whether they would instead be condemned to plunder, rape, and epidemics. A further demonstration that providing protection was a private affair was the fact that the hiring of mercenaries was not (and still is not) regulated by <i>laws</i> , but rather by <i>contracts</i> . These contracts sometimes stipulated the number of soldiers requested, the pay agreed upon (to be given mostly in cash), and the period of service. Contracts could also contain some provisions about lengthening the period of service. The <i>condutive</i> as the name of the contract typical of Renaissance Italy, where it was taken for granted that the recruiter - <i>il condutive</i> - also had to lead his troops in battle. By that time, the recruiters in Germany were capitalist businessmen who delegated the military command of the company to others. <sup>40</sup> This division of roles was probably brought on by the fact that often the people who recruited the men also had to be able to pay in advance the sum needed for dressing and arming them. The situation on the seas was not so different. We need only observe that in the battle between the Armada of Philip II and the English fleet in 1588 both fleets consisted mostly of ships owned armed vessels to attack enemy ships in wartime (and sometimes in peacetime). There were two advantages for the ruler. First, there was the economic income that the state guaranteed for itself by pocketing a part of the booty. Second, the state had the possibility not to appear directly responsible for the actions. There was avery blury line separating privateering legitimized by a letter with a king's signet and acts of outright piracy. One reason is that the privateer with the energy on its that the sovereign could suddenly withdraw his permission in order to reduce tensions with the energing the state in the source on the source of the soly is cound, the state had the possibility not to appear direc	

<ul> <li><sup>67</sup> REDEXER, Between the Devil and the Deep Blue Sea (Cambridge: Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1987).</li> <li><sup>63</sup> MCNEILL, The Pursuit of Power, p. 104.</li> <li><sup>64</sup> The extremely powerful Fugger family accompanied the rise and fall of the Spanish Hapsburgs from the election of Charles V as the Holy Roman Emperor, which they financed, to the bankruptcy of Philip II in 1557 due to the rising costs of military campaigns.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>J.E. THOMSON, Mercenaries, Pirates, and Sovereigns: State-Building and Extraterrito- rial Violence in Early Modern Europe (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1994).</li> <li><sup>42</sup> This is not just a figure of speech. See R.C. RITCHER, Captain Kidd and the War apainst the Pirates (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1986): and M</li> </ol>	money with them. Nevertheless, every war was a potential catas- trophe even for these banks, especially if they had lent money to a monarch whose treasury was to go bankrupt. <sup>44</sup>	instruments of credit, guaranteeing the cash needed to pay mer- chants and royal troops in practically any corner of the world, thus eliminating the risks that the troops would have run by carrying	only actors that could inhance such influences were the great itar- ian and German bankers. These banks were not family businesses but rather dynastic ones. They found the means to innovate the	the availability of the coffers of the state. A land campaign did not offer the guarantee of any real return on an investment. The	part of the income naval officers and crews could look forward to. <sup>343</sup> On the contrary, land campaigns seemed to foil any attempt to forecast their costs. The only limit that remained was that of	navies in the second half of the seventeenth century, prize money awarded for the capture of enemy vessels remained an important	"until the nineteenth century, sea trade and privateering remained intimately connected; and even after the development of regular	the costs. Above all, the commercial goals ended up being stronger than those that were strictly military. This may serve to explain why	enterprise in itself. This was a factor that made it easier for the inves-	for the contributions of private investors. Every voyage constituted an	my. <sup>41</sup> Thus a heroic commander of his majesty could change from one day to the next into a dangerous criminal to be captured and hanged from the highest yardarm of his own ship. <sup>42</sup> The very nature and the costs of maritime enterprises particularly	120 FABIO ARMAO
	• • • · -			<u> </u>					 	a aning they	un e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		
<sup>45</sup> THOMSO <sup>46</sup> N. STEEN Ory of Pro GAARD, <i>Th</i> <i>the Decline</i>	be deple itics and of soldie	rupung they nee policies, es of viol right we	of many monopo They we	nad the coins. The s	dent fjo ed armie	were cha tuguese	guarante terized a	past eras	compani	terms of	private v incarnat	The expe	THE MARK

e market of violence: from monopoly to free competition 121

## e experience of the chartered companies

hey had the power to declare war and sign treaties.45 es and armed fleets. They founded settlements where they a sovereign state. They were defined as "a state indepenaracterized as state-supported, such as the French and Poras decisively private, such as the Dutch companies. Others ee unprecedented privileges. Some were usually characolerated, or clashed with, depending on the times. These ed an ideal model for the self-management of power. In m the state" (staat buiten die staat). The companies recruitcompanies. All companies, however, had all the characterentified in any enterprise, but they certainly were able to fee and be subject to a rigid set of rules, as in the guilds of ies were the reserve of professional merchants who agreed iolence in the public service. In terms of the market, they ies were and still remain the most authentic handlers of ed companies played a much different role. Chartered power to govern over their fellow citizens. They minted the state, they proved themselves a partner to be legiti-The companies did not cover the potential risks of losses

