

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Efficacy of fatty acids and terpenoids and weakness of electronic nose response as tracers of Asiago d'Allevo PDO cheese produced in different seasons

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/100580> since

Published version:

DOI:10.1007/s13594-012-0056-7

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This is an author version of the contribution published on:

Questa è la versione dell'autore dell'opera:

Dairy Science & Technology, May 2012, Volume 92, Issue 3, pp 203-218,

DOI 10.1007/s13594-012-0056-7

The definitive version is available at:

La versione definitiva è disponibile alla URL:

<http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs13594-012-0056-7>

1 Manuela Renna^{1*}, Paolo Cornale¹, Carola Lussiana¹, Manuela
2 Giordano², Simona Belviso², Giuseppe Zeppa², Luca Maria Battaglini¹

3

4 **EFFICACY OF FATTY ACIDS AND TERPENOIDS AND**
5 **WEAKNESS OF ELECTRONIC NOSE RESPONSE AS**
6 **TRACERS OF ASIAGO D'ALLEVO PDO CHEESE**
7 **PRODUCED IN DIFFERENT SEASONS**

8

9 ¹Department of Animal Science, University of Turin, via Leonardo da Vinci 44,
10 10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy

11 ²Department of Exploitation and Protection of the Agricultural and Forestry
12 Resources, University of Turin, via Leonardo da Vinci 44, 10095 Grugliasco
13 (TO), Italy

14

15 *Corresponding author: Manuela Renna, Dipartimento di Scienze Zootecniche,
16 via Leonardo da Vinci 44, 10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy. E-mail:
17 manuela.renna@unito.it; Telephone: +39 011 6708576. Fax: +39 011 6708563.

18

19

1 **Abstract** – The goal of this study was to verify the suitability of fatty acids,
2 terpenoids and electronic nose response as potential tracers of the seasonal
3 variations of Asiago d’Allevo PDO cheese. Cheese samples produced during the
4 early and late summer grazing and the autumn/winter indoor seasons were
5 compared. Based on their FA composition, the early and late summer cheeses
6 were almost completely undistinguishable from each other. However, they both
7 demonstrated higher quality if compared to the autumn/winter ones, showing
8 lower levels of hypercholesterolemic saturated FAs (C12, C14, and C16) and
9 higher levels of total mono- and polyunsaturated FAs, oleic (C18:1 *c9*), *trans*-
10 vaccenic (C18:1 *t11*), rumenic (C18:2 *c9t11*), and α -linolenic (C18:3 *c9c12c15*)
11 acids. Among terpenoids, camphene, cedrane, and total sesquiterpenoids were
12 able to differentiate the cheeses manufactured during the three periods of
13 production. Moreover, α -pinene, α -thujene, β -pinene, δ -3-carene, myrtenol,
14 dihydrocarveol isomer 1, and unidentified sesquiterpene 1 distinguished the late
15 summer cheeses from those obtained in the other two seasons. Principal
16 component analysis of electronic nose data showed that the Asiago cheese
17 samples were widely dispersed in the score plot and no data clusters appeared
18 evident. Furthermore, cross-validated linear discriminant analysis of electronic
19 nose data showed unsatisfactory classification performance (53.8%) regarding the
20 period of production. Our results showed that coupling FAs and terpenoids
21 information could be suitable for tracing Asiago d’Allevo PDO cheeses according
22 to their season of production. However, no reliable information at this level
23 seemed to be obtainable from electronic nose response.

1 **Key words:** Asiago PDO cheese, fatty acids, terpenoids, electronic nose, seasonal
2 changes

3 **1 Introduction**

4 In the Italian Alpine regions, milk from ruminants is mainly used for dairy
5 transformation into traditional cheeses. Among these is Asiago, a typical semi-
6 hard, half-cooked cheese made with bovine milk. Since 1996 Asiago cheese has
7 been awarded Protected Designation of Origin (PDO) status by the European
8 Union Commission (Commission Regulation 1996). This cheese has considerable
9 commercial relevance, with an annual production of about two million forms,
10 corresponding to more than 24,000 tons.

11 Milk used to produce Asiago PDO cheese is usually obtained from cows fed
12 pasture with limited concentrate supplementations during the grazing season,
13 while during the indoor season the feeding is mainly based on conserved forages
14 and notably higher amounts of concentrates. These seasonal variations in the
15 feeding regimen applied at farm level have been widely reported to affect the
16 chemical and nutritional characteristics of dairy products.

17 The possibility of using milk constituents deriving from the ruminant metabolism
18 (fatty acids) and secondary plant metabolites (terpenoids) as specific molecular
19 biomarkers to trace the changes in the management conditions of herds has
20 recently gained increasing attention in the dairy industry (Engel et al. 2007).

21 The fatty acid composition of milk and dairy products has been reported to be
22 particularly affected by dietary changes. Concentrations of omega 3 (n-3) fatty

1 acids (FAs), *trans*-vaccenic (TVA) and rumenic (RA) acids were found to
2 increase as grass (particularly fresh grass) replaces non-grass constituents in the
3 diet of ruminants. For this reason, these constituents of the lipid fraction have
4 been proposed as potential tracers of grass feeding in dairy products (Monahan et
5 al. 2010).

6 Since terpenoids can be transferred from plant to milk (Viallon et al. 2000), they
7 have also been widely reported as suitable tracers of production zone (Bugaud et
8 al. 2001; Zeppa et al. 2005) and feeding regimen (De Noni and Battelli 2008;
9 Revello Chion et al. 2010). Moreover, as the terpenoidic content in plants is
10 known to increase with maturity, dairy products obtained from pasture-fed
11 ruminants could also be distinguished on the basis of the phenological phase of
12 the grazed swards (Revello Chion et al. 2010; Tornambè et al. 2006).

13 The aromatic response obtainable from electronic nose (EN) technology has also
14 been reported as being suitable for use for traceability of dairy products (Ampuero
15 and Bosset 2003). Compared to fatty acids and terpenoids analysis, the EN
16 technology is more rapid and cheaper. Previous studies showed successful
17 applications of EN in discriminating cheeses according to variety (Contarini et al.
18 2001), geographical origin (Pillonel et al. 2003), ripening (Trihaas et al. 2005),
19 and shelf life (Benedetti et al. 2005). However, contradictory results were
20 obtained in detecting seasonal variations in cheese aroma (Benedetti and Mannino
21 2007; O’Riordan and Delahunty 2003; Schaller et al. 1999).