The special "constitutions" of these companies were the sources of many of the advantages they enjoyed. They operated as virtual nonopolies. They exerted quite a lot of pressure upon their rulers. They were very capable of influencing governments (or even corupting them). Most importantly, they could manage the violence hey needed for defending their trafficking and implement their olicies, treating it as a normal management cost. These resourcs of violence were self-financed. Fighting men equipped with the ight weapons were always ready in adequate number. They could be deployed without going through the rituals of great-power poltics and without the delays needed to mobilize large contingents of soldiers.<sup>46</sup>

N. STEENSGAARD, "Violence and the Rise of Capitalism: Frederic C. Lane's They of Protection and Tribute", *Review*, 5, 2 (1981), pp. 247-278; and N. STEENS-ARD, *The Asian Trade Revolution of the 17th Century: The East India Companies and e Decline of the Caravan Trade* (Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1975).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> THOMSON, Mercenaries, Pirates, and Sovereigns.

<sup>47</sup> P. LAWSON, The East India Company: A History (London: Longman, 1993).	Sometimes some companies clashed over the control of spe- cific markets. One example is the conflict between the English and the Dutch over the control of the Indian Ocean in 1618-20. In any case, the chartered companies almost never took part in the wars waged in Europe by their rulers. They were an exception in the international system of the era because they did not yield to pressure to advance the immediate interests of their coporate statutes – the safeguarding and the amassing of capital. The English East India Company was so independent from its mother country that it sparked a violent parliamentary protest that led to the passing of the II-fated <i>India Bill</i> , which attempted to bring at least the company's foreign policies under royal control. In fact, England had two armies – one in the service of the state and the other in the service of the East India Company – up until the outbreak of the Indian army emancipated itself even from the company. It ended up damaging its own economic interests irremediably and bringing on its own dissolution. <sup>47</sup> Other companies were to fail for various reasons. There were bankruptcies, mergers with other groups, and government deci- sions to revoke their concessions, which often responded to the growing demand to free up the market by new economic actors. Rather than the needs of domestic policy, the more general cause of the fall of the companies was that the international system forced the end of private violence. As regards mercenary activity, the fact that the citizens of a state could ernoll for a war not fought by their own government was seen as more and more incompat- ible with that state's claim to remain neutral. The end of privateer- ing was determined by the fear that attendant violence could grow into much broader conflicts. It was sanctioned internationally by the signing of the Declaration of Paris in 1856 by France, Great	122 FABIO ARMAO
<ul> <li><sup>49</sup>THOMSON, Mercenaries, Pirates, and Sovereigns.</li> <li><sup>49</sup>D.R. HEADRICK, The Tools of Empire: Technology and European Imperialism in the Nineteenth Century (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1981).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Britain, Russia, Austria, Prussia, Sardinia and Turkey, with the signatories assuming the responsibility of enforcement.<sup>48</sup></li> <li>The industrialization of death</li> <li>Private actors in the management of violence seem to have taken a significant step backwardsat the end of the 1800s. However, something yeary different was happening in the field of arms production in that very same period. Private arms producers managed to win a competitive advantage over the old state-owned military arsenals. This was something that has allowed private arms producers is usually built around the idea of a genuine "technological revolution." There was the invention of the breech-loading tiffe, one of the most significant innovations in the field of flight weapons. There was the evolution of ships from sailing to steam and from wood to steel. The pace of innovations in the field of flight weapons. Discoveries in the field of medicine, such as quinine, reduced the mortality rate of troops engaged in colonial endeavors. According to Headrick, these technological davances explain the impressive rate of European ecupansion in the world more than any ideology. In 1800 Europeans occupied or controlled 35 percent of the world's surface, in 1878 the figure was 67 percent, and in 1914 more than 84 percent.<sup>40</sup></li> <li>All this would not have been thinkable without scrupulous stream of innovations in the years been would produce a continuous stream of innovations in the years been without the adoption of massive scale cconomises</li> </ul>	THE MARKET OF VIOLENCE: FROM MONOPOLY TO FREE COMPETITION 123

123 ,

.

<sup>47</sup> P. LAWSON, The East India Company: A History (London: Longman, 1993).

<sup>50</sup> MCNEIL, The Pursuit of Power. <sup>51</sup> G. PARKER, The Military Revolution: Military Innovation and the Rise of the West, 1500-1800 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1988). <sup>52</sup> The World War Π figure is much rougher because it does not include all the irregular forces fighting on the various fronts.	people were involved <i>en masse</i> and thus earned a political legiti- mization for the victorious powers through their sacrifice. This legitimization was so solid that it guaranteed the world a period of peace that lasted until 1989, one of the longest periods of peace in	armies gives us some idea how productive the industrial apparatus of the great powers were. There were 8,500,000 deaths in World War I and over 50,000,000 in World War II, including 30,000,000 civilians. This tells us how destructive the weapons had become. In fact, this was the highest level of public participation in war, when	to calculations, many of them serving outside of Europe. In fact, itinerant soldiers were the main merchandise for export from pre-industrial Europe to the rest of the world. <sup>51</sup> In contrast, the number of soldiers mobilized in the two World Wars of the 1900s was 65 and 80 million respectively. <sup>52</sup> This increase in the sizes of	The extent of this revolution in violence management can be made clear by some simple comparisons. In the 1600s states did not have the means to wage wars of extermination, but they cer- tainly could already fight for years on several fronts. In those years between 10 and 12 million Europeans were soldiers, according	armed forces' officers and politicians, which sometimes degen- erated into open corruption. There were cover-ups by compli- ant parliaments of the budget deficits that out-of-control military expenses created. <sup>50</sup> All of these factors came together in what was to be called the military-industrial complex or, more correctly, the industrial-military complex.	and without the standardization of production, including muni- tions, and of packaging as well. These inventions required the cre- ation of a technological elite that could administrate the labor of millions of workers and the wars of millions of soldiers. Further- more, this evolution in technology led to several other significant practices as by-products. For example, there was collusion among companies from different countries, sometimes even among com- panies from enemy countries. There was systematic lobbying of	
and the Rise of the West, 38). does not include all the	led a political legiti- their sacrifice. This he world a period of t periods of peace in t periods of peace in	industrial apparatus 000 deaths in World ncluding 30,000,000 90ns had become. In ipation in war, when	e of Europe. In fact, ise for export from d. <sup>51</sup> In contrast, the ld Wars of the 1900s	management can be the 1600s states did nation, but they cer- fronts. In those years soldiers, according	n sometimes degen- over-ups by compli- it-of-control military together in what was t, more correctly, the	on, including muni- ons required the cre- inistrate the labor of of soldiers. Further- eral other significant was collusion among les even among com- stematic lobbying of	FABIO ARMAO
advantages of the present-day system, even for the state, are greater <sup>53</sup> H.M. ENZENSBERGER, <i>Civil wars: From L. A. to Bosnia</i> (New York: The New York Press, 1994, pp. 19-25.	of arms. Unless we buy into the myriad visions of plots that flourish in print and on web sites, however, this is not enough to determine their whole success. Furthermore, we cannot compare the nine- teenth-century and contemporary times empirically in terms of the political costs and the economic revenue linked to the sector of private violence. Logically, we have to assume that the economic	The present-day arms lobbies have a power to influence the upper levels of politics that goes beyond that of any other actors from the past. It is entirely plausible to assert this if we only con- sider the experience of the United States and the power of the National Rifle Association to block any attempts to limit the sales	would involve re-nationalizing the companies that are considered at risk and are also located in those very developed countries that are considered to be the most exposed to this threat. We should remember that it was an international conference that marginal- ized the private managers of violence in the nineteenth century.	of these weapons should be limited. This strategy does not even hypothesize a preventive intervention at the source of the weap- ons. Something like this would entail imposing greater controls by an international agency over the phases of research and produc- tion, even of the production of single components. If necessary, it	not brought on by real combat weapons in the hands of cryntaus in ever increasing numbers, but they were certainly made more lethal by them. <sup>53</sup> Another piece of evidence is the very strategy of the struggle against terrorism. This strategy stresses the risk repre- sented by that fact that small groups, or rogue states, can get their hands on weapons of mass destruction and that the proliferation	its history. Ironically, this period of public participation coincides with the triumph of privatization in arms production. This shotgun marriage of the logic of politics to the logic of the market is inevitably destined to create tensions about which prior- ity to pursue. We cannot assume that public security coincides with the interests of arms producers. Rather, there is evidence to the contrary, such as the so-called molecular wars that plague the glob- al great cites of the north as well as the south. These were certainly	THE MARKET OF VIOLENCE: FROM MONOPOLY TO FREE COMPETITION 125