22 Previous studies conducted on the traceability of Asiago PDO cheese showed that
23 sesquiterpenes can be successfully used as biomarkers of cheeses produced with

1 milk from cows grazing on different mountain pastures (Favaro et al. 2005) and
2 that fatty acids are able to differentiate cheeses produced in alpine farms from
3 those produced in lowland and mountain industrialized cheese factories
4 (Schievano et al. 2008). No studies are currently available on the possibility of
5 using the fatty acid and terpenoidic compositions of Asiago PDO cheese and the
6 response obtainable from EN analysis to trace the seasonal variations of this
7 cheese, associated with changes in the management system of the herds.

8 The aim of this study was therefore to assess the potential of fatty acids,
9 terpenoids and electronic nose response to discriminate Asiago d'Allevo PDO
10 cheeses produced in different seasons. This *on-farm* research reflected the real
11 commercial production systems of Asiago PDO cheese, since it was performed
12 under actual herd management conditions rather than under the typical tight
13 control of experimental conditions.

14 **2 Materials and methods**

15 2.1 Experimental site, animals and management conditions

16 Ten alpine farms were chosen in the mountain territory of the 'Altopiano dei Sette
17 Comuni' (Veneto Region, NE Italy), located within the officially recognized
18 geographical area of Asiago PDO cheese manufacturing. The alpine farms had a
19 herd size ranging between 30 and 65 lactating dairy cows. The majority of the
20 farm-raised dairy cattle (64% of total cows) belonged to high-producing mono-
21 purpose Italian Brown and Holstein Friesian breeds. The remaining cows
22 belonged to local dual-purpose breeds (Simmental, Rendena, Alpine Grey, and

1 Burlina) characterized by medium milk production levels but good functional and
2 conformation traits which make them highly adaptable to the mountain
3 environment. All selected alpine farms regularly operate during the grazing
4 season only, (in accordance with an extensive management regime) by making
5 rational use of natural resources (local forages from pasture lands). In these farms,
6 variable amounts of concentrates (never exceeding 20% of total dry matter intake)
7 are usually provided as dietary supplementation for lactating cows at pasture.
8 In the autumn and winter months, the ten selected herds were housed indoors and
9 fed common hay-and concentrate-based diets, according to the latest Asiago PDO
10 Cheese Production Regulations (Gazzetta Ufficiale 2006). The herds were raised
11 in farms also located within the ‘Altopiano dei Sette Comuni’ territory, but in
12 lower lands. While two of these farms processed their milk at farm level (as
13 occurring during the grazing season), the other eight farms delivered their milk to
14 a cooperative cheese factory.

15 2.2 Cheese-making procedure

16 All Asiago d’Allevo cheese samples were produced according to the official
17 Asiago PDO Cheese Production Regulations (Gazzetta Ufficiale 2006). Briefly,
18 milk from one or two milkings was collected, partially skimmed after overnight
19 creaming at about 20°C, added to termophilic starter cultures, and subsequently
20 coagulated with bovine rennet at $35\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ (coagulation time: 15-30 min). The curd
21 was cut into hazelnut-size particles and half-cooked until a temperature of $47\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$
22 was reached. The curd was then extracted and molded in typical Asiago forms.

1 Asiago d'Allevo PDO cheese has a flattened cylindrical form, measuring 30-36
2 cm diameter, 9-12 cm height and 8-12 kg weight. The forms were turned various
3 times while the whey was completely removed. Salting was performed either by
4 surface dry-salting technique or by washing with brine. Maturing occurred for
5 four months in storage bays at 10-15°C temperature and 80-85% relative
6 humidity.

7 2.3 Cheese sampling

8 Samples collection was carried out in such a way that the different periods of
9 production were covered. Ten Asiago cheeses produced in June and July 2007
10 (corresponding to the early alpine grazing season) and ten cheeses produced in
11 August and September 2007 (corresponding to the late alpine grazing season)
12 were collected directly *in situ* from the ten selected alpine farms. Between
13 October 2007 and February 2008 (corresponding to the autumn/winter indoor
14 season), a total of nine cheeses were collected from the two farms and the
15 cooperative cheese factory that operated during the autumn and winter months.
16 All cheeses were collected at four months of ripening. Nine representative slices
17 (three for fatty acids, three for terpenoids, and three for electronic nose analyses)
18 were cut from each sampled wheel. The rind was removed, as it is not generally
19 consumed. All samples were vacuum-packed and subsequently frozen at -20°C
20 until analyses.

1 2.4 Fatty acids analysis

2 A composite sample was obtained from the three slices previously grounded and
3 homogenized in a blender. Cheese total lipids were extracted according to IDF
4 (1986). Fatty acid methyl esters (FAMES) were prepared according to
5 Christopherson and Glass (1969) and were separated and quantified by gas
6 chromatography (Shimadzu GC17A, Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) using
7 a CP-Sil 88 capillary column (100 m × 0.25 mm ID, 0.20 µm film thickness;
8 Varian Inc., Lake Forest, CA, USA). The column temperature was held at 45°C
9 for 5 min and then raised 20°C·min⁻¹ to a final temperature of 195°C, where it
10 remained for 50 min. The temperatures of the injector and the flame-ionization
11 detector were maintained at 250 and 280°C, respectively. The injection volume
12 was 0.1 µL, with a split ratio of 21:1. The nitrogen constant linear flow rate and
13 its average velocity were set at 1.4 mL·min⁻¹ and 22 cm·s⁻¹, respectively. Peaks
14 were identified by comparing their retention times with pure FAME standards
15 (Matreya Inc., Pleasant Gap, PA, USA and Restek Corporation, Bellefonte, PA,
16 USA). A butter oil reference standard (CRM 164; Commission of the European
17 Communities, Community Bureau of Reference, Brussels, Belgium) was
18 periodically analyzed as a control to verify column performance and was used to
19 estimate correction factors for short chain (C4:0 to C10:0) fatty acids. Results
20 were expressed as g·100 g⁻¹ FAMES. Fatty acid analyses were performed in
21 duplicate.

1 2.5 Terpenoids analysis

2 A representative sample was obtained from the three slices previously ground and
3 homogenized in a blender. Then 15 g were weighed, added to 400 μL of 10 ppm
4 1,3,5-triisopropylbenzene solution as internal standard, distilled under high
5 vacuum and cooled in liquid nitrogen.

6 Three mL distilled aqueous samples were put into 10 mL vial and extracted with a
7 2-cm (50/30 μm divinylbenzene/carboxen/polydimethylsiloxane) solid-phase
8 microextraction (SPME) fiber (Supelco Analytical, Bellefonte, PA, USA)
9 according to Zeppa et al. (2005) with modifications. Briefly, distillates were
10 equilibrated in a thermostatic aluminium block at 45°C for 5 min and extracted at
11 the same temperature for 30 min under stirring. After extraction, the fiber was
12 introduced into the injector of a gas chromatograph coupled with a mass
13 spectrometer (GC–MS) and maintained at 270°C for 4 min for thermal desorption
14 of terpenoids.