<ul> <li><sup>54</sup> PARKER, <i>The Military Revolution</i>, pp. 62.</li> <li><sup>55</sup> Sipri Yearbook. Armaments, Disarmament and International Security (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007).</li> <li><sup>56</sup> Medicare reform has been debated for years in the United States (the program of public medical assistance program proposed by Truman at the end of World War II but approved only in 1965). Already in 2002 its privatization did not appear to be desirable because a private system did not prove able to control its costs. See J. OBERLANDER, <i>The Political Life of Medicare</i> (Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 2003).</li> <li><sup>57</sup> The private sector began fully managing prison systems in the United States a number of years ago to the extent that there is talk about an "iron triangle" and</li> </ul>	than those that the old-time companies, mercenaries, and priva- teers could produce. These advantages are enough to justify the present-day global arms policy despite the growing security risks. Savings is an argument that is much more valid now than it was two or four centuries ago. This includes savings in scientific and technological research as well as in production. The monarchies of the past had no need to justify their expenses to their subjects. In budget to wars, Peter the Great 85 percent, and England as much as 90 percent. <sup>54</sup> At the top level, the monarchical state was gradu- ally abandoned and parliamentary systems were developed. In gen- eral, society was urbanized and modernized. These phenomena led to a gradual articulation of public spending. Today no govern- ment could allow itself to set aside such percentages of spending for military uses. In the midst of the Cold War in 1955, the United States spent 10.8 percent of this gross national product on the mili- tary. The percentage dropped to 5,2 percent - but the United States alone accounts for 46 percent of the total world expenditure. <sup>56</sup> Savings is an argument that seems plausible because it is based on the assumption that the market - understood in Adam Smith's terms as the place of perfect equilibrium of supply and demand - can guarantee the best product at the lowest price. This assump- tion, however, is totally unproven. Furthermore, the argument of private-sector savings is not based on any studies of the sec- tor able to compare the costs and quality of public spending and private spending in the field of armaments. In fact, the records of the privatization of other traditionally public services, such as health care <sup>56</sup> and the penal system, <sup>57</sup> do not seem to confirm that	126 Fabio Armao
<ul> <li>a "corrections commercial complex" where "all parties to penal decision-making (e.g., legislators, lobbyists, private industry, corrections professionals) work together and to their own benefit, with little or no public scrutiny." Thereby they cut the costs for the personnel by reducing re-education programs and saving on meal costs and health assistance. T.G. BLOMBERC - K. LUCKEN, American Penology: A History of Control (New York: Aldine De Gruyter, 2000), p. 221.</li> <li><sup>58</sup> F. ARMAO, II sistema mafia: Dall'economia-mondo al dominio locale (Turin: Bollati Boringhieri, 2000).</li> </ul>	the private-sector brings savings, nor do the daily news reports of collusion among companies, the creation of cartels, and brib- ery aimed at getting control of public contracts. All these kinds of actions seem bound to change the hypothetical virtues of the free market combines with Hobbe's idea of natural violence. Togeth- er, they form the foundation of the new massive cession of the resources of violence to private actors. This is all being planned and put into effect in the name of outsourcing and is something that can ultimately really change the institutional form that we have learned to know as the state. The new brands of violence Mafias, terrorist networks and multinational corporations are the main new brand names of a renewed private industry of violence. Mafias incessantly reproduce the original violent accumulation of resources on local levels. They then invest their profits on the glob- al market. Here they play a role that is fundamental for capitalist economies – that of long-distant merchants who can make mer- chandise (mostly illegal) and money circulate. <sup>58</sup> Terrorists help feed the security market by selling marginalized groups the illusion of future access to the political arena. In exchange, they obtain an immediate sacrifice from them. Military corporations produce their own revenue by selling the services of the interests of a multinational corporation leads to practices of the interests of a multinational corporation leads to practices of the interests of a multinational corporation leads to practices anong these actors that mainly result in their not competing with one another in the long run. Mafosi and mercenaries tend to use violence more	THE MARKET OF VIOLENCE: FROM MONOPOLY TO FREE COMPETITION 127