15 GC–MS analyses were carried out on a Shimadzu GC-17A gas chromatograph
16 equipped with a Shimadzu QP-5000 quadrupole mass spectrometer detector
17 (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). Separation was achieved using a DB-
18 WAX capillary column (30 m \times 0.25 mm ID, 0.25 μm film thickness; J&W
19 Scientific Inc., Folsom, CA, USA). The oven temperature was programmed at
20 35°C and held for 5 min, increased to 173°C at 2°C·min⁻¹ for 1 min, then raised to
21 210°C at 15°C·min⁻¹ and maintained for 5 min. Injector temperature was 270°C
22 and splitless injection mode was adopted. Helium was used as the carrier gas at
23 1.0 mL·min⁻¹. The detector operated in electron impact ionization mode at 70 eV

1 with the GC–MS interface at 230°C, and an m/z scan range of 33 to 300 was
2 collected. Compound identification was achieved by comparing mass spectra and
3 linear retention indices (LRI) with those of authentic standards and/or with those
4 recorded in NIST12, NIST62 (National Institute of Standards and Technology,
5 Gaithersburg, MD, USA), and other published mass spectral databases (Adams
6 2001). LRI were calculated by linear interpolation relative to retention times of
7 C5–C25 n-alkanes as external references. For each terpene, the area (arbitrary
8 unit) of the most abundant or characteristic ion m/z was extracted from the total
9 ion current (TIC) and integrated with a Class-5000 Data Station ver. 2.0 software
10 (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). A semi-quantitative analysis was
11 performed assuming that the terpenoids have the same response factor to that of
12 the internal standard. Analyses were performed in triplicate.

13 2.6 Electronic nose analysis

14 The gas-sensor array instrument used was a portable EN PEN2 (Airsense
15 Analytics GmbH, Schwerin, Germany) equipped with 10 metal oxide
16 semiconductor (MOS) sensors. For the gas-sensor measurements, three samples
17 (one from each slice) were divided into two aliquots (approximately 2 g of
18 cheese) and transferred to 40 mL glass vials. These were sealed with preheated
19 (105°C) Teflon/silicon septa and open screw caps and were allowed to equilibrate
20 at room temperature for 10 min before analysis. Thereafter the samples were
21 incubated at 40°C for 20 min before headspace gas was pumped into the sensor
22 chamber for 20 s at a flow rate of 150 mL·min⁻¹. Recovery time for the sensors

1 was 120 s (flushing with charcoal-filtered ambient air). Samples were analyzed in
2 random order. All analytical procedures took place in an air-conditioned
3 laboratory.

4 The response of the sensors during sample measurement is a curve representing
5 the sensors' conductivity against time. The sensor signal, in fact, is expressed by
6 the ratio G/G_0 where G is the conductance of the sensor in presence of the
7 sample, and G_0 is the conductance of the sensors in reference air. The feature
8 extracted from the sensor signal (response) was determined by identifying the
9 curve's peak (absolute response) and then by subtracting the baseline from that
10 value. The ten responses represent the variables in the data matrix considered for
11 the statistical analysis.

12 2.7 Statistical analysis

13 All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS software (version 16.0 for
14 Windows, SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA).

15 Fatty acids data were submitted to one-way analysis of variance according to the
16 following model: $Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{ij}$, where: Y_{ij} = mean of response variable; μ =
17 overall mean; α_i = effect of sampling period (1 = early alpine grazing; 2 = late
18 alpine grazing; 3 = autumn/winter indoor); ε_{ij} = residual error. The assumption of
19 equal variances was assessed by Levene's homogeneity of variance test. If such
20 assumption did not hold, the Brown-Forsythe statistic was performed to test for
21 the equality of group means instead of the F one. Pairwise multiple comparisons
22 were performed to test the difference between each pair of means (Tukey's HSD

1 test and Tamhane's T2 in the cases of equal variances assumed or not assumed,
2 respectively). Significance was declared at $P \leq 0.05$. Data were expressed as mean
3 \pm standard error (SE).

4 Terpenoids data were submitted to a Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric independent
5 group comparison. A significant Kruskal-Wallis test was followed by a Mann-
6 Whitney U test to compare each pair of groups. The *P*-values obtained with the
7 latter test were adjusted according to a Bonferroni correction based on the Holm-
8 Bonferroni method. Data were expressed as mean \pm SE.

9 Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was applied to EN data to explore and
10 visualize hidden patterns in the data set. PCA involves a mathematical procedure
11 that transforms a number of possibly correlated variables into a smaller number of
12 uncorrelated variables called principal components. The first principal component
13 accounts for as much of the variability in the data as possible, and each
14 succeeding component accounts for as much of the remaining variability as
15 possible, and so on. All the variables were mean-centered and scaled to equal
16 variance prior to PCA. Furthermore, a stepwise linear discriminant analysis
17 (LDA) was also applied to EN data. This analysis is a supervised technique of
18 classification and assignation of a sample to a previously defined group. The
19 classification model is built by maximizing the variance between groups and
20 minimizing the variance within groups. The model performance is then assessed
21 on the basis of the number of samples correctly predicted as belonging to an
22 assigned group.

1 **3 Results**

2 3.1 Fatty acid composition

3 The FA profiles of Asiago d'Allevo PDO cheese manufactured during summer
4 months (early and late alpine grazing seasons) and autumn/winter ones (indoor
5 season) are presented in Table 1.

6 The most remarkable differences in fatty acids were detected between the grazing
7 season (both early and late summer) and the indoor season. Considering the main
8 FA groups, total saturated FAs were significantly higher ($P \leq 0.001$) while total
9 mono- and polyunsaturated FAs were significantly lower ($P \leq 0.001$) in the
10 samples produced during the autumn/winter months than those produced in
11 summer. Among saturated FAs, notable variations were detected in the majority
12 of short- and medium-chain FAs, while no significant differences were found for
13 margaric (C17) and stearic (C18) acids. Considering unsaturated FAs, remarkable
14 seasonal differences were observed in the contents of *trans*-vaccenic (C18:1 *t*11,
15 TVA) and rumenic (C18:2 *c*9*t*11, RA) acids. Cheeses produced during both the
16 early and late summer grazing seasons showed approximately doubled levels of
17 both TVA and RA in comparison to the cheeses produced during the indoor
18 season ($P \leq 0.001$). Conspicuous differences were also observed between the
19 summer and autumn/winter cheeses in the levels of oleic (C18:1 *c*9) and α -
20 linolenic (C18:3 *c*9*c*12*c*15, ALA) acids, both significantly higher in the summer
21 samples ($P \leq 0.001$ and $P \leq 0.01$, respectively).