,

,

ral – the human lives of their suicide attackers. Mercenaries offer themselves as professionals in the service of any cause as long as they are adequately compensated. At the same time, all of them	<ul> <li>instrumentally than terrorists, who often opt to target their use of violence to more typically subversive ends, sometimes domestically, sometimes internationally. Nevertheless, there are many analogies among these three organizations, particularly their compartmentalized structure – by clan, cell, or combat unit. Thus there are mafia clans that practice subversive terrorist acts or terrorist groups that finance themselves through trafficking in narcotics.</li> <li>The private industry of violence can count on a series of competitive advantages tend to reinforce each other, fueling a mechanism that is surely efficient from their point of view. The finst competitive advantage is the almost unlimited availability of financial resources. These are practically taxfree because they evade almost every power of control by national and international authorities. This makes it easier for them to buy arms and recruit men able to use them. The second advantage is the partially or totally cover in nature of their organization. Therefore, they can operate outside the law hiding the identities of their own memorists, and mercenaries who went to hit lead legally. <i>Mafasi</i>, terrorists, and mercenaries who are not invisibility in order to exploit the element of surprise to the fullest. In contrast, the potential victims may never know when and where they security apparatus without ever ending up with a full guarantee of safety.</li> <li>The third and greatest advantage is that each of these actors is positioned so as to be able to control the market of vielence on the demand side as well as on the supply side. Though working in indifferent sectors, all of them sell 'protection' as a good, a product. <i>Mafasi</i> present them sectority nictimes in the schenge tributes in the schenge tribu</li></ul>	128 FABIO ARMAO
<sup>59</sup> These types of strategic decision and the ability to keep the costs of protection low were what determined the success of a particular company over its direct competitors. See STEENSGAARD, <i>Violence and the Rise of Capitalism</i> .	help generate and feed the insecurity that is at the origin of the demand for protection. Mafia clans are also the authors of extor- tion. Terrorist groups jeopardize civil coexistence by bringing out the contradictions in societies and then exposing their own com- munities to the risks of reprisals. Mercenary units can endlessly reproduce the conflicts they were called in to settle by skilfully switching sides. None of these actors is engaged in an irrational use of violence. On the contrary, all have proven over time that they know how to forward the interests of their own groups, adapting a kind of logic that is wholly "economic." Mong leading actors in the new wave of the privatization of violence, the mafias seem to be best equipped to bring the exploits of the old-time chartered companies back to life. Putting aside the <i>Cosa Nostra</i> , even the <i>mafios</i> say, "he has honor who produces profits." The first point in common between the mafias and the chartered companies is that the mafas have a conception of the guarantee the security of their own trafficking. Thus they are able to economize their exponses by keeping the costs of protection within their own organizations. The second point in common between the mafas and the chartered companies is that, strengthened by their privileged freedom of action, they can pick and choose when and how much of their proble to invest in order to expand their terational power. Further, they can increase their shares in the local markets of public works and/or in the global market of illegal trafficking. If a particular mafa clans, <sup>39</sup> The exploits of the <i>Colemest</i> crime group in the early 1990s in Sicily exemplify various choices of ractics. They repeatedly used terrorist acts, and these could be interpreted as	THE MARKET OF VIOLENCE: FROM MONOPOLY TO FREE COMPETITION 129

•

......

				¢				
	This is not all. Violence as a form of social activity is the only context that can make intelligible the setting of death mafias are moving inside of today. Like many of their predecessors through history, present-day <i>mafiosi</i> , terrorists and mercenaries are individ- uals that can guarantee themselves an income – and not always at mere survival level – by exploiting their ability in the use of arms and their own lack of hesitation to use them against men, women	ence is their readiness to kill. This is what distinguishes <i>maftosi</i> from so-called "white-collar criminals," terrorists from radical political opponents who restrict themselves to underground activity, and mercenaries from lobbyists ready to safeguard their investments sometimes using forms of bribery	naries, but it is what they have in common and what makes them comparable. On the other hand, violence is what distinguishes them from other non-governmental groups that are capable of wielding power by acting illegally or exploiting the twilight zones of the economic and/or political systems. What makes the differ-	an effort to push the problem outside of its own boundaries in the way Hobbes might have done it. It should not content itself with defining the mafia as an anti-state. Instead, politics should investi- gate in detail the particular inner causes of the mafias' spread. Violence is not the only activity of <i>mafiosi</i> , terrorists and merce-	access to tast lanes in the credit system and to the hnancial world in general. Thirdly, they may need resources that would strengthen their status and, thereby, broaden the basis of their consensus. As in the era of the chartered companies, politics currently pretends that it is not involved in these activities. This stance is as unfounded as it is hazardous. Politics should not make so much of	The mafias can usefully put their violence on the market again and offer it to politicians and business people who handle resources that the mafias lack. Firstly, they may need legislative and administrative resources that would guarantee their impunity and facilitate their access to great government contracts. Secondly, they may need front activities that cover their laundering of illegal earnings, including	attempts to emphasize <i>Cosa Nostra</i> control over their territory. They subsequently decided to sink deep out of sight, and this could be interpreted as the logical consequence of their decision to commit themselves to strengthening their economic power. The third point in common between the mafias and the char- tered companies is their ability to produce a surplus of violence.	130 FABIO ARMAO
590 C	gin. They should analyze what relationships they cultivate with those areas of the political system and the business world that are not necessarily opposed to their violent methods. They should investigate what potential or real factors these actors can count on to broaden their base of consensus. Fourthly, social scientists should examine the various "com- mercial strategies" that these actors adopt in order to make their	direct confrontations in the open with their adversaries. This is something that makes wars against them fruitless and makes the massive assaults of even the strongest armies superfluous. Thirdly, social scientists should investigate the various ways	Secondly, social scientists should investigate the authorities' acting as groups. They should ask how group action can trans- form itself into the power of intimidation and, consequently, into a guarantee of immunity for its individual members. They should investigate how acting as a group helps their members easily avoid	- in particular, a state up in arms for a war – had the ability to earn from its citizens – i.e. the prerogative of commanding men to kill and, if necessary, to sacrifice their lives. Social scientists should also ask what rules these private authorities follow, what values they champion, and what structures they take to earn this loyalty.	their evolution in the territorial context they belong to as well as their capacity to expand on an international level. Above all, they should ask how they manage to provide their members with such a strong sense of belonging and of identification with the aims of the group, receiving in exchange a loyalty that goes beyond mere obedience. This loyalty is something that previously only the state	<i>Conclusion</i> Social scientists should make an effort to study these three types of private authorities – mafia clans, terrorist groups, and mili- tary corporations. Firstly, they should study their origins and	and children. What distinguishes them from other soldiers – those in the ranks of national institutions – is the fact that they depend on private authorities, that they make their own lives available to these authorities, and that they give them the legitimacy that they consequently deny to the states they are citizens of.	THE MARKET OF VIOLENCE: FROM MONOPOLY TO FREE COMPETITION