1 The cheeses produced during the early and late summer grazing seasons were
2 instead almost completely undistinguishable from each other. They only differed
3 in three minor detected FAs (13-methyltetradecanoic acid -C15 *iso*-, myristoleic -
4 C14:1 *c9*- and arachidic -C20- acids) which were significantly higher in the
5 cheeses produced during the late alpine grazing season.

6 3.2 Terpenoidic composition

7 The terpenoidic compositions of Asiago d'Allevo PDO cheese manufactured
8 during the early and late alpine summer grazing seasons and during the
9 autumn/winter indoor season are presented in Table 2.

10 All cheese samples showed a prevalence of monoterpenoids, whose total amount
11 was basically ($P \leq 0.10$) more abundant (on average 2.4 times) during the grazing
12 seasons than during the indoor season. The total sesquiterpenoid content showed
13 highly significant differences among all three periods of production in question
14 ($P \leq 0.001$). Total sesquiterpenoids were about 3- and 17-fold higher in the early
15 and late grazing seasons as compared to the indoor season, respectively.

16 Some compounds (α -thujene, δ -3-carene, dihydrocarveol isomer 1, the
17 unidentified monoterpene 1, the unidentified sesquiterpene 1, and β -ionone) were
18 detected only in early and/or late summer cheeses, while others, such as the two
19 menthone isomers, were found only in cheeses produced in the autumn/winter
20 months.

21 Considering the terpenoids that were detected in all three periods of production,
22 camphene, terpinen-4-ol, β -caryophyllene, and cedrane showed significantly

1 higher amounts in cheeses produced during both the early and late summer than in
2 cheeses produced in the indoor period. Moreover, other compounds such as α -
3 pinene, β -pinene, linalool, carvone, and myrtenol, thus not being significantly
4 different between the cheeses produced in the early grazing and indoor seasons,
5 showed significantly higher amounts in samples produced in late summer as
6 opposed to those produced in autumn and winter.

7 Many compounds showed significant differences between cheeses produced
8 during the early and the late summer grazing seasons. In particular, some
9 monoterpenoids (α -pinene, camphene, β -pinene, δ -3-carene, and myrtenol) and
10 the sesquiterpenoid cedrane significantly increased with the advance of the
11 grazing season, reaching their maximum content in cheeses produced in August
12 and September. This trend was then followed by a general decrease in
13 correspondence with the indoor season. The monoterpenoid γ -terpinene was the
14 only compound that showed, on the contrary, a significantly higher content in the
15 early rather than late summer cheese samples ($P \leq 0.05$).

16 Some compounds (β -myrcene, limonene, cineol, terpinolene, camphor, bornyl
17 acetate, α -terpineol, unidentified sesquiterpene 2, methyl salicylate, and methyl
18 dihydrojasmonate) as well as the total “miscellaneous” were detected in all the
19 periods of production in question, without showing any significant difference
20 among them.

1 3.3 Electronic nose response

2 Figure 1 shows the PCA score plot of gas sensors responses. This graph represents
3 the original samples projected on the plane formed with the two principal
4 components (PCs). The first PC described 46.12% of sample variance; the second
5 one described 31.89%. The sum of the first three PCs accounted for more than
6 90% of the total explained variance.

7 Among the ten MOS sensors that equipped the EN used in the present study, three
8 sensors resulted more sensitive to the headspace of the samples. They were
9 reported to have broad-range sensitivity, especially to aromatic compounds,
10 hydrocarbons, and nitrogen oxides. The same three sensors were the most
11 significant in defining PCs.

12 Samples were thinly dispersed in the plot area defined by the first two PCs and no
13 data clusters appeared evident. The three Asiago groups (early alpine grazing
14 season, late alpine grazing season, and indoor season) were widely overlapping.
15 The results of the LDA confirmed the graphical overview obtained with the PCA.
16 Since the number of samples was limited to allow a separation into two subsets
17 (*training* and *test* set), classification of LDA was performed using the same data
18 that were used to derive the discriminant function. To reduce the bias deriving
19 from this procedure, each observation was classified using a discriminant function
20 computed from all the other observations (full cross-validation). Even with these
21 technical adjustments, the number of misclassifications into groups was quite
22 high. The percent of erroneously classified samples was 29.5% for “early grazing”

1 group, 45.9% for the “indoor” group, and as high as 65.8% in the case of “late
2 grazing”.

3 **4 Discussion**

4 During both the early and late alpine grazing seasons, the herds were managed
5 according to extensive farming methods, with fresh grass from pasture being the
6 main feeding resource coupled with only limited concentrate supplementations.
7 On the contrary, in autumn and winter the herds were mainly fed with conserved
8 forages (hay) and remarkable higher amounts of concentrates if compared to those
9 supplied in the summer months. Such differences in the feeding regimen applied
10 at farm level in the periods of production under analysis explain the observed
11 significant variations in the FA compositions between the two summer grazing
12 seasons on one hand and the indoor months on the other hand. Fresh grass,
13 particularly from alpine pastures, has been reported to consistently ameliorate the
14 FA profile of milk and dairy products from ruminants, by lowering the levels of
15 medium chain hypercholesterolemic saturated lauric (C12), myristic (C14), and
16 palmitic (C16) acids, and by contemporarily raising the amounts of peculiarly
17 beneficial FAs such as oleic, *trans*-vaccenic, rumenic, and α -linolenic acids (van
18 Dorland et al. 2006). Fresh grass is an important source of lipids in the ruminants’
19 diet and, if compared to hay, has been reported to contain higher amounts of
20 ALA, the latter usually representing more than 50% of total FAs (Clapham et al.
21 2005). Alpha-linolenic acid is extensively biohydrogenated within the rumen,
22 leading to the formation of intermediate products (TVA and RA) characterized by

1 putative beneficial effects for human health (Bauman and Lock 2010). Similar
2 results to those obtained in the current study have been previously obtained by
3 other authors, who reported significant seasonal (summer *versus* winter) changes
4 in the FA compositions of milk and dairy products from ruminants, mainly as a
5 consequence of the nature and composition of the feedstuffs fed to the animals
6 (among others, Revello Chion et al. 2010; Abilleira et al. 2009). Our results show
7 that many detected fatty acids (including ALA, TVA, and RA) could be
8 particularly useful for the discrimination of Asiago d'Allevo PDO cheeses
9 produced during the grazing and indoor seasons. However, the same fatty acids do
10 not prove useful for the discrimination of Asiago cheeses produced during the
11 early and late summer grazing seasons.

12 The results obtained showed that terpenoids were very effective in differentiating
13 Asiago PDO cheeses not only between the summer grazing and the indoor seasons
14 but also between the early and late summer grazing periods. It is known that, as
15 with green grass, hay prepared from natural grasslands can also provide
16 terpenoids (Viallon et al. 1999). The differences in terpenoids observed between
17 the summer and autumn/winter Asiago cheese samples could be consequently
18 ascribed to a diverse terpenoidic composition of hay and grazed grass vegetation
19 types. Moreover, it has also been reported that even though the haymaking
20 process does not significantly affect the terpene profile of milk, the amount of
21 terpenoids in milk can be strongly reduced (Fedele et al. 2007).

22 The observed increase of the content of some terpenoids during the grazing season
23 (early *versus* late summer) could probably be related to the accumulation of plant

1 secondary metabolites. The sole presence of dihydrocarveol isomer 1 and
2 unidentified sesquiterpene 1 in the late summer could be explained in the same
3 way, as well as the evidence that a lot of monoterpenoids (α - and β -pinene, γ -
4 terpinene, linalool, carvone, and myrtenol) did not show significantly different
5 amounts between the early summer and the indoor seasons.

6 In order to trace Asiago d'Alleva PDO cheese according to its season of
7 production, the most effective terpenoids were found to be camphene, δ -3-carene,
8 and cedrane. In fact, they all significantly differed among the three production
9 periods analysed, showing their maximum levels in cheeses produced during the
10 late summer grazing season. Among other detected terpenoids, α -thujene and β -
11 ionone were found to be discriminative of early summer cheeses. A thick group of
12 compounds (α -pinene, β -pinene, myrtenol, dihydrocarveol isomer 1, and
13 unidentified sesquiterpene 1) allowed a good discrimination of late summer
14 cheeses. Finally, the two menthone isomers, terpinen-4-ol, and β -caryophyllene
15 allowed a good discrimination of cheeses produced during the indoor season.

16 Sesquiterpenoids have been reported as being preferable to monoterpenoids for
17 traceability purposes (Engel et al. 2007). If considering the main terpenoid groups,
18 the results obtained in the current study seem to corroborate such a finding. In
19 fact, even though the total monoterpenoids were by far more abundant in the
20 Asiago cheese samples than the total sesquiterpenoids in all three periods in
21 question, the total sesquiterpenoids were found to better discriminate the samples
22 according to their season of production.

1 Some monoterpenoid compounds, such as β -myrcene, limonene, cineol,
2 terpinolene, camphor, bornyl acetate, and α -terpineol, did not differ among the
3 three periods of production. They were consequently ineffective in the attempt to
4 trace the Asiago PDO cheese produced in different seasons.

5 The current study provided the first investigation into the possible role of a
6 chemical class of volatiles, denominated “miscellaneous” category, as
7 biochemical markers to be used for dairy products. These plant-derived
8 compounds are C13-norisoprenoidic volatiles such as β -ionone and methyl
9 dihydrojasmonate, or benzene derivatives such as methyl salicylate. The latter is
10 biosynthesized in plants by carboxyl methyltransferase from salicylic acid, which
11 in turn derives from phenylalanine (Effmert et al. 2005). Methyl
12 dihydrojasmonate is responsible for flowery aroma and it has been reported as a
13 linoleic acid derived molecule (Barreto et al. 2011), while β -ionone is a
14 ubiquitous constituent of many vegetables and fruits (Winterhalter and Rouseff
15 2001). The results obtained in this study showed that, even though the total
16 miscellaneous compounds did not vary significantly among the three periods of
17 production, β -ionone was detected only in the Asiago cheese samples produced in
18 the early summer grazing period. β -ionone has already been detected in dairy
19 products, and it has recently been found useful in differentiating milk and cheeses
20 produced under extensive (with pasture-fed dairy cows) or intensive (with cows
21 fed total mixed rations) farming conditions (Belviso et al. *in press*). This
22 norisoprenoidic volatile could be consequently considered a promising compound
23 to be used for traceability purposes in the dairy sector.

1 Contrary to both fatty acid and terpenoidic compositions, the response obtained by
2 the gas sensors equipment used in this study did not appear to be useful in
3 classifying Asiago d'Allevio PDO cheeses according to their season of production.
4 The same EN used in the present study was successfully applied in order to
5 differentiate milk collected from dairy cows grazing on two different alpine
6 vegetation types (Falchero et al. 2009). In strictly defined and controlled
7 experimental conditions, these authors reported good discrimination ability of the
8 model (LDA classification rate: >90%) highlighting actual applicability of this
9 instrument to milk samples.

10 Concerning Asiago PDO cheese, Benedetti and Mannino (2007) first analyzed it
11 with an EN. These authors submitted samples of "Pressato" (20 days of ripening)
12 and "d'Allevio" (3-12 months of ripening) Asiago PDO cheeses to gas sensors
13 measurements. Both fresh and ripened samples were produced in winter and
14 summer months. The EN was able to identify some outliers (identically
15 recognized as anomalous samples with sensory analysis by experts) probably due
16 to unusual fermentations. However, the instrument detected similar headspace
17 compositions for the ordinary samples and no discrimination according to the
18 season of production (summer *versus* winter) was then possible, neither for the
19 "Pressato" nor for the "d'Allevio" Asiago cheeses.

20 Although several studies demonstrated the ability of ENs to discriminate aged
21 cheeses with different geographical origin at different maturation stages (Pillonel
22 et al. 2003) and even at the same ripening age (Schaller et al. 1999), O'Riordan
23 and Delahunty (2003) also suggested that the MOS sensors-based EN used in their

1 study gradually deteriorated in its accuracy in classifying Cheddar cheeses with
2 the progression of cheese maturation. The results obtained in the present study
3 support those reported by Benedetti and Mannino (2007) and O’Riordan and
4 Delahunty (2003). Differences detected by EN analysis in flavor and volatile
5 compounds of fresh cheeses due to animal diet and milk microflora could be
6 progressively attenuated due to complex microbiological and enzymatic processes
7 that occur during ripening.