<ul> <li><sup>60</sup> For the topic of private authority, see R.B. HALL - T.J. BIERSTEKER (eds.), The Emergence of Private Authority in Global Governance (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002); and D. JOSSELIN - W. WALLACE, Non-State Actors in World Politics (New York: Palgrave, 2001).</li> <li><sup>61</sup> F. ARMAO, "Who Is the Enemy? Scenarios of War in Times of Globalization", in M. EVANCELISTA (ed.), Peace Studies: Critical Concepts in Political Science, vol. III, (London: Routledge, 2005), pp. 279-305.</li> </ul>	sence felt on the global market. Today <i>maflosi</i> , ter- ccenaries have managed to express a degree of dyn cism on the global market that ranks with that sh opean powers at the time of colonial competition. <sup>6</sup> What I have outlined above is obviously nothing o arch project that calls for a real methodological earch on violence should no longer be satisfied wit out rankings of savagery or with determining if they this today than yesterday. The purely statistical study is out to be very useful in correcting that natural de- tion that leads us to believe that the violence of the surrounded by appears greater and worse than the other world at absolutely any other time or place. No tragic count of deaths alone will not help us interp if this count manages to avoid the mistakes that c by-now frequent practice of hiding or manipulatin other hand, it no longer makes any sense to keep ut contemporary violence remaining anchored to mption of natural human aggressiveness or to Wel- of the monopoly of legitimate physical force. Instead, it would be better to propose some interp -i.e. several scenarios – and then test their plausibil study of several cases. <sup>61</sup> Such models should giv ght to the dynamics inside single groups and ex- e groups take advantage of the on-going proce- zation. In any case, we should not forget that to ealves hurled into an environment marked by the ving number of state authorities. This is the space to ence has been winning back, the very space that pul- so gradually and so painstakingly expelled it from y this private violence that has to be taken accoun- e, we should avoid any temptations to justify the	132 FABIO ARMAO
	the success of <i>mafiosi</i> , terrorists, and mercenaries through simple references to the end of the state, to clashes of civilization or even to one more evocation of the West threatened at its borders. If anything, it is precisely the democratic north that owes the rest of the world and itself a bit more of an explanation. The north was able to enjoy immense competitive advantages for centuries. Not only was it not able to exploit them fully in an effort to reduce its own domestic inequalities, but it also had no intention to invest in reducing its distance from other people in other parts of the world. At present, the most important political representatives of the north are running away from their own responsibilities once again simply by projecting the problem of the causes of violence outside their own boundaries. Their pretence has no theoretical or historical basis at all. Besides that, it runs the risk of turning out to be fatal for the security of the regimes that the leaders claim to want to defend.	THE MARKET OF VIOLENCE: FROM MONOPOLY TO FREE COMPETITION 133