8 **CONCLUSIONS**

9 The results obtained in the present *on-farm* research showed that both fatty acids
10 and terpenoids can be considered suitable biomarkers to be used as tracers in
11 discriminating Asiago d’Allevo PDO cheeses according to their season of
12 production. Fatty acids and terpenoids, in fact, were found to be valuable as
13 chemical fingerprint for the characterization of the dairy cows’ feeding regimen. It
14 is worth mentioning that information obtained from both fatty acids and
15 terpenoidic data provided more comprehensive and precise information. Fatty
16 acids data were in fact very useful in discriminating summer from autumn/winter
17 cheeses, while terpenoids proved to be very useful also in discriminating cheeses
18 produced during the early and late summer grazing seasons. On the contrary, the
19 use of a MOS sensors-based electronic nose on Asiago d’Allevo cheese did not
20 seem to provide helpful information, producing an unsatisfactory classification
21 rate of the collected samples regarding their period of production.

1 The obtained results suggest the usefulness of fatty acids and terpenoids as
2 biomarkers for the traceability of cheeses originating from PDO areas, due to the
3 strong link existing between the animals' diet and the chemical composition of
4 ruminant-derived dairy products.

5 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

6 The authors gratefully thank Dr. Marco Cattelan, the “Consorzio di Tutela del
7 Formaggio Asiago” and the “Comunità Montana Spettabile Reggenza dei Sette
8 Comuni” for technical assistance.

9 **REFERENCES**

- 10 Abilleira E, Collomb M, Schlichtherle-Cerny H, Virto M, de Renobales M,
11 Barron LJR (2009) Winter/spring changes in fatty acid composition of
12 farmhouse Idiazabal cheese due to different flock management systems. J Agric
13 Food Chem 57:4746-4753
- 14 Adams RP (2001) Identification of essential oil components by gas
15 chromatography/quadrupole mass spectroscopy. Allured Publishing
16 Corporation, Carol Stream, Illinois
- 17 Ampuero S, Bosset JO (2003) The electronic nose applied to dairy products: A
18 review. Sens Actuators B Chem 94:1-12
- 19 Barreto MC, Vilas Boas L, Carneiro LC, San Romão MV (2011) Volatile
20 compounds in samples of cork and also produced by selected fungi. J Agric
21 Food Chem 59:6568-6574

- 1 Bauman DE, Lock AL (2010) Milk fatty acid composition: challenges and
2 opportunities related to human health. Updates on ruminant production and
3 medicine. XXVI World Buiatrics Congress, Santiago, Chile, 14-18 November
4 2010, pp. 278-289
- 5 Belviso S, Giordano M, Coppa M, Lombardi G, Zeppa G (*in press*)
6 Individuazione di biomarker terpenoidici per la differenziazione di prodotti
7 caseari di montagna [Terpenoids as biomarkers of mountain dairy products].
8 *Scienza e Tecnica Lattiero-Casearia*
- 9 Benedetti S, Mannino S (2007) Characterization of the PDO Asiago cheese by an
10 electronic nose. *Compr Anal Chem* 49:1091-1097
- 11 Benedetti S, Sinelli N, Buratti S, Riva M (2005) Shelf life of Crescenza cheese as
12 measured by electronic nose. *J Dairy Sci* 88:3044-3051
- 13 Bugaud C, Buchin S, Hauwuy A, Coulon JB (2001) Relationship between flavour
14 and chemical composition of Abondance cheese derived from different types of
15 pastures. *Lait* 81:757-773
- 16 Christopherson S, Glass RL (1969) ISO 15884/IDF182, Milk fat – preparation of
17 fatty acids methyl esters; Preparation of milk fat methyl esters by alcoholysis in
18 an essential non-alcoholic solution. *J Dairy Sci* 52:1289-1290
- 19 Clapham WM, Foster JG, Neel JP, Fedders JM (2005) Fatty acid composition of
20 traditional and novel forages. *J Agric Food Chem* 53:10068-10073
- 21 Commission Regulation (EC) (1996) No 1107/96 of 12 June 1996 on the
22 registration of geographical indications and designations of origin under the

- 1 procedure laid down in Article 17 of Council Regulation (EEC) No 2081/92.
2 Official Journal, L 148 of 21 June 1996
- 3 Contarini G, Povolo M, Toppino PM, Radovic B, Lipp M, Anklam E (2001)
4 Comparison of three different techniques for the discrimination of cheese:
5 Application to the ewe's cheese. *Milchwiss* 56:136-140
- 6 De Noni I, Battelli G (2008) Terpenes and fatty acid profiles of milk fat and
7 "Bitto" cheese as affected by transhumance of cows on different mountain
8 pastures. *Food Chem* 109:299-309
- 9 Effmert U, Saschenbrecker S, Ross J, Negre F, Fraser CM, Noel JP, Dudareva N,
10 Piechulla B (2005) Floral benzenoid carboxyl methyltransferases: from in vitro
11 to in planta function. *Phytochemistry* 66:1211-1230
- 12 Engel E, Ferlay A, Cornu A, Chilliard Y, Agabriel C, Bielicki G, Martin B (2007)
13 Relevance of isotopic and molecular biomarkers for the authentication of milk
14 according to production zone and type of feeding of the cow. *J Agric Food*
15 *Chem* 55:9099-9108
- 16 Falchero L, Sala G, Gorlier A, Lombardi G, Lonati M, Masoero G (2009)
17 Electronic Nose analysis of milk from cows grazing on two different Alpine
18 vegetation types. *J Dairy Res* 76:365-371
- 19 Favaro G, Magno F, Boaretto A, Bailoni L, Mantovani R (2005) Traceability of
20 Asiago mountain cheese: a rapid, low-cost analytical procedure for its
21 identification based on solid-phase microextraction. *J Dairy Sci* 88:3426-3434

- 1 Fedele V, Pizzillo M, Claps S, Cifuni GF (2007) Effect of types of forage on
2 terpenes content and profile in goat milk. *Options Méditerranéennes, Series A,*
3 *No. 74, pp. 19-24*
- 4 Gazzetta Ufficiale (2006) Disciplinare di produzione DOP “Asiago” [Asiago PDO
5 Cheese Production Regulations], *Gazzetta Ufficiale della Repubblica Italiana*
6 *n.190 of 17/08/2006*
- 7 IDF (1986) International Dairy Federation. *Cheese and Processed Cheese.*
8 *Determination of fat content (Schmid-Bondzysky-Ratzlaff method).*
9 *International Dairy Federation provisional standard 5B. Brussels, Belgium*
- 10 Monahan FJ, Moloney AP, Downey G, Dunne PG, Schmidt O, Harrison SM
11 (2010) Authenticity and traceability of grassland production and products. In:
12 *Grassland in a changing world. Proceedings of the 23rd General Meeting of the*
13 *European Grassland Federation, Kiel, Germany, 29th August - 2nd September,*
14 *pp. 401-414*
- 15 O’Riordan PJ, Delahunty CM (2003) Characterisation of commercial Cheddar
16 cheese flavour. 1: traditional and electronic nose approach to quality assessment
17 and market classification. *Int Dairy J* 13:355-370
- 18 Pillonel L, Ampuero S, Tabacchi R, Bosset JO (2003) Analytical methods for the
19 determination of the geographic origin of Emmental cheese: Volatile
20 compounds by GC/MS-FID and electronic nose. *Eur Food Res Technol*
21 *216:179-183*
- 22 Revello Chion A, Tabacco E, Giaccone D, Peiretti PG, Battelli G, Borreani G
23 (2010) Variation of fatty acid and terpene profiles in mountain milk and “Toma