What happened to the wide apparatus of ill-disciplined paramilitary unit and militamen that fought the cycle of Balkan wars between 1991 and 2001? How is the collapse of the distinction between public and priva- actors that characterized those wars reflected in the subsequent peace The question is not an idle one, if one concedes some credit to the "new war hypothesis" that was first proposed by Mary Kaldor in 1999. This per spective underlines the key role that various "war entrepreneurs" and their men at arms have had in establishing war as a permanent system of resource extra-legal economics. In the entire region is <i>smute</i> to EU membership and externally sponsored reforms aiming to introduce likeral-democra- cy and free-market economics blend with widespread social clientelism and externally ponsort efforts and sold, ostensibly regulated by the invisible hand of the market. This development reflects the wider global trends of commodification of security provision. In each and every Bal- kan country emerging from armed conflict one can observe a booming, largely unmoutined and unregulated pirvate security industry. The case of the Republic of Macedonia, the last former Yugoslav republic to experience armed violence and an official candidate for EU membership, provides a clear illustration of the current state of affaits regarding the private security industry in the Western Balkans. As with the other former eastern European post-socialist countries, the emer- gence of a private security sector in Macedonia dates to the moment of independence (1991). The private protection industry espanded dramatically in size and scope in correspondence with the high levels of inscentry that followed the armed clashes of the spring-summer of 2001. As of 2008, approximately 80 private security providers are regis- tered in this country of some 2 million inhabitants, with the number of actually employed private guards oscillating between 3,000 and 12,000,	Francesco Strazzari and Simone Tholens	The Private Security Industry in the Post-War Balkans: A Blank in Security Sector Reform Strategies	
subility of obtain or insufficient. Anumber of provisions were adopted in 2007 to strengthen the existing legislative framework and make it more cogent; implementation, however, remains extremely problematic. This is eminently a political provident parties rarely demonstrate a motivation to enforce legislation in areas that touch upon their interests, the private security sector being one of them. Affiliation with a political party means access to markets through the issue of licenses, the granting of contracts, and private contractors linked to them to protect their position or financial assets in a given local community. This represents a situation of mutual grain, but also, and more fundamentally of sheer survivel – when a political party is out of office, the company can expect to be out of business. If the company does a good job in protecting for the "collaboration" to continue in the next term in office. Numerous examples circulate linking Macedonian politics with the growing private security industry. The implications of such practices for a sustainable system of good governance can be severe, above all in terms of lack of transparency and accountability. An illustration of how inflammable this admixture of public, private and informal security actors can be may probably be found in the violent incident that involved a number of armed private and public organizations. While good governance is affected, other alarming signals indicate that threats exist to the peace that is enshrined in the Obrid Agreement. Politication public organizations. While other here and public organizations. This is adopted to the or the politication wore of sets in organizations.	finan boddes regulating the private security sector. A major problem of oversight exists; basic data concerning this sector are often either impos-	•••• <b>Hing</b> on the source consulted. Private security guards are permit- ••• to any and while on duty. The "Law on Security" of 1999 – the <b>connegal</b> basis for regulating private security business in the country ••• doednot allow international security companies access to the Macedo- doednot allow international security to change as the path to the EU is homeone. The Ministry of Interior and the Chamber of Security are the	135 IN THE POST-WAR BALKANS

FRANCESCO STRAZZARI AND SIMONE THOLENS

in addition to their day job. teams than soldiers, are working at night in the private security industry Macedonian Army's Special Forces units, more akin to police SWAT mal practices have been developed to evade existing regulations. Furhave ongoing connections with the private security industry. Many inforof men in uniform as mono-ethnic units of bodyguards. Such a trend thermore, as local news sources often report, up to 30 percent of the the war (e.g. the "Lions," "Tigers," "Wolves") and were later disbanded It is presumed that several "special force" units that were formed during sponsored para-police forces generates a widespread negative impression reinforces dynamics-of social and ethnic polarization and segregation. perception of these private companies as nothing other than politically this allows them to reach wider market shares. However, the widespread private security guards with different ethnic background, also because the biggest private security companies in the country claim they employ nian, with smaller minorities of Turks, Vlachs, Serbs and Roma. Some of

parallel security structures, and formally registered security companies web of paramilitary groups, ex-combatants integrating party-affiliated extremely resilient but also, paradoxically, quite volatile. The complex mechanisms that ensure the maintenance of such a system, making it vate security companies with political ties, as illustrated above, feed the thus it is far from unthinkable that the driver of a politician becomes Deputy Director of Public Security when his party comes to power. Pridown, thus showing how inconsequential institutional autonomy can be; the country. At every election the entire structure can be turned upside Macedonian Director is the *de facto* chief of the police for all the rest of of police structures under the Ministry of Interior reflects the country's donian police force, in practice the Deputy Director is in charge of the Public Security are supposed to share responsibility over the entire Mace-Director of Public Security and the (ethnic Albanian) Deputy Director of ethnic composition. However, while in theory the (ethnic Macedonian) will thus also control the public security structures, as the composition structure, the Albanian party that receives the higher percentage of rep-(mostly of Albanian origin) police in the west of the country, while the legal business, gray transactions and black market. The winning party takes all, and this also entails strong influence in most economic sectors: ner - i.e. the coalition-partner in the central government. The winner resentation in densely Albanian-speaking areas is considered the winis a delicate issue to tackle. Though Macedonia does not have a federal text the relationship between public police and private security agencies tries, the reform of the police touches sensitive nerves, and in this conability of state-making processes: as with the other post-war Balkan coun-This picture raises questions that regard the nature and the sustain

THE PRIVATE SECURITY INDUSTRY IN THE POST-WAR BALKANS

reveals itself as a toxic cocktail in a crucial moment of state reform and regional reconfiguration.

with its enlargement strategy. works is far from uncontroversial. It is bound to entail a cost, especially in post-conflict Balkan countries indicates that the exclusion of the local "state-building," most notably the UN and the EU. Research conducted functioning market. As a result, there is little indication that the issue has rity provision is very often considered to be part of the emergence of a deeper conditions that are regarded as pillars of the model of liberal conflict rebuilding. Reform packages such as Security Sector Reform security and development issues, adopting holistic approaches to postfor the EU, which has projected itself into the Western Balkans region private provision of security from broad reforms and regulatory framebeen a preoccupation for the drivers of post conflict reconstruction and tractors has received attention in recent years, the local market in secupeace. While growth in the international market of private military consensu (i.e. the state's control of its territory), but also to tackle wider and have been increasingly prone to recognize the mutual dependence of intractability that often characterizes the aftermath of armed conflict, (SSR) have been promoted so as to strengthen not only security stricto The agents of the "international community," confronted with the

ments under the heading "non-statutory security forces with whom such as the OECD. In recent times the latter has begun to address for this state of affairs has been expressed above all by NGOs or IGOs industry - i.e. not requiring any specific rules or harmonized legislarity industry as one of treating it in the same way as any other service initial attitude of the European Commission towards the private secumentions "private security companies," and observations indicate the is lacking (Richards and Smith, 2007). In academic literature, the and liberation armies. donors rarely engage:" a vague category that also includes guerrilla ingly, private security providers are mentioned in the OECD docuto outline the core values of SSR and give policy direction. Quite tell-2007) are currently the definitive documents for SSR donors and look the issue in some detail. The OECD guidelines and handbook (2005, tion which might disrupt the operation of the free market. Concern most recent book on the EU and SSR (Spence and Fluri, 2008), hardly nies in comprehensive SSR strategies and specific program packages sion developed policy frameworks for SSR support (the EU Concept for SSR). Literature concerning the inclusion of private security compa-ESDP Support to SSR and A Concept for European Community Support to perspective. In 2005 and 2006, however, the Council and the Commis-The concept of Security Sector Reform is quite new from an EU

A. RICHARDS - H. SMITH, Addressing the Role of Private Security Companies in Security Sector Reform Programmes (London: Saferword, 2007).	M. KALDOR, New and Old Wars: Organized Violence in a Global Era (Cam- bridge: Polity Press, 2007).	EU Concept for ESDP Support to Security Sector Reform (SSR) (Council doc. 12566/4/05).	EU COMMISSION, A Concept for European Community Support for Security Sec- tor Reform (2006).	DAC Handbook on Security System Reform: Supporting Security and Justice, OECD 2007, available on <a href="http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/43/25/">http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/43/25/</a> 38406485.pdf>.	DAC GUIDELINES AND REFERENCE SERIES, Security System Reform and Governance, OECD 2005, available on < http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/8/39/31785288. pdf>.	Interviews with Government officials, the European Agency for Reconstruction (EAR) staff, and heads of Macedonian private security companies (Skopje, September 2007).	Sources and References	The EU's ambition to transform the Balkans from a war torn region into a security community can hardly be reconciled with the neglect of the way in which the privatization of security affects governance and eco- nomic activities. Existing legislation, if in place at all, appears to be at best inadequate and often times unimplemented or altogether avoided. The idea that the market itself will provide solutions, when dealing with the existence of deep-seated extra-legal practices, clientelistic structures, and criminal interests, does not stand to the evidence, and can be con- sidered a <i>maïve</i> and dangerous myth. The example of Bulgaria, where shadow security structures historically lie at the very heart of those mech- anisms of corruption and criminalization of public life that the EU indi- cates as a serious problem for this new member state, provides a clear example of how dealing with the emerging private security industry is a necessary condition for establishing sustainable political and economic structures in the Western Balkans.	
2			<b>ү</b>	< <i>8</i>	£ ∞	₽ ₽		<ul> <li>SALW and Private Security Companies in the South Eastern Europe: A Ca Effect of Insecurity? (Belgrade: South Eastern Europe Clearinghous the Control of Small Arms and Light Weapons [SEESAC], 2005).</li> <li>D. SPENCE - P. FLURI, The European Union and Security Sector Reform don: John Harper Publishing, 2008).</li> </ul>	