- 1 piemontese” cheese as affected by diet composition in different seasons. Food
2 Chem 121:393-399
- 3 Schaller E, Bosset JO, Escher F (1999) Practical experience with “electronic
4 nose” systems for monitoring the quality of dairy products. *Chimia* 53:98-102
- 5 Schievano E, Pasini G, Cozzi G, Mammi S (2008) Identification of the production
6 chain of Asiago d’Allevo cheese by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy
7 and principal component analysis. *J Agric Food Chem* 56:7208-7214
- 8 Tornambé G, Cornu A, Pradel P, Kondjovan N, Carnat AP, Petit M, Martin B
9 (2006) Change in terpene content in milk from pasture-fed cows. *J Dairy Sci*
10 89:2309-2319
- 11 Trihaas J, Vognsen L, Nielsen P (2005) Electronic nose: New tool in modelling
12 the ripening of Danish blue cheese. *Int Dairy J* 15:679-691
- 13 van Dorland HA, Wettstein H-R, Kreuzer M (2006) Species-rich swards of the
14 Alps. Constraints and opportunities for dairy production. In: “Fresh herbage for
15 dairy cattle”. Elgersma (Ed.), p. 27. Dijkstra and Tamminga Publishing Co.
- 16 Viallon C, Verdier-Metz I, Denoyer C, Pradel P, Coulon JB, Berdargué JL (1999)
17 Desorbed terpenes and sesquiterpenes from forages and cheese. *J Dairy Res*
18 66:319-326
- 19 Viallon C, Martin B, Verdier-Metz I, Pradel P, Garel JP, Coulon JB, Berdargué JL
20 (2000) Transfer of monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes from forages into milk fat.
21 *Lait* 80:635-641

1 Winterhalter P, Rouseff R (2001) Carotenoid-derived aroma compounds: an
2 introduction. In “Carotenoid-derived aroma compounds”. Winterhalter et al.
3 (Eds.), p. 1-17. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC

4 Zeppa G, Giordano M, Bertolino M, Gerbi V (2005) Application of artificial
5 neural network on mono- and sesquiterpenes compounds determined by
6 headspace solid-phase microextraction gas chromatography–mass spectrometry
7 for the Piedmont ricotta cheese traceability. *J Chromatogr A* 1071:247–253

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 **LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES**

2

3 **Table 1** Fatty acid composition ($\text{g}\cdot 100\text{g}^{-1}$ FAMES) of Asiago d'Allevo PDO
4 cheese manufactured during the early and late summer alpine grazing seasons and
5 during the autumn/winter indoor season (mean \pm SE)

6

7 **Table 2** Terpenoidic composition (normalized arbitrary area units $\times 10^4$) of
8 Asiago d'Allevo PDO cheese manufactured during the early and late summer
9 alpine grazing seasons and during the autumn/winter indoor season (mean \pm SE)

10

11 **Fig. 1** PCA score plot based on gas sensors responses of Asiago d'Allevo PDO
12 cheese according to the season of production: early alpine grazing season (Jun-
13 Jul) (■), late alpine grazing season (Aug-Sep) (○), and autumn/winter indoor
14 season (Oct-Feb) (▲)

- 1 **Table 1** Fatty acid composition ($\text{g}\cdot 100\text{g}^{-1}$ FAMES) of Asiago d'Allevo PDO
 2 cheese manufactured during the early and late summer alpine grazing seasons and
 3 during the autumn/winter indoor season.

	Early alpine grazing season (Jun-Jul)	Late alpine grazing season (Aug-Sep)	Indoor season (Oct-Feb)	SEM	<i>P</i>
C4	2.14	1.96	2.13		ns
C6	1.72 ^b	1.64 ^b	1.88 ^a		**
C8	1.13 ^b	1.06 ^b	1.28 ^a		***
C10	2.27 ^b	2.14 ^b	2.78 ^a		***
C10:1 <i>c</i> 9	0.25 ^b	0.26 ^b	0.29 ^a		***
C12	2.49 ^b	2.43 ^b	3.12 ^a		***
C13	0.14 ^b	0.15 ^b	0.18 ^a		***
C14 <i>iso</i>	0.15	0.18	0.18		ns
C14	9.39 ^b	9.46 ^b	10.78 ^a		***
C15 <i>iso</i>	0.31 ^b	0.37 ^a	0.32 ^{ab}		*
C15 <i>aiso</i>	0.60	0.66	0.58		ns
C14:1 <i>c</i> 9	0.75 ^c	0.83 ^b	0.92 ^a		***
C15	1.07	1.16	1.13		ns
C16 <i>aiso</i>	0.28	0.33	0.32		ns
C16	25.88 ^b	25.92 ^b	29.54 ^a		***
C17 <i>iso</i>	0.13	0.11	0.13		ns
C17 <i>aiso</i>	0.61 ^a	0.60 ^a	0.47 ^b		***
C16:1 <i>c</i> 7	0.30	0.29	0.25		ns
C16:1 <i>c</i> 9	1.78	1.70	1.84		ns
C17	0.76	0.74	0.70		ns
C17:1	0.27 ^a	0.24 ^a	0.18 ^b		***
C18	12.18	12.39	11.61		ns
C18:1 <i>t</i> 11 (TVA)	4.46 ^a	4.52 ^a	2.38 ^b		***
C18:1 <i>t</i> 9	0.55	0.47	0.68		ns
C18:1 <i>c</i> 9	24.32 ^a	24.12 ^a	21.43 ^b		***
C18:1 <i>c</i> 11	0.57 ^a	0.57 ^a	0.46 ^b		**
C18:1 <i>c</i> 12	0.18	0.18	0.25		ns
C18:1 <i>c</i> 13	0.44 ^a	0.45 ^a	0.35 ^b		***
C18:2 <i>c</i> 9 <i>c</i> 12 (LA)	2.37	2.49	2.29		ns
C20	0.17 ^b	0.20 ^a	0.17 ^b		***
C20:1	0.92 ^a	0.94 ^a	0.68 ^b		***
C18:3 <i>c</i> 9 <i>c</i> 12 <i>c</i> 15 (ALA)	0.02 ^a	0.02 ^a	0.01 ^b		**
C18:2 <i>c</i> 9 <i>t</i> 11 (RA)	1.37 ^a	1.42 ^a	0.67 ^b		***
Σ SFA	61.44 ^b	61.52 ^b	67.34 ^a		***

Σ MUFA	34.80 ^a	34.56 ^a	29.70 ^b	***
Σ PUFA	3.77 ^a	3.92 ^a	2.97 ^b	***
HSFA ^x	37.77 ^b	37.81 ^b	43.44 ^a	***

1

2 Abbreviations: FAME, fatty acid methyl ester; *c*, *cis*; *t*, *trans*; TVA, *trans*-vaccenic acid; LA,
3 linoleic acid; ALA, α -linolenic acid; RA, rumenic acid; SFA, saturated fatty acids; MUFA,
4 monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids; HSFA, hypercholesterolemic
5 saturated fatty acids.

6 Probability: * $P \leq 0.05$; ** $P \leq 0.01$; *** $P \leq 0.001$; ns, not significant ($P > 0.05$). Different letters
7 within rows indicate statistically significant differences between groups.

8 ^x Calculated as C12:0+C14:0+C16:0.

1 **Table 2** Terpenoidic composition (normalized arbitrary area units) of Asiago
 2 d'Allevo PDO cheese manufactured during the early and late summer alpine
 3 grazing seasons and during the autumn/winter indoor season (mean±SE).

	Early alpine grazing season (Jun-Jul)	Late alpine grazing season (Aug-Sep)	Indoor season (Oct-Feb)	<i>P</i>
<i>Monoterpenoids</i>				
α -Pinene	0.282 ± 0.140 ^b	0.952 ± 0.372 ^a	0.090 ± 0.024 ^b	***
α -Thujene	0.070 ± 0.054	0.002 ± 0.002	nd	**
Camphene	0.161 ± 0.104 ^b	0.455 ± 0.213 ^a	0.011 ± 0.007 ^c	***
β -Pinene	0.589 ± 0.239 ^b	1.174 ± 0.426 ^a	0.108 ± 0.024 ^b	***
Sabinene	0.179 ± 0.103 ^a	0.096 ± 0.042 ^{ab}	0.008 ± 0.004 ^b	*
δ -3-Carene	0.015 ± 0.006	0.025 ± 0.017	nd	*
β -Myrcene	0.067 ± 0.039	0.038 ± 0.017	0.027 ± 0.014	ns
Limonene	1.299 ± 0.389	0.738 ± 0.119	0.509 ± 0.117	ns
Cineol	0.019 ± 0.005	0.138 ± 0.037	0.131 ± 0.049	0.08
γ -Terpinene	0.233 ± 0.141 ^a	0.023 ± 0.015 ^b	0.024 ± 0.010 ^{ab}	*
<i>p</i> -Cymene	1.087 ± 0.451 ^a	0.820 ± 0.179 ^{ab}	0.414 ± 0.123 ^b	*
Terpinolene	0.109 ± 0.075	0.003 ± 0.002	0.011 ± 0.005	ns
Menthone1	nd	nd	0.476 ± 0.190	-
Menthone2	nd	nd	0.093 ± 0.059	-
Camphor	0.757 ± 0.283	0.833 ± 0.205	0.361 ± 0.112	ns
Linalool	1.565 ± 0.439 ^{ab}	2.058 ± 0.547 ^a	0.749 ± 0.178 ^b	*
Bornyl acetate	0.053 ± 0.017	0.060 ± 0.021	0.190 ± 0.090	ns
α -Terpineol	2.396 ± 0.543	3.660 ± 0.918	2.030 ± 0.490	ns
Terpinen-4-ol	0.480 ± 0.184 ^a	0.457 ± 0.100 ^a	0.084 ± 0.027 ^b	***
Carvone	0.277 ± 0.130 ^{ab}	0.366 ± 0.117 ^a	0.029 ± 0.013 ^b	**
Myrtenol	0.316 ± 0.194 ^b	1.534 ± 0.423 ^a	0.041 ± 0.011 ^b	***
Geranyl acetone	5.135 ± 1.332 ^a	4.948 ± 1.007 ^{ab}	1.515 ± 0.216 ^b	*
Dihydrocarveol is.1	nd	0.120 ± 0.071	nd	-
Monoterpene ni1	0.007 ± 0.004	0.006 ± 0.004	nd	ns
<i>Sesquiterpenoids</i>				
β -Caryophyllene	0.122 ± 0.035 ^a	0.442 ± 0.182 ^a	0.036 ± 0.011 ^b	*
Cedrane	0.073 ± 0.030 ^b	0.584 ± 0.156 ^a	0.022 ± 0.014 ^c	***
Sesquiterpene ni1	nd	0.006 ± 0.004	nd	-
Sesquiterpene ni2	0.021 ± 0.010	0.095 ± 0.051	0.007 ± 0.005	ns
<i>Miscellaneous</i>				
Methyl salicylate	0.114 ± 0.064	0.135 ± 0.059	0.077 ± 0.036	ns
β -Ionone	0.015 ± 0.011	nd	nd	-

Methyl dihydrojasmonate	0.876 ± 0.234	0.831 ± 0.166	0.750 ± 0.180	ns
Σ Monoterpenoids	15.276 ± 3.508	18.507 ± 3.950	6.900 ± 0.984	0.10
Σ Sesquiterpenoids	0.216 ± 0.063 ^b	1.127 ± 0.337 ^a	0.065 ± 0.025 ^c	***
Σ Miscellaneous	1.005 ± 0.250	0.967 ± 0.185	0.826 ± 0.178	ns

1

2 Abbreviations: nd, not detected; is., isomer; ni, not identified.

3 Probability: * P≤0.05; ** P≤0.01; *** P≤0.001; the P-value is shown if, **although** being not

4 significant, it shows a tendency (P≤0.10); ns, not significant (P>0.10). Different letters within rows

5 indicate statistically significant differences between groups. The statistical analysis was not

6 performed for menthone 1, menthone 2, dihydrocarveol is.1, sesquiterpene ni1, and β-ionone since

7 these variables were not detected in the samples belonging to two of the three groups.

- 1 **Fig. 1** PCA score plot based on gas sensors responses of Asiago d'Allevo PDO cheese according to the season of production: early
- 2 alpine grazing season (Jun-Jul) (■), late alpine grazing season (Aug-Sep) (○), and autumn/winter indoor season (Oct-Feb) (▲)

